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Abstract—The nominally incoherent coda of a scattered wavefield has been shown to be a remarkably sensitive quantitative monitoring tool. Its success is however limited to applications where a moderate velocity perturbation, and no notable change in material scattering properties can be assumed. The deformation of a rock matrix towards failure, represents for a monitoring wavefield pronounced changes in both velocity and scattering power. In this work we introduce a rolling reference wavefield when applying Coda-Wave Interferometry (CWI) and Coda-Wave-Decorrelation (CWD) in order to allow relative velocity and material scattering power monitoring. We demonstrate how this modification enables the qualitative monitoring of stages in material deformation common to Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) tests. In addition to the general stages of deformation, the precursory/subtle onset of material yielding is identifiable in both the CWI and CWD methods. It is therefore expected that this approach will enable these coda based methods to robustly monitor continuous, destructive processes over a variety of scales. Possible application include fault, induced seismicity, landslide and critical infrastructure monitoring.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the advent of affordable sensor networks, along with the ability to transmit large amounts of data wirelessly, the feasibility of evermore complex monitoring systems is increasing rapidly [Brownjohn, 2007; Staehli et al., 2015]. Whether it be critical infrastructure structural health monitoring (bridges, dams, nuclear reactors) or environmental hazards (earthquakes, landslides, induced seismicity) or early warning detection to dynamic failure, continuous non-intrusive monitoring is crucial. Many works have demonstrated the remarkable sensitivity of the scattered wave to media-wide properties, through the estimation of velocity changes over fault zones [Poupinet et al., 1984], in volcanoes [Kadomopurbo and Poupinet, 1995; Matsumoto et al., 2001; Grêt, 2005; Sens-Schönfelder and Wegler, 2006], and within the lunar near surface (Sens-Schönfelder et al., 1984), in volcanoes (Ratdomopurbo and Poupinet, 1995; Matsumoto et al., 2001; Grêt, 2005; Sens-Schönfelder and Wegler, 2006), and within the lunar near surface (Sens-Schönfelder et al., 1984). Various ultrasonic laboratory experiments have shown the coda’s sensitivity to changes at the mesoscale in terms of stress, temperature, and water saturation (Stähler et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012; Grêt et al., 2006). The Coda-Wave Interferometry (CWI) formulation presented by Snieder et al. (2002) has served as the basis for many such relative time-lapse monitoring studies on solid media. In the field of optics an equivalent formulation to CWI, termed Diffusing Wave Spectroscopy (DWS) (Pine et al., 1990), later extended to acoustics as Diffusing Acoustic Wave Spectroscopy (DAWS) (Page et al., 2000) has shown the ability to monitor the average displacement of scatterers in a fluidised suspension. In general the recent research applying CWI has focused on exploiting the sensitivity benefits of the coda-wave in monitoring subtle, often cyclical, non-destructive processes and thereby inferring the average velocity change. A related method termed Coda-Wave Decorrelation (CWD) as formalised by Rossetto et al. (2011) provides a spatiotemporal theoretical expression for the resulting decorrelation between a reference and perturbed wavefield due to the addition of a single scatterer. The ability of CWD to locate such a local change in scattering properties with the aid of a maximum likelihood inversion between the measured and theoretical decorrelation has been shown in a laboratory setting (Larose et al., 2010), around an active volcano (Obermann et al., 2013) and most recently on a life-sized reinforced concrete structure (Zhang et al., 2016). The focus of this branch of coda-wave studies is on relating the decorrelation coefficient $K$ between two wavefields to changes in material scattering properties, in contrast to CWI’s focus on the phase shift at which this $K$ is found. While this most recent concrete structural health monitoring application of CWD does demonstrate its practical application to identifying the transition from an elastic to inelastic deformation, the authors’ knowledge there has been no published work which applies either CWI or CWD throughout the elastic and inelastic deformation of a material up until dynamic failure. In this study we therefore explore the practical application of coda-wave focused monitoring methods of a rock matrix undergoing continuous changes in bulk scattering power and intrinsic velocity, with the goal of identifying defined stages of material deformation (Heap and Faulkner, 2008) and precursory indicators to material yielding. In particular, we show that for a fixed reference wavefield $u_v(t)$ monitoring the onset of elastic deformation, both CWI and CWD monitoring experience a rapid decay in sensitivity. As a result both are unable to identify the transition from elastic to inelastic deformation. In order to overcome this, a rolling reference wavefield $u_{p_{1:N}}(t)$ lagging $N$ measurements behind the most recently acquired $j^{th}$ monitoring wavefield $u_{p_j}(t)$ is employed in a normalised cross-correlation formulation. With this simple modification, we show how one is able to identify three defined phases of material deformation common to laboratory Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) tests. From this segmentation we are able to determine for two lithologies and three samples, precursory indicators to a materials yield point in both the CWI and CWD trends.
II. FIXED-REFERENCE MONITORING OF DESTRUCTIVE PROCESSES

