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ABSTRACT. We observe that some fundamental constructions in Galois theory can be used to obtain some interesting restrictions on the structure of Galois groups of maximal $p$-extensions of fields containing a primitive $p$th root of unity. This is an extension of some significant ideas of Demushkin, Labute and Serre from local fields to all fields containing a primitive $p$th root of unity. Our techniques use certain natural simple Galois extensions together with some considerations in Galois cohomology and Massey products.

1. INTRODUCTION

The major open question in Galois theory is to describe absolute Galois groups of fields among profinite groups. The description of maximal pro-$p$-quotients for general fields for given prime number $p$ is already a challenging problem. For a field $F$, we denote by $F_{sep}$ the separable closure of $F$ in some algebraic closure of $F$. We set $G_F = \text{Gal}(F_{sep}/F)$, the absolute Galois group of $F$, and $G_F(p)$ its maximal pro-$p$ quotient. In the mid-1960’s, rather fascinating progress was made in the determination of $G_F(p)$ for local fields. Already in [Sha47], I. R. Shafarevich showed essentially that $G_F(p)$ is a free pro-$p$ group if $F$ is a local field which does not contain a primitive $p$-th root of unity. (Shafarevich did not formulate this result in the language of profinite groups as this language was introduced later on.) In 1954 Y. Kawada showed that if $F$ is a local field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity then $G_F(p)$ admits a presentation

$$1 \to R \to S \to G_F(p) \to 1,$$

where $S$ is a free pro-$p$ group and $R$ is a normal subgroup of $S$ generated by a single relation $r$. The challenging and extremely interesting problem of determining a possible $r$ explicitly was completely solved in a series of papers [De1], [De2], [Se1] and [Lab]. In fact Labute’s paper [Lab] completely classifies all Demushkin groups which include all $G_F(p)$, where $F$ is a local field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity. One example of
such relation $r$ is
\begin{equation}
    r = x_1^{ps} [x_1, x_2] \cdots [x_{n-1}, x_n],
\end{equation}
where $n$ is an even natural number and $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

There arises a natural question as to whether the groups $G_F(p)$ for other fields $F$ containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity can be described by relations of a similar shape. In some previous papers including [CEM], [EMi1], [EMi2], [MT2] using Bloch-Kato conjecture, which is now Rost-Voevodsky theorem [Voe], or techniques of Massey products in Galois theory (see also [Mat], [EMa], [MT1] and [MT3]), it was shown that some relations which include triple commutators $[[x_1, x_2], x_3]$ as a factor cannot be in $G_F(p)$ for a field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity. The next question is about possible combinations of $p$-th powers and commutators in the shape of relations defining $G_F(p)$.

During the summer of 2013 we obtained some ideas which showed that some simple Galois extensions obtained from $F$ by extracting suitable $p^n$-th roots of unity for different $c \in \mathbb{N}$ can be used to obtain interesting restrictions on the shape of products of $p$-th powers of generators and commutators in relations in $G_F(p)$. The idea is to produce some explicit small Galois extensions where the restrictions of the proposed relations to these Galois groups cannot possibly be valid. In retrospect these Galois extensions conceptually could be considered before the unipotent Galois extensions constructed in [MTE], [MT3] or [AMT]. The existence of these later extensions is related to the vanishing of Massey products. (For the vanishing of triple Massey products see [Mat], [EMa], and [MT1].) In our case the existence of our extension is governed by the structure of roots of unity in the base field and just enough elements in the base field independent from roots of unity. Again in retrospect we see that these extensions are extensions of techniques which were used in [AS], [Be], [Wha] and others to produce some automatic large extensions showing in particular that finite absolute Galois groups $G_F$ or finite $G_F(p)$ can be only groups of order dividing 2.

Our ideas mentioned above form the basis of our current paper. In the thesis of M. Rogelstad [Ro] Chapter 5 we described examples which well represent our ideas. In fact, as we shall see, some main theorems in our paper, including Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.8 are direct extensions of the techniques presented in [Ro] together with Labute’s Proposition 6 in [Lab]. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $n$ an odd positive integer. Let $G = S/\langle r \rangle$, where $S$ is a free pro-$p$ group of generators $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$, and
\begin{equation}
    r = x_1^{ps} [x_2, x_3] \cdots [x_{n-1}, x_n],
\end{equation}
with $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 3.8 implies in particular that $G$ cannot be isomorphic to $G_F(p)$ for any field $F$ containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity. The case $s = 1$ has already been implied by [Ro Theorem 5.1.2 and Theorem 5.2.1]. Observe that the relations (1) and (2) are quite similar yet they behave differently in Galois theory. We were well acquainted with [Lab Proposition 6] and its relevance to our work. We realized that it allows a generalization to the infinite case. (See Lemma 3.2.) Throughout our paper a prominent role is played by simple Galois extensions $F(a, m) = F(\sqrt[m]{a}, \zeta_{pm})$ of $F$ introduced in Section 2. (See also [Ro] Chapter 5), where we introduced these extensions for $m = 1$ and
2 in our examples which illustrate our ideas. The general case $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is an immediate extension of these examples.)

As we mentioned above, in [Lab], Labute classified all Demushkin groups and in this way all $G_F(p)$, where $F$ is a local field. He provided explicit descriptions of relations in these groups. It is interesting to clarify to what extent we can generalize Labute’s result to all fields. Our results form a contribution to this problem. We mentioned some of these ideas to C. Quadrelli in the fall of 2013 and also in later discussions. I. Efrat and C. Quadrelli developed a nice group-theoretical approach to this project. Their paper [EQ] complements well our paper, and we feel that both papers form a tribute to the remarkable thesis of John Labute.

We hope that our paper will appeal to a broad audience. In particular this paper should be accessible to graduate students.

Organization of our paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce our basic extensions $F(a, m)$ which we use substantially throughout the paper to show that some relations in $G_F(p)$ cannot occur. In Section 3 we recall and slightly generalize parts of the Proposition 6 in [Lab]. We then prove the main results, Theorem 3.8, Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.11 which were previously illustrated in [Ro] in a few examples. Section 4 introduces a natural union $CR(F)$ of all $F(a, m)$ for all $a \in F^\times$ and all $m \in F$, called the $p$-cyclotomic radical extension of $F$. This section is an extension and continuation of Section 3. In the last section, we consider a type of automatic Galois realization (Theorem 5.7) and use it to provide also some restrictions on the shape of relations in $G_F(p)$ (Theorem 5.9).

Acknowledgements. First of all we would like to thank Professor John Labute for his remarkable inspiring work, his discussions with the first-named author over a number of years often related to the structure of Galois groups and his continuous encouragement and enthusiasm for our work. The first-named author would also like to thank to Professor John Tate for the extremely interesting discussions on related topics in Galois theory during the conference in 2007 in honor of Professor John Labute. We also thank warmly other participants of our informal seminar in the fall of 2013, namely Masoud Ataei and Claudio Quadrelli for some exciting discussions related to our project we present in this paper. It is a great pleasure to thank Andy Schultz and Federico Pasini for allowing us to share with them our previous version of this article and for their valuable suggestions. It is also a great pleasure to thank Sunil Chebolu, Stefan Gille, Chris Hall and Marina Palaisti for very interesting and stimulating discussions.

Notation and convention
We let $p$ denote a prime number and $v_p$ the $p$-adic valuation.

If $x$ and $y$ are elements in a group, $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ denotes the commutator of $x$ and $y$.

For a field $F$, we set $F^\times = F \setminus \{0\}$. For $a \in F^\times$, we denote by $[a]_F$, or simply $[a]$, the class of $a$ in the quotient group $F^\times / (F^\times)^p$. We let $\sqrt[p^n]{a}$ denote a $p^n$-th root of $a$. 
We denote by $\mu_{p^n}$ the group of $p^n$-th roots of unity, $\mu_{p^n} = \{ z \in F_{sep} \mid z^{p^n} = 1 \}$, and set $\mu_{p^\infty} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mu_{p^n}$.

Let $C_n$ denote the cyclic group of order $n$.

For each positive integer $m$, we choose a primitive $p^m$-th root of unity $\zeta_{p^m}$ in such a way that $\zeta_{p^m}^{p^m} = \zeta_{p^m-1}$ for every $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, where $\zeta_1 := 1$.

For a prime number $p$, in our paper we assume that every considered base field $F$ (unless explicitly stated otherwise) satisfies the following condition.

**Condition 1.1.** If $p$ is odd then $F$ contains a primitive $p$-th root of unity $\zeta_p$. If $p = 2$ then $F$ contains a primitive fourth root $\zeta_4$ of unity.

## 2. Some radical Galois extensions

We assume that $\mu_{p^\infty} \not\subseteq F$. In this case let $k$ be the largest positive integer such that $\zeta_{p^k} \in F^\times$. Note that if $p = 2$ then by Condition 1.1 $k \geq 2$. Let $m$ be a positive integer such that $m \geq k$. Let $a \in F^\times$ such that $[a] \not\in \langle [\zeta_{p^k}] \rangle \subseteq F^\times / (F^\times)^p$, this means $a \not\in F_p^{\langle \zeta_{p^k}^b \rangle}$ for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Lemma 2.1.** We have $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{p^m})/F) \cong C_{p^m-k}$.

**Proof.** Note that $\zeta_{p^k} \not\in F^p$ and if $p = 2$ then $\zeta_{2^k} \not\in -F^2$ and in particular $\zeta_{2^k} \not\in -4F^4$. Hence the polynomial $x^{p^m-k} - \zeta_{p^k}$ is irreducible (by [Lan] Chapter VI, Theorem 9.1). Therefore $[F(\zeta_{p^m}) : F] = p^{m-k}$. Furthermore, one has an injection

$$i : \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{p^m})/F) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times,$$

which sends $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{p^m})/F)$ to $i(\sigma) = [n_\sigma] \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with

$$\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{n_\sigma}, \quad \forall \zeta \in \mu_{p^m}.$$

If $p$ is odd, then $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is cyclic. Hence $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{p^m})/F) \cong C_{p^m-k}$.

If $p = 2$ then from $\zeta_4 = \sigma(\zeta_4) = \zeta_4^{n_\sigma}$, we see that $n_\sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{2^n})/F)$. Thus

$$\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{2^n})/F) \cong \text{im}(i) \leq \langle [5] \rangle \leq (\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{ \pm 1 \} \times \langle [5] \rangle.$$

Therefore $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{2^n})/F)$ is a cyclic group of order $2^{m-k}$.

**Lemma 2.2.** One has $a \not\in F(\zeta_{p^m})^p$. If $p = 2$ then $a \not\in -4F(\zeta_{2^n})^4$.

**Proof.** In order to show the first statement, by Kummer theory, it is enough to show that $[a] \not\in \langle [\zeta_{p^{m-1}}] \rangle \subseteq F(\zeta_{p^{m-1}})^\times / F(\zeta_{p^{m-1}})^{\times p}$.

For each $l = 0, 1, \ldots, m - k - 1$, we let $F_l = F(\zeta_{p^{k+l}})$. We prove by induction on $l$ that

$[a]$ is not in $\langle [\zeta_{p^{k+l}}] \rangle \subseteq F_l^\times / F_l^{\times p}$. 

