

Existence of coated inclusions of general shape weakly neutral to multiple fields in two dimensions*

Hyeonbae Kang[†] Xiaofei Li[†] Shigeru Sakaguchi[‡]

February 11, 2022

Abstract

A two dimensional inclusion of core-shell structure is neutral to multiple uniform fields if and only if the core and the shell are concentric disks, provided that the conductivity of the matrix is isotropic. An inclusion is said to be neutral if upon its insertion the uniform field is not perturbed at all. In this paper we consider inclusions of core-shell structure of general shape which are weakly neutral to multiple uniform fields. An inclusion is said to be weakly neutral if the field perturbation is mild. We show, by an implicit function theorem, that if the core is a small perturbation of a disk then we can coat it by a shell so that the resulting structure becomes weakly neutral to multiple uniform fields.

1 Introduction

Let D and Ω be bounded simply connected domains in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $\overline{D} \subset \Omega$. We regard (Ω, D) as an inclusion of the core-shell structure where the core D is coated by the shell $\Omega \setminus D$. We then consider the following problem, which can be viewed as a conductivity problem or an anti-plane elasticity problem:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u(x) - a \cdot x = O(|x|^{-1}) & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where a is a unit vector representing the background uniform field and σ is a piecewise constant function defined by

$$\sigma = \sigma_c \chi(D) + \sigma_s \chi(\Omega \setminus D) + \sigma_m \chi(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega). \quad (1.2)$$

Here χ denotes the characteristic function. The function σ represents the conductivity distribution where the conductivities σ_c (of the core), σ_s (shell) and σ_m (matrix) are assumed to be isotropic (scalar).

In absence of the inclusion (Ω, D) the conductivity σ is the constant σ_m in \mathbb{R}^2 . So, the equation in (1.1) is just the harmonic equation ($\Delta u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2) and the solution is $u(x) = a \cdot x$. Thus the field is uniform, i.e., $\nabla u = a$. Therefore, $\nabla(u(x) - a \cdot x)$ in presence of (Ω, D) can be viewed as the perturbation of the uniform field by insertion of inclusion.

*This work was supported by NRF grants No. 2016R1A2B4011304 and 2017R1A4A1014735, JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP16K13768 and by A3 Foresight Program among China (NSF), Japan (JSPS), and Korea (NRF 2014K2A2A6000567)

[†]Department of Mathematics and Institute of Applied Mathematics, Inha University, Incheon 22212, S. Korea (hbkang@inha.ac.kr, xiaofeilee@hotmail.com).

[‡]Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8579, Japan (sigersak@tohoku.ac.jp).

Upon insertion of an inclusion the field is perturbed in general. However, there are inclusions of core-shell structure such that the field is not perturbed at all. If D and Ω are two concentric disks in two dimensions, say $D = \{|x| < r_i\}$ and $\Omega = \{|x| < r_e\}$, and the following relation holds:

$$(\sigma_s + \sigma_c)(\sigma_m - \sigma_s) + \rho^2(\sigma_s - \sigma_c)(\sigma_m + \sigma_s) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\rho = r_i/r_e$, then the solution u to (1.1) satisfies

$$u(x) - a \cdot x \equiv 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

in other words, the uniform field $-\nabla(a \cdot x)$ is *not* perturbed at all outside Ω . We emphasize that (1.3) has a solution ρ^2 only when

$$0 < \frac{(\sigma_s + \sigma_c)(\sigma_s - \sigma_m)}{(\sigma_s - \sigma_c)(\sigma_m + \sigma_s)} < 1. \quad (1.5)$$

But, it is proved that if the neutrality condition (1.4) is fulfilled for some coated structure (Ω, D) , then Ω and D are concentric disks in two dimensions [11, 18], and concentric balls in three dimensions [12], provided that σ_m is isotropic. It is also proved in [11, 18] that they are confocal ellipses if σ_m is anisotropic (while σ_c and σ_s are isotropic). It is an open problem to extend this result to three dimensions. We refer to [12] for a discussion on this problem: an over-determined problem for confocal ellipsoids and a formulation of the problem in terms of Newtonian potentials.

Since the neutral inclusion cannot take an arbitrary shape, we consider a notion of neutrality weaker than (1.4). The inclusion (Ω, D) , the core coated by the shell, is said to be weakly neutral to multiple uniform fields if the solution u to (1.1) satisfies

$$u(x) - a \cdot x = O(|x|^{-2}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.6)$$

for all unit vector a . While (1.1) requires $u(x) - a \cdot x = O(|x|^{-1})$ at ∞ , the neutrality requires $u(x) - a \cdot x \equiv 0$ outside Ω . Therefore, the weak neutrality condition (1.6) is in between them: unlike the neutral inclusion, the weakly neutral inclusion does perturb the uniform field, but only mildly.

The weakly neutral inclusion is also called the polarization tensor vanishing structure. In fact, the solution u to (1.1) admits the following dipole asymptotic expansion:

$$u(x) - a \cdot x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\langle Ma, x \rangle}{|x|^2} + O(|x|^{-2}), \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.7)$$

where M is a 2×2 matrix called the polarization tensor (abbreviated by PT), which is determined by (Ω, D) and conductivities $(\sigma_c, \sigma_s, \sigma_m)$ (see, for example, [2, 17]). One can see easily that (1.6) is satisfied if and only if the PT M vanishes. We emphasize that the weakly neutral inclusion cannot be constructed without the shell. In fact, if the shell $\Omega \setminus D$ is empty, then the PT M is either positive-definite or negative-definite depending on the sign of $\sigma_m - \sigma_c$ (see [2]).

We now formulate the problem:

Weakly neutral inclusion problem. *Given a domain D of arbitrary shape find a shell Ω so that the resulting inclusion (Ω, D) is weakly neutral to multiple uniform fields, or equivalently the corresponding PT $M = M(\Omega, D)$ vanishes.*

Since insertion of neutral inclusions does not perturb the outside uniform field, the effective conductivity of the assemblage filled with such inclusions of many different scales is σ_m satisfying (1.3). This discovery made by Hashin and Shtrikman [9, 10] has a significant implication in the

theory of composites for which we refer to [17]. Since the leading order term of the low volume expansion for the effective conductivity of the dilute composite is expressed by the PT (see [6] and references therein), the weakly neutral inclusion is also related to the theory of composites. Neutral inclusions are also closely related to imaging and invisibility cloaking. Neutrality (1.4) and weak neutrality (1.6) mean that the neutral inclusions cannot be probed by the uniform fields while the weakly neutral inclusion can be vaguely seen. The neutral inclusions are also closely related to imaging and invisibility cloaking by transformation optics. It is shown in [19] that perfect cloaking is achieved by transforming a punctured disk (sphere) to an annulus (the same transformation was used to show non-uniqueness of the Calderón's problem in [7]). It is then shown in [15] that if we transform a disk with a small hole instead of the punctured disk (which is to avoid singularities of the conductivity), we achieve near-cloaking instead of perfect cloaking. If we coat the small hole by another disk so that the coated structure becomes neutral, and then transform it to an annulus, then near-cloaking is dramatically enhanced [3]. See also [1, 4, 5, 14] for further developments to Helmholtz and Maxwell's equations.

In this paper we consider weakly neutral inclusions of general shape. We mention that the study on weakly neutral inclusions is in its early stage. There is a numerical study on this problem [8], but not a single weakly neutral inclusion other than concentric disks is known. We don't even know how to coat ellipses to achieve weakly neutral inclusions. The purpose of this paper is to show that weakly neutral inclusions of general shape do exist. In fact, we show that if the D is a small perturbation of a disk, then there is a shell Ω such that the resulting inclusion (Ω, D) is weakly neutral to uniform fields.

To present the main result of this paper in a precise manner, let $W^{2,\infty}(T)$ be the collection of all functions f on the unit circle T such that

$$\|f\|_{2,\infty} := \|f\|_{\infty} + \|f'\|_{\infty} + \|f''\|_{\infty} < \infty,$$

where T is parametrized as

$$T = \{(\cos \eta, \sin \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \eta \in [0, 2\pi)\}.$$

Denote by $\delta = \delta(\eta, \theta)$ the intrinsic distance between the two points $(\cos \eta, \sin \eta), (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ in T , that is,

$$\delta(\eta, \theta) = \min\{|\eta - \theta|, 2\pi + (\eta - \theta), 2\pi - (\eta - \theta)\} \in [0, \pi]. \quad (1.8)$$

The extrinsic distance between the two points in \mathbb{R}^2 is given by

$$|(\cos \eta, \sin \eta) - (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)| = \sqrt{2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}. \quad (1.9)$$

These two distances are comparable as

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \delta(\eta, \theta) \leq \sqrt{2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))} \leq \delta(\eta, \theta). \quad (1.10)$$

Here and throughout this paper $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the usual L^p norm. Let $D_0 := \{|x| < r_i\}$ for some radius r_i , and let D_h be the perturbation of D_0 whose boundary is given by

$$\partial D_h = \{x \mid x = (r_i + h(\hat{x}))\hat{x}, \quad |\hat{x}| = 1\}. \quad (1.11)$$

The perturbation function h belongs to $W^{2,\infty}(T)$.

