LEFT-IN Variant VECTOR FIELDS ON A LIE 2-GROUP
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Abstract. A Lie 2-group $G$ is a category internal to the category of Lie groups. Consequently it is a monoidal category and a Lie groupoid. The Lie groupoid structure on $G$ gives rise to the Lie 2-algebra $X(G)$ of multiplicative vector fields, see [2]. The monoidal structure on $G$ gives rise to a left action of the 2-group $G$ on the Lie groupoid $G$, hence to an action of $G$ on the Lie 2-algebra $X(G)$. As a result we get the Lie 2-algebra $X(G)^G$ of left-invariant multiplicative vector fields.

On the other hand there is a well-known construction that associates a Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ to a Lie 2-group $G$: apply the functor $\text{Lie} : \text{LieGp} \rightarrow \text{LieAlg}$ to the structure maps of the category $G$. We show that the Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the Lie 2-algebra $X(G)^G$ of left invariant multiplicative vector fields.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that a strict Lie 2-group $G$ is a category internal to the category $\text{LieGp}$ of Lie groups (the notions of internal categories, functors and natural transformations are recalled in Definition 2.3). Thus $G$ is a category whose collection of objects is a Lie group $G_0$, the collection of morphisms is a Lie group $G_1$ and all the structure maps: source $s$, target $t$, unit $1 : G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ and composition $* : G_1 \times_{s,G_0,t} G_1 \rightarrow G_1$ are maps of Lie groups.

There is a well-known functor $\text{Lie} : \text{LieGp} \rightarrow \text{LieAlg}$ from the category of Lie groups to the category of Lie algebras. The functor $\text{Lie}$ assigns to a Lie group $H$ its tangent space at the identity $\mathfrak{h} = T_e H$. The Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{h}$ is defined by the identification of $T_e H$ with the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on the Lie group $H$. To a map $f : H \rightarrow L$ of Lie groups the functor $\text{Lie}$ assigns the differential $T_e f : T_e H \rightarrow T_e L$, which happens to be a Lie algebra map. Consequently given a Lie 2-group $G = \{G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0\}$ we can apply the functor $\text{Lie}$ to all the structure maps of $G$ and obtain a (strict) Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \{\mathfrak{g}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g}_0\}$.

On the other hand, any Lie 2-group happens to be a Lie groupoid. In fact, it is an action groupoid [1, Proposition 32] (see also Corollary 2.7 below). Hepworth in [6] pointed out that any Lie groupoid $K$ possesses a category $X(K)$ of vector fields (and not just a vector space). The objects of this category are well-known multiplicative vector fields of Mackenzie and Xu [9]. Multiplicative vector fields on a Lie groupoid naturally form a Lie algebra. It was shown in [2] that the space of morphisms of $X(K)$ is a Lie algebra as well, and moreover $X(K)$ is a strict Lie 2-algebra (that is, a category internal to Lie algebras). One may expect that for a Lie 2-group $G$ one can define the Lie 2-algebra $X(G)^G$ of left-invariant vector fields on $G$ and that this Lie 2-algebra is isomorphic to the Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. But what does it mean for a Lie 2-group to act on its Lie 2-algebra? And what does it mean to be left-invariant for such an action? We proceed by analogy with ordinary Lie groups.
A Lie group $H$ acts on itself by left multiplication: for any $x \in H$ we have a diffeomorphism $L_x : H \to H$, $L_x(a) := xa$. These diffeomorphisms, in turn, give rise to a representation
\[
\lambda : H \to \text{GL}(\mathcal{X}(H))
\]
of the group $H$ on the vector space $\mathcal{X}(H)$ of vector fields on the Lie group $H$. Namely, for each $x \in H$, the linear map $\lambda(x) : \mathcal{X}(H) \to \mathcal{X}(H)$ is defined by
\[
\lambda(x)v := TL_x \circ v \circ L_{x^{-1}}
\]
for all vector fields $v \in \mathcal{X}(H)$. Next recall that given a representation $\rho : H \to \text{GL}(V)$ of a Lie group $H$ on a vector space $V$ the space $V^H$ of $H$-fixed vectors is usually defined by
\[
V^H = \{v \in V \mid \rho(x)v = v \text{ for all } x \in H\}.
\]
The space $V^H$ has the following universal property: for any linear map $f : W \to V$ (where $W$ is some vector space) so that
\[
\rho(x) \circ f = f
\]
for all $x \in H$, there is a unique linear map $\bar{f} : W \to V^H$ so that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{f} & V \\
\downarrow{\bar{f}} & & \downarrow{\bar{f}} \\
V^H & \xrightarrow{\iota} & V
\end{array}
\]
commutes. Here $\iota : V^H \hookrightarrow V$ is the inclusion map. If we view the group $H$ as a category $BH$ with one object $\ast$ and $\text{Hom}_{BH}(\ast, \ast) = H$, then the representation $\rho : H \to \text{GL}(V)$ can be viewed as the functor $\rho : BH \to \text{Vect}$ (where $\text{Vect}$ is the category of vector spaces and linear maps) with $\rho(\ast) = V$. From this point of view the vector space $V^H$ of $H$-fixed vectors “is” the limit of the functor $\rho$:
\[
V^H = \text{lim}(\rho : BH \to \text{Vect}).
\]
Consequently the vector space $\mathcal{X}(H)^H$ of left-invariant vector fields on a Lie group $H$ is the limit of the functor $\lambda : BH \to \text{Vect}$ with $\lambda(\ast) = \mathcal{X}(H)$ and $\lambda(x)v = TL_x \circ v \circ L_{x^{-1}}$ for all $x \in H, v \in \mathcal{X}(H)$:
\[
\mathcal{X}(H)^H = \text{lim}(\lambda : BH \to \text{Vect}).
\]

Now consider a Lie 2-group $G$. Each object $x$ of $G$ gives rise to a functor $L_x : G \to G$ which is given on an arrow $b \xleftarrow{\sigma} a$ of $G$ by
\[
L_x(b \xleftarrow{\sigma} a) = x \cdot b \cdot 1_x^{-\sigma} \cdot x \cdot a.
\]
Here $\cdot$ denotes both multiplications: in the group $G_0$ and in the group $G_1$. The symbol $1_x$ stands for the identity arrow at the object $x$. For any arrow $y \xleftarrow{\gamma} x$ of $G$ there is a natural transformation
\[
L_\gamma : L_x \Rightarrow L_y.
\]
The component of $L_\gamma$ at an object $a$ of $G$ is defined by
\[
L_\gamma(a) = y \cdot a \xleftarrow{\gamma^{-1} \circ \sigma} x \cdot a.
\]
The proof that $L_\gamma$ is in fact a natural transformation is not completely trivial; see Lemma 3.8.

Next recall that there is a tangent (2-)functor $T : \text{LieGpd} \to \text{LieGpd}$ from the category of Lie groupoids to itself. This functor is an extension of the tangent functor $T : \text{Man} \to \text{Man}$ on the category of manifolds. On objects $T$ assigns to a Lie groupoid $K$ its tangent groupoid $TK$. On morphisms $T$ assigns to a functor $f : K \to K'$ the derivative $Tf : TK \to TK'$. To a natural transformation $\alpha : f \Rightarrow f'$ between two functors $f, f' : K \to K'$ the functor $T$ assigns the derivative $T\alpha$ (note that a natural transformation $\alpha$ is, in particular, a smooth map $\alpha : K_0 \to K'_1$, so $T\alpha : TK_0 \to TK'_1$ makes sense). Note also that the projection functors $\pi_K : TK \to K$ assemble into a (2-)natural transformation $\pi : T \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{LieGpd}}$. 

Given an object \( x \) of a Lie 2-group \( G \) there is a functor \( \lambda(x) : \mathbb{X}(G) \to \mathbb{X}(G) \) from the category of vector fields on the Lie groupoid \( G \) to itself (see Lemma 3.12 and the discussion right after it). It is defined as follows: given a multiplicative vector field \( v : G \to TG \), the value of \( \lambda(x) \) on \( v \) is given by

\[
\lambda(x)(v) := TL_x \circ v \circ L_{x^{-1}}.
\]

The value of \( \lambda(x) \) on a morphism \( \alpha : v \Rightarrow w \) (i.e., on a natural transformation between the two functors) of \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) is the composite

\[
TG \xrightarrow{TL_x} TG \xrightarrow{v} G \xrightarrow{L_{x^{-1}}} G.
\]

That is,

\[
\lambda(x)(\alpha) := TL_x \alpha L_{x^{-1}},
\]

the whiskering of the natural transformation \( \alpha \) by the functors \( TL_x \) and \( L_{x^{-1}} \). Note that \( \lambda(x) \circ \lambda(x^{-1}) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{X}(G)} = \lambda(x^{-1}) \circ \lambda(x) \). And, more generally, \( \lambda(x) \circ \lambda(y) = \lambda(x \cdot y) \) for all objects \( x, y \) of the Lie 2-group \( G \). For any arrow \( y \xrightarrow{x} x \) in the category \( G \) we have a natural transformation \( \lambda(\gamma) : \lambda(x) \Rightarrow \lambda(y) \): its component

\[
\lambda(\gamma)v : \lambda(x)v \Rightarrow \lambda(y)v
\]

at a multiplicative vector field \( v \) is given by the composite

\[
TG \xrightarrow{TL_y} TG \xrightarrow{v} G \xrightarrow{L_{y^{-1}}} G.
\]

We can always think of a Lie 2-algebra \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) as a 2-vector space (i.e., a category internal to the category of vector spaces) by forgetting the Lie brackets. A 2-vector space has a strict 2-group of automorphisms. By definition the objects of this 2-group are strictly invertible functors internal to the category of vector spaces and the morphisms are natural isomorphisms (also internal to the category of vector spaces). We denote the 2-group of automorphisms of \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) by \( \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \). The functors \( \lambda(x) \) and the natural transformations \( \lambda(\gamma) \) described above assemble into a single homomorphism of 2-groups \( \lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \) (i.e., a functor internal to the category of groups), which we can think of as the “left regular representation” of the Lie 2-group \( G \) on its category of vector fields \( \mathbb{X}(G) \). The main result of the paper may now be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( G \) be a (strict) Lie 2-group, \( \mathfrak{g} \) its Lie 2-algebra obtained by applying the Lie functor to its structure maps, \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) the Lie 2-algebra of multiplicative vector fields, and \( \lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \) the representation of \( G \) on the 2-vector space \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) of multiplicative vector fields which arises from the left multiplication as described above. There is a natural 1-morphism \( p : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{X}(G) \) of Lie 2-algebras which is fully faithful and injective on objects. Hence the image \( p(\mathfrak{g}) \) of the functor \( p \) is a full Lie 2-subalgebra of \( \mathbb{X}(G) \).

