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Abstract

In this paper, we study the entanglement entropy of the 2D harmonic oscillators in noncommutative phase space. By virtue of the deformation quantization method, we obtain the Wigner functions of the harmonic oscillators. We propose a definition of the Tsallis entropy by the Wigner functions in noncommutative phase space. Using the Tsallis entropy, we calculate the entanglement entropy of the harmonic oscillators. We find that the 2D harmonic oscillators can be entangled in noncommutative phase space. This is an effect of the noncommutativity of the phase space. We also derive the upper bound of the entanglement entropy.
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1 Introduction

In the past decades, there has been much interest in the study of physics in noncommutative space \cite{1-10}. The ideas of noncommutative spacetime already started in 1947 \cite{11}. In the 1980’s, Connes formulated the mathematically rigorous framework of noncommutative geometry \cite{12}. A noncommutative spacetime also appeared in string theory, namely in the quantization of open string \cite{1}. The noncommutativity of spacetime also plays an important role in quantum gravity \cite{13, 14}. The concept of noncommutative spacetime is also applied in condensed matter physics, such as the integer quantum Hall effect \cite{15}. Since the noncommutativity between spatial and time coordinates may lead to some problems with unitarity and causality \cite{16}, usually only spatial noncommutativity is considered. Although in string theory only the coordinate space exhibits a noncommutative structure, some authors have studied models in which a noncommutative geometry is defined on the whole phase space \cite{17-22}. Noncommutativity between
momenta arises naturally as a consequence of noncommutativity between positions, as momenta are defined to be the partial derivatives of the action with respect to the position coordinates.

The relations between the coordinates of the space may affect the properties of the physical system. For a multipartite system, there may be some new correlations between the subsystems induced by the noncommutativity of the space, such as entanglement or other types of quantum correlations. Quantum entanglement is one of the key features of quantum physics, and it has many applications in quantum information and many-body physics to spacetime physics [23]-[27]. Entropy provides a tool that can be used to quantify entanglement. If the overall system is pure, the entropy of one subsystem can be used to measure its degree of entanglement with the other subsystems. This is the so-called entanglement entropy. Some authors have already studied the quantum entanglement and entropy of physical systems in noncommutative space [28]-[32].

One usually uses the von Neumann entropy to analyse the physical system. The von Neumann entropy is defined by the density operators. Since we consider the physical system in phase space in the present work, it is convenient to use the Wigner functions to calculate the entropy of the system. There are some types of quantum entropy defined by the Wigner functions in phase space [33]-[37]. We will use a generalized Tsallis entropy to analyse the entanglement of the harmonic oscillators in noncommutative phase space (NCPS).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider the 2D harmonic oscillators in noncommutative phase space, and derive the Wigner functions of the system by virtue of deformation quantization method. Using the Tsallis entropy, the entanglement entropy of the system is calculated in Section 3. We find that there is entanglement of the oscillators induced by the noncommutativity of the space. Some conclusions are outlined in Section 4. The definition of Tsallis entropy in noncommutative phase space is discussed in Appendix.

2 Harmonic oscillators in noncommutative phase space

In the present work, we will consider a 4D noncommutative phase space in which the coordinate operators $\hat{x}_i$, $\hat{p}_i$ satisfy the following extended Heisenberg algebra,

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij}\hbar, \quad [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = i\mu, \quad [\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2] = i\nu,$$

where $i, j = 1, 2$, and $\mu, \nu$ are real parameters. We usually assume that $\mu, \nu$ are very small numbers, and $|\mu\nu| \ll \hbar^2$.

Let us consider the simplest 2D harmonic oscillators in the noncommutative phase space, and the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2m}p_2^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}x_2^2.$$  

(2)

Because of the noncommutativity between the coordinates, there are no wave functions such as $\psi(x_1, x_2)$. Instead, we will consider the Wigner functions of the system. One can use deformation quantization method to derive the Wigner
functions and energy spectrum of the system in the noncommutative phase space.

