

FOCUSING NLS WITH INVERSE SQUARE POTENTIAL

JIQIANG ZHENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we utilize the method in [4] to establish the radial scattering result for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with inverse square potential $i\partial_t u - \mathcal{L}_a u = -|u|^{p-1}u$ in the energy space $H_a^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in dimensions $d \geq 3$, which extends the result of [10, 11] to higher dimensions cases but with radial initial data. The new ingredient is to establish the dispersive estimate for radial function and overcome the weak dispersive estimate when $a < 0$.

Key Words: nonlinear Schrödinger equation; scattering; inverse square potential, Morawetz estimate.

AMS Classification: 35P25, 35Q55, 47J35.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the initial-value problem for focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_a)u = -|u|^{p-1}u, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{L}_a = -\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2}$.

The class of solutions to (1.1) is left invariant by the scaling

$$u(t, x) \mapsto \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, one can also check that the only homogeneous L_x^2 -based Sobolev space that is left invariant under (1.2) is $\dot{H}_x^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s_c := \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$. Solutions to (1.1) conserve their *mass* and *energy* by

$$\begin{aligned} M(u(t)) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t, x)|^2 dx, \\ E_a(u(t)) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 + \frac{a}{2|x|^2} |u(t, x)|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} |u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Initial data belonging to $H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ have finite mass and energy. This follows from equivalent of Sobolev norm and the following variant of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

$$\|f\|_{L_x^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{p+1} \leq C_a \|f\|_{L_x^{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2}} \|\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a} f\|_{L_x^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where C_a denotes the sharp constant in the inequality above for radial functions. We will show in Theorem 3.1 that the sharp constant C_a is attained by a radial solution Q_a to elliptic equation $-\mathcal{L}_a Q_a - Q_a + Q_a^p = 0$.

The functions Q_a provide examples of non-scattering solutions at the radial threshold via $u(t, x) = e^{it} Q_a(x)$. We consider the problem of global existence and scattering for (1.1) below threshold. We begin with the following definitions.

Definition 1.1 (Solution, scattering). Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let I be an interval containing t_0 . A function $u : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a *solution* to (1.1), if it belongs to $C_t H_a^1 \cap L_t^5 H_a^{1, \frac{10d}{5d-4}}(K \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ for any compact $K \subset I$ and obeys the Duhamel formula

$$u(t) = e^{-i(t-t_0)\mathcal{L}_a} u_0 + i \int_{t_0}^t e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{L}_a} (|u(s)|^{p-1} u(s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in I,$$

where we rely on the self-adjointness of \mathcal{L}_a to make sense of $e^{-it\mathcal{L}_a}$ via the Hilbert space functional calculus. We call I the *lifespan* of u . We call u a *maximal-lifespan solution* if it cannot be extended to any strictly larger interval. If $I = \mathbb{R}$, we call u *global*.

Moreover, a global solution u to (1.1) *scatters* if there exist $u_{\pm} \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|u(t) - e^{-it\mathcal{L}_a} u_{\pm}\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.$$

In this paper, we utilize the method in [4] to obtain the following threshold result for the class of radial solutions:

Theorem 1.2 (Radial scattering/blowup dichotomy). *Let (a, d, p) satisfy*

$$a > \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 & \text{if } d = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4}{3} < p - 1 \leq 2 \\ -\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{1}{p-1}\right)^2 & \text{if } d \geq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{d-2} \vee \frac{4}{d} < p - 1 < \frac{4}{d-2}, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $a \vee b := \max\{a, b\}$. Let $u_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be radial and satisfy $M(u_0)^{1-s_c} E_a(u_0)^{s_c} < M(Q_a)^{1-s_c} E_a(Q_a)^{s_c}$. Moreover, if

$$\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u_0\|_{H_a^1}^{s_c} < \|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{H_a^1}^{s_c},$$

then the solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 is global and scatters.

Remark 1.3. (i) In the case $a = 0$, such result was firstly considered by Holmer and Roudenko[7] for the 3D cubic radial and Duyckaerts-Holmer-Roudenko[5] for nonradial data. Lately, Killip, Murphy, Visan and the third author [10] and Lu, Miao and Murphy [11] generalized their result to the focusing Schrödinger equation with inverse square potential, i.e. (1.1). In this paper, we extend the result of [10, 11] to general nonlinear term in dimensions $d \geq 3$ but with radial initial data. We also refer the reader to the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with inverse square potential [9, 16]. The main new ingredient of this paper is to establish the dispersive estimate for radial function and overcome the weak dispersive estimate when $a < 0$.

(ii) The restriction on (a, d, p) stems from the local well-posedness theory in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for (1.1). While in the proof of local well-posedness, we need to estimate powers of \mathcal{L}_a applied to the nonlinearity term. To obtain the requisite fractional calculus estimates for \mathcal{L}_a , we rely on the equivalence of Sobolev spaces to exchange powers of \mathcal{L}_a and powers of $-\Delta$ (for which fractional calculus estimates are known). This argument leads to a restriction on the range of (a, d, p) as in (1.4).

We sketch the idea and argument for the proof here. First, by variational analysis and blowup criterion, we derive that the solution u is global. And then, by radial Sobolev embedding and dispersive estimate, we establish a scattering criterion as the case $a = 0$ [15]. Here we should be careful in the case $a < 0$, since we have

only the weak dispersive estimate, see Lemma 2.8. Finally, using Virial argument, radial Sobolev embedding and variational analysis, we prove the above scattering criterion.

