QUASI-COMPLEMENTARY FOLIATIONS AND THE MATHER-THURSTON THEOREM

Abstract. We establish a form of the h-principle for the existence of foliations quasi-complementary to a given one; the same methods also provide a proof of the classical Mather-Thurston theorem.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Quasi-complementary foliations. Given, on a manifold $M$, a dimension-$q$ foliation $\mathcal{F}$, the existence of a foliation $\mathcal{G}$ complementary to $\mathcal{F}$ (that is, $\mathcal{G}$ is of codimension $q$ and transverse to $\mathcal{F}$) is of course in general an intractable problem. In this paper, we weaken the transversality condition, prescribing a simple (and classical) model for the tangentialities between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ which implies that $\mathcal{G}$ is a limit of plane fields complementary to $\mathcal{F}$ but themselves not necessarily integrable. We establish, when $q \geq 2$, a form of Gromov’s h-principle for such “quasi-complementary” foliations.

For a very elementary example similar to what we call quasi-complementarity, although here $q = 1$, consider the Hopf foliation of the 3-sphere $S^3$ by circles: of course, it admits no complementary foliation; however, the sphere has a Reeb foliation which is complementary to the circles but on its unique compact leaf, which is tangential to them. Moreover, this Reeb foliation is a limit of 2-plane fields complementary to the circles, provided that one makes its two Reeb components turbulize in appropriate directions.

The models for the tangentialities are classical, being nothing but W. Thurston’s constructions to fill holes in codimensions 2 and more [25]. To fix ideas, the smooth ($C^\infty$) differentiability class is understood everywhere, unless otherwise specified. On the interval $I := [0, 1]$, fix a smooth increasing real function $r \mapsto u(r)$ such that $u$ is flat on 0 at 0, and $u(r) + u(1-r) = 1$. Write $D^n$ (resp. $S^{n-1}$) for the compact unit ball (resp. sphere) in $\mathbb{R}^n$; endow $D^2$ with the polar coordinates $\rho, \theta$; endow $S^1$ with the coordinate $s$; on $D^2 \times S^1$, one has the smooth 1-parameter family $(\omega_r)_{r \in I}$ of smooth nonsingular integrable 1-forms defined for $0 \leq r \leq 1/2$ by

$$\omega_r := u(1-2\rho)ds + u(2\rho)d\rho$$
Figure 1.

(\{\rho \leq 1/2\}) and
\[ \omega_r := u(2-2\rho)d\rho + u(2\rho - 1)(ds - u(1-2r)d\theta) \]
(on \{\rho \geq 1/2\}); while for for \(1/2 \leq r \leq 1:\)
\[ \omega_r := u(2-2r)\omega_{1/2} + u(2r - 1)ds \]
(Figure 1). Fix \(p, q \geq 2\). For every closed \((p-2)\)-fold \(\Sigma\), we define the
\textit{multifold Reeb component of core} \(\Sigma\) as the \((p+q)\)-fold
\[ C_{\Sigma} := \Sigma \times D^2 \times D^{q-1} \times S^1 \]
(whose projection to the \(i\)-th factor, \(1 \leq i \leq 4\), will be denoted by \(pr_i\))
edowed with two foliations:
- The dimension-\(q\) foliation \(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\) parallel to the factor \(D^{q-1} \times S^1\);
- The codimension-\(q\) foliation \(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}\) obtained by endowing, for every
  \(a \in D^{q-1}\), the fibre \(pr_3^{-1}(a)\) with its codimension-1 foliation
  pullback of the 1-form \(\omega_{|a|}\) under \(pr_2 \times pr_4\).

\textsc{Definition 1.1.} On a \((p+q)\)-fold \(M\), the codimension-\(q\) foliation \(\mathcal{G}\) is
\textit{quasi-complementary} to the dimension-\(q\) foliation \(\mathcal{F}\) if they are transverse but maybe for finitely many disjoint multifold Reeb components
\(C_{\Sigma} \hookrightarrow M\), in which \(\mathcal{F}\) (resp. \(\mathcal{G}\)) coincides with \(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\) (resp. \(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}\)).
The components may have different cores; one can of course also consider the union of the components as one component whose core may be not connected. Note that $G$ is complementary to $F$ on $M$ but on the subset defined in each component $C$ by $\rho \circ pr_2 = 1/2$ and $\|pr_3\| \leq 1/2$, which is a hypersurface tangential to $F$. Also, it is easily verified that $G$ is a limit of codimension-$q$ plane fields complementary to $F$ on $M$, in the $C^\infty$ topology.

Recall the Foliation theorem in codimensions 2 and more, also known as “h-principle for foliations on closed manifolds” ([25], see also [3] and [21]). The elements on Haefliger structures, their regularity, their differential, their concordances and their relations to foliations will be recalled on Paragraph 2 below. We use the notation $\mathcal{O}_p X(Y)$ for “some open neighborhood of $Y$ in $X$”.

**Theorem 1.2 (Thurston).** On a compact manifold $M$, let $\nu$ be a real vector bundle of rank $q \geq 2$, let $\gamma$ be a $\Gamma_q$-structure whose normal bundle is $\nu$, and let $\omega$ be a 1-form valued in $\nu$ of constant rank $q$; assume that $d\gamma = \omega$ on $\mathcal{O}_p M(\partial M)$.

Then, $M$ admits a regular $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma'$ of normal bundle $\nu$ such that:

- $\gamma' = \gamma$ on $\mathcal{O}_p M(\partial M)$, and $\gamma'$ is concordant to $\gamma$ on $M$ (rel. $\partial M$);
- $d\gamma'$ is homotopic to $\omega$ on $M$ (rel. $\partial M$) among the 1-forms valued in $\nu$ of constant rank $q$.

Our main result is a refinement of this classical one for a manifold already foliated.

**Theorem A.** On a compact manifold $M$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of dimension $q \geq 2$, let $\gamma$ be a $\Gamma_q$-structure whose normal bundle is $\tau \mathcal{F}$, and let $\omega$ be a 1-form valued in $\tau \mathcal{F}$ such that $\omega|\tau \mathcal{F}$ is of constant rank $q$; assume that $d\gamma = \omega$ on $\mathcal{O}_p M(\partial M)$.

Then, $M$ admits a regular $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma'$ of normal bundle $\tau \mathcal{F}$ such that:

1. $\gamma' = \gamma$ on $\mathcal{O}_p M(\partial M)$, and $\gamma'$ is concordant to $\gamma$ on $M$ (rel. $\partial M$);
2. $d\gamma'$ is homotopic to $\omega$ on $M$ (rel. $\partial M$) among the 1-forms valued in $\tau \mathcal{F}$ of constant rank $q$;
3. The foliation induced by $\gamma'$ is quasi-complementary to $\mathcal{F}$ on $M$.

