EXISTENCE AND CONCENTRATION OF NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS FOR A FRACTIONAL MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER-POISSON TYPE EQUATION
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ABSTRACT. We consider the following fractional Schrödinger-Poisson type equation with magnetic fields

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^{s}_A u + V(x)u + \varepsilon^{-2t}(|x|^{2t-3} \ast |u|^2)u = f(|u|^2) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a parameter, \( s \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1) \), \( t \in (0, 1) \), \(-\Delta)^{s}_A \) is the fractional magnetic Laplacian, \( A : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is a smooth magnetic potential, \( V : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \) is a positive continuous electric potential and \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function with subcritical growth. By using suitable variational methods, we show the existence of families of nontrivial solutions concentrating around local minima of the potential \( V(x) \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are interested in the existence of nontrivial solutions for the following fractional nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson type equation

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^{s}_A u + V(x)u + \varepsilon^{-2t}(|x|^{2t-3} \ast |u|^2)u = f(|u|^2) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a parameter, \( s \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1) \), \( t \in (0, 1) \), \( A : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \in C^{0,\alpha} \), with \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \), is a magnetic potential, and \(-\Delta)^{s}_A \) is the so called fractional magnetic Laplacian which can be defined by setting

$$(-\Delta)^{s}_A u(x) := c_{3,s} \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{u(x) - e^{s(x-y) \cdot A(x/y)}u(y)}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dy, \quad c_{3,s} := \frac{4\pi s \Gamma(\frac{3+2s}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{3+2s}{2}}} \Gamma(-s),$$

for any \( u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \); see [18, 31] for more details. As showed in [47] (see also [43]), when \( s \to 1 \), the previous operator reduces to the magnetic Laplacian \(-\Delta_A := (\nabla - A)^2 \) (see [34, 36]) given by

$$-\Delta_A u = -\Delta u - \frac{2}{t} A(x) \cdot \nabla u + |A(x)|^2 u - \frac{1}{t} u \text{div}(A(x)),$$

which appears in the study of the following Schrödinger equation with magnetic fields

$$-\Delta_A u + V(x)u = f(x, |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Equation (1.3) has been widely investigated by several authors in the last thirty years; see for instance [1, 7, 12, 14, 22, 33].

Along the paper, we assume that \( V : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous potential satisfying the following assumptions due to del Pino and Felmer [19]:

(V1) \( \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) = V_0 > 0 \);

(V2) there exists a bounded domain \( \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that

$$V_0 < \min_{\partial \Lambda} V \quad \text{and} \quad M = \{ x \in \Lambda : V(x) = V_0 \} \neq \emptyset,$$

and \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function verifying the following conditions:

(f1) \( f(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq 0 \) and \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0 \);

\( 2010 \) Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A15, 35R11, 35S05.

Key words and phrases. Fractional magnetic operators, variational methods, concentration phenomenon.
(f_2) there exist \( q \in (4, 2^*_s) \) such that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^q} = 0;
\]

(f_3) there exists \( \theta \in (4, 2^*_s) \) such that \( 0 < \frac{\theta}{2} F(t) \leq tf(t) \) for any \( t > 0 \), where \( F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau; \)

(f_4) \( t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t} \) is increasing for \( t > 0 \).

Let us state our main theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that (V_1)-(V_2) and (f_1)-(f_4) hold. Then there exists \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) such that, for any \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \), problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution. Moreover, if \( u_\varepsilon \) denotes one of these solutions and \( x_\varepsilon \) the global maximum point of \( |u_\varepsilon| \), then we have
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} V(x_\varepsilon) = V_0
\]

and
\[
|u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{3+2s}}{C \varepsilon^{3+2s} + |x - x_\varepsilon|^{3+2s}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.
\]

The above result is motivated by some works that appeared in the last years concerning fractional Schrödinger equations with magnetic fields of the type
\[
\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(x, |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.
\]  

For instance, in the unperturbed case (that is \( \varepsilon = 1 \)), d’Avenia and Squassina [18] studied via a constrained minimization argument the existence of solutions (1.5), \( V \) is constant and \( f \) is a subcritical or critical nonlinearity. Fiscella et al. [26] obtained a multiplicity result for a fractional magnetic problem with homogeneous boundary conditions. When \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is small, Zhang et al. [52] considered a fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation involving critical frequency and critical growth. Recently, in [6], the author and d’Avenia dealt with the existence and the multiplicity of solutions to (1.5) for small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), when the potential \( V \) satisfies the global condition due to Rabinowitz [44] and \( f \) has a subcritical growth.

In absence of a magnetic field (that is \( A = 0 \)), the fractional magnetic Laplacian \((-\Delta)^s_A\) coincides with the fractional Laplacian \((-\Delta)^s\) and the equation (1.5) becomes the well-known fractional Schrödinger equation (see [35])
\[
\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,
\]  

for which the existence and concentration phenomena of positive solutions have been considered by many mathematicians. For example, Dávila et al. [17] used a Lyapunov-Schmidt variational reduction to prove that (1.6) has a multi-peak solution when \( V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a positive potential and \( f \) is a subcritical nonlinearity; see also [16] in which a concentration result has been established for a nonlocal problem with Dirichlet datum. Fall et al. [24] showed that the concentration points of the solutions of (1.6) must be the critical points for \( V \), as \( \varepsilon \) tends to zero. Alves and Miyagaki [2] (see also [4,5]) used the penalization method in [19] to study the existence and concentration of positive solutions of (1.6) requiring that \( f \) satisfies (f_1)-(f_4) and \( V \) verifies (V_1)-(V_2).

On the other hand, in these last years, several authors investigated fractional Schrödinger-Poisson systems of the type
\[
\begin{cases}
\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)\phi = g(x, u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\
\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,
\end{cases}
\]  

which can be seen as the nonlocal counterpart of the well-known Schrödinger-Poisson systems appearing in quantum mechanics models [10] and in semiconductor theory [38]. Such systems have been introduced in [9] to describe systems of identical charged particles interacting each other in the case that effects of magnetic field could be ignored and its solution represents, in particular, a
standing wave for such a system. We refer to [8, 15, 28, 29, 45, 49, 53] for some interesting existence and multiplicity results for classical perturbed and unperturbed Schrödinger-Poisson systems.

Concerning (1.7), Giammetta [27] considered the local and global well-posedness of a one dimensional fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system in which \( \varepsilon = 1 \) and the fractional diffusion appears only in the Poisson equation. Zhang et al. [51] dealt with the existence of positive solutions to (1.7) with \( \varepsilon = 1 \), \( V(x) = \mu > 0 \) and \( g \) is a general nonlinearity having subcritical or critical growth. Murcia and Siciliano [41] proved that, for suitably small \( \varepsilon \), the number of positive solutions to a doubly singularly perturbed fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system is estimated below by the Ljusternick-Schnirelmann category of the set of minima of the potential. Liu and Zhang [37] studied multiplicity and concentration of solutions to (1.7) involving the critical exponent and under a global condition on the potential \( V \). Teng [48], inspired by [29], used the penalization method due to Byeon and Wang [11] to analyze the existence and concentration of positive solutions to (1.7) under the conditions (V1)-(V2) and \( g \) is a \( C^1 \) subcritical nonlinearity.

Particularly motivated by [2, 6, 48], in this paper we investigate the existence and concentration behavior of nontrivial solutions to (1.1) with \( A \neq 0 \) and under the assumptions (V1)-(V2) and (f1)-(f4). We note that when \( s = t = 1 \) in (1.1), the multiplicity and concentration for a Schrödinger-Poisson type equation with magnetic field and under a local condition on \( V \), has been established in [54] by using some ideas developed in [1]. Anyway, their arguments work for \( C^1 \)-Nehari manifolds and we can not apply them in our situation because we are assuming the only continuity of \( f \).