We conclude the introduction by giving some notations which will be used throughout this paper. To simplify the expression of our inequalities, we introduce some symbols \lesssim, \sim, \ll . If X, Y are nonnegative quantities, we use $X \lesssim Y$ or $X = O(Y)$ to denote the estimate $X \leq CY$ for some C , and $X \sim Y$ to denote the estimate $X \lesssim Y \lesssim X$. We use $X \ll Y$ to mean $X \leq cY$ for some small constant c . We use $C \gg 1$ to denote various large finite constants, and $0 < c \ll 1$ to denote various small constants. For any $r, 1 \leq r \leq \infty$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_r$ the norm in $L^r = L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and by r' the conjugate exponent defined by $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Harmonic analysis for \mathcal{L}_a . In this section, we collect some harmonic analysis tools adapted to the operator \mathcal{L}_a . The primary reference for this section is [8].

For $1 < r < \infty$, we write $\dot{H}_a^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H_a^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for the homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces associated with \mathcal{L}_a , respectively, which have norms

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_a^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{H_a^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{L}_a}f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

When $r = 2$, we simply write $\dot{H}_a^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}_a^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H_a^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = H_a^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

By the sharp Hardy inequality, the operator \mathcal{L}_a is positive precisely for $a \geq -(\frac{d-2}{2})^2$. Denote

$$\sigma := \frac{d-2}{2} - [(\frac{d-2}{2})^2 + a]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Estimates on the heat kernel associated to the operator \mathcal{L}_a were found by Liskevich–Sobol [12] and Milman–Semenov [13].

Lemma 2.1 (Heat kernel bounds, [12, 13]). *Let $d \geq 3$ and $a \geq -(\frac{d-2}{2})^2$. There exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and c_1, c_2 such that for any $t > 0$ and any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$C_1(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|})^\sigma (1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|})^\sigma t^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c_1 t}} \leq e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a}(x, y) \leq C_2(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|})^\sigma (1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|})^\sigma t^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c_2 t}}.$$

As a consequence, we can obtain the following equivalence of Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 2.2 (Equivalence of Sobolev spaces, [8]). *Let $d \geq 3$, $a \geq -(\frac{d-2}{2})^2$, and $0 < s < 2$. If $1 < p < \infty$ satisfies $\frac{s+\sigma}{d} < \frac{1}{p} < \min\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\}$, then*

$$\|\ |\nabla|^s f \|_{L_x^p} \lesssim_{d,p,s} \|(\mathcal{L}_a)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_{L_x^p} \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}).$$

If $\max\{\frac{s}{d}, \frac{\sigma}{d}\} < \frac{1}{p} < \min\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\}$, then

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_a)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_{L_x^p} \lesssim_{d,p,s} \| |\nabla|^s f \|_{L_x^p} \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}).$$

We will make use of the following fractional calculus estimates due to Christ and Weinstein [3]. Combining these estimates with Lemma 2.2, we can deduce analogous statements for the operator \mathcal{L}_a (for restricted sets of exponents).

Lemma 2.3 (Fractional calculus).

(i) *Let $s \geq 0$ and $1 < r, r_j, q_j < \infty$ satisfy $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_j} + \frac{1}{q_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\| |\nabla|^s(fg) \|_{L_x^r} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^{r_1}} \| |\nabla|^s g \|_{L_x^{q_1}} + \| |\nabla|^s f \|_{L_x^{r_2}} \|g\|_{L_x^{q_2}}.$$

(ii) Let $G \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ and $s \in (0, 1]$, and let $1 < r_1 \leq \infty$ and $1 < r, r_2 < \infty$ satisfy $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$. Then

$$\|\nabla|^s G(u)\|_{L_x^r} \lesssim \|G'(u)\|_{L_x^{r_1}} \|u\|_{L_x^{r_2}}.$$

We will need the following radial Sobolev embedding from [15].

Lemma 2.4 (Radial Sobolev embedding). *Let $d \geq 3$. For radial $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there holds*

$$\||x|^s f\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.2)$$

for $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d-1}{2}$.

Let f be Schwartz function defined on \mathbb{R}^d , we define the Hankel transform of order ν :

$$(\mathcal{H}_\nu f)(\xi) = \int_0^\infty (r\rho)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_\nu(r\rho) f(r\omega) r^{d-1} dr, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\rho = |\xi|$, $\omega = \xi/|\xi|$ and J_ν is the Bessel function of order ν defined by the integral

$$J_\nu(r) = \frac{(r/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(1/2)} \int_{-1}^1 e^{isr} (1-s^2)^{(2\nu-1)/2} ds \quad \text{with } \nu > -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } r > 0.$$

Specially, if the function f is radial, then

$$(\mathcal{H}_\nu f)(\rho) = \int_0^\infty (r\rho)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_\nu(r\rho) f(r) r^{d-1} dr. \quad (2.4)$$

The following properties of the Hankel transform are obtained in [1]:

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{H}_ν be defined above and $A_\nu := -\partial_r^2 - \frac{d-1}{r}\partial_r + [\nu^2 - (\frac{d-2}{2})^2]r^{-2}$. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{H}_\nu = \mathcal{H}_\nu^{-1}$,
- (ii) \mathcal{H}_ν is self-adjoint, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_\nu = \mathcal{H}_\nu^*$,
- (iii) \mathcal{H}_ν is an L^2 isometry, i.e. $\|\mathcal{H}_\nu \phi\|_{L_\xi^2} = \|\phi\|_{L_x^2}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{H}_\nu(A_\nu \phi)(\xi) = |\xi|^2 (\mathcal{H}_\nu \phi)(\xi)$, for $\phi \in L^2$.

