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ABSTRACT. We define the group of almost periodic diffeomorphisms on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and on an arbitrary Lie group. We then study the properties of its Riemannian and Lie group exponential map and provide applications to fluid equations.
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1. Introduction

The notion of an almost periodic function was first introduced by Bohr [4, 5]. Bohr’s idea was to generalize periodic functions of one variable. By definition, a continuous function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is almost periodic (or, following Bohr’s original terminology, uniformly almost periodic) if for any given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( L_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that in any interval of length \( L_\varepsilon \) there exists \( T \in \mathbb{R} \) so that for any \( x \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
|f(x + T) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

The number \( T \) is called an \( \varepsilon \)-almost period. Almost periodic functions were further studied by Besicovitch, Bochner, von Neumann, Wiener, Weyl and many others. These functions feature many remarkable properties. For example, as in the periodic case, almost periodic functions have Fourier expansions but their Fourier exponents are not necessarily multiples of a given number. Moreover, in contrast to the space of periodic functions on the line, the space of almost periodic functions is not separable. Almost periodic functions can be defined in a similar way on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (see Definition 2.2) as well as on abstract groups (see [40]). We refer to Section 2 for a detailed account on almost periodic functions.

Date: September 16, 2018.
P.T. is partially supported by the Simons Foundation, Award #526007.
Our interest in almost periodic functions stems from the study of non-linear partial differential equations in spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with non-vanishing conditions at infinity. Such an investigation was initiated in [27][28][29], where the case of functions with asymptotic expansions at infinity was studied. From this point of view, almost periodic functions are interesting since they are not $L^p$-summable ($0 < p < \infty$) but display features of strict ergodicity that allow averaging over non-compact spaces. In this way, almost periodic quantities may have finite averaged energy and conservation laws (see below). Many natural phenomena in physics, fluid and gas dynamics exhibit almost periodic behavior: we only mention the theory of disordered systems, almost periodic fluids, Vlasov systems, cellular automata (see e.g. [36][18][39][16][19][22]). The main purpose of this paper is to define the groups of almost periodic diffeomorphisms and to study their main properties. We provide several applications to fluid equations.

For any real exponent $m \geq 0$ we define in Section 2 the space of $C^m$-almost periodic function $C^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ that consists of functions $f$ in the Hölder space $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that the set $S_f = \{f_c(x) = f(x + c)\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ is precompact in $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. The space $C^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to pointwise multiplication of functions and inherits many of the properties of the classical almost periodic functions. The reason we consider non-integer Hölderian exponents $m \geq 0$ stems from the properties of the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and is crucial for our applications to fluid flows. Inspired by Arnold’s approach to fluid dynamics [14][13], we define in Section 3 the group $\text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $C^m$-almost periodic diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Hölderian exponent $m \geq 1$. Generally speaking, $\text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of $C^m$-diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose infinitesimal generators are almost periodic vector fields of class $C^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ – see Section 3 for detail. The group $\text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach manifold modeled on $C^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We prove that $\text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a topological group with respect to the composition of diffeomorphism (Theorem 3.2). The composition in $\text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has additional regularity properties that are similar to the regularity properties of the groups of diffeomorphisms of Sobolev class $H^s$ (cf. [14][20]). This allows us to define the Fréchet-Lie group of $C^\infty$-almost periodic diffeomorphisms $\text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{m \geq 1} \text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equipped with the Fréchet topology induced by the norms in $C^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We prove that $\text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a well-defined $C^1_{\text{Fr}}$-smooth Lie group exponential map

\[
\text{Exp}_{\text{PLG}} : C^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)
\]

such that $d_0\text{Exp}_{\text{PLG}} = \text{id}_{C^\infty_{\text{ap}}}$ and for any vector field $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with constant components, the differential $d_c\text{Exp}_{\text{PLG}}$ of (1.1) at $c$ has a non-trivial kernel in $C^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. This implies that the Lie group exponential map in $\text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a $C^1_{\text{Fr}}$-smooth diffeomorphism onto its image when restricted to any open neighborhood of zero in $C^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Corollary 3.3.1). In contrast to the case of $C^\infty$-smooth diffeomorphisms on the circle considered in [17][Counterexample 5.5.2] the set of singular points of the map (1.1) is not countable. A Riemannian counterpart of this example is discussed in Remark 1.1.2, where it is pointed out that some geodesics of a weak Riemannian metric on the group $\text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R})$ consist entirely of points conjugate to the identity.

In Section 4 we consider the weak Riemannian metric $\nu_\alpha$ on $\text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ obtained by right translations of the scalar product defined on the tangent space to identity

\[1\text{The symbol } C^1_{\text{Fr}} \text{ refers to } C^1\text{-maps in Fréchet calculus – see Appendix C.}\]
Note that in contrast to [21] the method of proof of Theorem 1.1 is not based on the averaged quantity
\[
\langle u, v \rangle_\alpha := \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[-T, T]^n} \left((I - \alpha^2 \Delta)u, v\right)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, dx,
\]
where \((\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}^n}\) is the Euclidean scalar product in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), \(\Delta\) is the Euclidean Laplacian \(\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \ldots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\) acting component-wise on vector fields on \(\mathbb{R}^n\), \(I\) is the \(n \times n\) identity matrix and \(\alpha \geq 0\) is a given constant. Note that the limit in (1.2) exists since the integrand is an almost periodic function (see Section 2). The least action principle applied to the energy functional
\[
\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \nu_\alpha(\varphi, \varphi_t) \, dt, \quad \varphi \in C^2_\nu([0, 1], Diff_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)),
\]
leads to a geodesic equation on \(T\left(Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \equiv Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)\) which, when written in Eulerian coordinates \(u = \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}\), takes the form
\[
\begin{cases}
    m + (\nabla m) \cdot u + \left((\text{div} u) \cdot I + (\nabla u)^T\right) \cdot m = 0, & m := (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)u \\
    u|_{t=0} = u_0
\end{cases}
\]
where \(\nabla u\) denotes the Jacobian matrix of \(u \in C_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)\). Our main result in Section 4 is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** There exist an open neighborhood \(V\) of zero in \(C_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)\) and an open neighborhood \(U\) of the identity in \(Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\) such that the Riemannian exponential map of \(\nu_\alpha\) with \(\alpha \neq 0\),

\[\text{Exp} : V \to U,\]

is well-defined and, in contrast to the Lie group exponential map \([1], [11]\), is a \(C^k_\nu\)-diffeomorphism.

**Remark 1.1.1.** In fact, \(\text{Exp} : V \to U\) is a \(C^k_\nu\)-smooth map for any \(k \geq 1\).

This Theorem generalizes the main results in [21] [11] [10] to the case of almost periodic diffeomorphisms of \(\mathbb{R}^n\). As a side result we also obtain that for non-integer exponents \(m \geq 3, m \notin \mathbb{Z}\), the geodesic equation (1.3) is locally well-posed in the space of \(C^m_{ap}\)-almost periodic functions (see Theorem 4.1 in Section 4). In particular, by taking \(n = 1\), we obtain from Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.1.1 that the Camassa-Holm equation [7] [9] [8] [31] [27] is locally well-posed in the space of almost periodic functions \(C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\) for any real \(m \geq 3\). More specifically, for any \(u_0 \in C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\) with \(m \geq 3\) there exists \(T > 0\) and a unique solution \(u \in C([0, T], C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, T], C_{ap}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}))\) of the Camassa-Holm equation that depends continuously on the initial data \(u_0 \in C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\) in the sense of Theorem 4.1. Note that in contrast to [21] the method of proof of Theorem 1.1 is not based on elliptic or tame estimates [17, 33].

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and the right invariance of the weak Riemannian metric \(\nu_\alpha\) we obtain the following

**Corollary 1.1.1.** There exists an open neighborhood \(U\) of the identity in \(Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\) such that if \(\varphi \circ \psi^{-1} \in U\) then there exists a unique minimal geodesic \(\zeta\) of the weak Riemannian metric \(\nu_\alpha\) with \(\alpha \neq 0\) that connects the points \(\varphi\) and \(\psi\). Any other minimal \(C^1_\nu\)-smooth curve that connects these two points coincides with \(\zeta\) when considered as a set in \(Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\).
A $C^1_T$-smooth curve connecting two points in $\Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called minimal if its length is less than or equal the length of any other piecewise $C^1_T$-smooth curve connecting the points.

In Section 5 we generalize the construction of the group of almost periodic diffeomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to finite dimensional Lie groups. This generalization is very natural and requires a separate study. Note also that although almost periodic functions have been studied for almost a century, to our best knowledge the corresponding groups of almost periodic transformations have not been considered earlier.

Several additional remarks on the case when $\alpha = 0$ are in order.

Remark 1.1.2. If we set $\alpha = 0$ and $n = 1$ in equation (1.3) then we obtain the inviscid Burgers equation $u_t + 3u_x u = 0$, $u|_{t=0} = u_0$, where $u_0 \in C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, the method of characteristics applies, and one sees that

\begin{equation}
(1.4) \quad u(t) = u_0 \circ \psi(t)^{-1}
\end{equation}

where $\psi(t, x) = x + 3u_0(x)t$ and $\psi \in C^1_T([0, T], \Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ for $T > 0$ sufficiently small. By Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.3.1, the equation $\dot{\varphi} = u(t) \circ \varphi$, $\varphi|_{t=0} = \text{id}$, has a unique solution $\varphi \in C^1_T([0, T], \Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\varphi(T)$ depends $C^1_T$-smoothly on the initial data $u_0 \in C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. This implies that there exist an open neighborhood $V$ of zero in $C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and a well-defined $C^1_T$-smooth (Burgers) Riemannian exponential map

\begin{equation}
(1.5) \quad \Exp : U \rightarrow \Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R})
\end{equation}

associated to the weak Riemannian metric $\nu_0$ on $\Diff_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. By arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 (cf. [11, 10]), one then sees that $d_0 \Exp = \text{id}_{C^\infty_{ap}}$ and for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the differential $d_c \Exp$ of (1.5) at $c$ has a non-trivial kernel in $C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. This implies that for any $0 < t \leq T$ the point $\Exp(ct) = \text{id} + ct$ is conjugate to $\text{id}$. Hence, the whole geodesic $\Exp(ct)$, $0 < t \leq T$, consists of points conjugate to identity. This is in sharp contrast with the Burgers Riemannian exponent map on the group of diffeomorphisms $\Diff^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ of the circle (cf. [11, 10]) where the set of conjugate points along the geodesic $\Exp(ct)$, $0 < t \leq T$, is countable. Finally, note that it follows from (1.4), Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 5.3 in Appendix A, that the solution of the Burgers equation belongs to $C([0, T], C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, T], C^m_{ap}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}))$ and depends continuously on $u_0 \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ for any real $m \geq 2$.

Remark 1.1.3. For any real $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$, define the group of volume preserving almost periodic diffeomorphisms

$$SDiff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ \varphi \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \det[d_x \varphi] = 1 \}.$$ 

Then, the least action principle applied to the restriction of the weak Riemannian metric $\nu_\alpha$ with $\alpha = 0$ to $SDiff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ leads, in Eulerian coordinates, to the incompressible Euler equation, to the space of $C^m$-almost periodic vector fields $C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Many of the results proved in this paper hold also in this case. Note also that the solutions of the Euler equation have bounded averaged energy

\begin{equation}
E(u) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[-T,T]^n} (u,u)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, dx
\end{equation}

\footnote{In fact, the maximal time of existence is equal to $1/\rho_0$ where $\rho_0 = -\min \{0, \inf_{\mathbb{R}}(3u_{0x}) \}$.}
that is independent of \( t \) in the domain of definition of \( u \). We will treat the Euler case in a separate work (cf. [15] for related results for the Navier-Stokes equation).

The results above can be readily translated to the auxiliary group \( \text{diff}_m^m(R^n) \), \( m \geq 1 \), considered in Section 3 and Appendix B (cf. also [32]). Note, however, that the averaged scalar product (1.2) in this case is not well-defined, and hence the corresponding equations are not necessarily geodesic equations of a weak Riemannian metric on \( \text{diff}_m^m(R^n) \).

For the convenience of the reader, we conclude this work with three Appendices where we collect several technical results used in the main body of the paper.

2. Spaces of almost periodic functions with Hölderian exponents

In this Section we collect several classical facts about almost periodic functions and define the spaces of almost periodic functions used in this paper. As mentioned in the Introduction, the following definition is given by Bohr [4, 5].

**Definition 2.1.** A continuous function \( f \in C(R, R) \) is called almost periodic (in the sense of Bohr) if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( L = L_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that in any interval in \( R \) of length \( L \) there exists \( T = T_\varepsilon \in R \) (called an \( \varepsilon \)-almost period of \( f \)) such that

\[
|f(x + T) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

for any \( x \in R \).

It is not difficult to see from this definition that any almost periodic function is in fact bounded and uniformly continuous on \( R \) (see e.g. [24, 25]). Denote by \( C_b(R, R) \) the Banach space of bounded continuous functions \( f : R \to R \) equipped with the the \( L^\infty \)-norm \( |f|_\infty := \sup_{x \in R} |f(x)| \). The following important characterization of almost periodic functions was given by Bochner.

**Theorem 2.1 (Bochner).** A continuous function \( f \in C(R, R) \) is almost periodic if and only if the set of functions

\[
S_f := \{ f_c \mid f_c(x) := f(x + c) \}_{c \in R}
\]

is precompact in \( C_b(R, R) \).

An alternative characterization of almost periodic functions was given by Wiener. Recall that a trigonometric polynomial is an expression of the form

\[
P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k e^{i\lambda_k x}
\]

where \( c_1, \ldots, c_N \) are complex numbers and \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N \) are real constants. One has the following

**Theorem 2.2 (Wiener).** A function \( f : R \to R \) is almost periodic if and only if there exists a sequence of trigonometric polynomials \( (P_k)_{k \geq 1} \) such that \( P_k \to f \) in \( C_b(R, R) \).

In this way the space of almost periodic functions \( C_{ap}(R, R) \) is the closure of the linear space of trigonometric polynomials in \( C_b(R, R) \). The notion of almost periodic functions can be generalized to functions on \( R^n \) with \( n \geq 2 \). (We refer to Section 5 where almost periodic functions on finite dimensional Lie group are considered.)
Definition 2.2. A continuous function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is called almost periodic (in the sense of Bohr) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $n$-dimensional closed cube $K \equiv K_\varepsilon$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists $T \equiv T_\varepsilon \in x_0 + K$ such that

$$|f(x + T) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

As in the one-dimensional case, any almost periodic function is bounded and uniformly continuous in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, there are analogs of Bochner’s and Wiener’s characterizations that we summarize in the following

Theorem 2.3. Let $f$ be a bounded continuous function in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:

(i) $f$ is almost periodic;

(ii) The set $S_f := \{ f_c \mid f_c(x) := f(x + c) \}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ is precompact in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$;

(iii) $f$ is a limit of a sequence of trigonometric polynomials in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, if $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is an almost periodic function then it has a well-defined mean value,

$$\bar{f} := \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[-T,T]^n} f(x) \, dx.$$

For the proof we refer e.g. to [37, Theorem 5.13A.2]). A trigonometric polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a function that can be written as $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k e^{i(\Lambda_k \cdot x)}$ where $c_1, \ldots, c_N$ are complex numbers, $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are given vectors, and where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by $C_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ the space of almost periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In view of Theorem 2.3, the space $C_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space of bounded continuous functions $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ supplied with the maximum norm.

Take a real exponent $m \geq 0$. For $m$ integer, denote by $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ the space of bounded continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose partial derivatives of order $\leq m$ are continuous and bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The space $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is equipped with the norm

$$|f|_m := \max_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m} |\partial^\beta f|_{\infty}$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ is a multi-index. In the case when the exponent $m \geq 0$ is not integer, $m = k + \gamma$ with $k \geq 0$ integer and $0 < \gamma < 1$, $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ will denote the Hölder space of functions $f \in C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ whose derivatives of order $k$ are Hölder continuous with exponent $\gamma$, equipped with the standard Hölder norm

$$|f|_m := |f|_k + |f|_\gamma, \quad |f|_\gamma := \max_{|\beta|=k \neq y} \frac{|(\partial^\beta f)(x) - (\partial^\beta f)(y)|}{|x - y|^{\gamma}}.$$

It is a well-known that for any real $m \geq 0$ the space $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to pointwise multiplication of functions (see e.g. [3, Proposition 2.1.1]). In what follows we will call the norm in $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ a $C^m$-norm independently of whether the exponent $m \geq 0$ is integer or not. Denote by $[m]$ the integer part of $m$, i.e. the greatest integer $k$ such that $k \leq m$.

For a given $f \in C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ with $m \geq 0$ real consider the family of functions in $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$,

$$S_f := \{ f_c \in C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \mid f_c(x) := f(x + c) \}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n}.$$
Consider the set of $C^m$-almost periodic functions

\begin{equation}
C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) := \{ f \in C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \mid S_f \text{ is precompact in } C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \}.
\end{equation}

We will equip $C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ with the $C^m$-norm topology inherited from $C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. By Theorem 2.3, $C^0_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ coincides with the space $C^0_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ of almost periodic functions in the sense of Bohr. The main result of this Section is Theorem 2.4 below which generalizes Theorem 2.3.