A. The Theory of CWI for Monitoring Changes in Velocity

The formulation of CWI as presented by Snieder et al. (2002) rests upon the understanding that a recorded wavefield $u_a(t)$ which has interacted with an unperturbed scattering medium can be represented as the summation of all possible paths $P$ through that medium.

$$u_a(t) = \sum_P A_P(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $A_P$ denotes the wave which propagates along path $P$. The first major assumption concerning the medium itself is that each individual scatter has stationary properties, therefore preventing a change in its scattering cross-section (size, shape, density and velocity). Additionally, it is assumed that the mean free path $l$, which is indirectly related to the averaged distance between scatterers is much greater than the dominant wavelength $\lambda$.

A perturbed wavefield $u_p(t)$ which has experienced a sudden change $\delta u < u$ in either the location of scatterers, the location of the source or the background medium velocity, can then be represented as,

$$u_p(t) = \sum_P A_P(t - \tau_P),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\tau_P$ represents the travel time change along path $P$. This formulation therefore implies that no dispersive change is introduced thereby resulting in a phase dominated change $\tau$.

The first major assumption concerning the medium itself is that each individual scatter has stationary properties, therefore preventing a change in its scattering cross-section (size, shape, density and velocity). Additionally, it is assumed that the mean free path $l$, which is indirectly related to the averaged distance between scatterers is much greater than the dominant wavelength $\lambda$.

A perturbed wavefield $u_p(t)$ which has experienced a sudden change $\delta u < u$ in either the location of scatterers, the location of the source or the background medium velocity, can then be represented as,

$$u_p(t) = \sum_P A_P(t - \tau_P),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\tau_P$ represents the travel time change along path $P$. This formulation therefore implies that no dispersive change is introduced thereby resulting in a phase dominated change $\tau$. This time shifted Cross-correlation coefficient $\langle t \rangle$ is given by the addition of the scattering $Sc$ and intrinsic $Q$ attenuation coefficients. Considering an idealised scattering media as a random distribution of point-like scatterers with number density $n$, a background velocity $V$, and unperturbed wavefield. This is demonstrated for a rock medium.

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int g d\Omega = \rho_n \int \frac{d\Omega}{d\Omega} = \rho_n \sigma_T \equiv l^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The theory and application of CWI for monitoring changes in velocity and unperturbed wavefield. This formulation therefore implies that no dispersive change is introduced thereby resulting in a phase dominated change $\tau$. This formulation therefore implies that no dispersive change is introduced thereby resulting in a phase dominated change $\tau$. This formulation, therefore implies that the size, width and number of inhomogeneities (e.g. fractures, pores) remains constant in time. Furthermore, it requires that the average distance between such inhomogeneities is much greater than the dominant wavelength $\lambda$. The constraint of a non-dispersive perturbation requires that changes in properties such as scattering or intrinsic attenuation are negligible. Provided only a subtle, cyclical, elastic deformation is applied to a medium with a sufficiently high source frequency, these assumptions generally hold within the time period between the perturbed and unperturbed wavefield. This is demonstrated for a rock matrix in the work by Snieder et al. (2002) where CWI derived velocity changes are observed in a sandstone core sample due to a subtle elastic increase in uniaxial stress.

B. Applying a CWD Approach to Monitoring Changes in Scattering Properties

In order to enable the coda based monitoring of scenarios where inelastic material deformation occurs one can focus on the maximum cross-correlation coefficient $CC(t_s)$ and its relationship with a materials total scattering cross-section $\sigma_T$, instead of the $t_s = \langle \tau \rangle$ at which its maximum is found. This is equivalent to the Coda-Wave Decorrelation methods discussed earlier (Rossetto et al., 2011), though with the distinction that here the main goal is not to find a localised scatterer perturbation within the medium but to monitor a global perturbation within the region sampled by the coda-wave.