2.2. Strichartz estimates and dispersive estimate. Strichartz estimates for the propagator $e^{-it\mathcal{L}_a}$ were proved by Burq, Planchon, Stalker, and Tahvildar-Zadeh in [1]. Combining these with the Christ–Kiselev Lemma [2], we obtain the following Strichartz estimates:

Proposition 2.6 (Strichartz estimate, [1, 17]). *Let $d \geq 3$, and fix $a > -(\frac{d-2}{2})^2$. The solution u to $(i\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_a)u = F$ on an interval $I \ni t_0$ obeys*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u(t_0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_x^{\tilde{r}'}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for any $2 \leq q, \tilde{q} \leq \infty$ with $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{2}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{3}{\tilde{r}} = \frac{d}{2}$ including $(q, \tilde{q}) = (2, 2)$.

As a consequence of Strichartz estimate, we obtain the local well-posedness theory in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 2.7 (Local well-posedness, [10, 11]). *Let (a, d, p) satisfy the condition (1.4). Assume $u_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *There exist $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H_a^1}) > 0$ and a unique solution $u : (t_0 - T, t_0 + T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to (1.1) with $u(t_0) = u_0$. In particular, if u remains uniformly bounded in H_a^1 throughout its lifespan, then u extends to a global solution.*

(ii) There exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\|e^{-i(t-t_0)\mathcal{L}_a}u_0\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{d+2}{2}(p-1)}((t_0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} < \eta \quad \text{for some } 0 < \eta < \eta_0,$$

then the solution u to (1.1) with data $u(t_0) = u_0$ is forward-global and satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{d+2}{2}(p-1)}((t_0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \eta.$$

The analogous statement holds backward in time (as well as on all of \mathbb{R}).

(iii) For any $\psi \in H_a^1$, there exist $T > 0$ and a solution $u : (T, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to (1.1) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - e^{-it\mathcal{L}_a}\psi\|_{H_a^1} = 0.$$

The analogous statement holds backward in time.

Next, we prove the key estimate (dispersive estimate) which will be useful in the proof of scattering criterion (Lemma 4.1 below).

Theorem 2.8 (Dispersive estimate). *Let f be radial function.*

(i) If $a \geq 0$, then we have

$$\|e^{it\mathcal{L}_a}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C|t|^{-\frac{d}{2}}\|f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (2.5)$$

(ii) If $-\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} < a < 0$, then there holds

$$\|(1+|x|^{-\sigma})^{-1}e^{it\mathcal{L}_a}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\frac{1+|t|^\sigma}{|t|^{\frac{d}{2}}}\|(1+|x|^{-\sigma})f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.6)$$

with σ being as in (2.1).

Proof. Since $f(x)$ is radial, $u(t, x) := e^{it\mathcal{L}_a}f$ solve

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - A_\nu u = 0, \\ u(0, r) = f(r), \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where the operator A_ν is defined as in Lemma 2.5 with $\nu = \frac{d-2}{2} - \sigma$. Applying the Hankel transform to the equation (2.7), by (iv) in Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \tilde{u} - \rho^2 \tilde{u} = 0 \\ \tilde{u}(0, \rho) = (\mathcal{H}_\nu f)(\rho), \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

where $\tilde{u}(t, \rho) = (\mathcal{H}_\nu u)(t, \rho)$. Solving this ODE and inverting the Hankel transform, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, r) &= \int_0^\infty (r\rho)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_\nu(r\rho) e^{-it\rho^2} (\mathcal{H}_\nu f)(\rho) \rho^{d-1} d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty (r\rho)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_\nu(r\rho) e^{-it\rho^2} \rho^{d-1} \int_0^\infty (s\rho)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_\nu(s\rho) f(s) s^{d-1} ds d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(s) s^{d-1} K(t, r, s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

with the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, r, s) &= (rs)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_0^\infty J_\nu(r\rho) J_\nu(s\rho) e^{-it\rho^2} \rho \, d\rho \\ &= (rs)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi i}}{2it} e^{-\frac{r^2+s^2}{4it}} J_\nu\left(\frac{rs}{2t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the analytic continuation as in [6] in the second equality. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, r) &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi i}}{2it} \int_0^\infty f(s) s^{d-1} (rs)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^2+s^2}{4it}} J_\nu\left(\frac{rs}{2t}\right) \, ds \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi i}}{2it} \left(\int_0^{\frac{2t}{r}} + \int_{\frac{2t}{r}}^\infty \right) \left(f(s) s^{d-1} (rs)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^2+s^2}{4it}} J_\nu\left(\frac{rs}{2t}\right) \right) \, ds \\ &\triangleq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Using $|J_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ with $r \geq 1$, we obtain

$$|II| \leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\frac{2t}{r}}^\infty |f(s)| s^{d-1} \left(\frac{rs}{2t}\right)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \, ds \leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