Note that in contrast to the space $C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ with integer exponent, the elements of the space $C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ with non-integer Hölderian exponent $m \geq 0$ are not necessarily approximated in the $C^m$-norm by elements from $C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} C^k_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. More specifically, consider the little Hölder space $C^m_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ which generalizes Theorem 2.3. Consider the set of functions

\begin{equation}
\text{Proposition 2.1. Assume that } m \geq 0 \text{ is real, } f \in C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and the set } S_f \text{ is precompact in } C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}). \text{ Then there exists a sequence } (f_k)_{k \geq 1} \text{ in } C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } f_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} f \text{ as } k \to \infty.
\end{equation}

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Take $f \in C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and assume that $S_f$ is precompact in $C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. Then $f \in C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and for any multi-index $|\beta| = |m|$ we have $\partial^{\beta} f \in C^m_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ where $\gamma := m - |m|$. Then, in view of Lemma 5.4 in Appendix B, the Proposition will follow once we prove that

\begin{equation}
\partial^{\beta} f \in C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})
\end{equation}

for any $|\beta| = |m|$. To this end, we first note that for any multi-index $|\beta| = |m|$ one has that $S_{\partial^{\beta} f} = \partial^{\beta}(S_f)$. This together with the continuity of the map $\partial^{\beta} : C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to C^m_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ then implies that $S_{\partial^{\beta} f}$ is precompact in $C^m_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, (2.0) will follow once we prove the statement of the Proposition for $m = \gamma$ where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. Let us prove it. Assume that $f \in C^m_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and that $S_f$ is precompact in $C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. We will first prove that the curve

\begin{equation}
c \mapsto f_c, \quad \mathbb{R}^n \to C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})
\end{equation}

is continuous. It is enough to prove the claimed continuity at $c = 0$. Assume that the curve above is not continuous at $c = 0$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a sequence $(c_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of real numbers such that $c_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ and

\begin{equation}
|f_{c_j} - f|_{\gamma} > \varepsilon_0 > 0.
\end{equation}

Since $S_f$ is precompact in $C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ there exist $\tilde{f} \in C^m_{b}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and a subsequence $(c'_{j})_{j \geq 1}$ of $(c_j)_{j \geq 1}$ such that $f_{c'_{j}} \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} \tilde{f}$ as $j \to \infty$. On the other side, the pointwise continuity of $f$ implies that pointwise $f_{c'_{j}} \to f$ as $j \to \infty$. This implies that $f \equiv \tilde{f}$ and hence,

\begin{equation}
f_{c'_{j}} \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} f \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.
\end{equation}
Since this contradicts (2.8), we conclude that the curve (2.7) is continuous. In particular, \([f_\epsilon - f]_\gamma \to 0\) as \(c \to 0\) or equivalently,

\[
(2.9) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, h \neq 0} \frac{|f(x + c + h) - f(x + c) - f(x + h) - f(x)|}{|h|} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad c \to 0.
\]

Let \(\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\) be a cut-off function such that \(\chi \geq 0\), \(\text{supp } \chi \subseteq [-2, 2]\), \(\chi|_{[-1,1]} \equiv 1\), and \(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi(x) \, dx = 1\). For any \(\varepsilon > 0\) denote \(\chi_\varepsilon(x) := \chi(x/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon^n\). We have (see (2.8)),

\[
[f \ast \chi_\varepsilon - f]_\gamma = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(f(x + y) - f(x)\right) \chi_\varepsilon(-y) \, dy\right]_\gamma
\]

\[
= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, h \neq 0} \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x+y+h) - f(x+y) - f(x+h) - f(x)}{|h|} \chi_\varepsilon(-y) \, dy\right|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, h \neq 0, |y| < \varepsilon} \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x+y+h) - f(x+y) - f(x+h) - f(x)}{|h|} \chi_\varepsilon(-y) \, dy\right|.
\]

By comparing this with (2.4) we see that \([f \ast \chi_\varepsilon - f]_\gamma \to 0\) as \(\varepsilon \to 0^+\). In addition, by the properties of the mollifiers, \(f \ast \chi_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{C_0^\infty} f\) as \(\varepsilon \to 0^+\) which implies that

\[
f \ast \chi_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{C_0^\infty} f \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+.
\]

Since \(f \ast \chi_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), we conclude the proof of Proposition 2.1. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.3.1.** Proposition 2.1 is used in a crucial way in the proof of the continuity of the composition in Theorem 3.2 – see Section 3.

**Remark 2.3.2.** For integer exponents \(m \geq 1\) spaces equivalent to \(C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\) were studied in the literature – see e.g. [38].

We have

**Proposition 2.2.** The set of \(C^m\)-almost periodic functions \(C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\) is a normed linear space with the following additional properties:

(i) For any real \(m \geq 0\) and for any multi-index \(\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) such that \(0 \leq |\beta| \leq m\) the map

\[
\partial^\beta : C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to C_{ap}^{m-|\beta|}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad f \mapsto \partial^\beta f,
\]

is well-defined and continuous.

(ii) For any real exponents \(m_1, m_2 \geq 0\) the pointwise multiplication

\[
C_{ap}^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \times C_{ap}^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to C_{ap}^{m_1+m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad (f, g) \mapsto fg,
\]

is a continuous map.

**Remark 2.3.3.** Items (i) and (ii) obviously hold for the larger spaces \(c_0^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\) and \(C_b^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\) – see e.g. Proposition 2.1.1 in [38].

**Proof of Proposition 2.2.** First, recall that the image of a precompact set under a continuous map is also precompact. With this in mind, the statement that \(C_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\) is a linear space can be proven as follows: First, note that for any \(f, g \in C_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\) we have

\[
S_{f+g} \subseteq S_f + S_g.
\]
Since $S_f + S_g$ is the image of the precompact set $S_f \times S_g$ in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \times C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ under the continuous map
\[ C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \times C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad (f, g) \mapsto f + g, \]
we conclude from (2.10) that $S_{f+g}$ is contained in a precompact set in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. Hence $S_{f+g}$ is precompact in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. This shows that $f + g \in C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. By arguing in a similar way, one also sees that $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is invariant under multiplication by scalars. Thus, $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a linear space. The remaining part of the Proposition can be proven by using similar arguments: By Remark 2.3.3, items (i) and (ii) hold for the larger space $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. Since the space $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is continuously embedded in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, items (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.2 will follow once we show that the image of the map in (i) consists of $C^m$-almost periodic functions and the image of the map in (ii) consists of $C_{\text{ap}}^{m(m_1,m_2)}$-almost periodic functions. The proofs of these two statements are similar and we will prove only the second one of them. Take $f \in C_{\text{ap}}^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in C_{\text{ap}}^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ with $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$. Then,
\[ (2.11) \quad S_{fg} \subseteq S_f \cdot S_g := \{ FG \mid F \in S_f, G \in S_g \}. \]
The set $S_f \cdot S_g$ is precompact in $C_{\text{ap}}^{m_1(m_1,m_2)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ since it is the image of the precompact set $S_f \times S_g$ in $C_b^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \times C_b^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ under the continuous map
\[ C_b^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \times C_b^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to C_b^{m_1(m_1,m_2)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad (F, G) \mapsto FG. \]
This together with (2.11) then implies that $S_{fg}$ is precompact in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, which completes the proof that $fg \in C_{\text{ap}}^{m(m_1,m_2)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. \hfill \qed

**Corollary 2.3.1.** For any real $m \geq 0$ the set of trigonometric polynomials is contained in $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$.

**Proof of Corollary 2.3.1.** Since, by Proposition 2.2, $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a linear space, it is enough to show that for any given vector $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the function $x \mapsto e^{i(\Lambda, x)\mathbb{R}}$ belongs to $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$. In order to prove this we first note that the image of the map $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}, \ c \mapsto e^{i(\Lambda, c)\mathbb{R}}$, is contained inside the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$, and hence it is precompact in $\mathbb{C}$. On the other side, the set of constants $\mathbb{C}$ is continuously embedded in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$. This implies that the image of the map $\mathbb{R}^n \to C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}), \ c \mapsto e^{i(\Lambda, c)\mathbb{R}}$, is precompact in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$. Since the pointwise multiplication of functions in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ is continuous, we then conclude from
\[ e^{i(\Lambda, x+c)\mathbb{R}} = e^{i(\Lambda, c)\mathbb{R}} \cdot e^{i(\Lambda, x)\mathbb{R}} \]
that the image of the map
\[ \mathbb{R}^n \to C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}), \quad c \mapsto [x \mapsto e^{i(\Lambda, x+c)\mathbb{R}}], \]
is precompact in $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$. This, together with the fact that trigonometric polynomials are elements of $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ then implies that the function $x \mapsto e^{i(\Lambda, x)\mathbb{R}}$ belongs to $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$. \hfill \qed

We have the following generalization of Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.4.** For any $n \geq 1$ and for any real exponent $m \geq 0$ the space of $C^m$-almost periodic functions $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a closed subspace in the Banach space $C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $C_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq c_{\text{ap}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for a given $f \in C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ the following three statements are equivalent:
(i) $f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$;
(ii) for any multi-index $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ such that $0 \leq |\beta| \leq m$ one has that $\partial^\beta f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ where $\gamma := m - |m|$;
(iii) $f$ is a limit in $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ of a sequence of trigonometric polynomials.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.4 we obtain

**Corollary 2.4.1.** The space $C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra.

**Remark 2.4.1.** The definition of a Banach algebra we adopt in this paper does not require the absolute constant $C > 0$ in the inequality $|fg|_m \leq C|f|_m g|_m$ to be equal to one.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** We will first prove that $C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a closed subspace in the Banach space $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. Take a sequence $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ in $C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$f_k \overset{C^m}{\to} f \text{ as } k \to \infty$$

for some $f \in C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. We will prove that $f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. To this end, take a sequence $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of real numbers. It follows from the definition (2.5) that for any $k \geq 1$ there exists a subsequence $(\alpha^k_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$ and a function $\tilde{f}_k \in C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\tilde{f}_k \overset{C^m}{\to} f_k \text{ as } j \to \infty$$

with the property that for any $k \geq 1$ the sequence $(\alpha^k_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a subsequence in $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$ and $(\alpha^k_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a subsequence of $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$. Since the $C^m$-norm is translation invariant (cf. 2.2 and 2.3) we conclude from (2.13) that for any given $k, l \geq 1$ such that $k \geq l$ we have

$$|\tilde{f}_k - \tilde{f}_l|_m = \lim_{j \to \infty} |(f_k)_{\alpha^k_j} - (f_l)_{\alpha^l_j}|_m = |f_k - f_l|_m.$$  

Using that $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ we obtain from (2.14) that there exists $\tilde{f} \in C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\tilde{f}_k \overset{C^m}{\to} \tilde{f} \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$  

Our last observation is that for any $k, j \geq 1$ we have $|(f_k)_{\alpha^k_j} - f_{\alpha^k_j}|_m = |f_k - f|_m$, which implies that uniformly in $j \geq 1$,

$$|(f_k)_{\alpha^k_j} - f_{\alpha^k_j}|_m \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$  

For any given $k, j \geq 1$ we have

$$|\tilde{f} - f_{\alpha^k_j}|_m \leq |\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}_k|_m + |\tilde{f}_k - (f_k)_{\alpha^k_j}|_m + |(f_k)_{\alpha^k_j} - f_{\alpha^k_j}|_m.$$  

This, together with (2.13), (2.15), and (2.16), then implies that for any given integer $p \geq 1$ there exist $k_p, j_p \geq 1$ such that $|\tilde{f} - f_{\alpha^k_{j_p}}|_m \leq 1/p$ with $k_{p+1} > k_p$ and $j_{p+1} > j_p$. This shows that the function $\tilde{f}$ can be approximated in $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ by a sequence of the form $(f_{\alpha^k_j})_{j \geq 1}$ with $\alpha^k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ where $(\alpha^k_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a subsequence of $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$. Hence, the set $S_f$ is precompact in $C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$.

3Recall that for any real constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote $f_c(x) := f(x + c)$. 


Let us now prove that statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Consider the map
\[ \iota : C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to \left[ C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \right]^{N_0}, \quad f \mapsto (\partial^\beta f)_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m}, \]
where \( N_0 \) is the number of different multi-indexes \( \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \) with \( 0 \leq |\beta| \leq m \). In view of the definition of the \( C^m \)-norm, the map (2.17) is a linear isomorphism of normed spaces onto its image equipped with the norm coming from \( \left[ C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \right]^{N_0} \).

In particular, this implies that the image of (2.17) is a closed linear subspace in \( \left[ C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \right]^{N_0} \). In addition to the map (2.17), for any multi-index \( \beta \) with \( 0 \leq |\beta| \leq m \) consider the map
\[ \iota_\beta : C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad f \mapsto \partial^\beta f. \]

Now, assume that \( f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Then, by the definition (2.3), the set \( S_f \) is precompact in \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Since for any given multi-index \( \beta \) the map (2.18) is continuous, the set \( \iota_\beta(S_f) \) is precompact in \( C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). On the other side, one easily sees that
\[ \iota_\beta(S_f) = S_{\partial^\beta f}. \]

This implies that \( S_{\partial^\beta f} \) is precompact in \( C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \), and hence \( \partial^\beta f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) by the definition of the space \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). This shows that (i) implies (ii). Now assume that item (ii) holds. Then, for any multi-index \( \beta \) such that \( 0 \leq |\beta| \leq m \) the sets \( S_{\partial^\beta f} \) are precompact in \( C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Consider the image \( \iota(S_f) \) of the set \( S_f \) under the map (2.17). It follows from (2.19) that
\[ \iota(S_f) \subseteq \prod_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m} S_{\partial^\beta f} \subseteq \left[ C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \right]^{N_0}. \]

Since the set on the right hand side of (2.20) is precompact in \( \left[ C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \right]^{N_0} \) (as it is a direct product of precompact sets), we conclude from (2.20) that \( \iota(S_f) \) is precompact in \( \left[ C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \right]^{N_0} \). Since (2.17) is an isomorphism onto its closed image, we see that \( S_f \) is precompact in \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Hence, the function \( f \) is \( C^m \)-almost periodic, \( f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). This proves the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

Finally, we will prove that items (i) and (iii) are equivalent. Take \( f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). If \( m \geq 0 \) is integer, then (iii) follows from [35, Theorem 2.2]. If \( m \geq 0 \) is not integer we argue as follows: Since \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) is contained in the little Hölder space \( C^{[m]}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \), we conclude that \( f \) can be approximated in \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) by a sequence of functions in \( C^{[m]}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). On the other side, since any element of \( C^{[m]}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) can be approximated in \( C^{[m]+1}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) by a sequence of trigonometric polynomials and since the inclusion \( C^{[m]+1}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C^{m}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) is bounded, we see that any element of \( C^{[m]}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) can be approximated in \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) by a sequence of trigonometric polynomials. This shows that the set of trigonometric polynomials is dense in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Conversely, assume that \( f \) is a limit in \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) of a sequence of trigonometric polynomials. Then, \( f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) since \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) is a closed subspace in \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and since, by Corollary (2.3.1), the set of trigonometric polynomials lies in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). \[ \square \]

Our last result in this Section is the following
Lemma 2.1. Assume that for \( f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) so that \(|f(x)| > \varepsilon\) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then \( 1/f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and there exists an open neighborhood \( U \) of zero in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) so that for any \( g \in U \) one has that \(|f + g| > \varepsilon/2\) and the map

\[
U \to C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad g \mapsto 1/(f + g),
\]

is real analytic.

Remark 2.4.2. The proof of Lemma 2.1 carries over without essential changes to \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Note that Lemma 2.1 does not hold without the assumption that \(|f(x)| > \varepsilon > 0\) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Assume that \( f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and that there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) so that \(|f(x)| > \varepsilon\) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). We will first prove that \( 1/f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). To this end consider the open neighborhood of \( f \) in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \),

\[ V(f) := \{ F \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \mid |F(x)| > \varepsilon/2 \}, \]

and note the the Banach algebra property of \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and the product rule easily imply that the map

\[ \mu : V(f) \to C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad F \mapsto 1/F, \]

is continuous. One sees from (2.4) that the set \( \mathcal{S}_f \) and its compact closure in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) are contained in \( V(f) \). This shows that the image \( \mu(\mathcal{S}_f) \) of \( \mathcal{S}_f \) under the continuous map \( \mu \) above is precompact in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). On the other side, since

\[ \mathcal{S}_{1/f} = \mu(\mathcal{S}_f), \]

we conclude that \( \mathcal{S}_{1/f} \) is precompact in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). This proves that \( 1/f \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). In order to prove the second statement of the Lemma consider the open neighborhood of zero in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \)

\[ U := \{ g \in C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \mid |g/f|_{m} < 1/2, |f + g| > \varepsilon/2 \} \]

and note that

\[ \frac{1}{f + g} = \frac{1}{1 + (g/f)} = \frac{1}{1/f} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (g/f)^k \]

where the series converges in \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) uniformly in \( g \in U \). Since by the Banach algebra property of \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) the terms in the series above are polynomial maps

\[ C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad g \mapsto (-1)^k (1/f)(g/f)^k, \]

we conclude that the map (2.21) is real analytic. \( \square \)

In addition to the spaces \( C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) we will also consider the space

\[
C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) := \bigcap_{k \geq 1, k \text{-integer}} C^k_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})
\]

supplied with the Fréchet topology induced by the norms \(|\cdot|_k\) with \( k \geq 1 \) integer (see Appendix C where we collect basic facts from the calculus in Fréchet spaces). We will also need the larger Fréchet space

\[
C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) := \bigcap_{k \geq 1, k \text{-integer}} C^k_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}).
\]
Remark 2.4.3. Note that we will obtain the same Fréchet space if we replace \( C^k_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) in formula (2.22) with \( C^{k+\gamma}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) for some given \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) chosen independent of \( k \geq 1 \). The reason is that the system of norms \( \{| \cdot |_{k+\gamma}\}_{k\geq1} \) induces the same Fréchet topology on \( C^{\infty}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Similarly, the replacement of \( C^k_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) in (2.22) by \( C^{k+\gamma}_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) (or \( C^{k+\gamma}_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \)) leads to the same Fréchet space.

