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Abstract

We show that the local time of one-dimensional super-Brownian motion is locally \( \gamma \)-Hölder continuous near the boundary if \( 0 < \gamma < 3 \) and fails to be locally \( \gamma \)-Hölder continuous if \( \gamma > 3 \).

1 Introduction

Let \( M_F = M_F(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be the space of finite measures on \( (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \) equipped with the topology of weak convergence of measures, and write \( \mu(\phi) = \int \phi(x)\mu(dx) \) for \( \mu \in M_F \). Let \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P) \) be a filtered probability space. A Super-Brownian Motion \( (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \) starting at \( \mu \in M_F \) is a continuous \( M_F \)-valued strong Markov process defined on \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P) \) with \( X_0 = \mu \) a.s.. It is well known that super-Brownian motion is the solution to the following martingale problem (see [Per02], II.5): For any \( \phi \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[
X_t(\phi) = X_0(\phi) + M_t(\phi) + \int_0^t X_s(\Delta \phi)ds,
\]

where \( M_t(\phi) \) is a continuous \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-martingale such that \( M_0(\phi) = 0 \) and

\[
[M(\phi)]_t = \int_0^t X_s(\phi^2)ds.
\]

The above martingale problem uniquely characterizes the law \( \mathbb{P}_{X_0} \) of super-Brownian motion \( X \) on \( C([0, \infty), M_F) \), the space of continuous functions from \([0, \infty)\) to \( M_F \) furnished with the compact open topology.

Local times of superprocesses have been studied by many authors (cf. [Sug89], [BEP91], [AL92], [Kro93], [Mer06]). We recall that [Sug89] has proved that for \( d \leq 3 \), there exists a jointly lower semi-continuous local time \( L_t^x \), which is monotone increasing in \( t \) for all \( x \), such that

\[
\int_0^t X_s(\phi)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x)L_t^x dx, \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and non-negative measurable } \phi.
\]
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Moreover, there is a version of the local time $L^x_\tau$ which is jointly continuous on the set of continuity points of $X_0 q_t(x)$, where $q_t(x) = \int_0^t p_s(x) \, ds$, $p_t(x)$ is the transition density of Brownian motion, and $X_0 q_t(x) = \int q_t(y - x) X_0(dy)$ (see Theorem 3 in [Sug89]). Let the extinction time $\zeta$ of $X$ be defined as $\zeta = \zeta_X = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t(1) = 0\}$. We know that $\zeta < \infty$ a.s. (see Chp II.5 in [Per02]). Then we have $L^x = L^x_\infty = L^x_\zeta$ is also lower semicontinuous. Note the set 

$$\{x : L^x > 0\}$$

is defined to be the range of super-Brownian motion (see [MP17]). Theorem 2.2 of [MP17] gives that for any $\eta > 0$, with $P_{\delta_0}$-probability one we have $L^x$ is $C^{(4-d)/2-\eta}$ Hölder continuous for $x$ away from 0 if $d \leq 3$. When $d = 1$, $L^x$ is globally continuous (see Proposition 3.1 in [Sug89]).
This result will be proved in Section 2 and it is optimal in the sense of the following theorem, whose proof will be given in Section 3.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( d = 1 \). For any \( \gamma > 3 \), we have \( \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0} \)-a.s. that there is some \( \delta(\gamma, \omega) > 0 \) such that \( L^x \geq 2^{-\gamma/2}(R-x)^\gamma \) for all \( R - \delta < x < R \).

With the lower bound established above, the following is immediate.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \( d = 1 \). If \( \gamma > 3 \), then \( \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0} \)-a.s. the local time \( L^x \) fails to be locally \( \gamma \)-Hölder continuous at \( L \) and \( R \).

Now we continue to study the case under canonical measure \( N_0 \). \( N_{x_0} \) is a \( \sigma \)-finite measure on \( \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), M_F) \) which arises as the weak limit of \( \mathcal{N}^N_{\delta x_0/N}(X^N \in \cdot) \) as \( N \to \infty \), where \( X^N \) under \( \mathcal{P}^N_{\delta x_0/N} \) is the approximating branching particle system starting from a single particle at \( x_0 \) (see Theorem II.7.3(a) in [Per02]). In this way it describes the contribution of a cluster from a single ancestor at \( x_0 \), and the super-Brownian motion is then obtained by a Poisson superposition of such clusters. In fact, if we let \( \Xi \) be a Poisson point process on \( \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), M_F) \) with intensity \( N_{x_0} \), then we have

\[
X_t = \int \nu \Xi(d\nu), \quad t > 0, \text{ has law } \mathbb{P}_{\delta 0}.
\]

We refer the readers to Theorem II.7.3(c) in [Per02] for more details. The existence of the local time \( L^x \) under \( N_{x_0} \) will follow from this decomposition and the existence under \( \mathbb{P}_{\delta 0} \). Therefore the local time \( L^x \) may be decomposed as

\[
L^x = \int L^x(\nu) \Xi(d\nu). \tag{1.3}
\]

The continuity of local times \( L^x \) under \( N_{x_0} \) is given in Theorem 1.1 of [Hong17]. We first give a version of Theorem A under the canonical measure.