On the other hand, by $|J_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^\nu$ with $r \leq 1$, we get for $a \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\leq Ct^{-1} \int_0^{\frac{2t}{r}} |f(s)| s^{d-1} (rs)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\frac{rs}{2t}\right)^\nu \, ds \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{2t}{r}} |f(s)| s^{d-1} \left(\frac{rs}{2t}\right)^{-\sigma} \, ds \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

while for $a < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (1+r^{-\sigma})^{-1} |I| &\leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{2t}{r}} (1+s^{-\sigma}) |f(s)| s^{d-1} \frac{t^\sigma}{(1+r^\sigma)(1+s^\sigma)} \, ds \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}} t^\sigma \|(1+|x|^{-\sigma})f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by collecting all of them, we conclude the proof of Theorem 2.8. \square

3. VARIATIONAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we carry out the variational analysis for the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, which leads naturally to the thresholds appearing in Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.1 (Sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality). *Fix $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}$ and define*

$$C_a := \sup \left\{ \|f\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} \div \left[\|f\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} \right] : f \in H_a^1 \setminus \{0\}, f \text{ radial} \right\}.$$

Then $C_a \in (0, \infty)$ and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality for radial functions

$$\|f\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} \leq C_a \|f\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} \quad (3.1)$$

is attained by a function $Q_a \in H_a^1$, which is a non-zero, non-negative, radial solution to the elliptic problem

$$-\mathcal{L}_a Q_a - Q_a + Q_a^p = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Define the functional

$$J_a(f) := \frac{\|f\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1}}{\|f\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}}, \quad \text{so that } C_a = \sup\{J_a(f) : f \in H_a^1 \setminus \{0\}, f \text{ radial}\}.$$

Note that the standard Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and the equivalence of Sobolev spaces imply $0 < C_a < \infty$.

We prove by mimicking the well-known proof for $a = 0$ and Theorem 3.1 in [10]. Take the sequence of radial functions $\{f_n\} \subset H_a^1 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $J_a(f_n) \nearrow C_a$. Choose $\mu_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $g_n(x) := \mu_n f_n(\lambda_n x)$ satisfy $\|g_n\|_{L_x^2} = \|g_n\|_{\dot{H}_a^1} = 1$. Note that $J_a(f_n) = J_a(g_n)$. As $H_{\text{rad}}^1 \hookrightarrow L_x^{p+1}$ compactly, passing to a subsequence we may assume that g_n converges to some $g \in H_a^1$ strongly in L_x^{p+1} as well as weakly in H_a^1 . As g_n is an optimizing sequence, we deduce that $C_a = \|g\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1}$. We also have that $\|g\|_{L_x^2} = \|g\|_{\dot{H}_a^1} = 1$, or else g would be a super-optimizer. Thus g is an optimizer.

The Euler–Lagrange equation for g is given by

$$-\frac{d(p-1)}{2} C_a \mathcal{L}_a g - \frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2} C_a g + (p+1)g^p = 0.$$

Thus, if we define Q_a via

$$g(x) = \alpha Q_a(\lambda x), \quad \text{with } \alpha = \left(\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2(p+1)} C_a \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{and } \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{3(p-1)}},$$

then Q_a is an optimizer of (3.1) that solves (3.2). \square

By integration by part, we easily get

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta \phi x \cdot \nabla \phi dx &= \frac{d-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi x \cdot \nabla \phi dx &= -\frac{d}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi|^2 dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \cdot \nabla \phi \phi^p dx &= -\frac{d}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple computation, we have

Lemma 3.3. *Let Q_a be the solution to $-\mathcal{L}_a Q_a - Q_a + Q_a^p = 0$. Then*

$$\|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2(p+1)} \|Q_a\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1}, \quad \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 = \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \|Q_a\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$E_a(Q_a) = \frac{dp-(d+4)}{2d(p-1)} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 = \frac{dp-(d+4)}{4(p+1)} \|Q_a\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover,

$$C_a = \frac{\|Q_a\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1}}{\|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2}} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}} = \left(\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2(p+1)} \right)^{-\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{4}} \left(\frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \right)^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{4}} \|Q_a\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{\frac{(d-1)(p^2-1)}{2}}. \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$C_a \|Q_a\|_{L^2}^{(1-s_c)(p-1)} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c(p-1)} = \frac{2(p+1)}{d(p-1)}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.4 (Coercivity). *Fix $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}$. Let $u : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the maximal-lifespan solution to (1.1) with $u(t_0) = u_0 \in H_a^1 \setminus \{0\}$ for some $t_0 \in I$. Assume that*

$$M(u_0)^{1-s_c} E_a(u_0)^{s_c} \leq (1-\delta) M(Q_a)^{1-s_c} E_a(Q_a)^{s_c} \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Then there exist $\delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0$, $c = c(\delta, a, \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}) > 0$, and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$ such that: If $\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c} \leq \|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}$, then for all $t \in I$,

- (i) $\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c} \leq (1-\delta') \|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}$,
- (ii) $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} \geq c \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2$
- (iii) $(\frac{dp-(d+4)}{2d(p-1)} + \frac{2\delta'}{3(p-1)}) \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 \leq E_a(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2$,