One has the following characterization of \( C^{\infty}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \).

Lemma 2.2. A function \( f \in C^{\infty}_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) belongs to \( C^{\infty}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) if and only if the set \( S_f \) is precompact in \( C^{\infty}_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \).

Proof of Lemma 2.2 The proof of this Lemma follows directly from the definition (2.20) and a standard diagonal argument.

3. Groups of almost periodic diffeomorphisms

For \( m \geq 1 \) real, denote by \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \) the space of \( C^m \)-almost periodic vector fields on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) whose components lie in the space \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). In what follows we often write \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}} \) regardless of whether we consider spaces of functions or tensor fields on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Consider the following set of maps

\[
\text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \varphi(x) = x + f(x) \Big| f \in C^m_{\mathrm{ap}} \text{ s.t. } \det(I + \left[ d_x f \right]) > \varepsilon \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0 \right\}
\]

where \( I \) is the \( n \times n \) identity matrix, \( \left[ d_x f \right] \) is the Jacobian matrix of \( f \) at \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), and the inequality in (3.1) is satisfied uniformly in \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). In particular, by Hadamard-Levy’s theorem (see e.g. [2, Supplement 2.5D]), the set \( \text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) consists of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms. Moreover, in view of (3.1) and the Banach algebra property of \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \), for a given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) the inequality \( \det(I + \left[ d_x f \right]) > \varepsilon \) \( \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is an open condition on \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \). This implies that \( \text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) can be identified with an open set in \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \) via the map

\[
\text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \varphi \mapsto f := \varphi - \text{id},
\]

which is bijective onto its image. In this way, the set \( \text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a \( C^\infty \)-smooth Banach manifold modeled on \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \). We will also consider the larger set of maps

\[
\text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \varphi(x) = x + f(x) \Big| f \in C^m_{\mathrm{ap}} \text{ s.t. } \det(I + \left[ d_x f \right]) > \varepsilon \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0 \right\}
\]

where the inequality in (3.2) is satisfied uniformly in \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). By the same reasoning as above, \( \text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) consists of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and can be identified with an open set in \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}} \) via the map \( \text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^m_{\mathrm{ap}}, \varphi \mapsto f := \varphi - \text{id} \). Hence, \( \text{Diff}^{m}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a \( C^\infty \)-smooth Banach manifold modeled on \( C^m_{\mathrm{ap}} \). We will need the following generalization of [32, Lemma 2.2].

Theorem 3.1. For any real \( m \geq 1 \) the set \( \text{diff}^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a topological group with respect to the composition of maps. Moreover, for any integer \( r \geq 0 \) the maps

\[
o : \text{diff}^{m+r}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{diff}^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi,
\]

and

\[
i : \text{diff}^{m+r}_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_{\mathrm{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1},
\]

are \( C^r \)-smooth.
For the proof of this Theorem we refer to Appendix B.

Remark 3.1.1. Note that if we replace for \( m \geq 1 \) and \( m \notin \mathbb{Z} \) the little Hölder space \( c_b^m \) in definition (3.2) by the Hölder space \( C_b^m \), then the composition in Theorem 3.1 will not be continuous. In order to see this, take a function \( f \) that is \( C^2 \)-smooth everywhere except at \( x = 0 \) where its derivative is equal to \( \sqrt{|x|} \) in some open neighborhood of zero. Then, one sees from Lemma 5.5 in Appendix B that \( f \in C_b^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) but \( f \notin C_b^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \). A direct computation involving the definition of the norm in \( C_b^{3/2} \) then shows that \( |f \circ \tau_c - f|_{3/2} \geq 1 \) for any \( c \notin \mathbb{Z} \) where \( \tau_c : x \mapsto x + c \) is translation.

Since by Theorem (2.4) the space \( \mathcal{C}^m_{ap} \) is a closed subspace in \( c_b^m \), the group \( \text{Diff}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a submanifold in \( \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \). First, we prove the following

Lemma 3.1. Assume that \( \varphi = \text{id} + f \in \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( m \geq 1 \). Then \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) if and only if the set

\[
\mathcal{S}_f := \{ \varphi_c \in \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \varphi_c(x) := x + f(x + c) \}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n}
\]

is precompact in \( \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \). In this case, the set \( \mathcal{S}_f \) and its closure in \( \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \) are contained in \( \text{Diff}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

Remark 3.1.2. Note that the notation \( (\cdot)_c \) has different meanings depending on whether we apply it to functions in \( C_b^m \) or to diffeomorphisms. This shall not lead to confusion since the type of the quantities will always be clear from the context.

Proof of Lemma (3.1). Take \( \varphi \in \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then \( \varphi(x) = x + f(x) \) where \( f \in c_b^m \) and there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) so that \( \text{det} (I + [d_x f]) > \varepsilon \) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Since for any \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( [d_x f_c] = [d_x + c f] \) where \( f_c(x) = f(x + c) \), we conclude that

\[
\text{det} (I + [d_x f_c]) > \varepsilon \quad \forall x, c \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

This implies that for any \( g \) in the closure \( \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} \) of the set \( \mathcal{S}_f \) in \( C_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \),

\[
\text{det} (I + [d_x g]) > \varepsilon/2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

Since \( c_b^m \) is a closed subspace in \( C_b^m \) we conclude that \( \text{id} + \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} \subseteq \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \). By the definition of the Banach manifold structure on \( \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \),

(3.3) \[ \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} = \text{id} + \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} \subseteq \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n), \]

where \( \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} \) is the closure of the set \( \mathcal{S}_f \) in \( \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \). The first statement of Lemma (3.1) then immediately follows from (3.3). In order to prove the last statement of the Lemma note that the definition of \( \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} \) and the fact that \( \mathcal{C}^m_{ap} \) is a closed subset in \( C_b^m \) imply that \( f \in \mathcal{C}^m_{ap} \) if and only if \( \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^m_{ap} \). Combining this with (3.3) we conclude that \( \overline{\mathcal{S}_f} \subseteq \text{Diff}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

Remark 3.1.3. We have seen that \( \varphi \in \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \) implies that \( \varphi_c \in \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for any \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

The main results of this section is

Theorem 3.2. For any real \( m \geq 1 \) the set \( \mathcal{C}^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a topological subgroup of \( \text{diff}_{b}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Moreover, for any integer \( r \geq 0 \) the maps

\[
\circ : \mathcal{C}^{m+r}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{C}^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{C}^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi,
\]
and
\[ \iota : \Diff^{m+r}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}, \]
are $C^r$-smooth.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We will first prove that $\Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is closed under composition and inversion of diffeomorphisms. Take $\varphi, \psi \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and assume that $\varphi(x) = x + f(x)$ and $\psi(x) = x + g(x)$ for some $f, g \in C^m_{ap}$. Then, for any $c, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have
\begin{align*}
(\varphi_c \circ \psi_c)(x) &= x + g(x + c) + f(x + c + g(x + c)) \\
&= (x + g(x) + f(x + g(x))) \\
&= (\varphi \circ \psi)_c(x).
\end{align*}
This shows that
\[ S_{\varphi \circ \psi} = \{(\varphi \circ \psi)_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n} = \{\varphi_c \circ \psi_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n} \subseteq S_{\varphi} \circ S_{\psi}. \]
On the other side,
\[ S_{\varphi} \circ S_{\psi} = \circ(S_{\varphi} \times S_{\psi}) = \circ_0(S_{\psi} \times S_{\varphi}) \subseteq \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \]
where $\circ_0(S_{\varphi} \times S_{\psi})$ denotes the image of the set $S_{\varphi} \times S_{\psi}$ under the composition map
\begin{equation}
\circ : \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi.
\end{equation}
Since $\varphi, \psi \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see from Lemma 3.1 that $S_{\varphi}$ and $S_{\psi}$ are precompact sets in $\Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, the direct product $S_{\varphi} \times S_{\psi}$ is a precompact set in $\Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, together with the continuity of the composition map (3.7) and relation (3.6), then implies that $S_{\varphi} \circ S_{\psi}$ is precompact in $\Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Equation (3.5) then shows that $S_{\varphi \circ \psi}$ is a precompact set in $\Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 3.1 we then conclude that
\[ \varphi \circ \psi \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n). \]
Now, take $\varphi \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and assume that $\varphi(x) = x + f(x)$ where $f \in C^m_{ap}$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\varphi^{-1} \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence $\psi := \varphi^{-1}(x) = x + g(x)$ for some $g \in C^m_{ap}$. Since $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}$, we see from (3.1) that $\psi_c \circ \varphi_c = \text{id}$ and $\psi_c, \varphi_c \in \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Remark 3.1.3) for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, $\psi_c = (\varphi_c)^{-1}$ and hence
\[ \iota(S_{\varphi}) = S_{\varphi^{-1}}, \]
where $\iota : \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the inversion map. Since $\varphi \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we conclude from Lemma 3.1 that the set $S_{\varphi}$ is precompact in $\Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The continuity of the inversion map $\iota : \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from Theorem 3.1 then implies that $S_{\varphi^{-1}} = \iota(S_{\varphi})$ is precompact in $\Diff^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Lemma 3.1
\[ \varphi^{-1} \in \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n). \]
In this way, we have proved that $\Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a group with respect to the composition of diffeomorphisms. The continuity and the $C^r$-regularity of the composition and the inversion map on $\Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then follow from Theorem 3.1. \hfill \square

Remark 3.2.1. As a consequence, one sees that for any real $m \geq 1$ and for any integer $r \geq 0$ the map
\[ \circ : C^{m+r}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{R}) \times \Diff^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{R}), \quad (f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi, \]
is well-defined and \( C^r \)-smooth. The same result also holds for the group \( \text{diff}^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and the space \( C^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \).

Finally, consider the topological group
\[
(3.8) \quad \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcap_{k \geq 1, k\text{-integer}} \text{Diff}^k_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)
\]
supplied with the Fréchet topology coming from \( C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) as well as the larger Fréchet group
\[
(3.9) \quad \text{Diff}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcap_{k \geq 1, k\text{-integer}} \text{diff}^k_0(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]
It follows from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.1 that the composition and the inversion map in these groups are \( C^\infty_F \)-smooth. In this sense, the groups \( \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \text{Diff}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) are Fréchet-Lie groups. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 5.3 in Appendix A that for any \( u \in C([0, T], C^\infty_{ap}) \) there exists a unique solution \( \varphi \in C^1_F([0, T], \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \) of the equation
\[
(3.10) \quad \begin{cases}
\dot{\varphi} = u \circ \varphi \\
\varphi|_{t=0} = \text{id}.
\end{cases}
\]
By taking \( u \in C^\infty_{ap} \) independent of \( t \) we get a global solution
\[
\varphi \in C^1_F([0, \infty), \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n))
\]
which, in view of Remark 5.3.1 in Appendix A, depends \( C^1 \)-smoothly on the choice of the vector field \( u \in C^\infty_{ap} \) in the sense that the map
\[
[0, T] \times C^\infty_{ap} \to C^\infty_{ap}, \quad (t, u) \mapsto \varphi(t; u),
\]
is \( C^1_F \)-smooth. This allows us to define the Lie group exponential map,
\[
(3.11) \quad \text{Exp}_{LG} : C^\infty_{ap} \to \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad u \mapsto \varphi(1, u),
\]
which is \( C^1_F \)-smooth. One easily sees that for any \( t \geq 0 \) and for any \( u \in C^\infty_{ap}, \)
\[
\text{Exp}_{LG}(tu) = \varphi(1, tu) = \varphi(t, u)
\]
which implies that
\[
d_0 \text{Exp}_{LG} = \text{id}_{C^\infty_{ap}}.
\]
Now, take a non-zero constant vector \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and let \( u_0 = c \neq 0 \). Then \( \varphi(t, u_0) = \text{id} + tu_0 \) is the solution of (3.10) with \( u = u_0 \). Take \( \delta u \in C^\infty_{ap} \) and for any given \( t \geq 0 \) consider the directional derivative in \( \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n), \)
\[
w(t) \equiv (D_2 \varphi)(t, u_0) \cdot \delta u := \frac{d}{ds}
\Big|_{s=0}
\varphi(t, u_0 + s \cdot \delta u) \in C^\infty_{ap}.
\]
It follows directly from (3.10) that \( w \in C^1_F([0, \infty), C^\infty_{ap}) \) satisfies the equation
\[
\dot{w}(t, x) = (\delta u)(x + ct), \quad w|_{t=0} = 0,
\]
for any given \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). This implies that \( w(t) = \int_0^t (\delta u)(x + cs) \, ds \) and hence
\[
(3.12) \quad (d_{u_0} \text{Exp}_{LG})(\delta u) = (D_2 \varphi)(1, u_0) \cdot \delta u = \int_0^1 (\delta u)(x + cs) \, ds.
\]
Now, take

\[ (\delta u)(x) := \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{v}_k e^{i(A_k \cdot x) k^\alpha}, \quad A_k := 2\pi k c/|c|^2, \]

so that \( \hat{v} - k = \hat{v}_k \) and the sequence \((\hat{v}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}\) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) is chosen so that the series in (3.13) converges in \( C^\infty_{ap} \). For example, one can choose \( \hat{v}_k = O(e^{-|k|}) \). Then, in view of (3.12), we obtain that for \( \delta u \in C^\infty_{ap} \) given by (3.13) we have

\[ (d_{\omega_0} \text{Exp}_{LG})(\delta u) = 0. \]

In this way we proved

**Theorem 3.3.** The group \( \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) has a well-defined Lie group exponential map \( \text{Exp}_{LG} : C^\infty_{ap} \to \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( d_0 \text{Exp}_{LG} = \text{id}_{C^\infty_{ap}} \) and for any given non-zero vector \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \) there exists a non-zero \( \delta u \in C^\infty_{ap} \) such that \( (d_c \text{Exp}_{LG})(\delta u) = 0. \)

Note that in contrast to the Lie group exponential map on the group of \( C^\infty \)-smooth diffeomorphisms of the circle (see e.g. [17, Counterexample 5.5.2]), we have no restrictions on the choice of the non-zero constant vector \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \). In this way we see that for any given \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \) the one-parameter family \( \{\text{Exp}_{LG}(t c)\}_{t>0} \) of diffeomorphisms in \( \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) consists of critical points of (3.11). As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3 and Remark 5.4.4 in Appendix C we obtain

**Corollary 3.3.1.** There is no open neighborhood \( V \) of zero in \( C^\infty_{ap} \) such that the restriction \( \text{Exp}_{LG}|_V : V \to \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a \( C^1 \)-diffeomorphism onto its image.

A Riemannian counterpart of this Corollary was given in Remark 5.2.2.

In the next Section we show that the Riemannian exponential map of an appropriately chosen weak Riemannian metric on \( \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a \( C^1 \)-diffeomorphism onto its image when restricted to a sufficiently small open neighborhood of zero in \( C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

4. A Riemannian exponential map

In this section we study the properties of the Riemannian exponential map on \( \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) corresponding to the right-invariant (weak) Riemannian metric on \( \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) given at the tangent space at identity \( T_{id}(\text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \equiv C^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \) by the scalar product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha : C^\infty_{ap} \times C^\infty_{ap} \to \mathbb{R} \),

\[ \langle u, v \rangle_\alpha := \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[-T,T]^n} ((I - \alpha^2 \Delta) u, v)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, dx, \]

where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \) is the Euclidean scalar product in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \Delta \) is the Euclidean Laplacian \( \Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \ldots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \) acting component-wise on vector fields on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( \alpha > 0 \) is a given positive constant. Since by Proposition 2.2 the integrand in (4.1) is a bounded almost periodic function, the limit in (4.1) exists by Theorem 2.4.

By using the product formula \( (\Delta u, v)_{\mathbb{R}^n} = -\langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \text{div} ((\nabla u)^T v) \) where \( \nabla u := [du] \equiv (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i})_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \) is the Jacobian \( n \times n \) matrix, \( (\cdot)^T \) is the transpose of a matrix, and \( (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\mathbb{R}^n} := \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \nabla u_k, \nabla v_k \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \), we obtain from (4.1) and the
where the last equality readily follows from the inclusion of sets (4.4) divergence theorem that

\[
(u, v)_\alpha = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[-T,T]^n} \left( (u, v)_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \alpha^2 (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \right) dx + O(T^{n-1})
\]

(4.2) \[= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[-T,T]^n} \left( (u, v)_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \alpha^2 (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \right) dx,
\]

where we also used the uniform boundedness of almost periodic functions. This shows that the scalar product (4.1) extends to a positive definite bounded bilinear map \(C^1_{ap} \times C^1_{ap} \to \mathbb{R}\) and hence defines a weak scalar product on the tangent space \(T_{id}(\text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \equiv C^\infty_{ap}\). Recall that a scalar product on a topological vector space \(X\) being weak means that the topology induced on the vector space \(X\) by this scalar product is weaker than the original topology of \(X\). The scalar product (4.1) defines a (weak) Riemannian metric on the group \(\text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) by right translations: for any \(\varphi \in \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) and for any \(\xi, \eta \in T_\varphi(\text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \equiv C^\infty_{ap}\), define

\[
\nu_\alpha(\xi, \eta) := \left( R_{\varphi^{-1}} \xi, R_{\varphi^{-1}} \eta \right)_{\alpha} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[-T,T]^n} \left( (A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \xi, (A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \eta \right)_{\mathbb{R}^n} dy
\]

(4.3)\[\nu_\alpha(\xi, \eta) := \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[\varphi^{-1}([-T,T]^n)]} \left( (R_{\varphi} \circ A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \xi, (R_{\varphi} \circ A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \eta \right)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det[d_x \varphi] dx \]

(4.4)\[\nu_\alpha(\xi, \eta) := \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^n} \int_{[\varphi^{-1}([-T,T]^n)]} \left( (R_{\varphi} \circ A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \xi, (R_{\varphi} \circ A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \eta \right)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det[d_x \varphi] dx.
\]

The last equality readily follows from the inclusion of sets \([- (T - B), T - B] \subset \varphi^{-1}([-T,T]^n) \subset [- (T + B), T + B] \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) which holds for any \(T > B\) where \(B \geq |g|_{\infty}\) is a constant independent of \(T\) and \(\varphi^{-1} = id + g\) with \(g \in C^\infty_{ap}\). The least action principle applied to (4.4), together with the arguments used in the periodic case [21, Appendix C], show that the Euler-Lagrange equation corresponding to the energy functional

\[
\mathcal{E}(\varphi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \nu_\alpha(\varphi, \dot{\varphi}) dt, \quad \varphi \in C^2_F([0, 1], \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)),
\]

when written in Eulerian coordinates \(u = \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}\), takes the form

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
m + (\nabla m) \cdot u + ((\text{div} u) \cdot I + (\nabla u)^T) \cdot m = 0, \\
u|_{t=0} = u_0
\end{array}
\right.
\]

(4.6)

Lemma 4.1. If \(n = 1\) equation (4.6) is the Camassa-Holm equation (see [7]).