To find the shell, we choose r_e so that r_i and r_e satisfy (1.3) for given conductivities σ_c, σ_s and σ_m satisfying (1.5), and let $\Omega_0 := \{|x| < r_e\}$. Then (Ω_0, D_0) is neutral. For $b \in W^{2,\infty}(T)$, we define Ω_b as a perturbation of Ω_0 by

$$\partial \Omega_b = \{x \mid x = (r_e + b(\hat{x}))\hat{x}, \quad |\hat{x}| = 1\}. \quad (1.12)$$

However, for Ω_b we restrict ourselves to the three dimensional subspace, denoted by W_3 , spanned by $\{1, \cos 2\theta, \sin 2\theta\}$. We then identify $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$b(\theta) = b(\hat{x}) = b_1 + b_2 \cos 2\theta + b_3 \sin 2\theta,$$

where $\hat{x} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. Note that we use notation b for elements of both \mathbb{R}^3 and W_3 , but it does not cause any confusion.

If h and b are sufficiently small, then $D_h \subset \Omega_b$ and hence the PT corresponding to (Ω_b, D_h) , which we denote by $M = M(h, b)$, is well-defined. The following is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each $h \in W^{2,\infty}(T)$ with $\|h\|_{2,\infty} < \epsilon$ there is $b = b(h) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that*

$$M(h, b(h)) = 0, \tag{1.13}$$

namely, the inclusion $(\Omega_{b(h)}, D_h)$ of the core-shell structure is weakly neutral to multiple uniform fields. The mapping $h \mapsto b(h)$ is continuous.

Theorem 1.1 shows that domains D_h which are local perturbations of a disk can be coated by domains of the form Ω_b so that the resulting inclusions become weakly neutral. The result is rather surprising since the shell is defined only by three bases, namely, $1, \cos 2\theta$ and $\sin 2\theta$. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first result to show existence of weakly neutral inclusions of general shape other than disks. On the other hand, this is an existence proof, and we do not know how to find b to define the shell Ω_b . In this regards, it is worth mentioning that there is yet other way of achieving weakly neutral inclusions: by introducing an imperfect parameter on the boundary ∂D . We refer to the very recent work [13] for construction of the imperfect parameter to achieve weakly neutral inclusions of arbitrary shape.

By switching roles of h and b , one can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each $h \in W^{2,\infty}(T)$ with $\|h\|_{2,\infty} < \epsilon$ there is $b = b(h) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the inclusion $(\Omega_h, D_{b(h)})$ is weakly neutral to multiple uniform fields.*

Let us briefly describe how we prove Theorem 1.1. It is known that $M(h, b)$ is a 2×2 symmetric matrix, i.e., it is of the form

$$M(h, b) = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}(h, b) & m_{12}(h, b) \\ m_{21}(h, b) & m_{22}(h, b) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.14}$$

By identifying M with (m_{11}, m_{22}, m_{12}) , we may regard it as a function from $U \times V$ into \mathbb{R}^3 , where U is a small neighborhood of 0 in $W^{2,\infty}(T)$ and V is a small neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e.,

$$M : U \times V \subset W^{2,\infty}(T) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Moreover, since (Ω_0, D_0) is neutral to multiple fields, we have $M(0, 0) = 0$. We then show

$$\frac{\partial(m_{11}, m_{22}, m_{12})}{\partial(b_1, b_2, b_3)}(0, 0) \neq 0. \tag{1.15}$$

Then, Theorem 1.1 follows from the implicit function theorem in the following form [16]:

Theorem 1.3 (The implicit function theorem). *Let X be a Banach space. Let $U \times V$ be an open subset of $X \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Suppose that*

$$F = (F_1, F_2, F_3) : (x, y) \in U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

is continuous and has the property that the derivative of F with respect to y exists and is continuous at each point of $U \times V$. Further assume that at point $(x_0, y_0) \in U \times V$,

$$F(x_0, y_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exist neighborhood $N_1 \subset U$ of x_0 and neighborhood $N_2 \subset V$ of y_0 such that, for each x in N_1 , there is a unique $y \in N_2$ satisfying

$$F(x, y) = 0.$$

The function \hat{y} , thereby uniquely defined near x_0 by the condition $\hat{y}(x) = y$, is continuous.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the definition of the PT in terms of a system of integral equations. In section 3, we consider stability of the system of integral equations. Theorem 1.1 is proved in section 4.

2 Preliminary: layer potentials and PT

In this section we review basic properties of the PT and the related system of integral equations.

Let

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x|,$$

the fundamental solution to the Laplacian in two dimensions. For a bounded simple closed Lipschitz continuous curve Γ , the single and double layer potentials of a function $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\Gamma[\varphi](x) &:= \int_\Gamma G(x-y)\varphi(y) ds(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_\Gamma[\varphi](x) &:= \int_\Gamma \partial_{\nu_y} G(x-y)\varphi(y) ds(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where ∂_{ν_y} denotes the outward normal derivative with respect to y -variables. It is well known (see, for example, [2]) that the following jump relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_\Gamma[\varphi](x)|_\pm &= (\pm \frac{1}{2}I + \mathcal{K}_\Gamma^*)[\varphi](x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Gamma, \\ \mathcal{D}_\Gamma[\varphi](x)|_\pm &= (\mp \frac{1}{2}I + \mathcal{K}_\Gamma)[\varphi](x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where the operator \mathcal{K}_Γ on Γ is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_\Gamma[\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{\langle y-x, \nu(y) \rangle}{|x-y|^2} \varphi(y) ds(y),$$

and \mathcal{K}_Γ^* is the L^2 -adjoint of \mathcal{K}_Γ , namely,

$$\mathcal{K}_\Gamma^*[\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{\langle x-y, \nu(x) \rangle}{|x-y|^2} \varphi(y) ds(y).$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^2 and subscripts \pm denote the limit from outside and inside Γ , respectively. Set

$$L_0^2(\Gamma) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\Gamma) \mid \int_\Gamma \varphi ds = 0 \right\}.$$

Let Ω and D be two bounded simply connected planar domains such that $\overline{D} \subset \Omega$, whose boundaries are assumed to be Lipschitz continuous. We consider the problem (1.1) when $a = (1, 0)$ and $a = (0, 1)$, whose solution is denoted by u_1 and u_2 , respectively. It is known (see, for example [2]) that u_l , $l = 1, 2$, can be represented as

$$u_l(x) = x_l + \mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi_1^{(l)}](x) + \mathcal{S}_{\partial \Omega}[\varphi_2^{(l)}](x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $(\varphi_1^{(l)}, \varphi_2^{(l)}) \in L_0^2(\partial D) \times L_0^2(\partial \Omega)$ is the unique solution to the integral equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\lambda I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial D}^* & \partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial \Omega} \\ \partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial D} & -\mu I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial \Omega}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{(l)} \\ \varphi_2^{(l)} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{\partial D}^l \\ \nu_{\partial \Omega}^l \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\nu_{\partial D}^l$ is the l -th component of the outward unit normal vector $\nu_{\partial D}$ to ∂D , and $\nu_{\partial \Omega}^l$ is defined likewise. Here the numbers λ and μ are given by

$$\lambda = \frac{\sigma_c + \sigma_s}{2(\sigma_c - \sigma_s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{\sigma_s + \sigma_m}{2(\sigma_s - \sigma_m)}. \quad (2.2)$$

We emphasize that in the expression of the operator $\partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial \Omega}$ appearing in (2.1) ∂_ν denotes the normal derivative on ∂D . Thus $\partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial \Omega}$ is a compact operator from $L_0^2(\partial \Omega)$ into $L_0^2(\partial D)$. Likewise, $\partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial D}$ is a compact operator from $L_0^2(\partial D)$ into $L_0^2(\partial \Omega)$. So the operator in (2.1) is a compact perturbation of the operator

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\lambda I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial D}^* & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial \Omega}^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\sigma_c, \sigma_s, \sigma_m > 0$, we have $|\lambda|, |\mu| > 1/2$, and hence the operator above is invertible on $L_0^2(\partial D) \times L_0^2(\partial \Omega)$.

The PT $M = M(\Omega, D) = (m_{ll'})_{l, l'=1}^2$ of the core-shell structure (Ω, D) is defined by

$$m_{ll'} = \int_{\partial D} x_{l'} \varphi_1^{(l)} ds + \int_{\partial \Omega} x_{l'} \varphi_2^{(l)} ds, \quad l, l' = 1, 2. \quad (2.3)$$

The far-field expansion (1.7) of the solution u to (1.1) holds with this PT. It is known that M is a symmetric matrix.

