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Abstract
There are many tests for determining the convergence or divergence of series. The test of Raabe and the test of Bertrand are relatively unknown and do not appear in most classical courses of analysis. Also, the link between these tests and regular variation is seldomly made. In this paper we offer a unified approach to some of the classical tests from a point of view of regular varying sequences.
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1 Introduction
The aim of this paper is to offer a unified approach to some classical tests of convergence (and divergence) of series with real or with positive terms. In the paper among others we use Raabe’s test that has been introduced by Joseph Ludwig Raabe in 1832 and we make a link to regularly varying sequences that have basically been introduced by Jovan Karamata in the 1930’s.

2 Regularly varying sequences
2.1 Definition
A sequence \( (c_n) \) of positive numbers is regularly varying with index \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) if it satisfies:
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{c_{[nx]}}{c_n} = x^\alpha, \forall x > 0.
\]

We call such a sequence a \textit{regularly varying sequence} and we use the notation: 
\( (c_n) \in RS_\alpha \).
There is a close relationship between regularly varying sequences and regularly varying functions. A positive and measurable function $f(.)$ is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ if it satisfies:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(tx)}{f(t)} = x^\alpha, \forall x > 0.$$  

Notation: $f \in RV_\alpha$.

Regularly functions were introduced by J. Karamata (1930, 1933) in connection with Tauberian theorems. The relation between $RV$ and $RS$ was established by Bojanic and Seneta (1973). They proved the following result:

$$(c_n) \in RS_\alpha$$ if and only if $f(x) := c_{[x]} \in RV_\alpha$.

This result implies that all properties of regularly varying functions can be reformulated in terms of regularly varying sequences. In the next section we list some important properties of regularly varying sequences, see Bojanic and Seneta (1973), Bingham et al. (1987, section 1.9), Gehuk and de Haan (1987, section I.3)

### 2.2 Properties

The following representation theorem holds.

**Theorem 1** $(c_n) \in RS_\alpha$ if and only if $c_n$ may be written as

$$c_n = \alpha(n)n^\alpha \exp \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-1}\delta_k,$$  

where $\alpha(n) \to C > 0$ and $\delta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

From (1) we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2** (i) If $(c_n) \in RS_\alpha$, then $\log c_n / \log n \to \alpha$.

(ii) If $(c_n) \in RS_\alpha$, and $\alpha < 0$, then $c_n \to 0$.

(iii) If $(c_n) \in RS_\alpha$, and $\alpha > 0$, then $c_n \to \infty$.

Using Theorem 1 we also have the following algebraic properties.

**Corollary 3** Suppose that $(c_n) \in RS_\alpha$ and $(b_n) \in RS_\beta$.

(i) We have $(c_n b_n) \in RS_{\alpha + \beta}$ and $(c_n/b_n) \in RS_{\alpha - \beta}$.

(ii) For any real number $r$ we have $(c_n^r) \in RS_{\alpha r}$.

(iii) We have $(c_n + b_n) \in RS_{\max(\alpha, \beta)}$.

(iv) If $\beta < \alpha$, then $(c_n - b_n) \sim c_n \in RS_\alpha$.

When we consider sums, we can use the following result known as Karamata’s theorem.
Theorem 4 Suppose that $(c_n) \in RS_n$.

(i) If $\alpha > -1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \to \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \sim n c_n / (\alpha + 1)$.

(ii) If $\alpha < -1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k < \infty$ and $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \sim n c_n / (-1 - \alpha)$.

(iii) If $\alpha = -1$, then $n c_n / \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \to 0$.

(iv) If $\alpha = -1$ and $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k < \infty$, then $n c_n / \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k \to 0$.

Note that the cases $\alpha < -1$ and $\alpha > -1$ allow to decide about convergence or divergence of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$. If $\alpha = -1$, no such conclusion is possible. Both divergence and convergence appear as the examples $c_n = 1/(n \log(n + 1))$ and $c_n = 1/n(\log n)^2$ show. Karamata’s theorem also gives an estimate of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k$ in the case of divergence or $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$ in the case of convergence.

In (1) and in the previous theorem, we assumed that $c_{[n^\beta]} / c_n$ converges to a limit for all $x > 1$. Now we consider a case where $c_{2n} / c_n$ is bounded. In Section 4 we provide additional results of this type.

Lemma 5 Suppose that $c_{n+1} / c_n \to 1$ and that $a \leq c_{2n} / c_n \leq b$ for $n \geq n^\circ$.

(i) If $b < 1/2$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i < \infty$.

(ii) If $a > 1/2$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i = \infty$.