In Lagrangian coordinates \((\varphi, v) \in T(\text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \equiv \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^\infty_{ap}\) where \(v := u \circ \varphi\) and

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\varphi} = u \circ \varphi \\
\varphi|_{t=0} = id
\end{array}
\right.
\]

(4.7)

one has

\[
(\psi, \dot{v}) = (v, R_{\varphi} \circ A_\alpha^{-1} \circ B_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v))
\]

(4.8)
where \((\varphi, v)|_{t=0} = (\text{id}, u_0)\),
\[
B_{\alpha}(u) := A_{\alpha}[(\nabla u) \cdot u] - \nabla[A_{\alpha}u] \cdot u - (\text{div } u \cdot I + (\nabla u)^T)A_{\alpha}u,
\]
and \(A_{\alpha}^{-1} : C_{\text{ap}}^\infty \to C_{\text{ap}}^\infty\) is the inverse of of the linear isomorphism of Fréchet spaces \(A_{\alpha} : C_{\text{ap}}^\infty \to C_{\text{ap}}^\infty\). Note that for any non-integer \(m \geq 0\) the differential expression \(A_{\alpha}\) defines a linear isomorphism of Banach spaces
\[
(4.9) \quad A_{\alpha} : C_{\text{ap}}^{m+2} \to C_{\text{ap}}^{m}
\]
with a (bounded) inverse \(A_{\alpha}^{-1} : C_{\text{ap}}^{m} \to C_{\text{ap}}^{m+2}\) (see Corollary [5.2.1] in Appendix A).

Denote by \(B_{C_{\text{ap}}^{m}}(\rho)\) the open ball in \(C_{\text{ap}}^{m}\) of radius \(\rho > 0\) centered at zero. One has the following

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \(m \geq 3\), \(m \notin \mathbb{Z}\), and \(\rho > 0\). Then there exists \(T = T_{m, \rho} > 0\) such that for any \(u_0 \in B_{C_{\text{ap}}^{m}}(\rho)\), there exists a unique solution \(u \in C([0, T], C_{\text{ap}}^{m}) \cap C^1([0, T], C_{\text{ap}}^{m-1})\) of (4.8) that depends continuously on the initial data \(u_0\) in the sense that the data-to-solution map
\[
B_{C_{\text{ap}}^{m}}(\rho) \to C([0, T], C_{\text{ap}}^{m}) \cap C^1([0, T], C_{\text{ap}}^{m-1}), \quad u_0 \mapsto u,
\]
is continuous. Moreover, the right hand side of (4.8) is a \(C^1\)-smooth vector field on \(\text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m}\) and, in particular, there exists a unique solution
\[
(\varphi, v) \in C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m})
\]
of (4.5) such that the data-to-solution map
\[
[0, T] \times B_{C_{\text{ap}}^{m}}(\rho) \to \text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m}, \quad (t, u_0) \mapsto (\varphi(t, u_0), v(t, u_0)),
\]
is \(C^1\)-smooth.

The proof of this theorem is based on the fact that the right-hand side of (4.8) is a \(C^1\)-smooth vector field on \(\text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m}\). Details of the proof are given in Appendix A.

**Remark 4.1.1.** If \(n = 1\) then the condition \(m \notin \mathbb{Z}\) in Theorem 4.1 is not needed.

**Remark 4.1.2.** In fact, we indicate in Appendix A that the right-hand side of (4.8) is an analytic vector field on \(\text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m}\). The higher regularity of the right-hand side of (4.8) is used in the proof of Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 below.

**Remark 4.1.3.** Proposition 4.1 below implies that the time of existence \(T > 0\) in Theorem 4.1 can be chosen independent of the regularity exponent \(m \geq 3\), \(m \notin \mathbb{Z}\) (cf. [14] Theorem 12.1).

Not that if for some \(T > 0\) the curve \((\varphi, v) \in C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m})\) is a solution of (4.8), then for any \(0 < \mu \leq T/2\) the curve
\[
(4.10) \quad t \mapsto (\varphi(\mu t), \mu v(\mu t)), \quad [0, 2] \to \text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m},
\]
is a \(C^1\)-smooth solution of (4.8) with initial data \((\text{id}, \mu v(0))\). Combining this with the second statement of Theorem 4.1 one concludes that for any \(m \geq 3\), \(m \notin \mathbb{Z}\), there exists an open neighborhood \(V_m\) of zero in \(C_{\text{ap}}^{m}\) such that for any \(u_0 \in V_m\) there exists a unique solution
\[
(4.11) \quad (\varphi, v) \in C^1([0, 2], \text{Diff}_{\text{ap}}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{\text{ap}}^{m}), \quad (\varphi, v)|_{t=0} = (\text{id}, u_0),
\]
of (1.8) such that the solution map
\[
(4.12) \quad [0, 2] \times V_m \to \text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^m_{\text{ap}}, \quad (t, u_0) \mapsto (\varphi(t, u_0), v(t, u_0)),
\]
is $C^1$-smooth. This allows us for any $m \geq 3$, $m \notin \mathbb{Z}$, to define the exponential map
\[
(4.13) \quad \text{Exp}_m : V_m \to \text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad u_0 \mapsto \varphi(1, u_0),
\]
which is a $C^1$-map. It follows from the (4.10) and the uniqueness of the solutions of (4.8) that for any $u_0 \in V_m$, $t \in [0, 2]$ and $0 < \mu \leq 1$, we have $\varphi(\mu t, u_0) = \varphi(t, \mu u_0)$ and hence, $\varphi(\mu, u_0) = \varphi(1, \mu u_0)$ for any $0 < \mu \leq 1$. This, together with the continuity of the map (4.11) implies that for any $u_0 \in V_m$ and for any $0 \leq t \leq 2$,
\[
\text{Exp}_m(tu_0) = \varphi(1, tu_0) = \varphi(t, u_0)
\]
which implies that
\[
(4.14) \quad d_0\text{Exp}_m = \text{id}_{C^m_{\text{ap}}}.
\]
Now, for a given $0 < \gamma < 1$, consider the set of Hölderian exponents\n\[
M_\gamma := \{k + \gamma | k \geq 3, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
\]
It will follow from Proposition 4.1 below that for any $m \in M_\gamma$ the neighborhoods $V_m$ can be chosen so that\n\[
(4.15) \quad V_m := V_{m_3} \cap C^m_{\text{ap}}, \quad m_3 := 3 + \gamma.
\]
This allows us to define the associated to the Riemannian metric (4.3) on $\text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ \textit{Riemannian exponential map}\n\[
(4.16) \quad \text{Exp}_\infty := \text{Exp}_{m_3}|_{C^\infty_{\text{ap}}} : V_{m_3} \cap C^\infty_{\text{ap}} \to \text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]
Note that for any $m \in M_\gamma$, it follows from (4.14) and the inverse function theorem in Banach spaces that there exists an open neighborhood $\tilde{V}_m \subseteq V_m$ of zero in $C^m_{\text{ap}}$ such that the restriction of the exponential map (4.14) to this neighborhood is a diffeomorphism onto an open neighborhood of the identity in $\text{Diff}^m_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Of course, it could happen that\n\[
\bigcap_{m \in M_\gamma} \tilde{V}_m = \emptyset.
\]
We will show, however, that this does not happen, and moreover, we have the following\n\textbf{Theorem 4.2.} \textit{There exist an open neighborhood $V$ of zero in $C^\infty_{\text{ap}}$ and an open neighborhood $U$ of $\text{id}$ in $\text{Diff}^\infty_{\text{ap}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that\n\[
\text{Exp}_\infty : V \to U
\]
is a $C^1_F$-diffeomorphism.\n
As mentioned above, the symbol $C^1_F$ denotes the class of Fréchet (continuously) differentiable maps (see Appendix C). Note also that the non-degeneracy condition (4.14) is not sufficient for claiming Theorem 4.2. The proof of Theorem 4.2 is based on a variant of the inverse function theorem in Fréchet spaces – see Theorem 5.5 in Appendix C.\n
\textbf{Remark 4.2.1.} \textit{In fact, it follows from Remark 4.1.2 that $\text{Exp}_\infty : V \to U$ is a $C^k_F$-map for any $k \geq 1$.}\n
We first prove the following
Proposition 4.1. Assume that \( m \in M_{\epsilon} \). Then for any \( u_0 \in V_{m_3} \cap C_m^{ap} \) there exists a unique solution \((\varphi, v)\) of \((4.3)\) that lies in \( C^1([0, 2], \text{Diff}^{m}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^m_{ap}) \) and depends \( C^1 \)-smoothly on the initial data \( u_0 \in V_{m_3} \cap C^m_{ap} \) in the sense that the data-to-solution map
\[
(4.17) \quad (t, u_0) \mapsto (\varphi(t, u_0), v(t, u_0)), \quad [0, 2) \times \left(V_{m_3} \cap C^m_{ap}\right) \mapsto \text{Diff}^{m}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^m_{ap},
\]
is \( C^1 \)-smooth.

Since equation \((4.8)\) describes the geodesics of the right-invariant Riemannian metric \((4.3)\) on \( \text{Diff}^{m}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) it is natural to assume that it is invariant with respect to right translations. In fact, we have

Lemma 4.1. Let \( m \in M_{\epsilon}, T > 0, \) and \( \psi \in \text{Diff}^{m}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then, if the curve
\[
(\varphi, v) \in C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}^{m}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^m_{ap})
\]
is a solution of \((4.8)\) then the right-translated by \( \psi \) curve
\[
(4.18) \quad (\varphi \circ \psi, v \circ \psi) \in C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}^{m}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^m_{ap})
\]
is again a solution of \((4.8)\).

The Lemma follows by a direct substitution of the curve \((4.18)\) into \((4.8)\). We will omit the proof.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We will prove Proposition 4.1 by induction in the regularity exponent \( m \in M_{\epsilon} \). If \( m = m_3 \) the statement of the Proposition follows from the choice of the neighborhood \( V_{m_3} \). Further, assume that \( m > m_3, m \in M_{\epsilon} \), and that there exists a unique solution
\[
(\varphi, v) \in C^1([0, 2], \text{Diff}^{m-1}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^{m-1}_{ap}),
\]
of equation \((4.8)\). This, together with the fact that, by Theorem 4.1 the right hand side of \((4.8)\) is a \( C^1 \)-smooth vector field on \( \text{Diff}^{m-1}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^{m-1}_{ap} \), implies that there exists an open neighborhood \( O_{m-1} \) of \((\varphi, v)|_{t=0} = (\text{id}, u_0) \) in \( \text{Diff}^{m-1}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^{m-1}_{ap} \) such that for any initial data \((\varphi_0, u_0) \in O_{m-1} \) there exists a unique solution of \((4.8)\) such that the data-to-solution map
\[
(4.19) \quad S : [0, 2) \times O_{m-1} \to \text{Diff}^{m-1}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^{m-1}_{ap}, \quad (t, \varphi_0, u_0) \mapsto (\varphi(t, \varphi_0, u_0), v(t, \varphi_0, u_0)),
\]
is \( C^1 \)-smooth. For any \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) denote by \( \tau_j : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Diff}^\infty_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) the one-parameter group of translations on \( \mathbb{R}^n \),
\[
(4.20) \quad \tau_j(s)(x) := x + se_j, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},
\]
where \( e_j \) is the standard \( j \)-th basis vector in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Since \( u_0 \in C^m_{ap} \) it follows from Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.2.1 that for any \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) and for \( \epsilon > 0 \) sufficiently close to zero, the curve
\[
(4.21) \quad \gamma_j : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to O_{m-1}, \quad s \mapsto (\tau_j(s), u_0 \circ \tau_j(s)),
\]
is \( C^1 \)-smooth. It follows from Lemma 4.1 and the uniqueness of the solutions of \((4.8)\) that for any \( 1 \leq j \leq n, t \in [0, 2) \), and \( s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \) we have
\[
S(t, \gamma_j(s)) = (\varphi(t) \circ \tau_j(s), v(t) \circ \tau_j(s)).
\]
Since the map (4.19) and the curve (4.21) are $C^1$-smooth we conclude that for any given $t \in [0, 2)$ the curve

\[ (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{ap}^m \times C_{ap}^m, \quad s \mapsto S(t, \gamma_j(s)) = (\varphi(t) \circ \tau_j(s), v(t) \circ \tau_j(s)) \]

is $C^1$-smooth. Taking the derivative of this curve at $s = 0$ we see that for any $1 \leq j \leq n,\]

\[ \frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_j} \in C_{ap}^{m-1}, \]

which, by Theorem 2.4, implies that $(\varphi(t), v(t))$ in $\text{Diff}_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{ap}^m$. This, together with the fact that (4.8) is a $C^1$-smooth dynamical system on $\text{Diff}_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}) \times C_{ap}^m$, then shows that

\[ (\varphi, v) \in C^1([0, 2), \text{Diff}_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}) \times C_{ap}^m). \]

The fact that the data-to-solution map (4.17) is a $C^1$-map follows from the theorem on dependence on parameters of the solutions of ODEs in Banach spaces, applied to the $C^1$-smooth dynamical system (4.8).

As mentioned above, it follows from the inverse function theorem and the non-degeneracy condition (4.14) with $m = m_3$ that the open neighborhood $V_{m_3}$ of zero in $C_{ap}^{m_3}$ can be chosen so that there exists an open neighborhood $U_{m_3}$ of id in $\text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the map

\[ \text{Exp} \equiv \text{Exp}_{m_3} : V_{m_3} \rightarrow U_{m_3} \]

is a $C^1$-diffeomorphism. In what follows we will assume that such choice is made. In addition to (4.15) for any $m \in M_\gamma$ denote

\[ U_m := U_{m_3} \cap \text{Diff}_{ap}^m(\mathbb{R}^n). \]

As mentioned above, Proposition 4.1 implies that for any $m \in M_\gamma$ the exponential map (4.13) is well defined on $V_m$ and

\[ \text{Exp}_m \equiv \text{Exp}_{|C_{ap}^m} : V_m \rightarrow U_m \]

is a $C^1$-map. Summarizing the above, we obtain

**Proposition 4.2.** There exist an open neighborhood $V_{m_3}$ of zero in $C_{ap}^{m_3}$ and an open neighborhood $U_{m_3}$ of identity in $\text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the (Riemannian) exponential map (4.13),

\[ \text{Exp} \equiv \text{Exp}_{m_3} : V_{m_3} \rightarrow U_{m_3}, \]

is a $C^1$-diffeomorphism. Moreover, for any $m \in M_\gamma$ the map (4.23) where $V_m = V_{m_3} \cap C_{ap}^m$ and $U_m = V_{m_3} \cap C_{ap}^m$ is a $C^1$-map.

The following two statements are needed for the proof of Theorem 4.2.