3 Stability properties of the integral equations

We consider the system of integral equations (2.1) when $D = D_h$ and $\Omega = \Omega_b$ where D_h and Ω_b are defined by (1.11) and (1.12), respectively:

$$\begin{cases} (-\lambda I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial D_h}^*) [\varphi_1] + \partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial \Omega_b} [\varphi_2] = \psi_1 & \text{on } \partial D_h, \\ \partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial D_h} [\varphi_1] + (-\mu I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial \Omega_b}^*) [\varphi_2] = \psi_2 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_b, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

on $L_0^2(\partial D_h) \times L_0^2(\partial \Omega_b)$. This system of equations admits a unique solution and there is a constant $C = C(h, b)$ such that

$$\|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(\partial D_h)} + \|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(\partial \Omega_b)} \leq C(\|\psi_1\|_{L^2(\partial D_h)} + \|\psi_2\|_{L^2(\partial \Omega_b)}). \quad (3.2)$$

We now transform (3.1) to a system of integral equations on $L_0^2(T)^2$ which is the collection of square integrable functions on the unit circle with the mean zero. Recall that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\partial D_h}^* [\varphi_1](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_h} \frac{\langle x - y, \nu(x) \rangle}{|x - y|^2} \varphi_1(y) ds(y), \quad x \in \partial D_h.$$

Any point $x \in \partial D_h$ can be written as

$$x = x_{i,h}(\eta) := (r_i + h(\eta))(\cos \eta, \sin \eta), \quad \eta \in [0, 2\pi). \quad (3.3)$$

Then the normal vector $\nu(x_{i,h}(\eta)) =: \nu_{i,h}(\eta)$ on ∂D_h is given by

$$\nu_{i,h}(\eta) J_{i,h}(\eta) = ((r_i + h(\eta)) \cos \eta + h'(\eta) \sin \eta, (r_i + h(\eta)) \sin \eta - h'(\eta) \cos \eta), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$J_{i,h}(\eta) := \sqrt{(r_i + h(\eta))^2 + h'(\eta)^2}.$$

By substituting $y = x_{i,h}(\theta)$ we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{\partial D_h}^*[\varphi_1](x_{i,h}(\eta)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\langle x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta), \nu_{i,h}(\eta) \rangle}{|x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta)|^2} \varphi_1(x_{i,h}(\theta)) J_{i,h}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Let

$$f_1(\theta) := \varphi_1(x_{i,h}(\theta)) J_{i,h}(\theta),$$

and define the operator $A(h)$ on $L^2(T)$ by

$$A(h)[f_1](\eta) := \int_0^{2\pi} A_h(\eta, \theta) f_1(\theta) d\theta,$$

where the integral kernel $A_h(\eta, \theta)$ is given by

$$A_h(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\langle x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta), \nu_{i,h}(\eta) \rangle}{|x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta)|^2} J_{i,h}(\eta).$$

Then the following relation holds:

$$A(h)[f_1](\eta) = J_{i,h}(\eta) \mathcal{K}_{\partial D_h}^*[\varphi_1](x_{i,h}(\eta)). \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, points $x \in \partial \Omega_b$ can be written as

$$x = x_{e,b}(\eta) := (r_e + b(\eta))(\cos \eta, \sin \eta), \quad \eta \in [0, 2\pi). \quad (3.6)$$

Then the normal vector $\nu(x_{e,b}(\eta)) =: \nu_{e,b}(\eta)$ on $\partial \Omega_b$ is given by

$$\nu_{e,b}(\eta) J_{e,b}(\eta) = ((r_e + b(\eta)) \cos \eta + b'(\eta) \sin \eta, (r_e + b(\eta)) \sin \eta - b'(\eta) \cos \eta), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$J_{e,b}(\eta) := \sqrt{(r_e + b(\eta))^2 + b'(\eta)^2}.$$

Define the operator $B(b)$ on $L^2(T)$ by

$$B(b)[f_2](\eta) := \int_0^{2\pi} B_b(\eta, \theta) f_2(\theta) d\theta,$$

where the integral kernel $B_b(\eta, \theta)$ is given by

$$B_b(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\langle x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta), \nu_{e,b}(\eta) \rangle}{|x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta)|^2} J_{e,b}(\eta). \quad (3.8)$$

Then the following relation holds:

$$B(b)[f_2](\eta) = J_{e,b}(\eta)\mathcal{K}_{\partial D_h}^*[\varphi_2](x_{e,b}(\eta)), \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$f_2(\theta) := \varphi_2(x_{e,b}(\theta))J_{e,b}(\theta).$$

One can also see that

$$J_{i,h}(\eta)\partial_\nu\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega_b}[\varphi_2](x_{i,h}(\eta)) = C(h,b)[f_2](\eta), \quad (3.10)$$

where the operator $C(h,b)$ is defined by

$$C(h,b)[f_2](\eta) := \int_0^{2\pi} C_{h,b}(\eta, \theta) f_2(\theta) d\theta,$$

with

$$C_{h,b}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\langle x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta), \nu_{i,h}(\eta) \rangle}{|x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta)|^2} J_{i,h}(\eta).$$

We further have

$$J_{e,b}(\eta)\partial_\nu\mathcal{S}_{\partial D_h}[\varphi_1](x_{e,b}(\eta)) = D(h,b)[f_1](\eta), \quad (3.11)$$

where the operator $D(h,b)$ is defined by

$$D(h,b)[f_1](\eta) := \int_0^{2\pi} D_{h,b}(\eta, \theta) f_1(\theta) d\theta,$$

with

$$D_{h,b}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\langle x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta), \nu_{e,b}(\eta) \rangle}{|x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta)|^2} J_{e,b}(\eta).$$

We obtain from (3.1) that

$$\begin{cases} J_{i,h}(\eta) (-\lambda I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial D_h}^*) [\varphi_1](x_{i,h}(\eta)) + J_{i,h}(\eta) \partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega_b}[\varphi_2](x_{i,h}(\eta)) = J_{i,h}(\eta) \psi_1(x_{i,h}(\eta)), \\ J_{e,b}(\eta) \partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial D_h}[\varphi_1](x_{e,b}(\eta)) + J_{e,b}(\eta) (-\mu I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega_b}^*) [\varphi_2](x_{e,b}(\eta)) = J_{e,b}(\eta) \psi_2(x_{e,b}(\eta)). \end{cases}$$

It then follows from (3.5), (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11) that

$$\begin{cases} (-\lambda I + A(h)) [f_1] + C(h,b)[f_2] = g_1, \\ D(h,b)[f_1] + (-\mu I + B(b)) [f_2] = g_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$g_1(\eta) := J_{i,h}(\eta) \psi_1(x_{i,h}(\eta)) \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(\eta) := J_{e,b}(\eta) \psi_2(x_{e,b}(\eta)).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}(h,b) := \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda I + A(h) & C(h,b) \\ D(h,b) & -\mu I + B(b) \end{bmatrix}$$

and $f = (f_1, f_2)^\top$, $g = (g_1, g_2)^\top$. Then the above system of integral equations can be written in short as

$$\mathcal{A}(h,b)f = g.$$

We emphasize that this equation is on $L_0^2(T)^2$ since $f_j, g_j \in L_0^2(T)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Furthermore, (3.2) shows that there is a constant $C = C(h, b)$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(h, b)^{-1}g\|_2 \leq C\|g\|_2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the norm on $L^2(X)^2$.

In the rest of this section we consider the continuity and differentiability of the operator $\mathcal{A}(h, b)$. We first obtain the following proposition for the continuity.

Proposition 3.1. *There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $h, b \in W^{2,\infty}(T)$ and $\|h\|_{2,\infty} + \|b\|_{2,\infty} \leq \epsilon$, then*

(i) *$\mathcal{A}(h, b)$ is continuous at $(h, b) = (0, 0)$ strongly, namely, there is a constant C such that*

$$\|(\mathcal{A}(h, b) - \mathcal{A}(0, 0))f\|_2 \leq C(\|h\|_{2,\infty} + \|b\|_{2,\infty})\|f\|_2 \quad (3.12)$$

for all $f \in L^2(T)^2$,

(ii) *$\mathcal{A}(h, b)$ is continuous at $(h, b) \neq (0, 0)$ weakly, namely, for each $f \in L^2(T)^2$*

$$\|(\mathcal{A}(k, d) - \mathcal{A}(h, b))f\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.13)$$

as $\|k - h\|_{2,\infty} + \|d - b\|_{2,\infty} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let us first deal with the operator $B(b)$. Here we consider $b \in W^{2,\infty}(T)$, not necessarily in the subspace W_3 . One can easily see from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta), \nu_{e,b}(\eta) J_{e,b}(\eta) \rangle \\ &= (r_e + b(\eta))^2 - (r_e + b(\eta))(r_e + b(\theta)) \cos(\eta - \theta) - b'(\eta)(r_e + b(\theta)) \sin(\eta - \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\langle x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta), \nu_{e,b}(\eta) J_{e,b}(\eta) \rangle = r_e^2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))(1 + R_1(b, \eta, \theta)), \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(b; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{r_e(b(\eta) + b(\theta)) + b(\eta)b(\theta)}{r_e^2} \\ &+ \frac{(b(\eta) - b(\theta))^2 + (r_e + b(\theta)) \{b(\eta) + b'(\eta) \sin(\theta - \eta) - b(\theta)\}}{r_e^2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