Notes —

- i) We put no restriction on the position of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to $\partial M$.
- ii) Theorem A holds in every differentiability class $C^r$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, with the same proof. A parametric form would also hold.
iii) By construction, for \( p := \text{codim}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2 \), the core \( \Sigma \) of each multifold Reeb component will be a bisphere \( S^{i-1} \times S^{p-i-1} \), with \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \). One can if one likes better, by a trick due to Thurston, arrange that \( \Sigma \) is the \((p-2)\)-torus \([27]\); or, for \( p \geq 3 \), that \( \Sigma = S^1 \times S^{p-3} \) \([19]\).

iv) This result and our proof also hold for \( p = 1 \), in which case there exist no multifold Reeb components at all, hence “quasi-complementary” means “complementary”. Of course, it is not a great deal to produce a foliation complementary to a given codimension-1 foliation; however, the point is that there is one in every concordance class of \( \Gamma_q \)-structures whose normal bundle is \( \tau_{\mathcal{F}} \); and this holds in every differentiability class, including \( C^{q+1} \).

v) Denote, as usual, by \( B\Gamma^r_q \) (resp. \( B\bar{\Gamma}^r_q \)) the Haefliger classifying space for the \( \Gamma \)-structures (resp. parallelized \( \Gamma \)-structures) of codimension \( q \) and differentiability class \( C^r \). One has in particular the following corollaries of Theorem A, since \( B\Gamma^1_q \) is contractible \([28]\) and since \( B\bar{\Gamma}^\infty_q \) is \((q+1)\)-connected \([26]\).

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( C^\infty \) foliation of dimension at least 2 on a closed manifold.

Then, \( \mathcal{F} \) admits a quasi-complementary foliation of class \( C^1 \). If moreover the codimension of \( \mathcal{F} \) is 2, or if the bundle tangent to \( \mathcal{F} \) is trivializable, then \( \mathcal{F} \) admits a quasi-complementary foliation of class \( C^\infty \).

On the proof — Theorem A is better established under a version \( A' \) producing a foliation \( \mathcal{G} \) with holes (see paragraph 3) instead of multifold Reeb components; the holes have a product structure \( D^2 \times \Sigma \times D^q \); in that frame, quasi-complementarity means that outside the holes, \( \mathcal{G} \) is complementary to \( \mathcal{F} \), and that inside the holes, the slices parallel to \( D^q \) are plaques of \( \mathcal{F} \). For \( q \geq 2 \), the versions A and \( A' \) are straightforwardly equivalent to each other through Thurston’s method to fill the holes; but \( A' \) also holds in codimension \( q = 1 \).

To prove \( A' \), the problem is translated, using the Gromov-Phillips-Haefliger Foliation theorem on open manifolds, into an extension problem whose proof falls to an adaptation of the original “inflation” process that Thurston introduced to prove Theorem 1.2. We feel that the present work illustrates the power and the accuracy, in the frame of Gromov’s h-principle, of the tools that Thurston left to us after his early works on foliations.

Note — Recall that Theorem 1.2 was reproved by Eliashberg-Mishachev as an application of their theory of wrinkles \([3]\). Our Theorem \( A' \) also admits an alternative proof, more technical than the one given
in the present paper, where one first uses the ideas of [2] to reduce
the general case to a parametric form of the case \( q = 1 \); then, that
codimension-1 case is solved with the help of the cancellation tool in-
troduced in [19] for pairs of Morse singularities in \( \Gamma_1 \)-structures.

### 1.2. A proof of the Mather-Thurston theorem.

A second application of our method deals with the construction of foliated products.
In the case where the given foliation \( \mathcal{F} \) is a product foliation, we shall
get full complementarity at the price of modifying the base factor of
the product by some surgeries; in other words we give a proof of the
classical Mather-Thurston theorem [25], by means of a geometric con-
bstruction pertaining to the h-principle.

See [13] for J. Mather’s proof in codimension 1; see [14], pp. 79–80,
for a mention of Thurston’s three proofs, of which the first seems to be
lost; see [14][15][16][17][24] for the two other proofs.

Precisely, fix a manifold \( X \) (compact or noncompact, and, to fix
ideas, without boundary) of dimension \( q \geq 1 \). For a compact oriented
\( p \)-fold \( V \) maybe with smooth boundary (\( p \geq 0 \)), say that a codimension-
\( q \) foliation, or a \( \Gamma_q \)-structure, on \( V \times X \), is horizontal at infinity
if it coincides with the slice foliation parallel to \( V \), but maybe on some
compact subset. Recall that a foliated \( X \)-product over \( V \) means a
codimension-\( q \) foliation on \( V \times X \) complementary to the fibres \( v \times X \)
and horizontal at infinity. We use the notation \( \hat{V} \subset V \times I \) for the
union of \( V \times 0 \) with \( \partial V \times I \). An oriented cobordism \((V,W,V')\) rel. \( \partial V \)
means as usual a compact oriented \( p \)-fold \( V' \) such that \( \partial V' \cong \partial V \) and a
compact oriented \((p+1)\)-fold \( W \) bounded by \( -\hat{V} \cup_{\partial V} V' \). We write \( pr_2 \)
for the projections \( V \times X \to X \) and \( W \times X \to X \); we write \( pr_1 \times pr_3 \)
for the projection \( V \times I \times X \to V \times X \).

We shall prove:

**Theorem 1.4 (Mather-Thurston, version “for geometrically minded
topologists”).** Let \( V \) be a compact oriented \( p \)-fold; let \( \gamma \) be a \( \Gamma_q \)-structure
on \( V \times X \), of normal bundle \( pr_2^*(\tau X) \), horizontal at infinity, and inducing a foliated \( X \)-product over \( \partial V \).

Then, there are an oriented cobordism \((V,W,V')\) rel. \( \partial V \) and a \( \Gamma_q \)-structure
on \( W \times X \), of normal bundle \( pr_2^*(\tau X) \), horizontal at infinity, coinciding with \((pr_1 \times pr_3)^*(\gamma)\) on \( \hat{V} \times X \), and inducing a foliated \( X \)-product over \( V' \).

In order to get the classical version of the theorem, consider:
- The group \( \text{Diff}_c(X) \) of the compactly supported diffeomorphisms
  of \( X \), endowed with the smooth topology; the same group \( \text{Diff}_c(X)_d \)
  with the discrete topology; the homotopy-theoretic fibre \( \text{Diff}_c(X) \) of
the identity map $\text{Diff}_c(X)_\delta \to \text{Diff}_c(X)$; and the classifying space $B\text{Diff}_c(X)$; recall that $B\text{Diff}_c(X)$ classifies the foliated $X$-products;

- The set $\Gamma_c(\tau X)$ of the $\Gamma_q$-structures on $X$ whose normal bundle is $\tau X$ and which coincide at infinity with the foliation $\mathcal{X}$ of $X$ by points, endowed with the fine topology. Equivalently, if we realize $B\Gamma_q$ as a fibred space over $BO_q$ with fibre $B\bar{\Gamma}_q$, we can see $\Gamma_c(\tau X)$ as the space of the maps $f : X \to B\Gamma_q$ such that $f$ lifts the map $X \to BO_q$ that classifies $\tau X$, and such that $f$ classifies $\mathcal{X}$ at infinity. In particular, for $X = \mathbb{R}^q$, the space $\Gamma_c(\tau \mathbb{R}^q)$ coincides with the $q$-th loop space $\Omega^q(B\bar{\Gamma}_q)$;

- The map $B\text{Diff}_c(X) \to \Gamma_c(\tau X)$ that classifies the $\Gamma_q$-structure of the total space of the universal $X$-product [13][20].