The Hamiltonian $H$ can be separated into two parts $H_+$ and $H_-$,

$$H_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( c_\pm \sqrt{m} x_1 \mp c_\mp p_2 \sqrt{m} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( c_\pm \sqrt{m} x_2 \pm c_\mp p_1 \sqrt{m} \right)^2,$$

where

$$c_\pm = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\delta}{2\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}}}, \quad \delta = \frac{m^2 \omega^2 \mu - \nu}{2 \hbar m \omega}.$$ (4)

Obviously, there is $H_+ + H_- = H$.

Define the following $*$ product

$$* := \exp \left\{ \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left( \overleftarrow{\partial}_x x_i \overrightarrow{\partial}_p p_i - \overleftarrow{\partial}_p p_i \overrightarrow{\partial}_x x_i + \frac{i\mu}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \overleftarrow{\partial}_x x_j \overrightarrow{\partial}_p p_j + \frac{i\nu}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \overleftarrow{\partial}_p p_j \overrightarrow{\partial}_x x_i \right) \right\},$$ (5)

here we have used the Einstein summation convention, and $(\epsilon_{ij})$ is the antisymmetric matrix

$$(\epsilon_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that $H_+$ being commutative with $H_-$ under the Moyal bracket

$$[H_+, H_-]_* := H_+ * H_- - H_- * H_+ = 0,$$ (6)

and their $*$ product equals to their ordinary product

$$H_+ * H_- = H_+ H_- = H_- * H_+.$$ (7)

Using the results in Ref. [38], one can get the Wigner functions and energy spectra of $H_+$ and $H_-,$

$$W_n^\pm = (-1)^n \frac{1}{\hbar_{\pm} \pi} e^{-\frac{2\hbar_{\pm}}{\pi \hbar_{\pm}}} L_n \left( \frac{4H_{\pm}}{\hbar_{\pm} \omega} \right), \quad E_n^\pm = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar_{\pm} \omega,$$

where

$$\hbar_{\pm} = \hbar(\sqrt{1 + \delta^2} \pm \eta), \quad \eta = \frac{m^2 \omega^2 \mu + \nu}{2 \hbar m \omega},$$ (9)

and $L_n(x)$ are the Laguerre polynomials. There are $*$-orthogonality relations

$$W_m^\pm * W_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2\pi \hbar_{\pm}^2} \delta_{mn} W_m^\pm.$$ (10)

The Hamiltonian $H_\pm$ and the corresponding Wigner functions satisfy the so-called $*$-genvalue equations

$$H_\pm * W_n^\pm = W_n^\pm * H_\pm = E_n^\pm W_n^\pm.$$ (11)

This corresponds to the time-independent Schrödinger equation of wave function.

The Wigner functions of $H$ are

$$W_{mn} = W_m^+ * W_n^- = W_m^+ W_n^- = (-1)^{m+n} \frac{1}{\pi^2 \hbar_+ \hbar_-} e^{-\frac{2\hbar_+}{\pi \hbar_+} - \frac{2\hbar_-}{\pi \hbar_-}} L_m \left( \frac{4H_+}{\hbar_+ \omega} \right) L_n \left( \frac{4H_-}{\hbar_- \omega} \right),$$ (12)
and the corresponding energy is

\[ E_{mn} = E_m^+ + E_n^- = \left( m + \frac{1}{2}\right) h_+ \omega + \left( n + \frac{1}{2}\right) h_- \omega \]

\[ = \hbar \omega \left[ (m + n + 1)\sqrt{1 + \delta^2} + (m - n)\eta \right]. \] (13)

The Wigner functions \( W_{mn} \) satisfy the following \(*\)-orthogonality relations

\[ W_{kl}^\ast W_{mn} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\hbar_+\hbar_-} \delta_{kl} \delta_{ln} W_{mn} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2(h^2 - \mu\nu)} \delta_{kl} \delta_{ln} W_{mn}, \] (14)

and the \(*\)-eigenvalue equation

\[ H^\ast W_{mn} = W_{mn}^\ast H = E_{mn} W_{mn}. \] (15)