Moreover the inclusion \( p(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{X}(G) \) is the strict conical 2-limit of the functor \( \lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \). Hence the Lie 2-algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) is isomorphic to the Lie 2-algebra \( \mathbb{X}(G)^G := \lim(\lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G))) \) of left-invariant vector fields on the Lie 2-group \( G \).

**Related work.** Higher Lie theory is a well-developed subject. The ideas go back to the work of Quillen [11] and Sullivan [15] on rational homotopy theory. The problem of associating a Lie 2-algebra to a strict Lie 2-group is, of course, solved by applying a Lie functor to the Lie 2-group. In fact a much harder problem has been solved by Severa who introduced a Lie-like functors that go from Lie \( n \)-groups to \( L_\infty \)-algebras and from Lie \( n \)-groupoids to dg-manifolds [12, 13]. In particular one can use Severa’s method to differentiate weak Lie 2-groups [7].

An even harder problem is that of integration. We note the work of Crainic and Fernandes [3], Getzler [4], Henriques [5] and Severa and Širán [14].
Outline of the paper.
In Section 2 we fix our notation, which unfortunately is considerable. We recall the definitions internal categories, of 2-groups, Lie 2-groups, Lie 2-algebras and 2-vector spaces. We then recall the interaction of composition and multiplications in a Lie 2-group and the fact that any Lie 2-group is a Lie groupoid. We discuss the category of vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(K)$ on a Lie groupoid $K$ and the fact that this category is naturally a Lie 2-algebra. In particular we discuss the origin of the Lie bracket on the space of morphisms of $\mathfrak{X}(K)$.

In Section 3 we discuss the 2-group of automorphisms of a category. We define an action of a 2-group on a category and express the action in terms of a 1-morphism of 2-groups. We show that the multiplication of a Lie 2-group $G$ leads to an action $L : G \to \text{Aut}(G)$ of the group on itself by smooth (internal) functors and natural isomorphisms. We show that an action of a Lie 2-group $G$ on a Lie groupoid $K$ by smooth (internal) functors and natural isomorphisms leads to a representation of $G$ on the the 2-vector space $\mathfrak{X}(K)$ of vector fields on $K$. In particular left multiplication $L : G \to \text{Aut}(G)$ leads to a representation $\lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathfrak{X}(G))$ of a Lie 2-group $G$ on its 2-vector space of vector fields. Various results of this section may well be known to experts. I don’t know of suitable references.

In Section 4 for a Lie 2-group $G$ we construct a 1-morphism of Lie 2-algebras $p : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(G)$ which is fully faithful and injective on objects. Consequently the image $p(\mathfrak{g})$ is a full Lie 2-subalgebra of the Lie 2-algebra of vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(G)$.

Finally in Section 5 we show that the inclusion $i : p(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is a strict conical 2-limit of the left regular representation $\lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathfrak{X}(G))$.
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2. Background and notation

**Notation 2.1.** Given a natural transformation $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$ between a pair of functors $f, g : A \to B$ we denote the component of $\alpha$ at an object $a$ of $A$ either as $\alpha_a$ or as $\alpha(a)$, depending on readability.

**Notation 2.2.** Given a category $C$ we denote its collection of objects by $C_0$ and its collection of morphisms by $C_1$. The source and target maps of the category $C$ are denoted by $s, t : C_1 \to C_0$, respectively. The unit map from objects to morphisms is denoted by $1 : C_0 \to C_1$. We write

$$\ast : C_1 \times_{s, C_0, t} C_1 \to C_1, \quad (\sigma, \gamma) \mapsto \sigma \ast \gamma$$

to denote composition in the category $C$. Here and elsewhere

$$C_2 := C_1 \times_{s, C_0, t} C_1 = \{(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in G_1 \times G_1 \mid s(\gamma_2) = t(\gamma_1)\}$$

denotes the fiber product of the maps $s : C_1 \to C_0$ and $t : C_1 \to C_0$.

In this paper there are many Lie 2-algebras, compositions and multiplications. For the reader’s convenience we summarize our notation below. Some of the notation has already been introduced above. The explanation of the rest follows the summary.

**Summary of notation.**

$s, t : C_1 \to C_0$ \hspace{1cm} The source and target maps of a category $C$.

$\ast : C_1 \times_{s, C_0, t} C_1 \to C_1$ \hspace{1cm} The composition map of a category $C$.

$1 : C_0 \to C_1$ \hspace{1cm} The unit map of a category $C$.

$1_x \in C_1$ \hspace{1cm} the value of the unit map $1 : C_0 \to C_1$ on an object $x$ of $C$. 
The whiskering of a natural transformation $\alpha : k \Rightarrow h$ by functors $g$ and $f$:

$$g\alpha f = D \overset{g}{\leftarrow} C \overset{f}{\leftarrow} B \overset{h}{\leftarrow} A.$$ 

$G = \{G_1 \Rightarrow G_0\}$ a Lie 2-group with the Lie group $G_0$ of objects and $G_1$ of morphisms.

e_0 \in G_0, e_1 \in G_1$ the multiplicative identities in the Lie groups $G_0$ and $G_1$ respectively.

g = \{g_1 \Rightarrow g_0\}$ the Lie 2-algebra of a Lie 2-group $G$ obtained by applying the Lie functor to the objects, morphisms and the structure maps of $G$: $g_0 = T_{e_0}G_0$, $g_1 = T_{e_1}G_1$.

$L(G)$ the Lie 2-algebra of a Lie 2-group $G$ whose objects are the left-invariant vector fields on the Lie group $G_0$ and morphisms are the left-invariant vector fields on the Lie group $G_1$. It is isomorphic to $g$.

$X(M)$ the Lie algebra of vector fields on a manifold $M$.

$\cdot$ or $m$ the multiplication of the Lie 2-group $G$. We may view $m$ as a functor. It has components $m_1 : G_1 \times G_1 \to G_1$ and $m_0 : G_0 \times G_0 \to G_0$. We may abbreviate $m_1$ and $m_0$ as $m$.

$\ast : TK_1 \times_{TK_0} TK_1 \to TK$ the composition in the tangent groupoid $TK$ of a Lie groupoid $K$; $\ast$ is the derivative of the composition $* : K_1 \times_{K_0} K_1 \to K_1$.

$X(K)$ the Lie 2-algebra of vector fields on a Lie groupoid $K$ or the 2-vector space underlying the Lie 2-algebra.

$L_z : Z \to Z$ left multiplication diffeomorphism of a Lie group $Z$ defined by an element $z \in Z$: $L_z(z') = zz'$ for all $z' \in Z$.

$L_x : G \to G$ the functor from a Lie 2-group $G$ to itself defined by the left multiplication by an object $x$ of $G$.

$L_\gamma : L_x \Rightarrow L_y$ the natural transformation between two left multiplication functors defined by an arrow $x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y$ in a Lie 2-group $G$.

$\lambda(x) : X(G) \to X(G)$ the 1-morphism of the 2-vector space $X(G)$ induced by an object $x$ of $G$. It is induced by the left-multiplications functors $TL_x : TG \to TG$ and $L_{x^{-1}} : G \to G$.

$\lambda(\gamma) : \lambda(x) \Rightarrow \lambda(y)$ the 2-morphism of the Lie 2-algebra $X(G)$ induced by an arrow $x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y$ in the Lie 2-group $G$. 

Definition 2.3. Recall that given a category $C$ with finite limits one can talk about categories internal to $C$ [10]. Namely a category $C$ internal to the category $C$ consists of two objects $C_1, C_0$ of $C$ together with a five morphisms of $C$ $s, t : C_1 \to C_0$ (source, target), $1 : C_0 \to C_1$ (unit) and composition/multiplication $* : C_1 \times_{C_0} C_1 \to C_1$ satisfying the usual equations. Similarly, given two categories internal to $C$ there exist internal functors between them. Internal functors consists of pairs of morphisms of $C$ satisfying the appropriate equations. And given two internal functors one can talk about internal natural transformations between the functors. The categories $C$ of interest to us include groups, vector spaces, Lie groups and Lie algebras. The resulting internal categories are called 2-groups (also known as cat-groups, categorical groups, gr-categories and categories with a group structure), Baez-Crans 2-vector spaces, Lie 2-groups and Lie 2-algebras, respectively.

We note that in particular a Lie 2-group $G$ has a Lie group $G_0$ of objects, a Lie group $G_1$ of morphisms and all the structure maps: source $s : G_1 \to G_0$, target $t : G_1 \to G_0$, unit $1 : G_0 \to G_1$ and composition $* : G_1 \times_{s,G_0,t} G_1 \to G_1$ are maps of Lie groups (the Lie group structure on $G_2 := G_1 \times_{s,G_0,t} G_1 \to G_1$ is discussed below). We denote the multiplicative identity of the group $G_0$ by $e_0$. Since $1 : G_0 \to G_1$ is a map of Lie groups, the multiplicative identity $e_1$ of $G_1$ satisfies

$$e_1 = 1_{e_0}.$$  

We denote the Lie group multiplications on $G_1$ and $G_0$ by $m_1$ and $m_0$ respectively. Since the category of Lie groups has transverse fiber products, the fiber product $G_2 = G_1 \times_{s,G_0,s} G_1$ is a Lie group. We denote the multiplication on this group by $m_2$. If we identify $G_2$ with the Lie subgroup of $G_1 \times G_1$:

$$G_2 = \{ (\sigma, \gamma) \in G_1 \times G_1 | s(\sigma) = t(\gamma) \},$$

then the multiplication $m_2$ is given by the formula

$$m_2((\sigma_2, \gamma_2), (\sigma_1, \gamma_1)) = (m_1(\sigma_2, \sigma_1), m_1(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)).$$

Alternatively, using the infix notation $\cdot$ for the multiplications the formula above amounts to

$$(\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_1) \cdot (\sigma_1 \cdot \gamma_1) = (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_1, \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1).$$

The following lemma is well-known to experts and is easy to prove. None the less it is crucial for many computations in the paper.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G = \{ G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0 \}$ be a Lie 2-group with the composition $* : G_2 = G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \to G_1$ and multiplication $m_1 : G_1 \times G_1 \to G_1$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mapsto \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2$. Then

$$(\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_1) \cdot (\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) = (\sigma_2 \cdot \gamma_2) \cdot (\sigma_1 \cdot \gamma_1),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

for all $(\sigma_2, \sigma_1), (\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in G_2 = G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1$.