**Theorem 1.4.** If \( d = 1 \) then \( N_0 \)-a.e. there are random variables \( L < 0 < R \) such that

\[
\{ x : L^x > 0 \} = (L, R).
\]

**Theorem 1.5.** Theorem [1.4] Theorem [1.2] and Corollary [1.3] hold if \( \mathbb{P}_{\delta 0} \) is replaced with \( N_0 \).

The proofs of these analogous results under \( N_0 \) will be given in Section 4.
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2 Upper bound of the local time near the boundary

Let \( g_x(y) = |y-x| \). Then \( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g_x(y) = 2\delta_x(y) \) holds in the distributional sense and the martingale problem (1.1) suggests the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** (Tanaka formula for \( d=1 \)) Let \( d = 1 \) and \( x \neq 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^1 \). Then we have \( \mathbb{P}_\delta \)-a.s. that

\[
L_t^x + |x| = X_t(g_x) - M_t(g_x), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( X_t(g_x) \) is continuous in \( t \) and \( M_t(g_x) \) is a continuous \( L^2 \) martingale which is the stochastic integral with respect to the martingale measure associated with super-Brownian motion.

**Proof.** Let \((\mathcal{P})\) be the Markov semigroup of one-dimensional Brownian motion. By cutoff arguments similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 2.4 in [Hong17], we may use the martingale problem (1.1) to see that with \( \mathbb{P}_\delta \)-probability one,

\[
X_t(P_\varepsilon g_x) = P_\varepsilon g_x(0) + M_t(P_\varepsilon g_x) + \int_0^T X_s(\frac{\Delta}{2} P_\varepsilon g_x) ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{2.2}
\]

Apply integration by parts to get for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} P_\varepsilon g_x(y) = 2p_\varepsilon(y-x) =: 2p_\varepsilon^x(y) \). For any \( T > 0 \), by using \( |P_\varepsilon g_x(y) - g_x(y)| \leq \varepsilon^{1/2} \), \( \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \), we have as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \),

\[
\sup_{t \leq T} |X_t(P_\varepsilon g_x) - X_t(g_x)| \to 0, \quad |P_\varepsilon g_x(0) - |x|| \to 0,
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E}_\delta \left[ \left( \sup_{t \leq T} |M_t(P_\varepsilon g_x) - M_t(g_x)| \right)^2 \right] \leq 4 \mathbb{E}_\delta \left[ \int_0^T X_s((P_\varepsilon g_x - g_x)^2) ds \right] \to 0,
\]

the last by Doob’s inequality. We know from Theorem 6.1 in [BEP91] that as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), \( \sup_{t \leq T} \int_0^t X_s(p_\varepsilon^x) ds - L_t^x \to 0 \), \( \mathbb{P}_\delta \)-a.s. and hence by taking a subsequence \( \varepsilon_n \downarrow 0 \), (2.1) follows immediately from (2.2).

Now we discuss the differentiability of \( L_t^x \) in \( d = 1 \). We denote, by \( D_x f(x) \) (resp. \( D_x^+ f(x) \), \( D_x^- f(x) \)), the derivative (resp. right derivative, left derivative) of \( f(x) \). Then we have the following result from Theorem 4 of [Sug89].

**Theorem B.** ([Sug89]) Let \( d = 1 \) and \( X_0 = \mu \in M_F(\mathbb{R}) \). Then the following (i) and (ii) hold with \( \mathbb{P}_\mu \)-probability one.

