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1 Notation

In this paper, a graph $G = (V, E)$ is finite, undirected and simple with the vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$. We follow [1] for notation and properties. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the open neighborhood is denoted by $N_G(v)$ and the closed neighborhood is denoted by $N_G[v]$, also for a set $W \subseteq V(G)$, $N_G(W) = \bigcup_{v \in W} N_G(v)$ and $N_G[W] = \bigcup_{v \in W} N_G[v]$. A dominating set $X \subseteq V(G)$ is such that $N_G[X] = V(G)$. A dominating set $X$ is efficient if $X$ is independent and every vertex of $V(G) \setminus X$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex of $X$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N^2_G(v) = \{w \in V(G) | d_G(v, w) = 2\}$.

2 Efficient domination in regular graphs

Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be a connected regular graph. Whether $G$ has an efficient dominating set is determined in polynomial time.

Proof. Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $A_i \subseteq V(G)$. Let $X$ be an efficient dominating set of $G$ such that $X \subseteq A_i$ if there exists. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a set of all $X$. The next facts are easily verified from the definition of $X$.

Fact 2.1. Let $v \in A_i$. If $(N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v)) \cap A_i = \emptyset$ for some $c \in N^2_G(v) \neq \emptyset$ then $v \not\in X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. (We say that the vertex $v$ satisfies Fact 2.1.)

Proof. Let $v \in X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Suppose that $(N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v)) \cap A_i = \emptyset$ for some $c \in N^2_G(v) \neq \emptyset$. Since $X$ is a dominating set, $(N_G[c] \setminus (N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v))) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. It contradicts that $X$ is efficient. We obtain the statement.

Fact 2.2. Let $v \in A_i$. If some $w \in N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v)$ satisfies $(N_G[w] \setminus N^2_G(v)) \cap A_i = \emptyset$ for some $c \in N^2_G(v) \neq \emptyset$ then $v \not\in X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. (We say that the vertex $v$ satisfies Fact 2.2.)
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Proof. Let \( v \in X \) for some \( X \in \mathcal{X} \). Suppose that some \( w \in N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v) \) satisfies \((N_G[w] \setminus N_G^2(v)) \cap A_i = \emptyset \) for some \( c \in N_G^2(v) \neq \emptyset \). Since \( X \) is a dominating set, \((N_G[w] \cap N_G^2(v)) \cap X \neq \emptyset \). It contradicts that \( X \) is efficient. We obtain the statement.

**Proposition 2.1.** For \( a \in A_i \), let \( A_{i+1} = A_i \setminus (N_G(a) \cup N_G^2(a)) \). Suppose that the following steps are applied to \( A_{i+1} \).

(a-1) Drop \( v \in A_{i+1} \) which satisfies Fact 2.1 or Fact 2.2 from \( A_{i+1} \) and let \( A_{i+2} = A_{i+1} \setminus \{v\} \).

(a-2) Increment \( i \) and go to (a-1).

For a final set \( A_j \) (\( j \geq i + 1 \)), let \( B_i(a) = A_j \). Then \( a \notin X \) for all \( X \in \mathcal{X} \) if and only if \( B_i(a) = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Let \( a \in X \) for some \( X \in \mathcal{X} \). Then \( X \subseteq A_{i+1} \). By Fact 2.1 and Fact 2.2 if \( B_i(a) = \emptyset \) then \( a \notin X \) for all \( X \in \mathcal{X} \). Conversely, let \( a \notin X \) for all \( X \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( A_i = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_l \) \( F \) where \( \mathcal{X} = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_l\} \). If for some \( X \in \mathcal{X} \), \( X \subseteq B_i(a) \) then \( a \in X \). That is, for all \( h \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \), \( X_h \not\subseteq B_i(a) \). First, we show the next claim.