Theorem 1.4 amounts to say that $c$ induces an isomorphism in oriented bordism. Equivalently, by the “Hurewicz theorem for bordism groups” ([1], see also [5], appendix B), $c$ induces an isomorphism in integral homology. That last wording is the classical one.

Jenseits des Homotopieprinzips — We would like to introduce the “c-principle”, with a “c” for ”cobordism”. Recall that Gromov’s famous $h$-principle holds for a problem when every “formal solution” is homotopic to a genuine solution through the formal solutions. In the same way, let us say that the $c$-principle holds when every formal solution is cobordant to a genuine solution through the formal solutions. As far as the author knows, three existence results pertain to the $c$-principle: the Mather-Thurston theorem for foliated products, the Madsen-Weiss theorem for fibrations whose fibres are surfaces (see [5]), and the realization of taut compactly generated pseudogroups by foliations of dimension 2 and codimension 1 [18]. It could be interesting to understand some general conditions under which the c-principle applies.

2. HAEFLIGER STRUCTURES

Recall A. Haefliger’s notion of $\Gamma_q$-structure [9][10][11][12], under the form of microfoliated bundle (this form was introduced in [10]; see also [20]).

We denote every real vector bundle $\nu$ over a manifold $M$ as $\nu = (E, \pi, Z)$ where $E$ is the total space, $\pi : E \to M$ is the projection, and $Z : M \to E$ is the zero section.

2.0.1. Definition. A $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma$ on $M$ is given by

- A real vector bundle $\nu = (E, \pi, Z)$ of rank $q$ over $M$;
- An open neighborhood $U$ of $Z(M)$ in $E$;
- On $U$, a codimension-$q$ foliation $\mathcal{M}$ transverse to every fibre.
One calls $\nu$ the *normal bundle*, and $\mathcal{M}$ the *microfoliation*. One regards two $\Gamma_q$-structures as equal if they have the same normal bundle and if their microfoliations coincide on some open neighborhood of the zero section; in other words, $\gamma$ is the germ of $\mathcal{M}$ along $Z(M)$; we shall also denote $\gamma$ by $[\nu, U, \mathcal{M}]$.

A $\bar{\Gamma}_q$-structure means a $\Gamma_q$-structure whose normal bundle is $M \times \mathbb{R}^q$.

### 2.0.2. Differential

For a $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma = [E, \pi, Z, U, \mathcal{M}]$ on $M$, let us define its *differential* $d\gamma$, which is a differential 1-form on $M$ valued in the normal bundle. For every $x \in M$, the space tangent to $E$ at $Z(x)$ splits naturally as the product of $\tau_x M$ by the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$; under this splitting, the space tangent to $\mathcal{M}$ at $Z(x)$ corresponds to the graph of minus $d_x \gamma$.

### 2.0.3. Pullback

Given a $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma = [\nu, U, \mathcal{M}]$ on $M$, and given a smooth mapping $f : N \to M$, one defines a pullback $\Gamma_q$-structure $f^*(\gamma)$ on $N$ whose normal bundle is the usual pullback bundle $f^*(\nu)$, and whose microfoliation is the preimage of $\mathcal{M}$ under the canonical bundle morphism $f^*(\nu) \to \nu$.

### 2.0.4. Isomorphisms

Milnor’s notion of microbundle (here smooth) is the natural one for the bundle normal to a Haefliger structure. For simplicity, one rather speaks in terms of vector bundle; but this underlying fact is reflected in the morphisms that one admits. It is enough to define isomorphisms.

Let $\gamma = [E, \pi, Z, U, \mathcal{M}]$ and $\gamma' = [E', \pi', Z', U', \mathcal{M}']$ be two $\Gamma_q$-structures on $M$. We define an *isomorphism* between $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ as a germ of diffeomorphism $\varphi : U \to U'$ along $Z(M)$ such that $Z' = \varphi \circ Z$ and $\pi = \pi' \circ \varphi$ and $\mathcal{M} = \varphi^*(\mathcal{M}')$. Note that $\varphi$ is not necessarily linear in the fibres, and that $\varphi$ covers the identity of $M$.

If moreover the normal bundles $(E, \pi, Z)$ and $(E', \pi', Z')$ are equal and if the differential of $\varphi$ at every point of $Z(M)$ is the identity, we call $\varphi$ a *special isomorphism* between $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$.

### 2.0.5. Concordance

A *concordance*, also known as a *homotopy*, between two $\Gamma_q$-structures $\gamma_0$, $\gamma_1$ on $M$ which have the same normal bundle $\nu$, is a $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma$ on $M \times [0, 1]$ whose normal bundle is $pr_1^*(\nu)$ and such that $\gamma|(M \times i) = \gamma_i$, for $i = 0, 1$.

For example, any two specially isomorphic $\Gamma_q$-structures are concordant.
2.0.6. Regular Haefliger structures and foliations. A $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma = [E, \pi, Z, U, \mathcal{M}]$ on $M$ is called regular at a point $x$ if $d\gamma$ is of maximal rank $q$ at $x$; in other words, $Z$ is transverse to $\mathcal{M}$ at $x$. If $\gamma$ is regular on $M$, then it induces a codimension-$q$ foliation $Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ on $M$.

Conversely, given a codimension-$q$ foliation $\mathcal{G}$ on $M$, and choosing a Riemannian metric on $M$, there corresponds the regular $\Gamma_q$-structure $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ whose normal bundle is $\tau M/\tau \mathcal{G}$ and whose microfoliation is $\exp^*(\mathcal{G})$, where $\exp$ is the exponential map $\tau M \to M$ restricted to the subbundle $\tau \mathcal{G} \cong \tau M/\tau \mathcal{G}$. Note that $d\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the canonical projection $\tau M \to \tau M/\tau \mathcal{G}$.

It is easily verified that any two regular $\Gamma_q$-structures on $M$ with the same normal bundle and the same differential are specially isomorphic. One thus gets a one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of regular $\Gamma_q$-structures on $M$, and the codimension-$q$ foliations on $M$. One can speak of the concordance class of a foliation $\mathcal{G}$ and of the differential $d\mathcal{G}$.

3. Holes

We shall actually prove a form $A'$ of Theorem A dealing with holes instead of multifold Reeb components; this form holds as well for $q = 1$.

The holes are the classical ones, ubiquitous in the theory of foliations since Thurston's works [25][27].

We denote by $\text{Diff}(D^q)$ the group of the diffeomorphisms of the compact $q$-disk whose support is contained in the interior; by $\text{Diff}(D^q)_0$ its identity component; by $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(D^q)_0$ the universal cover; fix an element $\tilde{\varphi} \in \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(D^q)_0$ whose image $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(D^q)_0$ is not the identity.

**Definition 3.1.** The *model $\tilde{\varphi}$-hole* consists in the compact manifold $H_{\text{mod}} := D^2 \times D^q$ together with a codimension-$q$ foliation $\mathcal{H}_{\text{mod}}(\tilde{\varphi})$ on $\partial p_{H_{\text{mod}}} (\partial H_{\text{mod}})$ such that

- $\mathcal{H}_{\text{mod}}(\tilde{\varphi})$ coincides with the slice foliation parallel to $D^2$ in $D^2 \times \partial p_{D^q} (\partial D^q)$;
- $\mathcal{H}_{\text{mod}}(\tilde{\varphi})$ induces the suspension of $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\partial D^2 \times D^q$.