(i) \( Z(t, x) = L_t^x - \mathbb{E}_\mu(L_t^x) \) is differentiable with respect to \( x \),

(ii) \( D_x Z(t, x) \) is jointly continuous in \( t \geq 0 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), and we have

\[
D_x^+ \mathbb{E}_\mu(L_t^x) - D_x^- \mathbb{E}_\mu(L_t^x) = -2\mu(\{x\}), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}
\]

In particular, if we let \( H = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(\{x\}) = 0\} \), then \( D_x \mathbb{E}_\mu(L_t^x) \) is jointly continuous on \([0, \infty) \times H\) and so with \( \mathbb{P}_\mu \)-probability one we have \( L_t^x \) is differentiable with respect to \( x \) on \( H \) and \( D_x L_t^x \) is jointly continuous on \([0, \infty) \times H\).
So for the case \(X_0 = \delta_0\), we know from the above theorem that \(L^x_t\) is continuously differentiable on \(\{x \neq 0\}\). Let \(sgn(x) = x/|x|\) for \(x \neq 0\) and \(sgn(0) = 0\). Then \(D_y g_x(y) = sgn(y - x)\) for \(y \neq x\) and we have the following Tanaka formula for \(D_x L^x_t\).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \(d = 1\) and \(x \neq 0\) in \(\mathbb{R}^1\). Then we have \(P_{\delta_0}\)-a.s. that

\[D_x L^x_t = -sgn(x) + X_t([sgn(x - \cdot)] - M_t(sgn(x - \cdot))), \forall t \geq 0.\]  

**(2.4)**

**Proof.** Fix \(x \neq 0\) and any positive sequence \(\{h_n\}_{n \geq 1}\) such that \(h_n \downarrow 0\). Then use (2.1) to see that with \(P_{\delta_0}\)-probability one,

\[
\frac{1}{h_n}(L_t^{x+h_n} - L_t^x) + \frac{1}{h_n}(|x + h_n| - |x|) = \frac{1}{h_n}(X_t(g_{x+h_n}) - X_t(g_x)) - \frac{1}{h_n}(M_t(g_{x+h_n}) - M_t(g_x)).
\]

**(2.5)**

By Theorem B, we conclude that the left hand side converges a.s. to \(D_x L^x_t + sgn(x)\) as \(h_n \downarrow 0\). For the right hand side, first note that for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\), we have \(|(|x + h - y| - |x - y|)/h| \leq 1\). Then bounded convergence theorem implies as \(h_n \downarrow 0\),

\[
\frac{1}{h_n}(X_t(g_{x+h_n}) - X_t(g_x)) = \int \frac{1}{h_n}(|x + h_n - y| - |x - y|)X_t(dy) \to \int sgn(x - y)X_t(dy),
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E}_{\delta_0} \left[ \frac{1}{h_n}(M_t(g_{x+h_n}) - M_t(g_x)) - M_t(sgn(x - \cdot)) \right]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}_{\delta_0} \left[ \int_0^t \int \left( \frac{1}{h_n}(|x + h_n - y| - |x - y|) - sgn(x - y) \right)^2 X_t(dy)ds \right]
\]

\[
= \int_0^t ds \int p_s(y) \left( \frac{1}{h_n}(|x + h_n - y| - |x - y|) - sgn(x - y) \right)^2 dy \to 0.
\]

In the last equality we use \(\mathbb{E}_{\delta_0}X_t(dy) = p_t(y)dy\) from Lemma 2.2 of [KS88]. So every term, except the last, in (2.5) converges a.s. and hence the last term converges a.s. as well. Note we have shown that it converges in \(L^2\) to \(M_t(sgn(x - \cdot))\). Then it follows that the last term converges a.s. to \(M_t(sgn(x - \cdot))\) and so (2.4) follows from (2.5).  

Now we will turn to the proof of Theorem 1.1. By symmetry we can consider the case \(x > 0\). Since \(X_t(1) = 0\) for \(t = \zeta\), \(P_{\delta_0}\)-a.s., we use Proposition 2.2 with \(t = \zeta\) to see that for any \(x > 0\), with \(P_{\delta_0}\)-probability one we have

\[L'(x) := D_x L^x = -1 - \int_0^\infty sgn(x - z)M(dzds).
\]

Define \(N^{x,y}_t = \int_0^t (sgn(y - z) - sgn(x - z))M(dzds)\) for \(x, y > 0\) and \(t \geq 0\). Then we have

\[L'(x) - L'(y) = N^{x,y}_\infty = \int_0^\infty (sgn(y - z) - sgn(x - z))M(dzds), \quad (2.6)\]
and its quadratic variation is

\[ [N^{x,y}]_\infty = \int_0^\infty \int (\text{sgn}(y-z) - \text{sgn}(x-z))^2 X_s(dz)ds \]

\[ = \int (\text{sgn}(y-z) - \text{sgn}(x-z))^2 L^zdz = 4 \left| \int_x^y L^zdz \right|. \tag{2.7} \]