Proof. By the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, conservation of mass and energy, and (3.7), we may write

$$(1-\delta) M(Q_a)^{1-s_c} E_a(Q_a)^{s_c} \geq M(u)^{1-s_c} E_a(u)^{s_c} \\ \geq \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{2(1-s_c)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} C_a \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{d+2-(d-2)p}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} \right)^{s_c}$$

for any $t \in I$. Using (3.4) and (3.6), this inequality becomes

$$(1-\delta)^{\frac{1}{s_c}} \geq \frac{d(p-1)}{dp-(d+4)} \left(\frac{\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}}{\|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}} \right)^{\frac{2}{s_c}} - \frac{2}{dp-(d+4)} \left(\frac{\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}}{\|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}} \right)^{\frac{2}{s_c}(p-1)}.$$

Claims (i) now follow from a continuity argument, together with the observation that

$$(1-\delta)^{\frac{1}{s_c}} \geq \frac{d(p-1)}{dp-(d+4)} y^{\frac{2}{s_c}} - \frac{2}{dp-(d+4)} y^{\frac{2}{s_c}(p-1)} \implies |y-1| \geq \delta' \quad \text{for some } \delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0.$$

For claim (iii), the upper bound follows immediately, since the nonlinearity is focusing. For the lower bound, we again rely on the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg. Using (i) and (3.6) as well, we find

$$E_a(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 \left[1 - \frac{2}{p+1} C_a \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{(1-s_c)(p-1)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c(p-1)} \right] \\ \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 \left[1 - \frac{4}{d(p-1)} (1-\delta')^{p-1} \right] \geq \left(\frac{dp-(d+4)}{2d(p-1)} + \frac{2\delta'}{3(p-1)} \right) \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2$$

for all $t \in I$. Thus (iii) holds.

We turn to (ii). We begin by writing

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \frac{d(p-1)}{2} E_a(u) - \frac{dp-(d+4)}{4} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2, \\ (1+\varepsilon) \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \frac{d(p-1)}{2} E_a(u) - \left(\frac{dp-(d+4)}{4} - \varepsilon \right) \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2,$$

for $t \in I$. Thus (ii) follows from (iii) by choosing any $0 < c \leq \delta'$. \square

Remark 3.5. Suppose $u_0 \in H_x^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $M(u_0)^{1-s_c} E_a(u_0)^{s_c} < M(Q_a)^{1-s_c} E_a(Q_a)^{s_c}$ and $\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c} \leq \|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}$. Then by continuity, the maximal-lifespan solution u to (1.1) with initial data u_0 obeys $\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c} < \|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}$ for all t in the lifespan of u . In particular, u remains bounded in H_x^1 and hence is global.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we turn to prove Theorem 1.2. Assume that u is a solution to (1.1) satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. It follows from Remark 3.5 that u is global and satisfies the uniform bound

$$\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c} < (1 - \delta') \|Q_a\|_{L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|Q_a\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^{s_c}. \quad (4.1)$$

To show Theorem 1.2, we first establish a scattering criterion by following the argument as in [4, 15].

4.1. scattering criterion.

Lemma 4.1 (Scattering criterion). *Suppose $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a radial solution to (1.1) satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq E. \quad (4.2)$$

There exist $\epsilon = \epsilon(E) > 0$ and $R = R(E) > 0$ such that if

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| < R} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq \epsilon^2, \quad (4.3)$$

then, u scatters forward in time.

Proof. First, by interpolation with $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))}$, we only need to show that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^4([0, \infty), L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} < +\infty, \quad (4.4)$$

with $r = \frac{2d}{d-2}$. By Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding and (4.2), we have for any finite interval I ,

$$\|u\|_{L_t^4(I, L_x^r)} \leq C|I|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^1} \leq C|I|^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Thus, we are reduced to show for some $T > 0$

$$\|u\|_{L_t^4([T, \infty), L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} < +\infty. \quad (4.5)$$

By continuity argument, Strichartz estimate and Sobolev embedding, we are further reduced to show

$$\|e^{i(t-T)\mathcal{L}_a} u(T)\|_{L_t^4([T, \infty), L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \ll 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Now, let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $R \geq 1$ to be determined later. Using Duhamel formula, we can write

$$e^{i(t-T)\mathcal{L}_a} u(T) = e^{it\mathcal{L}_a} u_0 + F_1(t) + F_2(t), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$F_j(t) = i \int_{I_j} e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{L}_a} (|u|^{p-1}u)(s) ds, \quad I_1 = [0, T - \epsilon^{-\theta}], \quad I_2 = [T - \epsilon^{-\theta}, T],$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$ to be determined later. Using Sobolev embedding, Strichartz estimate, we can pick T_0 sufficiently large such that

$$\|e^{it\mathcal{L}_a}u_0\|_{L_t^4([T_0,\infty),L_x^r)} < \epsilon. \quad (4.8)$$

Estimate the term $F_1(t)$: We can rewrite $F_1(t)$ as

$$F_1(t) = e^{i(t-T+\epsilon^{-\theta})\mathcal{L}_a}[u(T-\epsilon^{-\theta})] - e^{it\mathcal{L}_a}u_0. \quad (4.9)$$