For the ground state, the Wigner function is

\[ W_{00} = \frac{1}{\pi^2\hbar_+\hbar_-} e^{-\frac{2h_+}{\hbar_+} - \frac{2h_-}{\hbar_-}}. \] (16)

Obviously, this Wigner function is always positive. It is easy to verify that

\[ \int W_{00}(x_1,p_1; x_2,p_2)dx_1dx_2dp_1dp_2 = 1. \] (17)

The Wigner functions of the reduced states are

\[ W_{00}^{(1)}(x_1, p_1) = \int W_{00}(x_1, p_1; x_2, p_2)dx_2dp_2 \]

\[ = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}}{\pi\hbar\sqrt{(1 + \delta^2)^2 - \delta^2\eta^2}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}}{\hbar\omega} \left( \frac{p_1^2}{1 + \delta^2 \eta} + \frac{m^2 - \omega^2 x_1^2}{1 + \delta^2 + \delta\eta} \right)}, \] (18)

and

\[ W_{00}^{(2)}(x_2, p_2) = \int W_{00}(x_1, p_1; x_2, p_2)dx_1dp_1 \]

\[ = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}}{\pi\hbar\sqrt{(1 + \delta^2)^2 - \delta^2\eta^2}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}}{\hbar\omega} \left( \frac{p_2^2}{1 + \delta^2 \eta} + \frac{m^2 - \omega^2 x_2^2}{1 + \delta^2 + \delta\eta} \right)}. \] (19)

### 3 Entanglement entropy of the harmonic oscillators in NCPS

Now let us consider the entanglement of the harmonic oscillators in 4D noncommutative phase space. For the bipartite systems, one may use the entanglement entropy, namely, the entropy of one of its reduced states to measure the entanglement of the systems. So for the ground state of the harmonic oscillators (2), its entanglement entropy is just the entropy of the reduced state (18) or (19).

We will use the Tsallis entropy to quantify the entanglement of the harmonic oscillators in the present work. In 4D noncommutative phase space, the Tsallis
entropy can be defined by the Wigner functions as follow (see Appendix for more
details),

\[ S_q(W) = \frac{1}{q-1} \left( 1 - (4\pi^2(\hbar^2 - \mu \nu))^{q-1} \int W^q_1 dx_1 dx_2 dp_1 dp_2 \right), \tag{20} \]

where \( q \) is a positive real parameter, and \( W^q_1 \) is the \( q \)-th \( * \)-power of the Wigner
function \( W \). For simplicity, we only consider the cases when \( q \) is a positive integer.
Since there are the orthogonality relations for the pure state Wigner functions such
as \( \text{(14)} \), the Tsallis entropy of the pure states equals zero in noncommutative phase
space, \( S_q(W_{mn}) = 0 \). This is just the same as the von Neumann entropy.

For simplicity, we only consider the entanglement entropy of the ground state
of the harmonic oscillators in NCPS. The total entropy of the ground state of the
2D harmonic oscillators in NCPS is zero,

\[ S_q(W_{00}) = 0. \tag{21} \]

So the entanglement entropy of the oscillators is just the entropy of the reduced
states \( \text{[18]} \) or \( \text{[19]} \),

\[ S_q(W_{00}^{(1)}) = S_q(W_{00}^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{q-1} \left( 1 - (2\pi \hbar)^{q-1} \int (W_{00}^{(1)})^q dx_1 dp_1 \right). \tag{22} \]

First, let us consider the cases \( q \geq 2 \). Using the \( * \)-product \( \text{[5]} \), and after some
straightforward calculations, we obtain the following relation,

\[ S_q(W_{00}^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{q-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_q} (2\alpha)^{q-1} \right), \tag{23} \]

where

\[ \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta^2}{1 + \delta^2 + \delta^2(1 + \delta^2 - \eta^2)}} = \sqrt{\frac{4\hbar^4 m^2 \omega^2 + h^2 (m^2 \omega^2 \mu - \nu)^2}{4\hbar^4 m^2 \omega^2 + (2\hbar^2 - \mu \nu)(m^2 \omega^2 \mu - \nu)^2}}, \tag{24} \]

and the sequence \( \beta_n \) is defined by the recurrence relation

\[ \beta_n = \beta_{n-1} + \gamma_{n-1}, \quad \gamma_n = \alpha^2 \beta_{n-1} + \gamma_{n-1}, \tag{25} \]

with initial conditions

\[ \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 1. \tag{26} \]