The two scattering related phenomenological parameters which control the shape and amplitude of coda waves are the total scattering coefficient $g_0$ and the total wave attenuation coefficient $Q^{-1}$ (Aki and Chouet, 1975). Note, that $Q^{-1}$ is given by the addition of the scattering $ScQ^{-1}$ and intrinsic $I^Q$ attenuation coefficients. Considering an idealised scattering media as a random distribution of point-like scatterers with number density $n$, a background velocity $V$, and unperturbed wavefield. This formulation, therefore implies that the size, width and number of inhomogeneities (e.g. fractures, pores) remains constant in time. Furthermore, it requires that the average distance between such inhomogeneities is much greater than the dominant wavelength $\lambda$. The constraint of a non-dispersive perturbation requires that changes in properties such as scattering or intrinsic attenuation are negligible. Provided only a subtle, cyclical, elastic deformation is applied to a medium with a sufficiently high source frequency, these assumptions generally hold within the time period between the perturbed and unperturbed wavefield. This is demonstrated for a rock matrix in the work by Snieder et al. (2002) where CWI derived velocity changes are observed in a sandstone core sample due to a subtle elastic increase in uniaxial stress.
Note, for the purpose of making a direct comparison with the established Coda-Wave Decorrelation theory we will henceforth refer to the measured data decorrelation coefficient as,

\[ K(t_s) = 1 - CC(t_s) \quad (8) \]

The work by Rossetto et al. (2011) formalised the relationship between this decorrelation coefficient \( K(t_s) \) and changes in the total scattering cross-section \( \sigma_T \). By applying diffusive propagation theory, an expression was derived for the theoretical background medium, which would result due to the perturbation of a single scatterer at location \( x_m \) within a background scattering medium. Re-writing this expression explicitly in terms of unperturbed and perturbed medium states gives,

\[ K(x_m) = \frac{V_0}{2} |\Delta T_{p-u}| Q(s, x_m, r, t), \quad (9) \]

where \( Q(s, x_m, r, t) \) is the sensitivity kernel of the medium with a source at \( s \) and receiver at \( r \) for a time \( t \) within the coda. As described in detail by Paasschens (1997), this diffusion derived kernel is dependent on both the diffusion coefficient \( D = V_0/3g_0 \) and the intrinsic attenuation coefficient \( Q^{-1} \) of the medium. Therefore, equation (9) implicitly assumes that the background medium \( g_0 \) and \( Q^{-1} \) result in a negligible change in \( Q(s, x_m, r, t) \) between the perturbed and unperturbed states. The difference \( \Delta T_{p-u} \) describes the change at location \( x_m \) in the total scattering cross-section between the unperturbed \( \sigma_{T_p} \) and perturbed \( \sigma_{T_u} \) states. Consider now a random, homogeneous perturbation of \( n \) identical scatterers within the kernel volume \( V_0 \) where the sensitivity is greater than the Signal to Noise Ratio. The resulting decorrelation can be defined as,

\[ K(X) = \frac{V_0}{2} |\Delta g_{p-u}| Q(s, X, r, t), \quad (10) \]

where \( Q(s, X, r, t) \) is now defined for the ensemble of perturbations at locations \( X \). The difference in total scattering coefficient \( g_0 \) within this volume \( V_0 \) is given as,

\[ \Delta g_{p-u} = \rho_{np} \sigma_{Tp} - \rho_{nu} \sigma_{Tu}. \quad (11) \]

Equation 10 is therefore able to describe both a change in the number of scatterers, through \( \rho_{np} \) or in the size and impedance contrast of scatterers, through \( \sigma_T \), provided one can assume the same sensitivity kernel \( Q(s, x_m, r, t) \) in both states. For a large perturbation in \( g_0 \), it will become difficult to maintain this approximation as the diffusion coefficient \( D \) and intrinsic attenuation \( Q^{-1} \) between the two medium states begin to diverge. The resulting \( K(X) \) will approach unity after which point the system will no longer provide any information on any further changes. In practice any measured decorrelation coefficient between two recorded time-series is unlikely to reach one due to the serially correlated nature of geophysical time-series data (Ebisuzaki 1997). At this extreme the coefficient will only represent a spurious correlation between two time-series. However, provided that a weak perturbation can be ensured in the time interval \( t_u - t_p \), the measured \( K(t_s) \) can be related to the modulus of the change in a materials scattering coefficient weighted by the sensitivity kernel \( Q(s, X, r, t) \).