If \( l = 0 \), then \( F_0 = F \) and \( [a] \not\in \langle \zeta_{p^k} \rangle \subseteq F^\times / F^\times_1 \) by our assumption on \( a \). Now suppose that \( l > 0 \) and that \( [a] \not\in \langle \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \rangle \subseteq F_{l-1}^\times / F_{l-1}^\times_1 \). We shall show that \( [a] \not\in \langle \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \rangle \subseteq F_l^\times / F_l^\times_1 \). Suppose to the contrary that
\[
(*) \quad a = \zeta_{p^{k+1}}^s f^p, \quad \text{for some } s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and some } f \in F_l^\times.
\]

If \( p \mid s \) then \( a \in (F_l^\times)^p \cap F_{l-1}^\times \). Hence by Kummer theory, one has
\[
[a] \in (F_l^\times)^p \cap F_{l-1}^\times = \langle \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \rangle \subseteq F_{l-1}^\times / F_{l-1}^\times_1,
\]
a contradiction to the induction hypothesis.

Now we consider the case that \( p \not\mid s \). By Lemma 2.1, one has \( [F_{l-1}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}}) : F_{l-1}] = p \). Hence the polynomial \( h(x) := x^p - \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \in F_{l-1}[x] \) is irreducible and one of its roots is \( \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \). Hence
\[
N_{F_{l-1}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}})/F_{l-1}}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}}) = (-1)^p (-\zeta_{p^{k+1}}).
\]
Therefore by taking norms from \( F_l \) down to \( F_{l-1} \) on the both sides of (*) one gets
\[
a^p = (-1)^p s (-\zeta_{p^{k+1}})^s N_{F_l/F_{l-1}}(f)^p.
\]
Thus \( (-\zeta_{p^{k+1}})^s \in (F_{l-1}^\times)^p \). Since \( p \not\mid s \), this implies that \( -\zeta_{p^{k+1}} \in (F_{l-1}^\times)^p \). Since \( -1 = (-1)^p \) if \( p \) is odd and \( -1 = \zeta_4^2 \in (F^\times)^2 \) if \( p = 2 \), we see that \( \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \in (F_{l-1}^\times) \). This is a contradiction to the induction hypothesis.

Now assume further that \( p = 2 \) and \( a \in -4F(\zeta_{2^n})^4 \). We write \( a = -4b^4 \) for some \( b \in F(\zeta_{2^n}) \). Then
\[
a = \zeta_4^2 b^2 = (2\zeta_4 b^2)^2 \in F(\zeta_{2^n})^2,
\]
a contradiction. Hence \( a \not\in -4F(\zeta_{2^n})^4 \).

For such \( a \) and \( m \) as above, we define \( F(a, m) = F(\zeta_{p^m}, r^m \sqrt{a}) \). Then \( F(a, m)/F \) is a Galois extension as \( F(a, m) \) is the splitting field of the polynomial \( x^{p^m} - a \). Let \( G(a, m) = \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F) \). Define two elements \( \sigma, \tau \) of \( G(a, m) \) by
\[
\tau(\zeta_{p^m}) = \zeta_{p^m} \text{ and } \tau(r^m \sqrt{a}) = \zeta_{p^m} r^m \sqrt{a};
\]
\[
\sigma(\zeta_{p^m}) = \zeta_{p^m}^{p+1} \text{ and } \sigma(r^m \sqrt{a}) = r^m \sqrt{a}.
\]
(The existence of \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) will be shown in the proof of the following proposition.)

**Proposition 2.3.** The Galois group \( G(a, m) \) has the following presentation
\[
G(a, m) = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \tau^{p^m} = \sigma^{p^m-1} = 1, \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau^{p^m+1} \rangle \cong C_{p^m} \rtimes C_{p^m-1}.
\]
Proof. By Lemma 2.2 and by [Lan] Chapter VI, Theorem 9.1, \( x^{p^n} - a \) is an irreducible polynomial over \( F(\zeta_{p^m}) \). Hence \( F(a, m) = F(\zeta_{p^m})(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) \) has degree \( p^n \) over \( F(\zeta_{p^m}) \). Thus by Lemma 2.1 we have

\[
[F(a, m) : F] = [F(\zeta_{p^m})(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) : F(\zeta_{p^m})][F(\zeta_{p^m}) : F] = p^m p^{m-k} = [F(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) : F][F(\zeta_{p^m}) : F].
\]

This implies that \( F(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) \cap F(\zeta_{p^m}) = F \). By the Galois correspondence, the smallest subgroup of \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F) \) containing both \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\zeta_{p^m})) \) and \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})) \) is the whole Galois group \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F) \). Hence

\[
\text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\zeta_{p^m}))(\text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})) = \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F).
\]

Clearly, by Kummer theory, one has \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\zeta_{p^m})) \cong C_{p^m} \). Hence there exists a generator \( \tau \) in \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\zeta_{p^m})) \) such that

\[
\tau(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m}\sqrt[p^m]{a}.
\]

By Lemma 2.1 applied to \( F(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) \), we see that \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})) \) is cyclic and hence \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})) \cong C_{p^m-k} \). Thus there is a generator \( \sigma \) in \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})) \) such that

\[
\sigma(\zeta_{p^m}) = \zeta_{p^m-k} \zeta_{p^m} = \zeta_{p^m}^{k+1}.
\]

By a direct computation, we see that

\[
\sigma \tau = \tau^{p^k+1}.\sigma.
\]

Therefore

\[
\text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F) = \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\zeta_{p^m}))(\text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})))
\]

\[
= \langle \sigma, \tau | \tau^{p^m} = \sigma^{p^m-k} = 1, \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau^{p^m+1} \rangle \cong C_{p^m} \times C_{p^m-k}. \square
\]

Remark 2.4. Recall the following result of Schinzel (see [Sch] Theorem 2):

- Let \( F \) be a field, \( n \) a positive integer relatively prime to \( \text{char} F \), and \( w \) the number of \( n \)-th roots of unity in \( F \). Then for \( a \in F \), the Galois group of \( x^n - a \) over \( F \) is abelian if and only if \( a^n = b^n \) for some \( b \in F \).

A special of this result when \( n = p^m \) with \( p > 2 \) prime, \( w = p^k \) and \( a \not\in F^{\times} \zeta_{p^k}^b \) for every \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \), yields the following statement:

- Suppose that \( \zeta_{p^k} \in F \) but \( \zeta_{p^k+1} \not\in F \). Then \( \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p^m]{a}, \zeta_{p^m})/F) \) is an abelian group if and only if \( m \leq k \).

The above statement can also be deduced from Proposition 2.3. Indeed, if \( m \leq k \) then \( \zeta_{p^m} \in F \) and \( \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p^m]{a}, \zeta_{p^m})/F) \) is abelian by Kummer theory. If \( m > k \) then \( \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p^m]{a}, \zeta_{p^m})/F) \) is clearly not abelian by Proposition 2.3.

Recall that for a profinite group \( G \) and a prime number \( p \), the descending central series \((G_i)\), the \( p \)-descending central series \((G^{(i)})\), and the \( p \)-Zassenhaus filtration \((G_{(i)})\) of \( G \) are defined inductively by

\[
G_1 = G, \quad G_{i+1} = [G_i, G], \quad i = 2, 3, \ldots,
\]
by
\[ G^{(1)} = G, \quad G^{(i+1)} = (G^{(i)})^p[G^{(i)}, G], \quad i = 2, 3, \ldots, \]
and by
\[ G^{(1)} = G, \quad G_{(n)} = G_{([n/p])}^p \prod_{i+j=n} [G_{(i)}, G_{(j)}], \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots, \]
where \([n/p]\) is the least integer which is greater than or equal to \(n/p\). (Here for closed subgroups \(H\) and \(K\) of \(G\), the symbol \([H, K]\) means the smallest closed subgroup of \(G\) containing the commutators \([x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}, x \in H, y \in K\). Similarly, \(H^p\) means the smallest closed subgroup of \(G\) containing the \(p\)-th powers \(x^p, x \in H\).

Recall also that a pro-\(p\)-group \(D\) is powerful if \(D/D^p\) is abelian for odd \(p\) and \(D/D^4\) is abelian for \(p = 2\).

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \(m \geq k\) be positive integers and \(k \geq 2\) if \(p = 2\). Let \(G = G(a, m)\) be the group as in Proposition 2.3:

\[ G := G(a, m) = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \tau^{p^m} = \sigma^{p^{m-k}} = 1, \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau^{p^{k+1}} \rangle. \]

1. \(G_{i+1} = \langle \tau^{p^{ki}} \rangle\), for all \(i \geq 1\).
2. \(G\) is powerful.
3. For each \(n \geq 1\), one has \(G_{(n)} = G^{ps}\), with \(p^s - 1 < n \leq p^s\).

**Proof.** (1) We prove by induction on \(i\). For \(i = 1\), we have
\[ G_2 = [G, G] = \langle [\sigma, \tau] \rangle = \langle \tau^{p^k} \rangle. \]

Now assume that the formula is true for \(i\). We have
\[ \sigma \tau^{p^i} \sigma^{-1} = (\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1})^{p^i} = (\tau^{p^k+1})^{p^i} = \tau^{p^k(i+1)} \tau^{p^i}. \]

Therefore
\[ G_{i+2} = [G, G_{i+1}] = \langle [\sigma, \tau^{p^i}] \rangle = \langle \tau^{p^k(i+1)} \rangle, \]
as desired.

(2) One has \(G_2 = [G, G] = \langle \tau^{p^k} \rangle \leq \langle \tau^p \rangle \leq G^p\) if \(p\) is odd, and \(G_2 = [G, G] = \langle \tau^{2^k} \rangle \leq \langle \tau^4 \rangle \leq G^4\) if \(p = 2\). Hence \(G\) is powerful.

(3) By [DdSMS, Theorem 11.2] and by (1), we have
\[ G^{(n)} = \prod_{ip^h \geq n} G^{p^h} \prod_{i \geq 2; ip^h \geq n} \langle \tau^{p^k(i-1)+h} \rangle. \]

For \(i \geq 2\) and \(ip^h \geq n\), one has \(p^{k(i-1)} \geq p^{i-1} \geq i\) and
\[ p^{k(i-1)+h} \geq ip^h \geq n \geq p^{s-1}. \]

Hence \(k(i-1) + h \geq s\). Thus \(\langle \tau^{p^{k(i-1)+h}} \rangle \leq \langle \tau^{p^s} \rangle \leq G^{ps}\) and \(G^{(n)} = G^{ps}\). \(\square\)

**Proposition 2.6.** Let the notation be as in Proposition 2.5

1. The exponent of \(G(a, m)\) is \(p^m\).
(2) The smallest $n_0$ such that $G^{(n_0)} = 1$ is $n_0 = m + 1$.
(3) The smallest $m_0$ such that $G^{(m_0)} = 1$ is $m_0 = p^{m-1} + 1$.