Since we don’t have any information on the behavior of \( V \) at infinity, we adapt the penalization argument developed by del Pino and Felmer in [19], which consists in making an appropriate modification on \( f \), solving a modified problem and then check that, for small enough, the solutions of the modified problem are indeed solutions of the original one. We point out that the penalization argument developed here is different from the one used in [48], in which the author does not assume the superlinear-4 growth on \( f \) but has to require \( f \in C^1 \) to apply the techniques developed in [11, 29]. The existence of nontrivial solutions for the modified problem is obtained by using the Mountain Pass Theorem [3] to the functional \( J_\varepsilon \) associated to the modified problem. We note that the main issue in the study of \( J_\varepsilon \) concerns with the verification the Palais-Smale compactness condition. Indeed, the presence of the fractional magnetic Laplacian and the convolution term \( (|x|^{2^* - 3} * |u|^2) \), make our study more complicated and intriguing, and some suitable arguments will be needed to achieve our purpose; see Lemma 3.2. The next step is to show that if \( u_\varepsilon \) is a solution of the modified problem, then \( u_\varepsilon \) is also a solution of the original one (1.1). In the case \( A = 0 \) (see [2, 48]), this is proved taking into account some fundamental estimates established in [25] concerning the Bessel operator. In the case \( A \neq 0 \), we don’t have similar informations for the following fractional equation

\[
(−\Delta)^s_A u + V_0 u = h(|u|^2)u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3.
\]

(1.8)

For the above reason, we use a new approximation argument which allows us to deduce that if \( u_\varepsilon \) is a solution to the modified problem, then \( |u_\varepsilon| \) is a subsolution for an autonomous fractional Schrödinger equation without magnetic field, and then we apply a comparison argument to deduce informations on the behavior at infinity of \( |u_\varepsilon| \); see Lemma 4.1. We point out that, in the case \( s = 1 \), a similar reasoning works (see [13, 33]) in view of the following distributional Kato’s inequality [32]

\[
−\Delta |u| \leq \Re(\text{sign}(u)(−\Delta_A u)).
\]

Recently, in [30], under the restriction \( s \in (0, 1/2] \), a fractional distributional Kato’s inequality has been established for some fractional magnetic operators which also include \( (−\Delta)^{1/2}_A \). We suspect that a fractional Kato’s inequality is available in our setting for any fractional power \( s \) (indeed it is easily seen that a pointwise Kato’s inequality holds for smooth functions), but we are not able to prove it. Again, we can not repeat the iteration done in [1] to obtain \( L^\infty \)-estimates on the modulus of solutions, due to the nonlocal character of \( (−\Delta)^s_A \). Anyway, in the present paper, we
introduce some new arguments which we believe to be useful to be applied in other situations to obtain $L^\infty$-estimates for problems like (1.5). Now we give a sketch of our idea. Firstly, we show that the (translated) sequence $|u_n|$ of solutions of the modified problem is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by using an appropriate Moser iteration scheme [40]. After that, we prove that $|u_n|$ verifies

$$(-\Delta)^s|u_n| + V_0|u_n| \leq g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2)|u_n| \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

by using $u_{\delta,n}$ as test function in the modified problem, where $u_{\delta,n} = \sqrt{|u_n|^2 + \delta^2}$ and $\varphi$ is a real smooth nonnegative function with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and then we take the limit as $\delta \to 0$. In some sense, we are going to prove a fractional Kato’s inequality for the modified problem. At this point, by comparison, we can show that $|u_n(x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$, taking into account the power type decay of solutions of autonomous fractional Schrödinger equations; see [25].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some results on fractional magnetic Sobolev spaces and we recall some useful lemmas. In Section 3, we introduce the modified problem and we show that the corresponding functional satisfies the assumptions of the Mountain Pass Theorem. In the last section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries and functional setting

Let us consider the fractional Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) = \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) : [u] < \infty \}$$

where

$$[u]^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy.$$

It is well-known (see [20, 39]) that the embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous for all $q \in [2, 2_s^*)$ and locally compact for all $q \in [1, 2_s^*)$.

Let $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of complex-valued functions such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \, dx < \infty$ endowed with the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2} = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \bar{v} \, dx$, where the bar denotes complex conjugation.

Let us denote by

$$[u]_{A}^2 := \frac{c_{3,s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy,$$

and define

$$D^s_{A}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) := \{ u \in L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) : [u]_{A}^2 < \infty \}.$$

In order to study our problem, we introduce the Hilbert space

$$H^s_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ u \in D^s_{A}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) : \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^2 \, dx < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\varepsilon} = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)u\bar{v} \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{c_{3,s}}{2} \Re \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u(x) - e^{i(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y))(v(x) - e^{i(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{x+y}{2})}v(y))}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy$$

and we set

$$\|u\|_{\varepsilon} := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{\varepsilon}}.$$

The space $H^s_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the following fundamental properties; see [6, 18] for more details.

Lemma 2.1. [6, 18] The space $H^s_{\varepsilon}$ is complete and $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ is dense in $H^s_{\varepsilon}$. 

Theorem 2.1.  [18] The space $H^s_\varepsilon$ is continuously embedded in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ for $r \in [2, 2^*_s]$, and compactly embedded in $L^r_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ for $r \in [1, 2^*_s]$.

Lemma 2.2.  [18] If $u \in H^s_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ then $|u| \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and we have

$$||u|| \leq |u|_A.$$  

Lemma 2.3.  [6] If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and $u$ has compact support, then $w = e^{iA(0)\cdot x}u \in H^s_\varepsilon$.

We also recall the following vanishing lemma [25] which will be useful for our study:

Lemma 2.4.  [25] Let $q \in [2, 2^*_s)$. If $(u_n)$ is a bounded sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^q \, dx = 0$$

for some $R > 0$, then $u_n \to 0$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for all $r \in (2, 2^*_s)$.

Now, let $s, t \in (0, 1)$ such that $4s + 2t \geq 3$. Since $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for all $q \in [2, 2^*_s)$, we can deduce that

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L^{\frac{12}{1+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}).$$  

(2.1)

For any $u \in H^s_\varepsilon$, we know that $|u| \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ in view of Lemma 2.2, and then we consider the linear functional $L_{|u|} : D^{s, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$L_{|u|}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 v \, dx.$$  

By using Hölder inequality and (2.1) we can see that

$$|L_{|u|}(v)| \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\frac{12}{2+2t}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{3+2t}{6}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2t}} \leq C \|u\|_{D^{3, 2}}^2 \|v\|_{D^{s, 2}},$$  

(2.2)

where

$$\|v\|_{D^{s, 2}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2t}} \, dy \, dx,$$

and this shows that $L_{|u|}$ is well defined and continuous. By using the Lax-Milgram Theorem, there exists a unique $\phi^t_{|u|} \in D^{s, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(-\Delta)^t \phi^t_{|u|} = |u|^2 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$  

(2.3)

Then we have the following $t$-Riesz formula

$$\phi^t_{|u|}(x) = c_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} \, dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3), \quad c_t = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-2t} \frac{\Gamma(3 - 2t)}{\Gamma(t)}.$$  

(2.4)

In the sequel, we will omit the constant $c_t$ in order to lighten the notation. Finally, we prove some properties on the convolution term.

Lemma 2.5. Let us assume that $4s + 2t \geq 3$ and $u \in H^s_\varepsilon$. Then we have:

1. $\phi^t_{|u|} : H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \to D^{s, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and maps bounded sets into bounded sets,
2. if $u_n \to u$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$ then $\phi^t_{|u_n|} \rightharpoonup \phi^t_{|u|}$ in $D^{s, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$,
3. $\phi^t_{|ru|} = r^2 \phi^t_{|u|}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi^t_{|u(\cdot+y)|}(x) = \phi^t_{|u|}(x+y)$,
4. $\phi^t_{|u|} \geq 0$ for all $u \in H^s_\varepsilon$, and we have

$$\|\phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{s, 2}} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2-2t}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|u\|_{\varepsilon}^2 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t_{|u|} |u|^2 \, dx \leq C \|u\|^{\frac{4}{3}}_{L^{\frac{12}{1+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|u\|^{4}_{\varepsilon}.$$
Proof. (1) Since $\phi^t_{|u|} \in D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies (2.3), that is

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\Delta)^2 \phi^t_{|u|} (\Delta) v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 v dx$$

for all $v \in D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we can see that $L_{|u|}$ is such that $\|L_{|u|}\|_{L^{D^{t,2}}} = \|\phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{t,2}}$ for all $u \in H^s$. Hence, in order to prove the continuity of $\phi^t_{|u|}$, it is enough to show that the map $u \mapsto L_{|u|}$ is continuous. Let $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^s$. By using Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 we deduce that $|u_n| \rightarrow |u|$ in $L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, for all $v \in D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$|L|_{u_n}(v) - L|_{u}(v)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n|^2 - |u|^2) v dx \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 |\nabla^6 |\phi^t_{|u|}| dx \right)^{\frac{3}{6}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\phi^t_{|u|}| dx \right)^{\frac{6}{3}} \|v\|_{L^{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

$$\leq C \left[ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^2 - |u|^2 |\nabla^6 |\phi^t_{|u|}| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^2 + |u|^2 |\nabla^6 |\phi^t_{|u|}| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{D^{t,2}} \right]$$

which implies that $\|\phi^t_{|u_n|} - \phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{t,2}} = \|L_{|u_n|} - L|_{u}(v)|_{L^{D^{t,2}}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^s$, then Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 yield $|u_n| \rightarrow |u|$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for all $q \in [1, 2^*_s)$. Hence, for all $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ we get

$$\langle \phi^t_{|u_n|} - \phi^t_{|u|}, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n|^2 - |u|^2) v dx \leq \left( \int_{\text{supp}(v)} |u_n|^2 - |u|^2 |\nabla^6 |\phi^t_{|u|}| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^2 + |u|^2 |\nabla^6 |\phi^t_{|u|}| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

$$\leq C \|\phi^t_{|u_n|} - \phi^t_{|u|}\|_{L^2(\text{supp}(v))} \|v\|_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow 0.$$
\( g(x,t) \) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{g(x,t)}{t^2} = 0 \) uniformly in \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \);
\( g(x,t) \leq g(x) t \) for any \( x \in \Lambda \) and \( t > 0 \);
\( g(x,t) \leq g(x) t \leq \frac{V(x)}{k} t \) and \( 0 \leq g(x,t) \leq \frac{V(x)}{k} \) for any \( x \in \Lambda^c \) and \( t > 0 \);
\( t \to \frac{g(x,t)}{t} \) is increasing for all \( x \in \Lambda \) and \( t > 0 \).

