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Abstract. We introduce the concept of partial Poisson structure on a manifold \( M \) modelled on a convenient space. This is done by specifying a (weak) subbundle \( T' M \) of \( T^* M \) and an antisymmetric morphism \( P : T' M \to TM \) such that the bracket \( \{ f, g \}_P = -< df, P(dg) > \) defines a Poisson bracket on the algebra \( A \) of smooth functions \( f \) on \( M \) whose differential \( df \) induces a section of \( T' M \). In particular, to each such function \( f \in A \) is associated a hamiltonian vector field \( P(df) \). This notion takes naturally place in the framework of infinite dimensional weak symplectic manifolds and Lie algebroids. After having defined this concept, we will illustrate it by a lot of natural examples. We will also consider the particular situations of direct (resp. projective) limits of such Banach structures. Finally, we will also give some results on the existence of (weak) symplectic foliations naturally associated to some particular partial Poisson structures.
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1. Introduction

The concept of Poisson structure is a fundamental mathematical tool in Mathematical Physics and classical Mechanics (specially in finite dimensions) and, in an infinite dimensional context, in Hydrodynamics, Quantum Mechanics, as a tool for integrating some evolutionary PDEs (for example KdV). In any of these situations, we have an algebra \( A \) of smooth functions on some manifold \( M \) (eventually infinite dimensional) which is provided with a Poisson bracket, i.e. a Lie bracket \( \{ , \} \) which satisfies the Leibniz property. Moreover, to the derivation \( g \mapsto \{ f, g \} \) in
A, we can associate a vector field $X_f$ on $M$ called the Hamiltonian vector field of $f$. In infinite dimension, when $M$ is a Banach manifold and $A = C^\infty(M)$, such a framework was firstly defined and studied in a series of papers by A. Odzijewicz, T. Ratiu and their collaborators (see for instance [OdzRat]); We will see how this context is included in our presentation.

A more recent approach was also proposed by K.H. Neeb, H. Sahlmann and T. Thiemann ([NeSaTh]) when $M$ is a smooth manifold modelled on a locally convex topological vector space: the authors consider a subalgebra $A$ of $C^\infty(M)$ which is provided with a Poisson bracket and such that the following separation assumption is satisfied:

$$\{\forall x \in M, \forall f \in A, \ d_x f(v) = 0\} \implies \{v = 0\}$$

This condition implies that the Hamiltonian field $X_f$ is defined for any $f \in A$.

Our purpose is to propose, in an infinite dimensional context, a Poisson framework for which the Poisson bracket can be defined for some particular local or global smooth functions on $M$.

Essentially we consider:

$\rightarrow$: The algebra $A(M)$ of smooth functions $f$ on $M$ whose differential $df$ induces a section of a subbundle of $T^*M$ of $T^*_M$;

$\rightarrow$: A bundle morphism $P : T^*M \to TM$ such that $\{f, g\}_P = dg(P(df))$ defines a Poisson bracket on $A$.

*This paper is self contained and the results are structured as follows.*

The reader who is not familiar with the convenient differential geometry setting can find the needed results in Appendix A.

Section 2 begins with the definition of a partial Poisson structure on a convenient manifold and many examples of such structures. Then we look for the general classic results of Poisson structures: Natural Lie algebroid structures on the cotangent bundle and symplectic structures on the leaves of the characteristic foliation. In our situation, to a Poisson structure is naturally associated a partial Lie algebroid structure on the cotangent bundle (Proposition 2.3.4). The associated characteristic distribution may not define a foliation (cf Remark 2.4.6), but, in the Banach framework, Theorem 2.4.7 gives sufficient conditions for which such an integrability property is true. In anyway, when the characteristic foliation is defined, each leaf is an almost symplectic manifold and the results are more precise under the assumptions of Theorem 2.4.7 in the Banach framework (cf. Theorem 2.4.10).

Section 3 is concerned with results about direct limits (resp. projective limits) of Banach partial Poisson manifolds. All the results on direct limits (resp. projective limits) of Banach vector bundles can be found in [CabPel2] (resp. [DGV]). However, for the completeness of this paper, we will recall needed results in Appendix B (resp. C).

Having specified the properties of the morphisms of partial Poisson structures, we give a definition of a direct (resp. projective) sequence of Banach partial Poisson manifolds on particular ascending (resp. projective) sequences of Banach manifolds $M_n$ (cf. Definition 3.2.1). Under these assumptions, we show that the direct (resp. projective) limit of such a sequence can be provided with a convenient (resp.
Fréchet) partial Poisson structure such that the Lie Poisson bracket on this limit is nothing but that the direct (resp. projective) limit of the sequence of Lie Poisson brackets (cf. Theorem 4.0.1). The essential difficulty in the proof of these results is the construction of the subbundle $T'M$ of the cotangent bundle $T^*M$ of the limit $M$ of the sequence $(M_n)$ and also the skew symmetric map $P : T'M \to TM$ which defines the Lie Poisson bracket on $A(M)$; These construction are detailed in section 3.5.

Section 4 is dealing with the research on sufficient conditions under which, for a direct limit limit of sequence of Banach partial Poisson structures, we have a foliation for which each leaf has an almost symplectic structure. These sufficient conditions request that the assumptions of Theorem 2.4.7 are satisfied on each Banach manifold of the sequence and some additional conditions; Then we obtain the same result as in Theorem 2.4.10 but in the convenient framework (Theorem 4.0.1). In particular all the assumptions of Theorem 4.0.1 are always satisfied by any ascending sequence of finite dimensional compatible Poisson manifolds and so the conclusions are true in this situation (Corollary 4.0.2).

2. Convenient Partial Poisson manifold

2.1. Partial Poisson manifold. Let $M$ be a convenient manifold modelled on a convenient space $M$ (cf. Appendix A). We denote by $p_M : TM \to M$ its kinematic tangent bundle ([KriMic], 28.12) and by $p^*_M : T^*M \to M$ its kinematic cotangent bundle ([KriMic], 33.1).

Consider a vector subbundle $p' : T'M \to M$ of $p^*_M : T^*M \to M$ such that $p' : T'M \to M$ is a convenient bundle and the canonical injection $\iota : T'M \to T^*M$ is a convenient bundle morphism. Such a bundle $p' : T'M \to M$ will be called a weak subbundle of $p^*_M : T^*M \to M$.

Let $A(M)$ be the set of smooth functions $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\iota \circ df$ is a section of $p' : T'M \to M$. It is clear that $A(M)$ is a sub-algebra of the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions on $M$.

Consider the bilinear crossing $<,>$ between $T^*M$ and $TM$.

A morphism $P$ is called skew-symmetric if it satisfies the relation

$$<\xi, P(\eta) > = - <\eta, P(\xi)>$$

for all sections $\xi$ and $\eta$ of $T'M$.

Given such a morphism $P$, on $A(M)$ we define:

$$\{f, g\}_P = - <df, P(df)> \quad \text{(2.1)}$$

In these conditions, the relation (2.1) defines a skew-symmetric bilinear map $\{ , \}_P : A(M) \times A(M) \to A(M)$. Using the same arguments that were used in [KriMic], 48, we have

Lemma 2.1.1. The bilinear map $\{ , \}_P$ takes values in $A(M)$ and satisfies the Leibniz property:

$$\{f, gh\}_P = g \{f, h\}_P + h \{f, g\}_P$$

Proof. Since by definition, for any $f \in A(M)$ the restriction of $df$ to $T'_LM$ is a linear map from $T_LM$ to $\mathbb{R}$, as in [KriMic], 48, by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the
following characterization:

(*) $f \in C^\infty(M)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(M)$ if and only if each iterated derivative $d^k f(x) \in L^k_{sym}(T_x M, \mathbb{R})$ is such that $d^k f(x)(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ belongs to $T^*_x M$ for all $x \in M$, $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in T_x M$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, consider the differential $d\{f, g\}_P$ for $f$ and $g$ in $\mathcal{A}(M)$. By definition of $\{ \cdot , \cdot \}_P$, for any $x \in M$ and $u \in T_x M$, we have:

\begin{equation}
(2.2) \quad -d\{f, g\}(u) = d^2 f(u), P(dg) > + <d^2 f(u), P(u), dg > + <df, P(u), dg > > 0.
\end{equation}

Since $df$ and $dg$ induce sections of $T'M$, from (*) and (2.2), we obtain that $\{f, g\}_P$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(M)$. Now, from the definition of $\{ \cdot , \cdot \}_P$, the Leibniz property is due to the relation $d(fg) = fdg + gdf$ and the linearity of $P : T'M \to TM$.

\begin{proof}

\end{proof}

**Remark 2.1.2.** Given any open subset $U$ of $M$, we can also consider the set $\mathcal{A}(U)$ of smooth functions on $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\cdot \circ df$ is a section of $p' : T'M|_U \to U$. Then by same arguments as in the proof of the previous Lemma, the restriction of $P$ to $T'M|_U$ gives rise to a bilinear map $\{\cdot , \cdot \}_{p_U}$ on $\mathcal{A}(U)$ which takes values in $\mathcal{A}(U)$ and satisfies the Leibniz property. Thus it is easy to show that the bracket $\{ \cdot , \cdot \}$ is localizable (cf. Remark 2.1.0).

**Definition 2.1.3.**

1. Let $p' : T'M \to M$ be a weak subbundle of $p'_M : T^*M \to M$ and $P : T'M \to TM$ a skew-symmetric morphism. We say that $(M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{\cdot , \cdot \}_P)$ is a partial Poisson structure on $M$ or that $(M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{ \cdot , \cdot \}_P)$ is a partial Poisson manifold if the bracket $\{ \cdot , \cdot \}_P$ satisfies the Jacobi identity:

$$\{f, \{g, h\}_P\}_P + \{g, \{h, f\}_P\}_P + \{h, \{f, g\}_P\}_P = 0.$$  

2. Given two partial Poisson structures $(M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{\cdot , \cdot \}_P)$ and $(M', \mathcal{A}(M'), \{ \cdot , \cdot \}_{P'})$, a smooth map $\phi : M \to M'$ is called a Poisson morphism if the induced map $\phi_* : C^\infty(M') \to C^\infty(M)$ is such that $\phi_*(\mathcal{A}(M')) \subset \mathcal{A}(M)$ where

$$\{\phi_*(f), \phi_*(g)\}_P = \phi_*(\{f, g\}_{P'}).$$

If $M$ is a Hilbert (resp. Banach, resp. Fréchet) manifold and if the weak subbundle $T'M$ is a Hilbert (resp. Banach, resp. Fréchet bundle), the partial Poisson manifold $(M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{ \cdot , \cdot \}_P)$ will be called a partial Poisson Hilbert (resp. Banach, resp Fréchet) manifold.

As classically, given a partial Poisson manifold $(M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{\cdot , \cdot \}_P)$, any function $f \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ is called a Hamiltonian and the associated vector field $X_f = P(df)$ is called a Hamiltonian vector field.

We then have $\{f, g\} = X_f(g)$ and also $[X_f, X_g] = X_{\{f, g\}}$ (see [NeSaTh]), which is equivalent to

\begin{equation}
(2.3) \quad P(d\{f, g\}) = [P(df), P(dg)].
\end{equation}

2.2. Examples of partial Poisson manifolds.

**Example 2.2.1.** A finite dimensional Poisson manifold $(M, C^\infty(M), \{ \cdot , \cdot \})$ is a particular case of partial Poisson manifold. Indeed, to each function $f$ on $M$ is
associated a Hamiltonian vector field $X_f$. Since $T^*M$ is locally generated by differential of functions, therefore the map $df \mapsto X_f$ extends to a unique skew-symmetric morphism of bundles $P : T^*M \to TM$ such that $P(df) = X_f$.

**Example 2.2.2.** Let $M$ be a Banach manifold. The notion of Banach-Poisson manifold was defined and developed in [OdzRat] and [Rat]. These authors assume that there exists a Poisson bracket $\{ \, , \}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ such that to each section $\xi$ of $T^*M$ is associated a section $\xi^\sharp$ of the bidual $T^{**}M$ which, in fact, belongs to $TM \subset T^{**}M$. This gives rise to a skew-symmetric morphism $P : T^*M \to TM$. Conversely, given such a morphism, we get a bracket $\{ \, , \}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ as given in Lemma 2.1.1. Therefore, if this bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity, we get the previous notion of Banach Lie Poisson manifold (see [Pel]). Therefore $M$ is endowed with a partial Poisson structure.

**Example 2.2.3.** A Banach Lie algebroid is a Banach bundle $\tau : E \to M$ provided with a morphism $\rho : E \to TM$ (anchor) and a Lie bracket $\{ \, , \}_E$ which is a skew-symmetric bilinear map $\Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ such that
\[
[X, fY]_E = df(\rho(X))Y + f[X,Y]_E
\]
and $\Gamma(E)$ is the set of sections of $\tau : E \to M$ which satisfy the Jacobi identity (see [Ana] and [CabPel1]).

If $\tau_s : E^\ast \to M$ denotes the dual bundle of $\tau : E \to M$, there exists closed Banach subbundle $T^sE^\ast$ of $T^*E^\ast$ defined as follows.

For any (local) section $s : U \to E|_U$ we denote by $\Phi_s$ the linear map on $E^\ast|_U$ defined by $\Phi_s(\xi) = \langle \xi, s \circ \tau_s(\xi) \rangle$. Then for any $\sigma \in E^\ast$, $T^s_sE^\ast$ is generated by the set
\[
\{d(\Phi_s + f \circ \tau_s), \ f \in C^\infty(U), \ U \text{ any neighbourhood of } \tau_s(\sigma)\}
\]

Now there exists a morphism $P : T^sE^\ast \to TE^\ast$ which gives rise to a bracket $\{ \, , \}_E$ and satisfies the properties of Lemma 2.1.1. Let $A(E^\ast)$ be the set of smooth maps $f : E^\ast \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu \circ df$ is a section of $\tau_s : T^sE^\ast \to E^\ast$. Since the Lie bracket $\{ \, , \}_E$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, this implies that the bilinear map $\{ \, , \}_P$ also satisfies the Jacobi identity and we obtain in this way a partial Poisson structure on $E^\ast$ (see [CabPel1] for more details).

**Example 2.2.4.** A weak symplectic manifold is a convenient manifold $M$ endowed with a closed 2-form $\omega$ such that the associated morphism
\[
\omega^2 : TM \to T^*M
\]
\[
X \mapsto \omega(X, )
\]
is injective (see [KriMic], 48). Therefore the bundle $TM = \omega^2(TM)$ is a weak subbundle of $T^*M$ and we have a skew-symmetric morphism $P = (\omega^2)^{-1} : T^*M \to TM$. As in Lemma 2.1.1, we have a bracket which satisfies the Leibniz property on $A(M)$. Moreover, since $\omega$ is closed, this bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity (see [KriMic], Theorem 48.8); so we obtain a partial Poisson structure on $M$.