The second equality is by (1.2) and the last follows since \((\text{sgn}(y-z) - \text{sgn}(x-z))^2 \equiv 4\) for \(z\) between \(x\) and \(y\), and \(\equiv 0\) otherwise.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \(Z_N(\omega) = \{x > 0 : \mathbb{R} - 2^{-N} \leq x \leq \mathbb{R}\} \) for any positive integer \(N \geq 1\), \(\mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}\) a.s.. Let \(\xi_0 \in (0,3)\) satisfy

\[ \exists 1 \leq N_{\xi_0}(\omega) < \infty \text{ a.s. such that } \forall N \geq N_{\xi_0}, x \in Z_N, \]

\[ |y-x| \leq 2^{-N} \Rightarrow |L^x - L^y| \leq 2^{-\xi_0 N}. \tag{2.8} \]

Then for all \(0 < \xi_1 < (3 + \xi_0)/2\),

\[ \exists 1 \leq N_{\xi_1}(\omega) < \infty \text{ a.s. such that } \forall N \geq N_{\xi_1}, x \in Z_N, \]

\[ |y-x| \leq 2^{-N} \Rightarrow |L^x - L^y| \leq 2^{-\xi_1 N}. \tag{2.9} \]

**Proof.** Note that \(R \in Z_N\) for all \(N \geq 1\). By (2.8), we have

\[ |L^z| = |L^z - L^R| \leq 2^{-\xi_0 (N-1)}, \text{ if } z \in Z_{N-1}, N \geq N_{\xi_0} + 1. \tag{2.10} \]

Let \(N \geq N_{\xi_0} + 1\). For \(x \in Z_N\) and \(|y-x| \leq 2^{-N}\), we have \(y \in Z_{N-1}\) and \(z \in Z_{N-1}\) for any \(z\) between \(x\) and \(y\). Therefore (2.7) implies

\[ [N^{x,y}]_\infty = 4 \left| \int_x^y L^zdz \right| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{-\xi_0 (N-1)} |y-x| \leq 2^5 \cdot 2^{-\xi_0 N} |y-x|, \tag{2.11} \]

the first inequality by (2.10) with \(z \in Z_{N-1}\).

Pick \(1/4 < \eta < 1/2\) such that \(\eta(1+\xi_0)+1 > \xi_1\). By using the Dubins-Schwarz theorem (see [RY94], Theorem V1.6 and V1.7), with an enlargement of the underlying probability space, we can construct some Brownian motion \(B(t)\) in \(\mathbb{R}\) such that for \(x, y > 0\) and \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) fixed,

\[ \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}(|L^x - L^y| \geq 2^5 \cdot 2^{-\xi_0 N} |y-x|^\eta, x \in Z_N, |y-x| \leq 2^{-N}, N \geq N_{\xi_0} + 1) \]

\[ \leq P(\sup_{s \leq 2^5 \cdot 2^{-\xi_0 N} |y-x|} |B(s)| \geq 2^5 \cdot 2^{-\xi_0 N} |y-x|^\eta) \text{ (by 2.11)} \]

\[ \leq 2 \exp(-2^5 \cdot 2^{-\xi_0 N (1-2\eta)} |y-x|^{2\eta-1}). \tag{2.12} \]

For \(k \geq N\), define

\[ M_{k,N} = \max\{ |L'(\mathbb{R} - \frac{i+1}{2k}) - L'(\mathbb{R} - \frac{i}{2k})| : 0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-N} \}, \]