In view of the intrinsic distance $\delta(\eta, \theta)$ given by (1.8), we may distinguish four cases. For instance, let us consider the case where $\delta = \delta(\eta, \theta) = 2\pi - (\eta - \theta)$. Then taking the 2π -periodicity into account yields that

$$\begin{aligned} b(\eta) + b'(\eta) \sin(\theta - \eta) - b(\theta) &= b(\eta) + b'(\eta) \sin(\delta) - b(\eta + \delta) \\ &= b(\eta) + b'(\eta)\delta - b(\eta + \delta) + O(\|b\|_{1,\infty}\delta^3) \\ &= O(\|b\|_{2,\infty}\delta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

The other three cases are dealt with similarly. Since $(b(\eta) - b(\theta))^2 = O(\|b\|_{1,\infty}\delta(\eta, \theta)^2)$, we infer that

$$|(b(\eta) - b(\theta))^2 + (r_e + b(\theta)) \{b(\eta) + b'(\eta) \sin(\theta - \eta) - b(\theta)\}| \leq C\|b\|_{2,\infty}\delta(\eta, \theta)^2.$$

Hence it follows from (1.10) that

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |R_1(b; \eta, \theta)| \leq C \|b\|_{2, \infty}. \quad (3.17)$$

One can also see that

$$|x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta)|^2 = 2 [r_e^2 + r_e(b(\eta) + b(\theta)) + b(\eta)b(\theta)] (1 - \cos(\eta - \theta)) + (b(\eta) - b(\theta))^2.$$

Thus we have

$$|x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta)|^2 = 2r_e^2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))(1 + R_2(b; \eta, \theta)), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$R_2(b; \eta, \theta) = \frac{r_e(b(\eta) + b(\theta)) + b(\eta)b(\theta)}{r_e^2} + \frac{(b(\eta) - b(\theta))^2}{2r_e^2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}. \quad (3.19)$$

One can easily see that

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |R_2(b; \eta, \theta)| \leq C \|b\|_{1, \infty}. \quad (3.20)$$

If $\|b\|_{2, \infty} \leq \epsilon$ for a sufficiently small ϵ , then we have from (3.8), (3.14) and (3.18) that

$$B_b(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[1 + \frac{R_1(b; \eta, \theta) - R_2(b; \eta, \theta)}{1 + R_2(b; \eta, \theta)} \right]. \quad (3.21)$$

Since

$$B_b(\eta, \theta) - B_0(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{R_1(b; \eta, \theta) - R_2(b; \eta, \theta)}{1 + R_2(b; \eta, \theta)},$$

one can see immediately from (3.17) and (3.20) that

$$\|(B(b) - B(0))f_2\|_2 \leq C \|b\|_{2, \infty} \|f_2\|_2 \quad (3.22)$$

for all $f_2 \in L^2(T)$.

Suppose $f_2 \in L^2(T)$. Then

$$(B(d) - B(b))[f_2](\eta) = \int_0^{2\pi} (B_d(\eta, \theta) - B_b(\eta, \theta))f_2(\theta) d\theta.$$

If $\|d - b\|_{2, \infty} \rightarrow 0$, then $B_d(\eta, \theta) - B_b(\eta, \theta) \rightarrow 0$ unless $\eta = \theta$. Moreover, we have

$$|(B_d(\eta, \theta) - B_b(\eta, \theta))f_2(\theta)| \leq C(\|b\|_{2, \infty} + \|d\|_{2, \infty})|f_2(\theta)|.$$

Thus, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we infer that $(B(d) - B(b))[f_2](\eta) \rightarrow 0$ for each η . We then apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$\|(B(d) - B(b))f_2\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.23)$$

for each fixed f_2 .

One can show in a similar way that

$$\|(A(h) - A(0))f_1\|_2 \leq C \|h\|_{2, \infty} \|f_1\|_2 \quad (3.24)$$

for all $f_1 \in L^2(T)$, and

$$\|(A(k) - A(h))f_1\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.25)$$

as $\|k - h\|_{2,\infty} \rightarrow 0$ for each fixed f_1 .

To handle $C(h, b)$, let

$$\alpha(h, b; \eta, \theta) := \langle x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta), \nu_{i,h}(\eta) J_{i,h}(\eta) \rangle \quad (3.26)$$

and

$$\beta(h, b; \eta, \theta) := |x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta)|^2 \quad (3.27)$$

so that

$$C_{h,b}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{\alpha(h, b; \eta, \theta)}{2\pi\beta(h, b; \eta, \theta)}. \quad (3.28)$$

One can easily see that

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\alpha(h, b; \eta, \theta) - \alpha(k, d; \eta, \theta)| \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + \|b - d\|_{1,\infty})$$

and

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\beta(h, b; \eta, \theta) - \beta(k, d; \eta, \theta)| \leq C(\|h - k\|_{\infty} + \|b - d\|_{\infty}).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\beta(h, b; \eta, \theta) = |x_{i,h}(\eta) - x_{e,b}(\theta)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}(r_e - r_i)^2, \quad (3.29)$$

provided that $\|h\|_{\infty}$ and $\|b\|_{\infty}$ are sufficiently small. Thus we infer that

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |C_{h,b}(\eta, \theta) - C_{k,d}(\eta, \theta)| \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + \|b - d\|_{1,\infty}),$$

from which we conclude that

$$\|(C(h, b) - C(k, d))f_2\|_2 \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + \|b - d\|_{1,\infty})\|f_2\|_2 \quad (3.30)$$

for all $f_2 \in L^2(T)$.

Similarly one can show that

$$\|(D(h, b) - D(k, d))f_1\|_2 \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + \|b - d\|_{1,\infty})\|f_1\|_2 \quad (3.31)$$

for all $f_1 \in L^2(T)$. Now (3.12) follows from (3.22), (3.24), (3.30) and (3.31), while (3.13) follows from (3.23), (3.25), (3.30) and (3.31). This completes the proof. \square

Since

$$\mathcal{A}(h, b)^{-1} = \{I + \mathcal{A}(0, 0)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(h, b) - \mathcal{A}(0, 0))\}^{-1} \mathcal{A}(0, 0)^{-1},$$

we obtain the following corollary as an immediate consequence of (3.12).

Corollary 3.2. *There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{A}(h, b)^{-1}g\|_2 \leq C\|g\|_2$$

for all $g \in L_0^2(T)^2$ for some C independent of h and b satisfying $\|h\|_{2,\infty} + \|b\|_{2,\infty} < \epsilon$.

We now look into differentiability of $\mathcal{A}(h, b)$ with respect to b when b belongs to W_3 , i.e., is of the form

$$b = b_1 + b_2 \cos 2\theta + b_3 \sin 2\theta. \quad (3.32)$$

We then identify b with (b_1, b_2, b_3) . In this case $\|b\|_{2,\infty}$ is equivalent to

$$|b|_\infty := \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |b_j|.$$

Let ∂_j denote the partial derivative with respect to b_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$). Thanks to (3.29), one can easily see that

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\partial_j C_{h,b}(\eta, \theta)| \leq C$$

for some constant C . Moreover, if $k \in W^{2,\infty}(T)$ and $d = d_1 + d_2 \cos 2\theta + d_3 \sin 2\theta$, then

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\partial_j C_{h,b}(\eta, \theta) - \partial_j C_{k,d}(\eta, \theta)| \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + |b - d|_\infty).$$

Thus we see that $\partial_j C(h, b)$ is bounded on $L^2(T)$ and

$$\|(\partial_j C(h, b) - \partial_j C(k, d)) f_2\|_2 \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + |b - d|_\infty) \|f_2\|_2 \quad (3.33)$$

for all $f_2 \in L^2(T)$. Similarly one can see that $\partial_j D(h, b)$ is bounded on $L^2(T)$ and

$$\|(\partial_j D(h, b) - \partial_j D(k, d)) f_1\|_2 \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + |b - d|_\infty) \|f_1\|_2 \quad (3.34)$$

for all $f_1 \in L^2(T)$.