Then, we consider the following modified problem
\[
(-\Delta)_{\Lambda_{c_{0}}} u + V(\varepsilon x)u + \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]} u = g_{\varepsilon}(x,|u|^2) u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.2}
\]
where \( g_{\varepsilon}(x,t) = g(\varepsilon x,t) \) and \( \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]} \) is given by (2.4).

Let us note that if \( u \) is a solution of (3.2) such that
\[
|u(x)| \leq \alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.3}
\]
where \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \varepsilon x \in \Lambda \} \), then \( u \) is also a solution of the original problem (3.1).

In order to find weak solutions to (3.1), we look for critical points of the Euler-Lagrange functional
\[
J_{\varepsilon} : H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}
\]
defined as
\[
J_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)_{\Lambda_{\varepsilon}} u|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)|u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]} u^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(\varepsilon x,|u|^2) dx.
\]
We also consider the autonomous problem associated to (3.1), that is
\[
(-\Delta)^{s} u + V_0 u + \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]} u = f(u^2)u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.4}
\]
and we denote by \( J_0 : H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \) the corresponding energy functional
\[
J_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{s} u|^2 + V_0|u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]} u^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^2) dx
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2_0 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]} u^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^2) dx
\]
where we used the notation \( \| \cdot \|_0 \) to indicate the \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \)-norm (equivalent to the standard one).

In what follows, we show that \( J_{\varepsilon} \) verifies the assumptions of the Mountain Pass Theorem [3].

**Lemma 3.1.** The functional \( J_{\varepsilon} \) possesses a Mountain Pass geometry:
(i) \( J_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0 \);
(ii) there exists \( \alpha, \rho > 0 \) such that \( J_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \alpha \) for any \( u \in H^s_{\varepsilon} \) such that \( \|u\| = \rho \);
(iii) there exists \( e \in H^s_{\varepsilon} \) with \( \|e\|_\varepsilon > \rho \) such that \( J_{\varepsilon}(e) < 0 \).

**Proof.** The condition (i) is obvious. By using \((g_1)\) and \((g_2)\), and Theorem 2.1 we can see that for any \( \delta > 0 \) there exists \( C_\delta > 0 \) such that
\[
J_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2_{\varepsilon} - \delta C\|u\|^4_{\varepsilon} - C_\delta \|u\|^6_{\varepsilon}.
\]
Choosing \( \delta > 0 \) sufficiently small, we can see that (ii) holds. Regarding (iii), we can note that in view of (g_3), we have for any \( u \in H^s_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\} \) with \( \text{supp}(u) \subset \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \) and \( T > 1 \)
\[
J_{\varepsilon}(Tu) \leq \frac{T^2}{2}\|u\|^2_{\varepsilon} + \frac{T^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]}|u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda_{\varepsilon}} G(\varepsilon x, T^2|u|^2) dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{T^4}{2} \left( \|u\|^2_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{\varepsilon}_{[u]}|u|^2 dx \right) - C T^\theta \int_{\Lambda_{\varepsilon}} |u|^\theta dx + C
\]
which implies that \( J_{\varepsilon}(Tu) \to -\infty \) as \( T \to \infty \) being \( \theta > 4 \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). Then \( J_{\varepsilon} \) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level \( c \).
Proof. Let \((u_n) \subset H^s_\varepsilon\) be a \((PS)\)-sequence. Then \((u_n)\) is bounded in \(H^s_\varepsilon\). Indeed, using \((g_3)\) we have

\[
c + o_n(1)\|u_n\|_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle J_\varepsilon'(u_n), u_n \rangle
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi'_{|u_n|}|u_n|^2 \, dx
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\varepsilon(x, |u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\varepsilon(x, |u_n|^2) \, dx
\]

\[
\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} \left( g_\varepsilon(x, |u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 - \frac{\theta}{2} G_\varepsilon(x, |u_n|^2) \right) \, dx
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\varepsilon(x, |u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2 \, dx
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\theta - 2}{\theta} - \frac{1}{k} \right) \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2,
\]

and recalling that \(k > \frac{\theta}{\theta - 2}\) we get the thesis. Now, we show that for any \(\xi > 0\) there exists \(R = R_\xi > 0\) such that \(\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset B_R\) and

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_R} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} u_n|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x)|u_n|^2 \, dx \leq \xi.
\]

(3.5)

Assume for the moment that the above claim holds, and we show how this information can be used. By using \(u_n \to u\) in \(H^s_\varepsilon\), Theorem 2.1 and \((g_1)-(g_2)\), it is easy to see that

\[
(u_n, \psi)_\varepsilon \to (u, \psi)_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2)u_n \bar{\psi} \, dx \right) \to \Re \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2)u \bar{\psi} \, dx \right).
\]

(3.6)

Moreover, by using (3.5) and Theorem 2.1 we can see that for all \(\xi > 0\) there exists \(R = R_\xi > 0\) such that for any \(n\) large enough

\[
\|u_n - u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|u_n - u\|_{L^q(B_R)} + \|u_n - u\|_{L^q(B_R^c)}
\]

\[
\leq \|u_n - u\|_{L^q(B_R)} + (\|u_n\|_{L^q(B_R^c)} + \|u\|_{L^q(B_R^c)})
\]

\[
\leq \xi + 2C\xi,
\]

where \(q \in [2, 2^*_s)\), which gives

\[
u_n \to u \quad \text{in} \quad L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall q \in [2, 2^*_s).
\]

(3.7)

Since \(\|u_n\| - |u| \leq |u_n - u|\) and \(\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s} \in (2, 2^*_s)\), we also have \(|u_n| \to |u|\) in \(L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\).

Then, recalling that \(\phi_{|u|} : L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \to D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) is continuous (see Lemma 2.5) we can deduce that

\[
\phi'_{|u_n|} \to \phi'_{|u|} \quad \text{in} \quad D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}).
\]

(3.8)

Putting together (3.7), (3.8), Hölder inequality and Theorem 2.1 we obtain

\[
\Re \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \phi'_{|u_n|} - \phi'_{|u|} \right) u \bar{\psi} \, dx \right) = \Re \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi'_{|u_n|} (u_n - u) \bar{\psi} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi'_{|u_n|} - \phi'_{|u|}) u \bar{\psi} \, dx \right)
\]

\[
\leq \|\phi'_{|u_n|}\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_n - u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}
\]

\[
+ \|\phi'_{|u_n|} - \phi'_{|u|}\|_{L^{\frac{6}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}
\]

\[
\leq C\|u_n - u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3 + 2^*_s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\|\phi'_{|u_n|} - \phi'_{|u|}\|_{D^{1,2}} \to 0.
\]

(3.9)
Now, we show that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon}^t |u_n|^2 dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon}^t |u|^2 dx. \] (3.10)

Let us start proving that
\[ |\mathbb{D}(u_n) - \mathbb{D}(u)| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{D}(||u_n||^2 - |u|^2)^{1/2}} \sqrt{\mathbb{D}(||u_n||^2 + |u|^2)^{1/2}}, \]

where
\[ \mathbb{D}(u) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dxdy. \]

Indeed, taking into account \(|x|^{-3(2\epsilon)}\) is even and Theorem 9.8 in [36] (see Remark after Theorem 9.8 and recall that \(-3 < -(3 - 2\epsilon) < 0\) we have
\[ |\mathbb{D}(u_n) - \mathbb{D}(u)| = \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} |u_n(x)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2 dxdy - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dxdy \right| \]
\[ = \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} |u_n(x)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2 dxdy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} |u_n(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dxdy \right. \]
\[ - \left. \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} |u_n(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dxdy - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dxdy \right| \]
\[ \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left| |x - y|^{-3(2\epsilon)} ||u_n(x)||^2 - |u(x)|^2 ||u_n(y)||^2 + |u(y)|^2 \right| dxdy \]
\[ \leq C \sqrt{\mathbb{D}(||u_n||^2 - |u|^2)^{1/2}} \sqrt{\mathbb{D}(||u_n||^2 + |u|^2)^{1/2}}. \]

Thus, by using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (see Theorem 4.3 in [36]), Hölder inequality, the boundedness of \(|u_n|\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) and \(|u_n| \to |u| \) in \(L^{\frac{12}{s+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) we can see that
\[ |\mathbb{D}(u_n) - \mathbb{D}(u)|^2 \leq C \|u_n\|^{2 - |u|^2} ||L_{\frac{12}{s+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)|| \|u_n\|^2 + |u|^2 ||L^{\frac{12}{s+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)| \]
\[ \leq C \|u_n\| - |u|^2 ||L^{\frac{12}{s+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to 0. \]