**Proposition 4.3.** For any $m \in M_\gamma$ the map (4.23) is onto.

**Proposition 4.4.** For any $m \in M_\gamma$ and for any $u_0 \in V_{m_3} \cap C_{ap}^{m+1}$ one has

\[ d_{u_0} \text{Exp}(C_{ap}^m \setminus C_{ap}^{m+1}) \subseteq C_{ap}^m \setminus C_{ap}^{m+1}. \]

Before proceeding with the proofs of these two statements we need to define the auxiliary map $\tilde{F}$ (see (4.26) below). It follows from Theorem 4.1, Remark 4.1.2, and Proposition 4.1 that for any $u_0 \in V_{m_3}$ there exists an open neighborhood $O_{m_3}$
of \((\text{id}, u_0)\) in \(\text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{ap}^{m_3}\) such that for any initial data \((\psi, w) \in O_{m_3}\) there exists a unique solution of \((\psi, w)\) such that for any \(\ell \geq 1\) the data-to-solution map

\[
[0, 2) \times O_{m_3} \to \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{ap}^{m_3}, \quad (t, \psi, w) \mapsto (\varphi(t, \psi, w), v(t, \psi, w)),
\]

is a \(C^\ell\)-map. This allows us to define

\[
\mathcal{E} : O_{m_3} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\psi, w) \mapsto \varphi(1, \varphi, w),
\]

which is a \(C^\ell\)-map such that \(\mathcal{E}(\text{id}, w) = \text{Exp}(w)\) for any \((\text{id}, w) \in O_{m_3}\). Now, consider the map

\[
\tilde{F} : O_{m_3} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\psi, w) \mapsto (\psi, \mathcal{E}(\psi, w)).
\]

The differential of \(\tilde{F}\) at the point \((\text{id}, u_0)\)

\[
d_{(\text{id}, u_0)}\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
d_{u_0}\text{Exp}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since by construction \(\text{Exp} : V_{m_3} \rightarrow U_{m_3}\) is a \(C^1\)-diffeomorphism, the differential \(d_{u_0}\text{Exp} : C_{ap}^{m_3} \rightarrow C_{ap}^{m_3}\) is an isomorphism. This together with \((4.24)\) implies that

\[
d_{(\text{id}, u_0)}\tilde{F} : C_{ap}^{m_3} \times C_{ap}^{m_3} \rightarrow C_{ap}^{m_3} \times C_{ap}^{m_3}
\]

is an isomorphism. Then, by the inverse function theorem in Banach spaces, we can shrink the neighborhood \(O_{m_3}\) of \((\text{id}, u_0)\) in \(\text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{ap}^{m_3}\) if necessary so that the map

\[
\tilde{F} : O_{m_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{m_3},
\]

where \(\mathcal{W}_{m_3}\) is an open neighborhood of \((\text{id}, \text{Exp}(u_0))\) in \(\text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)\),

is a \(C^\ell\)-diffeomorphism. In particular, we see that the map

\[
\tilde{F} := \pi_2 \circ \tilde{F}^{-1} : \mathcal{W}_{m_3} \rightarrow C_{ap}^{m_3}
\]

where \(\pi_2 : \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{ap}^{m_3} \rightarrow C_{ap}^{m_3}\) is the projection onto the second component, is \(C^\ell\)-smooth

\[
\tilde{F}(\text{id}, \text{Exp}(u_0)) = u_0.
\]

It follows from Lemma \([1.1]\) and the uniqueness of the solutions of \((4.8)\) that for any \((\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \mathcal{W}_{m_3}\) and for any \(\tau \in \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) sufficiently close to \id in \(\text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) we have

\[
\tilde{F}(\varphi_1 \circ \tau, \varphi_2 \circ \tau) = \tilde{F}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \circ \tau.
\]

**Remark 4.2.2.** The map \(\tilde{F}\) has a simple geometrical meaning: For a given \(u_0 \in V_{m_3}\), \(\tilde{F}\) assigns to a pair of diffeomorphisms \(\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in U_{m_3} \subseteq \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) such that \(\varphi_1\) is sufficiently close to \id and \(\varphi_2\) is sufficiently close to \(\text{Exp}(u_0)\) in \(\text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) a vector \(w \in C_{ap}^{m_3}\) such that the geodesic issuing at \(\varphi_1\) with velocity \(w\) reaches \(\varphi_2\) at time \(t = 1\). The main point is that \(\tilde{F}\) is a \(C^\ell\)-map for any given \(\ell \geq 1\). Note also that by construction \(\tilde{F}(\text{id}, \text{Exp}(u_0)) = u_0\).

Now we are ready to prove Proposition \([4.3]\) and Proposition \([4.4]\).

**Proof of Proposition \([4.3]\)**. If \(m = m_3\) then Proposition \([4.3]\) holds by the construction of the neighborhoods \(V_{m_3}\) and \(U_{m_3}\). Now, assume that \(m > m_3\), \(m \in M_\gamma\), and take \(\varphi \in U_{m_3} \cap C_{ap}^{m_3}\). Since by construction \(\text{Exp} : V_{m_3} \rightarrow U_{m_3}\) is a \(C^1\)-diffeomorphism,
there exists \( u_0 \in V_{m_3} \) such that \( \text{Exp}(u_0) = \varphi \). Hence, in view of (4.27), for any \( \tau \in \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) that is sufficiently close to \( \text{id} \) in \( \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) we have
\[
\bar{F}(\tau, \varphi \circ \tau) = \bar{F}(\text{id}, \varphi) \circ \tau = u_0 \circ \tau.
\]
(4.28)
Take an arbitrary vector \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and consider the one-parameter group of translations \( \tau_\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Diff}_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \),
\[
(\xi, x) := x + s \xi.
\]
(4.29)
For \( \varepsilon > 0 \) sufficiently close to zero consider the \( C^\ell \)-smooth curve (see Theorem 3.2)
\[
\gamma_\xi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to W_{m_3}, \quad s \mapsto (\tau_\xi(s), \varphi \circ \tau_\xi(s))
\]
where \( \ell := |m| - 3 \geq 1 \). Since the map (4.26) is \( C^\infty \)-smooth we conclude that the curve
\[
(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to C_{ap}^{m_3}, \quad s \mapsto \bar{F}(\tau_\xi(s), \varphi \circ \tau_\xi(s)) = u_0 \circ \tau_\xi(s),
\]
is a \( C^\ell \)-map. The equality above follows from (4.28). By taking the \( j \)-th derivative of this curve at \( s = 0 \) successively for \( 1 \leq j \leq \ell \), we see that for any \( 1 \leq j \leq \ell \) and for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \),
\[
[d^j u_0](\xi) \in C_{ap}^{m_3},
\]
where \( [d^j u_0] \) denotes the \( j \)-th differential of \( u_0 \). This together with Theorem 2.4(ii) then implies that \( u_0 \in C_{ap}^{m_3} \). Then, by Proposition 4.2 \( \varphi = \text{Exp}(u_0) \in \text{Diff}_ap^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \).
This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3. \( \square \)

**Proof of Proposition 4.4.** Assume that \( m \in M_3 \) and \( u_0 \in V_{m_3} \cap C_{ap}^{m_3+1} \). Since \( \text{Exp} : V_{m_3} \to U_{m_3} \) is a \( C^1 \)-diffeomorphism and \( u_0 \in V_{m_3} \) we conclude that
\[
d_{u_0} \text{Exp} : C_{ap}^{m_3} \to C_{ap}^{m_3}
\]
is an isomorphism. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 1.2 and \( u_0 \in V_{m_3} \cap C_{ap}^{m_3+1} \) that
\[
\varphi := \text{Exp}(u_0) \in \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)
\]
and
\[
d_{u_0} \text{Exp} : C_{ap}^{m_3} \to C_{ap}^{m_3}.
\]
Hence, Proposition 4.4 will follow if we show that the inverse of (4.30) maps \( C_{ap}^{m_3+1} \) into \( C_{ap}^{m_3+1} \). Let us prove this: Take a variation vector \( \delta \varphi \in C_{ap}^{m_3+1} \). Then for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small and for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \) consider the \( C^1 \)-map
\[
(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to W_{m_3}, \quad (t, s) \mapsto \left( \tau_\xi(s), (\varphi + t \delta \varphi) \circ \tau_\xi(s) \right),
\]
where \( \tau_\xi(s) \in \text{Diff}_{ap}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is given by (4.29) and \( W_{m_3} \) is the domain of definition of the \( C^\infty \)-map (4.26). It follows from (4.26) that for any \( t, s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \),
\[
\bar{F} \left( \tau_\xi(s), (\varphi + t \delta \varphi) \circ \tau_\xi(s) \right) = \bar{F}(\text{id}, \varphi + t \delta \varphi) \circ \tau_\xi(s).
\]
By taking the partial derivative \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \) of this map we see that for any \( s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \),
\[
(4.31)
D_2 \bar{F} \left( \tau_{s}(s), \varphi \circ \tau_{s}(s) \right) \left( (\delta \varphi) \circ \tau_{s}(s) \right) = (\delta u_0) \circ \tau_{s}(s),
\]
where \( \delta u_0 \in C_{ap}^{m_3} \) denotes the preimage of the variation vector \( \delta \varphi \in C_{ap}^{m_3} \) with respect to the isomorphism (4.30). Since the map (4.26) is \( C^\infty \)-smooth and since, by Theorem 3.2 and the fact that \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \delta \varphi \in C_{ap}^{m_3+1} \), the curves
\[
(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{Diff}_{ap}^{m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad s \mapsto \left( \tau_\xi(s), \varphi \circ \tau_\xi(s) \right)
\]

and
\[ (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow C_{ap}^{m_3}, \quad s \mapsto (\delta \varphi) \circ \tau_{t}(s) \]
are $C^\ell$-smooth with $\ell := |m| - 2$, we conclude from (4.31) that
\[ (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \mapsto (\delta u_0) \circ \tau_{t}(s) \]
is a $C^\ell$-map. By taking the $j$-th derivative of this curve at $s = 0$ successively for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ we conclude that for any $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
\[ [d^j(\delta u_0)](\xi) \in C_{ap}^{m_3}, \]
where, as above, $[d^j(\delta u_0)]$ denotes the $j$-th differential of $\delta u_0$. This together with Theorem 2.4 (ii) implies that $\delta u_0 \in C_{ap}^{m+1}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \hfill \Box

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 1.1.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Theorem 4.2 follows directly from Proposition 4.4. Proposition 4.1 proved above, and Theorem 5.5 in Appendix C. \hfill \Box

Proof of Corollary 1.1.1. Take $u, \delta u \in C_{ap}^{\infty}$ and for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the one-parameter family of geodesics on $\text{Diff}_{ap}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
\[ \zeta : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{ap}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \zeta(s, t) \equiv \zeta(s, t) := \text{Exp}(t(u + s \delta u)) \]
where for simplicity of notation we set $\text{Exp} \equiv \text{Exp}_{ap}$. Note that these geodesics start at the identity, $\zeta(s, 0) = \text{id}$ for all $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, but have not necessarily fixed endpoints $\zeta(s, 1), s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. We set $\zeta_0 := \zeta(0, t), \dot{\zeta}_0 := \frac{\partial \zeta_0}{\partial t}(0, t)$, and $\delta \zeta_0 := \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \delta t}(0, t)$. Then, we obtain for the variation of the energy functional (4.32),
\[ \frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{s=0} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_s) = \int_0^1 \text{EL}(\zeta_0, \dot{\zeta}_0)(\delta \zeta_0) \, dt + \nu_0(\dot{\zeta}_0, \delta \zeta_0)\bigg|_{t=1} - \nu_0(\dot{\zeta}_0, \delta \zeta_0)\bigg|_{t=0}, \]
where for any given $\zeta_0 \in C_{ap}^{\infty}$ and for any $t \in [0, 1]$,
\[ \text{EL}(\zeta_0(t), \dot{\zeta}_0(t))(\xi) := (D_1L)(\zeta_0(t), \dot{\zeta}_0(t))(\xi) - \left[(D_2L)(\zeta_0(t), \dot{\zeta}_0(t))(\xi)\right], \]
and $L(\varphi, v) := \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(\varphi(v), v), (\varphi, v) \in \text{Diff}_{ap}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_{ap}^{\infty}$, is the Lagrangian. Since, in our framework, the geodesics are obtained from the least action principle, they satisfies the variational Euler-Lagrange equation, i.e, $\text{EL}(\zeta_0, \dot{\zeta}_0)(\xi) = 0$ for any $\xi \in C_{ap}^{\infty}$ and for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence, by (4.32),
\[ \frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{s=0} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_s) = \nu_0(\dot{\zeta}_0(1), \delta \zeta_0(1)) - \nu_0(\dot{\zeta}_0(0), \delta \zeta_0(0)) \]
(4.34)
\[ = \nu_0(d_u \text{Exp}(u), d_u \text{Exp}(\delta u)), \]
where we used that $\delta \zeta_0(0) = 0$.

Remark 4.2.3 (Conservation of Energy). The variational formula (4.33) holds, with $\zeta$ replaced by $\varphi$, for any $C^2_\rho$-variation $\varphi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{ap}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of a given geodesic $\varphi_0 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{ap}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By taking $\varphi(s, t) := \varphi_0(t + s)$ we obtain
\[ \mathcal{E}(\varphi_s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \nu_0(\varphi_0(t + s))(\dot{\varphi}_0(t + s), \varphi_0(t + s)) \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_s^{1+s} \nu_0(\varphi_0(t))(\dot{\varphi}_0(t), \varphi_0(t)) \, dt \]

\[ \quad \text{Here } D_k L, k = 1, 2, \text{ denotes the partial derivative with respect to the } k\text{-th argument.} \]

\[ \text{By Theorem 4.2 the geodesic } \varphi_0 \text{ is defined in some open interval of } \mathbb{R} \text{ containing } [0, 1]. \]
which implies that
\[ \frac{d}{ds}igg|_{s=0} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_s) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nu_\alpha(\dot{\varphi}_0(1), \dot{\varphi}_0(1)) - \nu_\alpha(\dot{\varphi}_0(0), \dot{\varphi}_0(0)) \right). \]

By comparing this with (4.34) we conclude that
\[ \nu_\alpha(\dot{\varphi}_0(1), \dot{\varphi}_0(1)) = \nu_\alpha(\dot{\varphi}_0(0), \dot{\varphi}_0(0)). \]

Then, by rescaling the initial vector of the geodesic \( \varphi_0 \), we see that the quantity \( \nu_\alpha(\dot{\varphi}_0(t), \dot{\varphi}_0(t)) \) is independent of \( t \) on the domain of definition of \( \varphi_0 \).

Since by Remark 4.2, \[ \nu_\alpha(\dot{\zeta}_s(t), \dot{\zeta}_s(t)) = \langle u + s \delta u, u + s \delta u \rangle_\alpha \]
for any \( u \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \), we see that \( \mathcal{E}(\zeta_s) = \frac{1}{2} \langle u + s \delta u, u + s \delta u \rangle_\alpha \). This, together with (4.34), then implies that
\[ \nu_\alpha(\delta_u, \delta_u) = \langle u, \delta_u \rangle_\alpha, \]
which is nothing else but an analog of the classical Gauss Lemma in finite dimensional Riemannian geometry. Standard arguments as in the proof of Lemma 10.6 and Theorem 10.4 in [30] then imply that any diffeomorphism \( \varphi \) from the neighborhood \( U \) of \( \text{id} \) in \( \text{Diff}^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \) given by Theorem 4.2 is connected with the identity \( \text{id} \) by a unique minimal geodesic. The Corollary then follows from the right invariance of the weak Riemannian metric \( \nu_\alpha \).

\[ \square \]

5. Almost periodic diffeomorphisms on Lie groups

In this Section we generalize the group of almost periodic diffeomorphisms from \( \mathbb{R}^n \) to any finite dimensional Lie group \( G \), \( \dim G = n \). Note that our aim is only to indicate such a generalization by giving the main definitions and by proving only the very basic results from Section 2 and Section 3. We do not attempt to generalize each of the statements proved in these sections. In particular, we do not treat the case of Hölderian exponents. A full generalizations of the results proved in this paper to an arbitrary Lie group \( G \) will require a separate study.

Almost periodic functions on an abstract group \( G \) were defined by von Neumann in [40]. By definition, a bounded function \( f : G \to \mathbb{C} \) is called almost periodic (in the sense of von Neumann) if the family of functions
\[ S_f := \{ f_c : G \to \mathbb{C} \mid f_c(x) := f(cx), \forall x, c \in G \}, \]
is precompact with respect to the supremum norm \( |f|_\infty = \sup_{x \in G} |f(x)| \). Note that this definition does not require any topology on \( G \). Since our interest to almost periodic functions stems from the analysis of almost periodic solutions of PDEs on non-compact manifolds, in what follows we will assume that \( G \) is a finite dimensional Lie group with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). Although not specifically assumed, we will be mostly interested in the situation when \( G \) is a non-compact Lie group. As usual, we will identify the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) with the tangent space at the identity \( T_e G \).

For a given \( x \in G \) consider the right translation \( R_x : G \to G \), \( R_x : g \mapsto g x \). Then, the differential of \( R_x \) at the identity induces an isomorphism \( d_e R_x : \mathfrak{g} \to T_x G \) that allows us to trivialize the tangent bundle \( TG \).

\[ \tau : G \times \mathfrak{g} \to TG, \quad (x, \xi) \mapsto (x, (d_e R_x)(\xi)). \]
We will also fix a positive scalar product $\mu_c : g \times g \to \mathbb{R}$ on $g$ and denote by $\mu$ the corresponding right-invariant Riemannian metric on $TG$. Denote by $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of $\mu$. Let $m \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition, a $C^m$-smooth vector field $X$ on $G$ belongs to the class $C^m_b(TM)$ if
\begin{equation}
\|\nabla^j X\|_\mu < \infty, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m,
\end{equation}
where $\nabla^j$ is the $j$-th power of $\nabla$ and $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ is the induced by the Riemannian metric supremum norm on the space of tensor fields. We will equip $C^m_b(TG)$ with the norm
\begin{equation}
\|X\| := \max_{0 \leq j \leq m} \|\nabla^j X\|_\mu.
\end{equation}
Similarly, a $g$-valued function $F \in C^m(G, g)$ belongs to the class $C^m_b(G, g)$ if the vector field
\begin{equation}
X_F := (\tau^{-1})^*(F)
\end{equation}
belongs to $C^m_b(TM)$. One easily checks that $C^m_b(G, g)$ is a Banach spaces and that the map
\begin{equation}
\tau^* : C^m_b(TG) \to C^m_b(G, g), \quad X \mapsto F_X := \tau^*(X),
\end{equation}
is a bijective linear map. The map $\tau$ trivially becomes an isomorphism of Banach spaces if we equip $C^m_b(G, g)$ with the norm $|F|_m := \|X_F\|_m$ induced from the norm (5.3) on $C^m_b(TG)$ via the map (5.5). Following von Neumann, for a given $F \in C^m_b(G, g)$ consider the set
\begin{equation}
S_F := \{F_c \mid F_c(x) := F(xc)\}_{c \in G}
\end{equation}
and define the space of $C^m$-almost periodic $g$-valued functions on $G$,
\begin{equation}
C^m_{ap}(G, g) := \{F \in C^m_b(G, g) \mid S_F \text{ is precompact in } C^m_b(G, g)\}.
\end{equation}
Similarly, for a given vector field $X$ on $G$ consider the set
\begin{equation}
S_X := \{X_c \mid X_c := [(dR_c)(X)] \circ R_{c^{-1}}\}_{c \in G}
\end{equation}
and define the space of $C^m$-almost periodic vector fields on $G$,
\begin{equation}
C^m_{ap}(TG) := \{X \in C^m_b(TG) \mid S_X \text{ is precompact in } C^m_b(TG)\}.
\end{equation}
We have

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $X \in C^m_b(TG)$ and $F_X$ be the $g$-valued function on $G$ associated to $X$ by (5.5). Then $F_X \in C^m_{ap}(G, g)$ if and only if $X \in C^m_{ap}(TG)$.