Using Strichartz estimate, we have

$$\|F_1\|_{L_t^2([T,\infty),L_x^r)} \lesssim 1. \quad (4.10)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.8, we get for $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1(t)\|_{L_x^r(|x|\leq R_1)} &\lesssim \int_{I_1} \|e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{L}_a}(|u|^{p-1}u)(s)\|_{L_x^r(|x|\leq R_1)} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{I_1} \|(1+|x|^{-\alpha_1})^{-1}e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{L}_a}(|u|^{p-1}u)(s)\|_{L_x^\infty} ds \cdot \|(1+|x|^{-\alpha_1})\|_{L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(|x|\leq R_1)} \\ &\lesssim R_1^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{I_1} |t-s|^{-\frac{d}{2}+\alpha_1} \|(1+|x|^{-\alpha_1})(|u|^{p-1}u)\|_{L_x^1} ds \\ &\lesssim R_1^{\frac{d-2}{2}} |t-T+\epsilon^{-\theta}|^{-\frac{d-2}{2}+\alpha_1} \\ &\lesssim R_1^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \epsilon^{(\frac{d-2}{2}-\alpha_1)\theta}, \quad t > T, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \geq 0 \\ \sigma & \text{if } a < 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

and we have used the estimate for $a \geq 0$

$$\||u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_x^1} \leq \|u\|_{L_x^p}^p \leq C\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1} < +\infty,$$

while for $a < 0$

$$\||x|^{-\sigma}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_x^1} \lesssim \||x|^{-\sigma}u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^{2(p-1)}}^{p-1} \lesssim \|\nabla|\sigma u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{H_x^1}^{p-1} \lesssim \|u\|_{H_x^1}^p < +\infty,$$

since $\sigma < 1$ by the assumption (1.4). When $p < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1(t)\|_{L_x^r(|x|\leq R_1)} &\lesssim \int_{I_2} \|e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{L}_a}(|u|^{p-1}u)(s)\|_{L_x^r(|x|\leq R_1)} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{I_2} \|(1+|x|^{-\alpha_1})^{-(p-1)}e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{L}_a}(|u|^{p-1}u)(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{2-p}}} ds \\ &\quad \times \|(1+|x|^{-\alpha_1})^{p-1}\|_{L_x^{\frac{2d}{pd-(d+2)}(|x|\leq R_1)}} \\ &\lesssim R_1^{\frac{pd-(d+2)}{2}} \int_{I_2} |t-s|^{-(\frac{d}{2}+\alpha_1)(p-1)} \|(1+|x|^{-\alpha_1})^{p-1}(|u|^{p-1}u)\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{p}}} ds \\ &\lesssim R_1^{\frac{pd-(d+2)}{2}} |t-T+\epsilon^{-\theta}|^{-(\frac{d}{2}+\alpha_1)(p-1)+1} \\ &\lesssim R_1^{\frac{pd-(d+2)}{2}} \epsilon^{(\frac{d}{2}+\alpha_1)(p-1)\theta-\theta}, \quad t > T, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where α_1 is as in (4.12) and we have used the estimate for $a \geq 0$

$$\||u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{p}}} \leq \|u\|_{L_x^2}^p < +\infty$$

and for $a < 0$

$$\left\| |x|^{-(p-1)\sigma} |u|^{p-1} u \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{p}}} \lesssim \left\| |x|^{-\sigma} u \right\|_{L_x^2}^{p-1} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}^p < +\infty.$$

Using (4.9), Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\|F_1(t)\|_{L_x^4(|x|\geq R_1)} \lesssim \|F_1\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \|F_1\|_{L_x^\infty(|x|\geq R_1)}^{\frac{2}{d}} \lesssim R_1^{-\frac{d-1}{d}}.$$

Therefore, by taking $R_1^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \frac{d-1}{d}} = \epsilon^{-(\frac{d-2}{2} - \alpha_1)\theta}$ for $p \geq 2$, and $R_1^{\frac{pd - (d+2)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{d}} = \epsilon^{-(\frac{d}{2} + \alpha_1)(p-1)\theta + \theta}$ for $p < 2$, we get by Hölder's inequality and (4.10)

$$\|F_1\|_{L_t^4([T, \infty), L_x^r)} \lesssim \|F_1\|_{L_t^\infty([T, \infty), L_x^r)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_1\|_{L_t^2([T, \infty), L_x^r)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \epsilon^\beta, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{2(d-1)}{d^2-2} \left(\frac{d-2}{2} - \alpha_1\right)\theta & \text{if } p \geq 2 \\ \frac{2(d-1)}{pd+d-4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{d}{2} + \alpha_1\right)(p-1)\right)\theta & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases}$$

Estimate the term $F_2(t)$. First, by (4.3), we may choose $T > T_0$

$$\int \chi_R(x) |u(T, x)|^2 dx \leq \epsilon^2, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\chi_R(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\chi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq R, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 2R. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, combining the identity $\partial_t |u|^2 = -2\nabla \cdot \text{Im}(\bar{u}\nabla u)$ and integration by parts, Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\left| \partial_t \int \chi_R(x) |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{R}.$$

Hence, choosing $R \gg \epsilon^{-2-\theta}$, we get by (4.15)

$$\|\chi_R u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \epsilon. \quad (4.16)$$

And so, by Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding and Lemma 2.4, we have for $q = \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^q(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim \epsilon^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(I_2, L_x^q)} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} \left(\|\chi_R u\|_{L_t^\infty(I_2, L_x^2)}^{\frac{2}{d+2}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}}^{\frac{d}{d+2}} + \|(1 - \chi_R)u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^{\frac{2}{d+2}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^{\frac{d}{d+2}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} \left(\epsilon^{\frac{2}{d+2}} + R^{-\frac{d-2}{d+2}} \right) \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2}{d+2} - \frac{\theta}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Strichartz estimate and continuous argument, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{1}{4}} u\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}}(I_2, L^{\frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}})} + \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}}(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 1 + |I_2|.$$