**Example 2.2.5.** According to [NeSaTh], a weak Poisson manifold is a triple $(M, C, \{ \, , \})$ where $C$ is a subalgebra of $C^\infty(M)$ which is separating, and where $\{ \, , \}$ is a skew-symmetric bilinear map on $C$ which satisfies the Leibniz property and the Jacobi relation and, for every $f \in C$, there exists a smooth vector field $X_f$.
on $M$ such that $dh(X_f) = \{f, h\}$. In fact $X_f$ is unique. Let $T^*_f M = \{df(x), \ f \in C\}$. Assume that $T^*_f M$ generates a convenient subbundle $T^*M$ of $T^*M$. Then the map $f \mapsto X_f$ gives rise to a skew-symmetric morphism $P : T^*M \to TM$ whose associated subalgebra $A(M)$ is $C$ and whose associated bracket $\{ , \}_P$ is $\{ , \}$. Therefore we obtain a partial Poisson structure $(M,C,\{ , \})$. For instance, the context of Theorem 2.8 in [NeSaTh] provides a structure of partial Poisson manifold.

**Example 2.2.6.** (This example can be found in [Kol] and we use a part of the presentation of the author.) The Fréchet Lie algebra $Vect(S^1)$ of smooth vector fields on the circle $S^1$ can be identified with $C^\infty(S^1)$. Since the topological dual of the Fréchet space $Vect(S^1)$ is isomorphic to the space of distributions on $S^1$ and is not a Fréchet space, we will define a vector subspace $Vect^*(S^1)$ which has a structure of Fréchet space isomorphic to $Vect(S^1)$ in the following way. Consider the set of linear functionals of the form

$$u \mapsto \int_{S^1} \phi.udx,$$

for some function $\phi \in C^\infty(S^1)$. Then $Vect^*(S^1)$ is also isomorphic to $C^\infty(S^1)$ via the $L^2$ inner product

$$<u,v>= \int_{S^1} u.vdx.$$

In the remainder of this example, for the sake of simplicity, the elements of $Vect^*(S^1) \equiv C^\infty(S^1)$ are denoted by Greek letters, $\phi, \psi, \varphi, \ldots$ while the elements of $Vect(S^1) \equiv C^\infty(S^1)$ are denoted by Latin letters $u, v, w, \ldots$. Now, the Fréchet structure on $C^\infty(S^1)$ is the projective limit of the sequence of Banach spaces $(C^k(S^1))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we denote by $\phi^{(r)}_x$ the $r$-jet of $\phi \in C^k(S^1)$ at $x \in S^1$, for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, k$. In this way, a local functional $F$ on $Vect^*(S^1)$ is given by

$$F(\phi) = \int_{S^1} f(x, \phi, \ldots, \phi^{(r)}_x)dx$$

for some function $f : C^r(S^1) \to \mathbb{R}$ and some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ($f$ is called the density of $F$). Recall (cf. [Kol]) that the directional derivative of $F$ at $\phi \in Vect^*(S^1)$ in the direction $X \in Vect^*(S^1)$ is

$$D_X F(u) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{F(u + tX) - F(u)}{t}.$$ 

On the other hand, (cf. [Olv] for instance) the total derivative $D_x$ on $C^\infty(S^1)$ is defined by

$$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \phi^{(1)}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \phi^{(2)}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(1)}_x} + \ldots.$$ 

Then we have

$$\forall X \in C^\infty(S^1), \quad D_{\phi} F(X_{\phi}) = \int_{S^1} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi}(X_{\phi})dx$$

where $\frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} = \sum_{j=0}^r (-D_x)^j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi^{(j)}}$. The map $\phi \mapsto \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi}$ can be considered as a vector field on $C^\infty(S^1)$ and is called the $L^2$-gradient of $F$. 

(2.4)
We are now in situation to define a bracket on the set $A$ of local functionals $F$ on $C^\infty(S^1)$ by:

$$\{F, G\}(\phi) = \int_{S^1} \delta F P_\phi \delta G dx$$

where $\delta F$ and $\delta G$ stand here for the variational derivatives $\frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi}$ and $\frac{\delta G}{\delta \phi}$ and where $P$ is a linear differential operator. The operators $P_\phi$ must satisfy certain conditions in order to obtain a Poisson bracket. First of all, it must be skew-symmetric with respect to the $L^2$ product that is:

$$\int_{S^1} \delta F P_\phi \delta G dx = -\int_{S^1} \delta G P_\phi \delta F dx.$$ 

Since the expression for $\{F, G\}$ is a local functional, the class of local functionals is closed under this bilinear operation. The last condition needed is a criterion on $P$ to ensure that Jacobi identity is satisfied (see [Kol], Lemma 4.1 for more details).

In the initial geometrical context, on the Fréchet manifold $M = C^\infty(S^1)$, for each $\phi \in M$, let $T_\phi M$ be the vector space generated by the set $\{D_\phi F : F \in A\}$. From the definition of a local functional $F$ and the paracompactness of $C^\infty(S^1)$ it follows that $T'M = \cup_{\phi \in M} T_\phi M$ is a sub-bundle of $TM$. Now, if $P$ is skew-symmetric according to the $L^2$ product, we consider $P : T'M \rightarrow TM$ defined by $P(DF) = P\delta F$. Then taking into account (2.4), we have

$$\{F, G\} = \langle DF, P(DG) \rangle = \int_{S^1} \delta FP_\phi \delta G dx.$$ 

Then if $P$ satisfies the criterion of Jacobi identity of Lemma 4.1 in [Kol], we obtain a partial Poisson structure on $C^\infty(S^1)$.

More generally we can extend this example to a wider context according to section 3 in [Kol].

### 2.3. Partial Lie algebroid and partial Poisson manifold

We begin this section by adapting the concept of almost Banach Lie algebroid to the convenient setting.

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a convenient vector bundle on a convenient manifold modelled on a convenient space $M$ whose fibre is modelled on a convenient space $E$.

**Definition 2.3.1.** A morphism of vector bundles $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$ is called an anchor. The triple $(E, M, \rho)$ is called an anchored bundle.

Let $\Gamma(E)$ be the $C^\infty(M)$-module of smooth sections of $E \rightarrow M$. The morphism $\rho$ gives rise to a morphism (again denoted $\rho$) $\rho : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM) = \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

**Definition 2.3.2.** An almost Lie bracket on an anchored bundle $(E, M, \rho)$ is a bilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ which satisfies the following properties:

1. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$ is antisymmetric;
2. Leibniz property:

$$\forall s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E), \forall f \in C^\infty(M), \langle s_1, fs_2 \rangle_E = f\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_E + df(\rho(s_1)).s_2.$$ 

The quadruple $(E, M, \rho, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E)$ is called an almost algebroid.
Definition 2.3.3. Given an almost Lie bracket $[ , ]_E$, the Jacobiator is the tensorial map $J_E : \Gamma(E)^3 \to \Gamma(E)$ defined, for all $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \Gamma(E)$ by

$$J_E(s_1, s_2, s_3) = [s_1, [s_2, s_3]_E]_E + [s_2, [s_3, s_1]_E]_E + [s_3, [s_1, s_2]_E]_E$$

A convenient Lie algebroid is an almost Lie algebroid $(E, \rho, [ , ]_E)$ such that the associated Jacobiator $J_E$ is vanishes identically and $\rho$ is a Lie algebra morphism from $\Gamma(E)$ to $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ a $C^\infty(M)$-module of smooth sections of $E \to M$. We say that $(\mathcal{M}, \rho, [ , ]_E)$ is a convenient partial Lie algebroid if the restriction of $[ , ]_E$ to $\mathcal{M}$ takes values in $\mathcal{M}$, the restriction of $J_E$ to $\mathcal{M}$ vanishes identically and the restriction of $\rho$ to $\mathcal{M}$ is a Lie algebra morphism from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathfrak{X}(M)$. This last notion is justified by the following result:

Proposition 2.3.4. Let $(\mathcal{M}, A(M), \{ , \}_P)$ be a partial Poisson manifold and $p' : T'M \to M$ the associated subbundle of $T^*M \to M$. Then we have the following properties:

1. We can define an almost bracket $[ , ]_P$ on the anchored bundle $(T'M, M, P)$ by:

$$[\alpha, \beta]_P = L_{P(\alpha)}\beta - L_{P(\beta)}\alpha - d\langle \alpha, P(\beta) \rangle$$

for all sections $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $T'M \to M$ where $L_X$ is the Lie derivative. Moreover $[ , ]_P$ satisfies:

$$[df, dg]_P = d\{f, g\}_P$$

for all $f, g \in A(M)$.

2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the $C^\infty(M)$-module generated by the set $\{df, f \in A(M)\}$. Then $(\mathcal{M}, M, P, [ , ]_P)$ is a partial Lie algebroid.

Proof. The proof of Point (1) is similar to the proof of the same classical result in finite dimension.

Any $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ can be written $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} f_i dg_i$ where $I$ is a finite set of indexes and where each $f_i$ (resp. $g_i$) belongs to $C^\infty(M)$ (resp. $A(M)$). From the Leibniz property of the bracket (2.5) and its property (2.6), it follows that the restriction of the bracket (2.6) to $\mathcal{M}$ takes value in $\mathcal{M}$. Now we have

$$[df, [dg, dh]_P]_P = d\{f, \{g, h\}_P\}_P$$

If $J_P$ denotes the Jacobiator of $[ , ]_P$, since $\{ , \}_P$ is a Poisson bracket, it follows that $J_P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = 0$ for any $\alpha_i = df_i$ where $f_i \in A(M)$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. But as $J_P$ is tensorial, it follows that the restriction of $J_P$ to $\mathcal{M}$ vanishes identically. Now we must show that for all $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\mathcal{M}$, we have

$$P([\alpha, \beta]_P) = [P(\alpha), P(\beta)]_P.$$  

Since any $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ can be written $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} f_i dg_i$ where $I$ is a finite set of indexes, (2.7) is due to (2.3) and the Leibniz property of $[ , ]_P$. 

\[\square\]
Remark 2.3.5. In finite dimension, if $T'M = T^*M$ then $A(M) = C^\infty(M)$ and so $\mathcal{M}$ is exactly the module $\Lambda^1(M)$ of 1-forms on $M$. So we recover the classical result that, for a finite dimensional Poisson manifold, we obtain a Lie algebroid structure $(T^*M, M, P, [\cdot, \cdot]_P)$.

In the infinite dimensional case, even if $T'M = T^*M$ and so $A(M) = C^\infty(M)$, this result is not true in general: we only get a partial Lie algebroid $(M, M, P, [\cdot, \cdot]_P)$.

Remark 2.3.6. In [Pel] or [CabPel2], we have seen that the natural generalization of a finite dimensional Lie algebroid structure to infinite dimensional context may fails to be localizable. Recall that an almost Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is localizable if we have the following properties (see [Pel]):

(i) for any open set $U$ of $M$, there exists a unique bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]|_U$ on the space of sections $\Gamma(E|_U)$ such that, for any $s_1$ and $s_2$ in $\Gamma(E|_U)$, we have:

$$[s_1|_U, s_2|_U]_U = [s_1, s_2]|_U$$

(ii) (compatibility with restriction) if $V \subset U$ are open sets, then, $[\cdot, \cdot]|_U$ induces a unique bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]|_V$ on $\Gamma(E|_V)$ which coincides with $[\cdot, \cdot]|_V$ (induced by $[\cdot, \cdot]|_E$).

In general, without additional assumption, we cannot obtain local properties of an almost Lie bracket from global sections. In particular, we can not show that an almost Lie bracket of two sections, which is not localizable, only depends on the first jet of sections. Therefore we need to assume that the Lie bracket is localizable. Note that, the Lie Bracket of vector fields is localizable. If a Banach Lie algebroid is integrable then the Lie bracket is localizable (cf. [BGJP]). Moreover, in the context of partial Poisson structure, since the Poisson bracket is defined from a bundle morphism, for any local functions $f, g : U \subset M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $df$ and $dg$ are sections of $T'M$ over $U$, the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}_P$ is still defined by $\{f, g\}_P = - <df, P dg>$ (cf Remark 2.1.2) and so is localizable. Therefore the associated almost bracket is localizable. In particular, instead of $\mathcal{M}$, we can consider the set $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ of local sections of $T'M \to M$ which can be written $\sum_{i \in I} f_i dg_i$ where $I$ is a finite set of indexes and where each $f_i$ (resp. $g_i$) belongs to $C^\infty(U)$ (resp. $A(U)$) for some open subset $U$ of $M$. Then the Jacobiator of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]|_P$ in restriction to $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ vanishes identically and the property (2.7) is still true for sections in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ defined on the same open set.

2.4. Almost symplectic foliation associated to a partial Poisson structure

2.4.1. Preliminaries and notations. Let $M$ be a convenient manifold.

Definition 2.4.1. An almost symplectic (convenient) manifold is a pair $(M, \omega)$ where $\omega$ is a differential 2-form such that the morphism

$$\omega^\flat : TM \to T^*M$$

defined by $\omega^\flat(X) = \omega(X, \cdot)$ is injective.

\footnote{In [BGJT], the authors define a notion of queer Poisson bracket which depends on higher jets of functions}
Recall that a weak symplectic manifold is an almost symplectic manifold \((M,\omega)\) such that \(\omega\) is closed (cf. Example 2.2.4).

From now on, we fix an almost symplectic manifold \((M,\omega)\). According to [Vai], we have:

**Definition 2.4.2.** A vector field \(X\) is called a Hamiltonian vector field for the almost symplectic manifold \((M,\omega)\) if

\[ L_X\omega = 0, \quad i_X\omega = -df \]

for some \(f \in C^\infty(M)\) where \(L_X\) is the Lie derivative and \(i_X\) is the inner product of forms.

Note that a function \(f \in C^\infty(M)\) which satisfies this relation is defined up to a constant. Such a function is called a Hamiltonian function relative to \((M,\omega)\). The set \(C^\infty_\omega(M)\) of Hamiltonian functions relative to \((M,\omega)\) has an algebra structure.