Proof. Since the composition $* : G_2 \to G_1$ is a Lie group homomorphism,

$$* ((\sigma_2, \sigma_1) \cdot (\gamma_2, \gamma_1)) = (* (\sigma_2, \sigma_1)) \cdot (* (\gamma_2, \gamma_1)).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

On the other hand

$$(\sigma_2, \sigma_1) \cdot (\gamma_2, \gamma_1) = (\sigma_2 \cdot \gamma_2, \sigma_1 \cdot \gamma_1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

while

$$(*) (\sigma_2, \sigma_1) \cdot (\gamma_2, \gamma_1) = (\sigma_2 \cdot \gamma_2) \cdot (\sigma_1 \cdot \gamma_1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

when we switch from the prefix to infix notation. Similarly,

$$* (\sigma_2 \cdot \gamma_2, \sigma_1 \cdot \gamma_1) = (\sigma_2 \cdot \gamma_2) \cdot \gamma_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

Therefore

$$(\sigma_2 \cdot \gamma_2) \cdot (\sigma_1 \cdot \gamma_1) = (\sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_1) \cdot (\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1).$$

Corollary 2.5. The multiplications $m_i : G_i \times G_i \to G_i$, $i = 0, 1$ on a Lie 2-group $G$ assemble into a functor $m : G \times G \to G$.

Proof. Omitted.
Equation (2.1) also implies that the multiplication functor \( m : G \times G \to G \) and the composition homomorphism \( * : G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \to G_1 \) in a Lie 2-group \( G \) are closely related. In fact they determine each other \([10]\). For the convenience of the reader we recall a proof that the multiplication functor \( m \) determines the composition homomorphism \( * \):

**Lemma 2.6.** For any two composable arrows \( \sigma, \gamma \) of a Lie 2-group \( G \) with \( s(\sigma) = b = t(\gamma) \)

\[
\sigma * \gamma = \gamma \cdot 1_{b^{-1}} \cdot \sigma.
\]

Here as before \( s, t : G_1 \to G_0 \) are the source and target maps, \( 1_{b^{-1}} \) denotes the unit arrow at the object \( b^{-1} \) of \( G \), \( \cdot \) stands for the multiplication \( m_1 \) on the space of arrows \( G_1 \) of the Lie 2-group \( G \) and

\[
* : G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \to G_1 \text{ is the composition homomorphism.}
\]

**Proof.** We follow the proof in \([10, \text{p. 186}]\). Note that since \( 1 : G_0 \to G_1 \) is a homomorphism, the inverse \( 1_{b^{-1}} \) of \( 1_b \) with respect to the multiplication \( m_1 \) is \( 1_{b^{-1}} \). We compute

\[
\sigma * \gamma = ((1_b \cdot (1_b^{-1} \cdot \sigma)) \cdot (1_b^{-1} \cdot 1_b))
\]

\[
= (1_b \cdot \gamma) \cdot (1_b^{-1} \cdot (1_b^{-1} \cdot 1_b)) \quad \text{by (2.1)}
\]

\[
= \gamma \cdot (1_b^{-1} \cdot 1_b) \cdot (\sigma \cdot 1_b) \quad \text{by (2.1) again}
\]

\[
= \gamma \cdot 1_{b^{-1}} \cdot \sigma \quad \text{since } 1_x \cdot 1_x = 1_x \text{ for all } x \in G_0 \text{ and } (1_b)^{-1} = 1_{b^{-1}}.
\]

\[\square\]

Lemma 2.6 has a well-known corollary: any Lie 2-group is a Lie groupoid. In fact we can be more precise:

**Corollary 2.7.** A Lie 2-group \( G \) is isomorphic, as a category internal to the category of manifolds, to the action groupoid \( \{ K \times G_0 \Rightarrow G_0 \} \) where \( K \) is the kernel of the source map \( s : G_1 \to G_0 \) and the action of \( K \) on \( G_0 \) is given by

\[
k \circ x := t(k) \cdot x
\]

for all \((k, x) \in K \times G_0\). As before \( t : G_1 \to G_0 \) is the target map.

**Sketch of proof.** The isomorphism of categories \( \varphi : G \to \{ K \times G_0 \to G_0 \} \) is defined to be identity on objects. On arrows \( \varphi \) is given by

\[
\varphi_1(y \xleftarrow{\gamma} x) = (\gamma \cdot 1_{x^{-1}}, x).
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 2.8.** The same argument shows that any 2-group (i.e., a category internal to the category of groups) is an action groupoid.

We next recall the definitions of the 2-categories of Lie 2-algebras and of 2-vector spaces.

**Definition 2.9.** Lie 2-algebras naturally form a strict 2-category \( \text{Lie2Alg} \). The objects of this 2-category are Lie 2-algebras, the 1-morphisms are functors internal to the category \( \text{LieAlg} \) of Lie algebras and 2-morphisms are internal natural transformations.

**Definition 2.10.** 2-vector spaces naturally form a strict 2-category \( \text{2Vect} \). The objects of this 2-category are 2-vector spaces. The 1-morphisms of \( \text{2Vect} \) are internal functors and 2-morphisms are internal natural transformations.

**Remark 2.11.** There is an evident forgetful functor \( U : \text{Lie2Alg} \to \text{2Vect} \). We will suppress this functor in our notation and will use the same symbol for a Lie 2-algebra and its image under the functor \( U \), that is, its underlying 2-vector space.
2.1. The Lie 2-algebra $\mathcal{X}(K)$ of multiplicative vector fields on a Lie groupoid $K$.

In this subsection we recall some of the results of [2]. We start by recalling the definition of the category of multiplicative vector fields $\mathcal{X}(K)$ on a Lie groupoid $K$, which is due to Hepworth [6].

**Definition 2.12.** A multiplicative vector field on a Lie groupoid $K = \{K_1 \rightrightarrows K_0\}$ is a functor $v : K \to TK$ so that $\pi_K \circ v = \text{id}_K$. A morphism (or an arrow) from a multiplicative vector field $v$ to a multiplicative vector field $w$ is a natural transformation $\alpha : v \Rightarrow w$ so that $\pi_K(\alpha(x)) = 1_x$ for any object $x$ of the groupoid $K$.

Multiplicative vector fields and morphisms between them are easily seen to form a category: the composite of two morphisms $\alpha : v \Rightarrow w$ and $\beta : w \Rightarrow u$ is the natural transformation $\beta \circ_v \alpha$, where $\circ_v$ denotes the vertical composition of natural transformations. That is, for any object $x \in K_0$

$$ (\beta \circ_v \alpha)(x) = \beta(x) \star \alpha(x) $$

where as before $\star : TK_1 \times_{TK_0} TK_1 \to TK_1$ is the derivative of the composition $\star : K_1 \times_{K_0} K_1 \to K_1$. Since $\pi_K : TK \to K$ is functor,

$$ \pi_K(\beta(x) \star \alpha(x)) = \pi_K(\beta(x)) * \pi_K(\alpha(x)) = 1_x * 1_x = 1_x $$

for all $x \in K_0$. Hence $\beta \circ_v \alpha$ is a morphism from $v$ to $u$.

It is not hard to see that the collection $\mathcal{X}(K)_0$ multiplicative vector fields form a vector space [9]. It is a little harder to see that $\mathcal{X}(K)_0$ is a Lie algebra (op. cit.). However, the Lie bracket on $\mathcal{X}(K)_0$ is easy to describe. A multiplicative vector field $u : K \to TK$ is, in particular, a pair of ordinary vector fields:

$$ u = (u_0 : K_0 \to TK_0, u_1 : K_1 \to TK_1). $$

The bracket on $\mathcal{X}(K)_0$ is defined by

$$ [(u_0, u_1), (v_0, v_1)] := ([u_0, v_0], [u_1, v_1]). $$

To see that the definition makes sense one checks that $([u_0, v_0], [u_1, v_1])$ is a functor from $K$ to $TK$; see [9].

The space of arrows $\mathcal{X}(K)_1$ is a Lie algebra as well and the structure maps of the category $\mathcal{X}(K)$ are Lie algebra maps [2]. In other words the category $\mathcal{X}(K)$ underlies a Lie 2-algebra.

The bracket on the elements of $\mathcal{X}(K)_1$ ultimately comes from the Lie bracket on the vector fields on the manifold $K_1$ [2]. But the relationship is not direct since the elements of $\mathcal{X}(K)_1$ are not vector fields. In more detail, write an arrow $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}(K)_1$ as

$$ \alpha = (\alpha - 1_{s(\alpha)}) + 1_{s(\alpha)}^{-}, $$

where $1 : \mathcal{X}(K)_0 \to \mathcal{X}(K)_1$ is the unit map and $s : \mathcal{X}(K)_1 \to \mathcal{X}(K)_0$ is the source map of the category $\mathcal{X}(K)$. Recall that for a multiplicative vector field $X$, the morphism $1_X : X \Rightarrow X$ is defined by

$$ 1_X(x) = T1(X_0(x)) $$

for all $x \in K_0$. The multiplicative vector field $s(\alpha)$ satisfies

$$ (s(\alpha))_0(x) = Ts(\alpha(x)) $$

for all $x \in K_0$, where on the right hand side $s : K_1 \to K_0$ is, as before, the source map for the Lie groupoid $K$. Then

$$ Ts(\alpha - 1_{s(\alpha)}) = 0, $$

hence $\alpha - 1_{s(\alpha)}$ is a section of the Lie algebroid $A_K \to K_0$ of the Lie groupoid $K$.

Recall that the Lie bracket on the space of sections $\Gamma(A_K)$ of the Lie algebroid $A_K$ is constructed by embedding $\Gamma(A_K)$ into the space of vector fields on $K_1$ as right-invariant vector fields. That is, one constructs a map

$$ j : \Gamma(A_K) \to \mathcal{X}(K_1) $$

by setting

$$ j(\sigma)(\gamma) := TR_\gamma(\sigma(t(\gamma))) $$

(2.6)
for all \( \gamma \in K_1 \). The map \( R_\gamma : s^{-1}(t(\gamma)) \to K_1 \) is defined by composition with \( \gamma \) on the right:
\[
R_\gamma(\mu) := \mu \ast \gamma
\]
for all \( \mu \in K_1 \) with \( s(\mu) = t(\gamma) \).