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \geq n^\circ$ so that $(1-\epsilon)c_n \leq c_{n+1} \leq (1+\epsilon)c_n, \forall n \geq N$. Using $c_{2n+1} / c_n = (c_{2n+1} / c_{2n})(c_{2n} / c_n)$, we find that

$$a \leq \frac{c_{2n}}{c_n} \leq b, a(1-\epsilon) \leq \frac{c_{2n+1}}{c_n} \leq b(1+\epsilon), \forall n \geq N$$

Now consider

$$S_k = (c_{N2^k} + c_{N2^k+1}) + (c_{N2^k+2} + c_{N2^k+3}) + \ldots + (c_{N2^{k+1}-2} + c_{N2^{k+1}-1})$$

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Clearly for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$S_k \leq (b + b(1+\epsilon))c_{N2^{k-1}} + (b + b(1+\epsilon))c_{N2^{k-1}+1} + \ldots + (b + b(1+\epsilon))c_{N2^{k-1}}$$

$$= b(2+\epsilon)S_{k-1}$$

Proceeding by induction, we have that

$$S_k \leq b^k(2+\epsilon)^k S_0,$$

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. If $b(2+\epsilon) < 1$, it follows that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k = \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} c_i < \infty$. In a similar way we have

$$S_k \geq a^k(2-\epsilon)^k S_0.$$

If $a(2-\epsilon) > 1$, it follows that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k = \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} c_i = \infty$. ■

In the next result we provide a link to Raabe’s type of sequences, cf. Section 3 below. Observe that (1) implies that $c_n \sim b_n := Cn^\alpha \exp \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-1} \delta_k$. We have the following result.
Theorem 6 \((c_n) \in RS_\alpha\) if and only if there is a sequence \((b_n)\) of positive numbers so that \(c_n \sim b_n\) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} - 1 = \alpha,
\]

or equivalently

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \log \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} = \alpha.
\]

**Proof.** Using the representation (1), we have \(c_n \sim b_n := Cn^\alpha \exp \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \delta_k\).

Using

\[n \log \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} = \alpha n \log(1 + \frac{1}{n}) + \frac{n}{n+1} \delta_{n+1},\]

we obtain (2) and (3). Conversely, suppose that (3) holds. Define \(\alpha(n) = n \log(b_{n+1}/b_n), n \geq k\). We have \(\alpha(n) \to \alpha\) and

\[\log \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} = \frac{\alpha(n)}{n}.
\]

It follows that for \(m = k + 1, k + 2, \ldots\) we have

\[\log \frac{b_m}{b_k} = \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \log \frac{b_{i+1}}{b_i} = \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{i}
\]

and

\[\log \frac{b_m}{b_k} - \alpha \log m = \alpha \left( \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i} - \log m \right) + \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha(i) - \alpha}{i}.
\]

Note that as \(m \to \infty\), \(E(m) := \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i} - \log m\) converges to a finite constant. We see that

\[b_m = m^\alpha b_k \exp E(m) + \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \frac{\delta(i)}{i}, m \geq k,
\]

where \(\delta(n) \to 0\). This gives representation (1). \(\blacksquare\)

Remarks.

1) From (2) we have that \((b_{n+1} - b_n) \sim ab_n/n\). If \(\alpha > 0\) it follows that \(b_n\) is an increasing sequence. If \(\alpha < 0\), then \(b_n\) is a decreasing sequence. Hence if \((c_n) \in RS_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0\), then \(c_n \sim b_n\) where \(b_n\) is a monotonic sequence.

2) In the case of \(f \in RV_\alpha\), it is always possible (cf. Bingham et al. 1987, Theorem 1.8.2) to find a function \(g \in RV_\alpha\) so that \(f(x) \sim g(x)\) and \(xg'(x)/g(x) \to \alpha\) as \(x \to \infty\). This is the function-analogue to (2).
3 Raabe

3.1 Raabe’s test

In Raabe’s test we assume that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} n \left( \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| - 1 \right) = \alpha. \]  

Clearly (4) implies that \(|a_n| \in RS_\alpha\). Conversely, Theorem 6 shows that any regularly varying sequence is asymptotically equal to a sequence for which (4) holds.

Using the results of the previous section, we immediately have the following theorem that contains Raabe’s test.

**Theorem 7** Suppose that (4) holds.

(i) If \(\alpha < 0\), then \(a_n \to 0\) while if \(\alpha > 0\), we have \(|a_n| \to \infty\).

(ii) If \(\alpha < -1\), then \(\sum_1^\infty |a_k| < \infty\) and \(\sum_1^\infty |a_k| \sim n |a_n|/(-1 - \alpha)\).

(iii) If \(\alpha > -1\), then \(\sum_1^\infty |a_k| \to \infty\) and \(\sum_1^\infty |a_k| \sim n |a_n|/(\alpha + 1)\).

(iv) If \(\alpha = -1\), then \(n a_n/\sum_1^n |a_k| \to 0\).

(v) If \(\alpha = -1\) and \(\sum_1^\infty |a_k| < \infty\), then \(n a_n/\sum_1^n |a_k| \to 0\).