Proof. Since $G := G(a, m) = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ is powerful, we have

$$G^n = G^{p^{m-1}} = \langle \sigma^{p^{m-1}}, \tau^{p^{m-1}} \rangle = \langle \{ x^{p^{m-1}} \mid x \in G \} \rangle.$$  

From this we see that the exponent of $G$ is $m$ and that the smallest $n_0$ such that $G^{(n_0)} = 1$ is

$$n_0 = \log_p(\text{exponent of } G) + 1 = m + 1.$$  

□

3. RELATIONS IN THE MAXIMAL PRO-$p$ QUOTIENT OF ABSOLUTE GALOIS GROUPS

The following result will be used below to prove Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a pro-$p$-group with a minimal set of generators $\{ x_j \}_{j \in J}$. Then for any family $\{ a_j \}_{j \in J}$ of elements in $\mathbb{Z} / p\mathbb{Z}$ having the property that $a_j \neq 0$ only for a finite number of $j \in J$, there exists a continuous homomorphism $D : G \to \mathbb{Z} / p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $D(x_j) = a_j$ for all $j \in J$.

Proof. This follows from [Ko, Theorem 6.2]. □

Let $G$ be a pro-$p$-group, $U_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the group of $p$-adic units with the $p$-adic topology, and $\chi$ a continuous homomorphism of $G$ to $U_p$. We define an action of $G$ on $I = \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $\sigma \cdot x = \chi(\sigma)x$ for $\sigma \in G$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_p$, with the $p$-adic topology, becomes a topological $G$-module which we denote by $I = I(\chi)$. The following result is a variant of [Lab, Proposition 6]. By using the previous lemma, the proof in [Lab] still works well in this case. For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce the proof with suitable adjustments here.

Lemma 3.2. Consider the following two statements:

1. For all $i \geq 1$ the canonical homomorphism $H^1(G, I / p^i I) \to H^1(G, I / p I)$ is surjective.
2. For all $i \geq 1$ we may arbitrarily prescribe the values of crossed homomorphisms of $G$ to $I / p^i I$ on a minimal system of generators of $G$ provided we require that for all but a finite number of generators, these values are 0.

Then (1) implies (2).

Proof. Observe that $G$ acts trivially on $I / p I = \mathbb{Z} / p\mathbb{Z}$ because any continuous homomorphism from any pro-$p$-group into $(\mathbb{Z} / p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is trivial. We shall proceed our proof by induction on $i \geq 1$. If $i = 1$ then our statements follows therefore from Lemma 3.1. We shall now assume that our statement is valid for $i - 1$ and prove it for $i$ using the exact sequence

$$0 \to I / p^{i-1} I \xrightarrow{\lambda} I / p^i I \to I / p I \to 0,$$

where $\lambda$ is induced by multiplication by $p$. 


Let \( g_i, \ i \in I \), be a minimal system of topological generators of \( G \) and let \( a_i, \ i \in I \) be elements in \( \mathcal{I}/p^i \mathcal{I} \) with \( a_i = 0 \) for all but finitely many \( i \)'s. Using (1) we can find a crossed homomorphism \( D_1 \) of \( G \) into \( \mathcal{I}/p^i \mathcal{I} \) such that \( b_i := D_1(g_i) - a_i \in \text{im}(\lambda) \). One has \( D_1(g_i) = 0 \) for all but finitely many \( i \)'s. Thus \( b_i = 0 \) for all but finitely many \( i \)'s. By the inductive hypothesis there exists a crossed homomorphism \( D_2 \) of \( G \) into \( \mathcal{I}/p^{i-1} \mathcal{I} \) such that \( D_2(g_i) = \lambda^{-1}(b_i) \). Then \( D = D_1 - \lambda \circ D_2 \) is a crossed homomorphism of \( G \) into \( \mathcal{I}/p^i \mathcal{I} \) such that \( Dg_i = a_i \). \( \square \)

Now suppose that \( F \) is any field containing a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity. There exists a canonical isomorphism

\[
h : \text{Aut}(\mu_{p^\infty}) \simeq \mathbb{U}_p,
\]
given by \( \sigma(\xi) = \xi^{h(\sigma)} \). The action of \( G_F(p) \) on \( \mu_{p^\infty} \) is given a by a character

\[
\chi_{p,\text{cycl}} : G_F(p) \to \mathbb{U}_p.
\]
The character \( \chi_{p,\text{cycl}} \) is called the \( p \)-cyclotomic character. For any \( \sigma \in G_F(p) \), \( \chi_{p,\text{cycl}}(\sigma) \) is determined by the condition that

\[
\sigma(\xi) = \xi^{\chi_{p,\text{cycl}}(\sigma)}, \ \forall \xi \in \mu_{p^\infty}.
\]

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(\chi_{p,\text{cycl}}) \). Then for each \( i \geq 1 \), the canonical homomorphism

\[
H^1(G_F(p), \mathcal{I}/p^m \mathcal{I}) \to H^1(G_F(p), \mathcal{I}/p \mathcal{I})
\]
is surjective.

**Proof.** Recalling that we are choosing a compatible system of the primitive \( p^m \)-th roots of unity, we obtain an isomorphism \( \mu_{p^\infty} \simeq \mathcal{I}(\chi_{p,\text{cycl}}) \) as a \( G_F(p) \)-module. From this and from the exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mu_{p^m} \to F(p)^{\times} \overset{p^m}{\to} F(p)^{\times} \to 0,
\]
we obtain a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^\times / F^\times p^m & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_F(p), \mu_{p^m}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F^\times / F^\times p & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_F(p), \mu_p)
\end{array}
\]
for \( m \geq 1 \). Since the horizontal arrows are all isomorphisms and \( F^\times / F^\times p^m \to F^\times / F^\times p \) is surjective, we see that \( H^1(G_F(p), \mathcal{I}/p^m \mathcal{I}) \to H^1(G_F(p), \mathcal{I}/p \mathcal{I}) \) is surjective. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( F \) be a field containing \( \zeta_p \). Assume that \( \{x\} \cup \{y_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a minimal system of generators for \( G_F(p) \). Then for every \( m \geq 1 \), there exists \( a \in F^\times \) and a \( p^m \)-th root \( \sqrt[p^m]{a} \) of a such that

\[
x(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m} \sqrt[p^m]{a} \quad \text{and} \quad y_i(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \sqrt[p^m]{a} \quad \forall i \in I.
\]
Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, there exists a crossed homomorphism $D: \mathbb{G}_F(p) \to \mu_{p^m}$ such that

$$D(x) = \zeta_{p^m} \quad \text{and} \quad D(y_i) = 1 \quad \forall i \in I.$$  

Consider $D$ as a cocycle with values in $F(p)\times$, then $D$ is a 1-coboundary by Hilbert’s Theorem 90. Thus there exists $\alpha \in F(p)\times$ such that $D(\sigma) = \sigma(\alpha)/\alpha$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_F(p)$. Since $\sigma(\alpha)/\alpha \in \mu_{p^m}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_F(p)$, we see that $\alpha p^m = a$ is in $F\times$. \hfill \Box

The following theorem is a generalization of [Ro6] Theorem 5.1.2] based on the same idea.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $F$ be a field containing $\zeta_{p^m}$ for some $m \geq 2$. Let $S$ be a free pro-p-group on a set of generators $X = \{x\} \cup \{y_i \ | \ i \in I\}$ such that

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{G}_F(p) \rightarrow 1$$

is a minimal presentation of $\mathbb{G}_F(p)$. Let $T$ be the closed subgroup of $S$ generated by $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then there is no relation of the form $r = x^{l} u s \in R$, where $l$ and $u$ are integers with $1 \leq l < m$, $\gcd(p, u) = 1$, and $s \in [S, S]T$.  

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there is a relation $r = x^{l} u s$, where $l$ and $u$ are nonzero integers with $1 \leq l < m$, $\gcd(p, u) = 1$ and $s \in [S, S]T$. By Corollary 3.4 we can choose $a \in F\times$ such that

$$\pi(x)(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m} \sqrt[p^m]{a}, \quad \pi(y_i)(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \sqrt[p^m]{a}, \forall i \in I.$$  

Since $\zeta_{p^m} \in F\times$, $F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})/F$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $Gal(F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})/F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\text{res}: \mathbb{G}_F(p) \to Gal(F(\sqrt[p^m]{a})/F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ be the restriction map. We have

$$1 = \text{res}(\pi(r)) = \text{res}(\pi(x)^{l} u \pi(s)) = \text{res}(\pi(x))^{l} u,$$

since $\text{res}(\pi(s)) = 1$ for $s \in [S, S]T$. Hence the order of $p^m = \text{res} \pi(x)$ divides $p^l u$. This is impossible since $m > l$. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.6.** Let $F$ be a field containing $\mu_{p^m}$. Let $S$ be a free pro-p-group on a set of generators $X = \{x\} \cup \{y_i \ | \ i \in I\}$ such that

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{G}_F(p) \rightarrow 1$$

is a minimal presentation of $\mathbb{G}_F(p)$. Let $T$ be the closed subgroup of $S$ generated by $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then there is no relation of the form $r = x^{l} u s \in R$, where $l$ and $u$ are nonzero integers with $l \geq 1$, and $s \in [S, S]T$.  

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Theorem 3.5. \hfill \Box

Let $S$ be a free pro-p-group on a set of generators $X = \{x\} \cup \{y_i \ | \ i \in I\}$ such that

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{G}_F(p) \rightarrow 1$$

is a minimal presentation of $\mathbb{G}_F(p)$.  

---

**References:**
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Lemma 3.7. Let $F$ be a field satisfying Condition [1.1]. Suppose that $r = x^{p^l}u^s \in R$, where $s$ is in $[S, S]$ and $l$ and $u$ are nonzero integers with $l \geq 1$ and $\gcd(p, u) = 1$. Then $\pi(x)$ acts trivially on $F(\zeta_p^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If $\mu_{p^\infty} \subset F^\times$ then clearly $\pi(x)$ acts trivially on $F(\zeta_p^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we assume that $\mu_{p^\infty} \not\subset F^\times$. Let $k$ be a positive integer such that $\zeta_{p^k} \in F^\times$ but $\zeta_{p^{k+1}} \not\in F^\times$. We proceed by induction on $n$. If $n \leq k$ then $\pi(x) \in G_F(p)$ acts trivially on $\zeta_{p^n}$ since $\zeta_{p^n} = \zeta_{p^{k-n}}^{p^k} \in F$.

Now suppose that $n > k$ and that $\pi(x)$ acts trivially on $\zeta_{p^{n-1}}$ but $\pi(x)$ act nontrivially on $\zeta_{p^n}$. Then the restriction of $\pi(x)$ to $F(\zeta_p^n)$ generates the entire Galois group $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_p^n)/F(\zeta_p^{n-1})) \cong C_p$. Hence, by Burnside’s basis theorem, the restriction of $\pi(x)$ to $F(\zeta_p^n)$ generates the entire Galois group $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_p^{n+n'}/F(\zeta_p^{n-1})) \cong C_{p^n}$ for every $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ (Note that $F(\zeta_p^{n-1})$ also satisfies Condition [1.1] hence $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_p^{n+n'-1})/F(\zeta_p^{n-1}))$ is indeed cyclic by Lemma [2.1]). Pick any $n' > l$ and consider the restriction map $\rho: G_F(p) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_p^{n+n'-1})/F(\zeta_p^{n-1})).$ One has
\[
1 = \rho(\pi(r)) = \rho(\pi(x))^{p^l} \rho(\pi(s)) = \rho(\pi(x))^{p^l}.
\]
Hence the order of $\rho(\pi(x))$ divides $p^l$. This contradicts the fact that the order of $\rho(\pi(x))$ is $p^n > p^l$. $\square$

Let $S$ be a free pro-$p$ group on an alphabet $X$ of a minimal set of generators. We let $X^{-1}$ be the set of formal symbols $x^{-1}, x \in X$. For each $r$ in $[S, S]$, by a commutator expression for $r$ we mean an expression $r = c_1 \cdots c_k$, where each $c_i$ is a hyper-commutator of the form $c_i = [u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_k]$, with $u_j \in X \cup X^{-1}$. Here we do not specify sub-bracketing which can be arbitrary as usual when dealing with higher commutators. We say that a commutator $[u, v]$ appears in the commutator expression $r = c_1 \cdots c_k$ for $r$ if $[u, v]$ is a sub-commutator of some hyper-commutator $c_i$. For example commutator $[u_1, u_2]$ appears in both of the elements $[[u_1, u_2], u_3]$ and $[[u_1, u_2], [u_3, u_4]]$.