Therefore, by using \(\langle J'_{\epsilon}(u_n), \psi \rangle = o_{\epsilon}(1)\) for all \(\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})\), and taking into account (3.6) and (3.9), we can check that \(J'_{\epsilon}(u) = 0\). In particular
\[ \|u\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon}^t |u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_{\epsilon}(x, |u|^2) |u|^2 dx. \] (3.11)

Now, we know that \(\langle J'_{\epsilon}(u_n), u_n \rangle = o_{\epsilon}(1)\) is equivalent to
\[ \|u_n\|_{\epsilon}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon}^t |u_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_{\epsilon}(x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx + o_{\epsilon}(1). \] (3.12)

From the growth assumptions \((g_1)-(g_2)\) and using (3.5), we can see that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_{\epsilon}(x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_{\epsilon}(x, |u|^2) |u|^2 dx. \] (3.13)

Then, taking into account (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) we can infer that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n\|^2_{\epsilon} = \|u\|^2_{\epsilon}. \]
It remains to prove that (3.5) holds. Let \( \eta_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) be such that 0 \( \leq \eta_R \leq 1 \), \( \eta_R = 0 \) in \( B_{\frac{R}{2}} \), \( \eta_R = 1 \) in \( B_R \) and \( |\nabla \eta_R| \leq \frac{C}{R} \) for some \( C > 0 \) independent of \( R \). Since \( (u_n \eta_R) \) is bounded, we can see that \( \langle J_\varepsilon'(u_n), u_n \eta_R \rangle = o_n(1) \), that is

\[
\mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)})(u_n(x)\eta_R(x) - u_n(y)\eta_R(y))e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^*_\varepsilon |u_n|^2 \eta_R \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\varepsilon(x) \eta_R |u_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\varepsilon(x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 \eta_R \, dx + o_n(1).
\]

From

\[
\mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)})(u_n(x)\eta_R(x) - u_n(y)\eta_R(y))e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right) = \mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{u_n(x)e^{-iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 + i}{2})(x-y)}(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)})(\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y))e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \eta_R(x) \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy,
\]

and using \((g_3)-(ii)\) and Lemma 2.5-(4), it follows that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \eta_R(x) \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\varepsilon(x) \eta_R |u_n|^2 \, dx 
\leq \mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{u_n(y)e^{-iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 + i}{2})(x-y)}(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)})(\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y))e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\varepsilon(x) \eta_R |u_n|^2 \, dx + o_n(1).
\]

Now, by using Hölder inequality and the boundedness of \((u_n)\) in \( H^s_\varepsilon \) we get

\[
\mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{u_n(y)e^{-iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 + i}{2})(x-y)}(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)})(\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y))e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right) 
\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{3 \pm i}{2})(x-y)}|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 
\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |u_n(y)|^2 \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

In what follows, we show that

\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |u_n(y)|^2 \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx dy = 0.
\]

Let us note that

\[
\mathbb{R}^6 = \left( (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}) \right) \cup \left( (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R} \right) \cup \left( B_{2R} \times (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3) \right) =: X_R^1 \cup X_R^2 \cup X_R^3.
\]
Therefore
\[
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\left| \eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx \, dy = \iint_{X_R^1} \frac{\left| \eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx \, dy
\]
\[\quad + \iint_{X_R^2} \frac{\left| \eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx \, dy + \iint_{X_R^3} \frac{\left| \eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx \, dy.
\]
(3.17)

Since \( \eta_R = 1 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R} \), we can see that
\[
\iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy = 0.
\]
(3.18)

Now, fix \( k > 4 \), and we observe that
\[X_R^1 = (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R} \subset ((\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_kR) \times B_{2R}) \cup ((B_kR \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R})\]
If \((x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_kR) \times B_{2R}\), then
\[|x-y| \geq |x| - |y| \geq |x| - 2R > \frac{|x|}{2}.
\]
Therefore, using \( 0 \leq \eta_R \leq 1, |\nabla \eta_R| \leq \frac{C}{R} \) and applying Hölder inequality we obtain
\[
\iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{kR}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy + \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy
\]
\[
\leq 2^{3+2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{kR}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy
\]
\[
\leq CR^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{kR}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x|^{3+2s}} \, dx + \frac{C}{R^2} (kR)^{2(1-s)} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
\leq CR^3 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{kR}} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{kR}} \frac{1}{|x|^{2^*}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2}} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{C}{k^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{kR}} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{C}{k^3} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx.
\]
(3.19)

Take \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \), and we obtain
\[
\iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy
\]
\[
\leq \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{kR}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy + \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy.
\]
(3.20)

Since
\[
\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{kR}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|x-y| < R\}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{kR}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx
\]
we can see that
\[ \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx \]
we get
\[ \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx. \]  
(3.21)

On the other hand, from the definition of \( \eta_R \), \( \varepsilon \in (0,1) \), and \( \eta_R \leq 1 \) we obtain
\[ \int_{B_{\varepsilon R} \setminus B_{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy = \int_{B_{\varepsilon R} \setminus B_{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \]
\[ \leq 4 \int_{B_{\varepsilon R} \setminus B_{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \]
\[ \leq C \int_{B_{\varepsilon R} \setminus B_{R}} |u_n|_2^2 \, dx \int_{(1-\varepsilon)R}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1+2s}} \, dr \]
\[ = \frac{C}{(1-\varepsilon)R^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R} \setminus B_{R}} |u_n|_2^2 \, dx \]  
(3.22)

where we use the fact that if \( (x, y) \in B_{\varepsilon R} \times (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R) \), then \( |x-y| > (1-\varepsilon)R \).

Then (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) yield
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R} \setminus B_{R}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \]
\[ \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{(1-\varepsilon)R^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx. \]  
(3.23)

In view of (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) and (3.23) we can infer
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \]
\[ \leq \frac{C}{k^3} + \frac{CK^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{kR}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{(1-\varepsilon)R^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx. \]  
(3.24)

Since \( |u_n| \) is bounded in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), by using Sobolev embedding \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) (see [20]), we may assume that \( |u_n| \to u \) in \( L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) for some \( u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). Letting the limit as \( n \to \infty \) in (3.24) we find
\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy \]
\[ \leq \frac{C}{k^3} + \frac{CK^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{kR}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{(1-\varepsilon)R^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx \]
\[ \leq \frac{C}{k^3} + CK^2 \left( \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u(x)|^{2s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2s}} + C \left( \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{kR}} |u(x)|^{2s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2s}} + C \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \right)^{2s} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |u(x)|^{2s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2s}}, \]
where in the last passage we used Hölder inequality. Since \( u \in L^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), \( k > 4 \) and \( \varepsilon \in (0,1) \) we can see that
\[ \limsup_{R \to \infty} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx = \limsup_{R \to \infty} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx = 0. \]
Thus, taking $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$, we have
\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy
\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \limsup_{R \to \infty} \left[ \frac{C}{k^3} + C k^2 \left( \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3s}} + C \left( \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{k}R}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3s}} \right] + C \left( \frac{1}{k - 1} \right)^{2s} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}R}} |u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3s}} = 0,
\]
which implies that (3.16) holds true. Putting together (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) we can deduce that
\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \int_{B_R^c} |(-\Delta)_{A_\varepsilon} u_n|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x)|u_n|^2 \, dx = 0,
\]
and this completes the proof of (3.5).

In view of Lemma 3.1, we can define the mountain pass level
\[
c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\varepsilon} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma(t))
\]
where
\[
\Gamma_\varepsilon = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], H^s_\varepsilon) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } J_\varepsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.
\]

By applying Mountain Pass Theorem [3], we can see that there exists $u_\varepsilon \in H^s_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$ such that
\[
J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon \text{ and } J'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0.
\]
In similar fashion, one can prove that also $J_0$ has a mountain pass geometry, and we denote by $c_{V_0}$ the mountain pass level associated to $J_0$.

Now, let us introduce the Nehari manifold associated to (3.1), that is
\[
\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon := \{ u \in H^s_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0 \},
\]
and we denote by $\mathcal{N}_0$ the Nehari manifold associated to (3.4).

It is standard to verify (see [50]) that $c_\varepsilon$ can be also characterized as follows:
\[
c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in H^s_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} J_\varepsilon(u);
\]

Next, we prove the existence of a ground state solution to (3.4).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_0$ be a sequence satisfying $J_0(u_n) \to c_{V_0}$. Then, up to subsequences, the following alternatives hold:

(i) $(u_n)$ strongly converges in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$,

(ii) there exists a sequence $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that, up to a subsequence, $v_n(x) = u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$ converges strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$.

In particular, there exists a minimizer $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for $J_0$ with $J_0(w) = c_{V_0}$.

**Proof.** Since $J_0$ has a Mountain Pass geometry, we can use a version of the Mountain Pass Theorem without $(PS)$ condition (see [50]), and we may suppose that $(u_n)$ is a $(PS)_{c_{V_0}}$ sequence for $J_0$.