If b and d take the form (3.32), then one can see from explicit forms of R_1 and R_2 in (3.15) and (3.19) that for $l = 1, 2$ and $j, k = 1, 2, 3$

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\partial_j R_l(b, \eta, \theta)| \leq C, \quad (3.35)$$

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |R_l(b, \eta, \theta) - R_l(d, \eta, \theta)| \leq C|b - d|_\infty, \quad (3.36)$$

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\partial_k \partial_j R_l(b, \eta, \theta)| \leq C, \quad (3.37)$$

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\partial_j R_l(b, \eta, \theta) - \partial_j R_l(d, \eta, \theta)| \leq C|b - d|_\infty. \quad (3.38)$$

In fact, (3.15) and (3.19) yield the following two identities for $j = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j R_1(b, \eta, \theta) = & \frac{r_e(\partial_j b(\eta) + \partial_j b(\theta)) + b(\theta)\partial_j b(\eta) + b(\eta)\partial_j b(\theta)}{r_e^2} + \frac{2(b(\eta) - b(\theta))(\partial_j b(\eta) - \partial_j b(\theta))}{r_e^2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))} + \\ & \frac{\partial_j b(\theta)[b(\eta) + b'(\eta)\sin(\theta - \eta) - b(\theta)] + (r_e + b(\theta))[\partial_j b(\eta) + (\partial_j b)'(\eta)\sin(\theta - \eta) - \partial_j b(\theta)]}{r_e^2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j R_2(b, \eta, \theta) = & \frac{r_e(\partial_j b(\eta) + \partial_j b(\theta)) + b(\theta)\partial_j b(\eta) + b(\eta)\partial_j b(\theta)}{r_e^2} + \frac{(b(\eta) - b(\theta))(\partial_j b(\eta) - \partial_j b(\theta))}{r_e^2(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Then, it follows from the same arguments as in (3.15)-(3.17) that (3.35) holds and hence (3.36) does. Moreover, since $\partial_j b(\cdot)$ and $\partial_j d(\cdot)$ are independent of b_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$) and d_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$), respectively, we have from (3.39) and (3.40) that (3.37) holds and hence (3.38) also does.

From (3.21) we have, for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\partial_j B_b(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial_j R_1(b; \eta, \theta) - \partial_j R_2(b; \eta, \theta)}{1 + R_2(b; \eta, \theta)} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{(R_1(b; \eta, \theta) - R_2(b; \eta, \theta)) \partial_j R_2(b; \eta, \theta)}{[1 + R_2(b; \eta, \theta)]^2}. \quad (3.41)$$

It then follows from (3.17), (3.20), (3.35), (3.36), (3.37) and (3.38) that for $j, k = 1, 2, 3$

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\partial_k \partial_j B_b(\eta, \theta)| \leq C, \quad (3.42)$$

$$\sup_{\eta, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)} |\partial_j B_b(\eta, \theta) - \partial_j B_d(\eta, \theta)| \leq C|b - d|_\infty. \quad (3.43)$$

Thus we have

$$\|(\partial_j B(b) - \partial_j B(d)) f_2\|_2 \leq C|b - d|_\infty \|f_2\|_2 \quad (3.44)$$

for all $f_2 \in L^2(T)$.

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of (3.33), (3.34) and (3.44).

Proposition 3.3. *There is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that if b is of the form (3.32) and $\|h\|_{1,\infty} + |b|_\infty < \epsilon$, then $\partial_j \mathcal{A}(h, b)$ is bounded on $L^2(T)^2$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, there is $C > 0$ such that if d is of the form (3.32) and $\|k\|_{1,\infty} + |d|_\infty < \epsilon$, then*

$$\|(\partial_j \mathcal{A}(h, b) - \partial_j \mathcal{A}(k, d)) f\|_2 \leq C(\|h - k\|_{1,\infty} + |b - d|_\infty) \|f\|_2 \quad (3.45)$$

for all $f \in L^2(T)^2$. Here ∂_j denotes the partial derivative with respect to b_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$).

We now show that the following identities hold for any real numbers a and b :

$$\partial_1 \mathcal{A}(0, 0) \begin{bmatrix} ae^{i\theta} \\ be^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2r_i} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -a \end{bmatrix} e^{i\eta}, \quad (3.46)$$

$$\partial_2 \mathcal{A}(0, 0) \begin{bmatrix} ae^{i\theta} \\ be^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4r_i} \begin{bmatrix} 3b\rho^2 \\ -a(1 + 2\rho^2) \end{bmatrix} e^{i3\eta} + \frac{1}{4r_i} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -a(1 - 2\rho^2) + 2b\rho \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\eta}, \quad (3.47)$$

$$\partial_3 \mathcal{A}(0, 0) \begin{bmatrix} ae^{i\theta} \\ be^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{i}{4r_i} \begin{bmatrix} -3b\rho^2 \\ a(1 + 2\rho^2) \end{bmatrix} e^{i3\eta} + \frac{i}{4r_i} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -a(1 - 2\rho^2) + 2b\rho \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\eta}. \quad (3.48)$$

To prove above identities, we first compute the integral kernel of each component of $\partial_j \mathcal{A}(0, 0)$. Since operator $A(h)$ is independent of b , it is clear that

$$\partial_j A_h(\eta, \theta) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

The following identities can be derived immediately from (3.15) and (3.39):

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(0; \eta, \theta) &= 0, \\ \partial_1 R_1(b; \eta, \theta)|_{b=0} &= \frac{2}{r_e}, \\ \partial_2 R_1(b; \eta, \theta)|_{b=0} &= \frac{\cos 2\eta}{r_e} + \frac{\cos 2\eta - \cos 2\theta \cos(\eta - \theta) + 2 \sin 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)}{r_e(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}, \\ \partial_3 R_1(b; \eta, \theta)|_{b=0} &= \frac{\sin 2\eta}{r_e} + \frac{\sin 2\eta - \sin 2\theta \cos(\eta - \theta) - 2 \cos 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)}{r_e(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}. \end{aligned}$$

The following identities can be derived from (3.19) and (3.40) through straight-forward computations:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(0; \eta, \theta) &= 0, \\ \partial_1 R_2(b; \eta, \theta)|_{b=0} &= \frac{2}{r_e}, \\ \partial_2 R_2(b; \eta, \theta)|_{b=0} &= \frac{\cos 2\eta + \cos 2\theta}{r_e}, \\ \partial_3 R_2(b; \eta, \theta)|_{b=0} &= \frac{\sin 2\eta + \sin 2\theta}{r_e}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\partial_j B_0(\eta, \theta) := \partial_j B_b(\eta, \theta)|_{b=0}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then we obtain from (3.41) and above identities that

$$\partial_1 B_0(\eta, \theta) = 0, \tag{3.49}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2 B_0(\eta, \theta) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\cos 2\eta - \cos 2\theta + 2 \sin 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)}{r_e(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}, \\ \partial_3 B_0(\eta, \theta) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\sin 2\eta - \sin 2\theta - 2 \cos 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)}{r_e(1 - \cos(\eta - \theta))}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\cos 2\eta - \cos 2\theta + 2 \sin 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta) \\ &= \cos 2\eta - \cos 2\eta \cos 2(\eta - \theta) - \sin 2\eta \sin 2(\eta - \theta) + 2 \sin 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta) \\ &= 2 [\cos 2\eta(1 + \cos(\eta - \theta)) + \sin 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)] (1 - \cos(\eta - \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\partial_2 B_0(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi r_e} [\cos 2\eta(1 + \cos(\eta - \theta)) + \sin 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)]. \tag{3.50}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\partial_3 B_0(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi r_e} [\sin 2\eta(1 + \cos(\eta - \theta)) - \cos 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)]. \tag{3.51}$$

It then follows from (3.49), (3.50) and (3.51) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 B(0)[e^{i\eta}] &= 0, \\ \partial_2 B(0)[e^{i\eta}] &= \frac{1}{2r_e} e^{-i\eta}, \\ \partial_3 B(0)[e^{i\eta}] &= \frac{i}{2r_e} e^{-i\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute $\partial_j C_{h,b}(\eta, \theta)$ at point $(h, b) = (0, 0)$, we first observe that α and β given by (3.26) and (3.27) take the form

$$\alpha(0, b; \eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2}(r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta))(1 + R_3),$$

where

$$R_3 = R_3(b; \eta, \theta) = \frac{r_i^2 - r_e^2 - 2r_i b(\theta) \cos(\eta - \theta)}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)},$$

and

$$\beta(0, b; \eta, \theta) = (r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta))(1 + R_4),$$

where

$$R_4 = R_4(b; \eta, \theta) = \frac{b(\theta)(b(\theta) + 2r_e - 2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta))}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}.$$

It then follows from (3.28) that

$$C_{0,b}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[1 + \frac{R_3(b; \eta, \theta) - R_4(b; \eta, \theta)}{1 + R_4(b; \eta, \theta)} \right], \quad (3.52)$$

and hence

$$\partial_j C_{0,b}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial_j R_3(b; \eta, \theta) - \partial_j R_4(b; \eta, \theta)}{1 + R_4(b; \eta, \theta)} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{(R_3(b; \eta, \theta) - R_4(b; \eta, \theta)) \partial_j R_4(b; \eta, \theta)}{[1 + R_4(b; \eta, \theta)]^2}. \quad (3.53)$$