**Definition 3.2.** For every compact manifold $\Sigma$ (not necessarily connected), the *standard $\tilde{\varphi}$-hole of core $\Sigma$* consists in the manifold $H_{\Sigma} := \Sigma \times D^2 \times D^q$ together with the codimension-$q$ foliation

$\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\tilde{\varphi}) := (\text{pr}_2 \times \text{pr}_3)^* (\mathcal{H}_{\text{mod}}(\tilde{\varphi}))$

on $\partial p_{H_{\Sigma}} (\Sigma \times \partial (D^2 \times D^q))$. 

DEFINITION 3.3. On an $m$-fold $M$, a $\tilde{\varphi}$-holed $\Gamma_q$-structure is a triple $(\Sigma, h, \gamma)$ such that

- $\Sigma$ is a compact $(m-q-2)$-fold, maybe empty;
- $h : H_\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ is an embedding and $h^{-1}(\partial M) = \partial \Sigma \times \mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{D}^q$;
- $\gamma$ is a $\Gamma_q$-structure defined on $\mathcal{O} p_M(M \setminus \text{Int}(h(H_\Sigma)))$;
- $h^*(\gamma)$ coincides with $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\tilde{\varphi})$ on $\mathcal{O} p_{H_\Sigma}(\Sigma \times \partial (\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{D}^q))$.

A $\tilde{\varphi}$-holed $\Gamma_q$-structure has a normal bundle (resp. a differential) defined on the all of $M$: the normal bundle (resp. differential) of $\gamma$ is extended over the holes by the bundle tangent (resp. by the projection) to the $\mathbb{D}^q$ factor.

If $\gamma$ is moreover regular on $M \setminus H$, one speaks of a $\tilde{\varphi}$-holed foliation.

DEFINITION 3.4. One calls the $\tilde{\varphi}$-holed foliation $(\Sigma, h, \gamma)$ quasi-complementary to the dimension-$q$ foliation $F$ on $M$ if $\gamma$ is complementary to $F$ on $M \setminus h(H_\Sigma)$ and if $h^*(F)$ is the dimension-$q$ slice foliation of $H_\Sigma$ parallel to $\mathbb{D}^q$.

THEOREM A'. Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be as above. On a compact manifold $M$, let $F$ be a foliation of dimension $q \geq 1$, let $\gamma$ be a $\Gamma_q$-structure whose normal bundle is $\tau F$, and let $\omega$ be a 1-form valued in $\tau F$ such that $\omega|\tau F$ is of constant rank $q$, and such that $d\gamma = \omega$ on $\mathcal{O} p_M(\partial M)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the dimension-$q$ foliation on $M \times I$ parallel to $F$.

Then, $M \times I$ admits a $\tilde{\varphi}$-holed $\Gamma_q$-structure $(\Sigma, h, \tilde{\gamma})$ of normal bundle $\tau \mathcal{F}$, such that:

i) $h(H_\Sigma)$ is disjoint from $\hat{M}$, and $\tilde{\gamma} = \text{pr}_1^*(\gamma)$ on $\mathcal{O} p_{M \times I}(\hat{M})$;

ii) The triple $(\partial \Sigma, h|_{\partial \Sigma}, \gamma|(M \times 1))$ is on $M \times I \cong M$ a $\tilde{\varphi}$-holed foliation quasi-complementary to $\mathcal{F}$; moreover its differential is homotopic (rel. $\partial M$) to $\omega$ through the 1-forms valued in $\tau \mathcal{F}$ of constant rank $q$ on $\tau \mathcal{F}$.

Moreover, in the case where $M$ is a product $V \times X$ and where $F$ is its slice foliation parallel to $X$, one can arrange that (iii) for every connected component $\Sigma_i$ of $\Sigma$, the embedding $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow M \times I$ through $h$ covers an embedding $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow V \times I$.

The proof will be given in Paragraph 4.

Theorem A follows from A' by Thurston’s hole-filling method in codimension larger than one [25]; for completeness, we now recall the construction.

Let $M, q, \mathcal{F}, \gamma$ be as in Theorem A. Fix an embedding $F$ of $\mathbb{D}^{q-1} \times S^1$ into the interior of $\mathbb{D}^q$. Recall the function $u$ of the introduction; consider the path of diffeomorphisms $(\varphi_t)_{t \in I}$, with $\varphi_t \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{D}^q)$, defined
\[ \varphi_t(F(a, s)) := F(a, s + 2\pi tu(1 - 2|a|)) \]

for every \( a \in \mathbf{D}^{q-1} \) such that \(|a| \leq 1/2 \) and every \( s \in \mathbf{S}^1 \cong \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \); and \( \varphi_t \) is the identity on the rest of \( \mathbf{D}^q \). This path represents an element \( \tilde{\varphi} \) of \( \tilde{\text{Diff}}(\mathbf{D}^q) \).

Fill the hole \( h(H_{\Sigma}) \) of \( \bar{\gamma} \), identified with \( \Sigma \times \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{D}^q \), with the foliation \( H \) equal to the foliation \( H_{\Sigma} \) of the introduction in \( \Sigma \times (\mathbf{D}^2 \times \text{Im}(F)) \cong C_{\Sigma} \), and equal to the slice foliation parallel to \( \Sigma \times \partial(\mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{D}^q) \). Hence, one gets a (nonholed) \( \bar{\Gamma} \)-structure on \( M \times I \) which is a concordance rel. \( \partial M \) between \( \gamma \) and a regular \( \Gamma \)-structure \( \gamma' \) on \( M \). By (ii) of Theorem A’ and by the above construction filling the hole, \( \gamma' \) matches the properties (2) and (3) of Theorem A.

4. Proof of Theorem A’.

In a first time, we only pay attention to the properties (i)–(ii); for (iii), see the end of the proof of Proposition 4.2.

A first part of the proof of Theorem A’ will solve the problem on a small neighborhood of the codimension-1 skeleton of a triangulation of a large part of \( M \); this is an application of Thurston’s Jiggling lemma \[25\] together with the Gromov-Phillips-Haefliger Foliation theorem on open manifolds \[7\][8][11][22][23], under its parametric form (today, this parametric form can be obtained as an application of the Eliashberg-Mishachev Holonomic Approximation theorem \[4\]).

Let \( M, \gamma, \omega \) be as in Theorem A’. By the Jiggling lemma, one has a compact domain \( D \subset \text{Int}(M) \), large enough that \( \omega = d\gamma \) holds on an open neighborhood of \( M \setminus \text{Int}(D) \), and a triangulation \( K \) of \( D \) which is in general position, in Thurston’s sense, with respect to \( \mathcal{T} \); we need only to recall that every simplex \( S \) of \( K \) is transverse to \( \mathcal{T} \) (for \( \text{dim}(S) < p \), “transverse” here means that there is no tangency) and that, for \( \text{dim}(S) \geq p \), the foliation \( \mathcal{T}|\text{Int}(S) \) is conjugate to the standard linear codimension-\( p \) foliation on \( \mathbf{R}^{\text{dim}(S)} \).