**Proof of Lemma 5.1.** First, we will prove that for any $c \in G$ we have the following commutative diagram
\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^m_b(TG) & \xrightarrow{\tau^*} & C^m_b(G, g) \\
| \downarrow c & & \downarrow c^{-1} \\
C^m_b(TG) & \xrightarrow{\tau^*} & C^m_b(G, g)
\end{array}
\end{equation}
where the horizontal arrows represent the map (5.5), $C^m_b(TG) \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} C^m_b(TG)$, $X \mapsto X_{c^{-1}}$, and $C^m_b(TG) \xrightarrow{\tau} C^m_b(TG)$, $X \mapsto X_c$. In fact, by the definition of $X_c$ and $F_c$, we have
we have that for any $x \in G$,

$$F_{X_c}(xc) = (d_{xc}R_{xc})^{-1}(X_c(xc))$$

$$= (d_{xc}R_{xc})^{-1}(d_{x}R_{c})(X(x))$$

$$= (d_{xc}R_{xc})(d_{x}R_{c})(X(x))$$

$$= (d_{xc}R_{xc})(X(x)) = F(x).$$

Hence, for any $c, x \in G$, $F_{X_c}(x) = F_{c^{-1}}(x)$, or equivalently,

$$\tau^*(X_c) = (\tau^*(X))_{c^{-1}}. \quad (5.9)$$

This proves the commutativity of the diagram. In particular, $(5.9)$ shows that

$$\tau^*(S_X) = S_{F}. \quad (5.10)$$

Since $\tau^*: C^m_b(TG) \to C^m_b(G, \mathfrak{g})$ is an isomorphism of Banach spaces, the Lemma follows from $(5.10)$ and the definitions $(5.6)$ and $(5.7)$. \[\square\]

**Remark 5.0.1.** Lemma 5.1 shows that von Neumann’s definition of almost periodic functions on abstract groups naturally extends to vector fields on Lie groups.

Our next goal is to define the group of $C^m_b$-diffeomorphisms of the Lie group $G$. To this end, we fix a coordinate chart $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 \in U$,

$$\kappa: U \to \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $U$ is an open neighborhood of identity in $G$, $U$ and $G$ are precompact in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $G$ respectively, and $\kappa(0) = e$. Take a $C^m$-diffeomorphism $\varphi: G \to G$ and for any given $g \in G$ consider the map

$$(5.11) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_g := (R_{\varphi(g)} \circ \kappa)^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ (R_g \circ \kappa).$$

One easily sees that $(5.11)$ defines a map that takes an open neighborhood of zero in $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto an open neighborhood of zero $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\tilde{\varphi}_g(0) = 0$.

**Definition 5.1.** A $C^m$-diffeomorphism $\varphi: G \to G$ belongs to the class $\text{diff}^m_b(G)$ if there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| (\partial^\beta \tilde{\varphi}_g)(0) \right| < C$$

for any multi-index $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $0 \leq |\beta| \leq m$, and for any $g \in G$.

This definition is independent of the choice of the coordinate chart. It follows directly from $(5.11)$ that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_g \circ \varphi = \tilde{\varphi}_{\varphi(g)} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$$

for any $\varphi, \psi \in \text{diff}^m_b(G)$ and for any $g \in G$. This together with the chain rule then implies that $\text{diff}^m_b(G)$ is a group. Note also that for any given $x, g \in G$, we have $(R_x)_g = \text{id}$ and hence $R_x \in \text{diff}^m_b(G)$. Moreover, one can prove that $\text{diff}^m_b(G)$ is a Banach manifold modeled on $C^m_b(G, \mathfrak{g})$ (or equivalently on $C^m_b(TG)$). We have

**Theorem 5.1.** $\text{diff}^m_b(G)$ is a topological group under the composition of diffeomorphisms.
The proof of the continuity of the composition and the inversion map in \( \text{diff}^m_b(G) \) is straightforward and thus omitted.

Now, we are ready to define the group of \( C^m \)-almost periodic diffeomorphisms on \( G \). For any \( c \in G \) and for any \( \varphi \in \text{diff}^m_b(G) \) define
\[
(5.12) \quad \varphi_c := R_{c^{-1}} \circ \varphi \circ R_c.
\]
Since \( R_c \in \text{diff}^m_b(G) \) we conclude that \( \varphi_c \in \text{diff}^m_b(G) \) for any \( c \in G \).

**Remark 5.1.1.** Note that definition \((5.12)\) is consistent with the definition of the corresponding quantity in the case of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (see Lemma [3.7]).

**Definition 5.2.** A diffeomorphism \( \varphi \in \text{diff}^m_b(G) \) is almost periodic, \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \), if the set
\[
S_\varphi := \{ \varphi_c \mid c \in G \}
\]
is precompact in \( \text{diff}^m_b(G) \).

We equip \( \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \) with the topology coming from \( \text{diff}^m_b(G) \). Arguing as in Section 2 and Section 3, one proves that \( \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \) is a Banach manifold modeled on \( C^m_{ap}(G, g) \) (or equivalently on \( C^m_{ap}(TG) \)).

**Theorem 5.2.** \( \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \) is a topological subgroup of \( \text{diff}^m_b(G) \).

**Proof of Theorem 5.2.** In view of Theorem 5.1 we have only to show that \( \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \) is closed under the composition and the inversion map. To this end, take \( \varphi, \psi \in \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \). It follows directly from \((5.12)\) that for any \( c \in G \),
\[
(5.13) \quad (\varphi \circ \psi)_c = \varphi_c \circ \psi_c \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi^{-1})_c = (\varphi_c)^{-1}.
\]
Now, we argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. In view of \((5.13)\), we have
\[
(5.14) \quad S_{\varphi \circ \psi} \subseteq S_\varphi \circ S_\psi \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-1}_\varphi = \iota(S_\varphi).
\]
Since, \( S_\varphi \times S_\psi \) is precompact in \( \text{diff}^m_b(G) \times \text{diff}^m_b(G) \) and since, by Theorem 5.1 the composition \( \circ : \text{diff}^m_b(G) \times \text{diff}^m_b(G) \to \text{diff}^m_b(G) \) is continuous, we conclude that \( S_{\varphi} \times S_{\psi} = \circ(S_\varphi \times S_\psi) \) is precompact in \( \text{diff}^m_b(G) \). This together with the first relation in \((5.14)\) then implies that \( S_{\varphi \circ \psi} \) is precompact in \( \text{diff}^m_b(G) \). Hence, \( \varphi \circ \psi \in \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \). The proof that \( \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(G) \) follows from the second relation in \((5.14)\) and by similar arguments.

**Appendix A: Well-posedness of the geodesic equation**

In this Appendix we summarize several basic results on the well-posedness of the geodesic equations \((4.6)\) and \((4.8)\) studied in Section 4. Some of the statements are part of the first author’s Ph.D. thesis.

**The inverse of \( A_\alpha \):** A result of Muhamadiev [34, Theorem 1] implies that for any Hölderian exponent \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) the operator
\[
(5.15) \quad A_\alpha \equiv (I - \alpha^2 \Delta) : C^{2+\gamma}_b \rightarrow C^\gamma_b
\]
is an isomorphism of Banach spaces. Denote by
\[
(5.16) \quad A^{-1}_\alpha : C^\gamma_b \rightarrow C^{2+\gamma}_b
\]
its inverse. Since the operator \( A_\alpha \) is translation invariant, i.e. for any \( f \in C^{2+\gamma}_b \) and for any \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we have that \( A_\alpha(f_c) = (A_\alpha f)_c \), where \( f_c(x) = f(x+c) \), and since \((5.15)\) is an isomorphism, we conclude that its inverse \((5.16)\) is translation invariant.
This implies that \( (5.10) \) commutes with the partial differentiations which, together with Remark 2.3.3 and Theorem 2.4, gives

**Lemma 5.2.** For any integer \( k \geq 0 \) and for any Hölderian exponent \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) the operator

\[
A_\alpha : C^k_b \to C^{k+\gamma}_b
\]

is an isomorphism of Banach spaces.

As a consequence we obtain

**Corollary 5.2.1.** For any integer \( k \geq 0 \) and for any Hölderian exponent \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) the operator

\[
(5.17) \quad A_\alpha : C^{k+2+\gamma}_b \to C^{k+\gamma}_b
\]

is an isomorphism of Banach spaces.

**Proof of Corollary 5.2.1.** In view of the translation invariance of the operator \( A_\alpha \), we conclude that for any \( f \in C^{k+\gamma}_b \) we have

\[
(5.18) \quad A_\alpha^{-1}(S_f) = S_{A_\alpha^{-1}f},
\]

where \( S_f = \{ f \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( S_f \subseteq C^{k+\gamma}_b \). Since \( S_f \) is precompact in \( C^{k+\gamma}_b \) and since the map \( A_\alpha^{-1} : C^{k+\gamma}_b \to C^{k+2+\gamma}_b \) is continuous we obtain from (5.18) that \( S_{A_\alpha^{-1}f} \) is precompact in \( C^{k+2+\gamma}_b \). By the definition of the class of almost periodic functions \( C^{k+2+\gamma}_b \) we then conclude that \( A_\alpha^{-1}f \in C^{k+2+\gamma}_b \). Hence, the map (5.17) is a bijection onto its image. By the open mapping theorem we then see that (5.17) is an isomorphism of Banach spaces.

**Remark 5.2.1.** The statements on the operator \( A_\alpha \) above can also be proved directly by using the Littlewood-Paley dyadic characterization of the Hölder space \( C^{k+\gamma}_b \) (see e.g. [3]).

**The geodesic equation:** In what follows we discuss the well-posedness of the geodesic equation (1.6) (and (1.8)). To this end, we first prove

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( m \geq 2 \) and \( T > 0 \) be real. Then, for any \( u \in C([0, T], C^m_{ap}) \) there exists a unique solution \( \varphi \in C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \) of

\[
(5.19) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\varphi} = u \circ \varphi \\ \varphi|_{t=0} = \text{id} \end{cases}
\]

**Remark 5.3.1.** It will follow from the proof of Theorem 5.3 together with Theorem 5.2 and the theorems on the dependence on parameters of the solutions of ODEs in Banach spaces, that in the case when \( u \in C^m_{ap} \) is independent of \( t \), the solution \( \varphi \) of (5.19), considered as a map \( (t, u) \mapsto \varphi(t, u) \) belongs to \( C([0, \infty) \times C^m_{ap}, \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, \infty) \times C^m_{ap}, \text{Diff}^{m-1}_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \). Moreover, in this case, for any given \( T > 0 \) the solution \( \varphi \) belongs to \( C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \) and depends continuously on \( u \in C^m_{ap} \) in the sense that the map

\[
C^m_{ap} \to C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}^m_{ap}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad u \mapsto \varphi
\]

is continuous.
Remark 5.3.2. The same results hold with $\text{Diff}_n^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ replaced by $\text{diff}_n^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Take $u \in C([0, T], C^m_n)$ where $m \geq 2$ and $T > 0$ and consider the map

$$F : [0, T] \times \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^m_n, \quad F : (t, \varphi) \mapsto u(t) \circ \varphi.$$ 

(5.20)

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that (5.20) is continuous and locally Lipschitz continuous in the second argument. By the existence and uniqueness theorem for ODEs in Banach spaces (see e.g. [23, 13]) and the compactness of the interval $[0, T]$ one obtains that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $t_0 \in [0, T]$ there exists a unique solution

$$\varphi \in C^1\left((t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T], \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right), \quad \varphi_{|t = t_0} = \text{id},$$

of the ordinary differential equation $\dot{\varphi} = F(t, \varphi)$ in $\text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that for any given $\psi \in \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the right-translation by $\psi$,

$$R_\psi : \text{Diff}_n^{m-1} \to \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi,$$

is an affine linear map in the coordinate chart of $\text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence, by Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.2.1 a $C^\infty$-smooth map. This together with the symmetry $F(t, \varphi \circ \psi) = F(t, \varphi) \circ \psi$ implies that if for some $t_0 \in [0, T]$ the curve $\varphi \in C^1\left((t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T], \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ is a solution of $\dot{\varphi} = F(t, \varphi)$ with initial data $\varphi_{|t = t_0} = \text{id}$ then for any given $\psi \in \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the right translated curve $\varphi \circ \psi \in C^1\left((t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T], \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ is a solution of this equation with initial data $\varphi \circ \psi_{|t = t_0} = \psi$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is independent of the choice of $t_0 \in [0, T]$ and $\psi \in \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and since the solutions of $\dot{\varphi} = F(t, \varphi)$ are unique we obtain that (5.19) has a unique solution

$$\varphi \in C^1\left([0, T], \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right).$$

This together with (5.19) implies that

$$[d\varphi(t)]^* = [du(t)] \circ \varphi(t) \cdot [d\varphi(t)], \quad [d\varphi]|_{t=0} = I,$$

(5.22)

where $[d\varphi(t)]$ is the Jacobian matrix of $\varphi(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ at time $t \in [0, T]$, $[du(t)]$ is the Jacobian matrix of $u(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ at time $t \in [0, T]$, and $\cdot^*$ is the (pointwise) partial derivative with respect to $t$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that the map

$$J : [0, T] \to C^m_n, \quad t \mapsto [du(t)] \circ \varphi(t),$$

is continuous. The Banach algebra property in $C^m_n$ then implies that for any given $t \in [0, T]$ the $n \times n$ matrix $J(t)$ with elements in $C^m_n$ defines, by pointwise multiplication, a bounded linear map $J(t) : C^m_n \to C^m_n$ such that $J : [0, T] \to \mathcal{L}(C^m_n, C^m_n)$ is continuous. This shows that the linear ODE,

$$\dot{Y} = J(t)Y, \quad Y|_{t=0} = I,$$

in the Banach space $C^m_n$ has a unique solution $Y \in C^1\left([0, T], C^m_n\right)$. Now, it follows from (5.22) and the uniqueness of solutions of the corresponding ODEs that

$$[d\varphi] \in C^1\left([0, T], C^m_n\right).$$

(5.23)

The characterization (ii) of the function space $C^m_n$ in Theorem 2.4 together with (5.21) and (5.23) then implies that

$$\varphi \in C^1\left([0, T], \text{Diff}_n^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right).$$
Theorem 5.4. Let \( m \geq 3, m \notin \mathbb{Z} \), and \( T > 0 \). Then, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the solutions \( (\varphi, v) \in C^1([0, T], \text{Diff}_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n)) \) of the initial value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
(\dot{\varphi}, \dot{v}) &= (v, R_\varphi \circ A^{-1}_\alpha \circ B_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v)), \quad (\varphi, v)|_{t=0} = (\text{id}, u_0),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( B_\alpha(u) = A_\alpha \left[ (\nabla u) \cdot u - \nabla [A_\alpha u] \cdot u - (\text{div} u \cdot I + (\nabla u)^T)A_\alpha u \right] \) for \( u \in C_m^a \), and the solutions \( u \in C([0, T], C_m^a) \cap C^1([0, T], C_{m-1}^a) \) of the initial value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
m_u + (\nabla m) \cdot u + (\text{div} u \cdot I + (\nabla u)^T) \
u|_{t=0} = u_0
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

given by the relation \( u = v \circ \varphi^{-1} \).