**Examples.**

The example \(a_n = (-1)^n \log(n + 1)\) shows that \(\alpha = 0\) and \(|a_n| \to \infty\).

The example \(a_n = (-1)^n \log(n + 1)/n\) show that \(\alpha = 0\) and \(a_n \to 0\).

The examples \(a_n = (-1)^n n^{-1} \log(n + 1)\) resp. \(a_n = (-1)^n n^{-1} \log(n + 1) \to 0\)

show that in the case \(\alpha = -1\) we can have (iv) or (v).

**Remarks.**

(i) If (4) holds, then for all \(k = 0, 1, \ldots\) and \(r = 1, 2, \ldots\) we have

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} (n + k) \left( \left| \frac{a_{n+r}}{a_n} \right| - 1 \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (n + k) \log \left| \frac{a_{n+r}}{a_n} \right| = \alpha. \]

(ii) Raabe (1934) also proved the following result. Suppose that (4) holds and that \(0 \leq a_n \to 0\). Let \(f(.)\) denote a function so that \(xf'(x)/f(x) \to \beta\) as \(x \to 0\). Then we have

\[ n \left( \frac{f(a_{n+1})}{f(a_n)} - 1 \right) \to \alpha \beta. \]

A similar result holds if \(0 \leq a_n \to \infty\) and \(xf'(x)/f(x) \to \beta\) as \(x \to \infty\). Such a result is also provided in Bertrand (1842, page 3) who mentions A. De Morgan in his analysis.

3) In view of Duhamel (1839), Raabe’s test is often called the Raabe-Duhamel test.
3.2 The case $\alpha = -1$

The following result may be useful in the case where $\alpha = -1$.

**Proposition 8** Let $\alpha(n) = n(\lvert a_{n+1}/a_n \rvert - 1) \to \alpha$ and define $B(n)$ as

$$B(n) = \sum_{k=k^\circ}^{n} \frac{\alpha(k) - \alpha}{k}, \; n \geq k^\circ \geq 0.$$ 

(i) Suppose that $0 \leq B(n)$ for all $n \geq k^\circ$ and $k^\circ$ sufficiently large. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-\alpha} a_n > 0$. Hence if $\alpha \geq -1$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lvert a_k \rvert \to \infty$.

(ii) The same conclusion holds when $B(n) \to L$, a finite limit.

**Proof.** Using (4) we have $\alpha(n) \to \alpha$ and we have

$$\lvert a_{n+1} \rvert = \lvert a_n \rvert (1 + \frac{\alpha(n)}{n}).$$

For fixed $k^\circ$ it follows that $\lvert a_{n+1} \rvert = C \prod_{k=k^\circ}^{n} (1 + \alpha(k)/k)$ so that

$$\log \lvert a_{n+1} \rvert = C^\circ + \sum_{k=k^\circ}^{n} \log(1 + \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}).$$

We find that

$$\log \lvert a_{n+1} \rvert = C^\circ + D(n) + B(n) + \alpha E(n) + \alpha \log n,$$

where

$$D(n) = \sum_{k=k^\circ}^{n} (\log(1 + \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}) - \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}),$$

$$B(n) = \sum_{k=k^\circ}^{n} \frac{\alpha(k) - \alpha}{k},$$

$$E(n) = \sum_{k=k^\circ}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \log n.$$ 

Note that (using $\alpha(k)/k \to 0$ and $\log(1 + z) - z \sim z^2/2$, as $z \to 0$) we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} D(n) \to D < \infty$. Also we have $E(n) \to E$, a finite number. It follows that