The following theorem is a generalization of [Ro, Theorem 5.2.1] and our proof is based on the same idea.

Theorem 3.8. Let $F$ be a field satisfying Condition [1.1] Let $S$ be a free pro-$p$ group on a set of generators $X = \{x\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that
\[
1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow S \xrightarrow{\pi} G_F(p) \longrightarrow 1
\]
is a minimal presentation of $G_F(p)$. Then there is no relation of the form $r = x^{p^l}u^s \in R$, where $l$ and $u$ are nonzero integers with $l \geq 1$ and $\gcd(p, u) = 1$, and $s \in [S, S]$ such that any commutator of the form $[u, v]$ ($u, v \in X \cup X^{-1}$) appearing is a fixed commutator expression for $s$ has $u \neq x^{\pm 1}$ and $v \neq x^{\pm 1}$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a relation $r = x^{p^l}u^s$, where $l$ and $u$ are nonzero integers with $l \geq 1$ and $\gcd(p, u) = 1$, and $s \in [S, S]$. 


By Corollary \[3.6\] we may also suppose that there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \zeta_{p^k} \in F^\times \) but \( \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \notin F^\times \).

We take any \( m > \max\{ k, l \} \) and choose an element \( a \in F^\times \) such that
\[
 x(\sqrt[m^n]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m} \sqrt[m^n]{a} \quad \text{and} \quad y_i(\sqrt[m^n]{a}) = \sqrt[m^n]{a} \quad \forall i \in I.
\]

Such an element \( a \) exists by Corollary \[3.4\]. By Lemma \[3.2\], \( \pi(x) \) acts trivially on \( \zeta_{p^n} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). In particular, we see that \( a \notin (F^\times)^p \zeta_{p^n} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). We consider the Galois extension \( F(a, m)/F \). Let \( \text{res}: G_F(p) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F) \) be the restriction map. Clearly the order of \( \text{res}(\pi(x)) \in \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\zeta_{p^m})) \) is \( p^m \). By our choice of \( a \), for each \( i \in I \),
\[
 \text{res}(\pi(y_i)) \text{ is in } \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[m^n]{a})) \cong C_{p^m-k}.
\]

Since \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\sqrt[m^n]{a})) \) is commutative, we see that \( \text{res}([\pi(y_i^{\pm 1}), \pi(y_j^{\pm 1})]) = 1 \) for all \( i, j \in I \). Hence one has \( \text{res}(s) = 1 \). Therefore we have
\[
 1 = \text{res}(\pi(r)) = \text{res}(\pi(x)^{p^l}u\pi(s)) = \text{res}(\pi(x))^{p^l}u,
\]
a contradiction to the fact that the order of \( \text{res}(\pi(x)) \) is \( p^m > p^l \).

\[\square\]

**Remark 3.9.** Let the notation be as in Theorem \[3.8\]. Let \( T \) be the closed subgroup of \( S \) generated by \( \{y_i\}_{i \in I} \). Clearly if \( s \in [T, T] \) then any commutator of the form \([u, v] \quad (u, v \in X \cup X^{-1})\) appearing in a fixed commutator expression for \( s \) has \( u \neq x^{\pm 1} \) and \( v \neq x^{\pm 1} \).

For example, let \( n \) be an odd positive integer. Let \( S \) be a free pro-\( p \) group of generators \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) and let
\[
r = x_1^{p^s}[x_2, x_3] \cdots [x_{n-1}, x_n]
\]
with \( s \in \mathbb{N} \). Then Theorem \[3.8\] implies that \( G \) is not isomorphic to \( G_{F}(p) \) for every field \( F \) satisfying Condition \[1.1\].

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \( F \) be a field satisfying Condition \[1.1\]. Let \( S \) be a free pro-\( p \)-group on a set of generators \( \{x\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in I\} \) such that
\[
 1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow S \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} G_F(p) \longrightarrow 1
\]
is a minimal presentation of \( G_{F}(p) \). Let \( T \) be the (closed) subgroup of \( S \) generated by \( \{y_i\}_{i \in I} \). Then there is no relation of the form \( r = x^{p^l}u^s \in R \), where \( l \) and \( u \) are nonzero integers with \( l \geq 1 \) and \( \gcd(p, u) = 1 \), and \( s \in T \).

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there is a relation \( r = x^{p^l}u^s \in R \), where \( l \) and \( u \) are nonzero integers with \( l \geq 1 \) and \( \gcd(p, u) = 1 \), and \( s \in T \). By Corollary \[3.6\] we may assume that there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \zeta_{p^k} \in F^\times \) but \( \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \notin F^\times \). We pick any positive integer \( m \) with \( m > l \). By Corollary \[3.4\] there exists \( a \in F^\times \) such that
\[
 \pi(x)(\sqrt[m^n]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m} \sqrt[m^n]{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(y_i)(\sqrt[m^n]{a}) = \sqrt[m^n]{a}, \quad \forall i \in I.
\]
We first observe that \( \pi(t)(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \sqrt[p]{a} \) for any \( t \in T \). Then we have
\[
\sqrt[p]{a} = \pi(r)(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \pi(x)^{p^lu} \pi(s)(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \pi(x)^{p^lu}(\sqrt[p]{a}).
\]

**Case 1:** \( \pi(x) \) acts trivially on \( \zeta_{p^m} \). Then by induction on \( n \), one has \( \pi(x)^n(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m}^{n} \sqrt[p^m]{a} \). In particular, one has
\[
\sqrt[p^m]{a} = \pi(x)^{p^lu}(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m}^{p^lu} \sqrt[p^m]{a}.
\]

This implies that \( \zeta_{p^m}^{p^lu} = 1 \) and hence \( p^m \) divides \( p^lu \). This is impossible because \( m > l \).

**Case 2:** \( \pi(x) \) acts nontrivially on \( \zeta_{p^m} \). One has
\[
\pi(x)(\zeta_{p^m})^{p^m-k} = \pi(x)(\zeta_{p^k}) = \zeta_{p^k} = \zeta_{p^m}^{p^m-k}.
\]

Hence \( \pi(x)(\zeta_{p^m})^{p^m-k} = \zeta_{p^m}^{1+p^k v} \), for some \( v \in \mathbb{Z} \). By induction on \( n \), one has
\[
\pi(x)^n(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \zeta_{p^m}^{1+(1+p^k v)+\cdots+(1+p^k v)^{n-1}} \sqrt[p^m]{a}.
\]

Hence
\[
\sqrt[p^m]{a} = \pi(x)^{p^lu}(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \zeta^N \sqrt[p^m]{a},
\]

where
\[
N = 1 + (1 + p^k v) + \cdots + (1 + p^k v)^{p^lu-1} = \frac{(1 + p^k v)^{p^lu} - 1}{p^k v}.
\]

From this, one deduces that \( p^m \mid N \). On the other hand, it can be checked that for all \( \alpha \in p\mathbb{Z} \) with \( \alpha \in 4\mathbb{Z} \) if \( p = 2 \), and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), one has
\[
v_p((1+\alpha)^n - 1) = v_p(\alpha) + v_p(n).
\]

Therefore
\[
v_p(N) = v_p(p^k v) + v_p(p^lu) - v(p^k v) = l.
\]

This implies that \( m \leq v_p(N) = l \), a contradiction. 

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 3.11.** Let \( F \) be a field satisfying Condition 1.1. Let \( S \) be a free pro-\( p \)-group on a set of generators \( \{x\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in I\} \) such that
\[
1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow S \xrightarrow{\pi} G_F(p)
\]
is a minimal presentation of \( G_F(p) \). Let \( T \) be the (closed) subgroup of \( S \) generated by \( \{y_i \}_{i \in I} \). Then there is no relation of the form \( r = x^{p^lu}st \in R \), where \( l \) and \( u \) are nonzero integers with \( l \geq 2 \), \( \gcd(p,u) = 1 \), \( s \in [T,T] \) and \( t \in S^{l+1} \cap [S,S] \).

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there is a relation \( r = x^{p^lu}st \in R \), where \( l \) and \( u \) are nonzero integers with \( l \geq 2 \), \( \gcd(p,u) = 1 \), \( s \in [T,T] \) and \( t \in S^{l+1} \cap [S,S] \).
By Corollary 3.6 we may assume that there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \zeta_{p^k} \in F^\times \) but \( \zeta_{p^{k+1}} \notin F^\times \). By Corollary 3.4 there exists \( a \in F^\times \) such that

\[
\pi(x)(\sqrt[l]{a}) = \zeta_{p^l}\sqrt[l]{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(y_i)(\sqrt[l]{a}) = \sqrt[l]{a}, \quad \text{for all } i \in I.
\]

Let \( \text{res} : G_F(p) \to \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[l]{a}, \zeta_{p^l})/F) \) be the restriction map. By Lemma 3.7 \( \pi(x) \) acts trivially on \( F(\mu_{p^\infty}) \). Then \( \text{res}(\pi(x)) \) has order \( p^l \).

By our choice of \( a \), \( \text{res}(\pi(y_i)) \) is in \( \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[l]{a}, \zeta_{p^l})/F(\sqrt[l]{a})) \), which is either trivial (if \( l \leq k \)) or is isomorphic to \( C_{p^{l-k}} \) (if \( l > k \)). In either case we always have

\[
\text{res}[\pi(y_i^{\pm 1}), \pi(y_j^{\pm 1})] = [\text{res}(\pi(y_i^{\pm 1})), \text{res}(\pi(y_j^{\pm 1}))] = 1.
\]

Thus \( \text{res}(s) = 1 \). By Proposition 2.6 one has \( \text{res}(\pi(t)) \in G(a, l)^{(l+1)} = \{1\} \). Therefore

\[
1 = \text{res}(\pi(r)) = \text{res}(\pi(x))^{p^l-1}u.
\]

This implies that the order \( p^l \) of \( \text{res}(\pi(x)) \) divides \( p^l-1 \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.12.** In this previous theorem, by also using Zassenhaus filtrations we can replace the condition \( t \in S^{(l+1)} \) by the (seemingly weaker) condition \( t \in S^{(l+1)} \cup S_{(p^{l-1}+1)} \).

However we obtain nothing new here because, by induction on \( l \), one can show that

\[
S_{(p^{l-1}+1)} \leq S^{(l+1)}.
\]

(The case \( l = 2 \) was mentioned in [MTE, page 260].)