and

\[ A_N = \{ \omega : \exists k \geq N \text{ s.t. } M_{k,N} \geq 2^5 \cdot 2^{-\xi_0 N} \cdot 2^{-\eta k}, N \geq N_{\xi_0} + 1 \}. \]
Note for each \(0 \leq i < 2^{k-N}\), we have \(R - i2^{-k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N\). Let \(x = R - i2^{-k}\) and \(y = R - (i + 1)2^{-k}\) in (2.12). Then
\[
\Pr_{\delta_0}(|L'(R - \frac{i}{2^k}) - L'(R - \frac{i + 1}{2^k})| \geq 2^{5} \cdot 2^{-\eta c_0 N}2^{-\eta k}) \leq 2 \exp(-2^{5} \cdot 2^{\xi_0 N(1-2\eta)}2^{k(1-2\eta)})
\]
and hence
\[
\Pr_{\delta_0}(\bigcup_{N' = N} A_{N'}) \leq \sum_{N' = N} \sum_{k = N'}^{\infty} (2^{k-N'} + 1)2 \exp(-2^{5} \cdot 2^{\xi_0 N(1-2\eta)}2^{k(1-2\eta)}) \leq c_0 \exp(-c_1 2^{N(1+\xi_0)(1-2\eta)})
\]
for some constants \(c_0, c_1 > 0\). Let
\[
N_1 = \min\{N \in \mathbb{N} : \omega \in \bigcap_{N' = N} A_{N'}^c\}.
\]
The above implies
\[
\Pr_{\delta_0}(N_1 > N) = P(\bigcup_{N' = N} A_{N'}) \leq c_0 \exp(-c_1 2^{N(1+\xi_0)(1-2\eta)}),
\]
and so \(N_1\) is an a.s. finite random variable. Define
\[
N_{\xi_1} = N_1 \lor \frac{12}{\eta(1 + \xi_0) + 1 - \xi_1} \lor 1.
\]
For all \(N \geq N_{\xi_1}\), \(k \geq N\), \(x \in \mathbb{Z}_N\) and \(|y - x| \leq 2^{-N}\), let \(x_k = R - [2^k(R - x)]2^{-k} \downarrow x\) and
\(y_k = R - [2^k(R - y)]2^{-k} \downarrow y\). Then \(|x_k - x_{k+1}| \leq 2^{-(k+1)}\) and \(|y_k - y_{k+1}| \leq 2^{-(k+1)}\). Note \(x_N, y_N \in \{R, R - 2^{-N}, R - 2^{1-N}\}\) and \(|x_N - y_N| \leq 2^{-N}\) since \(|y - x| \leq 2^{-N}\). The continuity of \(L'(x)\) gives
\[
L'(x) = -L'(x_N) + \sum_{k = N}^{\infty} (L'(x_k) - L'(x_{k+1}))
\]
and
\[
L'(y) = -L'(y_N) + \sum_{k = N}^{\infty} (L'(y_k) - L'(y_{k+1})).
\]
So
\[
|L'(x) - L'(y)| \leq |L'(x_N) - L'(y_N)| + \sum_{k = N+1}^{\infty} \left( |L'(x_k) - L'(x_{k+1})| + |L'(y_k) - L'(y_{k+1})| \right)
\]
\[
\leq M_{N,N} + \sum_{k = N}^{\infty} 2M_{k+1,N} \leq 2^{5} \cdot 2^{-\eta c_0 N}2^{-\eta N} + 2 \sum_{k = N+1}^{\infty} 2^{5} \cdot 2^{-\eta c_0 N}2^{-\eta(k+1)}
\]
\[
\leq 2^{10} \cdot 2^{-\eta N(\xi_0 + 1)}.
\]
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Let \( x = z \in Z_N \) and \( y = R \) in above. Then use \( L'(R) = 0 \) to see that
\[
|L'(z)| \leq 2^{10} \cdot 2^{-N\eta(1+\xi_0)}, \quad \forall z \in Z_N, N \geq N_{\xi_1}.
\] (2.13)

Let \( N \geq N_{\xi_1} + 1 \). For \( x \in Z_N \) and \( |y - x| \leq 2^{-N} \), we have \( y \in Z_{N-1} \) and \( z \in Z_{N-1} \) for any \( z \) between \( x \) and \( y \). Use (2.13) to get
\[
|L(y) - L(x)| = |L'(z)||y - x| \leq 2^{10} \cdot 2^{-(N-1)\eta(1+\xi_0)}2^{-N} \leq 2^{-\xi_1 N},
\]
the last by \( N > N_{\xi_1} > 12/(\eta(1+\xi_0) + 1 - \xi_1) \).

Theorem 1.1 follows from the following corollary of the above result.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \( \gamma \in (0,3) \). Then \( \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0} \)-a.s. there is a random variable \( \delta(\gamma, \omega) > 0 \) such that for any \( 0 < R - x < \delta \), we have \( L^x \leq 2^\gamma(R - x)^\gamma \).

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.2 in [MP17], for any \( 0 < \xi_0 < 1 \), with \( \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0} \)-probability one, there is some \( 0 < \rho(\omega) \leq 1 \) such that
\[
|L^y - L^x| < |y - x|^{\xi_0}, \quad \text{for } x, y > 0 \text{ with } |y - x| < \rho.
\] (2.14)

Note we may set \( \xi_0 = 0 \) in Theorem 2.2 of [MP17] due to the global continuity of \( L^x \) in \( d = 1 \). Pick \( \xi_0 = 1/2 \), then (2.8) in Theorem 2.3 holds for \( N \geq N_{\xi_0}(\omega) = 1 \log_2(\rho(\omega)^{-1}) \). Inductively, define \( \xi_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(3 + \xi_n)(1 - \frac{1}{n+2}) \) so that \( \xi_{n+1} \uparrow 3 \). Pick \( n_0 \) such that \( \xi_{n_0} \geq \gamma > \xi_{n_0-1} \). Apply Theorem 2.3 inductively \( n_0 \) times to get (2.8) for \( \xi_0 = \xi_{n_0-1} \) and hence, (2.9) with \( \xi_1 = \xi_{n_0} \).