**Claim 2.1.** For all \( h \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \), \( X_h \cap B_i(a) = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( X_1 \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( z \in X_1 \cap B_i(a) \). As \( X_1 \not\subseteq B_i(a) \), let \( x_1 \in X_1 \setminus B_i(a) \). As \( X_1 \) is efficient, it suffices that \( d_G(z, x_1) = 3 \). By Fact 2.1 for \( c \in N_G^2(z) \cap N_G(x_1) \), \((N_G(c) \setminus N_G(z)) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). By the same argument about Fact 2.1 let \( y_1 \in (N_G(x_1) \setminus \{c\}) \cap B_i(a) \). Let \( X_1 \setminus \{z\} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\} \). We define recursively \( y_k \in N_G(x_k) \cap B_i(a) \) for \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\} \). Let \( Y = \{y_k|1 \leq k \leq p\} \). It suffices to define \( Y \) as \( N_G[z] \cap N_G[Y] = \emptyset \). By the definition of \( Y \), for all \( y_i, y_j \in Y \), \( N_G(y_i) \cap N_G(y_j) = \emptyset \) and \(|Y| = |X_1| - 1 \). Since \( G \) is regular, \(|V(G)| - |N_G[Y]| = |N_G[z]| \). Therefore, \( V(G) = N_G[Y] \cup N_G[z] \). Thus, \( Y \sqcup \{z\} \) is an efficient dominating set of \( G \). It contradicts that \( X_h \not\subseteq B_i(a) \) for all \( h \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \).

By Claim 2.1 \( B_i(a) \subseteq F \).

**Claim 2.2.** \( B_i(a) = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Then \( B_i(a) \) is a dominating set of \( G \). Let \( x_1 \in X_1 \). Let \( y_1 \in B_i(a) \cap N_G(x_1) \). It suffices that \(|X_1| \geq 2 \). By Fact 2.1 for \( c \in N_G^2(y_1) \), \((N_G(c) \setminus N_G(y_1)) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( y_2 \in (N_G(c) \setminus N_G(y_1)) \cap B_i(a) \). Let \( x_2 \in X_1 \cap N_G(y_2) \). Let \( X_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q\} \). We define recursively \( y_k \in N_G(x_k) \cap B_i(a) \) for \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\} \). Let \( Y = \{y_k|1 \leq k \leq q\} \). By
the definition of $Y$, for all $y_i, y_j \in Y$, $N_G(y_i) \cap N_G(y_j) = \emptyset$ and $|Y| = |X_1|$. Since $G$ is regular, $Y$ is an efficient dominating set of $G$. It contradicts that $B_i(a) \subseteq F$.

By Claim 2.2, the proof is complete.

Set $A_0 = V(G)$ and $i = 0$. We follow the next steps.

1. Drop $v \in A_i$ which satisfies Fact 2.1 or Fact 2.2 from $A_i$ and let $A_{i+1} = A_i \setminus \{v\}$.
2. Increment $i$ and go to (1).

By these steps, we have a final set $A_j$ ($j \geq 0$).

Set $i = j$. We follow the next steps.

3. Select a vertex $a \in A_i$.
4. Set $l_1 = i$.
5. Select a vertex $a' \in N_G[a] \cap A_i$, and drop $N_G(a') \cap A_i$ and $N_G^2(a') \cap A_i$ from $A_i$ and let $A_{i+1} = A_i \setminus (N_G(a') \cup N_G^2(a'))$.
6. Drop $v \in A_{i+1}$ which satisfies Fact 2.1 or Fact 2.2 from $A_{i+1}$ and let $A_{i+2} = A_{i+1} \setminus \{v\}$.
7. Increment $i$ and go to (6).
8. Set $l_2 = i + 2$. If a final set $A_{l_2} = \emptyset$ then set $i = l_1$ and go to (5).

By these steps, we have a final set $A_{k_1}$ ($k_1 \geq j$). Set $i = k_1$ and follow the steps (3)-(8). By these steps, we have a final set $A_{k_2}$ ($k_2 \geq k_1$). By repeating this, we have a final set $A_{k_m}$ ($k_m \geq \cdots \geq k_2 \geq k_1$).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a set of all efficient dominating sets of $G$. Then $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $A_{k_m} \neq \emptyset$. Here, $A_{k_m}$ is determined in polynomial time.

**Proof.** By step (1) - (2), we drop the vertices that satisfies Fact 2.1 or Fact 2.2 that is, the vertices that are not contained in all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. If $A_j = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{X} = \emptyset$. By step (3) - (7), if $A_{l_2} = \emptyset$ then by Proposition 2.1 $a' \notin X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. If $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$ then for some $a'$, $A_{l_2} \neq \emptyset$. By repeating step (3) - (8), we have the final set $A_{k_m} = X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{X}$. By Proposition 2.1 if $\mathcal{X} = \emptyset$ then for all $a'$, $A_{l_2} = \emptyset$. All the steps are in polynomial time. 
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By Proposition 2.2, we complete the proof of the theorem.

References