Straightforward computations yield the following:

$$\begin{aligned} R_3(0; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{r_i^2 - r_e^2}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}, \\ R_4(0; \eta, \theta) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 R_3(0; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{-2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta)}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}, \\ \partial_2 R_3(0; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{-2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta) \cos 2\theta}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}, \\ \partial_3 R_3(0; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{-2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta) \sin 2\theta}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 R_4(0; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{2r_e - 2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta)}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}, \\ \partial_2 R_4(0; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{(2r_e - 2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta)) \cos 2\theta}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}, \\ \partial_3 R_4(0; \eta, \theta) &= \frac{(2r_e - 2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta)) \sin 2\theta}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging these terms into (3.53) we have

$$\partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2r_i^2 r_e - r_i(r_i^2 + r_e^2) \cos(\eta - \theta)}{[r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)]^2}, \quad (3.55)$$

$$\partial_2 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = \partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) \cos 2\theta, \quad (3.56)$$

$$\partial_3 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = \partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) \sin 2\theta. \quad (3.57)$$

Let $\rho = r_i/r_e$ as before, and let

$$P_\rho(\eta - \theta) := \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos(\eta - \theta) + \rho^2},$$

which is 2π times the Poisson kernel on the unit disk. It is well known that it admits the following expansion:

$$P_\rho(\eta - \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|n|} e^{in(\eta - \theta)}. \quad (3.58)$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{r_i} \frac{2\rho - (1 + \rho^2) \cos(\eta - \theta)}{[1 - 2\rho \cos(\eta - \theta) + \rho^2]^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1}{2\pi} P_\rho(\eta - \theta) + \frac{\alpha_2}{2\pi} P_\rho(\eta - \theta)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_1 := -\frac{1 + \rho^2}{2r_i\rho(1 - \rho^2)}, \quad \alpha_2 := \frac{1}{2r_i\rho}.$$

Further we see from (3.58) that

$$P_\rho(\eta - \theta)^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(l) e^{il(\eta - \theta)},$$

where

$$\beta(l) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|n| + |l - n|}.$$

Thus we have

$$\partial_1 C(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] = \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) e^{i\theta} d\theta = (\alpha_1 \rho + \alpha_2 \beta(1)) e^{i\eta}. \quad (3.59)$$

It follows from (3.56) that

$$\partial_2 C(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] = \partial_1 C(0, 0)[\cos 2\theta e^{i\theta}] = \frac{1}{2} \partial_1 C(0, 0)[e^{i3\theta}] + \frac{1}{2} \partial_1 C(0, 0)[e^{-i\theta}].$$

Thus we obtain from (3.59) that

$$\partial_2 C(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 \rho^3 + \alpha_2 \beta(3)) e^{i3\eta} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 \rho + \alpha_2 \beta(-1)) e^{-i\eta}.$$

We also have from (3.57) that

$$\partial_3 C(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] = \frac{1}{i2} (\alpha_1 \rho^3 + \alpha_2 \beta(3)) e^{i3\eta} - \frac{1}{i2} (\alpha_1 \rho + \alpha_2 \beta(-1)) e^{-i\eta}.$$

Note that

$$\beta(1) = \frac{2\rho}{1 - \rho^2}, \quad \beta(3) = 2\rho^3 + \frac{2\rho^3}{1 - \rho^2}, \quad \beta(-1) = \frac{2\rho}{1 - \rho^2}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1 C(0,0)[e^{i\theta}] &= (\alpha_1 \rho + \alpha_2 \beta(1))e^{i\eta} = \frac{1}{2r_i}e^{i\eta}, \\ \partial_2 C(0,0)[e^{i\theta}] &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 \rho^3 + \alpha_2 \beta(3))e^{i3\eta} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 \rho + \alpha_2 \beta(-1))e^{-i\eta} = \frac{3\rho^2}{4r_i}e^{i3\eta} + \frac{1}{4r_i}e^{-i\eta}, \\ \partial_3 C(0,0)[e^{i\theta}] &= \frac{1}{i2}(\alpha_1 \rho^3 + \alpha_2 \beta(3))e^{i3\eta} - \frac{1}{i2}(\alpha_1 \rho + \alpha_2 \beta(-1))e^{-i\eta} = -i\frac{3\rho^2}{4r_i}e^{i3\eta} + i\frac{1}{4r_i}e^{-i\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

To compute $\partial_j D_{h,b}(\eta, \theta)$ at $(h, b) = (0, 0)$, set

$$\xi(h, b; \eta, \theta) := \langle x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta), \nu_{e,b}(\eta) J_{e,b}(\eta) \rangle,$$

and

$$\zeta(h, b; \eta, \theta) := |x_{e,b}(\eta) - x_{i,h}(\theta)|^2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(0, b; \eta, \theta) &= (r_e + b(\eta))^2 - r_i(r_e + b(\eta)) \cos(\eta - \theta) - b'(\eta)r_i \sin(\eta - \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta))(1 + R_5),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_5 = \frac{-r_i^2 + r_e^2 + b(\eta)(4r_e + 2b(\eta) - 2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta)) - 2b'(\eta)r_i \cos(\eta - \theta)}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)},$$

and

$$\zeta(0, b; \eta, \theta) = (r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta))(1 + R_6),$$

where

$$R_6 = \frac{b(\eta)(b(\eta) + 2r_e - 2r_i \cos(\eta - \theta))}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}.$$

Then

$$D_{0,b}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{\xi(0, b; \eta, \theta)}{2\pi\zeta(0, b; \eta, \theta)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[1 + \frac{R_5(b; \eta, \theta) - R_6(b; \eta, \theta)}{1 + R_6(b; \eta, \theta)} \right].$$

In the same way as before, one can easily get

$$\partial_1 D_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2r_i^2 r_e - r_i(r_i^2 + r_e^2) \cos(\eta - \theta)}{[r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)]^2}.$$

Comparing with (3.55) one can see that

$$\partial_1 D_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = -\partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta).$$

One can also see

$$\partial_2 D_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = -\partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) \cos 2\eta + E(\eta, \theta),$$

where

$$E(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2r_i \sin 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)},$$

and

$$\partial_3 D_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = -\partial_1 C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) \sin 2\eta + F(\eta, \theta),$$

where

$$F(\eta, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2r_i \cos 2\eta \sin(\eta - \theta)}{r_i^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_i r_e \cos(\eta - \theta)}.$$

Note that

$$E(\eta, \theta) = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \frac{\rho^2}{r_i(1 - \rho^2)} (e^{i2\eta} - e^{-i2\eta})(e^{i2(\eta - \theta)} - e^{-i2(\eta - \theta)})P_\rho(\eta - \theta).$$

Thus we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} E(\eta, \theta) e^{i\theta} d\theta = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{r_i} (e^{i3\eta} - e^{-i\eta}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} F(\eta, \theta) e^{i\theta} d\theta = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{r_i} (e^{i3\eta} + e^{-i\eta}).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 D(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] &= -\partial_1 C(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] = -\frac{1}{2r_i} e^{i\eta}, \\ \partial_2 D(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] &= -\partial_1 C(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] \cos 2\eta - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{r_i} (e^{i3\eta} - e^{-i\eta}) = -\frac{1 + 2\rho^2}{4r_i} e^{i3\eta} - \frac{1 - 2\rho^2}{4r_i} e^{-i\eta}, \\ \partial_3 D(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] &= -\partial_1 C(0, 0)[e^{i\theta}] \sin 2\eta + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{r_i} (e^{i3\eta} + e^{-i\eta}) = i \frac{1 + 2\rho^2}{4r_i} e^{i3\eta} - i \frac{1 - 2\rho^2}{4r_i} e^{-i\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\partial_1 \mathcal{A}(0, 0) \begin{bmatrix} a e^{i\theta} \\ b e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 C(0, 0)[b e^{i\theta}] \\ \partial_1 D(0, 0)[a e^{i\theta}] + \partial_1 B(0)[b e^{i\theta}] \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2r_i} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -a \end{bmatrix} e^{i\eta}, \quad (3.60)$$

which proves (3.46). (3.47) and (3.48) can be proved similarly.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Here we prove Theorem 1.1 by showing that $m_{ll'}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3: continuity in (h, b) , continuous differentiability in b , and (1.15).