$$\log n^{-\alpha} \lvert a_{n+1} \rvert = C^\circ + D(n) + E(n) + B(n).$$

If we assume that $0 \leq B(n)$ for all $n \geq k^\circ$, then we have that

$$\log n^{-\alpha} \lvert a_{n+1} \rvert \geq C^\circ + D(n) + E(n),$$

and $\lim \inf \log(n^{-\alpha} \lvert a_{n+1} \rvert) > -\infty$. If $B(n) \to L$, then $\log n^{-\alpha} \lvert a_{n+1} \rvert \to C^\circ$, a finite constant. In both cases, we can conclude that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lvert a_k \rvert \to \infty$ for $\alpha \geq -1$. ■
Examples.
1) Let $a_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (2 - e^{1/n})$. We have
\[ n\left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1\right) = n(1 - e^{1/(n+1)}) \to -1 \]
and we find that $(a_n) \in RS_{-1}$. Here
\[ \alpha(n) + 1 = n(1 - e^{1/(n+1)}) + 1 \sim \frac{1}{2n} \]
and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha(k) + 1)/k \to L$. It follows that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k| \to \infty$.
2) Take $a_n = (-1)^{n-1} \Gamma(2n + \beta + 1)! / 4^n (n!)^2$. We have
\[
\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} = (1 + \frac{\beta}{2(n+1)})(1 + \frac{\beta - 1}{2(n+1)})
\]
\[ = 1 + \frac{2\beta - 1}{2(n+1)} + \frac{\beta(\beta - 1)}{4(n+1)^2} \]
and
\[ n\left(\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} - 1\right) \to \beta - 1/2 \]
Using the notations as before, we have
\[ \alpha(n) - (\beta - 1/2) = \frac{2\beta - 1}{2(n+1)} + \frac{n\beta(\beta - 1)}{4(n+1)^2} \]
and then we have
\[ n(\alpha(n) - (\beta - 1/2)) \to \frac{1}{2} - \beta + \frac{\beta(\beta - 1)}{4} \]
When $\beta = -1/2$ this is
\[ n(\alpha(n) + 1) \to \frac{19}{16} \]
We conclude that $(|a_n|) \in RS_{\beta-1/2}$. If $\beta < -1/2$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty$. If $\beta \geq -1/2$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \infty$.
3) Let $a_n = 4^{n-1}((n-1)!!)^2/((2n-1)!!)^2$. We have
\[ \alpha(n) = n\left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1\right) = n\left(\frac{4n^2}{(2n+1)^2} - 1\right) = -\frac{4n^2}{(2n+1)^2} \to -1 \]
Also we have
\[ \alpha(n) + 1 = 1 - \frac{4n^2}{(2n+1)^2} = \frac{4n+1}{(2n+1)^2} \]
and $n(\alpha(n) + 1) \to 1$. 
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3.3 An alternating Series

Suppose that \( a_n > 0 \) and consider the sequence \( p_n = (-1)^n a_n \). For \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} |p_k| \) we can use the results of the previous section. In this section we study \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \) and to this end we define \( b_n = a_{2n} \) for \( n \geq 1 \) and \( c_n = a_{2n+1} \) for \( n \geq 0 \).

We assume that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} n(a_{n+1}/a_n - 1) = \alpha \) so that \((a_n) \in RS_\alpha\). As before we define \( \alpha(n) = n(a_{n+1}/a_n - 1) \). Clearly we have \( b_n \sim 2^\alpha a_n \) and \( c_n \sim 2^\alpha a_n \).

3.3.1 Case 1

If \( \alpha < -1 \), then \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k - b_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \) is finite

3.3.2 Case 2

If \( \alpha > -1 \), then \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = \infty \). Now we consider \( c_n - b_n \). Clearly we have

\[
2n(c_n - b_n) = \frac{2n(a_{2n+1} - a_{2n})}{a_{2n}} = \alpha(2n) \to \alpha
\]

If \( \alpha \neq 0 \), then we have

\[
c_n - b_n \sim \frac{\alpha}{2n} a_{2n} \sim \alpha \frac{2^{\alpha-1} a_n}{n}.
\]

so that \( |c_n - b_n| \in RS_{\alpha-1} \).

If \( \alpha < 0 \), then \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k - b_k) < \infty \) and \( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (c_k - b_k) \sim -2^{\alpha-1} a_n \).

If \( \alpha > 0 \), then \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_k - b_k) \sim 2^{\alpha-1} a_n \to \infty \).

If \( \alpha = 0 \), we have

\[
c_n - b_n = \alpha(2n) \frac{a_{2n}}{2n} \sim \alpha(2n) \frac{a_n}{n}.
\]

The asymptotic behaviour of the partial sum \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_k - b_k) \) here depends on the speed of convergence in \( \alpha(n) \to 0 \).

Examples

1) If \( p_n = (-1)^n / \log(n + 1) \) we have \( \alpha = 0 \), \( b_n = 1/\log(2n + 1) \) and \( c_n = 1/\log(2n + 2) \). Also we have

\[
c_n - b_n = \frac{1}{\log(2n+2)} - \frac{1}{\log(2n+1)}
= - \frac{1}{\log(1 + 1/(2n+2))}
\]

\[
\sim \frac{-1}{n(\log n)^2}
\]

Here we have \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_k - b_k) \to L < \infty \).

2) If \( p_n = (-1)^n \log(n + 1) \) we have \( \alpha = 0 \), \( b_n = \log(2n + 1) \) and \( c_n = \log(2n + 2) \). Also we have

\[
c_n - b_n = \log(1 + \frac{1}{2n+1}) \sim \frac{1}{2n}
\]
and \( \sum_{k=1}^{n}(c_k - b_k) \to \infty. \)

3) If \( p_n = (-1)^{n-1}\Gamma(2n + \beta + 1)!/4^n(n!)^2 \), then we have
\[
n(c_n - b_n)/a_n \to \alpha 2^{n-1},
\]
where \( \alpha = \beta - 1/2 \). Depending on \( \beta \) we have convergence or divergence.