Moreover, since we have

\[ i_{[X,Y]}\omega = -d\omega(X,Y) \]

Therefore \([X,Y]\) is also an hamiltonian field. It follows that \(C^\infty_\omega(M)\) can be endowed with a Lie Poisson bracket defined by

\[ \{f,g\}_\omega = \omega([X,Y]) \]

if \(i_X\omega = -df\) and \(i_Y\omega = -dg\) (for more details, see [Vai]). If \(\omega\) is closed, then we get the classical Lie Poisson bracket associated to a weak symplectic manifold (cf. Example 2.2.4). However when \(\omega\) is not closed, the set \(C^\infty_\omega(M)\) is in general very small and it can be reduced to constant functions on \(M\) (cf. [Vai] for such examples).

**2.4.2. Almost symplectic foliation of a partial Poisson structure.**

**Definition 2.4.3.** Let \(M\) be a convenient manifold.

1. A distribution \(\Delta\) on \(M\) is an assignment \(\Delta : x \mapsto \Delta_x \subset T_xM\) on \(M\) where \(\Delta_x\) is a subspace of \(T_xM\), fibre of the kinematic tangent bundle \(TM\) of \(M\).
2. A vector field \(X\) on \(M\), defined on an open set \(\text{Dom}(X)\), is called tangent to a distribution \(\Delta\) if \(X(x)\) belongs to \(\Delta_x\) for all \(x \in \text{Dom}(X)\).
3. A distribution \(\Delta\) on \(M\) is called integrable if, for all \(x \in M\), there exists a weak submanifold \(L\) of \(M\) such that \(T_xL = \Delta_x\) for all \(x \in L\). In this case \(L\) is called an integral manifold of \(\Delta\) through \(x\).
4. An integral manifold \(L\) of a distribution \(\Delta\) is called maximal if any integral manifold \(L'\) of \(\Delta\) is an open submanifold of \(L\).

If a distribution \(\Delta\) is integrable then the set \(\mathcal{F}\) of maximal integral manifolds of \(\Delta\) gives rise to a partition of \(M\) called a foliation of \(M\).

Let \((M,\mathcal{A}(M),\{\cdot,\cdot\}_P)\) be a partial Poisson structure on \(M\). The image \(\Delta_x = P(T_x'M)\) gives rise to a smooth distribution \(\Delta\) on \(M\) called the characteristic distribution of the partial Poisson structure. Note that each Hamiltonian vector field \(P(df)\) of a function \(f \in \mathcal{A}(M)\) is tangent to \(\Delta\). On \(T'M\), we have a natural skew-symmetric bilinear form \(\Omega\) defined as follows: for any \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) in \(T_x'M\), we have \(\Omega(\alpha,\beta) = \{f,g\}\) if \(f\) and \(g\) are smooth functions...
defined on a neighbourhood of \( x \) and such that \( df(x) = \alpha \) and \( dg(x) = \beta \) (this definition is independent of the choice of \( f \) and \( g \)). Note that from (2.1), we have

\[
\Omega(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \alpha, P(\beta) \rangle = - \langle \beta, P(\alpha) \rangle
\]

Now, according to (2.8), for each \( x \), on the quotient \( T'_xM/\ker P_x \) we get a well-defined skew-symmetric bilinear form \( \hat{\Omega}_x \). On the other hand, let \( \hat{P}_x : T'_xM/\ker P_x \to \Delta_x \) be the canonical isomorphism associated to \( P_x \) between convenient spaces. In this way, we get a skew-symmetric bilinear form \( \omega_x \) on \( \Delta_x \) such that:

\[
[P_x]^* \omega_x = \hat{\Omega}_x
\]

Moreover by construction \( (\Delta_x, \omega_x) \) is a weak symplectic manifold. Therefore we introduce:

**Definition 2.4.4.** Let \((M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{, \}^P)\) be a partial Poisson structure on \( M \).

1. An almost symplectic (resp. weak symplectic) leaf of \( \Delta \) is a convenient manifold \( L \subset M \) with the following properties:
   1. \( L \) is an integral manifold of \( \Delta \);
   2. there exists a 2-form \( \omega_L \) on \( L \) such that \((L, \omega_L)\) is an almost symplectic (resp. weak symplectic) manifold such that \((\omega_L)_x = \omega_x \) for all \( x \in L \).

2. Assume that the characteristic distribution \( \Delta \) of the partial Poisson structure is integrable. If each maximal integral manifold is an almost symplectic (resp. weak symplectic) leaf, the associated foliation \( F \) is called an almost symplectic (resp. weak symplectic) foliation.

We then have the following result:

**Proposition 2.4.5.** If the characteristic distribution \( \Delta \) of a partial Poisson structure \((M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{, \}^P)\) is integrable, then the associated foliation \( F \) is an almost symplectic foliation.

**Proof.** Fix some maximal leaf \( L \) of the foliation defined by \( \Delta = P(T'M) \). We have already seen that, for any \( x \in L \), we have a skew-symmetric bilinear form \( \omega_x \) on \( \Delta_x \). Therefore we must show that the field \( x \mapsto \omega_x \) gives rise to an almost symplectic form \( \omega_L \) on \( L \). If \( i : L \to M \) is the natural inclusion, then we can consider the pull back of \( \tilde{\omega}' : \tilde{T}M \to L \) of \( \tilde{\omega} : T'M \to M \) and we have a morphism \( \tilde{i} : \tilde{T}M \to T'M \) over \( i \) which is an isomorphism between each fibre. Therefore the kernel of the morphism \( \tilde{P} = P \circ \tilde{i} \) is a convenient subbundle of \( \tilde{T}M \). Since \( P(T'_xM) = T_xL \) for \( x \in L \), we obtain a bundle isomorphism \( \tilde{P} : \tilde{T}M/\ker \tilde{P} \to TL \). Moreover \( \Omega = \tilde{i}^* \Omega \) is a smooth skew-symmetric bilinear form on \( \tilde{T}M \) such that

\[
\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = \langle \tilde{i}(\tilde{\alpha}), \tilde{P}(\tilde{\beta}) \rangle = - \langle \tilde{i}(\tilde{\beta}), \tilde{P}(\tilde{\alpha}) \rangle
\]

for all sections \( \tilde{\alpha} \) and \( \tilde{\beta} \) of \( \tilde{T}M \). It follows that \( \tilde{\Omega} \) induces on the quotient bundle \( \tilde{T}M/\ker \tilde{P} \) a smooth skew-symmetric bilinear form \( \tilde{\omega} \). Moreover, \( \omega_L = (\tilde{P}^{-1})^* \tilde{\omega} \) is a smooth skew-symmetric bilinear form on \( L \) such that \((\omega_L)_x = \omega_x \) for all \( x \in L \).

**Remark 2.4.6.** In general the characteristic distribution will not be integrable but unfortunately we do not have such an example. The Theorem 2.4.7 will give sufficient conditions for the integrability of the characteristic distribution. In the context of Proposition 2.4.5, on each leaf \( L \) of \( F \), the associated 2-form is in general not closed. The Jacobi Identity satisfied by the Poisson bracket \( \{, \}^P \) implies...
\[ d\omega_L(X,Y,Z) = 0, \text{ only for Hamiltonian vector fields } X, Y \text{ and } Z \text{ restricted to } L. \]

When \( M \) is a finite dimensional manifold, then any vector field \( X \) tangent to \( L \) is a finite sum of type \( \sum_{j \in J} \phi_j X_j \), where each \( \phi_j \) is a function and \( X_j \) is an hamiltonian vector field; so \( \omega_L \) is closed as it is well known. But even in the context of Banach manifolds, the previous argument is no more true in general. However, when \( T'M = T^*M \), any form \( \sigma \in T_x^*M \) can be written \( \sigma = d_xf \) for some local smooth function \( f \) around \( x \in M \) and so, for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), each \( X_i \in T_xL \) can be written \( X_i = P(d_xf_i) \) for some \( f_i \) locally defined around \( x \). It follows that, in this case, each leaf is a weak symplectic leaf.

2.4.3. Existence of almost symplectic foliation for Poisson Banach manifolds. We first recall some useful preliminaries which can be found in [Pel].

Let \( \pi : E \to M \) be a Banach fibre bundle over \( M \) with typical fibre \( E \), and let \( \rho : E \to TM \) be a morphism of bundles whose kernel is supplemented in each fibre and whose range \( \Delta_x = \text{Im} \rho_x \) is closed in \( T_xM \) for all \( x \in M \). We denote by \( \hat{\Gamma}(E) \) the set of local sections of \( \pi : E \to M \), that is smooth maps \( \sigma : U \subset M \to E \) such that \( \pi \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_x \) where \( U \) is an open set of \( M \). The maximal open set of this type is called the domain of \( \sigma \) and is denoted \( \text{Dom}(\sigma) \).

A subset \( S \) of \( \hat{\Gamma}(E) \) is called a generating set if, for any \( x \in M \), there exists a trivialization \( \Theta : U \times E \to E_{|U} \) of \( E \) over an open set \( U \) which contains \( x \) such that \( S \) contains all local sections defined on \( U \) of type \( \hat{\sigma} : y \to \Theta(y, \alpha) \) for all \( \alpha \in E \).

We say that a generating set \( S \) satisfies the condition (LB) if:

for any local section \( \sigma \in S \), there exists an open set \( V \subset \text{Dom}(\sigma) \) and a trivialization \( \Theta : U \times E \to E_{|U} \) such that \( S \) contains all sections \( \hat{\sigma} \) on \( U \) for all \( \alpha \in E \) and, for any \( x \in V \), we have the following property:

\[
\forall t \in ]-\epsilon, \epsilon[ \land \forall \alpha \in E, \rho(\sigma)(\hat{\sigma}(t)) = \rho(\Theta(\gamma(t), \Lambda_t(\alpha)))
\]

We then have the following result (cf. [Pel]).

**Theorem 2.4.7.** Let \((E,M,\rho)\) be a Banach anchored bundle such that the kernel of \( \rho \) is supplemented in each fibre and whose range \( \Delta = \text{Im} \rho \) is closed. Then \( \Delta \) is an integrable distribution if and only there exists a generating set \( S \) which satisfies the condition (LB).

By application of this theorem, we obtain the following result of integrability for Banach anchored bundles.

**Corollary 2.4.8.** Let \((E,M,\rho)\) be a Banach anchored bundle such that the kernel of \( \rho \) is supplemented in each fibre and whose range \( \Delta = \text{Im} \rho \) is closed. Assume that

\[
\rho([\sigma_1, \sigma_2]) = [\rho(\sigma_1), \rho(\sigma_2)]
\]

\[3\]For localizable almost Lie bracket see Remark[2.4.10]
on an open set $U$ contained in $\text{Dom}(\sigma_1) \cap \text{Dom}(\sigma_2)$. Then $\Delta = \text{Im} \rho$ is integrable.

**Proof of Corollary 2.4.8.** According to Theorem 2.4.7, we only have to prove that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies the property (LB).

For any smooth local section $\sigma : U \to T^*M$, we set $Z_\sigma = P(\sigma)$. From our assumption, we have for any sections $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ defined on $U$

$$[Z_{\sigma_1}, Z_{\sigma_2}] = \rho([\sigma_1, \sigma_2]_E).$$

As (LB) is a local property, fix some $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ defined on an open set $U$ such that $\mathcal{S}$ contains all local sections defined on $U$ of type $\tilde{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{E}$ and for an adequate trivialization $\Theta : U \times \mathbb{E} \to E_{|U}$. Denote by $\phi_{t\tilde{\alpha}}^Z$ the flow of $Z_\sigma$ defined on some open set $V \subset U$. Consider an integral curve $\gamma(t) = \phi_{t\tilde{\alpha}}^Z(z)$ through $z \in V$ defined on $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{E}$, from (2.8), we have:

$$[Z_\sigma, Z_\tilde{\alpha}]([\gamma(t)]) = [\rho(\sigma), \rho(\tilde{\alpha})](\gamma(t)) = \rho([\sigma, \tilde{\alpha}]_E)(\gamma(t))$$

Now, using the same arguments as the ones used in the proof of Lemma 3.11 of [Pel], we can show that the map

$$y \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto [\sigma, \tilde{\alpha}]_E(y))$$

is a smooth field of continuous endomorphisms of $\mathbb{E}$. It follows that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies (LB), and then, $\Delta$ is integrable. \qed

Under the assumptions of Corollary 2.4.8, we have the following local result (cf. [Pel], Proposition 2.13).

**Proposition 2.4.9.** Let $x \in M$ and consider a local trivialization $\Theta : U \times \mathbb{E} \to E_{|U}$ and a Banach subspace $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathbb{E} \equiv E_x = \ker \rho_x \oplus \mathcal{S}$. For any $u \in \mathcal{S}$, we denote by $X_u$ the vector field $X_u(x) = \rho \circ \Theta(x, u)$ and by $\phi_{tX_u}$ the flow of $X_u$. Then we have:

1. Given any norm $|||$ on $\mathcal{S}$, there exists a ball $B(0, r)$ in $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\phi_{tX_u}$ is defined for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and for all $u \in B(0, r)$.
2. If $\Phi : B \to M$ is the map defined by $\Phi(u) = \phi_{tX_u}(x)$ for $u \in B \equiv B(0, r)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\Phi : B(0, \delta) \to M$ is a weak injective closed immersion $M$.
3. For $\delta$ small enough, $\Phi(B(0, \delta))$ is an integral Banach manifold of $\Delta$ through $x$ modelled on the Banach space $\mathcal{S}$ provided with the initial norm $|||$. 

Now let $(M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{ , \}_P)$ be a partial Banach Poisson manifold. The following result is essentially an easy consequence of the previous sufficient condition of integrability.

**Theorem 2.4.10.** Let $(M, \mathcal{A}(M), \{ , \}_P)$ be a partial Banach Poisson manifold such that the kernel of $P$ is supplemented in each fibre $T^*_xM$ of $T^*M$ and $P(T^*M)$ is a closed distribution. Then we have the following:

1. $\Delta = P(T^*M)$ is integrable and the foliation defined by $\Delta$ is an almost symplectic foliation;
2. On each maximal leaf $N$, if $(N, \omega_N)$ is the natural almost symplectic structure on $N$ (cf. proof of Proposition 2.4.7), then the restriction $f_N$ of $f \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_N(M)$ and we have, for any $f$ and $g$ in $\mathcal{A}(M)$

$$\{f|_N, g|_N\}_P|_N = \{f|_N, g|_N\}\omega_N.$$
Proof of Theorem 2.4.10. According to Corollary 2.4.8 in order to prove the integrability of $\Delta$, we must show that the set $\hat{M}$ is a generating set.