Proof of Theorem 5.4. The proof follows the lines of the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [27] (cf. Proposition 7.1 in [29]). □

Proposition 5.1. Let \( m \geq 3, m \notin \mathbb{Z} \). Then the map \( A_\alpha \) defined by

\[
A_\alpha : \text{Diff}_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_m^a \rightarrow \text{Diff}_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_m^{a-2}, \quad (\varphi, v) \mapsto (\varphi, R_\varphi \circ A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v)),
\]

is a \( C^1 \)-diffeomorphism with inverse given by

\[
A^{-1}_\alpha : \text{Diff}_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_m^{a-2} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_m^a, \quad (\varphi, v) \mapsto (\varphi, R_\varphi \circ A^{-1}_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v)).
\]

Proof of Proposition 5.1. One easily sees by inspection that mapping \( A^{-1}_\alpha \) defined in (5.27) is the inverse of \( A_\alpha \). A direct computation as e.g. in [27, Lemma 3.2] that involves Lemma 2.1 and the Banach algebra property of \( C_m^a \) shows that (5.22) is \( C^1 \)-smooth. For any \( (\varphi_0, v_0) \in \text{Diff}_m^a \times C_m^a \), the differential of \( A_\alpha \) at \( (\varphi_0, v_0) \) is

\[
d_{(\varphi_0, v_0)}A_\alpha(\delta \varphi, \delta v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R_{\varphi_0} \circ A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}} \delta v \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( \delta \varphi \) and \( \delta v \) are in \( C_m^a \). It follows from Corollary 5.2.1 and Theorem 3.2 that \( R_{\varphi_0} \circ A_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi_0}^{-1} : C_m^a \rightarrow C_m^{a-2} \) is bounded and has \( R_{\varphi_0} \circ A^{-1}_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi_0}^{-1} \) as its (bounded) inverse. Hence, by (5.25), the differential \( d_{(\varphi_0, v_0)}A_\alpha \) is a linear isomorphism. By the inverse function theorem, \( A_\alpha \) is therefore a local \( C^1 \)-diffeomorphism. Since it is also bijective, we obtain that \( A_\alpha \) is a \( C^1 \)-diffeomorphism, with inverse given by (5.27). □

Proposition 5.2. Let \( m \geq 3, m \notin \mathbb{Z} \). Then, the map \( B_\alpha \) defined by

\[
B_\alpha : \text{Diff}_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_m^a \rightarrow \text{Diff}_m^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C_m^{a-2}, \quad (\varphi, v) \mapsto (\varphi, R_\varphi \circ B_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v)),
\]

is \( C^1 \)-smooth.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. The proof follows from the arguments used to prove that (5.27) is \( C^1 \)-smooth. An important observation is that the terms of lowest regularity in the non-linear differential expression \( B_\alpha \) cancel. □

As a consequence of Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 we obtain
Proposition 5.3. Let \( m \geq 3, m \notin \mathbb{Z} \). Then the vector field on the right hand side of (5.24)
\[
\text{Diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^m_{ap} \to C^m_{ap} \times C^m_{ap}, \quad (\varphi, v) \mapsto (v, R_\varphi \circ A_\alpha^{-1} \circ B_\alpha \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v)),
\]
is \( C^1 \)-smooth.

Remark 5.4.1. The arguments in the proof of Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 4.3 in [27] show that the vector field in Proposition 5.3 is actually real analytic (cf. [29]). Note also that the condition \( m \notin \mathbb{Z} \) is not needed in the case when \( n = 1 \). The reason is that in this case the operator \( A_m \equiv (1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2) : C^{m+2}_b \to C^m_b \) is an isomorphism of Banach spaces for any real \( m \geq 0 \).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. The Theorem follows from Proposition 5.3, Theorem 6.4 the theorems on the existence, uniqueness and dependence on parameters of the solutions of ODE’s in Banach spaces (see e.g. [23], [13]), and Lemma 5.3 below. \( \square \)

Lemma 5.3. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be Banach spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) an non-empty open set, and let \( \Gamma : [0, T] \times U \to Y \) be a continuous map. Then the map
\[
U \to C([0, T], Y), \quad u \mapsto \Gamma(\cdot, u),
\]
is continuous.

The proof of this Lemma follows easily from the continuity of \( \Gamma \) and the compactness of the interval \([0, T]\). The Lemma is obvious if \( X \) is finite dimensional.

Appendix B: Auxiliary results

In this Appendix we collect several technical results and proofs used in the main body of the paper. We first prove the following characterization of the little Hölder space \( C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) with real exponent \( m \geq 0 \). Let \( \gamma := m - \lfloor m \rfloor \) where \( \lfloor m \rfloor \) is the largest integer that is less or equal than \( m \). Recall that by definition, the space \( C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) is the closure of \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) in \( C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \), where \( C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) is the standard Hölder space with exponent \( m \geq 0 \) — see Section 2. Let \( \chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) be a cut-off function such that \( \chi \geq 0 \), supp \( \chi \subseteq [-2, 2], \chi|_{[-1, 1]} = 1 \), and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi(x) \, dx = 1 \). For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) denote \( \chi_\varepsilon(x) := \chi(x/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon^n \). We have

Lemma 5.4. For any given real exponent \( m \geq 0 \) one has that \( f \in C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( f \in C_b^{\lfloor m \rfloor}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \partial^\beta f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) for any multi-index \( \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0} \) with \( |\beta| = \lfloor m \rfloor \). In this case, \( f \star \chi_{\varepsilon} \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( f \star \chi_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0+} f \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0+ \).

Proof of Lemma 5.4. The direct statement of the Lemma follows easily from the definition of the norm in \( C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). Let us prove the converse statement. Assume that
\[
(5.29) \quad f \in C_b^{\lfloor m \rfloor}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^\beta f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})
\]
for any multi-index \( \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0} \) with \( |\beta| = \lfloor m \rfloor \). If \( m \geq 0 \) is integer then \( f \in C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and, by the properties of the mollifiers, \( f \star \chi_{\varepsilon} \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( f \star \chi_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0+} f \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0+ \). This shows that \( f \in C^m_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \). If \( m \geq 0 \) is not integer, \( m = \lfloor m \rfloor + \gamma \) with some \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \), we obtain from (5.29) and the properties of the mollifiers that \( f \star \chi_{\varepsilon} \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \),
\[
(5.30) \quad f \star \chi_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0+} f \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0+,
\]
and for any given multi-index with $|\beta| = |m|$ there exists a sequence $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ in $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that

\begin{equation}
(5.31) \quad f_k \xrightarrow{C^\gamma} f^\beta \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.
\end{equation}

For any given $k \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

\begin{equation}
|f^\beta - f^\beta \ast \chi_\varepsilon|_\gamma \leq |f^\beta - f_k|_\gamma + |f_k - f_k \ast \chi_\varepsilon|_\gamma + |f_k \ast \chi_\varepsilon - f^\beta \ast \chi_\varepsilon|_\gamma
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\leq 2 |f^\beta - f_k|_\gamma + |f_k - f_k \ast \chi_\varepsilon|_1,
\end{equation}

where we used that for any $g \in C_b^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, $|g \ast \chi_\varepsilon|_\infty \leq |g|_\infty$ and

\begin{equation}
[g \ast \chi_\varepsilon]_\gamma \leq \sup_{h \neq 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x - y + h) - g(x - y)|}{|h|^{1/\gamma}} \chi_\varepsilon(y) \, dy \leq [g]_\gamma.
\end{equation}

Since $f_k \in C_b^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ for any $k \geq 1$, we obtain that $|f_k - f_k \ast \chi_\varepsilon|_1 \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. This, together with (5.31), (5.32), then implies that

\begin{equation}
|f^\beta \ast \chi_\varepsilon|_\gamma \xrightarrow{C^\gamma} f^\beta \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+.
\end{equation}

By combining it with (5.31) and $\partial_\beta(f \ast \chi_\varepsilon) = f^\beta \ast \chi_\varepsilon$ we then conclude that $f \ast \chi_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{C^m} f$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. This proves that $f \in c_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and hence, completes the proof of the equivalence statement in the Lemma. It also proves the second statement of the Lemma. \(\square\)

One can also prove the following complementary characterization of the space $c_b^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ where $0 < \gamma < 1$.

**Lemma 5.5.** For any given $0 < \gamma < 1$ one has that $f \in c_b^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ if and only if

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \sup_{0 < |h| < \delta, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x + h) - f(x)|}{|h|^{1/\gamma}} = 0.
\end{equation}

**Remark 5.4.2.** As a consequence from Lemma 5.5 one sees that the function

\begin{equation}
f(x) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{|x|}, & x \in [-1, 1], \\ 1, & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

belongs to $c_b^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ but not to $c_b^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. This example can be easily modified so that $f$ is 1-periodic, lies in $C_b^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ but not in $C_b^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, by Proposition 2.1, $f$ is 1-periodic in $C_b^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ but not $C^{1/2}$-almost periodic.

Further, we prove Theorem 3.1 formulated in Section 9.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** Assume that $m$ is real and $m \geq 1$. We will first prove that $\operatorname{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a topological group. Note that for $1 < m < 1$ this follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 in [32]. Here we give the proof for any $m \geq 1$. By definition, the norm in $c_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by $|f|_m := \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |f_k|_m$ where $f_k \in c_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ are the components of the map $f \in c_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and the norm in $c_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is defined in (2.2).

**Lemma 5.6.** For any $\varphi_0 \in \operatorname{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $m \geq 1$ real there exist an open neighborhood $U(\varphi_0)$ of $\varphi_0$ in $\operatorname{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$ and for any $g \in c_b^m$ one has $g \circ \varphi \in c_b^m$ and

\begin{equation}
|g \circ \varphi|_m \leq C |g|_m.
\end{equation}
We will prove this Lemma by induction in the regularity exponent \( m \geq 1 \). Note that for any \( \varphi \in \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \), \( g \in c^m_b \), and for any two indices \( 1 \leq j, k \leq n \),

\[
(5.33) \quad \partial_j(g_k \circ \varphi) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} (\partial_l g_k) \circ \varphi \cdot \partial_j \varphi_l.
\]

Assume that \( m = 1 + \gamma \) for some \( 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \). Then \( (5.33) \) together with the inequality \( |g \circ \varphi|_{\infty} \leq |g|_{\infty} \) implies that

\[
|g \circ \varphi|_{1+\gamma} \leq n|g|_{1}(1 + |f|_{1})
\]

where \( \varphi = \text{id} + f \) and \( f \in c^m_b \). In particular, this proves the statement of the Lemma when \( m = 1 \) (and \( \gamma = 0 \)). If \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) then we obtain from \( (5.33) \) and Lemma 5.7 below that

\[
\begin{align*}
[\partial_j(g_k \circ \varphi)]_{\gamma} & \leq \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \left| (\partial_l g_k) \circ \varphi \right| \partial_j \varphi_l \right)_{\infty} + \left| (\partial_l g_k) \circ \varphi \right|_{1}(\partial_j \varphi_l)_{\gamma} \\
& \leq \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \left| (\partial_l g_k) \circ \varphi \right| \partial_j \varphi_l \right)_{\infty} + \left| (\partial_l g_k) \circ \varphi \right|_{1}(\partial_j \varphi_l)_{\gamma} \\
& \leq n|g|_{1+\gamma}(1 + |f|_{1+\gamma})^{1+\gamma}.
\end{align*}
\]

This together with \( (5.33) \) then shows that

\[
|g \circ \varphi|_{1+\gamma} \leq 2n|g|_{1+\gamma}(1 + |f|_{1+\gamma})^{1+\gamma}.
\]

Hence, the statement of the Lemma holds for \( m = 1 + \gamma \) and \( 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \). Further, assume that \( m \geq 2 \) and let the statement of the Lemma holds with \( m \) replaced by \( m - 1 \). Then, we obtain from the induction hypothesis, formula \( (5.33) \), and the Banach algebra property of \( c^m_b \), that for any \( 1 \leq j, k \leq n \) there exist an open neighborhood \( U(\varphi_0) \) of \( \varphi_0 \) in \( \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and positive constants \( C, C_1, \) and \( C_2 > 0 \) such that for any \( \varphi \in U(\varphi_0) \) and for any \( g \in c^m_b \) one has

\[
[\partial_j(g_k \circ \varphi)]_{m-1} \leq C_1 \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left| (\partial_l g_k) \circ \varphi \right|_{m-1}(\partial_j \varphi_l)_{m-1} \\
\leq C_1 \sum_{l=1}^{n} C \left| \partial g_k \right|_{m-1}(1 + |f|_{m}) \\
\leq C_2 \left| g \right|_{m}.
\]

This together with the inequality \( |g \circ \varphi|_{\infty} \leq |g|_{\infty} \) completes the proof of the Lemma. \( \square \)

The proof of the following Lemma follows directly from the definition of the semi-norm \( || \cdot || \gamma \) and the mean value theorem in integral form (see e.g. [26, Lemma 4.1 and 4.3]).

**Lemma 5.7.**

(i) For any \( f, g \in c^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) with \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) one has

\[
[fg]_{\gamma} \leq [f]_{\gamma}|g|_{\infty} + [f]_{\infty}|g|_{\gamma}.
\]

(ii) For any \( \varphi \in \text{diff}^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and for any \( g \in c^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) with \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) one has

\[
[g \circ \varphi]_{\gamma} \leq [g]_{\gamma}|d\varphi|_{\infty}.
\]
where $|d\varphi|_\infty \equiv \max_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} |\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}|_\infty$.

We will also need the following

**Lemma 5.8.** For any $\varphi_0 \in \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $m \geq 1$ real there exist an open neighborhood $U(\varphi_0)$ of $\varphi_0$ in $\text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a constant $C > 0$ so that for any $g \in c_b^{m+1}$ and for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$ one has

$$
|g \circ \varphi - g \circ \varphi_0|_m \leq C|g|_{m+1}|\varphi - \varphi_0|_m.
$$

**Proof of Lemma 5.8.** Take $\varphi_0 \in \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and chose an open ball $B(f_0)$ of $f_0 \equiv \varphi_0 - \text{id}$ in $c_b^m$ such that $\varphi_0 + B(f_0) \subseteq \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for any given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$
g(\varphi(x)) - g(\varphi_0(x)) = \int_0^1 [d_yg]|_{y=\varphi_0(x)+t\delta\varphi(x)} \cdot \delta\varphi(x) \, dt
$$

(5.35)

and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$
ings(\varphi(x)) - g(\varphi_0(x)) = \left( \int_0^1 [d_yg]|_{y=\varphi_0(x)+t\delta\varphi(x)} \, dt \right) \cdot \delta\varphi(x)
$$

where $\delta\varphi := \varphi - \varphi_0 \in c_b^m$. Since, $g \in c_b^{m+1}$ and $\varphi, \varphi_0 \in c_b^m$ we conclude that

$$(t, x) \mapsto [d_yg]|_{y=\varphi_0(x)+t\delta\varphi(x)}, \quad [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}),$$

is a $C[\cdot]$-map. This allows us to differentiate with respect to the $x$-variables under the integral sign and to conclude from Lemma 5.6 that the open ball $B(f_0)$ in $c_b^m$ can be shrank if necessary so that for any $\varphi \in \varphi_0 + B(f_0) \subseteq \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$
\left| \int_0^1 [d_yg]|_{y=\varphi_0(x)+t\delta\varphi(x)} \, dt \right|_m \leq \int_0^1 \left| [d_yg]|_{y=\varphi_0(x)+t\delta\varphi(x)} \right|_m \, dt \leq C|g|_{m+1}.$$

This together with (5.35) and the Banach algebra property of $c_b^m$ then implies that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in \varphi_0 + B(f_0)$ and for any $g \in c_b^m$ one has

$$
|g \circ \varphi - g \circ \varphi_0|_m \leq C|g|_{m+1}|\varphi - \varphi_0|_m.
$$

(5.36)

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Now, the proof of the continuity of the composition in $\text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is straightforward. In fact, take $\varphi_0 \in \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g_0 \in c_b^m$. In view of Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.6, there exists an open neighborhood $U(\varphi_0)$ of $\varphi_0$ in $\text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that inequalities in these Lemmas hold for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$ and for any choice of $g \in c_b^m$ respectively $g \in c_b^{m+1}$. Take $\tilde{g} \in C_b^\infty$. Then for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$ and for any $g \in c_b^m$ we have

$$
|g \circ \varphi - g_0 \circ \varphi_0|_m \leq \left| g \circ \varphi - \tilde{g} \circ \varphi \right|_m + \left| \tilde{g} \circ \varphi - g_0 \circ \varphi_0 \right|_m + \left| g \circ \varphi_0 - g_0 \circ \varphi_0 \right|_m
$$

$$
\leq C \left| g - \tilde{g} \right|_m + C \left| \tilde{g} \right|_{m+1} \left| \varphi - \varphi_0 \right|_m + C \left| g_0 - \tilde{g} \right|_m
$$

$$
\leq C \left| g - g_0 \right|_m + C \left| \tilde{g} \right|_{m+1} \left| \varphi - \varphi_0 \right|_m + 2C \left| \tilde{g} - g_0 \right|_m.
$$

Now, take $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a non-zero $\tilde{g} \in C_b^\infty$ such that $|\tilde{g} - g_0|_m \leq \varepsilon/(6C)$. Then, for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$ and for any $g \in c_b^m$ such that $|\varphi - \varphi_0|_m \leq \varepsilon/(3C|\tilde{g}|_{m+1})$ and $|g - g_0|_m \leq \varepsilon/(3C)$ we have

$$
|g \circ \varphi - g_0 \circ \varphi_0|_m \leq \varepsilon.
$$

This shows that the map

$$
c_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \to c_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \quad (\varphi, g) \mapsto g \circ \varphi,
$$
is continuous. Moreover, it follows from the definition of $\text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the chain rule that for any $\varphi, \psi \in \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\det \left[ d_x (\varphi \circ \psi) \right] > \varepsilon$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, the composition in $\text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

\begin{equation}
\text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi,
\end{equation}

is well-defined and continuous.

**Remark 5.4.3.** Note that since $\text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not separable we cannot apply the result of Montgomery (see Theorem 2 in [33]) to conclude that the continuity of the inversion map $\text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}$, follows from the continuity of the composition.

We will prove the continuity of the inversion map in $\text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ directly, by using argument similar to the ones given above. To this end, we will need the following analog of Lemma 5.9.

**Lemma 5.10.** For any $\varphi_0 \in \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $m \geq 1$ real there exist an open neighborhood $U(\varphi_0)$ of $\varphi_0$ in $\text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$ and for any $g \in c^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one has $g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in c^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

\[ |g \circ \varphi^{-1}|_m \leq C |g|_m. \]

The proof of this Lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.6 and is based on the following analog of Lemma 5.7 (ii).