Arguing as in Lemma 3.2, it is easy to check that $(u_n)$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ so we may assume
that \( u_n \to u \) in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). The weak convergence is enough to deduce that \( J'_0(u) = 0 \). Now, we assume that \( u \neq 0 \). Since \( u \in \mathcal{N}_0 \), we can use \((f_3)\) and Fatou's Lemma to see that

\[
\begin{align*}
cv_0 & \leq J_0(u) - \frac{1}{4}(J'_0(u), u) \\
& = \frac{1}{4} ||u||_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} f(u^2)u - F(u^2) \, dx \\
& \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[ J_0(u_n) - \frac{1}{4}(J'_0(u), u) \right] = cv_0,
\end{align*}
\]

which implies that \( J_0(u) = cv_0 \).

Let us consider the case \( u = 0 \). Since \( cv_0 > 0 \) and \( J_0 \) is continuous, we can see that \( ||u_n||_0 \neq 0 \). Then, in view of Lemma 2.4 and \((f_1)-(f_2)\), it is standard to prove that there are a sequence \((y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) and constants \( R, \beta > 0 \) such that

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^4 \, dx \geq \beta > 0.
\]

Let us define \( v_n = u_n(\cdot + y_n) \), and we note that \( v_n \) has a nontrivial weak limit \( v \) in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). It is clear that also \((v_n)\) is a \((PS)_{cv_0}\) sequence for \( J_0 \), and arguing as before we can deduce that \( J_0(v) = cv_0 \). In conclusion, problem (3.4) admits a ground state solution.

Now, let \( u \) be a ground state for (3.4). By using \( \varphi = u^- \) as test function in \((J'_0(u), \varphi) = 0 \), it is easy to check that \( u \geq 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). In particular, observing that \( \phi_{v_0} \geq 0 \) and \( f \) has a subcritical growth, we can argue as in Proposition 5.1.1 in [21] to see that \( u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). In particular, we have

\[
\phi_{v_0}^t(x) = \int_{|y-x|\geq 1} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{x-y^{3-2r}} \, dy + \int_{|y-x|< 1} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{x-y^{3-2r}} \, dy
\]

\[
\leq ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + ||u||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \int_{|y-x|< 1} \frac{1}{x-y^{3-2r}} \, dy \leq C,
\]

so that \( g(x) = f(u^2)u - \mu u - \phi_{v_0}^t u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). By applying Proposition 2.9 in [46] we can deduce that \( u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) for some \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \). By using maximum principle (see Corollary 3.4 in [23]) we can see that \( u > 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Since \( u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) for all \( p \in [2, \infty) \), we can deduce that \( u(x) \to 0 \) as \( |x| \to \infty \), so we can find \( R > 0 \) such that \( (-\Delta)^s u + v_0 \geq 0 \) in \( |x| > R \). By using Lemma 4.3 in [25] we know that there exists a positive function \( w \) such that for \( |x| > \frac{R}{2} \) (taking \( R \) larger if necessary) it holds \((-\Delta)^s w + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}w \geq 0 \) and \( w(x) = \frac{C_1}{|x|^{3+2s}} \), for some \( C_0 > 0 \). In view of the continuity of \( u \) and \( w \) there exists some constant \( C_1 > 0 \) such that \( z := u - C_1 w \leq 0 \) on \( |x| = R \). Moreover, we can see that \((-\Delta)^sz + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}z \geq 0 \) in \( |x| \geq R \). Then, it follows by the maximum principle that \( z \leq 0 \) in \( |x| \geq R \), that is \( 0 < u(x) \leq C_1 w(x) \leq \frac{C_2}{|x|^{3+2s}} \) for all \( |x| \) big enough.

Now we prove the following interesting relation between \( c_\varepsilon \) and \( cv_0 \).

**Lemma 3.4.** The numbers \( c_\varepsilon \) and \( cv_0 \) satisfy the following inequality

\[
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_\varepsilon \leq cv_0.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) be a positive ground state to the autonomous problem (3.4) (see [48,51]), so \( J'_0(w) = 0 \) and \( J_0(w) = cv_0 \). We recall that \( w \in C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, using Lemma 4.3 in [25], it is easy to check that \( w \) satisfies the following decay estimate:

\[
0 < w(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{3+2s}} \text{ for all } |x| > 1.
\]
Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, [0, 1])$ be a cut-off function such that $\eta = 1$ in a neighborhood of zero $B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ and $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset B_{\delta} \subset \Lambda$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Let us define $w_\varepsilon(x) := \eta_\varepsilon(x)w(x)e^{iA(0)x}$, with $\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \eta(\varepsilon x)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, and we observe that $|w_\varepsilon| = \eta_\varepsilon w$ and $w_\varepsilon \in H^s$ in view of Lemma 2.3. Now we prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|w_\varepsilon\|^2 = \|w\|^2 \in (0, \infty).$$

(3.26)

Since it is clear that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\varepsilon |w_\varepsilon|^2 dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 |w|^2 dx$, we only need to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|w_\varepsilon\|^2_{A_\varepsilon} = \|w\|^2.$$

(3.27)

By using Lemma 5 in [42] we know that

$$[\eta_\varepsilon w] \to [w] \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

(3.28)

On the other hand,

$$[w_\varepsilon]^2_{A_\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|e^{iA(0)x}\eta_\varepsilon(x)w(x) - e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{x+y}{2}) - A(0)} \eta_\varepsilon(y)w(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy$$

$$= [\eta_\varepsilon w]^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{\eta_\varepsilon^2(y)w^2(y)e^{i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{x+y}{2}) - A(0)] - (x - y) - 1]^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy$$

$$+ 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(\eta_\varepsilon(x)w(x) - \eta_\varepsilon(y)w(y))(1 - e^{-i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{x+y}{2}) - A(0)] - (x - y)}\eta_\varepsilon(y)w(y)}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy$$

$$=: [\eta_\varepsilon w]^2 + X_\varepsilon + 2Y_\varepsilon.$$

Then, in view of $|Y_\varepsilon| \leq [\eta_\varepsilon w]\sqrt{X_\varepsilon}$ and (3.28), it is suffices to prove that $X_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to deduce that (3.27) holds. Let us note that for $0 < \beta < \alpha/(1 + \alpha - s)$,

$$X_\varepsilon \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y) dy \int_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{|e^{i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{x+y}{2}) - A(0)] - (x - y) - 1|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y) dy \int_{|x-y| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{|e^{i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{x+y}{2}) - A(0)] - (x - y) - 1|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx$$

(3.29)

$$=: X_\varepsilon^1 + X_\varepsilon^2.$$

Using $|e^t - 1|^2 \leq 4$ and $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we get

$$X_\varepsilon^1 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y) dy \int_{\varepsilon^{-\beta}}^{\infty} \rho^{-1-2s} d\rho \leq C \varepsilon^{2\beta s} \to 0.$$

(3.30)
Since $|e^{t} - 1|^2 \leq t^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and $|x + y|^2 \leq 2(|x - y|^2 + 4|y|^2)$, we have

$$X_\varepsilon^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x - y| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{|A_e(\frac{x + y}{2}) - A(0)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s-2}}dx$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x - y| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{|x + y|^{2\alpha}}{|x - y|^{3+2s-2}}dx$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x - y| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{3+2s-2}}dx \right. + \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |y|^{2\alpha}w^2(y)dy \int_{|x - y| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{3+2s-2}}dx \right)$$

$$= C \varepsilon^{2\alpha} (X_\varepsilon^{2,1} + X_\varepsilon^{2,2}).$$

Then

$$X_\varepsilon^{2,1} = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{-\beta}} \rho^{1+2\alpha-2s}d\rho \leq C \varepsilon^{-2\beta(1+\alpha-s)}. \quad (3.32)$$

On the other hand, using (3.25), we infer that

$$X_\varepsilon^{2,2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |y|^{2\alpha}w^2(y)dy \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{-\beta}} \rho^{1-2s}d\rho$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{-2\beta(1-s)} \left[ \int_{B_\varepsilon(0)} w^2(y)dy + \int_{B_\varepsilon(0)} \frac{1}{|y|^{2(3+2s)-2\alpha}}dy \right] \quad (3.33)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{-2\beta(1-s)}.$$

Taking into account (3.29), (3.30), (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33) we can conclude that $X_\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore (3.26) holds. Moreover, since $\eta, w$ strongly converges to $w$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we can use Lemma 2.4-(5) in [37] to see that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t_{|w| \varepsilon}|w|_\varepsilon^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t_{|w|} w^2dx. \quad (3.34)$$

Now, let $t_\varepsilon > 0$ be the unique number such that

$$J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon) = \max_{t \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(tw_\varepsilon).$$

Then $t_\varepsilon$ verifies

$$\|w_\varepsilon\|^2 + t_\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t_{|w| \varepsilon}|w|_\varepsilon^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t_{|w|} w^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t_\varepsilon^2|w|_\varepsilon^2)|w|_\varepsilon^2dx \quad (3.35)$$

where we used $supp(\eta) \subset \Lambda$ and $g = f$ on $\Lambda$.