Consider \( 0 < R - x \leq 2^{-N_{\xi_{n_0}}} \). Choose \( N \geq N_{\xi_{n_0}} \) such that \( 2^{-N_{\xi_{n_0}}} < R - x \leq 2^{-N} \). Then \( x \in Z_N \) and (2.9) with \( \xi_1 = \xi_{n_0} \) implies
\[
|L^x| = |L^x - L^R| \leq 2^{-N_{\xi_{n_0}}} \leq 2^{-N\gamma} \leq (2(R - x))^\gamma \leq 2^\gamma(R - x)^\gamma.
\] (2.15)

The proof is completed by choosing \( \delta = 2^{-N_{\xi_{n_0}}} > 0 \).

\section{Lower bound of the local time near the boundary}

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We work with a one-dimensional super-Brownian motion \( X \) with initial condition \( y_0 \delta_0 \). For \( r > 0 \), let \( Y^r \) denote the exit measure from \((-\infty, r)\) and set \( Y_0 = y_0 \) (see Chp V of [Leg99a] for the construction of the exit measure). Then Proposition 4.1 of [MP17] implies under \( \mathbb{P}_{y_0 \delta_0} \), there is a cadlag version of \( Y \) which is a stable continuous state branching process (SCSBP) starting at \( y_0 \) with parameter \( 3/2 \), and so is an \( \mathcal{F}_t^Y \)-martingale with \( \mathcal{F}_t^Y = \sigma(Y^r, s \leq r) \) (see Section II.1 of [Leg99a] for the definition of (SCSBP)). In particular (4.6) in [MP17] gives
\[
\mathbb{E}_{y_0 \delta_0}(\exp(-\lambda Y_r)) = \exp(-6y_0(r + \sqrt{6}/\lambda)^{-2}).
\]

Let \( \lambda \uparrow \infty \), we have
\[
\mathbb{P}_{y_0 \delta_0}(Y_r = 0) = \exp(-6y_0r^{-2}).
\] (3.1)
Let \( R_n = \inf\{ r \geq 0 : Y_r \leq 2^{-n} \} \uparrow \rho = \inf\{ r \geq 0 : Y_r = 0 \} \) as \( n \to \infty \). Note the \( \rho \) defined here will give the same \( \rho \) in Theorem \ref{MP17}. By repeating the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.7 in \cite{MP17}, for any \( \beta > 3/2 \), we have

\[
w.p.1 \, \exists N_0(\omega) < \infty, \text{ so that } \inf_{0 < x < R_n} L^x > 2^{-n/\beta}, \, \forall n > N_0. \tag{3.2}\]

Note again we may set \( \varepsilon_0 = 0 \) in Theorem 2.2 of \cite{MP17} due to the global continuity of \( L^\cdot \) in \( d = 1 \) to get the above. The definition of \( R_n \) implies \( Y(R_n) = 2^{-n}, \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}\text{-a.s. as } Y_r \) is a SCBPE and hence it only has positive jumps, i.e. it is spectrally positive (see \cite{CLB09}). So for any \( 0 < \xi < 1/2 \), recalling that the non-negative martingale \( Y \) stops at 0 when it hits 0 at time \( R \), we see that

\[
\mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}(|R_n - R| > (2^{-n})^\xi) = \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}(R > R_n + (2^{-n})^\xi) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}(Y_{R_n + 2^{-n}t} > 0) \\
= \mathbb{E}_{\delta_0}(\mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}(Y_{R_n + 2^{-n}t} > 0|F_{R_n})) = \mathbb{E}_{\delta_0}(\mathbb{P}_{\delta_0}(Y_{2^{-n}t} > 0)) \\
= \mathbb{E}_{\delta_0}(1 - \exp(-6Y_{2\beta})) \\
\leq \mathbb{E}_{\delta_0}(6Y_{2\beta}) = 6(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon})^{1-2\xi},
\]

where the second line holds by the strong Markov property of \( Y_r \), and the third line uses (3.1). By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, w.p.1 there is some \( N_1(\omega) < \infty \) such that

\[
|R_n - R| \leq (\frac{1}{2\varepsilon})^\xi, \, \forall n \geq N_1. \tag{3.3}\]