**Lemma 5.11.** For any $\varphi_0 \in \text{diff}^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, there exist an open neighborhood $U(\varphi_0)$ in $\text{diff}^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$ and for any $g \in c^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ one has that $g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in c^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and

\[ [g \circ \varphi^{-1}]_\gamma \leq C |g|_\gamma. \]

**Proof of Lemma 5.10** In view of Lemma 5.7 (ii), for any $\varphi \in \text{diff}^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $g \in c^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$,

\begin{equation}
[g \circ \varphi^{-1}]_\gamma \leq [g]_\gamma |d(\varphi^{-1})|_\infty.
\end{equation}

We set $\varphi_0 = \text{id} + f_0$ and $\varphi = \text{id} + f$ where $f_0, f \in c^1_b$. Since $\varphi_0 \in \text{diff}^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\det \left( I + [d_x f_0] \right) > \varepsilon$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that there exists an open neighborhood $U(\varphi_0)$ in $\text{diff}^1_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$,

\[ \det \left( I + [d_x f] \right) > \varepsilon, \]

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we have $[d(\varphi^{-1})] = [(d\varphi) \circ \varphi^{-1}]^{-1}$, and

\[ |d(\varphi^{-1})|_\infty = \left| \frac{\text{Adj} \left( I + [df] \right) \circ \varphi^{-1}}{\det \left( I + [df] \right) \circ \varphi^{-1}} \right|_\infty \leq (n - 1)! (1 + |df|_\infty)^{n-1} / \varepsilon \]

where $\text{Adj} M$ denotes the cofactor matrix of an $n \times n$ matrix $M$ and $|M|_\infty := \max_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} |M_{kl}|_\infty$ when the elements of $M$ are functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that there exists $C > 0$ such that $|d(\varphi^{-1})|_\infty \leq C$ uniformly in $\varphi \in U(\varphi_0)$.

Now, take $\varphi \in \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $\varphi = \text{id} + f$, $f \in c^m_b$, and

\[ \varphi^{-1} = \text{id} + g. \]
Then, we obtain from the relation \( \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} = \text{id} \) that \( g = -f \circ \varphi^{-1} \). Since \( f \in c_b^m \), we then conclude from Lemma 5.9 that
\[
(5.39) \quad g \in c_b^m.
\]
Moreover, one easily sees from the formula
\[
\det [d_y(\varphi^{-1})] = 1/ \det (I + [d_x f])|_{x=\varphi^{-1}(y)}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]
and the fact that \( f \in c_b^m \) that there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( \det[d_y(\varphi^{-1})] > \varepsilon \) uniformly in \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \). This together with (5.39) then implies that
\[
\varphi^{-1} \in \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]
Hence, \( \text{diff}^m_b \) is a group such that the composition (5.37) is continuous. Now, we will prove that the inversion map
\[
(5.40) \quad \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1},
\]
is continuous. Take \( \varphi_0 \in \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \tilde{\psi} \in \text{diff}^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \), \( \tilde{\psi} = \text{id} + \tilde{g} \). Then it follows from Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.6 that there exists open neighborhood \( U(\varphi_0) \) of \( \varphi_0 \) in \( \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and positive constants \( C \) and \( C_1 > 0 \) such that for any \( \tilde{\psi} \in \text{Diff}^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and for any \( \varphi \in U(\varphi_0) \),
\[
|\tilde{\psi}^{-1} - \varphi^{-1}|_m = |(\tilde{\psi}^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \text{id}|_m \leq C|\tilde{\psi}^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}|_m + C|\tilde{\psi} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{id}|_m + C|\tilde{\psi} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{id}|_m.
\]
Now, take \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and choose a non-zero \( \tilde{g} \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( \tilde{\psi} = \text{id} + \tilde{g} \) lies in \( \text{Diff}^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and satisfies the inequality \( |\tilde{\psi} - \varphi_0^{-1}|_m \leq \varepsilon/(2C_1) \). Then, for any \( \varphi \in U(\varphi_0) \) such that \( |\varphi - \varphi_0|_m \leq \varepsilon/(2C_1|\tilde{g}|_{m+1}) \) we have
\[
|\varphi^{-1} - \varphi_0^{-1}|_m \leq \varepsilon.
\]
This proves the continuity of the inversion map (5.40). Hence, \( \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a topological group.

Further, we prove the \( C^r \)-regularity of the composition
\[
(5.41) \quad \circ: \text{diff}^{m+r}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi,
\]
for any \( r \geq 0 \). We will follow the arguments in the proof of [20] Proposition 2.9. Assume that \( r \geq 1 \) and take \( g, \delta g \in c_b^{m+r} \), \( \varphi, \delta \varphi \in \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \), and \( \delta \varphi \in c_b^m \) so that
\[
\varphi + \delta \varphi \in \text{id} + B(\widetilde{\varphi}) \quad \text{where} \quad B(\widetilde{\varphi}) \quad \text{is an open ball centered at} \ \widetilde{\varphi} \equiv \varphi - \text{id} \ \text{in} \ c_b^m \ \text{and such that} \ \text{id} + B(\widetilde{\varphi}) \subseteq \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]
One sees from Taylor’s formula with remainder in integral form that for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \),
\[
(5.42) \quad g(\varphi(x) + \delta \varphi(x)) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq r} \frac{1}{|\beta|!} (\partial^\beta g)(\varphi(x)) (\delta \varphi(x))^{\beta} + \mathcal{R}_1 (g, \varphi, \delta \varphi)(x)
\]
where the remainder \( \mathcal{R}_1 (g, \varphi, \delta \varphi)(x) \) is given by
\[
(5.43) \quad \sum_{|\beta|=r} \frac{r}{\beta!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{r-1} \left( (\partial^\beta g)(\varphi(x) + t\delta \varphi(x)) - (\partial^\beta g)(\varphi(x)) \right) dt \cdot (\delta \varphi(x))^{\beta}.
\]
Similarly, for any \( \varphi, \delta \varphi, \) and \( \delta g \) as above and for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we have
\[
(5.44) \quad \delta g(\varphi(x) + \delta \varphi(x)) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq r-1} \frac{1}{\beta!} (\partial^\beta \delta g)(\varphi(x)) (\delta \varphi(x))^{\beta} + \mathcal{R}_2 (g, \delta g, \delta \varphi)(x)
\]
where \( \mathcal{R}_2(\varphi, \delta g, \delta \varphi)(x) \) is given by

\[
(5.45) \quad \sum_{|\beta|=r} \frac{r}{|\beta|!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{r-1} \left( \partial^\beta \delta g \right)(\varphi(x) + t\delta \varphi(x)) \, dt \cdot (\delta \varphi(x))^{\beta}.
\]

It follows from the continuity of the composition and the Banach algebra property of \( c_b^m \) that the integrals in (5.43) and (5.45) have convergent Riemann sums in \( c_b^m \) and that the equalities (5.42) and (5.44) hold in \( c_b^m \). Summing up these two equalities, we see that for any \( g, \delta g, \varphi, \delta \varphi \in \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \), and for any \( \delta \varphi \in c_b^m \) as above,

\[
(5.46) \quad (g + \delta g) \circ (\varphi + \delta \varphi) = g \circ \varphi + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{k!} P_k(g, \varphi)(\delta g, \delta \varphi) + \mathcal{R}(g, \varphi, \delta g, \delta \varphi)
\]

where, for \( 1 \leq k \leq r \),

\[
\frac{1}{k!} P_k(g, \varphi)(\delta g, \delta \varphi) := \sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{|\beta|!} \left( \partial^\beta \delta g \right) \circ \varphi \cdot (\delta \varphi)^\beta + \sum_{|\beta|=k-1} \frac{1}{|\beta|!} \left( \partial^\beta \delta g \right) \circ \varphi \cdot (\delta \varphi)^\beta,
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{R}(g, \varphi, \delta g, \delta \varphi) := \mathcal{R}_1(g, \varphi, \delta \varphi) + \mathcal{R}_2(\varphi, \delta g, \delta \varphi)
\]

(5.47)

with

\[
\rho_\beta(g, \varphi, \delta g, \delta \varphi) := \frac{r}{|\beta|!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{r-1} \left( \left( \partial^\beta \delta g \right) \circ (\varphi + t\delta \varphi) - \left( \partial^\beta \delta g \right) \circ \varphi(x) \right) \, dt
\]

(5.48)

for any multi-index \( \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \) with \( |\beta| = r \). In view of the Banach algebra property of \( c_b^m \) for any \( g \in c_b^{m+r} \) and \( \varphi \in \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \),

\[
P_k(g, \varphi) \in \mathcal{P}^k\left(c_b^{m+r} \times c_b^m, c_b^m\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r,
\]

where \( \mathcal{P}^k(X, Y) \) denotes the Banach space of polynomial maps of degree \( k \) from a normed space \( X \) to a Banach space \( Y \) supplied with the uniform norm. Moreover, using again the Banach algebra property of \( c_b^m \) and Lemma 5.8, one easily sees that for any \( 1 \leq k \leq r \) the map

\[
P_k : c_b^{m+r} \times \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{P}^k\left(c_b^{m+r} \times c_b^m, c_b^m\right)
\]

is continuous. For any \( \varphi \in \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \) denote by \( B_n(\varphi) \) the ball centered at \( \varphi \) of maximal radius so that \( \text{id} + B_n(\varphi) \leq \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Consider the open set \( V \) of elements \( (g, \varphi, \delta g, \delta \varphi) \) in \( c_b^{m+r} \times \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \times c_b^m \times c_b^m \),

\[
V := \left\{ (g, \delta g) \in c_b^{m+r} \times c_b^m, \varphi \in \text{diff}_b^m(\mathbb{R}^n), \delta \varphi \in c_b^m \mid \varphi + \delta \varphi \in \text{id} + B_n(\varphi) \right\}.
\]

It follows from (5.43) and the continuity of the composition that for any \( \beta \) with \( |\beta| = r \) the map \( \rho_\beta : V \to c_b^m \) is continuous. This together with (5.47) and the Banach algebra property of \( c_b^m \) then implies that

\[
\mathcal{R} : V \to \mathcal{P}^k\left(c_b^{m+r} \times c_b^m, c_b^m\right).
\]
Since \( \mathcal{R}(g, \varphi, 0, 0) = 0 \) we then conclude from (5.40) and the converse to Taylor’s theorem (see e.g. [2, Theorem 2.4.15]) that (5.41) is a \( C^r \)-map.

The \( C^r \)-regularity of the inverse,
\[
\text{diff}^{m+r}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1},
\]
follows from an inverse function theorem argument as in the proof of [20, Proposition 2.13]. In fact, assume that \( r \geq 1 \) and consider the \( C^r \)-smooth composition map
\[
\Phi : \text{diff}^{m+r}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi.
\]
For any given \( \varphi \in \text{diff}^{m+r}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) we have
\[
\Phi(\varphi, \varphi^{-1}) = \text{id}.
\]
Denote by \( D_2\Phi|_{(\varphi, \varphi^{-1})} : c^m_b \to c^m_b \) the partial derivative of \( \Phi \) with respect to its second argument at the point \( (\varphi, \varphi^{-1}) \). A direct computation shows that
\[
(5.49) \quad D_2\Phi|_{(\varphi, \varphi^{-1})}(\delta\psi) = [d\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}](\delta\psi)
\]
for any variation \( \delta\psi \in c^m_b \). Since \( \varphi \in \text{diff}^{m+r}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) we conclude that \( [d\varphi] \in c^m_b \) and there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( |d_x\varphi| > \varepsilon \) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). This together with Lemma 2.1 and the Banach algebra property of \( c^m_b \) then implies that the inverse of the Jacobian matrix \( [d\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}] \) belongs to \( c^m_b \). Formula (5.49) and the Banach algebra property of \( c^m_b \) then show that the map
\[
D_2\Phi|_{(\varphi, \varphi^{-1})} : c^m_b \to c^m_b
\]
is a linear isomorphism. By the implicit function theorem in Banach spaces, there exists an open neighborhood \( U(\varphi) \) of \( \varphi \) in \( \text{diff}^{m+r}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and a \( C^r \)-smooth map
\[
\Gamma : U(\varphi) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)
\]
such that
\[
\Phi(\psi, \Gamma(\psi)) = \text{id}
\]
for any \( \psi \in U(\varphi) \). By the uniqueness of the inverse diffeomorphism we then conclude that \( \Gamma(\psi) = \psi^{-1} \) for any \( \psi \in U(\varphi) \). This shows that the inversion map
\[
\iota : \text{diff}^{m+r}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \text{diff}^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1},
\]
is \( C^r \)-smooth.

**Appendix C: Calculus in Fréchet spaces**

In this Appendix we recall some of the main concepts of the calculus in Fréchet spaces. For a detailed treatment, we refer the reader to [17] and Appendix A of [21].

**Definition 5.3.** A pair \( (X, \{\| \cdot \|_m\}_{m \geq 0}) \) consisting of a topological vector space \( X \) and a countable system of seminorms \( \{\| \cdot \|_m\}_{m \geq 0} \) is called a Fréchet space if the topology of \( X \) is induced by \( \{\| \cdot \|_m\}_{m \geq 0} \) and \( X \) is Hausdorff and complete.

**Definition 5.4.** Let \( f : U \subseteq X \to Y \) be a map from an open subset \( U \) of a Fréchet space to a Fréchet space \( Y \). For given \( x \in U \) and \( h \in X \) we say that \( f \) is differentiable at \( x \) in the direction \( h \) if the limit
\[
d_x f(h) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( f(x + \varepsilon h) - f(x) \right)/\varepsilon
\]
exists in $Y$. In this case, $d_x f(h)$ is called the directional derivative of $f$ at $x$ in the direction of $h$.

**Definition 5.5.** A map $f : U \to Y$ where $U$ is an open set in $X$ is called $C^1_F$-smooth if $d_x f(h)$ exists for any $x \in U$ and $h \in X$ and the map

$$df : U \times X \to Y, \quad (x, h) \mapsto d_x f(h),$$

is continuous.

The class of $C^1_F$-smooth maps $f : U \to V$ is denoted by $C^1_F(U, V)$.

**Definition 5.6.** A map $f : U \to V$ where $U$ and $V$ are open sets in $X$ and $Y$ respectively is called a $C^1_F$-diffeomorphism if it is a homeomorphism and $f$ and its inverse $f^{-1} : V \to U$ are $C^1_F$-smooth.

**Remark 5.4.** One easily sees from the chain rule that if $f : U \to V$ is a $C^1_F$-diffeomorphism then for any $x \in U$ the map $d_x f : X \to Y$ is a linear isomorphism.

The space $C^k_F(U, Y)$ for $k \geq 2$ is defined inductively: If $f \in C^{k-1}_F(U, Y)$ then for given $(h_1, ..., h_k) \in X^k$ one defines the $k$-th (directional) derivative by

$$d^k f(h_1, ..., h_k) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{d^{k-1} f(x + \varepsilon h_1, ..., h_k)}{\varepsilon} - d^{k-1} f(h_1, ..., h_k) \right)$$

provided that the limit exists in $Y$. Then by definition, $f \in C^k_F(U, Y)$ if the directional derivative $d^k f(h_1, ..., h_k)$ exists for any $x \in U$ and $(h_1, ..., h_k) \in X^k$ and the map

$$d^k f : U \times X^k \to Y, \quad (x, h_1, ..., h_k) \mapsto d^k f(h_1, ..., h_k),$$

is continuous. We refer to [17] for detail. Here we only note that, as in the classical calculus in Banach spaces, $f \in C^k_F(U, Y)$ implies that for any $x \in U$ the $k$'th derivative $d^k f : X^k \to Y$ is a $k$-linear and symmetric.

**Definition 5.7.** A sequence of Banach spaces $\{ (X_m, \| \cdot \|_m) \}_{m \geq 0}$ is called a Banach approximation of a given Fréchet space $X$ if

$$X = \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} X_m, \quad X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq X,$$

$$\| x \|_m \leq \| x \|_{m+1} \quad \text{for any} \quad x \in X \quad \text{and} \quad m \geq 0,$n and the sequence of norms $\{ \| \cdot \|_m \}_{m \geq 0}$ induces the topology on $X$.

For Fréchet spaces that admit Banach approximation we have the following version of the inverse function theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be Fréchet spaces that admit Banach approximations $\{ (X_m, \| \cdot \|_m) \}_{m \geq 0}$ respectively $\{ (Y_m, \| \cdot \|_m) \}_{m \geq 0}$. Let $f : V_0 \to U_0$ be a map where $V_0$ and $U_0$ are open sets in $X_0$ respectively $Y_0$. For any $m \geq 0$ set

$$V_m := V_0 \cap X_m, \quad U_m := U_0 \cap Y_m,$n and $V := V_0 \cap X$, $U := U_0 \cap Y$. Finally, assume that for any $m \geq 0$ the following properties hold:

1. $f : V_0 \to U_0$ is a bijective $C^1$-map and for any $x \in V$ the map $d_x f : X_0 \to Y_0$ is a linear isomorphism;
2. $f(V_m) \subseteq Y_m$ and the restriction $f|_{V_m} : V_m \to Y_m$ is a $C^1$-map;
3. the map $f|_{V_m} : V_m \to U_m$ is onto;
(4) for any \( x \in V \), \( d_x f(X_m \setminus X_{m+1}) \subseteq Y_m \setminus Y_{m+1} \).

Then \( f(V) \subseteq U \) and the map \( f_{\infty} := f|_V : V \to U \) is a \( C^1 \)-diffeomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 5.5. We refer the reader to the proof of Theorem A.5 in Appendix A of [21] (cf. [10]). □
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