Let us prove that $t_\varepsilon \to 1$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Using $\eta = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3/\frac{t_\varepsilon}{2}$ and that $w$ is a continuous positive function, we can see that (f4) yields

$$\frac{1}{t_\varepsilon^2} \|w_\varepsilon\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t_{|w| \varepsilon}|w|_\varepsilon^2dx \geq \frac{f(t_\varepsilon^2}\alpha_0^2}{t_\varepsilon^2\alpha_0^2} \int_{B_{\frac{t_\varepsilon}{2}}} |w|^2dx,$$

where $\alpha_0 = \min_{B_{\frac{t_\varepsilon}{2}}} \min_{0} > 0$. So, if $t_\varepsilon \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we can use (f3), (3.34) and (3.26) to deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{|w| \varepsilon}|w|^2dx = \infty,$$

that is a contradiction. On the other hand, if $t_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we can use the growth assumptions on $g$, (3.34), (3.26) to infer that $\|w\|^2_0 = 0$ which gives an absurd. Therefore,
$t_{\varepsilon} \to t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Now, taking the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.35) and using (3.34), (3.26), we can deduce that
\[
\frac{1}{t_0} \left\| w \right\|^2_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_0^r |w|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(t_0^2 |w|^2)}{(t_0^2 |w|^2)} |w|^4 \, dx.
\]
Then $t_0 = 1$ as a consequence of $w \in N_0$ and $(f_4)$. By applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we know that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|t_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon|^2) \, dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|w|^2) \, dx
\]
so we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon) = J_0(w) = c_{V_0}$. Since $c_\varepsilon \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(t w_\varepsilon) = J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)$, we can infer that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_\varepsilon \leq c_{V_0}$. □

Now, we prove the following useful compactness result.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ and $(u_n) \subset H^s_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{\varepsilon_n}$ and $J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = 0$. Then there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $v_n(x) = |u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)|$ has a convergent subsequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \to y_0$ for some $y_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $V(y_0) = V_0$.

**Proof.** Since $\langle J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$, $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{\varepsilon_n}$ and using Lemma 3.4, we can see that $(u_n)$ is bounded in $H^s_{\varepsilon_n}$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ (independent of $n$) such that $\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, from Lemma 2.2, we also know that $(|u_n|)$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$.

Now, we prove that there exist a sequence $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, and constants $R > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_R(\tilde{y}_n)} \left| u_n \right|^2 \, dx \geq \gamma > 0.
\]
Assume by contradiction (3.37) does not hold, so that, for all $R > 0$ we get
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} \left| u_n \right|^2 \, dx = 0.
\]
By using the boundedness of $(|u_n|)$ and Lemma 2.4, we know that $|u_n| \to 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for any $q \in (2, 2^*_s)$. This fact and (g1) and (g2) yield
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon_n x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 \, dx = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(\varepsilon_n x, |u_n|^2) \, dx.
\]
On the other hand, $|u_n| \to 0$ in $L^{\frac{12}{10}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, so by Lemma 2.5-(4) we deduce that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_0^r |u_n|^2 \, dx \to 0.
\]
Taking into account $\langle J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$, (3.38) and (3.39) we can infer that $\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This is impossible because (g1), (g2) and $\langle J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$ imply that there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that $\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 \geq \alpha_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we set $v_n(x) = |u_n|(x + \tilde{y}_n)$. Then $(v_n)$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, and we may assume that $v_n \to v \not\equiv 0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ as $n \to \infty$. Fix $t_n > 0$ such that $\tilde{v}_n = t_n v_n \in N_0$.

In view of Lemma 2.2, we have
\[
c_{V_0} \leq J_0(\tilde{v}_n) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\varepsilon_n}(tv_n) = J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n)
\]
which together with Lemma 3.4 yields $J_0(\tilde{v}_n) \to c_{V_0}$. Then, $\tilde{v}_n \to v$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. Since $(v_n)$ and $(\tilde{v}_n)$ are bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{v}_n \to v$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we deduce that $t_n \to t^* > 0$. From the uniqueness of the weak limit, we can deduce that $\tilde{v}_n \to \tilde{v} = t^* v \not\equiv 0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, and by using Lemma 3.3, we can infer that
\[
\tilde{v}_n \to \tilde{v} \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}).
\]
Therefore, $v_n \to v$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ as $n \to \infty$. \hfill \square
Now, we define \( y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \) and we show that \( (y_n) \) admits a subsequence, still denoted by \( y_n \), such that \( y_n \to y_0 \) for some \( y_0 \in \Lambda \) such that \( V(y_0) = V_0 \). Firstly, we prove that \( (y_n) \) is bounded. Assume by contradiction that, up to a subsequence, \( |y_n| \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \). Take \( R > 0 \) such that \( \Lambda \subset B_R(0) \).

Since we may suppose that \( |y_n| > 2R \), we have that for any \( z \in B_{R/\varepsilon_n} \)

\[
|\varepsilon_n z + y_n| \geq |y_n| - |\varepsilon_n z| > R.
\]

Now, by using \( (u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}, (V_1) \), Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.5 and the change of variable \( x \mapsto z + \tilde{y}_n \) we observe that

\[
[v_n]^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 v_n^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\varepsilon_n}^t v_n^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\varepsilon_n}^t v_n^2 \, dx 
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, |v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 \, dx 
\leq \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} \tilde{f}(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} f(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 \, dx. 
\eqnum{3.41}
\]

Since \( v_n \to v \) in \( H^4(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) as \( n \to \infty \) and \( \tilde{f}(t) \leq \frac{V_0}{k} \), we can see that (3.41) yields

\[
\min \left\{ 1, V_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \right\} \left[ [v_n]^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 \, dx \right] = o_n(1),
\]

that is \( v_n \to 0 \) in \( H^4(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and this gives a contradiction. Thus, \( (y_n) \) is bounded and we may assume that \( y_n \to y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). If \( y_0 \notin \overline{\Lambda} \), we can proceed as before to deduce that \( v_n \to 0 \) in \( H^4(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \).

Therefore \( y_0 \in \overline{\Lambda} \). We observe that if \( V(y_0) = V_0 \), then \( y_0 \notin \partial \Lambda \) in view of (V2). Then, it is enough to verify that \( V(y_0) = V_0 \). Otherwise, we suppose that \( V(y_0) > V_0 \), and putting together (3.40), Fatou’s Lemma, the invariance of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) by translations, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.4, we have

\[
c_{V_0} = J_0(\tilde{v}) \leq \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{v}]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(y_0) \tilde{v}^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\varepsilon_n}^t \tilde{v}^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|\tilde{v}|^2) \, dx 
\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{v}_n]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\varepsilon_n}^t \tilde{v}_n^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|\tilde{v}_n|^2) \, dx \right] 
\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{r_n^2}{2} [u_n]^2 + \frac{r_n^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\varepsilon_n}^t u_n^2 \, dx - \frac{r_n}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|u_n|^2) \, dx \right] 
\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} J_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq c_{V_0}
\]

which is a contradiction. This ends the proof of this lemma.

\[
\]

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of the main theorem of this work. Firstly, we prove the following lemma which plays a fundamental role to show that the solutions of (3.1) are indeed solutions to (1.1).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \) and \( u_n \in H^4_{\varepsilon_n} \) be a solution to (3.2). Then \( v_n = |u_n| (\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \) satisfies \( v_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and there exists \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
||v_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( \tilde{y}_n \) is given by Lemma 3.5. Moreover it holds

\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} v_n(x) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Proof. For any $L > 0$, we define $u_{L,n} := \min\{|u_n|, L\} \geq 0$ and we set $v_{L,n} = u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}u_n$ where $\beta > 1$ will be chosen later. Taking $v_{L,n}$ as test function in (3.2) we can see that

$$
\mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left( \frac{u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y)}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \right) (u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y) ) dx dy \right)
$$

$$
= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_t |u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)} dx. \tag{4.1}
$$

Let us observe that

$$
\mathbb{R} \left( u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y) \right) (u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y) )
$$

$$
\geq |u_n(x)|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - |u_n(y)||u_n(x)|u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) + |u_n(x)|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)
$$

$$
= |u_n(x) - u_n(y)||u_n(x)|u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - |u_n(y)||u_n(x)|u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y),
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
\mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left( \frac{u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y)}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \right) (u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y) ) dx dy \right)
$$

$$
\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} (|u_n(x)|u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - |u_n(y)|u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y) ) dx dy. \tag{4.2}
$$

For all $t \geq 0$, let us define

$$
\gamma(t) = \gamma_{L,t} = t L^{2(\beta - 1)}
$$

where $t_L = \min\{t, L\}$. Let us observe that, since $\gamma$ is an increasing function, then it holds

$$(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Let us define the functions

$$
\Lambda(t) = \frac{|t|^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(t) = \int_0^t (\gamma'(\tau))^2 d\tau.
$$

and we note that

$$
\Lambda'(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq |\Gamma(a) - \Gamma(b)|^2 \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.3}
$$