### III. Rolling-Reference Coda Monitoring of Destructive Processes

With the goal of enabling the long term monitoring of continuously evolving material scattering properties, it is proposed to use a rolling reference waveform when determining both the decorrelation coefficient and the relative velocity change. This concept has been applied before, in the CWI work by Grott et al. (2006) who compared the relative velocity changes over 5°C temperature intervals for a laboratory core sample. This was however done not to maintain a statistically significant correlation, but with the motivation of making a direct comparison with acoustic emissions in the same intervals. In terms of equation [8] such a modification requires the fixed reference field \( u_s(t) \) to be replaced by a rolling reference field \( u_{pj-x}(t) \), in a monitoring sense lagging behind the most recently acquired waveform \( u_{pj}(t) \) by \( N \) measurements as,

\[ K^{roll}(t_s) = 1 - \frac{1}{N} \int \frac{f(t_k + tw)}{f(t_k - tw)} \left| u_{pj-N}(t)u_{pj}(t + tw)dt \right|^{1/2}. \quad (12) \]

Provided the repeat measurement period \( dt_{pj-N} \) at which each \( u_{pj}(t) \) waveform is recorded is much smaller than the rate of change in the scattering coefficient \( g_0/dt_{pj-N} \), this formulation provides the flexibility of selecting a sufficiently small reference lag \( N \) such that each correlation is able to better satisfy the assumptions of CWI and CWD. While a change in the fixed-reference \( K^{fix}(t_s) \) (equations [3] and [8]) indicates a total change in \( g_0 \) and velocity \( V_0 \) of the medium in relation to the reference \( u_s(t) \), the rolling-reference \( K^{roll}(t_s) \) (equation 12) represents the change in these properties which occurred between \( u_{pj-N}(t) \) and \( u_{pj}(t) \). The equivalent theoretical decorrelation coefficient can be expressed as,

\[ K(X_j, \Delta g_{pj-N}) = \frac{V_0}{2} |\Delta g_{pj-N}| Q(s, X_j, r, t), \quad (13) \]

which is related to the rate-of-change \( \Delta g_0/dt_{pj-N} \) in \( g_0 \) throughout the monitoring period. By using this rolling reference comparison we have arrived at an expression which relates the modulus of changes in a materials total scattering coefficient \( \Delta g_{pj-N} \) to the decorrelation coefficient. This therefore allows for a sufficiently small increment to be selected between the two compared material states such that the assumptions of CWI and CWD can be reasonably maintained.

### IV. Experimental Setup

In order to experimentally analyse and compare the attributes of fixed and rolling reference forms of CWI and CWD for continuously evolving scattering properties, acoustic monitoring of Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) tests on laboratory scale core samples is made, see figure 1. For redundancy, one axial and two radial mounted transducers acting as receivers-RX, and one axially mounted source-TX transducer are attached to the surface of a core sample. Transducers with a peak operating frequency of 1 or 2.25 MHz are used depending on the experiment. In order to reduce the presence of noise, the stacking of 512 individual wavelets is
monitored, resulting in a repeat measurement period \( dt_{pj-N} \) of 15 s. An axially increasing force \( F \) is applied to each core sample controlled by a constant axial displacement (\( \Delta L/dt \)) between 1 - 3 \( \times 10^{-4} \text{mm s}^{-1} \). The applied force \( F \) and total axial displacement are recorded every half second throughout monitoring until the sample experiences dynamic failure. The lithologies tested are Bentheimer sandstone (Peksa et al. 2015) which has well sorted grain sizes (180-320 \( \mu \text{m} \)) and high porosity (21-27 \%) and a poorly sorted (30-800 \( \mu \text{m} \)), zero primary porosity granite sourced from Benin. A summary of the three core sample UCS experiments is provided in table 1 along with the abbreviated sample names employed in this work.