Since $T'\mathcal{M} \subset T^*\mathcal{M}$ with typical fibre $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathcal{M}^*$, there exists a local trivialization $T^*\phi^{-1}$ associated to chart $(U, \phi)$ around a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that, if $V = \phi(U)$ we have $T^*\phi : V \times \mathbb{F} \subset \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}^* \to T^*\mathcal{M}_|V$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, the function $f_\alpha(y) = <\alpha, \phi(y)>$ is a smooth map on $U$ such that $df_\alpha = \phi^*\alpha$ on $U$ and so $df_\alpha$ belongs to $\hat{M}$. Note that if we set $\Theta(y, \alpha) = T^*_y \phi^{-1}(\alpha)$, then $\hat{\alpha} = df_\alpha$ and so $\hat{M}$ is a generating set.

For any smooth local section $\sigma : U \to T^*\mathcal{M}$ which belongs to $\hat{M}$, we set $Z_\sigma = P(\sigma)$. From Point (3) and Remark 2.3.6 we have

$$[Z_{\sigma_1}, Z_{\sigma_2}] = P([\sigma_1, \sigma_2])$$

for any sections $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \hat{M}$ defined on $U$.

Therefore, all assumptions of Corollary 2.4.8 are satisfied and so $\Delta$ is integrable. The last other properties of the foliation defined by $\Delta$ are easy to prove and are left to the reader. \qed

3. Direct and projective limit of partial Poisson Banach manifolds

3.1. Convenient Poisson morphisms. Let $\epsilon : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ be a smooth map between two convenient manifolds $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ modelled on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ respectively. Consider subbundles $T'\mathcal{M}$ and $T'\mathcal{M}'$ of $T^*\mathcal{M}$ and $T^*\mathcal{M}'$ respectively, whose typical fibres are closed convenient subspaces $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}'$ of $\mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}'^*$ respectively.

Let $T_\epsilon : T\mathcal{M} \to T'\mathcal{M}'$ be the tangent map of $\epsilon$. The adjoint of the continuous operator $T_\epsilon \epsilon : T_\epsilon T\mathcal{M} \to T'_{\epsilon(x)} \mathcal{M}'$ is denoted by $T^*_\epsilon \epsilon : T^*_{\epsilon(x)} \mathcal{M}' \to T^*_\epsilon T^*\mathcal{M}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\epsilon$ is an injective immersion, we can define a bundle morphism denoted $T^*\epsilon$ from $T^*_{\epsilon(x)} \mathcal{M}'$ to $T^*\mathcal{M}$ by:

$$(T^*\epsilon)(y', \alpha') = \alpha' \circ T_{\epsilon^{-1}}(y').$$

When the fibre $T^*_{\epsilon(x)} \mathcal{M}'$ is defined without ambiguity, we simply denote $T^*\epsilon$ the operator $T^*_{\epsilon(x)} \epsilon$.

Consider a chart $(U, \phi)$ for a convenient manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and $T\phi : T\mathcal{M}_|U = p^{-1}_M(U) \to \phi(U) \times \mathcal{M}$ the associated trivialization of $T\mathcal{M}$. Then $T^*\phi : \phi(U) \times \mathcal{M}^* \to T^*\mathcal{M}_|U = (p_M^*)^{-1}(U)$ is a bundle isomorphism and so its projective morphism $T^*\phi^{-1}$ is a trivialization of $T^*\mathcal{M}$ canonically associated to the chart $(U, \phi)$.

Assume that, for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have:

$$T^*\epsilon(T^*_{\epsilon(x)} \mathcal{M}') \subset T^*_x \mathcal{M}.$$

Then this property will be simply denoted by

$$(T^*\epsilon)(T'\mathcal{M}') \subset T'\mathcal{M}.$$  

Assume that we have the property (3.1). For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists charts $(U, \phi)$ around $x$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $(U', \phi')$ around $\epsilon(x)$ in $\mathcal{M}'$ respectively such that:

1. The restriction $T^*\phi^{-1}$ and $T^*\phi'^{-1}$ to $T'\mathcal{M}_|U$ and $T'\mathcal{M}'_|U'$ are trivializations onto $\phi(U) \times \mathbb{F}$ and $\phi'(U') \times \mathbb{F}'$ respectively;

4see Remark 2.3.6 for the definition of $\hat{M}$
(2) For all $z \in \phi(U)$, we have:

$$T^*(\phi' \circ \epsilon \circ \phi^{-1})(\{ \phi' \circ \epsilon \circ \phi^{-1}(z) \} \times \mathbb{F'}) \subset \{ z \} \times \mathbb{F}$$

Such charts $(U, \phi)$ and $(U', \phi')$ will be called compatible with the Property (B.3).

Let $P : T'M \to TM$ (resp. $P' : T'M' \to TM'$) be a bundle morphism over $M$ (resp. $M'$). Assume that we have

$$P'(\epsilon(x), \xi) = T\phi \circ P(x, T^*\epsilon(\xi))$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\xi \in T^*_x M'$. This relation will be simply denoted by

$$P' = T\epsilon \circ P \circ T^*\epsilon.$$

Remark 3.1.1. (1) Consider two partial Poisson manifolds $(M, \mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M), \{ , \}_P)$, $(M', \mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M'), \{ , \}_P')$ and a smooth map $\epsilon : M \to M'$. We then have $\epsilon^*(\mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M')) \subset \mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M)$ if and only if

$$(T^*\epsilon)(T'M') \subset T'M.$$ 

If this condition is fulfilled, from the definition of the Poisson bracket, we have

$$\{ \epsilon^*(f), \epsilon^*(g) \}_P = \epsilon^*(\{ f, g \}_P')$$

if and only if

$$P' = T\epsilon \circ P \circ T^*\epsilon.$$

(2) When $\epsilon$ is a Poisson morphism between two partial Poisson manifolds $(M, \mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M), \{ , \}_P)$ and $(M', \mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M'), \{ , \}_P')$, then we have the following relation between Hamiltonian fields:

$$X_{\epsilon^*f} = \epsilon_*(X_f).$$

3.2. Partial Poisson structures on direct and projective limits. In this section $\{(M_i, \mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M_i), \{ , \}_P_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds where $p_i' : T'M_i \to M_i$ is a Banach subbundle of $p_M^* : T'M \to M$ and $P_i : T'M_i \to TM_i$ is a skew-symmetric morphism. We denote by $\mathbb{M}_i$ the Banach space on which $M_i$ is modelled, and by $\mathbb{F}_i$ the model of the typical fibre of $p_i' : T'M_i \to M_i$ and we assume that $\mathbb{F}_i$ is a Banach subspace of the dual $\mathbb{M}_i^*$ of $\mathbb{M}_i$.

Definition 3.2.1. (1) The sequence $\{(M_i, \mathcal{G}_F^\infty(M_i), \{ , \}_P_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a direct sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds if $(M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending sequence of paracomplete Banach $C^\infty$-manifolds, where $M_i$ is modelled on the Banach space $\mathbb{M}_i$ such that $\mathbb{M}_i$ is a supplemented Banach subspace of $\mathbb{M}_{i+1}$ and such that $(M_i, \epsilon_i^{i+1})$ is a submanifold of $M_{i+1}$, and, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following properties:

(i): $T^*\epsilon_i(T'M_{i+1}) \subset T'M_i$;

(ii): $P_{i+1} = T\epsilon_i \circ P_i \circ T^*\epsilon_i$;

(iii): Around each $x \in M_i$, there exists a sequence of charts $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$U = \lim_{i \to \infty}(U_i), \phi = \lim_{i \to \infty}(\phi_i)$$

is a chart of $x$ in $M$, so that the charts $(U_i, \phi_i)$ and $(U_{i+1}, \phi_{i+1})$ are compatible with Property (i).

---

The paracompactness of each $\mathbb{M}_i$ implies that the direct limit $M$ of the sequence $(M_i)$ is a Hausdorff convenient manifold (cf. Appendix B.5). However, since a convenient partial Poisson structure is localizable, this definition has also some sense in a context of a non Hausdorff framework but it is without interest in terms of applications in our opinion.
Theorem 3.2.3. The sequence $\{(M_i, C^\infty_P(M_i), \{\ , \}_P)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called an projective sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds if there exist submersions $\tau_i : M_{i+1} \to M_i$ such that $\{(M_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ fulfilling the following properties:

(i): $T^*\tau_i(T^*M_i) \subset T^*M_{i+1}$;
(ii): $P_i = T_{\tau_i} \circ P_{i+1} \circ T^*\tau_i$;
(iii): Around each $x \in M$ there exists a sequence of charts $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$U = \lim_{i \to \infty} U_i, \phi = \lim_{i \to \infty} \phi_i$$

is a chart of $x$ in $M$ so that the charts $(U_{i+1}, \phi_{i+1})$ and $(U_i, \phi_i)$ are compatible with Property (i).

Remark 3.2.2. In the case (1), since $\{(M_i, \epsilon_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a direct sequence of Banach manifolds, we may assume that $M_i$ is a Banach subspace of $M_{i+1}$. We denote by $\epsilon_i$ the natural inclusion of $M_i$ in $M_{i+1}$ and $\epsilon^*_{i} : M^*_i \to M^*_{i+1}$ the adjoint operator. Note that $\epsilon^*_i$ is surjective. Since $F_i$ is the typical fibre of $T^*M_i$, according to assumptions (i) and (iii) (compatibility with trivializations), we must have $\epsilon^*_i(F_i) \subset F_i$. Moreover, since $F_{i+1}$ is a Banach subspace of $M^*_{i+1}$, then $\epsilon^*_i(F_i)$ is a Banach subspace of $F_i$.

In the case (2), since $(M_i, \tau_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strong projective sequence of Banach manifolds such that $\tau_i : M_{i+1} \to M_i$ is a submersion, there exists a surjective operator $\hat{\tau}_i$ from $M_{i+1}$ onto $M_i$. We denote by $\hat{\tau}^*_i : M^*_i \to M^*_{i+1}$ the adjoint operator which is injective. Note that $\hat{\tau}^*_i(M^*_i)$ is a Banach subspace of $M^*_{i+1}$. Again, since $F_i$ is the typical fibre of $T^*M_i$, according to assumptions (i) and (iii), we must have $\hat{\tau}^*_i(F_i) \subset F_{i+1}$. Moreover, since $F_i$ is a Banach subspace of $M^*_i$, then $\hat{\tau}^*_i(F_i)$ is a Banach subspace of $F_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to the previous definitions, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.2.3. Let $\{(M_i, C^\infty_P(M_i), \{\ , \}_P)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a direct sequence (resp. an projective sequence) of partial Poisson Banach manifolds and $M = \lim_{i \to \infty} M_i$ (resp. $M = \lim_{i \to \infty} (M_i)$). Then we have the following properties:

1. There exists a weak subbundle $p' : T'M \to M$ of $p'_M : T^*M \to M$ and a skew-symmetric morphism $P : T'M \to TM$ such that $(M, C^\infty_P(M), \{\ , \}_P)$ is a partial Poisson structure on $M$.

2. The Lie Poisson bracket $\{\ , \}_P$ is defined as a direct limit (resp. projective limit) in the following way:

Let $\tilde{\epsilon}_i : M_i \to M$ (resp. $\tilde{\tau}_i : M \to M_i$) be the canonical injection (resp. projection). Then $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ (resp. $\tilde{\tau}_i$) is a Poisson morphism from $(M_i, C^\infty_P(M_i), \{\ , \}_P)$ to $(M, C^\infty_P(M), \{\ , \}_P)$ (resp. from $(M, C^\infty_P(M), \{\ , \}_P)$ to $(M_i, C^\infty_P(M_i), \{\ , \}_P)$) for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and we have

$$\{\ , \}_P = \lim_{i \to \infty} \{\ , \}_P, \text{ (resp. } \{\ , \}_P = \lim_{i \to \infty} \{\ , \}_P \text{).}$$

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2.3 in the case of direct limit. Let $\{(M_i, A(M_i), \{\ , \})_P\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a direct sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds. From the assumption in Definition 3.2.1(1) and according to [CabPel2], the direct limit $M = \lim_{i \to \infty} M_i$ is a convenient manifold.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $M_i \subset M_{i+1}$; so $M = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i$.

---

\footnote{$\{(M_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a projective system of Banach manifolds (cf. Remark C.2.1) and $\{(TM_i, T\tau_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a projective system of Banach bundles (cf Remark C.2.2).}
and $\epsilon_i$ is the natural inclusion of $M_i$ in $M_{i+1}$. For $j > i$, we denote by $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \epsilon_i : M_i \to M_j$ the natural inclusion. Given a point $x \in M$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x$ belongs to $M_i$; let $n$ be the smallest of such integers $i$. On the one hand, $T_x \epsilon_{kn} : T_x M_n \to T_x M_k$ is an injective continuous linear map for all $k > n$. Since each $T_x M_k$ is isomorphic to the Banach space $M_k$ for $k \geq n$, the set $\{(T_x M_k, T_x \epsilon_{kn})\}_{k \geq n}$ is an ascending sequence of Banach spaces whose direct limit $T_x M = \varinjlim_{k \geq n}(T_x M_k) = \bigcup_{k \geq n} T_x M_k$ is a convenient space. We set $TM = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x M$.

Let $p : TM \to M$ be the canonical projection.

Now, from Definition 3.2.1(1), it follows that $T_x^* \epsilon_{kn}(T_x^* M_k)$ is a subset of $T_x^* M_n$ for all $k > n$. Therefore the $\{(T_x^* M_k, T_x^* \epsilon_{kn})\}_{k \geq n}$ is an projective sequence of Banach spaces. We set $T_x^* M = \varprojlim T_x^* M_k$. In the same way, we can define the "projective dual" $T_x^* M = \varprojlim T_x^* M_k$ of $T_x M$. Of course, we have $T_x^* M \subset T_x^* M$ and these vector spaces provided with the projective limit topology are Fréchet vector spaces.

We set $T^* M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x^* M$ and $T^* M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x^* M$. We have canonical projections $p' : T^* M \to M$ and $p^* : T^* M \to M$. We then have the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.1.**

(1) $p : TM \to M$ is a convenient bundle which is the kinematic bundle of $M$.

(2) $p^* : T^* M \to M$ and $p' : T^* M \to M$ are Fréchet locally trivial bundles over $M$. Moreover $p^* : T^* M \to M$ is the kinematic dual bundle of $M$.

(3) There exists a canonical bundle morphism $P : T^* M \to TM$ characterized by

$$P(x, \xi) = P(x, \lim_{k \geq i}(\xi_k)) = \lim_{k \geq i}(P_k(x, \xi_k)) \text{ if } x \in M_i$$

Moreover, $P$ is skew-symmetric, relatively to the canonical dual pairing between $T^* M$ and $TM$ in restriction to $T^* M \times TM$.