So we have

\begin{align*}
\beta_2 &= 2, \quad \gamma_2 = 1 + \alpha^2; \\
\beta_3 &= 3 + \alpha^2, \quad \gamma_3 = 1 + 3\alpha^2; \\
\beta_4 &= 4 + 4\alpha^2, \quad \gamma_4 = 1 + 6\alpha^2 + \alpha^4; \\
\beta_5 &= 5 + 10\alpha^2 + \alpha^4, \quad \gamma_5 = 1 + 10\alpha^2 + 5\alpha^4; \\
\beta_6 &= 6 + 20\alpha^2 + 6\alpha^4, \quad \gamma_6 = 1 + 15\alpha^2 + 15\alpha^4 + \alpha^6; \\
&\ldots 
\end{align*} \tag{27}
and

\[ S_2(W_{00}^{(i)}) = 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\beta_2} = 1 - \alpha = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta^2}{(1 + \delta^2)^2 - \delta^2\eta^2}}, \quad (28) \]

\[ S_3(W_{00}^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{(2\alpha)^2}{\beta_3} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{4\alpha^2}{3 + \alpha^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{4(1 + \delta^2)}{4(1 + \delta^2) + 3\delta^2(1 + \delta^2 - \eta^2)} \right), \quad (29) \]

\[ S_4(W_{00}^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{(2\alpha)^3}{\beta_4} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{2\alpha^3}{1 + \alpha^2} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta^2}{(1 + \delta^2)^2 - \delta^2\eta^2}} \frac{2(1 + \delta^2)}{2(1 + \delta^2) + \delta^2(1 + \delta^2 - \eta^2)} \right). \quad (30) \]

One can also write down the entanglement entropy \( S_q(W_{00}^{(i)}) \) for \( q \geq 5 \) by some straightforward calculations. But the expressions become more complex as the number \( q \) increases.

For \( q = 1 \), the expression of the Tsallis entropy will become

\[ S_1(W_{00}^{(i)}) = -\int W_{00}^{(1)} \ast \ln_*(2\pi \hbar W_{00}^{(1)}) dx_1 dp_1. \quad (31) \]

Since the \(*-\)logarithm function \( \ln_*(\cdot) \) is rather difficult to compute, we will use an informal method to derive a reasonable expression of \( S_1(W_{00}^{(i)}) \).

\[ S_1(W_{00}^{(i)}) = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{1}{q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_q} (2\alpha)^{q-1} \right) = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{1}{q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q + f_q(\alpha)} (2\alpha)^{q-1} \right) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + t + f_1(\alpha) t} (2\alpha)^t \right) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + g_1(\alpha) e^{t\ln(2\alpha)}} \right) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( 1 - (1 + c_1 t + O(t))(1 + t \ln(2\alpha) + O(t)) \right) = c_1 - \ln 2 - \ln(\alpha), \quad (32) \]

where \( f_t(\alpha) \) and \( g_t(\alpha) \) are some polynomials in the variables \( t \) and \( \alpha \), and \( g_t(\alpha) = t + f_{1+t}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{t \to 0} 0 \). When \( \mu = \nu = 0 \), we have \( \alpha = 1 \), and \( S_q(W_{00}^{(i)}) = 0 \) for \( q \geq 2 \).
This is just the case in normal commutative phase space. Obviously, there is no entanglement in the 2D harmonic oscillators in normal commutative phase space, so we hope that the entanglement entropy \( S_1(W_{00}^{(i)}) \) also equals zero when \( \alpha = 1 \). Therefore, we simply set \( c_1 = \ln 2 \) and conjecture that

\[
S_1(W_{00}^{(i)}) = -\ln(\alpha) = \ln \left( \frac{(1 + \delta^2)^2 - \delta^2 \eta^2}{1 + \delta^2} \right). \tag{33}
\]