## 4. THE CYCLOTOMIC RADICAL \( p \)-EXTENSIONS

Let \( F \) be a field satisfying Condition 1.1. We set

\[
CR(F) = F(\sqrt[p]{F^\times}) := \bigcup_{m} F(\sqrt[p]{\zeta_{p^m}}),
\]

where the union is taken as \( m \) runs through the set \( \{1, 2, \ldots\} \) and \( a \) runs over the set \( F^\times \). The field \( CR(F) \) is called the \( (p) \)-cyclotomic radical extension of \( F \). These kinds of extensions were considered also in [CMQ] and [Wa].

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( F \) be a field containing \( \mu_{p^\infty} \). Then

\[
\text{Gal}(CR(F)/F) \simeq \langle \tau_i, i \in I \mid [\tau_i, \tau_j] = 1, \forall i, j \in I \rangle \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]

where \( \text{dim}_{F_p} F^\times / (F^\times)^p = \#I \).

**Proof.** Let \( G = \text{Gal}(CR(F)/F) \). We pick a basis \( [a_i], i \in I \) of the \( F_p \)-vector space \( F^\times / (F^\times)^p \).

Let \( \tau_j, i \in I \), be elements of \( G_F(p) \) such that

\[
\tau_i(\sqrt[p]{a_i}) = \zeta_{p^l}\sqrt[p]{a_i}, \quad \tau_j(\sqrt[p]{a_i}) = \sqrt[p]{a_i}, \quad \forall j \neq i.
\]

Then for each \( i \in I \), the restriction of \( \tau_i \) to \( CR(F) \), still denoted by \( \tau_i \), is in \( G \). These \( \tau_i \) generate \( G \).
Claim: \([\tau_i, \tau_j] = 1\).

Proof of Claim: It is enough to check that for every \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), every \(l \in I\), one has \([\tau_i, \tau_j](r^n\sqrt{a_l}) = r^n\sqrt{a_l}\). Clearly, for each \(i \in I\), \(l \in I\) and \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), there exists \(\tilde{\zeta}_{i,l,m} \in \mu_{p^m}\) such that

\[\tau_i(r^n\sqrt{a_l}) = \tilde{\zeta}_{i,l,m} r^n\sqrt{a_l}.\]

One has

\[\tau_i \tau_j(r^n\sqrt{a_l}) = \tau_i(\tilde{\zeta}_{j,l,m} r^n\sqrt{a_l}) = \tau_i \tau_i(\tilde{\zeta}_{j,l,m} r^n\sqrt{a_l}) = \tau_i \tau_i(\tilde{\zeta}_{j,l,m} r^n\sqrt{a_l}) = \tau_i \tau_i(\tilde{\zeta}_{j,l,m} r^n\sqrt{a_l}).\]

Claim: Let \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(\tau \in G\) and \(a \in F\). If \(\tau(\sqrt[n]{a}) \neq \sqrt[n]{a}\) then \(\tau^n \neq 1\).

Proof of Claim: Write \(n = p^mt\), with \(m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\), \(s \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \((p, t) = 1\). Since \(\tau(\sqrt[s]{a}) \neq \sqrt[s]{a}\) the restriction of \(\tau\) to \(F(\sqrt[s]{a})\) generates the entire Galois group \(\text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[s]{a})/F) \cong C_p\).

Hence the restriction of \(\tau\) to \(F(\sqrt[p^m+1]{a})\) generates the entire Galois group \(\text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p^m+1]{a})/F) \cong C_{p^{m+1}}\). Consider the restriction map \(\rho: \text{Gal}(CR(F)/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p^m+1]{a})/F) \cong C_{p^{m+1}}\).

Then

\[\rho(\tau^n) = \rho(\tau^{p^m}) = \rho(\tau)^{p^m} \neq 1,\]

hence \(\tau^n \neq 1\).

For each finite subset \(J\) of \(I\), we define \(F_J := \bigcup_{j \in J, m \in \mathbb{N}} F(\sqrt[m]{a_j})\) and \(G_J = \text{Gal}(F_J/F)\).

Claim: \(G_J\) is abelian and torsion free.

Proof of Claim: Let \(\tau\) be any nontrivial element in \(G_J\). We can write

\[\tau = (\tau_{j_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l}^{\gamma_l})^{p^s},\]

where \(j_1, \ldots, j_l\) are in \(J\), and \(\gamma_1\) is a \(p\)-adic unit, and \(\gamma_l\) are \(p\)-adic integers. Set \(\tilde{\tau} := \tau_{j_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l}^{\gamma_l}\). Then

\[\tilde{\tau}(\sqrt[p^m]{a_{j_1}}) = \tau_{j_1}^{\gamma_1}(\sqrt[p^m]{a_{j_1}}) = \tilde{\zeta}_{j_1}^{\gamma_1} \sqrt[p^m]{a_{j_1}} \neq \sqrt[p^m]{a_{j_1}}.\]

By the previous claim, \(\tilde{\tau}\) is not a torsion element and hence \(\tau\) is not a torsion element.

From the three claims above and also observing that \(G = \lim_{\leftarrow} G_J\), we see that \(G\) is a torsion free abelian pro-\(p\) group. Hence by [RZ] Chapter 4, Section 4.3, Theorem 4.3.4], one has

\[G = \langle \tau_i, i \in I \mid [\tau_i, \tau_j] = 1, \forall i, j \in I \rangle \cong \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_p.\]

\[\text{Theorem 4.2. Suppose that there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \zeta_{pk} \in F^\times \text{ but } \zeta_{pk+1} \not\in F^\times. \text{ Then}
\]

\[\text{Gal}(CR(F)/F) \cong \langle \sigma, \tau_i, i \in I \mid [\tau_i, \tau_j] = 1, \forall i, j \in I, [\sigma, \tau_i] = \tau_i^{p^k}, \forall i \in I \rangle = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p\
\]

where \(\text{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_p} F^\times / (F^\times)^p = \# I + 1\).
Consider one also has

Therefore there exists $\tau_{i,1} \in \text{Gal}(K_1/F)$, $i \in I$ such that

Clearly

We pick any extension $\sigma \in G_F(p)$ of $\sigma$.

Since $\text{Gal}(K_1/F)$ is of exponent $p$ and $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{p^k+2})/F) \simeq C_{p^2}$, we see that $\zeta_{p^k+2}$ is not in $K_1$. Thus $F(\zeta_{p^k+2}) \cap K_1 = F(\zeta_{p^k+1})$ and we have a natural isomorphism (by restriction)

Therefore there exists $\tau'_{i,2} \in \text{Gal}(K_1(\zeta_{p^k+2})/F)$ such that

For each $i \in I$, pick any extension $\tilde{\tau}_{i,2} \in G_F(p)$ of $\tau_{i,2}$.

By Lemma 3.2 there exists a crossed homomorphism $D_{i,2}: G_F(p) \to \mu_{p^2}$ such that

Consider $D_{i,2}$ as a cocycle with values in $F(p)^\times$, then $D$ is a 1-coboundary by Hilbert’s Theorem 90. Thus there exists $\alpha_{i,2} \in F(p)^\times$ such that $D_{i,2}(g) = g(\alpha_{i,2})/\alpha_{i,2}$ for all $g \in G_F(p)$. Since $g(\alpha_{i,2})/\alpha_{i,2} \in \mu_{p^2}$ for all $g \in G_F(p)$, we see that $\alpha_{i,2}^p = a_{i,2}$ is in $F^\times$. Set $\sqrt[p]{a_{i,2}} = \alpha$ and set $K_2 := F(\zeta_{p^k+2}, r^2\sqrt[p]{a_{i,2}}, i \in I)$. We define $\tau_{i,2} := \tilde{\tau}_{i,2}|_{K_2} \in \text{Gal}(K_2/F)$.

Clearly we have

One also has

Noting also that $\text{Gal}(K_2/F)$ is of exponent $p^2$ and $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{p^k+3})/F) \simeq C_{p^2}$, we see that $\zeta_{p^k+3}$ is not in $K_2$. Thus $F(\zeta_{p^k+3}) \cap K_2 = F(\zeta_{p^k+2})$ and we have a natural isomorphism (by restriction)

Inductively for each $m = 1, 2$, we can define $a_{i,m} \in F^\times$, $K_m = F(\zeta_{p^k+m}, r^m\sqrt[p]{a_{i,m}}, i \in I)$ and $\tau_{i,m} \in \text{Gal}(K_m/F)$ such that

$$
\tau_{i,m}(\zeta_{p^k+m}) = \zeta_{p^k+m}, \quad \tau_{i,m}(r^m\sqrt[p]{a_{i,m}}) = r^m\sqrt[p]{a_{i,m}} \quad (\forall i \neq j), \quad \tau_{i,m}(r^m\sqrt[p]{a_{i,m}}) = \zeta_{p^m} r^m\sqrt[p]{a_{i,m}}.
$$
Clearly one has
\[ \text{ord}(\tau_{i,m}) = p^m, \forall i \in I \text{ and } [\tau_{i,m}, \tau_{j,m}] = 1, \forall i, j \in I. \]

One can check that \( \text{CR}(F) = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} K_m \). For each \( i \in I \) define \( \tau_i \in \text{Gal}(\text{CR}(F)/F) \) as follows: if \( \alpha \in K_m \) then \( \tau_i(\alpha) := \tau_{i,m}(\alpha) \). Let \( H \) be the closed subgroup of \( G \) generated by \( \tau_i, i \in I \). Then \( H \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Gal}(\text{CR}(F)/F(\mu_{p^\infty})) \). The natural map induced by restriction
\[ H \to \text{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^\infty})(\sqrt[p^m]{a_i}, i \in I)/F(\mu_{p^\infty})) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} C_{p_i}, \]
is surjective. The surjectivity and the isomorphism above follow from the explicit description of the action of \( \tau_i \) on \( \sqrt[p^m]{a_j} \). Therefore by Burnside’s basis theorem ([Ko, Theorem 4.10]) and by Theorem 4.1, we have
\[ H = \text{Gal}(\text{CR}(F)/F(\mu_{p^\infty})) = \langle \tau_i, i \in I \mid [\tau_i, \tau_j] = 1, \forall i, j \in I \rangle \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{p}. \]

Let \( \varphi = \chi_{p,cyl}: G_F(p) \to \mathbb{U}_p \) be the \( p \)-cyclotomic character of \( F \). Pick any \( \tau \in H \). For any \( a \in F^\times \), any \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and any \( p^m \)-root \( \sqrt[p^m]{a} \) of \( a \), we can write
\[ \varphi(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \xi \sqrt[p^m]{a}, \quad \tau(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \eta \sqrt[p^m]{a}, \]
for some \( \xi, \eta \in \mu_{p^m} \). Then one has
\[ \varphi(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \xi \sqrt[p^m]{a}, \quad \tau(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \eta \sqrt[p^m]{a}, \]
and
\[ \tau^{\varphi(\xi)} \varphi(\sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \tau^{\varphi(\xi)}(\xi \sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \tau^{\varphi(\xi)}(\xi \sqrt[p^m]{a}) = \xi \eta^{\varphi(\xi)} \sqrt[p^m]{a}. \]
Therefore \( \varphi(\varphi) = 1 + p^k u \) with \( u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). Let \( \log \) and \( \exp \) denote the \( p \)-adic logarithm function and the \( p \)-adic exponential function respectively. (See [Neu, Chapter 5, Section 5].) For each \( n \geq 1 \), let \( U^{(n)} = 1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \) the \( n \)-th higher unit group. Then by [Neu, Proposition 5.5], for \( n > \frac{1}{p - 1} \), the two functions \( \exp \) and \( \log \) yield two mutually inverse isomorphisms
\[ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\exp} U^{(n)}. \]

In our case, one has \( k > \frac{1}{p - 1} \) by Condition 1.1. Therefore \( \log(1 + p^k) \) and \( \log(1 + p^k u) \) are both in \( p^k \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}_p \). Set \( v = \log(1 + p^k)/\log(1 + p^k u) \) then \( v \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) and \( 1 + p^k = (1 + p^k u)^v \). Set \( \sigma := \varphi^v \). Then
\[ \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \varphi^v \tau \varphi^{-v} = \tau^{(1+p^k u)^v} = \tau^{1+p^k}. \]
Thus
\[ G \simeq \langle \sigma, \tau, i \in I \mid [\tau_i, \tau_j] = 1, \forall i, j \in I, [\sigma, \tau] = \tau_i^{p^k}, \forall i \in I \rangle = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p. \]

Let \( \varphi = \chi_{p,cyl} : G_F(p) \to U_p \) be the \( p \)-cyclotomic character of \( F \).