4) Let \( p_n = (-1)^n \exp n^\theta \) where \( \theta < 0 \) is a parameter. We have \( a_n \to 1 \) and
\[
\log \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = (n + 1)^\theta - n^\theta = n^\theta((1 + \frac{1}{n})^\theta - 1) \sim \theta n^{\theta-1}.
\]
It follows that
\[
\alpha(n) = n\left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1\right) \sim \theta n^\theta.
\]
Clearly we have
\[
c_n - b_n = \alpha(2n)\frac{a_{2n}}{2n^\theta} \sim \theta 2^{\theta-1}n^{\theta-1}.
\]
Since \( \theta < 0 \), we have \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(c_k - b_k) < \infty. \)

4 Other related tests

4.1 Functions between Karamata functions

We use the following notation. We say that \( f \preceq g \) if \( \lim \inf \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} > 0 \) or \( \lim \sup \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \infty \). We use the notation \( f \succeq g \) if \( f \preceq g \) and \( g \preceq f \). We use a similar notation for sequences.

Now suppose \( (\phi_n) \in RS_\alpha \) and \( (\varphi_n) \in RS_\beta \) are 2 fixed regularly varying sequences. The class \( M(\phi, \varphi) \) is the class of sequences \( (a_n) \) for which we can find constants \( A, B, N > 0 \) so that
\[
A\phi_n \leq a_n \leq B\varphi_n, \forall n \geq N.
\]
In our notation it means that \( \phi_n \preceq a_n \preceq \varphi_n \). Clearly we should have \( \alpha \leq \beta \).

Also, it is clear that \( \beta < 0 \) implies that \( a_n \to 0 \) while if \( \alpha > 0 \), we have \( a_n \to \infty \).

By taking logarithms, we also have that
\[
\alpha \leq \lim \inf \frac{\log a_n}{\log n} \leq \lim \sup \frac{\log a_n}{\log n} \leq \beta.
\]

**Examples**

1) Take \( a_n = \exp \lfloor \log n \rfloor \). We have \( \lfloor \log n \rfloor \leq \log n < \lfloor \log n \rfloor + 1 \) and then \( n/e \leq a_n \leq n \).

2) Take \( a_n = \exp \{\alpha \log n + \beta \sin n \log n\}, n \geq e \). We have \( -\log n \leq \sin n \log n \leq \log n \). If \( \beta > 0 \) this gives
\[
\exp \{\alpha \log n - \beta \log n\} \leq a_n \leq \exp \{\alpha \log n + \beta \log n\}
\]
so that \( n^{\alpha+\beta} \leq a_n \leq n^{\alpha+\beta} \).

We can easily use the properties of \( RS \) when we consider the partial sums of \( a_n \).
Proposition 9 Suppose that \((a_n) \in M(\phi, \varphi)\).

(i) If \(\alpha > -1\), then \((n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k) \in M(\phi, \varphi)\).

(ii) If \(\beta < -1\), then \(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty\) and \((n^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k) \in M(\phi, \varphi)\).

Proof. (i) Taking sums we have

\[
A \sum_{N}^{n} \phi_n \leq \sum_{k=N}^{n} a_k \leq B \sum_{N}^{n} \varphi_n
\]

Since \(\alpha > -1\) we have \(\beta > -1\) and \(\sum_{N}^{n} \phi_n \sim n\phi_n/(\alpha + 1)\) and \(\sum_{N}^{n} \varphi_n \sim n\varphi_n/(\beta + 1)\). We obtain that

\[
\lim \inf \sum_{k=N}^{n} a_k/n\phi_n \geq A/(1 + \alpha) > 0
\]

and

\[
\lim \sup \sum_{k=N}^{n} a_k/n\varphi_n \leq B/(1 + \beta) < \infty.
\]

(ii) Similar. \(\blacksquare\)

The following proposition makes a connection with \(\alpha(n)\).

Proposition 10 Let \(\alpha(n) = n(a_{n+1}/a_n - 1)\) and assume that \(\alpha(n) \neq 0\) and \(\alpha \leq \alpha(n) \leq \beta\) for all \(n \geq N\). Then \((a_n) \in M(\phi_n = n^\alpha, \varphi_n = n^\beta)\).

Proof. We have

\[
a_{n+1} = n(1 + \frac{\alpha(n)}{n}) = a_n \prod_{k=n}^{n+1} (1 + \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}).
\]

Taking logarithms, we obtain that

\[
\log a_{n+1} = \log a_n + D(n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha(k)}{k},
\]

where

\[
D(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\log(1 + \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}) - \frac{\alpha(k)}{k})
\]

Using \(\log(1 + z) - z + O(z^2)\) as \(z \to 0\), it follows that \(\lim D(n) = L\), a finite limit. On the other hand, we have

\[
\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha(k)}{k} \leq \beta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}
\]

Using \(E(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1/k - \log n\) and \(\lim E(n) = E\), a finite limit, we have

\[
\alpha E(n) + \alpha \log n \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha(k)}{k} \leq \beta E(n) + \beta \log n.
\]
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It follows that
\[ \log a_k + D(n) + \alpha E(n) + \alpha \log n \leq \log a_{n+1} \leq \log a_k + D(n) + \beta E(n) + \beta \log n. \]

Hence \( n^\alpha C'(n) \leq a_{n+1} \leq n^\beta C(n) \) where \( C(n) \) and \( C'(n) \) converge to a finite and positive limit. We conclude that \((a_n) \in M(\phi_n = n^\alpha, \varphi_n = n^\beta)\). This proves the result.