Since \( \eta^2 - \delta^2 = \mu \nu / \hbar^2 \), if we assume \( |\mu \nu| \ll \hbar^2 \), then \( -1 < \delta^2 - \eta^2 < 1 \). From the expression (24), it is easy to see that

\[
0.577 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} < \alpha \leq 1. \tag{34}
\]

From the recurrence relation (25), one can find that the sum of the coefficients of \( \beta_n \) (or \( \gamma_n \)) in (27) is exactly \( 2^{n-1} \). So for \( n \geq 2 \), if \( \alpha < 1 \), we have

\[
(2\alpha)^{n-1} < \beta_n < 2^{n-1}. \tag{35}
\]

When \( \alpha = 1 \), there is \( \beta_n = \gamma_n = 2^{n-1} \). From the expression (23), we have

\[
0 \leq S_q(W_{00}^{(i)}) \leq \frac{1}{q-1} (1 - \alpha^{q-1}) < 1. \tag{36}
\]

So the entanglement entropy \( S_q(W_{00}^{(i)}) \) are always nonnegative.

When \( \alpha = 1 \), namely, \( \delta = 0 \) or \( 1 + \delta^2 - \eta^2 = 0 \), equivalently, \( \mu = \nu = 0 \) or \( \nu/\mu = m^2 \omega^2 \) or \( \mu \nu = \hbar^2 \), the entanglement entropy of the harmonic oscillators reaches its minimum \( S_q^{\min}(W_{00}^{(i)}) = 0 \). This means that there is no entanglement in the oscillator system. \( \mu = \nu = 0 \) is just the case in normal commutative space. \( \mu \nu = \hbar^2 \) will cause some singularity, and we usually assume \( |\mu \nu| \ll \hbar^2 \). For the case \( \nu/\mu = m^2 \omega^2 \), there is also no entanglement in the system, while there is the noncommutativity of the phase space. But in our opinion, the parameters \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) reflect the intrinsic noncommutativity between positions and momenta respectively (just like the Planck constant encodes the noncommutativity of position and momentum), which should be independent on the parameters of concrete physical models.

In other cases, the entanglement entropy of the system is always positive, \( S_q(W_{00}^{(i)}) > 0 \). This means that the reduced states are mixed states. So there is entanglement in the harmonic oscillators in noncommutative phase space, while it vanishes in normal commutative phase space. This is an effect caused by the noncommutativity of the space.

When \( \alpha \to \sqrt{3}/3 \), namely, \( \mu \nu \to -\hbar^2 \) and \( \delta^2 \to \infty \), the entanglement entropy approaches to its maximum. The ranges of the entanglement entropy \( S_q(W_{00}^{(i)}) \) with different parameters \( q \) are as follows,

\[
0 \leq S_1(W_{00}^{(i)}) < \ln \sqrt{3} = 0.549, \tag{37}
\]

\[
0 \leq S_2(W_{00}^{(i)}) < 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} = 0.422, \tag{38}
\]
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\[0 \leq S_2(W_{00}^{(i)}) < \frac{3}{10} = 0.3, \quad (39)\]
\[0 \leq S_4(W_{00}^{(i)}) < \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{18} = 0.237. \quad (40)\]

From the expression (24), we can rewrite \(\alpha\) as
\[
\alpha = \frac{4 + (u - v)^2}{4 + (2 - uv)(u - v)^2}, \quad (41)
\]
where
\[
u = \frac{m\omega \mu}{\hbar}, \quad v = \frac{\nu}{\hbar m \omega}. \quad (42)
\]

Figure 1 shows the entanglement entropy \(S_2(W_{00}^{(i)})\) with respect to the variables \(u\) and \(v\).

\[\text{Figure 1: The entanglement entropy } S_2(W_{00}^{(i)}) \text{ with respect to the variables } u \text{ and } v.\]

Denote \(\theta = \mu \nu / \hbar^2 = \eta^2 - \delta^2\), then \(-1 < \theta < 1\). We can also rewrite \(\alpha\) as
\[
\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta^2}{1 + (2 - \theta)\delta^2}}, \quad (43)
\]

Figure 2 shows \(S_2(W_{00}^{(i)})\) with respect to the variables \(\delta^2\) and \(\theta\).