Thus, we use Sobolev embedding, Strichartz estimate, equivalence of Sobolev spaces (Lemma 2.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_2\|_{L_t^4((T,\infty),L_x^r)} &\lesssim \left\| |\nabla|^{\frac{1}{2}} F_2 \right\|_{L_t^4((T,\infty),L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-1}})} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{1}{4}} (|u|^{p-1}u) \right\|_{L_t^2(I_2, L_x^{\frac{2d}{d+2}})} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{d+2}{2}(p-1)}(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{p-1} \left\| \mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{1}{4}} u \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}}(I_2, L_x^{\frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}})} \\
&\lesssim |I_2|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} \left(\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^q(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-s_c} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}}(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{s_c} \right)^{p-1} \\
&\lesssim |I_2|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)} + \frac{d-2}{2(d+2)} s_c (p-1)} \epsilon^{\frac{4-\theta d}{2(d+2)}(1-s_c)(p-1)} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{4-\theta d}{2(d+2)}(1-s_c)(p-1) - \frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}(1+s_c(p-1))\theta} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{4-\theta d}{4(d+2)}(1-s_c)(p-1)} \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

by taking $\frac{4-\theta d}{4(d+2)}(1-s_c)(p-1) = \frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}(1+s_c(p-1))\theta$. This together with (4.7), (4.8), and (4.14) yields that

$$\|e^{i(t-T)\mathcal{L}_a} u(T)\|_{L_t^4((T,\infty),L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \epsilon + \epsilon^\beta + \epsilon^{\frac{4-\theta d}{4(d+2)}(1-s_c)(p-1)}.$$

And so (4.6) follows. Therefore, we conclude the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

4.2. Virial identities. In this section, we recall some standard virial-type identities. Given a weight $w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a solution u to (1.1), we define

$$V(t; w) := \int |u(t, x)|^2 w(x) dx.$$

Using (1.1), one finds

$$\partial_t V(t; w) = \int 2 \operatorname{Im} \bar{u} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\partial_{tt} V(t; w) = \int (-\Delta \Delta w) |u|^2 + 4 \operatorname{Re} \bar{u}_j u_k w_{jk} + 4 |u|^2 \frac{\partial x}{|x|^4} \cdot \nabla w - \frac{2(p-1)}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \Delta w dx.$$

The standard virial identity makes use of $w(x) = |x|^2$.

Lemma 4.2 (Standard virial identity). *Let u be a solution to (1.1). Then*

$$\partial_{tt} V(t; |x|^2) = 8 \left[\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right].$$

In general, we do not work with solutions for which $V(t; |x|^2)$ is finite. Thus, we need a truncated version of the virial identity (cf. [14], for example). For $R > 1$, we define $w_R(x)$ to be a smooth, non-negative radial function satisfying

$$w_R(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^2 & |x| \leq \frac{R}{2} \\ R|x| & |x| > R, \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

with

$$\partial_r w_R \geq 0, \quad \partial_r^2 w_R \geq 0, \quad |\partial^\alpha w_R(x)| \lesssim_\alpha R |x|^{-|\alpha|+1}, \quad |\alpha| \geq 1. \tag{4.20}$$

In this case, we use (4.18) to deduce the following:

Lemma 4.3 (Truncated virial identity). *Let u be a radial solution to (1.1) and let $R > 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt}V(t; w_R) &= 8 \int_{|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}} \left[|\nabla u(t)|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} |u(t)|^{p+1} \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{|x| > R} \left[4aR \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} - \frac{2(d-1)(p-1)}{p+1} \frac{R}{|x|} |u|^{p+1} + \frac{4R}{|x|} (|\nabla u|^2 - |\partial_r u|^2) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{R}{2} \leq |x| \leq R} \left[4\operatorname{Re} \partial_{jk} w_R \bar{u}_j \partial_k u + O\left(\frac{R}{|x|} |u|^{p+1} + \frac{R}{|x|^3} |u|^2\right) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by (4.20), we have that

$$\int_{\frac{R}{2} \leq |x| \leq R} \left[4\operatorname{Re} \partial_{jk} w_R \bar{u}_j \partial_k u \right] dx \geq 0.$$

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the scattering criterion (Lemma 4.1) and Hölder's inequality, Theorem 1.2 follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a sequence of times $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence of radii $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R_n} |u(t_n, x)|^{p+1} dx = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

It is easy to see that the above lemma can be derived by the following proposition (choosing T sufficiently large and $R = \max\{T^{1/3}, T^{1/p}\}$).