Note that we have \( \alpha(n) = 0 \) i.o. if and only if \( a_{n+1} = a_n \) i.o.

The proof shows the following result.

**Corollary 11** Let \( \alpha(n) = n(a_{n+1}/a_n - 1) \) and assume that \( \alpha(n) \neq 0, \forall n \geq N \).

(i) If \( \alpha \leq \alpha(n), \forall n \geq N \), then \( n^\alpha \preceq a_n \).

(ii) If \( \alpha(n) \leq \beta, \forall n \geq N \), then \( a_n \preceq n^\beta \).

In the next result we study \( a_{[nx]}/a_n \).

**Proposition 12** Let \( \alpha(n) = n(a_{n+1}/a_n - 1) \) and assume that \( \alpha(n) \neq 0 \) and \( \alpha \leq \alpha(n) \leq \beta \) for all \( n \geq N \). Then \((a_n)\) satisfies

\[ x^\alpha \leq \lim \left( \sup_{[t]} \frac{a_{[xt]}}{a_t} \right) \leq x^\beta, \forall x > 1, \]

\[ x^\beta \leq \lim \left( \sup_{[t]} \frac{a_{[xt]}}{a_t} \right) \leq x^\alpha, \forall x, 0 < x \leq 1. \]

**Proof.** Using the notations as before, for \( x > 1 \) and \( t \) sufficieently large, we have

\[ \log \frac{a_{[xt]}}{a_t} = D([xt] - 1) - D([t] - 1) + \sum_{[t]} \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}. \]

We have \( D([xt] - 1) - D([t] - 1) \to 0 \) and

\[ \alpha \sum_{[t]} \frac{1}{k} \leq \sum_{[t]} \frac{\alpha(k)}{k} \leq \beta \sum_{[t]} \frac{1}{k}. \]

It follows that

\[ \alpha \log x \leq \lim \left( \sup_{[t]} \frac{a_{[xt]}}{a_t} \right) \sum_{[t]} \frac{\alpha(k)}{k} \leq \beta \log x. \]

We conclude that

\[ x^\alpha \leq \lim \left( \sup_{[t]} \frac{a_{[xt]}}{a_t} \right) \frac{a_{[xt]}}{a_{[t]}} \leq x^\beta \]

For \( 0 < x \leq 1 \), the proof is similar. This proves the result. \( \blacksquare \)
4.2 O-regular variation

A sequence \((c_n)\) of positive numbers is \(O\)-regularly varying if it satisfies \(c_{[tx]} \preceq c_{[t]}, \forall x > 0\). We use the notation \((c_n) \in ORS\). It can be proved that \((c_n) \in ORS\) if and only if \(c_n\) can be written as

\[ c_n = d(n) \exp \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-1} \delta_k, \]

where \(d(n)\) is bounded away from 0 and from \(\infty\), and \(\delta_n\) is bounded. Note that \(c_n \sim b_n := \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} \delta_k \), and we have

\[ \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} - 1 = \exp \frac{\delta_{n+1}}{n+1} - 1 \sim \frac{\delta_{n+1}}{n+1} \]

so that \(\beta(n)\) defined by

\[ \beta(n) = n\left(\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} - 1\right), \]

is bounded away from zero and infinity. As in the previous section it follows that we can find real numbers \(\alpha, \beta\), and positive numbers \(A, B, N\) so that \(A n^\alpha \leq b_n \leq B n^\beta, \forall n \geq N\). The results of the previous section can be used.

Remarks
1) In Liflyland et al. (2011) the authors consider weak monotone sequences defined as follows. Suppose that \(a_n \geq 0\) and that \(a_n \to 0\). The sequence is called weak monotone if there is a fixed constant \(C\) so that

\[ a_k \leq C a_n, n \leq k \leq 2n, \forall n \geq 1. \]

For \(1 \leq x \leq 2\), we clearly have \(a_{[xn]} \leq C a_n\). We find that for \(x \geq 1\) we have \(a_{[xn]} \sim a_n\).

2) In Sayel A. Ali (2008) the author considers sequence so that \(a_{2n} \sim a_n\) and \(a_{2n+1} \sim a_n\).

4.3 Gauss

Gauss assumed that \(\alpha(n) = n(a_{n+1}/a_n - 1) \to p\) at a certain rate. More precisely, Gauss assumed that \(\alpha(n) = p + n^{1-r} B_n\), where \(r > 1\) and where \(B_n\) is a bounded sequence. Clearly \((a_n) \in RS_p\) and \(\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty\) if \(p < -1\), \(\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty\) if \(p > -1\).