According to Lemma 3.3.1 we have a vector subbundle $T^* M \to M$ of $T^* M \to M$ and a skew-symmetric morphism $P : T^* M \to TM$. We can associate to these data the algebra $C_P^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ whose differential $df$ is a section of $T^* M \to M$. Denote by $\{\, , \}_P$ the associated bracket. Of course, if $f = \lim_k f_k$ then $f_i = f \circ \epsilon_i$ and $g_i = g \circ \epsilon_i$ and so $df_i = T^* \epsilon_i(df)$. Thus we have:

$$\{f, g\}_p \circ \epsilon_i = df_i(T \epsilon_i(P(df)) = df_i(P_i(dg_i)) = \{f_i, g_i\}_P = \{f \circ \epsilon_i, g \circ \epsilon_i\}_P.$$ 

Since $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_j \circ \epsilon_{ji}$ it follows that

$$\{f, g\}_p = \lim_{i} \{f_i, g_i\}_P.$$ 

Now, as each Poisson bracket $\{\, , \}_P$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, the same is true for $\{f, g\}_P$ and so $(M, C_P^\infty(M), \{\, , \}_P)$ is a partial Poisson structure. Finally the Equation (3.2) means the $\epsilon_i$ is a Poisson morphism. This ends the proof of Theorem 3.2.3 in the case of direct limits.

### 3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.2.3 in the case of projective limit.

Let $\{(M_i, C_P^\infty(M_i), \{\, , \}_P_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an projective sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds. We set $\tau_{ji} = \tau_i \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{j-1} : M_j \to M_i$. From the assumption in Definition 3.2.1(2) the projective limit $M = \varprojlim M_i$ is a Fréchet manifold; in particular $M$ is a convenient manifold. Note that since $\{(M_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an projective sequence of Banach manifolds, this implies that, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a surjective linear continuous map $\hat{\tau}_i : M_{i+1} \to M_i$ (cf. Remark 3.2.2). If we set $\hat{\tau}_{ji} = \hat{\tau}_i \circ \cdots \circ \hat{\tau}_{j-1} : M_j \to M_i$ then
\{ (M_i, \tau_{ji}) \}_{j \geq i} is a projective sequence of Banach spaces and \( M \) is modelled on the Fréchet space \( M = \lim_i(M_i) \). As in [Gal], the set \( \{ (TM_i, T\tau_{ji}) \}_{j \geq i} \) is a strong projective sequence of Banach manifolds and \( TM = \lim_i(TM_i) \) is the kinematic tangent bundle of the Fréchet manifold \( M \) modelled on \( M \). Now, since \( M \) is a Fréchet manifold, the dual convenient kinematic bundle \( p^* : T^*M \rightarrow M \) is well defined and its typical fibre is the strong dual \( M^* \) of \( M \) (cf. [KriMic], 33.1).

We identify \( M \) with the set
\[
\{ x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i : x_i = \tau_{ji}(x_j) \text{ for } j \geq i \geq 0 \}
\]
Since for each \( j \geq i \), \( \tau_{ji} : M_j \rightarrow M_i \) is a submersion, the transpose map \( T^*\tau_{ji} : T^*_{\tau_{ji}(x)}M_i \rightarrow T^*_xM_j \) is a continuous linear injective map whose range is closed, for all \( x \in M_j \). Now we have a submersion \( \bar{\tau} : M \rightarrow M_i \) defined by \( \bar{\tau}(x) = x_i \in M_i \) for each \( x \in M \), and again the transpose map \( T^*\bar{\tau} : T^*_xM \rightarrow T^*\tau_{ji}(x)M_i \rightarrow T^*_xM \) is a linear continuous injection whose range is closed. Therefore we have an ascending sequence \( \{ T^*_{\bar{\tau}(x)}M_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) of closed Banach spaces. Since \( T^*_xM \) is the projective limit of \( \{ T^*_{\bar{\tau}(x)}M_i \} \), each vector space \( \lim_i(T^*_{\bar{\tau}(x)}M_i) \) is the strong dual of \( T^*_xM \) and is a convenient space (cf. [CabPel2]). In particular, we have \( T^*_xM = \lim_i(T^*_{\bar{\tau}(x)}M_i) \).

Now from Definition 3.2.1 Point (2), property (i), we have \( T^*\tau_{ji}(T^*\tau_{ji}(x))M_i \subset T^*_xM_j \) for all \( x \in M_j \). Therefore, with our previous identifications, \( \{ T^*_xM \} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) is an ascending sequence of closed Banach spaces contained in \( T^*_xM \). It follows that \( T^*_xM = \lim_j(T^*_xM) \) is a convenient subspace of \( T^*_xM \). We set \( T^*M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T^*_xM \) and \( p^* : T^*M \rightarrow M \) the map defined by \( p^*(x, \xi) = x \).

We then have the following:

**Lemma 3.4.1.**

1. \( p^* : T^*M \rightarrow M \) is a convenient subbundle of the cotangent bundle \( p^* : T^*M \rightarrow M \).
2. For each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists a canonical bundle morphism \( \tilde{\bar{\tau}}_i : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M_i \) over \( \bar{\tau}_i \) such that \( \tilde{\bar{\tau}}_i(x, \xi) = (x_i, \xi_i) \) if \( x = \lim x_i \) and \( \xi = \lim \xi_i \)
   \[
P_i \circ \tilde{\bar{\tau}}_i(x, \xi) = T^*\tau_{ji} \circ P_j \circ \tilde{\bar{\tau}}_j(x, \xi)
   \]
   for all \( (x, \xi) \in T^*M \) and \( j > i \). Then \( P = \lim_j(P_i \circ \tilde{\bar{\tau}}_i) \) is a bundle morphism from \( T^*M \) to \( T^*M \) which is skew-symmetric (relative to the canonical dual pairing between \( T^*M \) and \( TM \) in restriction to \( T^*M \times TM \)).

According to Lemma 3.4.1 to the skew-symmetric morphism \( P : T^*M \rightarrow TM \) is associated the algebra \( \mathbb{C}^\infty_0(M) \) of smooth functions \( f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) whose differential \( df \) is a section of \( T^*M \rightarrow M \). Denote by \( \{ f_i \}_{P} \) the associated bracket. Of course, if \( f = \lim f_i \) and \( g = \lim g_i \) then \( f = f_i \circ \bar{\tau}_i \) and \( g = g_i \circ \bar{\tau}_i \) and so \( df = T^*\bar{\tau}_i(df_i) \) and \( dg = T^*\bar{\tau}_i(dg_i) \). Using the relation of compatibility
\[
P_i = T^*\tau_{ji} \circ P_j \circ T^*\tau_{ji}
\]
and the relations \( df_j = T^*\tau_{ji}(df_i), \ dg_j = T^*\tau_{ji}(dg_i), \{ f_i, g_i \}_{P_i} = df_i(P_i(dg_i)) \), we obtain:
\[
\{ f_j, g_j \}_{P_j} = \{ f_i, g_i \}_{P_i} \circ \tau_{ji}.
\]
We also have:
\[(3.3)\quad \{ f_i \circ \bar{\tau}_i, g_i \circ \bar{\tau}_i \}_P = \{ f_i, g_i \}_P \circ \bar{\tau}_i.\]
Thus \( \{ f, g \}_P = \varprojlim \{ f_i, g_i \}_P \).

Now, as each Poisson bracket \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \} \) satisfies the Jacobi identity, the same is true for \( \{ f, g \}_P \) and so \( (M, C^\infty_P(M), \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_P) \) is a partial Poisson structure. Finally, Equation \((3.3)\) means that \( \bar{\tau}_i \) is a Poisson morphism. This ends the proof of Theorem 3.2.3 in the case of projective limits.

3.5. Proof of Lemma 3.3.1 and Lemma 3.3.1.

3.5.1. Proof of Lemma 3.3.1. In this proof, we use the notations introduced at the beginning of section 3.3.

We fix some \( x \in M \) and assume that \( x \) belongs to \( M_n \) where \( n \) is the smallest integer for which this property is true. Since \( \{(M_i, \epsilon_i)\}_{i \in N} \) has the limit chart property, there exists a chart \( (U = \lim_{k \to \infty}(U_k), \phi = \lim_{k \to \infty}(\phi_k)) \) around \( x \) such that \( (U_k, \phi_k) \) is a chart around \( \epsilon_kn(x_n) \) in \( M_k \). After restricting \( U_n \) if necessary, we may assume that \( U \cap M_i = \emptyset \) for \( i < n \).

Proof of Point (1)
\cf{Gal}, proof of Proposition 40, 2.

Proof of Point (2)
We begin by considering \( T^*_M M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T^*_x M \) and \( T^*_M M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T^*_x M \) and we denote by \( p^*_M : T^*_M M \to M \) and \( p^*_M : T^*_M M \to M_i \) the canonical associate projections respectively. For each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( j \geq i \), we set \( T^*_M M_j = (T^*_M M)_j, T^*_M M_i = (T^*_M M)_j \) and \( \epsilon_j = \epsilon_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \epsilon_{i+1} \). Then \( T^*_j \) is a morphism from \( TM_j \) to \( TM_j \) and so we get a surjective morphism \( T^*_j \) from \( T^*_M M_j \) into \( T^*_M M_i \) given by \( T^*_\epsilon_j \) on \( T^*_i M_i \).

Thanks to the compatibility relations of local charts, we have
\[(3.4)\quad T^*_j \circ \phi_i \circ T^*_\epsilon_j = T^*_i \circ T^*_\epsilon_j \circ \phi_i \circ \epsilon_j(i M_i \times M_j).
\]

But \( T^*_j \) is the map \( (y, \xi) \mapsto (y, \xi|M_i) \). It follows that \( \{(T^*_M M_j, T^*_\epsilon_j)\}_{j \geq i} \) is a strong projective sequence of bundles over \( M_i \). From \[\text{[Gal]}, \]\( T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j) \to M_i \)
is a Fréchet bundle. Note that \( T^*_M M \) is a Fréchet manifold modelled on \( M_i \times \varprojlim_{j \geq i}(M_j) \). According to Properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.2.1 the same arguments implies that \( \{(T^*_M M_j, T^*_\epsilon_j|T^*_M M_j)\}_{j \geq i} \) is also a strong projective sequence of bundles over \( M_i \).
\[T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j) \to M_i \]
is then a Fréchet bundle and a Fréchet manifold modelled on \( M_i \times \varprojlim_{j \geq i}(F_j) \).

On the one hand, \( \epsilon_j : M_i \to M_j \) is the natural inclusion, which induces the natural inclusion \( \epsilon_j : T^*_M M \to T^*_M M \), namely \( \epsilon_j(\omega)(x_i) = \omega(\epsilon_j(x_i)) \) for any \( x_i \in M_i \). Therefore \( \{(T^*_M M_j, \omega_j)\}_{j \geq i} \) is a direct sequence of Fréchet bundles and so we have \( T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j) \).

If we set \( T^*_M M_j|U_i = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j|U_i) \), in the same way, we also have \( T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j)|U_i \).

\[\text{[Gal]}, \]\( T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j) \to M_i \)
is a Fréchet bundle. Note that \( T^*_M M \) is a Fréchet manifold modelled on \( M_i \times \varprojlim_{j \geq i}(M_j) \). According to Properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.2.1 the same arguments implies that \( \{(T^*_M M_j, T^*_\epsilon_j|T^*_M M_j)\}_{j \geq i} \) is also a strong projective sequence of bundles over \( M_i \).
\[T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j) \to M_i \]
is then a Fréchet bundle and a Fréchet manifold modelled on \( M_i \times \varprojlim_{j \geq i}(F_j) \).

On the one hand, \( \epsilon_j : M_i \to M_j \) is the natural inclusion, which induces the natural inclusion \( \epsilon_j : T^*_M M \to T^*_M M \), namely \( \epsilon_j(\omega)(x_i) = \omega(\epsilon_j(x_i)) \) for any \( x_i \in M_i \). Therefore \( \{(T^*_M M_j, \omega_j)\}_{j \geq i} \) is a direct sequence of Fréchet bundles and so we have \( T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j) \).

If we set \( T^*_M M_j|U_i = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j|U_i) \), in the same way, we also have \( T^*_M M = \varprojlim(T^*_M M_j)|U_i \).
We have \( \phi_{ji} = \phi_{j|i} = \phi_i \) and so \( \phi_{j|i}(U_i) = \phi_i(U_i) \). Therefore \( T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1} \) is a trivialization of \( T^*_i M_j \) onto \( \phi_i(U_i) \times M_j^* \) which is the restriction of \( T^*\phi_{j|i} \) to \( T_iU_i M_j \).

According to [3.4] and the results of [Gal], we get a trivialization \( \lim_l(T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1}) \) of \( T_iU_i M_j \) onto \( \phi_i(U_i) \times M_j^* \). Note that \( \lim_l(T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1}) \) is in fact the adjoint operator of \( T^*_i M_j \) for all \( x \in U_i \) where \( \phi = \lim_l(\phi_j) \) and so \( T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1} = \lim_l(T^*\phi_{j|i}) \).

But since \( (U = \lim_l(U_j), \phi = \lim_l(\phi_j)) \) is a limit chart, for such fixed \( i \), we have \( U = \bigcup_{j \geq i} U_j \) and then \( T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1} U_i = \lim_l(T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1}) \) is a trivialization of \( T^*M \) onto \( \phi(U) \times M_i \).

Thus we get a direct sequence of charts for the direct limit
\[
(T_i U_i M_j = \lim_l(T^*_i M_j), T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1} | U_i) = \lim_l(T^*\phi_{j|i}^{-1})
\]
for the sequence \( \{T^*_i M_j, \epsilon_{ji}\}_{j \geq i} \) around any point \( x \in M \) which belongs to \( M_i \). Note that each manifold \( T^*_i M_j \) is a closed immersed submanifold of \( T^*_i M_j \) modelled on the Fréchet spaces \( M_i \times \lim_l(T^*_i M_j) \) and \( M_j \times \lim_l(M_j^*) \) respectively and the first one is a closed Fréchet subspace of the second one. Note also that \( \lim_l(T^*_i M_j) \times \lim_l(M_j^*) \) is a convenient space which is diffeomorphic to \( M \times M^* \) where \( \overline{M} = \lim_l(M_j) \). By same arguments as in [CabPel2] Proposition 41, we can prove that \( T^*M \) is a convenient manifold whose structural group is a metrizable complete topological group.

According to the assumption (ii) of Point (1) in Definition 3.2.1, the arguments used to prove that \( T^*M = \lim_l(T^*_i M_j) \) is a convenient bundle over \( M \) (with typical fibre \( E = \lim_l(E_j) \)) still work for \( T^*M = \lim_l(T^*_i M_j) \).