**Corollary 4.3.** Let the notation be as in the previous theorem. Then \( G_{CR(F)}(p) \) is the closed subgroup of \( G_F(p) \) generated by \( [\sigma, \tau]^{1-\varphi(\sigma)} \) with \( \tau \in \ker \varphi \) and \( \sigma \in G_F(p) \).

**Proof.** Let \( H \) be the closed subgroup of \( G_F(p) \) generated by \( [\sigma, \tau]^{1-\varphi(\sigma)} \) with \( \tau \in \ker \varphi \) and \( \sigma \in G_F(p) \). Note that for every \( \gamma \in G_F(p) \), we have \( \varphi(\gamma^\sigma \gamma^{-1}) = \varphi(\sigma) \). Hence
\[ \gamma[\sigma, \tau]^{1-\varphi(\sigma)} \gamma^{-1} = [\gamma \sigma \gamma^{-1}, \gamma \tau \gamma^{-1}]^{1-\varphi(\gamma \sigma \gamma^{-1})}. \]

Therefore we see that \( H \) is a normal subgroup of \( G_F(p) \).

Set \( L = CR(F) \). We shall first show that the restriction map \( \text{res} : G_F(p) \to \text{Gal}(L/F) \) takes \( H \) to 1, this means \( H \leq \ker(\text{res}) \). To show this it is enough to show that \( \text{res}([\sigma, \tau]^{1-\varphi(\sigma)}) \), where \( \tau \in \ker \varphi \) and \( \sigma \in G_F(p) \), is the identity on each field extension \( F(\sqrt[m]{a}, \zeta_{pm}) \) of \( F \). By abuse of notation, we also use \( \text{res} \) to denote the restriction map \( G_F(p) \to \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[m]{a}, \zeta_{pm})/F) \).

If \( m \leq k \) then \( F(\sqrt[m]{a}, \zeta_{pm})/F \) is a cyclic Galois extension of degree \( p^m \) whose Galois group is generated by \( \tau_a \) defined by \( \tau_a(\sqrt[m]{a}) = \zeta_{pm} \sqrt[m]{a} \). Then \( \text{res}(\tau) = \tau_a^\lambda \), and \( \text{res}(\sigma) = \tau_a^\mu \), for some \( \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N} \). From \( \zeta_{pm} = \sigma(\zeta_{pm}) = \zeta_{pm}^{\varphi(\sigma)} \), we see that \( \varphi(\sigma) = 1 + p^ml \), for some \( l \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Hence \( \tau_a^{1-\varphi(\sigma)} = \tau_a^{-p^ml} = 1 \) and
\[ \text{res}([\sigma, \tau]^{1-\varphi(\sigma)}) = [\text{res}\sigma, \text{res}\tau](\text{res}\tau)^{1-\varphi(\sigma)} = \tau_a^{1-\varphi(\sigma)\lambda} = 1. \]

Now we assume that \( m > k \). We denote \( F(a, m) = F(\sqrt[m]{a}, \zeta_{pm}) \) as in Section 2. Let us write \( \tau_a \) and \( \sigma_a \), instead of \( \tau \) and \( \sigma \), as the original generators of \( G(a, m) \) in Proposition 2.3. Since \( \tau \in \ker(\varphi) \), \( \tau(\zeta_{pm}) = \zeta_{pm} \). Hence \( \text{res}\tau \) is in \( \text{Gal}(F(a, m)/F(\zeta_{pm})) \) and \( \text{res}\tau = \tau_a^\lambda \) for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \). Also \( \text{res}\sigma = \sigma_a^\mu \tau_a^\nu \) for some \( \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N} \). One has
\[ \zeta_{pm}^{\varphi(\sigma)} = \sigma(\zeta_{pm}) = (\text{res}\sigma)(\zeta_{pm}) = \sigma_a^\mu(\zeta_{pm}) = \zeta_{pm}^{p^k\mu}. \]

Hence \( \varphi(\sigma) = (1 + p^k)^\mu + p^ml \), for some \( l \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Thus
\[ (\text{res}\tau)^{\text{var}(\sigma)} = \tau_a^{\lambda((1 + p^k)^\mu + p^ml)} = \tau_a^{\lambda(1 + p^k)^\mu}. \]

On the other hand, from \( \sigma_a \tau_a \sigma_a^{-1} = \tau_a^{p^k} \) and by induction on \( \mu \) and \( \lambda \) we see that
\[ \sigma_a^\mu \tau_a^\lambda \sigma_a^{-\mu} = \tau_a^{\lambda(1 + p^k)^\mu}. \]

Hence
\[ [\text{res}\sigma, \text{res}\tau] = [\sigma_a^\mu \tau_a^\nu, \tau_a^\lambda] = [\sigma_a^\mu, \tau_a^\lambda] = \tau_a^{\lambda((1 + p^k)^\mu - 1)} = \text{res}\tau^{\varphi(\sigma) - 1}. \]
Therefore
\[
\text{res}(\sigma, \tau) \tau^{1-\phi(\sigma)} = \text{res} \sigma, \text{res} \tau \text{res} \tau^{1-\phi(\sigma)} = 1.
\]

Now let \( S \) be the free pro-\( p \) group with generators \( x, y_i, i \in I \). Let \( \sigma \) and \( \rho \) be the elements in \( G_F(p) \) defined as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. In particular \( \phi(\sigma) = 1 + p^k \).

Let \( \pi: S \to G_F(p) \) be the homomorphism such that \( \pi(x) = \sigma \) and \( \pi(y_i) = \tau_i \) for every \( i \in I \). Let \( \rho: S \to G \) be the composition map \( \pi \circ \text{res} \). Let \( \tilde{H} := \pi^{-1}(H) \). Then \( \tilde{H} \leq \ker \rho \).

Let \( H' \) be the normal closed subgroup of \( S \) generated (as a normal subgroup) by \([\tau_i, \tau_j] \) and \([\sigma, \tau_i] \tau_i^{-p^k} \). Clearly \( H' \leq \tilde{H} \). On the other hand, by Theorem 4.2, \( \ker \rho = H' \). Thus one has
\[
H' \leq \tilde{H} \leq \ker \rho = H'.
\]
Therefore \( \tilde{H} = \ker \rho \) and hence \( H = \ker(\text{res}) = G_{CR(F)}(p) \), as desired. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( F \) be a field containing \( \zeta_{p^k} \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Let \( \sigma \) be an element in \( G_F(p)^{ab} \setminus pG_F(p)^{ab} \). Then if \( p^s \sigma = 0 \) we have \( p^s \geq p^k \).

**Proof.** Because \( \sigma \) is not in \( pG_F(p)^{ab} \), the Frattini subgroup of \( G_F(p)^{ab} \), we see that there exists \( a \in F^\times \) such that \( \sigma(\sqrt[p]{a}) \neq \sqrt[p]{a} \). Since \( \mu_{p^k} \subseteq F^\times \), we see that \( F(\sqrt[p]{a}) / F \) is a cyclic extension by Kummer theory. By [an] Chapter VI, Theorem 9.1, we see that \([F(\sqrt[p]{a}) : F] = p^k \). Let \( \text{res}: G_F(p)^{ab} \to \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p]{a}) / F) \cong C_{p^k} \) be the map induced by restriction. Since the restriction of \( \sigma \) to \( F(\sqrt[p]{a}) \) generates the whole Galois group \( \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p]{a}) / F) \cong C_p \), we see that \( \text{res}(\sigma) \) generates \( \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[p]{a}) / F) \cong C_{p^k} \). This implies that \( s \geq k \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.5.** Let \( F \) be a field containing \( \zeta_{p^k} \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Suppose that \( G_F(p) \) is a finitely generated pro-\( p \)-group. Then
\[
G_F(p)^{ab} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p)^r \times \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z} / p^{s_i} \mathbb{Z},
\]
where \( r \) and \( l \) are in \( \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) and \( s_i \geq k \). Moreover if \( \zeta_{p^k} \) is in \( F^\times \) for every \( k \geq 1 \) then \( l = 0 \).

**Proof.** Since \( G_F(p) \) is finitely generated, \( G_F(p)^{ab} \) is also finitely generated and by [RZ] Theorem 4.2.4], we have
\[
G_F(p)^{ab} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p)^r \times \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z} / p^{s_i} \mathbb{Z},
\]
where \( r, l \geq 0 \) and \( s_i \geq 1 \). Then Proposition 4.4 implies that \( s_i \geq k \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, l \). If \( \zeta_{p^k} \) is in \( F^\times \) for every \( k \geq 1 \) then \( l = 0 \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.6.** Let \( F \) be a field containing \( \zeta_{p^k} \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Then
\[
G_F^{[k]} := G_F(p)^{ab} / p^k G_F(p)^{ab} \cong \prod_{l_k} C_{p^k}
\]
for some index set $I_k$.

**Proof.** Let $L = F(\sqrt[k]{a} \mid a \in F^\times)$. By Kummer theory, $\text{Gal}(L/F) = G_F^{[k]}$ is the Pontrjagin dual $(F^\times / (F^\times)^{p^k})^*$ of

$$F^\times / (F^\times)^{p^k} \simeq (\bigoplus_{i_1} C_{p^i}) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i_2} C_{p^{i_2}} \right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i_k} C_{p^{i_k}} \right)$$

for some index sets $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k$ [Kap, Theorem 6, p.17]. Therefore by [RZ, Lemma 2.9.4 and Theorem 2.9.6] we have

$$G_F^{[k]} \simeq (F^\times / (F^\times)^{p^k})^* \simeq \left( \prod_{i_1} C_{p^i} \right) \times \left( \prod_{i_2} C_{p^{i_2}} \right) \times \cdots \times \left( \prod_{i_k} C_{p^{i_k}} \right).$$

Because $\mu_{p^k} \subseteq F^\times$, we see that each cyclic extension $E/F$ of degree $p^i$ with $1 \leq i < k$ embeds into a cyclic extension $K/F$ of degree $p^k$. Therefore $I_j = \emptyset$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ and $G_F^{[k]} \simeq \prod_{i_k} C_{p^{i_k}}$.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let $F$ be a field containing $\zeta_{p^k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. Assume that

$$\text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab}) = \{ \sigma \in G_F(p)^{ab} \mid \sigma^{k(\sigma)} = 1 \text{ for some } k(\sigma) \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

is a closed subgroup of $G_F(p)^{ab}$. Then there exist a set $J$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and cardinal numbers $m(i), k \leq i \leq l$ such that

$$G_F(p)^{ab} = \prod_l \mathbb{Z}_p \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{m(i)} \mathbb{Z} / p^{s_i} \mathbb{Z},$$

where $k \leq s_1 < s_2 \cdots < s_l$.