Proposition 4.5 (Morawetz estimate). *Let $T > 0$. For $R = R(\delta, M(u), Q_a)$ sufficiently large, we have*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq R} |u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx dt \lesssim \frac{R}{T} + \frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{1}{R^{p-1}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. First, by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt}V(t; w_R) &= 8 \int_{|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}} \left[|\nabla u(t)|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} |u(t)|^{p+1} \right] dx \quad (4.23) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x| > R} \left[4aR \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} - \frac{2(d-1)(p-1)}{p+1} \frac{R}{|x|} |u|^{p+1} + \frac{4R}{|x|} (|\nabla u|^2 - |\partial_r u|^2) \right] dx \quad (4.24) \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{R}{2} \leq |x| \leq R} \left[4\operatorname{Re} \partial_{jk} w_R \bar{u}_j \partial_k u + O\left(\frac{R}{|x|} |u|^{p+1} + \frac{R}{|x|^3} |u|^2\right) \right] dx. \quad (4.25) \end{aligned}$$

We define χ to be a smooth cutoff to the set $\{|x| \leq 1\}$ and set $\chi_R(x) = \chi(x/R)$. Note that

$$\int \chi_R^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int \left[|\nabla(\chi_R u)|^2 + \chi_R \Delta(\chi_R) |u|^2 \right] dx, \quad (4.26)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.23) &= 8 \int \chi_R^2 \left[|\nabla u(t)|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} |u(t)|^{p+1} \right] dx \\
&\quad + 8 \int (1 - \chi_R^2) \left[|\nabla u(t)|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} |u(t)|^{p+1} \right] dx \\
&= 8 \left[\|\chi_R u\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \|\chi_R u\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right] + 8 \int (1 - \chi_R^2) |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int O\left(\frac{|u|^2}{R^2}\right) dx + C \int_{|x| \geq R} |u|^{p+1} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we claim that there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\chi_R u\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 - \frac{d(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \|\chi_R u\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1} \geq c \|\chi_R u\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \quad (4.27)$$

Indeed, by (4.26), we have

$$\|\chi_R u\|_{\dot{H}_a^1}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 + O\left(\frac{M(u)}{R^2}\right),$$

and $\|\chi_R u\|_{L_x^2} \leq \|u\|_{L_x^2}$. Then, (4.27) follows by the same argument as Proposition 3.4 (ii).

Now, applying the fundamental theorem of calculus on an interval $[0, T]$, discarding the positive terms, using (4.23)-(4.27), we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\chi_R u|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |V(t, w_R)| + \int_0^T \int_{|x| \geq R} |u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx dt + \frac{T}{R^2} M(u).$$

From Hölder's inequality, (4.18) and (4.1), we get

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\partial_t V(t, w_R)| \lesssim R.$$

On the other hand, by radial Sobolev embedding, one has

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} |u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{R^{p-1}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^1}^{p-1} M(u). \quad (4.28)$$

Combining the above together, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq R} |u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx dt \lesssim \frac{R}{T} + \frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{1}{R^{p-1}},$$

which is accepted. Hence we conclude the proof of Proposition 4.5.

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. Stalker, and A.S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential*. J. Funct. Anal. **203** (2003), 519–549.
- [2] M. Christ and A. Kiselev, *Maximal functions associated to filtrations*. J. Funct. Anal. **179** (2001), 409–425.
- [3] M. Christ and M. Weinstein, *Dispersion of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation*. J. Funct. Anal. **100** (1991), 87–109.
- [4] B. Dodson and J. Murphy, *A new proof of scattering below the ground state for the 3D radial focusing NLS*. Preprint, arXiv: 1611.04195.
- [5] T. Duyckaerts, J. Holmer, and S. Roudenko, *Scattering for the non-radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), no. 6, 1233–1250.

- [6] G. Austin Ford, *The fundamental solution and Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger equation on flat euclidean cones*. Comm. Math. Phys. **299** (2010), no. 2, 447–467.
- [7] J. Holmer and S. Roudenko, *A sharp condition for scattering of the radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Comm. Math. Phys. **282** (2008), no. 2, 435–467.
- [8] R. Killip, C. Miao, M. Visan, J. Zhang, and J. Zheng, *Sobolev spaces adapted to the Schrödinger operator with inverse-square potential*. Math. Z. **288**(2018), 1273-1298.
- [9] R. Killip, C. Miao, M. Visan, J. Zhang, and J. Zheng, *The energy-critical NLS with inverse-square potential*. DCDS-A, **37**(2017), 3831-3866.
- [10] R. Killip, J. Murphy, M. Visan and J. Zheng, *The focusing cubic NLS with inverse square potential in three space dimensions*. Diff. Inte. Equ., **30**(2017), 161-206.
- [11] J. Lu, C. Miao and J. Murphy, *Scattering in H^1 for the intercritical NLS with an inverse-square potential*, J. Differential Equations, **264**(2018), 3174-3211.
- [12] V. Liskevich and Z. Sobol, *Estimates of integral kernels for semigroups associated with second order elliptic operators with singular coefficients*. Potential Anal. **18** (2003), 359–390.
- [13] P. D. Milman and Yu. A. Semenov, *Global heat kernel bounds via desingularizing weights*. J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), 373–398.
- [14] T. Ogawa and Y. Tsutsumi, *Blow-up of H^1 solution for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. J. Differ. Eqns. **92** (1991), 317–330.
- [15] T. Tao, *On the asymptotic behavior of large radial data for a focusing non-linear Schrödinger equation*. Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ., **1**(2004), 1-48.
- [16] J. Zhang and J. Zheng, *Scattering theory for nonlinear Schrödinger with inverse-square potential*, J. Funct. Anal., **267**(2014), 2907-2932.
- [17] J. Zhang and J. Zheng, *Global-in-time Strichartz estimates and cubic Schrödinger equation on metric cone*, arXiv: 1702.05813.

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED PHYSICS AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, BEIJING 100088, CHINA
E-mail address: zhengjiquang@gmail.com