For any fixed \( \gamma > 3 \), pick \( 0 < \xi < 1/2 \) such that \( \gamma \xi > 3/2 \). Let \( \beta = \gamma \xi > 3/2 \) in (3.2) and define \( N(\omega) = N_0(\omega) \lor N_1(\omega) < \infty \). Then it follows from (3.3) that

\[
|R_n - R| \gamma \leq (\frac{1}{2\varepsilon})^{\gamma \xi}, \, \forall n \geq N \geq N_1. \tag{3.4}\]

For all \( R_N \leq x < R \), there is some \( n \geq N \) such that \( R_n \leq x < R_{n+1} \). Now use (3.2) with \( n \geq N \geq (N_0 \lor N_1) \) to get

\[
|L^x - L^R| = L^y \geq \inf_{0 < y < R_{n+1}} L^y > 2^{-\gamma(n+1)} \geq 2^{-\gamma/2}(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon})^{\gamma \xi} \geq 2^{-\gamma/2}|R_n - R| \gamma \geq 2^{-\gamma/2}|x - R| \gamma,
\]

where the second last inequality is by (3.4). The proof is completed by choosing \( \delta = R - R_N > 0 \). \( \blacksquare \)

4 The case under canonical measure

In this paper we use Le Gall’s Brownian snake approach to study super-Brownian motion under the canonical measure. Define \( \mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} C([0, t], \mathbb{R}^d) \), equipped with the metric given in Chp IV.1 of \cite{Leg99a}, and denote by \( \zeta(w) = t \) the lifetime of \( w \in C([0, t], \mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{W} \). The Brownian snake \( W = (W_t, t \geq 0) \) constructed in Ch. IV of \cite{Leg99a} is a \( \mathcal{W}\)-valued continuous strong Markov process and we denote by \( N_{x_0} \) the excursion measure of \( W \) away from the
trivial path $x_0$ for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with zero lifetime. The connection of Brownian snake $W$ to super-Brownian motion $X$ is established in Theorem IV.4 of [Leg99a]. For our purpose it suffices to note that if $\Xi = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{W_i}$ is a Poisson point process on the space of continuous $W$-valued paths with intensity $\mathbb{N}_{x_0}(dW)$, then

$$X_t(W) = \sum_{i \in I} X_t(W_i) = \int X_t(W) \Xi(dW), \quad t > 0,$$

has the law, $\mathbb{P}_{x_0}$, of a super-Brownian motion $X$ starting from $\delta_{x_0}$. Compared to (1.3), (2.19) of [MP17] implies that the local time $L^x$ may also be decomposed as

$$L^x(W) = \sum_{i \in I} L^x(W_i) = \int L^x(W) \Xi(dW). \quad (4.1)$$

Under the excursion measure $\mathbb{N}_{x_0}$, let $\sigma(W) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \zeta_t = 0\} > 0$ be the length of the excursion path where $\zeta_t = \zeta_t(W)$ is the life time of $W_t$ and $\hat{W}_t = W_t(\zeta_t)$ be the “tip” of the snake at time $t$. Then (2.20) of [MP17] implies that for any measurable function $\phi \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty X_s(\phi)ds = \int L^x \phi(x)dx = \int_0^\sigma \phi(\hat{W}_s)ds. \quad (4.2)$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let $R = \sup\{x \geq 0 : L^x > 0\}$ and $L = \inf\{x \leq 0 : L^x > 0\}$. First we show that $L^0 > 0$, $\mathbb{N}_0$-a.e., and then by Theorem 1.1 of [Hong17], the continuity of local times under $\mathbb{N}_0$ in $d = 1$ would imply that $L < 0 < R$, $\mathbb{N}_0$-a.e.

Define the occupation measure $\mathcal{Z}$ by $\mathcal{Z}(A) = \int_0^\sigma 1_A(\hat{W}_s)ds$ for all Borel measurable set $A$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Then (1.2) implies that under $\mathbb{N}_{x_0}$, the local time $L^x$ coincides with the density function of the occupation measure $\mathcal{Z}$, which we denote by $L^x(\mathcal{Z})$. By the Palm measure formula for $\mathcal{Z}$ (see Proposition 16.2.1 of [Leg99b]) with $F(y, \mathcal{Z}) = \exp(-\lambda L^0(\mathcal{Z}))$ for any $\lambda > 0$, we see that