To study the case \(p = -1\) we proceed as in the previous section and we find

\[ \log a_{n+1} = \log a_{k^r} + D(n) + \sum_{k^r}^{n} \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}, \]

where \(D(n) \to D\). We have

\[ \log a_{n+1} - p \log n = C + D(n) + pE(n) + \sum_{k^r}^{n} \frac{B_k}{k^r}. \]
Clearly we have
\[ \left| \sum_{k=k^0}^{n} \frac{B_k}{k^r} \right| \leq \sum_{k=k^0}^{n} \left| \frac{B_k}{k^r} \right|. \]

Since \( B_n \) is bounded and \( r > 1 \), we find that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\log a_{n+1} - p \log n) = F \), a finite limit. Hence we find that \( a_n \sim cn^p \) for some constant \( c > 0 \). It is clear that \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty \) if \( p \geq -1 \).

### 4.4 Refining Bertrand’s and Gauss’ test

Earlier we considered \( \alpha(n) = n(|a_{n+1}/a_n| - 1) \) and assumed that \( \alpha(n) \to \alpha \). In this section we assume that \( b(n) (\alpha(n) - \alpha) \) is bounded or that \( b(n) (\alpha(n) - \alpha) \to \beta \). Here we assume that \( 0 < b(n) \uparrow \infty \) and that \( b(n) \geq 1 \). As in Proposition 7, we have

\[ \log n^{-\alpha} |a_{n+1}| = C^o + D(n) + \alpha E(n) + B(n) \equiv Q(n) + B(n), \]

where

\[ D(n) = \sum_{k=k^0}^{n} \left( \log(1 + \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}) - \frac{\alpha(k)}{k} \right), \]

\[ E(n) = \sum_{k=k^0}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \log n, \]

\[ B(n) = \sum_{k=k^0}^{n} \frac{\alpha(k) - \alpha}{k} = \sum_{k=k^0}^{n} \frac{b(k)(\alpha(k) - \alpha)}{b(k)k}. \]

Clearly \( D(n) + E(n) \to c \), a finite limit. Now we consider \( B(n) \). The first result generalizes Gauss’ test from the previous section.

**Proposition 13** Suppose that \( b(n)(\alpha(n) - \alpha) \) is bounded and that \( \sum_{k=k^0}^{\infty} 1/(kb(k)) < \infty \). Then \( |a_n| \sim Cn^{\alpha} \). The series \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \) converges if and only if \( \alpha < -1 \) and diverges if \( \alpha \geq -1 \).

**Proof.** In this case we have that \( B(n) \to B \), a finite number. It follows that \( \log |a_{n+1}| n^{-\alpha} \to c \) and hence also that \( |a_n| \sim Cn^{\alpha} \). ■

**Examples**

1) If \( b(n) = n \log n \), or \( b(n) = n / \log n \), we have \( \sum_{k=k^0}^{\infty} 1/(kb(k)) < \infty \)

2) If \( b(n) = n^r \), \( r > 0 \), we have \( \sum_{k=k^0}^{\infty} 1/(kb(k)) = \sum_{k=k^0}^{\infty} k^{-r-1} \) which converges to a finite limit.

3) Consider \( a_n = ((2n-1)!!/(2n)!!)^a \). We have

\[ \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \left( \frac{(2n+1)!!(2n)!!}{(2n+2)!!(2n-1)!!} \right)^a = \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^a \]

and

\[ \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1 = (1 - \frac{1}{2n+2})^a - 1 \sim -a \frac{1}{2n} \]
We find that $\alpha(n) \to -a/2$, so that $(a_n) \in RS_{-a/2}$. Using Raabe’s test, we have convergence if $a > 2$ and divergence if $a < 2$. For $a = 2$, we have

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n+2}\right)^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{4(n+1)^2} - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

and

$$\alpha(n) + 1 = n\left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1\right) = \frac{n}{4(n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{n+1}$$

We find that $n(\alpha(n) + 1) \to 5/4$. We have $b_n = n$ and from the remark after the previous result, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k| \uparrow \infty$.

In the next result we assume that $b(n)(\alpha(n) - \alpha) \to \beta$ and that $\sum_{k=k^*}^{n} 1/(kb(k)) \uparrow \infty$. We choose $k^*$ sufficiently large so that $\beta - \epsilon \leq b(k)(\alpha(n) - \alpha) \leq \beta + \epsilon$, $k \geq k^*$.

**Proposition 14** Suppose that $b(n)(\alpha(n) - \alpha) \to \beta$ and that $\sum_{k=k^*}^{n} 1/(kb(k)) \uparrow \infty$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$.

(i) If $\beta > 0$, we have $|a_n| \leq n^{\alpha+\beta+\epsilon}$.