Consider a simplex \( S \) of \( K \) not contained in \( \partial D \); by induction on the dimension \( d \) of \( S \), assume that \( \omega = d\gamma \) already holds on some neighborhood \( U \) of \( \partial S \) in \( M \). There are two cases.

In case \( d \leq p \), one has an embedding \( e \) of \( S \times \mathbf{D}^{p-d} \times \mathbf{D}^q \) in a small neighborhood of \( S \) such that

- \( e(x, 0, 0) = x \) for every \( x \in S \);
- \( e \) maps \( \partial S \times \mathbf{D}^{p-d} \times \mathbf{D}^q \) into \( U \);
- \( e^*(\mathcal{F}) \) is the slice foliation on \( S \times \mathbf{D}^{p-d} \times \mathbf{D}^q \) parallel to the \( \mathbf{D}^q \) factor.
By the parametric Foliation theorem on open manifolds applied to the open manifold $D^q$, the space of parameters being $S \times D^{p-d}$, after a concordance of $\gamma$ and a homotopy of $\omega$, both supported in a small neighborhood of $S$ and relative to a small neighborhood of $\partial S$, one gets $\omega = d\gamma$ on some neighborhood of $S$.

In case $d \geq p$, one has an embedding $e$ of $D^p \times D^{d-p} \times D^{p+q-d}$ in a small neighborhood of $S$ such that

- $e^{-1}(S) = D^p \times D^{d-p} \times 0$;
- $e$ maps $\partial(D^p \times D^{d-p}) \times D^{p+q-d}$ into $U$;
- $U \cup e(D^p \times D^{d-p} \times D^{p+q-d})$ is a neighborhood of $S$;
- $e^*(\mathcal{F})$ is the slice foliation on $D^p \times D^{d-p} \times D^{p+q-d}$ parallel to the $D^{d-p} \times D^{p+q-d}$ factor.

By the parametric Foliation theorem on open manifolds applied to the open manifold $D^{d-p} \times D^{p+q-d}$, the space of parameters being $D^p$, after a concordance of $\gamma$ and a homotopy of $\omega$, both supported in a small neighborhood of $S$ and relative to a small neighborhood of $\partial S$, one gets $\omega = d\gamma$ on some neighborhood of $S$.

It remains to extend the construction through the interior of each $(p+q)$-simplex. In other words, the proof of Theorem A’ is reduced to the case where $M := D^p \times D^q$, and where $\mathcal{F}$ is the slice foliation parallel to $D^q$. We restrict the attention to this case.

One considers in $\hat{M} := M \times I$ the subsets

$$A := (S^{p-1} \times D^q \times I) \cup (D^p \times S^{q-1} \times 1)$$

$$\hat{M} := (M \times 0) \cup (\partial M \times I)$$

One considers on $\hat{M}$ the dimension-$q$ slice foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ parallel to $D^q$; the $\Gamma_p$-structure $\text{pr}^*_1(\gamma)$, where $\text{pr}^*_1 : M \times I \to M$ is the first projection; and the $\mathbb{R}^q$-valued 1-form $\text{pr}^*_1(\omega)$ of constant rank $q$ on $\tau \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

**Claim 4.1.** There is on $\mathcal{O}_{pM}(\hat{M})$ a foliation $\mathcal{G}$ complementary to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ there, induced by $\text{pr}^*_1(\gamma)$ on $\mathcal{O}_{pM}(A)$, concordant to $\text{pr}^*_1(\gamma)$ (rel. $A$), and whose differential is homotopic to $\text{pr}^*_1(\omega)$ among the $\mathbb{R}^q$-valued 1-forms of rank $q$ on $\tau \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ (rel. $A$).

**Proof.** This foliation will be obtained by two more applications of the parametric Gromov-Phillips-Haefliger Foliation theorem on open manifolds. First, the Foliation theorem is applied to $\gamma$ and $\omega$; the open manifold is $D^q$ and the space of parameters is $D^p$; one gets on $M \cong M \times 0$ a foliation $\mathcal{G}_0$ complementary to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ there, induced by $\gamma$ on $\mathcal{O}_{pM \times 0}(S^{p-1} \times D^q \times 0)$, concordant to $\gamma$ (rel. $S^{p-1} \times D^q \times 0$), and whose differential $d\mathcal{G}_0$ is homotopic to $\omega$ among the forms of rank $q$ on $\tau \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ (rel. $S^{p-1} \times D^q \times 0$).
Next, simply by the Homotopy Extension property, \( G_0 \) (resp. \( dG_0 \)) is extended over \( \partial_{\hat{M}}(M) \) by a \( \hat{\Gamma}_q \)-structure \( \hat{\gamma} \) (resp. a form \( \hat{\omega} \) of rank \( q \) on \( \tau_{\hat{F}} \)), induced by \( \text{pr}^*_1(\gamma) \) on \( \partial_{\hat{M}}(A) \), concordant to \( \text{pr}^*_1(\gamma) \) (resp. homotopic to \( \text{pr}^*_1(\omega) \)) among the forms of rank \( q \) on \( \tau_{\hat{F}} \) rel. \( A \).

The second application is to \( \hat{\gamma} \) and \( \hat{\omega} \); now, the open manifold is the corona \( S^{q-1} \times I \), seen as a small collar neighborhood of \( \partial D^q \) in \( D^q \); and the space of parameters is \( D^p \times I \).

Note that the position of \( G \) with respect to \( D^p \times S^{q-1} \times I \) is arbitrary. The claim reduces Theorem A’ to the following extension property in product manifolds. Recall \( M, \hat{M}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{F} \) as they were fixed just before the claim; let \( \tilde{\phi} \) be as in Theorem A’.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a germ of foliation complementary to \( \hat{\mathcal{F}} \). Then, \( \mathcal{G} \) extends to all of \( \hat{M} \) as a \( \tilde{\phi} \)-holed foliation quasi-complementary to \( \hat{\mathcal{F}} \).

Here, the fact that disks are disks is actually unimportant: the same result would hold for any two compact manifolds instead of \( D^p \) and \( D^q \), with the same proof.

**Proof.** The most part of \( \hat{M} \) will be decomposed into “prisms”, each of which will be an embedding, over a small simplex \( \alpha \subset D^p \times I \), of the product of \( \alpha \) by a large compact \( q \)-ball \( F \subset D^q \); the embedding of \( \alpha \times \partial F \) being tangential to \( \mathcal{G} \). Then, we shall adapt Thurston’s inflation method [25] to this prismatic cellulation: the prisms will be foliated (with holes) one after the other, climbing up a collapse of \( D^p \times I \) onto \( (D^p \times 0) \cup (S^{p-1} \times I) \). We give the details since our frame is not exactly the same as Thurston’s; and since the description in [25] has been considered difficult or even not fully convincing (it is of course not the case); and since we feel that “inflation” deserves to be used more widely as a general method in the h-principle, which it has not been since the fundamental papers [25][27].

Denote by \( \tilde{\pi} : \hat{M} \to D^p \times I \) the projection of \( D^p \times D^q \times I \) to its first and third factors. In \( \mathbb{R}^q \), denote by \( D_r \) (resp. \( S_r \)) (resp. \( C_r \)) the compact disk (resp. sphere) (resp. corona) defined by \(|y| \leq r \) (resp. \(|y| = r \)) (resp. \( r \leq |y| \leq 1 \)).