**Proof of Point (3)**

Fix some \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). According to assumption (ii) of Point (1) in Definition 3.2.1, \( l \geq j \geq i \) by composition we have over \( M_i \)
\[
P_l = T\epsilon_{lj} \circ P_j \circ T^*\epsilon_{lj} : T^*_l M_j, M_l \rightarrow T^*_l M_i, M_l
\]
This relation is also true in restriction to \( T^*_l M_j \) and, in this case, over \( M_i \), we have the composition
\[
P_l = T\epsilon_{li} \circ P_j \circ T^*\epsilon_{li} : T^*_l M_j, M_i \rightarrow T^*_l M_i, M_i
\]
We set \( P_{ji} = T\epsilon_{li} \circ P_j | M_i \). Therefore we have a morphism \( P_{ji} : T^*_l M_j, M_i \rightarrow T^*_l M_i, M_i \). From the arguments developed in the proof of Point (1), it is easy to see that \( \{(T^*_l M_j, T^*\epsilon_{ji})\}_{j \geq i} \) is a direct sequence of Banach bundles. Since we have \( P_{ji} = T\epsilon_{li} \circ P_j \), we get a morphism \( P_{ji} = \lim_l(T^*_l M_j) \) to \( T^*_l M_i \) from \( T^*_l M_j \).

Note that since we have \( T^*_l M_i \subset T^*_l M_{i+1} \subset \cdots \subset T^*_l M_j \), then we also have
\[
\lim_l(T^*_l M_i) = \bigcup_{j \geq i} T^*_l M_j = T^*_l M_j, M_i = T^*_l M_i, M_i
\]
On one hand, recall that \( \{T^*_l M_j, T^*\epsilon_{ji}|T^*_j, M_j\}_{j \geq i} \) is a strong projective sequence of bundles over \( M_i \) (see the second part of the proof of Point (2)). On the other hand, we have a family of morphisms \( P_{ji} : T^*_l M_j, M_i \rightarrow T^*_l M_i, M_i \) such that \( P_{hi} = P_j \circ T^*\epsilon_{hj} \).

This implies that we get a morphism
\[
P = \lim_l(T^*_l M_j, M_i) = \lim_l(T^*_l M_j, M_j) \rightarrow T^*_l M_i, M_i
\]
By construction, if \((x, \xi) = \lim_{k \to 1}(\xi_k) \in T_M^* M\) then
\[
P_l(x, \xi) = (x, \lim_{k \to 1}(P_k(x, \xi))).
\]
Now recall that each \(P_k\) is antisymmetric, i.e. in each fibre over \(x\) we have the relation
\[
< \eta, P_k(x, \xi) > = - < \xi, P_k(x, \eta) >.
\]
Thus given two covectors \(\xi = \lim_{k \to 1}(\xi_k)\) and \(\eta = \lim_{k \to 1}(\eta_k)\) in \(T_x^* M\), we obtain
\[
< \eta, P_l(x, \xi) > = - < \xi, P_l(x, \eta) >.
\]
Finally, since we have ascending sequences \(\{T_M^* M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\) and \(\{T_M M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\), from the construction of the sequence \(\{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\) of morphisms, we have \(P_l(x, \xi) = \hat{P}_l(x, \xi)\) for all \(j \geq i\); we then obtain a morphism \(P : T^* M \to TM\) which is antisymmetric relatively to the canonical duality pairing between \(T^* M\) and \(TM\) in restriction to \(T^* M \times TM\).

3.5.2. Proof of Lemma 3.4.1 In this proof, we use the notations introduced at the beginning of section 3.4.

In this proof, we will consider a projective sequence \(\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\) of charts such that \((U = \lim_{i \to 1}(U_i), \phi = \lim_{i \to 1}(\phi_i))\) is a chart of \(M\).

**Proof of Point (1)** At first we will show that \(T_M M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T^* \tilde{\pi}(T^* \tilde{\pi}(x) M_i)\) is the total space of a convenient bundle over \(M\) which is nothing more than \(p^* : T^* M \to M\) and that \(T_M M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T^* \tilde{\pi}(T^* \tilde{\pi}(x) M_i)\) is the total space of a convenient bundle \(p' : T' M \to M\) which is also a closed subbundle of \(p : T^* M \to M\).

Fix some chart \((U = \lim_{i \to 1}(U_i), \phi = \lim_{i \to 1}(\phi_i))\) as previously, and for each \(i \in \mathbb{N}\), consider
\[
\bigcup_{x \in U} T^* \tilde{\pi}(T^* \tilde{\pi}(x) M_i) = T^*_M M_i \cap U \subset T^*_M U.
\]
Recall that we have \(\tilde{\pi}(U) = U_i\) and \(\hat{\pi} \circ \phi = \phi_i \circ \tilde{\pi}\) where \(\tilde{\pi}\) is the natural linear projection \(M = \lim_{i \to 1}(M_i)\) on \(M_i\).

Therefore, if \(\hat{\pi}^*\) is the adjoint of \(\hat{\pi}\) then \(\hat{\pi}^*\) is injective and so \(M^*\) can be identified with the direct limit of the ascending sequence \(\{(M_i^*, \hat{\pi}^*_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\) of Banach spaces. It follows that \(T^* : \phi(U) \times M^* \to T^*_M U\) is a bundle isomorphism which is the projective of the trivialization \(T^* \phi^{-1}\) of the cotangent bundle over \(U\). Moreover, on \(TM\), we also have
\[
\hat{T} \hat{\pi} \circ T \phi = T \phi_i \circ T \tilde{\pi}.
\]
We then obtain
\[
(3.5) \quad T^* \phi \circ T^* \tilde{\pi} = T^* \hat{\pi} \circ T^* \phi_i \circ \{\hat{\pi}(\phi(x))\} \times M_i^* \text{ for all } x \in U
\]
In particular
\[
T^* \phi \circ T^* \hat{\pi} : \{\hat{\pi}(\phi(x))\} \times M_i^* \to T^* \hat{\pi}(T^* \tilde{\pi}(x) M_i) \subset T^*_x M
\]
is a linear map for all \(x \in U\).

But \(T^* \hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi}^*_l : \{\hat{\pi}(t)\} \times M_i^* \to \{t\} \times M^*\) is an injective closed linear map for all \(t \in \phi(U)\). Therefore, on the one hand, if we denote \(E_l = \hat{\pi}^*_l(M_i^*)\), then \(\hat{\pi}^*_l\) is an isomorphism from \(M_i^*\) onto the closed Banach subspace \(E_l\) of \(M^*\); so we can
assume that $M^*_i$ is contained in $M^*_\ast$. With these identifications, the map $T^*\phi \circ T^*\hat{\tau}_i$ is nothing but the natural inclusion of $\phi(U) \times M^*_i$ into $\phi(U) \times M^*_\ast$.

In this way, we obtain $T^*\phi(\phi(U) \times M^*_i) = T^*_M M^*_{i|U} \subset T^* M^*_{i|U}$. This implies that $T^*_M M_i$ is the total space of a closed trivial subbundle of $T^* M \to M$ with typical fibre $M^*_i$.

Now, recall that $F_i$ is a Banach subspace of $M^*_i$. From Equation (3.5) and the assumptions of Definition 3.4.1, Point (2) and the previous arguments, we have

$$T^*\phi(\phi(U) \times F_i) = \bigcup_{x \in U} T^*\bar{\tau}_i(T^*_{\bar{\tau}_i(x)} M_i) = T^*_M M^*_{i|U} \subset T^* M^*_{i|U}$$

Therefore $T^*_M M_i$ is also the total space of a closed trivial subbundle of $T^* M \to M$ with typical fibre $F_i$. So the proof of our affirmation is completed.

Since $\hat{\tau}_{ji}$ is a linear continuous closed inclusion of $M^*_i$ into $M^*_j$, via any chart $(U = \overline{\lim(U_i), \phi = \overline{\lim(\phi_i))}}$ with the properties required at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 3.4.1, we can build an injective morphism $T^U_{ji} : T^*_{M_i} M^*_{i|U} \to T^*_{M_j} M^*_{j|U}$ given by

$$T^U_{ji}(x, \xi) = T^*\phi \circ T^*\psi \circ T^*\phi^{-1}(x, \xi)$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in T^*_M M^*_{i|U}$. Moreover for any other chart $(U' = \lim(U_i), \phi' = \lim(\phi_i))$ of this type with $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$, for all $(x, \xi) \in T^*_M M^*_{i|U \cup U'}$, we have

$$T^U_{ji}(x, \xi) = T^*\phi' \circ T^*\phi^{-1} \circ T^U_{ji} \circ T^*\phi \circ T^*\phi'^{-1}(x, \xi)$$

We get an injective bundle morphism $T_{ji} : T^*_M M_i \to T^*_M M_j$ which is nothing but the inclusion of $T^*_M M_i$ into $T^*_M M_j$. In other words, $T^*_M M_i \to M$ is a Banach subbundle of $T^*_M M_j$ for $j \geq i$. Finally, from this construction, it follows that $\{(T^*_M M_i, T_{ji})\}_{j \geq i}$ is an ascending sequence of Fréchet manifolds which has the direct limit chart property at every point of $\lim(T^*_M M_i)$. It follows that $\lim(T^*_M M_i)$ is a convenient manifold modelled on $M \times M^*_\ast$; in particular we have $T^* M = \lim(T^*_M M_i)$. Moreover, around each point $(x, \xi) \in T^*_M M_i$, there exists a chart $(T^*_M M^*_{i|U}, T^*\phi(\lim(T^*_M M_i)))$ where $(U, \phi)$ is a chart around $x$ which has the properties required at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 3.4.1.

Therefore $(T^* M^*_{i|U} = \lim(T^*_M M^*_{i|U})), (T^*)^* = T^*\phi^{-1} = \lim(T^*\phi(\lim(T^*_M M^*_{i|U})))$ is a chart around $(x, \xi = \lim(\xi_i))$ in $T^* M$. This implies that $T^* M = \lim(T^*_M M_i)$ is a convenient vector bundle over $M$ whose typical fibre is $M^*_\ast = \lim(M^*_i)$.

Clearly the same arguments can be applied to $\{(T^*_M M_i, T_{ji} M^*_{j|U})\}_{j \geq i}$ and so we have $T^* M = \lim(T^*_M M_i)$ and we get a convenient vector bundle $p' : T^* M \to M$ with typical fibre $F = \lim(F_i)$.

Proof of Point (2)

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the bundle $\bar{p}'_i : T^*_{M_i} M_i \to M$. Obviously, this bundle is nothing but the pull back over $\bar{\tau}_i : M \to M_i$ of the bundle $p'_i : M_i \to M_i$. Therefore we have a bundle morphism $T^*\bar{\tau}_i$ over $\bar{\tau}_i$ from $T^*_{M_i} M_i$ to $T^* M_i$ such that its restriction to any fibre is an isomorphism whose projective is $T^*\bar{\tau}_i$ in restriction to $T^*_{\bar{\tau}_i(x)} M_i$. Since $T^* M = \lim(T^*_M M_i)$, we have an injective bundle morphism $T_i : T^*_M M_i \to T^* M$ which is the natural inclusion. Note that for all $j > i$ we have
\[ T_j = T_{ji} \circ T_i \] where \( T_{ji} : T'_M M_i \to T'_M M_j \) is the natural inclusion (cf. Proof of Pont(1)).

Now from the relation \( \tilde{\tau}_i = \tilde{\tau}_j \circ \tau_{ji} \), for \( j \geq i \), we obtain for all \( (x, \xi) \in T'_M M_i \):

\[ T'_M \tilde{\tau}_j \circ T_{ji} (x, \xi) = T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i \circ T' \tau_{ji} (x, \xi) \]

Now, for \( (x, \xi) \in T'M \), there exists an integer \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( (x, \xi) \) belongs to \( T'_M M_i \); so \( (x, \xi) \) also belongs to \( T'_M M_j \) for \( j > i \) and we obtain

\[ T'_M \tilde{\tau}_j (x, \xi) = T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i \circ T' \tau_{ji} (x, \xi). \]

Finally, from the assumption (ii) of Point (2) in Definition 3.2.1 by induction on \( j > i \), we get the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
T_i & T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i & T'M_i & P_i \\
\downarrow \text{Id} & \downarrow T_{ji} & \downarrow T' \tau_{ji} & \downarrow T \tau_{ji} \\
T'_M & T'_M \tilde{\tau}_j & T'M_j & P_j \\
T_j & T'_M \tilde{\tau}_j & T'M_j & P_j
\end{array}
\]

We set \( \tilde{P}_i (x, \xi) = P_i (\tilde{\tau}_i (x), T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i \circ T_i (\xi)). \) Note that \( \tilde{P}_i \) is a bundle morphism from \( T'M \) into \( TM_i \) over \( \tilde{\tau}_i \). According to the previous commutative diagram, we obtain

\[ \tilde{P}_j (x, \xi) = T \tau_{ji} \circ \tilde{P}_i (x, \xi) \]

Since \( TM = \varprojlim (TM_i) \) we get bundle morphism \( P = \varprojlim (P_i) : T'M \to TM \). In particular we have \( T \tau_{ij} \circ P = \tilde{P}_i \). Now we can remark that \( T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i = T_i \circ T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i \) is a bundle morphism over \( \tilde{\tau}_i \) such that \( T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i (x, \xi) = (x_i, \xi_i) \) if \( x = \varprojlim (x_i) \) and \( \xi = \varprojlim (\xi_i) \) and also \( \tilde{P}_i = P_i \circ T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i \).

It remains to prove that \( P \) is skew-symmetric. Since \( P_i \) is skew-symmetric relatively the canonical pairing \( < , >_i \) between \( TM_i \) and \( TM_i \) we have

\[ < T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i (x, \eta), \tilde{P}_i (x, \xi) > = - < T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i (x, \xi), \tilde{P}_i (x, \eta) >. \]

If \( < , > \) denotes the canonical pairing between \( T'M \) and \( TM \), for any kinematic differential form \( \eta = \varprojlim (\eta_i) \) and \( \xi = \varprojlim (\xi_i) \) on \( M \) which are sections of \( T'M \to M \). Note in fact, \( \eta = \tilde{\tau}_* \eta_i \) and \( \xi = \tilde{\tau}_* \xi_i \), for any \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). There exists an integer \( i \) such that \( \eta \) and \( \xi \) are sections of \( T'_M M_i \). Therefore

\[ < \eta_i, P(x, \xi) > = < \tilde{\tau}_* \eta_i (P(x, \xi)) = \eta_i (T \tau_{ji} \circ P(x, \xi)) = \eta_i (\tilde{P}_i (x, \xi)) = < T'_M \tilde{\tau}_i (x, \eta), \tilde{P}_i (x, \xi) >. \]

The Equation (3.6) implies that \( P \) is skew-symmetric.