**Proof.** By our assumption, we see that $\text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab})$ is an abelian torsion pro-$p$ group. Thus by [RZ, Lemma 4.3.7], there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^{p^s} = 1$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab})$. Therefore by Proposition 4.4 the exponents of elements in $\text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab})$ have the form $p^{s_i}$, where

$$p^k \leq p^{s_1} < p^{s_2} < \cdots < p^{s_l} = p^s.$$ 

Let $S = G_F(p)^{ab} / \text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab})$. Then $S$ is a torsion-free abelian pro-$p$ group. Hence by [RZ, Theorem 4.3.4], $S$ is a free abelian pro-$p$-group. Thus $G_F(p)^{ab} = S \oplus \text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab})$. By considering Pontrjagin’s dual of $\text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab})$ and using the fact that any discrete abelian group of bounded order is a direct sum of cyclic groups ([Kap, Theorem 6, page 17]) as well as Pontrjagin’s duality theorem, we conclude that

$$\text{Tor}(G_F(p)^{ab}) = \prod_{k \leq i \leq l} \left( \prod_{m(i)} \mathbb{Z} / p^{s_i} \mathbb{Z} \right).$$
Finally using the fact that $S \simeq \prod_l \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some index set $J$ ([RZ, Theorem 4.3.3]) we conclude that

$$G_F(p)^{ab} = \prod_l \mathbb{Z}_p \times \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}/p^{s_i} \mathbb{Z},$$

as required.

5. Massey products $\langle a, \ldots, a, b \rangle$

Let $p$ be a prime number and $k$ a positive integer less than $p$. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic not $p$ which contains a fixed primitive $p$-th root of unity $\xi$. For any element $a \in F^\times$, we shall write $\chi_a$ for the character corresponding to $a$ via the Kummer map $F^\times \to H^1(G_F, \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(G_F, \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z})$. Concretely $\chi_a$ is determined by

$$\frac{\sigma(\sqrt[p]{a})}{\sqrt[p]{a}} = \xi^{\chi_a(\sigma)}, \quad \forall \sigma \in G_F.$$ 

The character $\chi_a$ defines a homomorphism $\chi^a \in \text{Hom}(G_F, \mathbb{1}/p \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \text{Hom}(G_F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ by the formula

$$\chi^a = \frac{1}{p} \chi_a.$$ 

Let $b$ be any element in $F^\times$. Then the norm residue symbol can be defined to be

$$(a, b) := (\chi^a, b) := b \cup \delta \chi^a.$$ 

The cup product $\chi_a \cup \chi_b \in H^2(G_F, \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z})$ can be interpreted as the norm residue symbol $(a, b)$. More precisely, we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F_{\text{se}}^\times \xrightarrow{x \mapsto \sqrt[p]{x}} F_{\text{se}}^\times \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}$ has been identified with the group of $p$-th roots of unity $\mu_p$ via the choice of $\xi$. As $H^1(G_F, F_{\text{se}}^\times) = 0$, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(G_F, \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \longrightarrow H^2(G_F, F_{\text{se}}^\times) \longrightarrow H^2(G_F, F_{\text{se}}^\times).$$

Then one has $i(\chi_a \cup \chi_b) = (a, b) \in H^2(G_F, F_{\text{se}}^\times)$. (See [Se2, Chapter XIV, Proposition 5].)

From now on we assume that $a$ is not in $(F^\times)^p$. The extension $F(\sqrt[p]{a})/F$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $\langle \sigma_a \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}$, where $\sigma_a$ satisfies $\sigma_a(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \xi^{\sqrt[p]{a}}$.

Assume that $a$ and $b$ are elements in $F^\times$, which are linearly independent modulo $(F^\times)^p$. Let $K = F(\sqrt[p]{a}, \sqrt[p]{b})$. Then $K/F$ is a Galois extension whose Galois group is generated by $\sigma_a$ and $\sigma_b$. Here

$$\sigma_a(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \sqrt[p]{b}, \sigma_a(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \xi^{\sqrt[p]{a}};$$

$$\sigma_b(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \sqrt[p]{a}, \sigma_b(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \xi^{\sqrt[p]{b}}.$$ 

Let $a$ and $b$ be two elements in $F^\times$ which is linearly independent modulo $p$. The extension $F_a = F(\sqrt[p]{a})$ is Galois whose Galois group is generated by $\sigma_a$. 


Assume that $\chi_a \cup \chi_b = 0$. Then the norm residue symbol $(a, b)$ is trivial. Hence there exists $a$ in $F(\sqrt[p]{a})$ such that $N_{F(\sqrt[p]{a})/F}(a) = b$ (see [Se2, Chapter XIV, Proposition 4 (iii)]). For each $i = 0, \ldots, p - 1$, we consider the following element

$$D_i(s) := \sum_{j=0}^{p-i-1} \binom{p-j-1}{i} s^j \in \mathbb{Z}[s].$$

**Lemma 5.1.** One has

$$(s - 1)D_i(s) = D_{i-1}(s) - \left(\binom{p}{i}\right)s^0.$$

**Proof.** One has

$$(s - 1)D_i(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-i-1} \binom{p-j-1}{i} s^{j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{p-i-1} \binom{p-j-1}{i} s^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p-i} \binom{p-j}{i} s^j - \sum_{j=0}^{p-i-1} \binom{p-j-1}{i} s^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{p-i} \left(\binom{p-j}{i} - \binom{p-j-1}{i}\right) s^j - \left(\binom{p}{i}\right)s^0$$

$$= D_{i-1}(s) - \left(\binom{p}{i}\right)s^0,$$

as desired. \[\square\]

We define $A_i := D_i(\sigma_a)(\alpha) \in F_a$. Clearly $A_0 = D_0(\sigma_a)(\alpha) = N_{F_a/F}(\alpha) = b$.

**Corollary 5.2.** One has

$$\frac{\sigma_a(A_i)}{A_i} = \frac{A_{i-1}}{\alpha(\xi)}.$$

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Lemma 5.1. \[\square\]

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $V$ be a vector space over a field and $N$ a nilpotent operator on $V$ with finite index. Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer and let $v$ be a vector $V$ such that $N^k v \neq 0$. Then

$$\{v, Nv, \ldots, N^k v\}$$

is linearly independent.

**Proof.** Suppose that

$$a_0 v + a_1 N(v) + \cdots + a_k N^k(v) = 0.$$
Let \( n \) be the smallest positive integer such that \( N^n(v) = 0 \). (Such an \( n \) exists since \( N \) is of finite nilpotent index). One has \( k < n \) and
\[
0 = a_0 N^{n-1}(v) + a_1 N^n(v) + \cdots + a_k N^{n+k}(v) = a_0 N^{n-1}(v).
\]
Thus \( a_0 = 0 \). Similarly one has
\[
0 = a_1 N^{n-1}(v) + a_2 N^n(v) + \cdots + a_k N^{n+k}(v) = a_1 N^{n-1}(v).
\]
Thus \( a_1 = 0 \). Continuing this way we get \( a_i = 0 \) for all \( i \). Therefore \{\( v, Nv, \ldots, N^k v \}\) is linearly independent. \(\square\)

For each integer \( n \geq 3 \), let \( \mathbb{U}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \) be the group of \( n \times n \) upper-triangular unipotent matrices with entries in \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \). Let \( E_{ij} \) be the \((k+2) \times (k+2)\)-matrix such that all entries are zero except for 1’s in the position \((i,j)\). We consider the following matrices in \( \mathbb{U}_{k+2}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \):
\[
X = I_{k+2} + E_{1,2} + \cdots + E_{k,k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = I_{k+2} + E_{k+1,k+2}.
\]
If \( x \) and \( y \) are elements in a group, we define \([x^{(i)}, y]\) by induction as follows:
\[
[x^{(0)}, y] = y, \quad [x^{(i)}, y] = [x, [x^{(i-1)}, y]], \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.
\]

Let \( G \) be the group generated by \( x, y \) subject to the relations:
1. \( x^p = y^p = 1, \quad [x^{(i)}, y]^p = 1 \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, k \).
2. \( [[x^{(i)}, y], y] = 1, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, k \) and \([x^{(k+1)}, y] = 1\).

**Lemma 5.4.** We have \(|G| \leq p^{k+2}\).

*Proof.* By using the identity \( ab = [a, b]ba \) and the relations defining \( G \), we see that every element \( g \in G \) can be written in the form
\[
g = [x^{(k)}, y]^{e_k} [x^{(k-1)}, y]^{e_{k-1}} \cdots [x, y]^{e_1} y^{e_0} x^{e-1},
\]
where each \( e_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} \). Then the lemma follows. \(\square\)

**Lemma 5.5.** The subgroup of \( \mathbb{U}_{k+2}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \) generated by \( X, Y \) is isomorphic to \( G \).

*Proof.* Let \( H \) be the subgroup of \( \mathbb{U}_{k+2}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \) generated by \( X \) and \( Y \). By induction, one can show that
\[
[X^{(i)}, Y] = I + E_{k+1-i, k+2}, \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq k.
\]
This implies that \(|H| \geq p^{k+2} \). Also it is easy to check that \( X, Y \) satisfy the relations defining \( G \). Hence we obtain a surjective homomorphism from \( G \) to \( H \) which sends \( x \) to \( X \) and \( y \) to \( Y \). Since \(|G| \leq p^{k+2} \leq |H|\), we see that \( G \) is isomorphic to \( H \). \(\square\)

**Corollary 5.6.** One has \( G_{k+2} = 1 \) and \([x^{(k)}, y] \neq 1\) in \( G \).

*Proof.* By the proof of Lemma 5.5, one has an injection from \( G \) into \( \mathbb{U}_{k+2}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_{k+2} \), which maps \( x \) to \( X \) and \( y \) to \( Y \). Since \( \mathbb{U}_{k+2}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_{k+2} = 1 \), this implies that \( G_{k+2} = 1 \). Also since \([X^{(k)}, Y] = I + E_{1,k+2} \neq 1\), we see that \([x^{(k)}, y] \neq 1\) in \( G \). \(\square\)
The following result is a generalization of [MT2, Proposition 3.3]. For some related automatic Galois realizations see [MSS] and [Wat].

**Theorem 5.7.** Let \( a, b \) be elements in \( F^\times \) such that \( \chi_a \cup \chi_b = 0 \). Let \( k \) be an integer with \( 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \). The homomorphism

\[
\tilde{\rho} := (\chi_a, \ldots, \chi_a, \chi_b) : G_F \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})
\]

lifts to a homomorphism \( \rho : G_F \to \mathbb{U}_{k+2}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( W^* \) be the \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \)-vector space in \( F^\times / (F^\times)^p \) generated by \([A_i]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a}\)'s with \( i = 0, \ldots, k \). Let \( L = F_a(\sqrt[p]{W^*}) \). From Corollary 5.2 we see that \( W^* \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(F_a/F)] \) module. Hence \( L/F \) is a Galois extension by Kummer theory.