The foliation \( \mathcal{G} \) is defined, for some \( \epsilon > 0 \), on the open \((2\epsilon)\)-neighborhood of \( \partial M \times I \), and complementary to \( \hat{\mathcal{F}} \) here.

Consider the partially defined mondromy of \( \mathcal{G} \). Precisely, endow \( D^p \times I \) with an auxiliary Riemannian metric; for a path \( u : I \to D^p \times I \) and for \( y \in D^q \), denote by \((u(1), h_u(y)) \in (D^p \times I) \times D^q \) the extremity of the path (if any) in \( \hat{M} \) originated at \((u(0), y)\), lifting \( u \) through \( \tilde{\pi} \),
and tangential to $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\delta > 0$ be so small that this monodromy is defined whenever the length $|u|$ is at most $2\delta$ and $y \in S_{1-\epsilon}$.

Of course, as $|u|$ goes to 0, the embedding $h_u$ of the hypersphere $S_{1-\epsilon}$ into $D^q$ goes to the identity in the $C^1$ topology, uniformly with respect to $u$; fix $\delta$ small enough that moreover, for every $u$ with $|u| \leq 2\delta$, the $q$-ball bounded by $h_u(S_{1-\epsilon})$ in $D^q$ is starred with respect to the point $0 \in D^q$.

Fix a triangulation $K$ of $D^p \times I$ which collapses onto its subcomplex $K_0 := (D^p \times 0) \cup (S^{p-1} \times I)$; such a collapse can be regarded as a filtration of $K$ by subcomplexes $(K_n) (0 \leq n \leq N)$ such that $K_N = K$, and such that for every $1 \leq n \leq N$, exactly two cells $\alpha_n, \beta_n$ lie in $K_n$ but not in $K_{n-1}$; moreover $\beta_n$ is a hyperface of $\alpha_n$.

One can moreover choose $K$ so fine that every linear segment $[x, x']$ in every simplex $\alpha$ is of length at most $\delta$.

For every $x \in \alpha$, consider the intersection $I(\alpha, x) \subset D^q$ of the compact $q$-balls bounded by the hyperspheres $h_{[\beta(\sigma), x]}(S_{1-\epsilon})$, where $\sigma$ ranges over the $(p + 1)$-cells of $K$ containing $\alpha$, and where $\beta(\sigma)$ denotes the barycenter of $\sigma$. Note that $I(\alpha, x)$ is homeomorphic to $D^q$ (due to the above star property) but in general not smooth, that $I(\alpha, x) = h_{[\beta(\alpha), x]}(I(\alpha, \beta(\alpha)))$, and that $\alpha \subset \alpha'$ implies $I(\alpha, x) \subset I(\alpha', x)$. To avoid irrelevant technicalities, it is more convenient to consider instead of $I(\alpha, \beta(\alpha))$ a slightly smaller, smoothly embedded $q$-ball $F(\alpha, \beta(\alpha)) \subset Int(I(\alpha, \beta(\alpha)))$; to define $F(\alpha, x) := h_{[\beta(\alpha), x]}(F(\alpha, \beta(\alpha)))$ at every $x \in \alpha$; and to arrange that $\alpha \subset \alpha'$ implies that $F(\alpha, x)$ is interior to $F(\alpha', x)$ (by induction on $\dim(\alpha)$).

The union $E(\alpha)$ of the balls $x \times F(\alpha, x)$, for all $x \in \alpha$, is the image of a smooth embedding $e_\alpha : \alpha \times D^q \rightarrow \hat{M}$ covering the identity of $\alpha$ and sending $\alpha \times y$, for every $y \in S^{q-1}$, onto a plaque of $\mathcal{G}$. Write $E_n \subset \hat{M}$ for the union of the $E(\alpha)$'s, where $\alpha$ ranges over the cells of $K_n$.

At the beginning of the inflation process, the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ is defined over a small open neighborhood of $C \cup E_0$ in $\hat{M}$, where $C$ is the compact neighborhood of $D^p \times S^{q-1} \times I$ obtained as the union of the complements $(Int(\alpha) \times D^q) \setminus Int(E(\alpha))$, for all cells $\alpha$ of $K$. By induction on $n$, assume that $\mathcal{G}$ has already been extended, as a holed foliation quasi-complementary to $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, over a small open neighborhood of $C \cup E_{n-1}$; here we generalize slightly Definitions 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 for an ambiant manifold which is noncompact without boundary, by allowing $\Sigma$ to be also noncompact without boundary; the embedding $h$ must be proper. We have to extend $\mathcal{G}$ through a small open neighborhood of $E(\alpha_n)$ in $\hat{M}$, preserving the quasi-complementarity property. We describe the extension to $E(\alpha_n)$ itself (Figure 2); then, a “microextension” from
$E(\alpha_n)$ to a small open neighborhood of $E(\alpha_n)$ is straightforward by a pullback.

Write for short $\alpha, \beta$ instead of $\alpha_n, \beta_n$; write $i := \dim(\beta)$; write $\partial'\alpha$ for the union of the hyperfaces of $\alpha$ other than $\beta$; write $b : \alpha \to I$ for the affine function whose value is 0 on $\beta$ and 1 at the opposite vertex; choose the embedding $e_\alpha$ such that $e_\alpha^{-1}(E(\beta)) = \beta \times D_{1/2}$; identify $E(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \times D^q$ through $e_\alpha$.

The holed foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is already defined, and quasi-complementary to $\mathcal{F}$, over three small neighborhoods $N_1, N_2, N_3$ of the three subsets $\alpha \times S^{q-1}, \beta \times C_{1/2}, \partial'\alpha \times D^q$ of $\partial(\alpha \times D^q)$. Precisely,
• $N_1 := \alpha \times C_r$, for some real $r$ slightly smaller than 1; for a good choice of $e_\alpha$, one can arrange that $\mathcal{G}$ is horizontal (i.e. parallel to $\alpha$) on $N_1$;
• $N_2 := b^{-1}([0,\eta]) \times C_{r'}$, for some small $\eta > 0$ and some real $r'$ slightly smaller than 1/2; for a good choice of $e_\alpha$, one can arrange that $\mathcal{G}$ is also horizontal on $N_2$ (since $\mathcal{G}|(\beta \times C_{1/2})$ is a trivial foliated product over $\beta$).

In general, for $i = 1$, on $(\partial \alpha) \times C_{1/2}$ the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ can have some holonomy; for $i \geq 2$, on $\partial' \alpha \times D^q$ the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ can be complicated, and already holed; for $i \geq 3$, on $\partial \beta \times D_{1/2}$ the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ can be complicated, and already holed.

Let $\nabla$ (resp. $\bar{\nabla}$) be the constant vector field on $\alpha$ (resp. on $\alpha \times D^q$) parallel to the vector $b(\beta) - b(\alpha)$; to fix ideas, assume moreover, without loss of generality (after pushing $\mathcal{G}$ by a $\bar{\pi}$-preserving isotopy of $\alpha \times D^q$ rel. $\partial(\alpha \times D^q)$) that $\nabla$ is tangential to $\mathcal{G}$ on $N_3$.