One can also plot the figures of \(S_q(W_{00}^{(i)})\) for other parameters \(q\), which are very similar to Figure 1 and Figure 2.

From the figures above, one can see that, when \(\delta = 0\) or \(\theta = \eta^2 - \delta^2 = 1\), equivalently, \(u = v\) or \(uv = 1\), the entanglement entropy \(S_2(W_{00}^{(i)})\) of the harmonic oscillators reaches its minimum 0. When \(\theta \to -1\) and \(\delta^2 \to \infty\), namely, \(uv \to -1\) and \(|u - v| \to \infty\), \(S_2(W_{00}^{(i)})\) approaches to its maximum. This is just the same as the result we derive above.

Figure 3 shows the entanglement entropy \(S_q(W_{00}^{(i)})\) with respect to the variable \(\alpha\), and \(q = 1, 2, 3, 4\). Obviously, we have \(S_1 \geq S_2 \geq S_3 \geq S_4\), and the equalities hold if and only if \(\alpha = 1\). It is known that the entropy measures the amount of information about the system. So this means that, in general, the Tsallis entropy \(S_q(W)\) with smaller number \(q\) can give us more information about the physical system.
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Figure 2: The entanglement entropy $S_2(W^{(i)}_{00})$, with respect to the variables $\delta^2$ and $\theta$.

Figure 3: The entanglement entropy $S_q(W^{(i)}_{00})$, with respect to the variable $\alpha$.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we analyze the entanglement entropy for the ground state of the 2D harmonic oscillators in noncommutative phase space. By virtue of the deformation quantization method, we obtain the Wigner functions of the harmonic oscillators. We propose a definition of the Tsallis entropy by the Wigner functions in noncommutative phase space. To our knowledge, this is not reported in the literatures. Using the Tsallis entropy, we calculate the entanglement entropy of the harmonic oscillators. We find that the 2D harmonic oscillators can be entangled in noncommutative phase space. This is an effect of the noncommutativity of the phase space. We also derive the upper bound of the entanglement entropy.

One can also use this method to calculate the entanglement entropy for the excited states of the harmonic oscillators in the noncommutative phase space, but usually the results are much more complex. Our results and methods can be generalized to the cases of higher-dimensional oscillators in higher-dimensional noncommutative phase space. Since the quantum entanglement has many applications in quantum information and other physical areas, we hope that our results can help to study the physical properties of noncommutative phase space. One can also test the entanglement of the harmonic oscillators by designing some
Appendix: Tsallis entropy in noncommutative phase space

The Tsallis entropy in normal commutative phase space has already been studied in Ref. [37]. Here we use the following definition,

\[ \tilde{S}_q(\tilde{W}) = \frac{1}{q-1} \left( 1 - \left( (2\pi\hbar)^d \right)^{q-1} \int \tilde{W}_q^d \tilde{x} d\tilde{p} \right), \quad (44) \]

where \( d \) is the number of degrees of freedom of the system under consideration, and \( q \) is a positive real parameter. \( "2\pi\hbar" \) is from the size of the minimal phase space cell \( \Delta\tilde{x}\Delta\tilde{p} \). \( \tilde{W} \) is the Wigner function of the system in commutative phase space, \( "\star" \) is the normal Moyal star product,

\[ \star := \exp \left\{ \sum_i \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} \right) \right\}, \quad (45) \]

and \( W_q^d \) is the \( q \)-th \( \star \)-power of the Wigner function \( W \). In normal commutative phase space, the coordinate operators \( \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{p}_j \) satisfy the standard commutation relations

\[ [\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij}\hbar, \quad [\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j] = 0, \quad [\tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j] = 0. \quad (46) \]

For the pure states, the corresponding Wigner functions satisfy the orthogonality relations \( 2\pi\hbar \tilde{W} \star \tilde{W} = \tilde{W} \). So we have zero Tsallis entropy for the pure states, \( \tilde{S}_q = 0 \). This is just the same as the von Neumann entropy.