**Claim:** \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W^*) = p^{k+1} \). Hence \( [L : F] = p^{k+2} \).

**Proof of claim:** From Corollary 5.2 one has the relation

\[
[(\sigma_a - 1)^k(A_k)]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a} = [A_0]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a} = [b]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a} \neq 0.
\]

By Lemma 5.3, we see that

\[
\{[A_k]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a}, [A_{k-1}]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a}, \ldots, [A_1]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a}, [A_0]_{\tilde{\sigma}_a}\}
\]

is an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-basis for \( W^* \).

Since \( \tilde{\sigma}_a(A_i) = \frac{A_i}{\sigma(a)^{i}} \), and \( \tilde{\sigma}_a(b) = b \) for each extension \( \tilde{\sigma}_a \) in \( \text{Gal}(L/F) \) of \( \sigma_a \), we see that for each \( i \), one has \( \tilde{\sigma}_a(\sqrt{A_i}) = \xi_{i}^{\epsilon_i} \sqrt{A_i} \frac{\sqrt{A_i}}{\sigma(a)^{i}/p} \), and \( \tilde{\sigma}_a(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \xi_{i}^{\epsilon} \sqrt[p]{b} \), for some \( \epsilon_i, \epsilon \) in \( \{0, \ldots, p - 1\} \). Since \( [L : F] = p^{k+2} \), there is an unique extension \( \tilde{\sigma}_a \) such that

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_a(\sqrt{A_i}) = \sqrt[p]{A_i} \frac{\sqrt[p]{A_i}}{\sigma(a)^{i}/p}, \forall i = 1, \ldots, k; \quad \tilde{\sigma}_a(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \sqrt[p]{b}.
\]

Similarly, there is an extension \( \tilde{\sigma}_b \in \text{Gal}(L/F) \) of \( \sigma_a \) such that

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_b(\sqrt{A_i}) = \sqrt[p]{A_i}, \forall i = 1, \ldots, k; \quad \tilde{\sigma}_b(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \xi \sqrt[p]{b}.
\]

From now on by abuse of notation, we simply omit the tildes. We can check that \( \sigma_a \) and \( \sigma_b \) satisfy the relations defining \( G \).

**Claim:** \( \sigma_a^p = 1 \).

**Proof of Claim:** Clearly \( \sigma_a(\sqrt[p]{A_0}) = \sigma_a(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \sqrt[p]{b} = \sqrt[p]{A_0} \).

For each \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \), we prove by induction on \( n \geq 1 \) the following formula

\[
\sigma_a^n(\sqrt[p]{A_i}) = \sqrt[p]{A_i^{(n)}} \sqrt[p]{A_{i-1}^{(n)}} \cdots \sqrt[p]{A_0^{(n)}}
\]

\[
\alpha^{-[(n-1)^{(i)}]+(n-2)^{(i)}]+(n-3)^{(i)}+\cdots+(i-1)^{(i)}/p} \sigma_a(\alpha - [(n-2)^{(i)}]+(n-3)^{(i)}+\cdots+(i-1)^{(i)}/p) \cdots \sigma_a^{n-1}(\alpha - (0)^{(i)})/p).
\]
where \((\binom{n}{i}) := 0\) if \(n < i\). Clearly this formula is true for \(n = 1\). Now suppose that \(n > 1\). Then by induction, one has

\[
\sigma^n_a(\sqrt[n]{A_i}) = \sigma_a(\sigma^{n-1}_a(\sqrt[n-1]{A_i})) = \sigma_a(\ldots(\sqrt{A_{i-1}})^{(n-1)} \cdots \sqrt{A_0})^{(n-1)}
\]

Clearly

\[
\sigma_a(\alpha^{-[\binom{n-2}{0}, \ldots, \binom{n-2}{i-1}]}/p) \cdots \sigma_a^{n-1}(\alpha^{-[\binom{n}{0}]/p}),
\]

as desired. Substituting \(A_{i-\ell} = \alpha^{(i-\ell)} \sigma_a(\alpha)^{(i-\ell)} \cdots \alpha^{p-i-\ell-1}(\alpha^{i-\ell})\), one obtains \(\sigma^p_a(\sqrt[n]{A_i}) = \sqrt[n]{A_i}\).

**Claim:** \(\sigma^p_a = [\sigma_a^{(i)}, \sigma_b]^p = [[\sigma_a^{(i)}, \sigma_b], \sigma_b] = 1\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, k\), and \(\sigma_a^{(k+1)}, \sigma_b = 1\).

**Proof of Claim:** We consider the following exact sequence

\[
1 \to \text{Gal}(L/F_a) \to \text{Gal}(L/F) \to \text{Gal}(F_a/F) \to 1.
\]

By Kummer theory \(\text{Gal}(L/F_a) \cong W^*\), which can be considered as an \(\mathbb{F}_p\) vector space of dimension \(p^{k+1}\).

Since \(\text{Gal}(F_a/F)\) is abelian, we see that for each \(1 \leq i \leq k\), \([\sigma_a^{(i)}, \sigma_b]\) is in \(\text{Gal}(L/F_a)\). Clearly \(\sigma_b\) is in \(\text{Gal}(L/F_a)\). Hence \([\sigma_a^{(i)}, \sigma_b]^p = [[\sigma_a^{(i)}, \sigma_b], \sigma_b] = 1\). By Lemma 1.3, we see that \([\sigma_a^{(k+1)}, \sigma_b] = 1\).

We can define a homomorphism \(\psi: \text{Gal}(L/F) \to \mathbb{U}_{k+1}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\) by letting

\[
\sigma_a \mapsto X \text{ and } \sigma_b \mapsto Y.
\]

The composition \(\rho: G_F \to \text{Gal}(L/F) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{U}_{k+1}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\) is a desired lifting of \(\tilde{\rho}\).

We obtain the following result of Sharifi. More precisely, this result is a special case of [Sha Theorem 4.3].

**Corollary 5.8** (Sharifi). Let \(a, b\) be elements in \(F^\times\) such that \(\chi_a \cup \chi_b = 0\). Then for every integer \(k\) with \(1 \leq k \leq p - 1\), the \(k + 1\)-fold Massey product \((\chi_a, \ldots, \chi_a, \chi_b)\) is defined and contains 0.

**Proof.** This follows from the previous theorem and the correspondence between \(k + 1\)-fold Massey products and group homomorphisms from \(G\) to the unipotent group \(U_{k+2}(\mathbb{F}_p)\) (see [Dwy] Theorem 2.4], and also [MT2]).

**Theorem 5.9.** Let \(F\) be a field containing \(\zeta_p\), where \(p\) is an odd prime. Suppose that \(\sigma, \tau\) are elements in \(G_F(p)\) such that
Clearly, this statement is true for $\sigma, \tau$ in $G_F(p) / G_F(p)^p[G_F(p), G_F(p)]$ are linearly independent over $F_p$, and

(i) the images $\sigma, \tau$ of $\sigma, \tau$ in $G_F(p) / G_F(p)^p[G_F(p), G_F(p)]$ are linearly independent over $F_p$, and

(ii) the embedding problem

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
G_F(p) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathbb{U}_3(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cup} 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

has a solution. Here $\varphi$ is the composition of the natural projection

$$
\pi: G_F(p) \to G_F(p)/G_F(p)^p[G_F(p), G_F(p)]
$$

with a map $\psi: G_F(p)/G_F(p)^p[G_F(p), G_F(p)] \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi(\bar{\sigma}) = (1, 0)$ and $\psi(\bar{\tau}) = (0, 1)$.

Then $[\sigma^{(i)}, \tau] \neq 1$ in $G_F(p)$, for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p - 1$.

**Proof.** Let $[a]$ and $[b]$ be elements in $F^\times / (F^\times)^p$ such that

\[\sigma(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{a}; \tau(\sqrt[p]{a}) = \sqrt[p]{a};\]

\[\sigma(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \sqrt[p]{b}; \tau(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{b}.\]

Then by the conditions (i) and (ii), $a$ and $b$ are $F_p$-linearly independent in $F^\times / (F^\times)^p$ and $\chi_a \cup \chi_b = 0$. Now we consider the extension $L/F$, where $L = F_a(\sqrt[p]{a})$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.7 considered in the case $k = p - 1$. Then there exist $\sigma_a$ and $\sigma_b$ in $\text{Gal}(L/F) := H$ such that $H$ is generated by $\sigma_a$ and $\sigma_b$ and the relations in $H$ are the following relations

$$
[\sigma_a^p, [\sigma_a^{(i)}, \sigma_b] = 1, \forall i = 1, \ldots, p - 1, \text{ and } [\sigma_a^{(p)}, \sigma_b] = 1.
$$

On $F(\sqrt[p]{a}, \sqrt[p]{b})$, we have that $\sigma$ (respectively, $\tau$) acts in the same way as $\sigma_a$ (respectively, $\sigma_b$). Note also that $\text{Gal}(L/F(\sqrt[p]{a}, \sqrt[p]{b})) = \Phi(H) = [H, H] = H_2$. Therefore

$$
\sigma \equiv \sigma_a \text{ mod } \Phi(H), \text{ i.e., } \sigma = \sigma_a \gamma,
$$

$$
\tau \equiv \sigma_b \text{ mod } \Phi(H), \text{ i.e., } \tau = \sigma_b \delta,
$$

for some $\gamma$ and $\delta$ in $\Phi(H)$. By induction on $i$, we shall show that

$$
[\sigma^{(i)}, \tau] \equiv [\sigma_a^{(i)}, \sigma_b] \text{ mod } H_{i+2}.
$$

Clearly, this statement is true for $i = 0$. Now suppose that $i > 0$. Then by the induction hypothesis, in $H$ we have

$$
[\sigma^{(i-1)}, \tau] = [\sigma_a^{(i-1)}, \sigma_b] \epsilon,
$$
for some $\epsilon \in H_{i+1}$. In $H$ we have
\[
[\sigma^{(i)}, \tau] = [\sigma, [\sigma^{(i-1)}, \sigma]] = [\sigma \gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon]
\]
\[
= [\sigma \gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon] [\gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon] [\sigma \gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon]
\]
\[
\equiv [\gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon] [\gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon] [\sigma \gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon] \mod H_{i+2}
\]
\[
\equiv [\sigma \gamma, [\sigma^{(i-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \epsilon] = [\sigma^{(i)} \gamma, \sigma] \mod H_{i+2},
\]
as desired. (One has $[xy, z] = [x, [y, z]] [y, z] [x, z]$ and $[x, yz] = [x, y] [y, [x, z]] [x, z]$.) Thus
\[
[\sigma^{(p-1)}, \tau] \equiv [\sigma^{(p-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \mod H_{p+1}.
\]
By Corollary 5.6, $H_{p+1} = 1$. This implies that $[\sigma^{(p-1)}, \tau] = [\sigma^{(p-1)} \gamma, \sigma]$. Again by Corollary 5.6, $[\sigma^{(p-1)} \gamma, \sigma] \neq 1$. Thus $[\sigma^{(p-1)}, \tau] \neq 1$ and hence $[\sigma^{(i)}, \tau] \neq 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$. $\square$
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