V. CWI and CWD Monitoring of an UCS Test

Monitoring the evolution of a rock matrix towards dynamic failure with a wavelet at the mesoscopic scale (Li et al. 1998, Heap and Faulkner 2008, Barnhoorn et al. 2010, 2015), is characterised by the continuous evolution of its wavefield propagation properties. For a Unconfined Compressive Strength test this can be illustrated by considering the different stages of material deformation as shown in figure 2 for the BNT1 core sample. Firstly, the initial closure of any existing fractures or pore-space occurs. This is followed by the elastic deformation where a linear stiffening of the rock matrix is expected. These first two stages represent in terms of equation 6 a general reduction in the total scattering cross-section \( \sigma_T \) and therefore \( g_0 \) of the medium, as the size and then impedance contrast of the fractures reduces. Finally, the onset of inelastic deformation marks the beginning of fracture growth/formation often termed the Fracture Initiation and Growth Threshold (FIGT). The growth and addition of new fractures represents an irreversible increase in \( \sigma_T \) and number density of fractures \( \rho_n \) respectively. Considering these changes in material scattering properties over a typical UCS test, it becomes difficult to make the assumption of a phase dominated or weak change in \( g_0 \) necessary in order to apply either CWI or CWD. Focusing first on the Coda-Wave Decorrelation method, in figure 2 we compare the sensitivity of a fixed \( K^{fix} \) versus a rolling \( K^{roll} \) reference decorrelation coefficient to the stages of material deformation common to UCS tests.

The \( K^{fix} \) coefficient shows a rapid increase over the first 0.025 \% of axial strain, following by a more gradual slope towards 0.7 at the onset of elastic deformation. For the remainder of the USC test \( K^{fix} \) shows little sensitivity to the ongoing deformation, with only a pronounced increase as dynamic failure occurs at 0.56 \% axial strain. This indicates initial fracture closure represents a large perturbation in \( g_0 \), though after this point \( K^{fix} \) provides little informative value other then the knowledge that some notable change occurred early on during the monitoring period. In comparison, \( K^{roll} \) which is related to the relative rate of change in \( g_0 \) as described by equation 13 shows clearly identifiable trends segmenting each of the defined regions of deformation.

- The initial 0.025 \% of axial strain results in a increase in the rate of change of decorrelation \( K^{roll} \). This indicates an acceleration in \( dg_0/dt \) (i.e. the curvature or second derivative \(|\ddot{g}_0| > 0 \)) and therefore the rate of fracture closure.
- This is followed by a gradual reduction in \( K^{roll} \) where \(|\ddot{g}_0| < 0 \), indicating a reducing rate of fracture closure as the rock matrix stiffens leading up to the beginning of elastic deformation.
- The elastic deformation of the material is characterised by a constant, low \( K^{roll} \) and therefore \( g_0 \), where \(|\ddot{g}_0| = 0 \). Here a unit stiffening of the rock matrix results in a proportional change in \( g_0 \).
- At the Fracture Initiation and Growth Threshold - FIGT inelastic deformation occurs, which results in an increase in \( g_0 \), where \( g_0 \) and \(|\ddot{g}_0| > 0 \). These changes are reflected by initially a gradual and then steep increase in \( K^{roll} \) all the way until dynamic failure. This initial gradual increase is possibly evidence of the sub inelastic region of stable fracture growth before unstable growth continues, noted in literature for crystalline and brittle rocks (Bieniawski 1967).

In order to make a direct comparison with \( K^{fix} \) a scaled (0 - 1) cumulative summation of \( K^{roll} (K^{roll}_{sum}) \) is also provided. A general agreement is evident between \( K^{fix} \) and \( K^{roll}_{sum} \) as both show an initial increase, stabilisation, and then final increase within the region of elastic deformation, however the latter clearly shows an improvement in its sensitivity to the underlying perturbations. Most notably, \( K^{roll}_{sum} \) reflects the linear nature of the region of elastic deformation and the transition to the non-linear region of elastic fracturing.