$$\mathbb{N}_0\left(\mathcal{Z}(1)1(L^0 = 0)\right) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathbb{N}_0\left(\mathcal{Z}(1) \exp(-\lambda L^0(\mathcal{Z}))\right) \quad (4.3)$$

$$= \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_0^\infty da \int P_0^a(dw) E^{(w)} \left( \exp(-\lambda \int L^0(\mathcal{Z}(\omega))N(dtd\omega)) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_0^\infty da \int P_0^a(dw) \exp \left( - \int_0^{\zeta(w)} N_{w(t)} \left( 1 - \exp(-\lambda L^0) \right) dt \right)$$

where $P_0^a$ is the law of Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}$ started at $0$ and stopped at time $a$ and for each $w$ under $P_0^a$, the probability measure $P^{(w)}$ is defined on an auxiliary probability space and such that under $P^{(w)}$, $N(dtd\omega)$ is a Poisson point measure with intensity $1_{[0,\zeta(w)]}(t)dtN_{w(t)}(d\omega)$.

Note here we have taken our branching rate for $X$ to be one and so our constants will differ from those in [Leg99b]. For each $w$ under $P_0^a$, we have $\zeta(w) = a$. Therefore the left-hand side of (4.3) is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty da \int P_0^a(dw) \exp \left( - \int_0^{a} N_{w(t)}(L^0 > 0)dt \right) = \int_0^\infty da \int P_0^a(dw) \exp \left( - \int_0^{a} \frac{6}{|w(t)|^2} dt \right),$$
Now we will show that $L^x$ is strictly positive on $(L, R)$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $L = (L^x, x > \varepsilon)$. Note that $R \leq \varepsilon$ implies $L^x \equiv 0$ for all $x > \varepsilon$ by definition. Then the canonical decomposition (4.1) implies that under $P_{\delta_0}$, $(L, N_\varepsilon)$ is equal in law to $(\sum_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} L_i, N_\varepsilon)$, where $N_\varepsilon$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter $N_0(0 > \varepsilon) < \infty$ and given $N_\varepsilon$, $(L_i = (L^x_i, x > \varepsilon))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. with law $N_0(L \in \cdot | R > \varepsilon)$. Theorem A implies that

$$0 = P_{\delta_0}(N_\varepsilon = 1; \exists \varepsilon < x < R, L^x = 0) = P_{\delta_0}(N_\varepsilon = 1)N_0(\exists \varepsilon < x < R, L^x = 0 | R > \varepsilon).$$

Therefore we have $N_0(\exists \varepsilon < x < R, L^x = 0; R > \varepsilon) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to see that $N_0(\exists 0 < x < R, L^x = 0; R > 0) = 0$. Since $R > 0$, $N_0$-a.e., we have $L^x > 0$, $\forall 0 < x < R$, $N_0$-a.e.. Use symmetry to conclude for $L$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $L = (L^x, x > \varepsilon)$. Use the same canonical decomposition above to see that under $P_{\delta_0}$, $(L, N_\varepsilon)$ is equal in law to $(\sum_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} L_i, N_\varepsilon)$, where $N_\varepsilon$ and $(L_i = (L^x_i, x > \varepsilon))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are as above. For any $\gamma \in (0, 3)$, use Corollary 2.4 to see that

$$0 = P_{\delta_0}(N_\varepsilon = 1; \exists x_n > \varepsilon, x_n \uparrow R, s.t. L^x > 2\gamma(R-x_n)^\gamma \text{ i.o.})$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{\delta_0}(N_\varepsilon = 1)N_0(\exists x_n > \varepsilon, x_n \uparrow R, s.t. L^x > 2\gamma(R-x_n)^\gamma \text{ i.o. | R > \varepsilon}),$$

where i.o. represents infinitely often. Therefore we have $N_0(\exists x_n > \varepsilon, x_n \uparrow R, s.t. L^x > 2\gamma(R-x_n)^\gamma \text{ i.o. | R > \varepsilon}) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to see that $N_0(\exists x_n > \varepsilon, x_n \uparrow R, s.t. L^x > 2\gamma(R-x_n)^\gamma \text{ i.o. | R > 0}) = 0$. Since $R > 0$, $N_0$-a.e., we have $N_0$-a.e. that $\exists \delta > 0$, s.t. $\forall 0 < R - x < \delta$, $L^x \leq 2\gamma(R-x)^\gamma$. Use symmetry to conclude for $L$ and hence Theorem 1.4 holds if $P_{\delta_0}$ is replaced with $N_0$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 under $N_0$ follows by similar arguments and Corollary 1.3 under $N_0$ follows immediately from Theorem 1.2 under $N_0$.
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