We now recall the construction of a Lie algebra structure on the space \( \mathbb{X}(K)_1 \) (see \cite{2} where the details of the construction are phrased somewhat differently). Define
\[
J : \mathbb{X}(K)_1 \to \mathcal{X}(K_1)
\]
by setting
\[
J(\alpha) := j(\alpha - 1_s(\alpha)) + s(\alpha)_1.
\]
(2.7)
The map \( J \) is injective and its image happens to be closed under the Lie bracket. So for \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{X}(K)_1 \) we can (and do) define the Lie bracket \( [\alpha, \beta] \) to be the unique element of \( \mathbb{X}(K)_1 \) with
\[
J([\alpha, \beta]) = [J(\alpha), J(\beta)].
\]
One checks that the category \( \mathbb{X}(K) \) of multiplicative vector fields with the Lie algebra structures on the spaces of objects and morphisms does form a Lie 2-algebra; see \cite{2}.

3. Actions and representations of Lie 2-groups

The goal of this section is to construct a representation \( \lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \) of a Lie group \( G \) on its 2-vector space \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) of vector fields induced by the action of \( G \) on itself by left multiplication. This is the representation briefly described in the introduction. We start by recalling some well-known material about actions of Lie 2-groups. Recall that a 2-group is a category internal to the category of groups and a homomorphism of 2-groups is a functor internal to the category of groups (cf. Definition 2.3).

**Definition 3.1** (the 2-group \( \text{Aut}(K) \)). Let \( K \) be a Lie groupoid. The 2-group \( \text{Aut}(K) \) of automorphisms of \( K \) is defined as follows.

The group of objects \( \text{Aut}(K)_0 \) consists of strictly invertible smooth (i.e., internal) functors \( f : K \to K \). The group operation on \( \text{Aut}(K)_0 \) is the composition of functors. The group of morphisms \( \text{Aut}(K)_1 \) is the group of (smooth) natural isomorphisms under vertical composition. The composition homomorphism \( \ast : \text{Aut}(K)_1 \times \text{Aut}(K)_0 \to \text{Aut}(K)_1 \) is the horizontal composition of natural isomorphisms. There are also evident source, target and unit maps:
\[
s(f \circ g) = f, \quad t(f \circ g) = g, \quad 1(f) = (f(id_f) f).
\]
Note that the component of \( id_f \) at an object \( x \in K_0 \) is
\[
id_f(x) = 1_{f(x)},
\]
the unit arrow on the object \( f(x) \) of \( K \).

**Definition 3.2.** A (strict left) action of a Lie 2-group \( G \) on a Lie groupoid \( K \) is a functor \( a : G \times K \to K \) so that the two diagrams
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times G \times K & \xrightarrow{id_G \times a} & G \times K \\
m \times \text{id}_K & & \downarrow a \\
G \times K & \xrightarrow{a} & K \\
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times K & \xrightarrow{a} & K \\
\downarrow e \times \text{id}_K & & \downarrow a \\
K & & K \\
\end{array}
\quad (3.1)
\]
commute. Here as before \( m : G \times G \to G \) is the multiplication functor. The functor \( e \times \text{id}_K \) is defined by \( (e \times \text{id}_K)(\sigma) = (e_1, \sigma) \) for all arrows \( \sigma \) of \( K \), where as before \( e_1 \in G_1 \) is the multiplicative identity.

**Notation 3.3.** Given an action \( a : G \times K \to K \) a Lie 2-group \( G \) on a Lie groupoid \( K \) it will be convenient at times to abbreviate \( a(x, b) \) as \( x \cdot b \) for any two objects \( x \) of \( G \) and \( b \) of \( K \). Similarly we abbreviate \( a(\gamma, \sigma) \) as \( \gamma \cdot \sigma \) for arrows \( \gamma \) of \( G \) and \( \sigma \) of \( K \).
Remark 3.4. In the notation above the fact that \( a : G \times K \to K \) preserves the composition of arrows translates into
\[
(\gamma_2 * \gamma_1) \cdot (\sigma_2 * \sigma_1) = (\gamma_2 \cdot \sigma_2) * (\gamma_1 \cdot \sigma_1)
\]
for any two pairs of composable arrows \((\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in G_1 \times G_0\) and \((\sigma_2, \sigma_1) \in K_1 \times K_0\).

Lemma 3.5. An action \( a : G \times K \to K \) of a Lie 2-group \( G \) on a Lie groupoid \( K \) gives rise to a homomorphism of 2-groups
\[
\hat{a} : G \to \text{Aut}(K).
\]
In particular for each object \( x \in G_0 \) there is a functor \( \hat{a}(x) : K \to K \) satisfying
\[
\hat{a}(x)(b \xrightarrow{\sigma} a) := x \cdot b \xrightarrow{1_x \cdot \sigma} x \cdot a
\]
for all arrows \( b \xleftarrow{\sigma} a \) of the groupoid \( K \). And for each arrow \( y \xrightarrow{\sigma} y \) of \( G \) there is a natural transformation \( \hat{a}(\gamma) : \hat{a}(x) \Rightarrow \hat{a}(y) \) satisfying
\[
\hat{a}(\gamma)(b) = \gamma \cdot 1_b
\]
for all objects \( b \) of \( K \).

Remark 3.6. The functor (3.3) is a homomorphism of 2-groups if and only if
\[
(1) \quad \hat{a}(e_0 \xleftarrow{e_0} e_0) = (\text{id}_K \xleftarrow{1 \cdot \text{id}_K} \text{id}_K) \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
(2) \quad \hat{a}(\gamma_2 : \gamma_1) = \hat{a}(\gamma_2) \circ_{\text{hor}} \hat{a}(\gamma_1) \quad \text{for any pairs of arrows } \gamma_2, \gamma_1 \text{ of } G. \quad \text{(Here as before } \cdot \text{ denotes the multiplication in the Lie group } G_1.\]

Remark 3.7. Recall that given four functors and two natural transformations as below

The component \((\beta \circ_{\text{hor}} \alpha)(a)\) of the horizontal composition of \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) at an object \( a \in A_0 \) is given by
\[
(\beta \circ_{\text{hor}} \alpha)(a) = \beta_g(a) \ast n(\alpha_a)
\]
where \( * : C_1 \times_{C_0} C_1 \to C_1 \) is the composition in the category \( C \).

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Since \( a : G \times K \to K \) is a functor, for any two composable arrows \( \sigma_2, \sigma_1 \) in \( K \) and for any object \( x \) of \( G \)
\[
a(1_x, \sigma_2 \ast \sigma_1) = a((1_x, \sigma_2) \ast (1_x, \sigma_1)) = a(1_x, \sigma_2) \ast a(1_x, \sigma_1).
\]
We also have \( a(1_x, \sigma_2 \ast \sigma_1) = a((1_x, \sigma_2) \ast (1_x, \sigma_1)) \) and \( a(1_x, \sigma_2) \ast a(1_x, \sigma_1) = \hat{a}(x)(\sigma_2) \ast \hat{a}(x)(\sigma_1) \). Hence
\[
\hat{a}(x)(\sigma_2 \ast \sigma_1) = \hat{a}(x)(\sigma_2) \ast \hat{a}(x)(\sigma_1).
\]
We conclude that \( \hat{a}(x) \) is a functor for all objects \( x \) of the 2-group \( G \).

To check that for an arrow \( x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y \) in \( G \), \( \hat{a}(\gamma) \) is a natural transformation from the functor \( \hat{a}(x) \) to the functor \( \hat{a}(y) \), we need to check that for any arrow \( b \xleftarrow{\sigma} a \) in \( K \)
\[
\hat{a}(\gamma)(b) \ast \hat{a}(x)(\sigma) = \hat{a}(y)(\sigma) \ast \hat{a}(\gamma)(b). \tag{3.4}
\]
Now
\[
\hat{a}(\gamma)(b) \ast \hat{a}(x)(\sigma) = (\gamma \cdot 1_b) \ast (1_x \cdot \sigma) = (\gamma \ast 1_x) \cdot (1_b \ast \sigma) \quad \text{(since } a \text{ is a functor)} = \gamma \cdot \sigma.
\]
Similarly
\[
\hat{a}(y)(\sigma) \ast \hat{a}(\gamma)(b) = \gamma \cdot \sigma
\]
as well. Hence (3.4) holds and \( \hat{a}(\gamma) \) is a natural transformation. Since \( K \) is a groupoid \( \hat{a}(\gamma) \) is a natural isomorphism.
It is easy to see that \( \hat{a}(e_0) \) is the identity functor \( \text{id}_K \) and that \( \hat{a}(e_1) \) is the identity natural isomorphism \( 1_{\text{id}_K} \).

To prove that \( \hat{a} \) is a homomorphism of 2-groups it remains to check that
\[
\hat{a}(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) = \hat{a}(\gamma_2) \circ_{\text{hor}} \hat{a}(\gamma_1)
\]
for all arrows \( \gamma_2, \gamma_1 \) of \( G \). This is a computation. Fix an object \( a \) of \( K \). Then
\[
\hat{a}(\gamma_2) \circ_{\text{hor}} \hat{a}(\gamma_1) = \hat{a}(\gamma_2)(\hat{a}(\gamma_1)a) \ast (\hat{a}(x_2)(\hat{a}(\gamma_1)a)) \quad \text{(by Remark 3.7)}
\]
\[
= (\gamma_2 \cdot 1_{y_2}a) \ast (1_{x_2} \cdot (\gamma_1 \cdot 1_a)) \quad \text{(by definition of \( \hat{a} \))}
\]
\[
= \gamma_2 \cdot (\gamma_1 \cdot 1_a) = (\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) \cdot 1_a \quad \text{since the left diagram in (3.1) commutes}
\]
\[
= \hat{a}(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1)(a).
\]

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.8.** For any Lie 2-group \( G \) there is a homomorphism of 2-groups
\[
L : G \to \text{Aut}(G), \quad (x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \mapsto (L_x \xRightarrow{\gamma} L_y)
\]
where the smooth functors \( L_x : G \to G \) are defined by
\[
L_x(\sigma) = 1_x \cdot \sigma
\]
and the natural isomorphisms \( L_{\gamma} : L_x \Rightarrow L_y \) are defined by
\[
L_{\gamma}(a) = \gamma \cdot 1_a
\]
for all objects \( a \) of \( G \). Here \( \cdot \) denotes the multiplication in the group \( G_0 \) and in the group \( G_1 \).