4. **Existence of Almost Symplectic Foliation for Direct Limit Partial Poisson Banach Manifolds**

Before proving a result of the same type as Theorem [2.4.10] for a direct sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds, we need preliminaries on partial Banach Poisson manifolds.

Let \( \pi : E \to M \) be a Banach bundle. Classically, a **Koszul connection** on \( E \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-bilinear map \( \nabla : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E) \) which, for any smooth function \( \phi \) on \( M \), \( X \in \Gamma(M) \) and \( \sigma \in \Gamma(E) \), fulfills the following properties:

\[ \nabla_X (\phi \sigma) = d\phi(X)\sigma + \phi \nabla_X \sigma \]

\[ \nabla_{\phi X} \sigma = \phi \nabla_X \sigma. \]
Unfortunately, in general, a Koszul connection may be not localizable in the following sense:

Since any local section of $E$ (resp. any local vector field on $M$) cannot be always extended to a global section of $E$ (resp. to a global vector field on $M$), the previous operator $\nabla$ cannot always induce a (local) operator $\nabla^U : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(E_U) \to \Gamma(E_U)$.

Therefore, in this work, a Koszul connection will always assumed to be localizable in this sense (For more details see [CabPel2] section 5.2).

Now consider a direct sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds we have:

**Theorem 4.0.1.** Let $\{(M_i, C^{\infty}_P(M_i), \{\ , \} P_j)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a direct sequence of partial Poisson Banach manifolds. Assume that, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the following assumptions are satisfied:

1. There exists a Koszul connection on each $T'M_i$;
2. Over each point $x \in M_i$ the kernel of $P_i$ is supplemented in the fibre $p_i^{-1}(x)$ and the distribution $P(T'M_i)$ is closed;
3. There exists $j_i \geq i$ such that, for any $x \in M_i$, we have $P_{j_i}(T'_j M_{j_i}) = P_{j_i}(T'_j M_{j_i})$ for $j \geq j_i$.

Then we have:

1. Each distribution $P_i(T'M_i)$ on $M_i$ is integrable and the direct limit $\Delta = \lim P_i(T'M_i)$ is also an integrable distribution on $M = \lim_{\rightarrow}(M_i)$.
2. For any $x = \lim (x_i)$, the maximal leaf though $x$ is a weak convenient manifold of $M$ and there exists a leaf $N_i$ of $P_i(T'M_i)$ in $M_i$ through $x_i$, such that the sequence $(N_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending sequence of Banach manifolds whose direct limit $N = \lim_{\rightarrow}(N_i)$ is an integral manifold of $\Delta$ though $x$.
3. The natural almost symplectic structure $(N, \omega_N)$ on a leaf $N$ is such that

$$\omega_N = \lim_{\rightarrow}(\omega_{N_i})$$

Note that the condition (3) is always satisfied in the following cases:

$\leftarrow$: Each manifold $M_i$ is finite dimensional;
$\leftarrow$: Each bundle $T'M_i$ has a finite dimensional fibre;
$\leftarrow$: Each morphism $P_i$ has finite rank.

An ascending sequence of finite dimensional Poisson manifolds $(M_n, \{\ , \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is called compatible if the restriction of the bracket $\{\ , \}_{n+1}$ to smooth functions on $M_n$ coincides with $\{\ , \}_{n}$. It is easy to see that, in this case, all the assumptions of Theorem 4.0.1 are satisfied. Thus we have

**Corollary 4.0.2.** Let $\{(M_i, C^{\infty}_P(M_i), \{\ , \} P_j)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an ascending sequence of compatible Poisson finite dimensional manifolds. Then all conclusions of Theorem 4.0.1 are valid.

**Proof.** We will use the notations and partial results of subsection 3.3.

At first, from Point (1) of Definition 3.2.1, for any $j \geq i$, we have

$$\Delta_{ji} = P_j((T'_j M_j) \subset P_j(T'M_i)) = \Delta_i$$

Let $\tilde{M}_{P_j}$ be the set of local sections associated to the partial Poisson structure $(M_i, M_{P_j}, \{\ , \}_{P_j})$ as defined in Remark 2.3.4. According to the proof of Theorem 2.3.4 $\tilde{M}_{P_j}$ is a generating set for the anchored bundle $(T'M_j, M_j, P_j)$ so
the same property is true for the restriction $\widehat{M}_{\mathcal{P}}(M_i)$ of $\widehat{M}_{\mathcal{P}}$ to $M_i$ for the anchored $(T^\prime_{M_j} M_j, M_i, P_j)$ since $M_i \subset M_j$ and according to (1.1). Now again, from Remark 2.3.6 property (2.7) is true for $\widehat{M}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and the kernel of $P_j$ is supplemented in each fibre over each point of $M_i \subset M_j$; so, by application of Corollary 2.4.8 the distribution $\Delta_{j_i}$ is integrable on $M_i$.

For $i$ fixed, on $M_i$, we have a decreasing sequence of smooth distributions\footnote{Recall that a distribution $\mathcal{D}^\prime$ is contained in a distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on $M$ if, for any $x \in M$, $\mathcal{D}^\prime_x \subset \mathcal{D}_x$.}

$$\Delta_i = \Delta_{i_1} \supset \cdots \supset \Delta_{j_i} \supset \cdots$$

and we set $\Delta_i = \cap_{j \geq i} \Delta_{j_i}$. Note that since $\epsilon_{j_i} : M_i \rightarrow M_j$ is the inclusion and $T^\prime_{M_i} M_j \subset T^\prime_{M_j} M_j$, we have $\epsilon_{j_i} (x) = x$ and $T^\prime_{M_i} \epsilon_{j_i} (\xi) = \xi |_{T^\prime_{M_i} M_i}$ for all $x \in M_i$ and $\xi \in T^\prime_{M_j} M_j$. Therefore from Hahn-Banach theorem $T^\prime_{M_i} \epsilon_{j_i}$ is surjective.

On the one hand, since $T_x M_i \subset T_x M_{i+1} \subset \cdots \subset T_x M_j \subset \cdots \subset T_x M$, we can choose a norm $|||_j$ on $T_x M_j$ for all $j \geq i$ such that $|||_{j+1} \leq |||_j$ for all $j \geq i$; in particular, the operator norm of $T^\prime_x \epsilon_{j_i}$ is bounded by 1. We then obtain a canonical norm $|||_j^*$ on $T^\prime_x M$ and so the operator norm of $T^\prime_x \epsilon_{j_i}$ is bounded by 1 for all $j \geq i$.

According to Property (ii) of Point (1) in Definition 3.2.1, all these considerations imply that the operator norm of $P_j$ is bounded by the operator norm of $P_i$ for $j \geq i$.

Now, if we consider the Banach quotient space $T^\prime_x M_j / \ker(P_j)_{x}$, according to Proposition 2.4.5 each vector space $(\Delta_{j_i})_x$ has its own Banach structure which is isomorphic to $T^\prime_x M_j / \ker(P_j)_{x}$, and so the space $(\Delta_i)_x$ is provided with a Fréchet structure induced by the sequence of Banach spaces $(\Delta_{j_i})_x$. In fact, $(\Delta_i)_x$ is a Banach space.

Fix some $x_0 \in M_i$ and denote by $N_{j_i}$ the maximal leaf of $\Delta_{j_i}$ through $x_0$. We then have the following sequence of (weak) Banach submanifolds modelled on the previous Banach structure on $(\Delta_{j_i})_{x_0}$ and we have

$$N_{i_1} \supset \cdots \supset N_{j_i} \supset \cdots$$

Set $\bar{N}_i = \cap_{j \geq i} N_{j_i}$. We will show that $\bar{N}_i$ is a Banach manifold modelled on the Banach space $(\Delta_i)_x$.

Fix $x \in \bar{N}_i \subset N_{j_i}$. Note that if $(\Delta_i)_x = \{0\}$ then $\bar{N}_i = \{x\}$ and we have nothing to prove. From now on, we assume that $\dim(\Delta_{j_i})_x > 0$.

Consider a chart $(U = \lim(U_j), \phi = \lim(\phi_j))$ around $x$ in $M$ which satisfies Property (iii) of point (1) of Definition 3.2.1. Recall that for each $j$, if $V_j = \phi_j(U_j)$, we have a trivialization $T^\prime \phi_j^{-1} : V_j \times F_j \rightarrow T^\prime_{U_j} M_j$. Since $U_i \subset U_j$ for $i \leq j$, we get a trivialization $\Theta_j : U_i \times F_j \rightarrow T^\prime_{U_i} M_j$. Now, as $\widehat{M}_{\mathcal{P}_j}(M_i)$ is a generating set for the anchored bundle $(T^\prime_{M_j} M_j, M_i, P_j)$ and $\ker(P_j)_{x}$ is supplemented, if we have $T^\prime_{M_j} M_j = \ker(P_j)_{x} \oplus \mathbb{S}_j$ then the vector fields $X_j(\alpha) = P_j \circ \Theta_j (\alpha, \alpha)$ belong to $\widehat{M}_{\mathcal{P}_j}(M_i)$ for all $\alpha \in T^\prime_{X_j} M_j$. Now according to Proposition 2.4.9 there exists a ball $B_{j}(0, r_j)$ in $\mathbb{S}_j$ such that:

1. The map $\Phi_j(\alpha) = \phi_j X_j(\alpha)(x)$ is defined for $\alpha \in B_{j}(0, r_j)$;
2. There exists $0 < \delta_j \leq r_j$ such that $\Phi_j : B_{j}(0, \delta_j) \rightarrow M_i$ is a weak injective immersion;
3. $\Phi_j(B_{j}(0, \delta_j))$ is an integral manifold of $\Delta_{j_i}$ through $x$. 
Note that, in particular, $\Phi_j(B(0_j, \delta_j))$ is an open set in $N_{ji}$. Now from the previous choice of $\{(U_j, \phi_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, on $U_i$, for all $\alpha \in S_{ji}$, we have:

$$T^*\epsilon_{ji} \circ \Theta_j(\cdot, \alpha) = \Theta_i(\cdot, T^*\epsilon_{ji}(\alpha))$$

and so we get

$$X_j(\alpha) = P_j \circ \Theta_j(\cdot, \alpha) = T\epsilon_{ji} \circ P_i \circ T^*\epsilon_{ji}(\Theta_j(\cdot, \alpha)) = T\epsilon_{ji} \circ X_i(T^*\epsilon_{ji}(\alpha)).$$

Therefore $X_j(\alpha)$ is tangent to $\Delta_{ji}$ and so we have:

$$(4.2) \quad \forall \alpha \in B_j(0_j, r_j) \subset S_{ji}, \quad \Phi_j(\alpha) = \Phi_i(T^*\epsilon_{ji}(\alpha))$$

But we have $T^*\epsilon_{ji}(T'_x M_j) \subset T'_x M_i$ and $T_0 \Phi_j$ is an isomorphism from $S_j$ onto $(\Delta_{ji})_x$. According to (4.2), it follows that $T^*\epsilon_{ji}|\Sigma_j$ is a continuous injective linear map into $\Sigma_i$. Again according to (4.2), we deduce that $r_j \geq r_i$ and $\delta_j \geq \delta_i$. For $j \geq i$, we set $S_{ji} = T^*\epsilon_{ji}(S_j) \subset S_{ji}$, $B_{ji}(0, \delta_i) = T^*\epsilon_{ji}(B_j(0, \delta_j)) \cap B_i(0, \delta_i)$, $W_j = \Phi_j(B(0, \delta_j))$ and $W_{ji} = W_j \cap W_i$. Then from the previous considerations, $S_{ji}$ is isomorphic to $(\Delta_{ji})_x$. $W_{ji}$ is an open set in $N_{ji}$ around $x$ and $(W_{ji}, (\Phi_i(B(0, \delta_j))^{-1})$ is a chart for $N_{ji}$ around $x$. We equip $S_{ji}$ with the structure of Banach space such that $T^*\epsilon_{ji}|\Sigma_j$ is an isometry when we put on $S_{ji}$ the norm $||\cdot||^*$ induced from the norm $||\cdot||_j^*$ defined previously on $T^*\epsilon_{ji}M$. Then $\tilde{S}_i = \bigcap_{j \geq i} S_{ji}$ is then provided with a Banach structure. Then, from our previous construction, according to [Gal], we obtain a Banach manifold structure on $\tilde{N}_i$ modelled on $S_{ji}$.

\[\Box\]

**Appendix A. Convenient framework**

The convenient setting discovered by A. Frölicher and A. Kriegl (cf. [FroKri]) gives an adapted framework for differentiation in the spaces we consider here. It coincides with the classical Gâteaux approach on Fréchet spaces.

The references for this section is the tome [KriMic] which includes some further results and the paper [EgeWur].

For short, a convenient vector space $E$ is a locally convex topological vector space (l.c.t.v.s) such that a curve $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ is smooth if and only if $\lambda \circ c$ is smooth for all continuous linear functionals $\lambda$ on $E$. We then get a second topology on $E$ which is the final topology relatively to the set of all smooth curves and called the $C^\infty$-topology. This last topology may be different from the l.c.t.v.s topology and, for this topology, $E$ cannot be a topological vector space. However for Fréchet (and so Banach) spaces, both topologies coincide. A map $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth if and only if $f \circ c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth map for any smooth curve $c$ in $E$.

The convenient calculus provides an appropriate extension of differential calculus to such spaces because, for any $C^\infty$-open set $U$ of a convenient space $E$ and any convenient space $F$, we have the following properties:

- the space $C^\infty(U, F)$ of smooth maps may be endowed with a structure of convenient space;
- the differential operator $d : C^\infty(U, F) \rightarrow C^\infty(U, L(E, F))$ defined by
  $$df(\cdot, v) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + tv) - f(\mathbf{x})}{t}$$
  where $L(E, F)$ denotes the space of all bounded (equivalently smooth) linear mappings from $E$ to $F$, exists and is linear and smooth;
- the chain rule holds.
According to [KriMic], 27.1, a $C^\infty$-atlas modelled on a set $M$ modelled on a convenient space $E$ is a family $\{(U_\alpha, u_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of subsets $U_\alpha$ of $M$ and maps $u_\alpha$ from $U_\alpha$ to $E$ such that:

- $u_\alpha$ is a bijection of $U_\alpha$ onto a $c^\infty$-open subset of $E$ for all $\alpha \in A$;
- $M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$;
- for any $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, $u_{\alpha\beta} = u_\alpha \circ u^{-1}_\beta : u_\beta(U_{\alpha\beta}) \rightarrow u_\alpha(U_{\alpha\beta})$ is a conveniently smooth map.