When \( q = 1 \), the entropy \( \tilde{S}_q \) defined above will reduce to the following,

\[ \tilde{S}_1(\tilde{W}) = - \int \tilde{W} \star \ln \left( (2\pi\hbar)^d \tilde{W} \right) \tilde{x} d\tilde{p}, \quad (47) \]

where the \( \star \)-logarithm is

\[ \ln_\star(f) := - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - f)^n}{n}. \quad (48) \]

The expression (47) has already been studied in Ref. [36]. When \( q = 2 \), the entropy \( \tilde{S}_q \) defined above will reduce to the so-called Manfredi-Feix entropy \( \tilde{S}_q \),

\[ \tilde{S}_2(\tilde{W}) = 1 - (2\pi\hbar)^d \int (\tilde{W} \star \tilde{W}) \tilde{x} d\tilde{p} = 1 - (2\pi\hbar)^d \int \tilde{W}^2 \tilde{x} d\tilde{p}. \quad (49) \]

\(^1\) In phase space, the normal product between functions is replaced by the \( \star \)-product, and the \( \star \)-power of the function \( f \) is

\[ f_n^\star = f \star f \star ... \star f. \]

\(^2\) There is a useful relation

\[ \int f \star g \tilde{x} d\tilde{p} = \int f g \tilde{x} d\tilde{p} = \int g \star f \tilde{x} d\tilde{p}. \]
This is also called linear entropy or impurity.

Now let us consider the Tsallis entropy in 4D noncommutative phase space. In noncommutative phase space, the coordinate operators \( \hat{x}_i \) and \( \hat{p}_i \) satisfy the extended commutation relations \([1]\). Consider some transformation between the coordinates of commutative phase space and those of noncommutative phase space,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  x_2 \\
  p_1 \\
  p_2
\end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix}
  \hat{x}_1 \\
  \hat{x}_2 \\
  \hat{p}_1 \\
  \hat{p}_2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Using the commutation relations \([1]\) and \([46]\), one can derive the following relations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & i\mu & i\hbar & 0 \\
  -i\mu & 0 & 0 & i\hbar \\
  -i\hbar & 0 & 0 & i\nu \\
  0 & -i\hbar & -i\nu & 0
\end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & i\hbar & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & i\hbar \\
  -i\hbar & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & -i\hbar & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} M^T.
\]

The determinant of \( M \) is

\[
|M| = 1 - \frac{\mu\nu}{\hbar^2}.
\]

So in the 4D noncommutative phase space, the size of the minimal phase space cell can be considered as

\[
\Delta x_1 \Delta p_1 \Delta x_2 \Delta p_2 = |M| \Delta \hat{x}_1 \Delta \hat{p}_1 \Delta \hat{x}_2 \Delta \hat{p}_2
\]

\[
= \left( 1 - \frac{\mu\nu}{\hbar^2} \right) \cdot 4\pi^2 \hbar^2 = 4\pi^2 (\hbar^2 - \mu\nu).
\]

Similar to the definition \([44]\), the Tsallis entropy in 4D noncommutative phase space can be defined as

\[
S_q(W) = \frac{1}{q - 1} \left( 1 - \left( |M| 4\pi^2 \hbar^2 \right)^{q - 1} \int W^q dx_1 dx_2 dp_1 dp_2 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{q - 1} \left( 1 - \left( 4\pi^2 (\hbar^2 - \mu\nu) \right)^{q - 1} \int W^q dx_1 dx_2 dp_1 dp_2 \right).
\]

This result can be generalized to the case in higher-dimensional noncommutative phase space.
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