**Proof.** The multiplication functor \( m : G \times G \to G \) is an action of the Lie 2-group \( G \) on the Lie groupoid \( G \). Now apply Lemma 3.5. \[\square\]

**Lemma 3.9.** Let \( G \) be a 2-group and \( K \) a Lie groupoid. A homomorphism \( \rho : G \to \text{Aut}(K) \) induces a homomorphism
\[
T\rho : G \to \text{Aut}(TK), \quad T\rho(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) = T\rho(x) \xRightarrow{T\rho(\gamma)} T\rho(y).
\]

**Proof.** The homomorphism \( T\rho \) is obtained by composing the functor \( \rho \) with the tangent 2-functor \( T : \text{LieGpd} \to \text{LieGpd} \). \[\square\]

**Notation 3.10.** We denote the 2-vector space underlying the Lie 2-algebra of vector fields on a Lie groupoid \( K \) by the same symbol \( \mathbb{X}(K) \).

**Definition 3.11** (the 2-group \( \text{GL}(V) \)). Let \( V \) be a 2-vector space. We define the 2-group \( \text{GL}(V) \) of automorphisms of a 2-vector space \( V \) as follows. The group of objects \( \text{GL}(V)_0 \) consists of strictly invertible linear (i.e., internal) functors \( f : V \to V \). The group operation on \( \text{GL}(V)_0 \) is the composition of functors. The group of morphisms \( \text{GL}(V)_1 \) is the group of internal natural isomorphisms under vertical composition. The composition homomorphism \( * : \text{GL}_1 \times_{\text{GL}_0} \text{GL}_1 \to \text{GL}_1 \) is the horizontal composition of natural isomorphisms. There are also evident source, target and unit maps:
\[
s(f \xrightarrow{\alpha} g) = f, \quad t(f \xrightarrow{\alpha} g) = g, \quad 1(f) = (f \xrightarrow{id_f} f).
\]

**Lemma 3.12.** Let \( G \) be a Lie 2-group and \( K \) a Lie groupoid. A homomorphism \( \varphi : G \to \text{Aut}(K) \) of 2-groups (i.e., a functor internal to the category of groups) gives rise to a homomorphism of 2-groups
\[
\Phi : G \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(K)),
\]
a representation of the 2-group \( G \) on the 2-vector space of vector fields on the Lie groupoid \( K \).

**Proof.** As a first step given an object \( x \) of \( G \) we would like to define a functor \( \Phi(x) : \mathbb{X}(K) \to \mathbb{X}(K) \) by setting
\[
\Phi(x)(v \xrightarrow{\alpha} w) = TK \xRightarrow{T\varphi(x)} TK \xrightarrow{\varphi(x^{-1})} K \xleftarrow{\text{id}_K} G.
\]
for all arrows \(v \xrightarrow{\varphi} w\) in the 2-vector space \(\mathbb{V}(K)\). An object of \(\mathbb{V}(K)\) is a functor \(v : K \to TK\) with \(\pi \circ v = \text{id}_K\). Since \(\varphi(x^{-1})\) and \(T \varphi(x)\) are functors,

\[
\Phi(x)v := T \varphi(x) \circ v \circ \varphi(x^{-1})
\]

is a functor. Moreover

\[
\pi \circ (\Phi(x)v) = \pi \circ T \varphi(x) \circ v \circ \varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x) \circ \pi \circ v \circ \varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x) \circ \text{id}_K \circ \varphi(x^{-1}) = \text{id}_K.
\]

Hence \(\Phi(x)v\) is an object of \(\mathbb{V}(K)\) for all \(x \in G_0\) and all \(v \in \mathbb{V}(K)_0\).

An arrow in \(\mathbb{V}(K)\) from an object \(v\) to an object \(w\) is a natural transformation \(\alpha : v \Rightarrow w\) with \(\pi \alpha = \text{id}_K\). Now since \(\Phi(x)\alpha\) is obtained from a natural transformation \(\alpha\) by whiskering with functors (namely \(\Phi(x)\alpha = T \varphi(x) \alpha \varphi(x^{-1})\)), \(\Phi(x)\alpha\) is a natural transformation from \(\Phi(x)v\) to \(\Phi(x)w\). Additionally

\[
\pi(\Phi(x)\alpha) = \pi T \varphi(x) \alpha \varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x) \pi \alpha \varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x) \text{id}_K \varphi(x^{-1}) = \text{id}_K.
\]

Hence \(\Phi(x)\alpha\) is an arrow in the 2-vector space \(\mathbb{V}(K)\). Finally the purported functor \(\Phi(x)\) preserves composition of arrows because whiskering by functors commutes with the vertical composition of natural transformations. We conclude that \(\Phi(x) : \mathbb{V}(K) \to \mathbb{V}(K)\) is a well-defined functor.

Since the components \(T \varphi(x)_0 : TK_0 \to TK_0\) and \(T \varphi_1 : TK_1 \to TK_1\) are fiberwise linear, for any scalars \(c, d \in \mathbb{R}\) and any two multiplicative vector fields \(v, w : K \to TK\)

\[
\Phi(x)(cv + dw) = c \Phi(x)v + d \Phi(x)w.
\]

Similarly for any two arrows \(\alpha_1 : v_1 \Rightarrow w_1, \alpha_2 : v_2 \Rightarrow w_2\), any two scalars \(c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}\) and any object \(a\) of \(K\)

\[
\Phi(x)(c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2)(a) = T \varphi(x)(c_1 \alpha_1 \varphi(x^{-1})(a) + c_2 \alpha_2 \varphi(x^{-1})(a)) = c_1 (T \varphi(x) \alpha_1 \varphi(x^{-1}))(a) + c_2 (T \varphi(x) \alpha_2 \varphi(x^{-1}))(a) = (c_1 \Phi(x) \alpha_1 + c_2 \Phi(x) \alpha_2)(a).
\]

We conclude that \(\Phi(x)\) is a 1-morphism of 2-vector spaces.

Given an arrow \(x \xleftarrow{\gamma} y\) in \(G\) we would like to define a natural transformation \(\Phi(\gamma) : \Phi(x) \Rightarrow \Phi(y)\) by setting

\[
\Phi(\gamma)v := TK \xrightarrow{T \varphi(y)} TK \xleftarrow{T \varphi(x)} K \xrightarrow{\varphi(y^{-1})} K
\]

for all multiplicative vector fields \(v : K \to TK\). By construction \(\Phi(\gamma)v\) is a natural transformation from \(T \varphi(x) \circ v \circ \varphi(x^{-1}) = \Phi(x)v\) to \(T \varphi(y) \circ v \circ \varphi(y^{-1}) = \Phi(y)v\). Moreover

\[
\pi(\Phi(\gamma)v) = \pi T \varphi(\gamma)v \varphi(\gamma^{-1}) = \varphi(\gamma)(\pi \circ v) \varphi(\gamma^{-1}) = \varphi(\gamma) \circ_{\text{vert}} \text{id}_K \circ_{\text{vert}} \varphi(\gamma^{-1}) = \text{id}_K \quad (\text{since } \varphi\text{ is a homomorphism}).
\]

We conclude that for any multiplicative vector field \(v\) the natural transformation \(\Phi(\gamma)v\) is an arrow in the 2-vector space \(\mathbb{V}(K)\).
It is easy to check that $\Phi(\gamma) : \mathcal{X}(K)_0 \to \mathcal{X}(K)_1$ is linear. We now check that $\Phi(\gamma)$ is an actual natural transformation from $\Phi(x)$ to $\Phi(y)$. That is, we check that for any arrow $v \xrightarrow{\alpha} w$ in $\mathcal{X}(K)$ the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi(x)w & \xrightarrow{\Phi(x)\alpha} & \Phi(x)v \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Phi(\gamma)w & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\gamma)\alpha} & \Phi(\gamma)v \\
\Phi(y)w & \xleftarrow{\Phi(y)\alpha} & \Phi(y)v
\end{array}
\]

commutes in the category $\mathcal{X}(K)$. By definition the composition of the arrows $\Phi(\gamma)w$ and $\Phi(x)\alpha$ is the vertical composition of the diagrams

\[
TK \xrightarrow{T\varphi(x)} TK \xrightarrow{T\varphi(y)} K \xleftarrow{\varphi^{-1}(x)} G
\]

and

\[
TK \xrightarrow{\varphi(y)} TK \xrightarrow{\varphi(x)} K \xleftarrow{\varphi^{-1}(x)} K
\]

which is

\[T\varphi(\gamma) \circ_{hor} \alpha \circ_{hor} \varphi^{-1}(\gamma)\]

Similarly

\[\Phi(y)\alpha \circ_{vert} \Phi(\gamma)\alpha = T\varphi(\gamma) \circ_{hor} \alpha \circ_{hor} \varphi^{-1}(\gamma)\]

as well. Therefore $\Phi(\gamma) : \Phi(x) \Rightarrow \Phi(y)$ is a 2-morphism of 2-vector spaces.

We finish the proof by checking that $\Phi$ is a homomorphism of 2-groups. Clearly $\Phi(e_0) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{X}(K)}$ and $\Phi(1_{e_0}) = 1_{\text{id}_{\mathcal{X}(K)}}$. For any two objects $x_2, x_1$ of $G \varphi(x_2 \cdot x_1) = \varphi(x_2) \circ \varphi(x_1)$ and $T\varphi(x_2 \cdot x_1) = T\varphi(x_2) \circ T\varphi(x_1)$ Consequently for any multiplicative vector field $v$

\[
\Phi(x_2 \cdot x_1)v = T(\varphi(x_2 \cdot x_1) \circ v \circ \varphi((x_2 \cdot x_1)^{-1})
= T\varphi(x_2) \circ T\varphi(x_1) \circ v \circ \varphi(x_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi(x_1^{-1})
= \Phi(x_2)\Phi(x_1)v.
\]

Checking that $\Phi(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) = \Phi(\gamma_2) \circ_{hor} \Phi(\gamma_1)$ is a bit more involved. Note first that

\[\varphi(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) = \varphi(\gamma_2) \circ_{hor} \varphi(\gamma_1)\]

since $\varphi$ is a homomorphism (1-morphism) of 2-groups. Similarly

\[T\varphi(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) = T\varphi(\gamma_2) \circ_{hor} T\varphi(\gamma_1)\]

Recall that the arrows in the category $\text{GL}(\mathcal{X}(K))$ are natural isomorphisms, and that the composition of arrows in $\text{GL}(\mathcal{X}(K))$ is the vertical composition. Hence by Remark 3.7 for any object $u$ of $\mathcal{X}(K)$,

\[\Phi(\gamma_2) \circ_{hor} \Phi(\gamma_1)(u) = (\Phi(\gamma_2)(\Phi(y_1)u)) \circ_{vert} (\Phi(x_2)(\Phi(z_1)u)).\]