Indeed, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$, and using the Jensen inequality we have

$$
\Lambda'(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) = (a - b) \int_b^a \gamma'(t) dt = (a - b) \int_b^a (\Gamma'(t))^2 dt \geq \left( \int_b^a \Gamma'(t)dt \right)^2 = (\Gamma(a) - \Gamma(b))^2.
$$

In view of (4.3) we can deduce that

$$
|\Gamma(|u_n(x)|) - \Gamma(|u_n(y)|)|^2 \leq (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|)(|u_n(x)|u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - |u_n(y)|u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)). \tag{4.4}
$$

Putting together (4.2) and (4.4) we have

$$
\mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left( \frac{u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y)}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \right) (u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{iA\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}(x-y) ) dx dy \right) \geq |\Gamma(|u_n|)|^2. \tag{4.5}
$$
Being $\Gamma(|u_n|) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} |u_n|^{\beta-1}$ and recalling that $D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L_{x}^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ (see [20]), we get

$$[\Gamma(|u_n|)]^2 \geq S_{\ast} ||\Gamma(|u_n|)||_{L_{x}^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^2 S_{\ast} ||u_n|^{\beta-1}||_{L_{x}^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \quad (4.6)$$

Taking into account (4.1), (4.5) and (4.6) we obtain

$$\left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^2 S_{\ast} ||u_n|^{\beta-1}||_{L_{x}^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)|u_n|^2 u_n^{2(\beta-1)} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon_n x, |u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 u_n^{2(\beta-1)} dx. \quad (4.7)$$

On the other hand, from the assumptions $(g_1)$ and $(g_2)$, for any $\xi > 0$ there exists $C_\xi > 0$ such that

$$g(\varepsilon x, t^2) t^2 \leq \xi |t|^2 + C_\xi |t|^{2s} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.8)$$

Taking $\xi \in (0, V_0)$ and using (4.7) and (4.8) and Lemma 2.5 we can obtain that

$$||w_{L_{x}^{n}}||_{L_{x}^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s} u_{L_{x}^{n}}^{2(\beta-1)}, \quad (4.9)$$

where we set $w_{L_{x}^{n}} := |u_n|^{\beta-1}$. Now, take $\beta = \frac{2s}{2}$ and fix $R > 0$. Observing that $0 \leq u_{L_{x}^{n}} \leq |u_n|$ and applying Hölder inequality we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s} u_{L_{x}^{n}}^{2(\beta-1)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s-2} |u_n|^{2s} u_{L_{x}^{n}}^{2(\beta-1)} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R^{2s-2} |u_n|^{2s} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s-2} (|u_n| u_{L_{x}^{n}}^{2s})^{2} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R^{2s-2} |u_n|^{2s} dx + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s} dx \right)^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n| u_{L_{x}^{n}}^{2s})^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Since $(|u_n|)$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we can choose $R$ sufficiently large such that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s} dx \right)^{\frac{2s-2}{2s}} \leq \frac{1}{2\beta^2}. \quad (4.11)$$

In view of (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) we can infer

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n| u_{L_{x}^{n}}^{2s})^{2s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \leq C \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R^{2s-2} |u_n|^{2s} dx < \infty$$

and letting the limit as $L \to \infty$ we obtain $|u_n| \in L_{x}^{\frac{(2s)^2}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$.

Now, using $0 \leq u_{L_{x}^{n}} \leq |u_n|$ and taking the limit as $L \to \infty$ in (4.9) we have

$$|||u_n|||_{L_{x}^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{2s} \leq C \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s+2(\beta-1)},$$

from which we deduce that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{\beta 2s} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\beta-1)2s}} \leq C \beta^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2s+2(\beta-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2(\beta-1)}}.$$

For $m \geq 1$ we define $\beta_{m+1}$ inductively so that $2^s + 2(\beta_{m+1} - 1) = 2^s \beta_m$ and $\beta_1 = \frac{2^s}{2}$. Then we can see that
\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{\beta_{m+1} 2^s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\beta_{m+1} - 1) 2^s}} \leq C \beta_{m+1}^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m+1} - 1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^s \beta_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^s (\beta_m - 1)}}.
\]

Let us define
\[
D_m = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^s \beta_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^s (\beta_m - 1)}},
\]
and by using an iteration argument, we can find $C_0 > 0$ independent of $m$ such that
\[
D_{m+1} \leq \prod_{k=1}^{m} C \beta_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{\beta_k + 1}} \, D_1 \leq C_0 D_1.
\]
Passing to the limit as $m \to \infty$ we find
\[
\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_0 D_1 =: K \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.12}
\]

Clearly, by interpolation, we can deduce that $(|u_n|)$ strongly converges in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for all $r \in (2, \infty)$. From the growth assumptions on $g$, also $g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2)|u_n|$ strongly converges in the same Lebesgue spaces.

In what follows, we show that $|u_n|$ is a weak subsolution to
\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s v + V_0 v = g(\varepsilon x, v^2) v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\
v \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3.
\end{cases}
\tag{4.13}
\]

Fix $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$, and we take $\psi_{\delta,n} = \frac{u_{\delta,n}}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi$ as test function in (3.1), where we set $u_{\delta,n} = \sqrt{|u_n|^2 + \delta^2}$ for $\delta > 0$. We note that $\psi_{\delta,n} \in H^s_{\delta,n}$ for all $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n x)|\psi_{\delta,n}|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} V(\varepsilon_n x) \varphi^2 \, dx < \infty$. On the other hand, we can observe
\[
\psi_{\delta,n}(x) - \psi_{\delta,n}(y) e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y) = \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \right) \varphi(x) - \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) \varphi(y) e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y)
\]
\[
= \left[ \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \right) - \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y) \right] \varphi(x)
\]
\[
+ [\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)] \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y)
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} - \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) \varphi(y) e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y)
\]
which gives
\[
\psi_{\delta,n}(x) - \psi_{\delta,n}(y) e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y)^2 \leq \frac{4}{\delta^2} |u_n(x) - u_n(y)| e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y)^2 \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \frac{4}{\delta^2} \| \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \| u_n \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}
\]
\[
+ \frac{4}{\delta^4} \| u_n \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 |u_{\delta,n}(y) - u_{\delta,n}(x)|^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{4}{\delta^2} |u_n(x) - u_n(y)| e^{i A(x, y)}(x-y)^2 \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{4K^2}{\delta^2} \| \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{4K^2}{\delta^4} \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| u_n(y) \| - |u_n(x)\| \leq 4(|z + w + k|^2 \leq 4(|z|^2 + |w|^2 + |k|^2) \quad \forall z, w, k \in \mathbb{C},
\]
\(|e^t| = 1\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\), \(u_{\delta,n} \geq \delta\), \(\frac{|u_n|}{u_{\delta,n}} \leq 1\), (4.12) and the following inequality

\[|\sqrt{|z|^2 + \delta^2} - \sqrt{|w|^2 + \delta^2}| \leq ||z| - |w|| \quad \forall z, w \in \mathbb{C}.\]

Since \(u_n \in H_{\varepsilon_n}^s\), \(|u_n| \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) (by Lemma 2.2) and \(\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\), we deduce that \(\psi_{\delta,n} \in H_{\varepsilon_n}^s\). Therefore

\[
\Re \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\varepsilon(t/2 - x-y)}(x-y))}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) - \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) e^{-iA_\varepsilon(t/2 - x-y)} \right) dx dy \right] \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) \frac{|u_n|^2}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_t |u_n|^2 \frac{1}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) \frac{|u_n|^2}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi dx.
\]

Since \(\Re(z) \leq |z|\) for all \(z \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(|e^t| = 1\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\), we get

\[
\Re \left[ \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) + \frac{|u_n(y)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - \frac{u_n(x) u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(x) u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(x) e^{-iA_\varepsilon(t/2 - x-y)} - \frac{u_n(y) u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x) u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(x) e^{iA_\varepsilon(t/2 - x-y)} \right] \\
\geq \left[ \frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) + \frac{|u_n(y)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(x)| |u_n(y)| \varphi(x) - |u_n(x)| \varphi(y) \right].
\]

Now, we can note that

\[
\frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) + \frac{|u_n(y)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(x)| \varphi(y) |u_n(y)| \varphi(y) - |u_n(x)| \varphi(y) \\
= \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(x) - \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(y) \\
= \left[ \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(x) - \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(y) \right] \\
+ \left[ \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} - \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right] (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(y) \\
= \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) + \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(y) \\
\geq |u_n(x)| \varphi(x) - |u_n(y)| \varphi(y)
\]

where in the last inequality we used the fact that

\[
\left( \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} - \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(y) \geq 0
\]

because

\[
h(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 + \delta^2}}\] is increasing for \(t \geq 0\) and \(\varphi \geq 0\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\).