Consider any vector field $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\alpha \times D^q$ such that $\tilde{\nabla}$ lifts $\nabla$ through $\bar{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ coincides with $\nabla$ on $N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3$; and let $\mathcal{G}_\tilde{\nabla}$ be on $\alpha \times D^q$ the pullback of $\mathcal{G}|(\partial' \alpha \times D^q)$ through the flow of $\tilde{\nabla}$. This is a first candidate for extending $\mathcal{G}$ over $\alpha \times D^q$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}_\tilde{\nabla}$ is a holed foliation quasi-complementary to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\alpha \times D^q$ (by the induction hypothesis, and since the flow of $\tilde{\nabla}$ preserves $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$); and $\mathcal{G}_\tilde{\nabla}$ coincides with $\mathcal{G}$ over $N_1 \cup N_3$. But for $i \geq 1$, in general no choice of $\tilde{\nabla}$ will make $\mathcal{G}_\tilde{\nabla}$ match $\mathcal{G}$ over $\beta \times C_{1/2}$; this is why a new hole is necessary.

Fix a self-diffeomorphism $\psi$ of $I$, supported in the open interval $(r', 1)$ and such that $\psi(r) \leq 1/2$; define the self-diffeomorphism $\psi$ of $C_{r'}$ as $\psi(y) := v(|y|)|y|^{-1}y$; fix a 1-parameter family of self-diffeomorphisms $\psi := \psi_t$ of $C_{r'}$, supported in the interior of $C_{r'}$, such that $\psi_t = \text{id}$ for $t$ close to 0 and $\psi_t = \psi$ for $t$ close to 1.

Decompose $\alpha$ into three subpolyhedra: the simplex $P := b^{-1}([0, \eta])$, the prism $P'$ intersection of $b^{-1}([0, \eta])$ with the $\nabla$-saturation of $P$; and the closure $P''$ of $\alpha \setminus (P \cup P')$, which is a polyhedral tubular neighborhood of $\partial \beta$ in $\alpha$. The hole will, in a first time, be $H := P'' \times C_{r'}$. On $(\alpha \times D^q) \setminus H$, extend $\mathcal{G}$ as the pullback $\mathcal{G}_\psi$ of $\mathcal{G}|(\partial' \alpha \times D^q)$ by the flow of the vector field $\tilde{\nabla}$ coinciding with $\nabla$ on $P \times D^q$ and on $\alpha \times D_{r'}$; while on $P' \times C_{r'}$,

$$\tilde{\nabla} := (b(\beta) - b(\alpha), \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial t} \circ \psi_t^{-1})$$

where $\tau := \eta/b(\alpha) = \eta/(i + 2)$. In particular, the time $\tau$ of the flow maps $b^{-1}(\eta) \times C_{r'}$ into $\beta \times C_{r'}$ as

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x + (i + 2)\eta(b(\beta) - b(\alpha)), \psi(y))$$
Since \( v(r) < 1/2 \), the foliation \( \mathcal{G}_\varphi \) does match \( \mathcal{G} \) in restriction to \( \beta \times C_{1/2} \). The extension of \( \mathcal{G} \) to \( E(\alpha_n) \) is complete, except that the new hole \( H \) does not match plainly Definition 3.2: the third factor of \( H \) is the corona \( C_r' \) instead of the ball \( D^q \); and the monodromy of \( H \) is \( \tilde{\psi} \) instead of \( \tilde{\varphi} \).

Fix an arbitrary embedding of \( D^q \) in the interior of \( C_r' \), hence \( \varphi \) (resp. \( \tilde{\varphi} \)) becomes a self-diffeomorphism of \( C_r' \) (resp. an element of the universal cover \( \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(C_r')_0 \)). It is easy to choose the diffeomorphism \( v \) in \( \text{Diff}(I) \) as a product of commutators, hence so is \( \psi \) in \( \text{Diff}(C_r')_0 \); hence \( \tilde{\psi} \) belongs to the normal subgroup of \( \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(C_r')_0 \) generated by \( \varphi \); hence some lift \( \tilde{\psi} \) of \( \psi \) in \( \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(C_r')_0 \) belongs to the normal subgroup generated by \( \tilde{\varphi} \); one chooses the family \( (\psi_t) \) to represent this particular lift \( \tilde{\psi} \); and finally the hole \( H \) splits into a finite number of parallel \( \tilde{\varphi} \)-holes, in the sense of Definition 3.2 of core \( S^{i-1} \).

Let us think to the topology of the holes resulting from the above construction, and to their projection through \( \bar{\pi} \). In \( \alpha = \alpha_n \), each \( \tilde{\varphi} \)-hole resulting from the above splitting of \( H \) has for core an \( (i-1) \)-sphere interior to \( \alpha \) and closely parallel to \( \partial \beta \); it is convenient to arrange, shrinking the hole if necessary, that the hole is itself interior to \( \alpha \times D^q \). Then, through the microextension, one gets a \( \tilde{\varphi} \)-hole of core \( S^{i-1} \times \text{Int}(D^{p-i}) \). This topology being preserved by the ulterior pullbacks, the resulting \( \tilde{\varphi} \)-hole in \( \bar{M} \) is thus of core \( S^{i-1} \times D^{p-i} \); and its trace on \( M \times 1 \) is of core \( S^{i-1} \times S^{p-i-1} \).

It is also clear from the construction that the projection \( \bar{\pi} \) embeds each core into \( D^p \times I \). The property (iii) of Theorem \( A' \) follows straightforwardly.

\[ \square \]

5. Proof of the Mather-Thurston Theorem as a corollary of \( A' \)

Given \( X, V, \gamma \) as in Theorem 1.4 recall that \( p = \dim(V) \geq 0 \) and \( q = \dim(X) \geq 1 \); to fix ideas, assume moreover that \( X \) is closed.

Write \( \mathcal{F} \) for the slice foliation of \( V \times X \) parallel to \( X \), write \( \pi \) (resp. \( \text{pr}_2 \)) for the projection of \( V \times X \) to its first (resp. second) factor, write \( \bar{V} := V \times I \), write \( \bar{\pi} \) for the canonical projection \( \bar{V} \times X \to \bar{V} \).

Choose \( \tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Diff}(D^q)_0 \); assume that \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is a product of commutators \([\alpha_1, \beta_1], \ldots, [\alpha_g, \beta_g] \) in this same group (in fact it is always the case, but we don’t need this perfectness result), and that the image \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}(D^q)_0 \) is not the identity.
By Theorem A' applied with \( \omega \) being the canonical projection \( \tau(V \times X) \to \text{pr}_2^*(\tau X) \), we get a \( \tilde{\varphi} \)-holed \( \Gamma_\eta \)-structure \((\Sigma, h, \tilde{\gamma})\) on \( \tilde{V} \times X \) such that:

I) \( h(H_{\Sigma}) \) is disjoint from \( \tilde{V} \times X \), and \( \tilde{\gamma} = \text{pr}_1^*(\gamma) \) on \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}(\tilde{V}) \times X \);

II) \( (\partial\Sigma, h|_{\partial\Sigma}, \tilde{\gamma}|(V \times 1 \times X)) \) is on \( V \times 1 \times X \) a \( \tilde{\varphi} \)-holed foliation quasi-complementary to \( \mathcal{F} \);

III) The embedding \( h \) of \( H_{\Sigma} = \Sigma \times D^2 \times D^q \) into \( \tilde{V} \times X \) covers an immersion \( k : \Sigma \times D^2 \to \tilde{V} \) whose restriction to each connected component is an embedding.