Since

\[
(\Phi(x_2)(\Phi(z_1)u) = TK \xrightarrow{T\varphi(x_2)} TK \xrightarrow{T\varphi(y_1)} TK \xrightarrow{T\varphi(x_1)} K \xleftarrow{\varphi^{-1}(x_1)} K
\]
and

\[ \Phi(\gamma_2)(\Phi(y_1)u) = TK \begin{array}{c} T\varphi(x_2) \\ T\varphi(y_2) \end{array} TK \begin{array}{c} T\varphi(y_1) \\ T\varphi(\gamma_1) \end{array} TK \xrightarrow{u} K \begin{array}{c} \varphi(y_1^{-1}) \\ \varphi(\gamma_1^{-1}) \end{array} K, \]

\[
(\Phi(\gamma_2)(\Phi(y_1)u)) \circ_{\text{vert}} (\Phi(x_2)(\Phi(\gamma_1)u)) = (T\varphi(\gamma_2) \circ_{\text{hor}} T\varphi(\gamma_1)) u (\varphi(\gamma_1^{-1}) \circ_{\text{hor}} \varphi(\gamma_2^{-1}))
\]

\[
= (T\varphi)(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) u \varphi((\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1)^{-1})
\]

\[
= \Phi(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) u.
\]

We conclude that \( \Phi(\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1) = \Phi(\gamma_2) \circ_{\text{hor}} \Phi(\gamma_1) \) for all arrows \( \gamma_2, \gamma_1 \) of the Lie 2-group \( G \). It now follows that \( \Phi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(K)) \) is a homomorphism of 2-groups.

We are now in position to construct the representation \( \lambda : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \) of a Lie 2-group \( G \) on its 2-vector space \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) of vector fields coming from the multiplication on the left.

**Lemma 3.13.** Left multiplication on a Lie 2-group \( G \) induces a homomorphism of 2-groups

\[ \lambda : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \]

from \( G \) to the 2-group \( \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \) of automorphisms of the 2-vector space of vector fields on the Lie groupoid \( G \). For each object \( x \) of \( G \), \( \lambda(x) : \mathbb{X}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(G) \) is a linear functor with

\[
\lambda(x)(v \xrightarrow{a} w) = TG \begin{array}{c} TL_x \\ TL_y \end{array} TG \begin{array}{c} v \\ w \end{array} G \begin{array}{c} L_x^{-1} \\ L_y^{-1} \end{array} G
\]

for each arrow \( v \xrightarrow{a} w \) of \( G \). Here as before \( L : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G) \) is the homomorphism of 2-groups induced by multiplication on the left. For each arrow \( x \xrightarrow{a} y \) of \( G \), \( \lambda(\gamma) : \lambda(x) \rightarrow \lambda(y) \) is a natural isomorphism with

\[
\lambda(\gamma)v = TG \begin{array}{c} TL_y \\ TL_x \end{array} TG \begin{array}{c} v \\ L_y^{-1} \end{array} G \begin{array}{c} L_x^{-1} \end{array} G
\]

for all objects \( v \) of the 2-vector space \( \mathbb{X}(G) \).

**Proof.** by Corollary 3.8 multiplication on \( G \) gives rise to a homomorphism of 2-groups \( L : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G) \). By Lemma 3.12 the homomorphism \( L \) gives rise to the homomorphism \( \lambda : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}(G)) \).

4. A MAP OF LIE 2-ALGEBRAS \( p : g \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(G) \)

Recall that to a Lie 2-group \( G = \{G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0\} \) one can associate a Lie 2-algebra \( g = \{g_1 \rightrightarrows g_0\} \) by applying the Lie functor to the Lie group \( G_0 \) of objects, the Lie group \( G_1 \) of morphisms and to the structure maps of \( G \). That is, \( g_0 = T_{e_0}G_0, g_1 = T_{e_1}G_1 \) and so on. In this section we prove:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( G \) be a Lie 2-group and \( g \) the associated Lie 2-algebra There is a morphism of Lie 2-algebras \( p : g \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(G) \) from the Lie 2-algebra \( g \) to the Lie 2-algebra of multiplicative vector fields \( \mathbb{X}(G) \). Moreover the functor \( p \) is injective on objects and fully faithful.

The theorem has an immediate corollary:

**Corollary 4.2.** The image \( p(g) \) of the functor \( p : g \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(G) \) is a full Lie 2-subalgebra of the Lie 2-algebra of vector fields \( \mathbb{X}(G) \). This 2-subalgebra \( p(g) \) is isomorphic to \( g \).

We construct \( p \) as a composite of two of functors.
4.1. A Lie 2-algebra \( \mathcal{L}(G) \) associated to a Lie 2-group \( G \).

Recall that to define a Lie bracket on the tangent space at the identity \( T_e H \) of a Lie group \( H \) one identifies \( T_e H \) with the space of left-invariant vector fields \( \mathcal{X}(H) \) on \( H \).

Similarly given a Lie 2-group \( G \) we define the Lie 2-algebra \( \mathcal{L}(G) \) as follows. We define the Lie algebra of objects \( \mathcal{L}(G)_0 \) of \( \mathcal{L}(G) \) to be the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields \( \mathcal{X}(G_0)^{G_0} \) on the Lie group \( G_0 \). We define the Lie algebra of morphisms \( \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \) to be the Lie algebra \( \mathcal{X}(G_1)^{G_1} \) of left-invariant vector fields on the Lie group \( G_1 \). The source map \( s : \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \to \mathcal{L}(G)_0 \) is defined by setting the source of a vector field \( \alpha \in \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \) to be the unique left-invariant vector field \( v \in \mathcal{L}(G)_0 \) which is \( \alpha \) related to \( \alpha \). The target map \( t : \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \to \mathcal{L}(G)_0 \) is defined similarly. The unit map \( 1 : \mathcal{L}(G)_0 \to \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \) is defined by setting \( 1_u \) to be the unique left-invariant vector field on \( G_1 \) which is \( 1 : G_0 \to G_1 \) related to \( u \in \mathcal{L}(G)_0 \). The composition

\[
\circ : \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \times_{\mathcal{L}(G)_0} \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \to \mathcal{L}(G)_1
\]

is defined pointwise; it is induced by the composition

\[
* : TG_1 \times_{TG_0} TG_1 \to TG_1
\]

in the tangent groupoid \( TG \) (recall that \( * = T* \), where \( * : G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \to G_1 \) is the composition in \( G \)). Thus \( \circ \) is defined by

\[
(\alpha \circ \beta)(\gamma) := \alpha(\gamma) \ast \beta(\gamma)
\]

for all arrows \( \gamma \in G_1 \). Routine computations establish that \( \mathcal{L}(G) \) is indeed a Lie 2-algebra.

There is an evident functor

\[
\ell : g = \{ T_e G_1 \rightrightarrows T_{e_0} G_0 \} \to \mathcal{L}(G).
\]

which sends a vector \( v \in g_0 = T_{e_0} G_0 \) to the corresponding left-invariant vector field \( \ell(v) \) on the Lie group \( G_0 \) and an arrow \( \alpha : v \to w \in g_1 = T_e G_1 \) to the corresponding left-invariant vector field \( \ell(\alpha) \) on the Lie group \( G_1 \). By definition of the Lie brackets on \( g_0 \) and on \( g_1 \) the maps \( \ell : g_0 \to \mathcal{L}(G)_0 \), \( \ell : g_1 \to \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \) are Lie algebra maps. On the other hand \( \ell \) is also an isomorphism of categories — its inverse is given by evaluation at the identities:

\[
\ell^{-1}(\beta : u \to u') = \beta(e_1) : u(e_0) \to u'(e_0).
\]

We next construct a functor \( q : \mathcal{L}(G) \to \mathcal{X}(G) \). Given a left-invariant vector field \( u \) on the Lie group \( G_0 \) we define a multiplicative vector field \( q(u) \) as follows. We take the object part \( q(u)_0 : G_0 \to TG_0 \) to be \( u \):

\[
q(u)_0 := u.
\]

We define \( q(u)_1 : G_1 \to TG_1 \) by setting

\[
q(u)_1(\gamma) = (TL_\gamma \circ T1)(u(e_0))
\]

for all \( \gamma \in G_1 \). Here and elsewhere in the paper \( L_\gamma : G_1 \to G_1 \) is the left multiplication by \( \gamma \) and \( TL_\gamma : TG_1 \to TG_1 \) is its derivative. It is clear that both \( q(u)_0 \) and \( q(u)_1 \) are vector fields. It is less clear that \( q(u) : G \to TG \) is a functor.

Lemma 4.3. For any vector \( u \in T_{e_0} G_0 \) the vector field \( q(u)_1 : G_1 \to TG_1 \) defined by (4.4) preserves composition of arrows:

\[
q(u)_1(\gamma_2 \circ_1 \gamma_1) = (q(u)_1(\gamma_2)) \ast (q(u)_1(\gamma_1)).
\]

for all composable arrows \( (\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \). Here as before \( \ast \) is the composition in the Lie groupoid \( G \) and \( \ast \) is the composition in the tangent groupoid \( TG \).