In making a similar comparison for Coda-Wave Interferometry monitoring of the BNT1 dataset, the differences in
sensitivity between the fixed and rolling reference relative velocity changes as determined by equation (5) are notable, see figure 3. The fixed reference $\delta v/v_{\text{fix}}$ shows an initial coherent increase in velocity inline with the Time Of Flight changes $\delta v/v_{\text{TOF}}$ derived from the first arrivals, though after only the first 0.12% of axial strain its trend appears incoherent. In contrast, the rolling reference derived cumulative summation $\delta v/v_{\text{sum}}$ curve tracks the $\delta v/v_{\text{TOF}}$ curve plateauing over the elastic region, before decaying with increasing inelastic deformation. A maximum in $\delta v/v_{\text{sum}}$ occurs at 22.8 MPa whereas the $\delta v/v_{\text{TOF}}$ maximum occurs at 35 MPa, indicating the expected sensitivity gain of coda derived methods.

In summary when applying CWD the three stages of material deformation from initial fracture closure, elastic deformation and fracture growth are all reflected in the $K_{\text{roll}}$ and $K_{\text{sum}}$ trend lines, whereas it is difficult to find any clear segmentation in the $K_{\text{fix}}$ curve. The application of a rolling reference CWI derived $\delta v/v_{\text{sum}}$ while not able to clearly segment each region of deformation does track the Time Of Flight derived changes with improved sensitivity throughout the deformation, whereas the fixed reference $\delta v/v_{\text{fix}}$ does not.

VI. PRECURSORY IDENTIFICATION OF MATERIAL YIELDING

Based on the presented ability of both $K_{\text{roll}}$ and $\delta v/v_{\text{roll}}$ to monitor deformation of a rock matrix, we will now assess both methods sensitivity to the onset of inelastic deformation. The yield point of a material is often defined as the transition from predominantly stable fracture growth to predominantly unstable fracture growth [Bieniawski 1967, Mogi 2007], while others define it as the point the first micro-fractures are formed (Elliott and Brown 1986, Paterson and Wong 2005). There is however, general consensus that the yield point can be identified on a stress/strain diagram as the onset of non-linear behaviour which follows a linear elastic region. This parameter is of critical importance in the prediction of dynamic material failure as it signifies that permanent material deformation has begun. Typically, the yield point from a UCS test would be determined by hand, though in order to remove some of the

**TABLE I**

Summary of the UCS tests core sample length and diameter, lithology, displacement rate, and acoustic source frequency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L/D [mm]</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>$\Delta L/dt$ [mm s$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Sec. [MHz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BNT1</td>
<td>Benheimer sandstone</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNT2</td>
<td>Benheimer sandstone</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRA</td>
<td>Benin granite</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. (color online) CWD monitoring (receiver 2) of BNT1. The mean fixed reference decorrelation coefficient $K_{\text{fix}}$ is compared with the rolling reference equivalent $K_{\text{roll}}$ and its cumulative sum $K_{\text{sum}}$, calculated from 9 independent correlation windows. The $K_{\text{roll}}$ trend is generated with a rolling lag equal to the repeat measurement frequency of 15 s (i.e. $N = 1$). The shaded area represents the standard deviation about the mean decorrelation as calculated from the 0.4 ms correlation windows shown over a single waveform.
ambiguity surrounding this, an automated search is made based on the method described in the Appendix. On the basis of this yield point the precursory/subtle detection capabilities of the CWD and CWI methods can be assessed.

For this purpose two additional UCS tests are made, on a repeat Bentheimer (figure 3 - BNT2) and Benin granite (figure 5 - GRA) core sample. As with BNT1, the indication of inelastic deformation (FIGT) is identified at the end of the trough in $K^{roll}$ as the rate of change in $g_0$ increases. Similarly, the coda and first arrival derived relative velocity change inelastic indicators are identified at the onset of a reduction in velocity as a result of the acoustoelastic effect (Toupin and Bernstein [1960]). In order to make a comparison between the different lithologies and datasets, each precursory indicator is quoted in terms of the percentage of the yield stress at which it is found, as presented in figure 6.

For the Bentheimer sandstone samples (BNT1 and 2) the CWI cumulative relative velocity changes $[\delta v/v]_{\text{sum}}$ indicate the onset of yielding at around 70% of the yield stress, where the CWD decorrelation coefficient $K^{roll}$ begins to increase at around 86% of the yield stress. The GRA sample on the other-hand show $K^{roll}$ as providing the earliest indication of inelastic deformation at 66% in comparison to $[\delta v/v]_{\text{sum}}$ at 89% of yield stress. This may be a result of the differences in fracturing behaviour between the well sorted quartz-rich, porous grains of the Bentheimer sandstone and the poorly sorted non-porous grains of the Benin granite resulting in reduced sensitivity to the acoustoelastic effect.