(ii) If $\beta < 0$, we have $n^{\alpha+\beta-\epsilon} \leq |a_n|$.

(iii) If $\beta = 0$, we have $n^{\alpha-\epsilon} \leq |a_n| \leq n^{\alpha+\epsilon}$.

**Proof.** We have

$$(\beta - \epsilon) \sum_{k=n^*}^{n} \frac{1}{kb(k)} \leq B(n) \leq (\beta + \epsilon) \sum_{k=n^*}^{n} \frac{1}{kb(k)}.$$

First consider the case where $\beta > 0$. Since $b(k) \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{k=n^*}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \leq \sum_{k=n^*}^{n} \frac{1}{kb(k)} \leq \sum_{k=n^*}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$$

and it follows that

$$(1 - \epsilon) \frac{b(n)}{\log n} \leq \sum_{k=n^*}^{n} \frac{1}{kb(k)} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \log n$$

$$(\beta - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon) \frac{b(n)}{\log n} \leq B(n) \leq (\beta + \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon) \log n$$

Going back to the sequence $|a_n|$, we find that

$$Q(n) + (\beta - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon) \frac{b(n)}{\log n} \leq \log |a_{n+1}| n^{-\alpha} \leq Q(n) + (\beta + \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon) \log n.$$

We conclude that for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have $|a_n| \leq n^{\alpha+\beta+\epsilon}$.

Next we consider the case where $\beta < 0$. Now we have

$$(\beta - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon) \frac{b(n)}{\log n} \leq B(n) \geq (\beta + \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon) \log n$$
and

\[ Q(n) + (\beta - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon) \frac{b(n)}{\log n} \geq \log |a_{n+1}| n^{-\alpha} \geq Q(n) + (\beta + \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon) \log n. \]

We conclude that for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) we have \( |a_n| \geq n^{\alpha+\beta-\epsilon}. \)

If \( \beta = 0 \), in a similar way we find that for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) we have \( n^{\alpha-\epsilon} \leq |a_n| \leq n^{\alpha+\epsilon}. \) This proves the result. \( \blacksquare \)

**Corollary 15** Under the conditions of the Proposition we have

(i) If \( \beta \geq 0 \) and \( \alpha + \beta < -1 \), then \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty. \)

(ii) If \( \beta \leq 0 \) and \( \alpha + \beta > -1 \), then \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \rightarrow \infty. \)

**Examples**

1) If \( b(n) = \log \log n \), we have \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1/(kb(k)) \sim \log n/\log \log n \)

2) If \( b(n) = f(\log n) \), and \( f(.) \in RV_0, 0 \leq \theta < 1 \) and \( f(x) \uparrow \infty \), then we have \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1/(kb(k)) \sim \log n/((1-\theta)b(n)) \)

The proof of the previous result shows that \( b(n) = \log \log n \) can lead to interesting results. The next result is known as the test of Bertrand (1842).

**Proposition 16** Assume that \( b(n)(\alpha(n) - \alpha) \rightarrow \beta \) and that \( b(n) = \log n \). Then we have:

(i) If \( \alpha < -1 \), then \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty \) and \( n^{\alpha+1}(\log n)^{\beta-\epsilon} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq n^{\alpha+1}(\log n)^{\beta+\epsilon}. \)

(ii) If \( \alpha > -1 \), then \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \infty \) and \( n^{\alpha+1}(\log n)^{\beta-\epsilon} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq n^{\alpha+1}(\log n)^{\beta+\epsilon}. \)

(iii) If \( \alpha = -1 \) and \( \beta > -1 \), then \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \infty \) and \( (\log n)^{\beta+1-\epsilon} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq (\log n)^{\beta+1+\epsilon}. \)

(iv) If \( \alpha = -1 \) and \( \beta < -1 \), then \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty \) and \( (\log n)^{\beta+1-\epsilon} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq (\log n)^{\beta+1+\epsilon}. \)

(v) If \( \alpha = -1 \) and \( \beta = -1 \), then we cannot come to a conclusion.

**Proof.** In this case we have \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1/(kb(k)) \sim \log \log n \) and we find that \( \beta - \epsilon \log \log n \leq B(n) \leq (\beta + \epsilon) \log \log n \)

It follows that

\[ Q(n) + (\beta - \epsilon) \log \log n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n+1}| n^{-\alpha} \leq Q(n) + (\beta + \epsilon) \log \log n, \]

and \( W(n)n^{\alpha}(\log n)^{\beta-\epsilon} \leq |a_{n+1}| \leq W'(n)n^{\alpha}(\log n)^{\beta+\epsilon}, \) where \( W(n) \) and \( W'(n) \) converge to finite and positive limits. The five cases of the proposition now follow.

In the case where \( \alpha = \beta = -1 \), the test does not lead to a conclusion. Extensions that take care of this case go back to Martin (1941), see also Rajagopal (1952).
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