By definition (2.3) the functions $m_{l,l'}(h, b)$, $l, l' = 1, 2$, are given by

$$m_{l,l'}(h, b) = \int_{\partial D} x_{l'} \varphi_1^{(l)} ds + \int_{\partial \Omega} x_{l'} \varphi_2^{(l)} ds,$$

where $\varphi^{(l)} = (\varphi_1^{(l)}, \varphi_2^{(l)}) \in L_0^2(\partial D) \times L_0^2(\partial \Omega)$ is the unique solution to (2.1). Using changes of variables (3.3) and (3.6), we see that

$$m_{11}(h, b) = \int_0^{2\pi} (r_i + h(\theta)) \cos \theta f_{h,b,1}^{(1)}(\theta) d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi} (r_e + b(\theta)) \cos \theta f_{h,b,2}^{(1)}(\theta) d\theta, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$f_{h,b,1}^{(l)}(\theta) := \varphi_1^{(l)}(x_{i,h}(\theta)) J_{i,h}(\theta), \quad f_{h,b,2}^{(l)}(\theta) := \varphi_2^{(l)}(x_{e,b}(\theta)) J_{e,b}(\theta). \quad (4.2)$$

Let

$$p(h, b) := (r_i + h(\theta), r_e + b(\theta))^\top. \quad (4.3)$$

Then, m_{11} given in (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$m_{11}(h, b) = \left\langle \cos \theta p(h, b), f_{h,b}^{(1)} \right\rangle, \quad (4.4)$$

where $f_{h,b}^{(l)} = (f_{h,b,1}^{(l)}, f_{h,b,2}^{(l)})^\top$. Here and afterwards, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(T)^2$. Likewise, we have

$$m_{22}(h, b) = \left\langle \sin \theta p(h, b), f_{h,b}^{(2)} \right\rangle, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$m_{12}(h, b) = \left\langle \sin \theta p(h, b), f_{h,b}^{(1)} \right\rangle. \quad (4.6)$$

Note that $f_{h,b}^{(l)}$ is the solution of

$$\mathcal{A}(h, b)[f_{h,b}^{(l)}] = g_{h,b}^{(l)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $g_{h,b}^{(l)} = (g_{h,b,1}^{(l)}, g_{h,b,2}^{(l)})^\top$ is given by

$$g_{h,b,1}^{(l)}(\theta) := -\nu_{\partial D_h}^{(l)}(x_{i,h}(\theta))J_{i,h}(\theta), \quad g_{h,b,2}^{(l)}(\theta) := -\nu_{\partial \Omega_b}^{(l)}(x_{e,b}(\theta))J_{e,b}(\theta).$$

We see from (3.4) and (3.7) that $g_{h,b}^{(l)}$ is given by

$$g_{h,b}^{(1)} = -((r_i + h(\eta)) \cos \eta + h'(\eta) \sin \eta, (r_e + b(\eta)) \cos \eta + b'(\eta) \sin \eta)^\top \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$g_{h,b}^{(2)} = -((r_i + h(\eta)) \sin \eta - h'(\eta) \cos \eta, (r_e + b(\eta)) \sin \eta - b'(\eta) \cos \eta)^\top. \quad (4.9)$$

Continuity in (h, b) . We only prove continuity of m_{11} since the others can be handled in the same way.

Suppose $k \in W^{2,\infty}(T)$ and $d \in W_3$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{A}(k, d)[f_{k,d}^{(1)}] = g_{k,d}^{(1)}.$$

So, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(k, d)[f_{k,d}^{(1)} - f_{h,b}^{(1)}] = -(\mathcal{A}(k, d) - \mathcal{A}(h, b))[f_{h,b}^{(1)}] + (g_{k,d}^{(1)} - g_{h,b}^{(1)}).$$

We then infer using Corollary 3.2 that

$$\|f_{k,d}^{(1)} - f_{h,b}^{(1)}\|_2 \leq C \left(\|(\mathcal{A}(k, d) - \mathcal{A}(h, b))[f_{h,b}^{(1)}]\|_2 + \|g_{k,d}^{(1)} - g_{h,b}^{(1)}\|_2 \right)$$

for some constant C independently of (k, d) as long as $\|k\|_{2,\infty}$ and $|d|_\infty$ are sufficiently small. We then infer from (3.13) that

$$\|(\mathcal{A}(k, d) - \mathcal{A}(h, b))[f_{h,b}^{(1)}]\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $\|k - h\|_{2,\infty} + |d - b|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. It is obvious from (4.8) that $\|g_{k,d}^{(1)} - g_{h,b}^{(1)}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have $\|f_{k,d}^{(1)} - f_{h,b}^{(1)}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. We then conclude using (4.4) that $m_{11}(k, d) - m_{11}(h, b) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|k - h\|_{2,\infty} + |d - b|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.

Continuous differentiability in b . By differentiating (4.7) with respect to b_j -variable, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(h, b)[\partial_j f_{h,b}^{(1)}] = \partial_j g_{h,b}^{(1)} - \partial_j \mathcal{A}(h, b)[f_{h,b}^{(1)}],$$

namely,

$$\partial_j f_{h,b}^{(1)} = \mathcal{A}(h, b)^{-1} \left[\partial_j g_{h,b}^{(1)} - \partial_j \mathcal{A}(h, b) [f_{h,b}^{(1)}] \right]. \quad (4.10)$$

We mention that this argument is formal since we take the derivative of $f_{h,b}^{(1)}$ without proving its existence. However, this formal argument can be justified easily.

It is clear from (4.8) that $\partial_j g_{h,b}^{(1)}$ is continuous in (h, b) . Then Corollary 3.2, Proposition 3.3 and continuity of $f_{h,b}^{(1)}$ implies that $\partial_j f_{h,b}^{(1)}$ is continuous in (h, b) . We then obtain from (4.4) that

$$\partial_j m_{11}(h, b) = \left\langle \cos \theta \partial_j p(h, b), f_{h,b}^{(1)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \cos \theta p(h, b), \partial_j f_{h,b}^{(1)} \right\rangle,$$

which shows that $\partial_j m_1(h, b)$ is continuous in (h, b) .

Proof of (1.15). For simplicity of expression we put

$$\psi_1(\theta) := \cos \theta, \quad \psi_2(\theta) := \sin \theta.$$

Then derivatives of $m_{ll'}$ takes the following form

$$\partial_j m_{ll'}(0, 0) = \left\langle \psi_{l'} \partial_j p(0, 0), f_{0,0}^{(l)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_l p(0, 0), \partial_j f_{0,0}^{(l)} \right\rangle. \quad (4.11)$$

Observe that A_0 and B_0 are constants, and hence operators $A(0)$ and $B(0)$ are trivial as operators on $L_0^2(T)$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{A}(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \rho^2 I & C(0, 0) \\ D(0, 0) & -\mu I \end{bmatrix},$$

as an operator on $L_0^2(T)^2$. Here we used the fact $\lambda = -\mu \rho^2$ which is a consequence of (1.3) and (2.2). We see from (3.52) and (3.54) that

$$C_{0,0}(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 - P_\rho(\eta - \theta)),$$

where $P_\rho(\eta - \theta)$ is the Poisson kernel given in (3.58). Thus we have

$$C(0, 0)[e^{im\theta}] = -\frac{\rho^{|m|}}{2} e^{im\eta}, \quad m \neq 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Likewise we have

$$D(0, 0)[e^{im\theta}] = \frac{\rho^{|m|}}{2} e^{im\eta}, \quad m \neq 0. \quad (4.13)$$

It then follows that $D(0, 0)C(0, 0) = C(0, 0)D(0, 0)$, and

$$(\mu^2 \rho^2 I + C(0, 0)D(0, 0))[e^{im\theta}] = (\mu^2 \rho^2 - \frac{1}{4} \rho^{2|m|}) e^{im\eta}.$$

Since $|\mu| > 1/2$ as one can see from (2.2), we see that $\mu^2 \rho^2 I + C(0, 0)D(0, 0)$ is invertible on $L^2(T)$ and $\mathcal{A}(0, 0)^{-1}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(0, 0)^{-1} = - \begin{bmatrix} (\mu^2 \rho^2 I + C(0, 0)D(0, 0))^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\mu^2 \rho^2 I + C(0, 0)D(0, 0))^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu I & -C(0, 0) \\ -D(0, 0) & \mu \rho^2 I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{A}(0,0)^{-1})^*$ be the adjoint of $\mathcal{A}(0,0)^{-1}$. Then, in particular, we have

$$(\mathcal{A}(0,0)^{-1})^* \begin{bmatrix} ae^{i\theta} \\ be^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma_1 e^{in} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu a - \frac{\rho}{2}b \\ \frac{\rho}{2}a + \mu\rho^2 b \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.14)$$

for any real constants a and b , where

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\rho^2(1/2 + \mu)(1/2 - \mu)}.$$

We now compute the first term on the right-hand side of (4.11). Since

$$g_{0,0}^{(l)} = -\psi_l(r_i, r_e)^\top, \quad l = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$f_{0,0}^{(l)} = \psi_l V_1, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad (4.15)$$

where the constant vector V_1 is defined by

$$V_1 := \gamma_2 r_i \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{\rho^2(1/2 + \mu)} = \gamma_1(1/2 - \mu).$$

Since

$$\partial_j p(0,0) \cdot V_1 = \begin{cases} \gamma_2 r_i \rho & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \gamma_2 r_i \rho \cos 2\theta & \text{if } j = 2, \\ \gamma_2 r_i \rho \sin 2\theta & \text{if } j = 3, \end{cases}$$

we see that

$$\left\langle \psi_{l'} \partial_j p(0,0), f_{0,0}^{(l)} \right\rangle = \begin{cases} \gamma_2 r_i \rho \pi & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), \\ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 r_i \rho \pi & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 3), \\ -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 r_i \rho \pi & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (2, 2, 2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