In all cases the reference $[\delta v/v]_{\text{TOF}}$ show no precursory indicative power to material yielding with the onset of decay occurring after the stress-strain identified yield point, which was also noted in the work by Barnhoorn et al. [2018].

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have shown that by applying a rolling reference waveform in both the CWI and CWD form, one is able to monitor the evolution of a materials relative velocity and scattering coefficient where large perturbation is stress occur. Furthermore, we demonstrate that both approaches provide precursory indication of material yielding as determined from stress-strain measurements. While these benefits have direct applications in terms of providing a better understanding of rock properties in the laboratory, it is expected that such a processing approach will enable a wider range of field scale monitoring applications. We suggest that this rolling reference coda monitoring approach is particularly well suited to continuous monitoring applications where early warning detection to material yielding is required. The study by Zhang et al. [2016] which applied fixed reference CWI and CWD to monitoring the inelastic changes in structural concrete is one such application. In that work, the authors noted the increase in error of both the relative velocity and decorrelation coefficient as inelastic deformation progressed, an issue which the present study indicates can be overcome.

An active source landslide monitoring scenario is an example of a near surface environmental geophysics application, where an early warning detection to dynamic failure is required. Passive monitoring has been shown to be sensitive to a continuous velocity change, prior to catastrophic slippage events (Mansant et al. [2012]). Provided these changes represent a perturbation of the local scattering properties it is expected that an active source coda based monitoring experiment will provide similar sensitivity to precursory material yielding as shown for UCS tests.

Induced seismicity monitoring such as that associated with reservoir production (Bourne et al. 2014; van Thienen-Visser [2016]) suggests that a processing approach will enable a wider range of field monitoring applications. We suggest that this rolling reference approach can be extended to continuous monitoring applications where early warning detection to material yielding is required. The study by Zhang et al. [2016] which applied fixed reference CWI and CWD to monitoring the inelastic changes in structural concrete is one such application. In that work, the authors noted the increase in error of both the relative velocity and decorrelation coefficient as inelastic deformation progressed, an issue which the present study indicates can be overcome.

![Graph showing relative velocity changes](image)

Fig. 3. (color online) CWI monitoring (receiver RX2) of the BNT1 dataset. The mean fixed reference $[\delta v/v]_{\text{fix}}$ relative velocity change is compared with the equivalent rolling reference cumulative summation $[\delta v/v]_{\text{roll}}$ for the 9 windows shown in figure 2. For comparison the Time Of Flight derived $[\delta v/v]_{\text{TOF}}$ is provided.

In all cases the reference $[\delta v/v]_{\text{TOF}}$ show no precursory indicative power to material yielding with the onset of decay occurring after the stress-strain identified yield point, which was also noted in the work by Barnhoorn et al. [2018].
Fig. 4. (color online) CWD and CWI monitoring of BNT2. The $K^{\text{roll}}$, $[\delta v/v]^{\text{roll}}$, and $[\delta v/v]^{\text{TOF}}$ trends are generated with a rolling lag equal to the repeat measurement frequency of 15 s (i.e. $N = 1$), calculated from 9 independent correlation windows.

Fig. 5. (color online) Granite core (75.70 mm, $\phi 29.80$ mm) from UCS test dataset for $N = 10$, $2t_w = 0.08$ m/sec and a 2.25 MHz source signature. Dataset: GRA.
In the context of this study, the ability to determine the subtle onset of material yielding is possible with only the knowledge that loading occurs at a constant strain rate, and in the absence of direct stress and strain measurements. Provided an appropriate source frequency is practical, it is expected that this approach could be successfully applied to a wide variety of applications, such as the above mentioned structural-health, landslide, and compaction related induced seismicity monitoring. While these applications require additional investigation they can all be generalised as monitoring scenarios where both high rate-of-change and long-term transient changes in material scattering properties are of concern. It is therefore expected that with an approximate knowledge of the underlying direction and type of perturbation, rolling reference coda based monitoring can be applied to a wide variety of scales.
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Here \( n \) is selected to be approximately half the length of the linear elastic region in terms of sample points. The yield point is then defined at the end of the fitted line with maximum slope \( \beta_1 \) and fitting error < 2%.
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