Observing that

\[
\frac{|u_n(x)| (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \leq \frac{|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)| |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^6),
\]
and $\frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \to 1$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as $\delta \to 0$, we can use (4.15), (4.16) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem to deduce that

$$\limsup_{\delta \to 0} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y)) e^{iA_\epsilon(\frac{\gamma}{2}) - (x-y))}}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) - \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) e^{-iA_\epsilon(\frac{\gamma}{2}) - (x-y))} \right) dx dy \right]$$

$$\geq \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} (|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|) \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{3+2s}}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} (|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|) dx dy. \quad (4.17)$$

We can also see that the Dominated Convergence Theorem (we recall that $\frac{|u_n|^2}{u_{\delta,n}} \leq |u_n|$, Fatou’s Lemma and $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$) yield

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\epsilon x) \frac{|u_n|^2}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\epsilon x)|u_n| \varphi dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0|u_n| \varphi dx \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$\liminf_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^\delta |u_n| \frac{|u_n|^2}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^\delta |u| |u| \varphi dx \geq 0 \quad (4.19)$$

Taking into account (4.14), (4.17), (4.19), (4.18) and (4.20) we can deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} (|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|) dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0|u_n| \varphi dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\epsilon x, |u_n|^2)|u_n| \varphi dx$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$, that is $|u_n|$ is a weak subsolution to (4.13).

Now, we note that $v_n = |u_n| (\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)$ solves

$$(-\Delta)^s v_n + V_0 v_n \leq g(\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, v_n^2) v_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (4.21)$$

Let us denote by $z_n \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ the unique solution to

$$(-\Delta)^s z_n + V_0 z_n = g_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$g_n := g(\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, v_n^2) v_n \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \text{ for all } r \in [2, \infty].$$

Since (4.12) yields $\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by interpolation we know that $v_n \to v$ strongly converges in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for all $r \in (2, \infty)$, for some $v \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. From the growth assumptions on $f$, we have $g_n \to f(v^2)v$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and $\|g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of [25], we know that $z_n = K * g_n$, where $K$ is the Bessel kernel, and proceeding as in [2], we can infer that $|z_n(x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $v_n$ solves (4.21) and $z_n$ verifies (4.22), it is easy to use a comparison argument to deduce that $0 \leq v_n \leq z_n$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $v_n(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \[\Box\]

Now, we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In view of Lemma 3.5, we can find $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\epsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \to y_0$ for some $y_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $V(y_0) = V_0$. Then there is $r > 0$ such that, for some subsequence still denoted by itself, it holds $B_r(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \Lambda$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $B_{r \epsilon_n}(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \Lambda_{\epsilon_n} n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we can deduce that $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda_{\epsilon_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{r \epsilon_n}(\tilde{y}_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By using Lemma 4.1, we know that there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$v_n(x) < a \text{ for } |x| \geq R, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $a$ is a constant depending on $\Lambda$ and $\epsilon_n$.
where \( v_n(x) = |u_{\varepsilon_n}|(x + \tilde{y}_n) \). Thus \(|u_{\varepsilon_n}(x)| < a\) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(\tilde{y}_n) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then there exists \( \nu \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for any \( n \geq \nu \) and \( r/\varepsilon_n > R \) it holds \[ \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus A_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\varepsilon_n}^{c}(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(\tilde{y}_n), \]

which gives \(|u_{\varepsilon_n}(x)| < a\) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus A_{\varepsilon_n} \) and \( n \geq \nu \). Therefore, there exists \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) such that problem (3.1) admits a nontrivial solution \( u_\varepsilon \) for all \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \). Setting \( \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(x/\varepsilon) \), we can see that \( \tilde{u}_\varepsilon \) is a solution to the original problem (1.1). Finally, we investigate the behavior of the maximum points of \( |u_{\varepsilon_n}| \). By using (4.1), there exists \( \gamma \in (0, a) \) such that \[ g(\varepsilon x, t^2)t^2 \leq \frac{V_0}{2}t^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, |t| \leq \gamma. \] (4.23) Arguing as before, we can take \( R > 0 \) such that \[ \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^\infty(B_R(\tilde{y}_n))} < \gamma. \] (4.24) Up to a subsequence, we may also assume that \[ \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^\infty(B_R(\tilde{y}_n))} \geq \gamma. \] (4.25) Indeed, if (4.25) does not hold, we have \( \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \gamma \), and by using \( J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) = 0 \), (4.23) and Lemma 2.2 we can see that \[ \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0|u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 dx \leq \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\varepsilon_n}^\prime|u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_{\varepsilon_n}(x, |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2)|u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 dx \leq \frac{V_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 dx \]

that is \( \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0 \) which is a contradiction. Therefore (4.25) holds true. In view of (4.24) and (4.25), we can see that the maximum points \( p_n \) of \( |u_{\varepsilon_n}| \) belong to \( B_R(\tilde{y}_n) \), that is \( p_n = \tilde{y}_n + q_n \) for some \( q_n \in B_R \). Since \( \tilde{u}_n(x) = u_{\varepsilon_n}(x/\varepsilon_n) \) is a solution to (1.1), we can deduce that a maximum point \( \eta_{\varepsilon_n} \) of \( |\tilde{u}_n| \) is of the type \( \eta_{\varepsilon_n} = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n + \varepsilon_n q_n \). Since \( q_n \in B_R, \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \to y_0 \) and \( V(y_0) = V_0 \), we can use the continuity of \( V \) to deduce that \[ \lim_{n \to \infty} V(\eta_{\varepsilon_n}) = V_0. \] Finally, we prove the power decay estimate of \( |\tilde{u}_n| \). By applying Lemma 4.3 in [25], we can find a function \( w \) such that \[ 0 < w(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{3+2\sigma}}, \] (4.26) and \[ (-\Delta)^\sigma w + \frac{V_0}{2} w \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_1} \] (4.27) for some suitable \( R_1 > 0 \). Invoking Lemma 4.1, we know that we can that \( v_n(x) \to 0 \) as \( |x| \to \infty \) uniformly in \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), so we can find there exists \( R_2 > 0 \) such that \[ h_n = g(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, v_n^2) v_n \leq \frac{V_0}{2} v_n \text{ in } B_{R_2}^c. \] (4.28) Let \( w_n \) be the unique solution to \[ (-\Delta)^\sigma w_n + e_n w_n = h_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \] Then \( w_n(x) \to 0 \) as \( |x| \to \infty \) uniformly in \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and by comparison \( 0 \leq v_n \leq w_n \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). By using (4.28) we can see that \[ (-\Delta)^\sigma w_n + \frac{V_0}{2} w_n = h_n - \frac{V_0}{2} w_n \leq 0 \text{ in } B_{R_2}^c. \]
Set $R_3 = \max\{R_1, R_2\}$ and we define
\[
a = \inf_{B_{R_3}} w > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{w}_n = (b + 1) w - aw_n, \tag{4.29}
\]
where $b = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty$. We aim to prove that
\[
\tilde{w}_n \geq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.30}
\]
We begin observing that
\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{w}_n(x) = 0, \tag{4.31}
\]
\[
\tilde{w}_n \geq ba + w - ba > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_{R_3}, \tag{4.32}
\]
\[
(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_n + \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{w}_n \geq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_3}. \tag{4.33}
\]
We assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $(\tilde{x}_{j,n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that
\[
\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{w}_n(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_{j,n}) < 0. \tag{4.34}
\]
Clearly, from (4.31), it follows that $(\tilde{x}_{j,n})$ is bounded, and, up to subsequence, we may assume that there exists $\tilde{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\tilde{x}_{j,n} \to \tilde{x}_n$ as $j \to \infty$. Then (4.34) implies that
\[
\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{w}_n(x) = \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) < 0. \tag{4.35}
\]
By using the minimality of $\tilde{x}_n$ and the representation formula for the fractional Laplacian [20], we obtain that
\[
(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) = \frac{C(3, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2\tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) - \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n + \xi) - \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n - \xi)}{|\xi|^{3+2s}} d\xi \leq 0. \tag{4.36}
\]
In view of (4.32) and (4.34), we have $\tilde{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_3}$, and by using (4.35) and (4.36), we can conclude that
\[
(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) + \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) < 0,
\]
which is impossible due to (4.33). Therefore, (4.30) is true and by using (4.26) and $v_n \leq w_n$ we have
\[
0 \leq v_n(x) \leq w_n(x) \leq \frac{(b + 1)}{a} w(x) \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + |x|^{3+2s}} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3,
\]
for some constant $\tilde{C} > 0$. Taking into mind the definition of $v_n$, we can infer that
\[
|\tilde{u}_n|(x) = |u_{\varepsilon_n}| \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n}\right) = v_n \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} - \tilde{y}_n\right) 
\leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + |\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} - \tilde{y}_n|^{3+2s}}
\leq \frac{\tilde{C} \varepsilon_n^{3+2s}}{\varepsilon_n^{3+2s} + |x - \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n|^{3+2s}}
\leq \frac{\tilde{C} \varepsilon_n^{3+2s}}{\varepsilon_n^{3+2s} + |x - \eta\varepsilon_n|^{3+2s}}.
\]
\[\square\]
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