Classically, we have a notion of equivalent $C^\infty$-atlases on $M$. An equivalent class of $C^\infty$-atlases on $M$ is a maximal $C^\infty$-atlas. Such an atlas defines a topology on $M$ which is not in general Hausdorff.

A maximal $C^\infty$-atlas on $M$ is called a non necessary Hausdorff convenient manifold structure on $M$ (n.n.H. convenient manifold $M$ for short); it is called a Hausdorff convenient manifold structure on $M$ when the topology defined by this atlas is a Hausdorff topological space.

Following the classical framework, when $E$ is a Banach space (resp. a Fréchet space) we say that $M$ is a Banach manifold (resp. a Fréchet manifold) if $M$ is provided with a $C^\infty$-atlas (modelled on $E$) which generates a Hausdorff topological space.

The notion of vector bundle modelled on a convenient space over a n.n.H. convenient manifold is defined in a classic way (cf. [KriMic], 29). Note that since a convenient space is Hausdorff, a vector bundle modelled on a convenient space has a natural structure of n.n.H. convenient manifold which is Hausdorff if and only if the base is a Hausdorff convenient manifold.

The notion of weak submanifold is adapted from [Pel] as follows.

A weak submanifold of $M$ is a pair $(N, \varphi)$ where $N$ is a non necessarily Hausdorff connected manifold (modelled on a convenient space $F$) and $\varphi : N \rightarrow M$ is a conveniently smooth map such that:

- there exists a continuous injective linear map $i : F \rightarrow E$ (for the structure of l.c.v.s. of $E$)
- $\varphi$ is an injective conveniently smooth map and the tangent map $T_x \varphi : T_x N \rightarrow T_{\varphi(x)} M$ is an injective continuous linear map with closed range for all $x \in N$.

### Appendix B. Direct limits

The reference for this section is [CabPel2].

**B.1. Direct system.** Let $(I, \leq)$ be a directed set and $\mathcal{A}$ a category.

$S = \left\{ (Y_i, \epsilon^i_j) \right\}_{i \in I, j \in I, i \leq j}$ is called a *direct system* if

- $\epsilon^i_i = \text{Id}_{Y_i}$

such that:

**(DS 1):** $\forall i \in I$, $\epsilon^i_i = \text{Id}_{Y_i}$;
(DS 2): $\forall (i, j, k) \in I^3: i \leq j \leq k, \epsilon_i^k \circ \epsilon_j^k = \epsilon_i^k$.

When $I = \mathbb{N}$ with the usual order relation, countable direct systems are called direct sequences.

$S = \{(Y, \epsilon_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is called a cone if $Y \in \text{ob} A$ and $\epsilon_i : Y_i \rightarrow Y$ is such that $\epsilon_j^i \circ \epsilon_i = \epsilon_j$ whenever $i \leq j$.

A cone $\{(Y, \epsilon_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a direct limit of $S$ if for every cone $\{(Z, \theta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ over $S$ there exists a unique morphism $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $\psi \circ \epsilon_i = \theta_i$. We then write $Y = \lim_s S$ or $Y = \lim_i Y_i$.

B.2. Ascending sequences of supplemented Banach spaces. Let $M'_1, M'_2, ...$ be Banach spaces such that:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\{ & M_1 = M'_1 \\
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, & M_{n+1} \simeq M_n \times M'_{n+1} \\
\end{aligned}
\]

For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i < j$, let us consider the injections

\[
\begin{aligned}
i_i^j : & M_i \simeq M'_i \times \cdots \times M'_i \\
& (x'_1, \ldots, x'_i) \rightarrow (x'_i, \ldots, 0, \ldots, 0)
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\{(M_n, i_n^{n+1})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}
\]

is called an ascending sequence of supplemented Banach spaces.

B.3. Direct limits of ascending sequences of Banach manifolds. $\mathcal{M} = (M_n, \epsilon_n^{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called an ascending sequence of Banach manifolds if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(M_n, \epsilon_n^{n+1})$ is a weak submanifold of $M_{n+1}$ where the model $M_n$ is supplemented in $M_{n+1}$.

Proposition B.3.1. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_n, \epsilon_n^{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an ascending sequence of Banach manifolds. Assume that for $x \in M = \lim_{n} M_n$, there exists a family of charts $(U_n, \phi_n)$ of $M_n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

(ASC 1): $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending sequence of chart domains;

(ASC 2): $\phi_{n+1} \circ \epsilon_n^{n+1} = \epsilon_n \circ \phi_n$.

Then $U = \lim_{n} U_n$ is an open set of $M$ endowed with the DL-topology and $\phi = \lim_{n} \phi_n$ is a well defined map from $U$ to $M = \lim_{n} M_n$.

Moreover, $\phi$ is a continuous homeomorphism from $U$ onto the open set $\phi(U)$ of $M$.

We say that an ascending sequence $\mathcal{M} = (M_n, \epsilon_n^{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Banach manifolds has the direct limit chart property (DLCP) if $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (ASC 1) et (ASC 2).

We then have the following result proved in [CalPaz].

Theorem B.3.2. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{(M_n, \epsilon_n^{n+1})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an ascending sequence of Banach manifolds, modelled on the Banach spaces $M_n$. Assume that $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the direct limit chart property at each point $x \in M = \lim_{n} M_n$. 


Then there is a unique non necessarily Hausdorff convenient manifold structure on $M = \lim M_n$, modelled on the convenient space $M = \lim M_n$ endowed with the DL-topology.

Moreover, if each $M_n$ is paracompact, then $M = \lim M_n$ is provided with a Hausdorff convenient manifold structure.

### B.4. Direct limits of Banach vector bundles

A sequence $\mathcal{E} = (E_n, \pi_n, M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Banach vector bundles is called a strong ascending sequence of Banach vector bundles if the following assumptions are satisfied:

**ASVB 1):** $M = (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending sequence of Banach $C^\infty$-manifolds, where $M_n$ is modelled on the Banach space $M_n$ such that $M_n$ is a supplemented Banach subspace of $M_{n+1}$ and the inclusion $\epsilon^{n+1}_n : M_n \rightarrow M_{n+1}$ is a $C^\infty$ injective map such that $(M_n, \epsilon^{n+1}_n)$ is a weak submanifold of $M_{n+1}$;

**ASVB 2):** The sequence $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending sequence such that the sequence of typical fibers $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending sequence of Banach spaces and $E_n$ is a supplemented Banach subspace of $E_{n+1}$;

**ASVB 3):** For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi_{n+1} \circ \lambda^{n+1}_n = \epsilon^{n+1}_n \circ \pi_n$ where $\lambda^{n+1}_n : E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ is the natural inclusion;

**ASVB 4):** Any $x \in M = \lim M_n$ has the direct limit chart property (DLCP) for $(U = \lim U_n, \phi = \lim \phi_n)$;

**ASVB 5):** For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a trivialization $\Psi_n : (\pi_n)^{-1}(U_n) \rightarrow U_n \times E_n$ such that, for any $i \leq j$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_i^{-1}(U_i) & \rightarrow & (\pi_j)^{-1}(U_j) \\
\Psi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_j \\
U_i \times E_n & \rightarrow & U_j \times E_j.
\end{array}
$$

For example, the sequence $(TM_n, \pi_n, M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strong ascending sequence of Banach vector bundles whenever $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending sequence which has the direct limit chart property at each point of $x \in M = \lim M_n$ whose model $M_n$ is supplemented in $M_{n+1}$.

We then have the following result given in [CabPel2].

**Proposition B.4.1.** Let $(E_n, \pi_n, M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strong ascending sequence of Banach vector bundles. We have:

1. $\lim E_n$ has a structure of not necessarily Hausdorff convenient manifold modelled on the LB-space $\lim M_n \times \lim E_n$ which has a Hausdorff convenient structure if and only if $M$ is Hausdorff.

2. $(\lim E_n, \lim \pi_n, \lim M_n)$ can be endowed with a structure of convenient vector bundle whose typical fibre is $\lim E_n$ and whose structural group is a Fréchet topological group.

### Appendix C. Projective limits

The reference for this section is the book [DGV].
C.1. Projective systems. Let \( (I, \leq) \) be a directed set and \( \mathcal{A} \) a category. \( S = \left\{ \left( X_i, \mu^i \right) \right\}_{(i,j) \in I^2, \ i \leq j} \) is called a projective system if

\[
\begin{align*}
- & \text{: For all } i \in I, \ X_i \text{ is an object of the category;}
\text{-} & \text{: For all } (i, j) \in I^2 : j \geq i, \ \mu^i_j : X_j \rightarrow X_i \text{ is a morphism (bonding map)}
\end{align*}
\]

such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
(PS 1): & \quad \forall i \in I, \ m_{i}^i = \text{Id}_{X_i}; \\
(PS 2): & \quad \forall (i, j, k) \in I^3 : i \leq j, \ \mu^j_k \circ \mu^i_j = \mu^i_k.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( S = \left\{ \left( X_i, \mu^i \right) \right\}_{(i,j) \in I^2, \ i \leq j} \) be a projective system. The set

\[
X = \left\{ \left( x_i \right) \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i : \forall (i, j) \in I^2, \mu^i_j(x_j) = x_i \right\}
\]

is called the projective limit of the system \( S \) and is denoted by \( \varprojlim X_i \).

When \( I = \mathbb{N} \) with the usual order relation, countable projective systems are called projective sequences.

C.2. Projective limits of Banach manifolds. Let \( \left( M_i, \mu^i \right)_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \ i \leq j} \) be a projective sequence of Banach \( C^\infty \)-manifolds, where \( \mu^i_j : M_j \rightarrow M_i \) are smooth maps, \( M_i \) being modelled on the Banach spaces \( M_i \).

The space \( \varprojlim M_i \) is called a projective limit of Banach manifolds, provided that the sequence of models \( (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) forms a projective sequence with connecting morphisms \( \mu^i_j : M_j \rightarrow M_i \) whose projective limit is the Fréchet space \( \mathcal{M} = \varprojlim M_n \) and has the projective limit chart property at any point:

\[
(PLCP): \quad \text{for all } x = (x_n) \in M = \varprojlim M_n, \text{ there exists a projective system of local charts } (U_n, \phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ such that } x_n \in U_n \text{ where } \phi_i \circ \mu^i_j = \mu^i_j \circ \phi_j \text{ and where } U = \varprojlim U_n \text{ is open in } M.
\]

In this situation, the projective limit \( M = \varprojlim M_n \) has a structure of Fréchet manifold modelled on the Fréchet space \( \mathcal{M} \) where the differentiable structure is defined via the charts \( (U, \phi) \) where \( \phi = \varprojlim \phi_n : U \rightarrow (\phi_n(U_n)) \).

\( \phi \) is a homeomorphism (projective limit of homeomorphisms) and the charts changings

\[
\left( \phi^\alpha \circ (\phi^\beta)^{-1} \right)_{|\phi^\beta(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta})} = \lim_{\mathcal{C}} \left( \left( \phi_n^\alpha \circ (\phi_n^\beta)^{-1} \right)_{|\phi_n^\beta(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta})} \right)
\]

between open sets of Fréchet spaces are smooth.

Remark C.2.1. A particular case of projective systems of Banach manifolds is the situation where we have a sequence \( (M_i, \tau_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) with \( \tau_i : M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i \) is a submersion for each \( i \). Indeed, since the composition of submersions is a submersion, we get a family \( \mu^i_j = \tau_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_i : M_j \rightarrow M_i \) of submersions for all \( j > i \), and for all \( i \in \mathbb{N}, \mu^i_i = \text{Id}_{M_i} \). So \( \left( M_i, \mu^i_j \right)_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \ i \leq j} \) is a projective sequence of Banach manifolds.
C.3. Projective limits of Banach vector bundles. Let \( \mathcal{E} = \{(E_n, \pi_n, M_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a projective sequence of Banach vector bundles if the following conditions are satisfied:

\[\text{(PSBVB 1):} \left( M_i, \mu_i^j \right)_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \; i \leq j} \text{ is a projective sequence of Banach } C^\infty\text{-manifolds;}\]

\[\text{(PSBVB 2):} \left( E_i, \lambda_i^j \right)_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \; i \leq j} \text{ is a sequence of Banach } C^\infty\text{-manifolds and} \left( \mathbb{E}_i, \lambda_i^j \right)_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \; i \leq j} \text{ is a projective sequence of Banach spaces where} \mathbb{E}_i \text{ is the fibre of } \mathbb{E}_i;\]

\[\text{(PSBVB 3): For all } i \leq j, \pi_j \circ \lambda_i^j = \mu_i^j \circ \pi_i; \]

\[\text{(PSBVB 4):} (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ has the projective limit chart property } (PLCL) \text{ at any point relatively to } (U = \lim U_n, \phi = \lim \phi_n); \]

\[\text{(PSBVB 5): For any } x \in M = \lim M_n, \text{ there exists a local trivialization } \tau_n : \pi_n^{-1}(U_n) \to U_n \times \mathbb{E}_n \text{ such that the following diagram is commutative:}\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau_i \downarrow & \lambda_i^j & \downarrow \tau_j \\
U_i \times \mathbb{E}_i & \mu_i^j \times \lambda_i^j & U_j \times \mathbb{E}_j
\end{array}
\]

Adapting the result of [DGV], 5.2, we get the following theorem:

**Theorem C.3.1.** Let \( \{(E_n, \pi_n, M_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a projective sequence of Banach vector bundles. The triple \( \left( \lim E_n, \lim \pi_n, \lim M_n \right) \) is a Fréchet vector bundle.

A set \( \{U^\alpha = \lim (U_n^\alpha, \tau_n = \tau_n^\alpha)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in A} \) such that the following diagrams are commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau_i^\alpha \downarrow & \lambda_i^j & \downarrow \tau_j^\alpha \\
U_i^\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_i & \mu_i^j \times \lambda_i^j & U_j^\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_j
\end{array}
\]

and \( \{U_n^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \) is a covering of \( M_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) will be called a Fréchet bundle atlas.

**Remark C.3.2.** In particular, when we a family \( (M_i, \tau_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) with \( \tau_i : M_{i+1} \to M_i \) is a submersion for each \( i \), then \( (TM_i, T\tau_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) gives rise to a projective system \( (TM_i, T\mu_i^j)_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \) of Banach bundles.
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