Consider first the simple case where \( k \) is a \textit{global embedding} \( \Sigma \times D^2 \hookrightarrow \tilde{V} \). In this case, we shall “fill the hole” by a suspension and hence obtain a genuine foliated bundle, at the price of modifying the base through a surgery performed along the projection of the hole.

To this end, let \( S_g \) be the compact orientable surface bounded by \( S^1 \) and of genus \( g \). Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be the codimension-\( q \) foliation on \( S_g \times D^q \) that is the suspension of the representation \( \pi_1(S_g) \to \tilde{\text{Diff}}(D^q)_0 \) mapping the canonical free basis to \( \alpha_1, \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_g \). Obviously, \( \mathcal{F} \) induces on \( (\partial S_g) \times D^q \) the suspension of \( \tilde{\varphi} \). On the other hand, fix a smooth map \( \alpha : S_g \to D^2 \) inducing the identity between the boundaries.

Surgerize \( \tilde{V} \), cutting \( k(\Sigma \times D^2) \) and pasting \( \Sigma \times S_g \); let \( W \) be the resulting \((p+1)\)-fold, an oriented cobordism rel. \( \partial V \) between \( V \) and an oriented compact \( p \)-fold \( V' \) obtained from \( V \) by cutting \( k(\partial\Sigma \times D^2) \) and pasting \( \partial\Sigma \times S_g \). Consider the smooth map \( a : W \to \tilde{V} \) equal to \( k \circ (\text{id}_\Sigma \times \alpha) \) on \( \Sigma \times S_g \) and to the identity on the complement; consider the smooth map

\[
A := a \times \text{id}_X : W \times X \to \tilde{V} \times X
\]

Define the \( \Gamma_\eta \)-structure \( \tilde{\gamma} \) on \( W \times X \) as \((\text{pr}_2 \times \text{pr}_3)^*(\mathcal{F})\) in \( A^{-1}(h(H_{\Sigma})) \cong \Sigma \times S_g \times D^q \), and as \( A^*(\tilde{\gamma}) \) in the complement. The conclusions of Theorem 1.4 are matched.

In the general case, the images of the different components of \( \Sigma \times D^2 \) under \( k \) can intersect. Roughly speaking, we shall apply the preceding method with one connected component of \( \Sigma \) instead of the all of \( \Sigma \), and thus be able to fill this component of the hole, initiating an inductive process; the number of connected components decreases of one unity at each step; at the end there is no hole any more and the proof of the Mather-Thurston theorem is complete. The only point that deserves some care is the behaviour of the other components of the hole under the surgery that fills one of them, since their projections in the base can intersect.
By induction, one has already an oriented cobordism $W_{\ell}$ between $V$ and a $p$-fold $V_{\ell}$ rel. $\partial V$; and one has a $\tilde{\varphi}$-holed $\Gamma_q$-structure $(\Sigma, h, \tilde{\gamma})$ on $W_{\ell} \times X$ satisfying (I) through (III) above but with $W_{\ell}$ instead of $V$ and $V_{\ell}$ instead of $V \times 1$. The core $\Sigma$ has $n - \ell$ connected components $\Sigma_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n - \ell$). We have to build a similar situation where the hole has only $n - \ell - 1$ connected components.

Before making a surgery on $W_{\ell}$ along $k(\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times 0)$, we must take precautions and change $h$ in order that the other components behave well under the surgery. We use two obvious tools.

First, one can compose $h$ with any self-diffeomorphism of $H_{\Sigma} = \Sigma \times D^2 \times D^q$ of the form $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, F(x, y), z)$.

After composing $h$ with a generic diffeomorphism of this form, we arrange that every $k(\Sigma_i \times 0)$ ($i \leq n - \ell - 1$) is transverse to $k(\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times 0)$ in $W_{\ell}$. Then,

$$Z_i := k(\Sigma_i \times 0)^{-1}(k(\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times 0)) \subset \Sigma_i$$

is a submanifold of codimension 2 with trivial normal bundle.

Second, one can shrink the hole by composing $h$ with a self-embedding of $H_{\Sigma}$ of the form $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, \epsilon y, z)$ $(0 < \epsilon < 1)$; and then extend the foliation $\tilde{\gamma}$ radially to fill the complement of the shrunk hole in the original hole.

Using this second tool to make the hole thin enough, we arrange that $k(\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times y)$ is transverse to $k(\Sigma_i \times y')$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n - \ell - 1$, every $y \in D^2$ and every $y' \in D^2$; in particular $k(\Sigma_i \times y')^{-1}(k(\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times y))$ is diffeomorphic to $Z_i$.

Then, surgerize $W_{\ell}$ by cutting $k(\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times D^2)$ and pasting $\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times S_g$; let $W_{\ell+1}$ be the resulting $(p + 1)$-fold, an oriented cobordism rel. $\partial V$ between $V$ and an oriented compact $p$-fold $V_{\ell+1}$. Consider the degree-one map $a : W_{\ell+1} \rightarrow W_{\ell}$ equal to $k \circ (id_{\Sigma_{n-\ell}} \times \alpha)$ on $\Sigma_{n-\ell} \times S_g$ and to the identity on the complement. Obviously, $a^{-1}(k(\Sigma_i \times D^2))$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma'_i \times D^2$, where $\Sigma'_i := a^{-1}(k(\Sigma_i \times 0))$ is obtained from $\Sigma_i$ by cutting a small tubular neighborhood of $Z_i$ and pasting $Z_i \times S_g$. In particular, $\Sigma'_i$ is connected.

Consider the smooth map

$$A := a \times id_X : W_{\ell+1} \times X \rightarrow W_{\ell} \times X$$

Clearly, the preimage under $A$ of each hole component $h(\Sigma_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n - \ell - 1$) is also the image of an embedding $h'_i : H_{\Sigma'_i} \hookrightarrow W_{\ell+1} \times X$ covering an embedding $\Sigma'_i \times D^2 \hookrightarrow W_{\ell+1}$. On $W_{\ell+1} \times X$, in the complement of the $A$-preimages of the holes, define the $\tilde{\Gamma}_q$-structure $\tilde{\gamma}'$ as $A^* (\tilde{\gamma})$; extend it by $(pr_2 \times pr_3)^*(\mathcal{S})$ in

$$A^{-1}(h(\Sigma_{n-\ell})) \cong \Sigma_{n-\ell} \times S_g \times D^q$$
Let $\Sigma'$ (resp. $h'$) be the disjoint sum of the manifolds $\Sigma'_i$ (resp. maps $h'_i$), for $1 \leq i \leq n-\ell-1$. The triple $(\Sigma', h', \bar{\gamma}')$ is on $W_{\ell+1} \times X$ a $\bar{\varphi}$-holed $\Gamma_q$-structure which satisfies the properties (I) through (III) above, but with $W_{\ell+1}, V_{\ell+1}, \Sigma', h', \bar{\gamma}'$ instead of $\bar{\varphi}, V \times 1, \Sigma, h, \gamma$.
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