Remark 4.4. For a manifold \( M \) and a point \( q \in M \) we write \( (q,X) \) for the tangent vector \( X \in T_q M \). With this notation it is easy to see that

\[
TL_\gamma(\sigma, X) = Tm((\gamma, 0), (\sigma, X))
\]

for all \( \gamma, \sigma \in G_1, X \in T_{e_0} G_1 \). Note also that since the composition \( \ast = T* : T(G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1) \to TG_1 \) is fiberwise linear,

\[
(\gamma_2, 0) \ast (\gamma_1, 0) = (\gamma_2 \ast \gamma_1, 0).
\]
Proof of Lemma 4.3. Recall that the tangent functor $T : \text{Man} \to \text{Man}$ extends to a 2-functor $T : \text{LieGpd} \to \text{LieGpd}$ on the 2-category of Lie groupoids. As a special case (any Lie group is a Lie groupoid with one object) the functor $T$ induces a functor on the category $\text{LieGpd}$ of Lie groups. Consequently for a Lie 2-group $G$ its tangent groupoid $TG$ is a Lie 2-group as well. The unit map of the groupoid $TG$ is the derivative $T1$ of the unit map $1 : G_0 \to G_1$. The interchange law (see Lemma 2.4) in the case of $TG$ reads:

$$Tm((\mu_2 \ast \mu_1), (\nu_2 \ast \nu_1)) = Tm(\mu_2, \nu_2) \ast Tm(\mu_1, \nu_1)$$

(4.4)

for all composable pairs $(\mu_2, \mu_1), (\nu_2, \nu_1) \in TG_1 \times TG_0 TG_1$. Now take $\nu_2 = \nu_1 = T1(u(0))$ which we abbreviate as $1$. Then (4.4) reads:

$$Tm((\mu_2 \ast \mu_1), (1 \ast 1)) = (Tm(\mu_2, 1)) \ast (Tm(\mu_1, 1)).$$

(4.5)

Then by definition of $q(u)_1$, for any $\gamma \in G_1$

$$q(u)_1(\gamma) = T\mathcal{L}_\gamma 1 = Tm((\gamma, 0), 1).$$

Therefore

$$q(u)_1(\gamma_2 \ast \gamma_1) = T\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_2 \ast \gamma_1}(1) = Tm((\gamma_2 \ast \gamma_1, 0), 1) = Tm((\gamma_2, 0) \ast (\gamma_1, 0), 1 \ast 1) = Tm((\gamma_2, 0), 1) \ast Tm((\gamma_1, 0), 1) \text{ by (4.5)} = T\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_2}(1) \ast T\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_1}(1) = (q(u)_1(\gamma_2)) \ast (q(u)_1(\gamma_1)).$$

\[\square\]

It is easy to see that $Ts \circ q(u)_1 = q(u)_0 \circ s$, $Tt \circ q(u)_1 = q(u)_0 \circ t$ and $q(u)_1 \circ 1 = T1 \circ q(u)_0$. We conclude that $q(u) = (q(u)_0, q(u)_1) : G \to TG$ is a multiplicative vector field for any left-invariant vector field $u$ on the Lie group $G_0$. We thus have constructed the functor $q$ on objects.

An arrow $v \xrightarrow{\alpha} u$ in $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a vector field $\alpha : G_1 \to TG_1$ which is source map $s$ related to $v$ and target map $t$ related to $u$. Define $q(\alpha) : G_0 \to TG_1$ by

$$q(\alpha) = \alpha \circ 1,$$

(4.6)

where as before $1 : G_0 \to G_1$ is the unit map. We need to check that $q(\alpha)$ is an arrow in the category $X(G)$ from $q(v)$ to $q(u)$. That is, we need to check that $q(\alpha)$ is a natural transformation from $q(v)$ to $q(u)$ with

$$\pi_G(q(\alpha)(x)) = 1_x$$

(4.7)

for all $x \in G_0$.

Checking that (4.7) holds is easy. Since $\alpha$ is a vector field on $G_1$,

$$\pi_{G_1}(\alpha(\gamma)) = \gamma$$

for all $\gamma \in G_1$. In particular $\pi_{G_1}(\alpha(1_x)) = 1_x$ for all $x \in G_0$, which implies (4.7).

We now check that $q(\alpha)$ is in fact a natural transformation from the functor $q(v)$ to the functor $q(u)$. Since $\alpha$ is $s$-related to $v$

$$Ts(q(\alpha)(x)) = Ts(\alpha(1_x)) = v_0(s(1_x)) = v_0(x)$$

for all $x \in G_0$. Since $q(v)_0 = v_0$ it follows that the source of the putative natural transformation $q(\alpha) : G_0 \to TG_1$ is $q(v)$. Similarly the target of $q(\alpha)$ is $q(u)$. It remains to check that $q(\alpha)$ is actually a
natural transformation: that is, for any arrow $x \rightarrow y$ in $G$, the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
q(v)(x) & \xrightarrow{q(v)(\gamma)} & q(v)(y) \\
q(\alpha)(x) & \downarrow & q(\alpha)(y) \\
q(u)(x) & \xrightarrow{q(u)(\gamma)} & q(u)(y)
\end{array}
$$

commutes in the category $TG$, i.e.,

$$q(\alpha)(y) \ast q(v)(\gamma) = q(y)(\gamma) \ast q(\alpha)(x). \quad (4.8)$$

By definition of $q(\alpha)$,

$$q(\alpha)(y) = \alpha(1_y).$$

Since $\alpha$ is left-invariant

$$\alpha(1_y) = T\mathcal{L}_y(\alpha(e_1)) = Tm((1_y,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0}))).$$

Similarly

$$q(\alpha)(x) = Tm((1_x,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0}))).$$

On the other hand,

$$q(v)(\gamma) = T\mathcal{L}_\gamma(1_{v(0)e_0}) = Tm((\gamma,0),(1_{e_0},1_{v(0)e_0})).$$

Similarly,

$$q(u)(\gamma) = Tm((\gamma,0),(1_{e_0},1_{u(0)e_0})).$$

Now

$$q(\alpha)(y) \ast p(v)(\gamma) = Tm((1_y,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0}))) \ast Tm((\gamma,0),((1_{e_0},v_1(1_{e_0}))))$$

$$= Tm((1_y,0) \ast (\gamma,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0})) \ast (1_{e_0},1_{v(0)e_0})))$$

$$= Tm((1_y \ast \gamma,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0}))))$$

$$= Tm((\gamma,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0}))).$$

Similarly,

$$q(u)(\gamma) \ast q(\alpha)(x) = Tm((\gamma,0),(1_{e_0},1_{u(0)e_0})) \bullet Tm((1_x,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0})))$$

$$= Tm((\gamma,0) \ast (1_x,0),(1_{e_0},1_{u(0)e_0})) \ast (1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0})))$$

$$= Tm((\gamma,0),(1_{e_0},\alpha(1_{e_0}))).$$

It follows that (4.8) holds. Hence $q(\alpha)$ is an arrow in $\mathcal{X}(G)$ from $q(v)$ to $q(u)$.

It is not hard to check that the map $q : \mathcal{L}^r(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(G)$ constructed above is in fact a functor. We need to check that $q$ is a map of Lie 2-algebras. For this it suffices to check that $q_0 : \mathcal{L}^r(G)_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(G)_0$ and $q_1 : \mathcal{L}^r(G)_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(G)_1$ are Lie algebra maps.

Recall that the Lie bracket of two multiplicative vector fields $X = (X_0, X_1)$ and $Y = (Y_0, Y_1)$ is given by

$$[X,Y] := ([X_0,Y_0],[X_1,Y_1]).$$

It follow from the definition of the functor $q$ on objects that for any two vector fields $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^r(G)_0$

(i) $[q(u)_0, q(v)_0] = q([u,v])_0$ and

(ii) $q([u,v])_1$ is the unique left-invariant vector field on $G_1$ which is 1-related to $[u,v]$. Hence

$$q([u,v])_1 = [q(u)_1, q(v)_1].$$

We conclude that

$$q : \mathcal{L}^r(G)_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(G)_0$$

is a map of Lie algebras.

We next check that $q : \mathcal{L}^r(G)_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(G)_1$ is also a map of Lie algebras. Recall the construction of a Lie algebra structure on the space $\mathcal{X}(G)_1$ starts with the injective linear map $j : \Gamma(A_G) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(K_1)$ that maps the section of the Lie algebroid $A_G \rightarrow G_0$ to the corresponding right-invariant vector field (see (2.6)). We
then embed $X(G)_1$ into the space of vector fields $\mathcal{X}(G_1)$ by the map $J$ (see (2.7)) and give $X(G)_1$ the induced Lie algebra structure: for $\alpha, \beta \in X(G)_1$ their bracket $[\alpha, \beta]$ is the unique element of the vector space $X(G)_1$ with

$$J([\alpha, \beta]) = [J(\alpha), J(\beta)].$$

**Lemma 4.5.** (We use the notation developed above.) For any left-invariant vector field $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(G) \equiv \mathcal{X}(G_1)_{G_1}$

$$J(q(\alpha)) = \alpha.$$

Hence $q : \mathcal{L}(G)_1 \to X(G)_1$ is a Lie algebra map.

**Proof.** Since $G$ is a Lie 2-group, for any $(\sigma, \gamma) \in G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1$

$$R_\gamma(\sigma) = \sigma * \gamma = \gamma \cdot (1_t(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot \sigma$$

by Lemma 2.6. Therefore for any curve $\sigma(\tau)$ in $G_1$ lying entirely in a fiber of the source map $s : G_1 \to G_0$ with $\sigma(0) = 1_y$ for some $y \in G_0$

$$TR\gamma(\sigma(0)) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\bigg|_0 \sigma(\tau) * \gamma = \frac{d}{d\tau}\bigg|_0 \gamma \cdot (1_y)^{-1} \cdot \sigma(\tau) = TL\gamma(\tau) \cdot (\sigma(0)).$$

It follows that for any section $\zeta \in \Gamma(A_G)$ of the algebroid, $j(\zeta) \in \mathcal{X}(G_1)$ is given by

$$j(\zeta)(\gamma) = TL\gamma(1_t(\gamma))^{-1}((\zeta(t(\gamma))).$$

(4.9)

Now, for any arrow $\alpha : u \to v$ in $\mathcal{L}(G)_1,$

$$q(\alpha) = \alpha \circ 1 : q(u) \Rightarrow q(v).$$

Hence for any arrow $y \to x$ in the Lie groupoid $G$

$$J(q(\alpha))(\gamma) = j(q(\alpha) - 1_{q(u)}) + q(u)_1(\gamma)$$

$$= TL\gamma(1_y)^{-1}(\alpha(1_y) - T1(u(y))) + TL\gamma(q(u)_1(e_1))$$

(by (4.9) and left-invariance of $q(u)_1$)

$$= \alpha(\gamma \cdot (1_y)^{-1} \cdot 1_y) - (TL\gamma(1_y)^{-1} \circ T1 \circ TLy) u(e_0) + TL\gamma(T1(u(e_0))).$$

Now for any $z \in G_0$

$$(L\gamma(1_y)^{-1} \circ 1 \circ Ly)(z) = \gamma \cdot (1_y)^{-1} \cdot 1_yz = \gamma \cdot (1_y)^{-1} \cdot 1_y \cdot 1_z,$$

where the last equality holds since $1 : G_0 \to G_1$ is a homomorphism. Hence

$$L\gamma(1_y)^{-1} \circ 1 \circ Ly = L\gamma \circ 1.$$

and consequently

$$TL\gamma(1_y)^{-1} \circ T1 \circ TLy = TL\gamma \circ T1.$$

It follows that

$$J(q(\alpha))(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma)$$

for all $\gamma \in G_1$ and all $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(G)_1.$

Now by definition of the bracket on the vector space $X(G)_1,$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(G)_1$ the bracket $[q(\alpha), q(\beta)]$ is the unique element of $X(G)_1$ such that

$$J([q(\alpha), q(\beta)]) = [J(q(\alpha)), J(q(\beta))].$$

On the other hand

$$J(q(\alpha, \beta))] = [\alpha, \beta] = [J(q(\alpha)), J(q(\beta))]$$

as well. Hence,

$$q([\alpha, \beta]) = [q(\alpha), q(\beta)]$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(G)_1.$
We conclude that the functor

\[ q : \mathcal{L}(G) \to \mathbb{X}(G) \]

from the category \( \mathcal{L}(G) \) of left-invariant vector fields on the Lie 2-group \( G \) to the category \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) of multiplicative vector fields on the Lie groupoid \( G \) is a 1-morphism of Lie 2-algebras. By construction \( q \) is fully faithful and is injective on objects. We now define \( p : g \to \mathbb{X}(G) \) to be the composite

\[ p := q \circ \ell. \]

By construction \( p \) is fully faithful and injective on objects.