To compute the second term on the right-hand side of (4.11), namely, $\langle \psi_{l'} p(0,0), \partial_j f_{0,0}^{(l)} \rangle$, we first observe from (4.10) that

$$\partial_j f_{0,0}^{(l)} = \mathcal{A}(0,0)^{-1} \left[\partial_j g_{0,0}^{(l)} - \partial_j \mathcal{A}(0,0) [f_{0,0}^{(l)}] \right].$$

Thus we have

$$\left\langle \psi_{l'} p(0,0), \partial_j f_{0,0}^{(l)} \right\rangle = \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(0,0)^{-1})^* [\psi_{l'} p(0,0)], \partial_j g_{0,0}^{(l)} - \partial_j \mathcal{A}(0,0) [f_{0,0}^{(l)}] \right\rangle.$$

In view of (4.14), we have

$$(\mathcal{A}(0,0)^{-1})^* [\psi_{l'} p(0,0)] = \psi_{l'} \gamma_1 r_i \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu \right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} =: \psi_{l'} V_2, \quad (4.17)$$

and hence

$$\left\langle \psi_{l'} p(0,0), \partial_j f_{0,0}^{(l)} \right\rangle = \left\langle \psi_{l'} V_2, \partial_j g_{0,0}^{(l)} - \partial_j \mathcal{A}(0,0) [f_{0,0}^{(l)}] \right\rangle. \quad (4.18)$$

It is convenient to use the following notation:

$$V_3 := (0, 1)^\top,$$

and

$$\psi_3(\theta) := \cos 3\theta, \quad \psi_4(\theta) := \sin 3\theta.$$

Then, one can see from (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 g_{0,0}^{(1)} &= -\psi_1 V_3, \\ \partial_2 g_{0,0}^{(1)} &= (1/2\psi_1 - 3/2\psi_3) V_3, \\ \partial_3 g_{0,0}^{(1)} &= (1/2\psi_2 - 3/2\psi_4) V_3, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 g_{0,0}^{(2)} &= -\psi_2 V_3, \\ \partial_2 g_{0,0}^{(2)} &= -(1/2\psi_2 + 3/2\psi_4) V_3, \\ \partial_3 g_{0,0}^{(2)} &= -(1/2\psi_1 + 3/2\psi_3) V_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_2 \cdot V_3 = \gamma_1 r_i \rho(1/2 + \mu)$, it then follows that

$$\left\langle \psi_{l'} V_2, \partial_j g_{0,0}^{(l)} \right\rangle = \begin{cases} -\pi \gamma_1 r_i \rho(1/2 + \mu) & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), \\ \pi \gamma_1 r_i \rho(1/2 + \mu)/2 & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 3), \\ -\pi \gamma_1 r_i \rho(1/2 + \mu)/2 & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (2, 2, 2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

Let

$$V_4 := \frac{\gamma_2}{2}(\rho, 1)^\top, \quad V_5 := \frac{\gamma_2}{4}(3\rho^3, 1 + 2\rho^2)^\top.$$

Due to (3.46), (3.47), (3.48) and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \mathcal{A}(0, 0)[f_{0,0}^{(1)}] &= \psi_1 V_4, \\ \partial_1 \mathcal{A}(0, 0)[f_{0,0}^{(2)}] &= \psi_2 V_4, \\ \partial_2 \mathcal{A}(0, 0)[f_{0,0}^{(1)}] &= \frac{1}{2}\psi_1 V_4 + \psi_3 V_5, \\ \partial_2 \mathcal{A}(0, 0)[f_{0,0}^{(2)}] &= -\frac{1}{2}\psi_2 V_4 + \psi_4 V_5, \\ \partial_3 \mathcal{A}(0, 0)[f_{0,0}^{(1)}] &= \frac{1}{2}\psi_2 V_4 + \psi_4 V_5, \\ \partial_3 \mathcal{A}(0, 0)[f_{0,0}^{(2)}] &= \frac{1}{2}\psi_2 V_4 - \psi_4 V_5. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $V_2 \cdot V_4 = 0$ and $V_2 \cdot V_5 = \frac{1}{4}\gamma_1 \gamma_2 r_i (\frac{1}{2} + \mu) \rho(1 - \rho^2)$. Thus it follows that

$$\left\langle \psi_{l'} V_2, \partial_j \mathcal{A}(0, 0)[f_{0,0}^{(l)}] \right\rangle = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

We then have from (4.11), (4.16), (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20) that

$$\partial_j m_{ll'}(0, 0) = \begin{cases} -2\mu\tau\pi & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), \\ \frac{\tau\pi}{2} & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 3), \\ -\frac{\tau\pi}{2} & \text{if } (l, l', j) = (2, 2, 2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $\tau = \frac{r_e}{(1/2 - \mu)(1/2 + \mu)}$.
We finally obtain

$$\frac{\partial(m_{11}, m_{22}, m_{12})}{\partial(b_1, b_2, b_3)}(0, 0) = \left(\frac{\tau\pi}{2}\right)^3 \det \begin{bmatrix} -4\mu & 1 & 0 \\ -4\mu & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0,$$

which yields (1.15).

Remark 4.1. By switching roles of h and b , let $M(b, h)$ be the polarization tensor associated with domain (Ω_h, D_b) . Similar computations yield

$$\frac{\partial(m_{11}, m_{22}, m_{12})}{\partial(b_1, b_2, b_3)}(0, 0) = -\left(\frac{r_e}{r_i} \frac{\tau\pi}{2}\right)^3 \det \begin{bmatrix} -4\mu & 1 & 0 \\ -4\mu & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Then we have Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] H. Ammari, J. Garnier, V. Jugnon, H. Kang, H. Lee and M. Lim, Enhancement of near-cloaking. Part III: Numerical simulations, statistical stability, and related questions, *Contemp. Math.* 577 (2012), 1-24.
- [2] H. Ammari and H. Kang, *Polarization and moment tensors*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 162, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [3] H. Ammari, H. Kang, H. Lee and M. Lim, Enhancement of near cloaking using generalized polarization tensors vanishing structures. Part I: The conductivity problem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 317 (2013), 253-266.
- [4] H. Ammari, H. Kang, H. Lee and M. Lim, Enhancement of near-cloaking. Part II: The Helmholtz equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 317 (2013), 485-502.
- [5] H. Ammari, H. Kang, H. Lee and M. Lim and S. Yu, Enhancement of near cloaking for the full Maxwell equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 73 (2013), 2055-2076.
- [6] H. Ammari, H. Kang and K. Touibi, Boundary layer techniques for deriving the effective properties of composite materials, *Asymptotic Analysis*, Vol 41 (2005), 119-140.
- [7] A. Greenleaf, M. Lassas and G. Uhlmann, On non-uniqueness for Calderón's inverse problem, *Math. Res. Lett.* 10 (2003), 685-693.
- [8] T. Feng, H. Kang and H. Lee, Construction of GPT-vanishing structures using shape derivative, *J. Comp. Math.* 35 (2017), 569-585.
- [9] Z. Hashin, The elastic moduli of heterogeneous materials, *J. Appl. Mech.* 29 (1962), 143-150.
- [10] Z. Hashin and S. Shtrikman, A variational approach to the theory of the effective magnetic permeability of multiphase materials, *J. Appl. Phys.* 33 (1962), 3125-3131.
- [11] H. Kang and H. Lee, Coated inclusions of finite conductivity neutral to multiple fields in two dimensional conductivity or anti-plane elasticity, *Euro. J. Appl. Math.*, 25 (3) (2014), 329-338.

- [12] H. Kang, H. Lee and S. Sakaguchi, An over-determined boundary value problem arising from neutrally coated inclusions in three dimensions, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze*, Vol. XVI, issue 4 (2016), 1193-1208.
- [13] H. Kang and X. Li, Construction of weakly neutral inclusions of general shape by imperfect interfaces, *arXiv:1805.02215*.
- [14] R. V. Kohn, D. Onofrei, M. S. Vogelius and M. I. Weinstein, Cloaking via change of variables for the Helmholtz equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 63 (2010), 973-1016.
- [15] R. V. Kohn, H. Shen, M. S. Vogelius and M. I. Weinstein, Cloaking via change of variables in electric impedance tomography, *Inverse Problem* 24 (2008), article 015016.
- [16] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, *The implicit function theorem: History, Theory, and Applications*, Springer Science+Business Media, New York, 2003.
- [17] G. W. Milton, *The theory of composites*, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [18] G. W. Milton and S. K. Serkov, Neutral coated inclusions in conductivity and anti-plane elasticity, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 457 (2001), 1973-1997.
- [19] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig and D. R. Smith, Controlling electromagnetic fields, *Science* 312 (2006), 1780-1782.