5. Universal properties of the inclusion \( i : p(g) \to \mathbb{X}(G) \)

As before \( G \) denotes a Lie 2-group and \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) the Lie 2-algebra of multiplicative vector fields on the Lie groupoid \( G \).

**Lemma 5.1.** A multiplicative vector field \( u = (u_0, u_1) : G \to TG \) on a Lie 2-group \( G \) satisfies

\[ \lambda(\gamma)(u) = 1_u \] (5.1)

for an arrow \( \gamma \) of \( G \) if and only if for all \( z \in G_0 \)

\[ u_1(1_z) = TL_\gamma(u_1(L_{\gamma^{-1}}(1_z))). \] (5.2)

As before \( L_\sigma : G_1 \to G_1 \) denotes the multiplication on the left by \( \sigma \in G_1 \) and \( \lambda : G \to GL(\mathbb{X}(G)) \) is the action of \( G \) on its vector fields induced by left multiplication (see Lemma 3.13).

**Proof.** The proof is a computation.

Recall that for an arrow \( x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y \in G_1 \) the \( u \)-component of the natural transformation \( \lambda(\gamma) : \lambda(x) \Rightarrow \lambda(y) \) is defined to be the composite

\[
\begin{align*}
TG & \xrightarrow{TL_x} TG \xrightarrow{u_{L_\gamma}} G \\
& \xrightarrow{TL_y} TG \xrightarrow{u_{L_{\gamma^{-1}}}} G
\end{align*}
\]

Hence for any object \( z \in G_0 \)

\[ (\lambda(\gamma)(u))(z) = ((TL_\gamma) \circ_{\text{hor}} (u_{L_{\gamma^{-1}}})) (z) \]

\[ = (TL_\gamma)((u_0 \circ L_{y^{-1}}(z))) \ast (TL_x)(u_1(L_{\gamma^{-1}}(z))) \] (by Remark 3.7)

where \( \ast \) is the composition in the Lie groupoid \( TG \). For any tangent vector \( \dot{a} \in T_a G_0 \)

\[ TL_\gamma(a, \dot{a}) = Tm((\gamma, 0), (1_a, T1(\dot{a}))) \]

Hence

\[ (TL_\gamma)((u_0 \circ L_{y^{-1}}(z))) = Tm((\gamma, 0), (1_{y^{-1}z}, T1 u_1(1_{y^{-1}z}))). \]

For any tangent vector \( \dot{\sigma} \in T_a G_1 \)

\[ TL_x(\sigma, \dot{\sigma}) = Tm((1_x, 0), (\sigma, \dot{\sigma})). \]

Hence

\[ (TL_x)(u_1(L_{\gamma^{-1}}(z))) = Tm((1_x, 0), (\gamma^{-1}1_z, u_1 \gamma^{-1}1_z)). \]

Recall that since \( Tm : TG \times TG \to TG \) is a functor, for any two pairs of composable arrows \((\sigma_2, \dot{\sigma}_2), (\sigma_1, \dot{\sigma}_1)\), \((\sigma_4, \dot{\sigma}_4), (\sigma_3, \dot{\sigma}_3)\) \( \in TG_1 \times TG_0 \ TG_1 \)

\[ Tm((\sigma_2, \dot{\sigma}_2) \ast (\sigma_1, \dot{\sigma}_1)), ((\sigma_4, \dot{\sigma}_4) \ast (\sigma_3, \dot{\sigma}_3)) = Tm((\sigma_2, \dot{\sigma}_2), (\sigma_4, \dot{\sigma}_4)) \ast Tm((\sigma_1, \dot{\sigma}_1)) \ast (\sigma_3, \dot{\sigma}_3)) \]
Remark 5.3. In the course of the proof we realize the limit of the functor \( \lambda : G \to GL(\mathbb{X}(G)) \) explicitly as a sub 2-vector space of the 2-vector space \( \mathbb{X}(G) \) cut out by equations.
Proof. We argue first

(i) For any multiplicative vector field $u = (u_0, u_1) : G \to TG$
\[ \lambda(x)u = u \quad \text{for all } x \in G_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\gamma)u = 1_u \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in G_1 \] (5.5)

if and only if
\[ u = p(u(e_0)). \] (5.6)

(ii) For any morphism $\alpha : u \Rightarrow v$ in the category $X(G)$
\[ \lambda(x)(\alpha) = \alpha \quad \text{for all } x \in G_0 \] (5.7)

if and only if
\[ \alpha = p(\alpha(e_0)). \] (5.8)

Proof of (i). By Lemma 5.1 $\lambda(\gamma)(u) = 1_u$ if and only if (5.2) holds for all $z \in G_0$. It is easy to see that (5.2) is equivalent to the vector field $u_1$ on the Lie group $G_1$ being left-invariant.

On the other hand $\lambda(x)u = u$ for all $x \in G_0$ translates into
\[ TL_x \circ u_0 \circ L_x^{-1} = u_0 \]
and
\[ TL_{1_x} \circ u_1 \circ L_{1_x}^{-1} = u_1. \]
Thus (5.5) implies that $u_0$ and $u_1$ are both left-invariant. Moreover, since $u$ is multiplicative and $e_1 = 1(e_0), u_1(e_1) = T1u_0(e_0)$. Hence (5.5) implies (5.6).

Conversely, suppose $(u_0, u_1) = p(a)$ for some $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. By construction of the functor $p$, $a = u_0(e_0)$. Moreover $u_1$ is a left-invariant vector field on $G_1$ with $u_1(e_1) = T1(u_0(e_0))$. Hence equation (5.2) hold for all $z \in G_0$ and all $\gamma \in G_1$, which implies that $\lambda(\gamma)u = 1_u$ for all $\gamma \in G_1$. It also implies that
\[ TL_{1_x} \circ u_1 \circ L_{1_x}^{-1} = u_1 \] (5.9)
for all $x \in G_1$. On the other hand, by construction of the functor $p$ the vector field $u_0$ on $G_0$ is left-invariant. Hence
\[ TL_x \circ u_0 \circ L_x^{-1} = u_0 \] (5.10)
for all $x \in G_0$. Therefore $\lambda(x)u = u$ for all $x \in G_0$. We conclude that if $u = p(a)$ then (5.5) holds. This finishes our proof of (i).

Proof of (ii). By definition of the functor $\lambda(x)$,
\[ (\lambda(x)\alpha)(z) = TL_x(\alpha(x^{-1}z)) \]
for all $z \in G_0$. By definition of the functor $L_x$ on arrows,
\[ TL_x(\alpha(x^{-1}z)) = TL_{1_x}(\alpha(x^{-1}z)) \]
where as before $L_{1_x}$ is left multiplication by $1_x \in G_1$. Thus if $\lambda(x)\alpha = \alpha$ then
\[ \alpha(x) = TL_{1_x}(\alpha(e_0)) \]
Hence (5.7) implies (5.8).

Conversely, if $\alpha = p(b)$ for some $b \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ then $\alpha(x) = TL_{1_x}b$ for all $x \in G_0$ and $\alpha(e_0) = TL_{1_{e_0}}b = b$. This finishes our proof of (ii).

The proof of part (1) of the theorem is now easy. By (i), for any object $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, and any object $x \in G_0$,
\[ \lambda(x)(p(a)) = p(a). \]
By (ii), for any object $b \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and any arrow $\gamma \in G_1$
\[ \lambda(\gamma)(p(b)) = p(b). \]
Hence (5.3) commutes.

Now suppose $\psi : h \to X(G)$ is a map of 2-vector spaces making the diagram (5.4) commute. Then for any object $X$ of $h$
\[ \lambda(x)\psi(X) = \psi(X) \quad \text{for all } x \in G_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\gamma)\psi(X) = 1_{\psi(X)} \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in G_1 \]
Consequently by (i) 
\[ \psi(X) = p(\psi(X)(e_0)). \]

The commutativity of (5.4) also implies that 
\[ \lambda(x)(\psi(Y)) = \psi(Y) \]
for any arrow \( Y \) in \( \mathfrak{h} \). Then by (ii) 
\[ \psi(Y) = p(\psi(Y)(e_0)). \]

We conclude that the image of \( \psi : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{X}(G) \) is contained in \( p(\mathfrak{g}) \) and the result follows. \( \square \)

We are now in position to prove our main result by putting together all the work we have already done.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By Lemma 3.13 the action of the Lie 2-group \( G \) on itself by multiplication on the left gives rise to a homomorphism of 2-groups \( \lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathfrak{X}(G)) \). By Theorem 4.1 we have a 1-morphism of Lie 2-algebras \( p : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(G) \) which is fully faithful and injective on objects. In particular \( p : \mathfrak{g} \to p(\mathfrak{g}) \) is an isomorphism of Lie 2-algebras.

On the other hand by Theorem 5.2, the 2-vector space \( p(\mathfrak{g}) \) underlying the Lie 2-algebra \( p(\mathfrak{g}) \) is a limit of the functor \( \lambda : G \to \text{GL}(\mathfrak{X}(G)) \). Hence it makes sense to say that \( p(\mathfrak{g}) \) is the 2-vector space \( \mathfrak{X}(G)^G \) of left-invariant vector fields. As we remarked previously \( p(\mathfrak{g}) \) is also a Lie 2-subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{X}(G) \) which is isomorphic to the Lie 2-algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). \( \square \)
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