Sidon sets are proportionally Sidon with small Sidon constants
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Abstract. In his seminal work on Sidon sets, Pisier found an important characterization of Sidonicity: A set is Sidon if and only if it is proportionally quasi-independent. Later, it was shown that Sidon sets were proportionally ‘special’ Sidon in several other ways. Here, we prove that Sidon sets in torsion-free groups are proportionally \( n \)-degree independent, a higher order of independence than quasi-independence, and we use this to prove that Sidon sets are proportionally Sidon with Sidon constants arbitrarily close to one, the minimum possible value.

1. Introduction

Let \( G \) be a compact abelian group and \( \Gamma \) its discrete dual. A subset \( E \subseteq \Gamma \) is called a Sidon set if there is a constant \( C \) such that every bounded \( E \)-function \( \phi \) is the restriction of the Fourier Stieltjes transform of a finite measure on \( G \) of measure norm at most \( C \| \phi \|_\infty \). The least such \( C \) is called the Sidon constant of \( E \). Sidon sets are well known to be plentiful. Indeed, infinite examples can be found in every infinite subset of \( \Gamma \) and include lacunary sets (in \( \Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \)) and independent sets.

Sidon sets have been extensively studied, yet fundamental questions remain open. As the class of Sidon sets is closed under finite unions, it is natural to ask whether every Sidon set is the finite union of a ‘nicer’, i.e., more restricted, class of interpolation sets. Important progress on this general problem was made when Pisier \cite{Pisier} characterized Sidon sets as those which are ‘proportionally’ quasi-independent (special Sidon sets that are independent-like). Later Ramsey \cite{Ramsey}, proved that Sidon sets are proportionally \( I_0 \) (special Sidon sets where the interpolating measure can be chosen to be discrete) in a uniform sense and subsequently one of the authors with Graham \cite{HareGraham} showed that they are proportionally \( \varepsilon \)-Kronecker (special Sidon sets defined by an approximation property) under the assumption that \( \Gamma \) has no elements of finite order.

In this paper we prove that if \( \Gamma \) has no elements of finite order, then every Sidon set is proportionally Sidon with Sidon constants arbitrarily close to one. This will be established by generalizing Pisier’s proportional quasi-independent characterization.
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of Sidon to higher degrees of independence. Of course, every Sidon set has Sidon constant at least one and this is the Sidon constant for an independent set in the case that $\Gamma$ has no elements of finite order. But many groups, including $\mathbb{Z}$, have no non-trivial independent sets or even any subsets with Sidon constant equal to one, other than one and two element sets.

Our proportionality result does not hold, in general, for groups that admit elements of finite order as there are such groups with the property that the Sidon constant of every non-trivial independent set is bounded away from one. However, we do show the proportionality result holds when $\Gamma$ is a product of finite groups with prime order tending to infinity.

2. Definitions and Basic properties

We begin by recalling some well-known equivalent definitions of Sidon. For proofs of these facts and other properties of Sidon sets mentioned below, we refer the reader to [5] or [9].

**Definition 1.** A subset $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is called a Sidon set if whenever $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function, there is a measure $\mu$ on $G$ with the property that $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in E$ and $\|\mu\|_{M(G)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{\infty}$. The least such constant $C$ is known as the Sidon constant of $E$. The set $E$ is called $I_0$ if the measure $\mu$ can be chosen to be discrete.

**Proposition 1.** The following are equivalent:

1. $E$ is Sidon.
2. There are constants $C$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$ such that for every $\phi \in \text{Ball}(\ell^\infty(E))$ there is a measure $\mu$ on $G$ with $\|\mu\|_{M(G)} \leq C$ and satisfying
   $$\sup_{\gamma \in E} \{|\phi(\gamma) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma)|\} \leq \delta.$$  
3. For every $\phi : E \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ there is a measure $\mu$ on $G$ such that
   $$\sup_{\gamma \in E} \{|\phi(\gamma) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma)|\} < 1.$$  
4. There is a constant $C$ such that whenever $f$ is a trigonometric polynomial with $\text{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq E$, then
   $$\sum_{\gamma \in E} |\hat{f}(\gamma)| \leq C \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in G\}.$$  

The minimal constant $C$ satisfying (4) is (also) the Sidon constant. An iterative argument can be given to show that if item (2) is satisfied, then the Sidon constant of $E$ is at most $C/(1 - \delta)$. In particular, an independent set in a group with no elements of finite order has Sidon constant 1. From (3) one can immediately see that independent sets in groups with elements of finite order are also Sidon.

Finite sets $F$ are always Sidon with Sidon constant at most $\sqrt{|F|}$. In $\mathbb{Z}$, one and two element sets have Sidon constant one, but this is never the case for sets of three or more elements, see [11]. A classical example of an infinite Sidon set is the subset $E = \{3^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, given $\phi \in \ell^\infty(E)$ with $\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq 1/2$, we can take as the interpolating measure the Riesz product measure

$$\mu = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + 2\mathcal{R}(\phi(3^n)e^{3^n x})\right)$$
where the infinite product notation means $\mu$ is the weak * limit in $M(\mathbb{T})$. As $\|\mu\|_{M(\mathbb{T})} = 1$, the Sidon constant of $E$ is at most 2. In fact, the set $\{3^n\}$, or more generally any lacunary set $\{n_j\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ (meaning $\inf n_{j+1}/n_j = q > 1$), is an example of an $I_0$ set (although the Riesz product measure argument does not show this).

The class of $I_0$ sets is a proper subset of the Sidon sets since the class of Sidon sets is closed under finite unions, but the class of $I_0$ sets is not. But $I_0$ sets are also plentiful and every infinite subset of $\Gamma$ contains an infinite $I_0$ set. It is of interest to understand the relationship between Sidon and $I_0$ sets since $I_0$ sets are known to not cluster at any continuous character in the Bohr topology, while it is unknown whether Sidon sets can (even) be dense in the Bohr compactification of $\Gamma$.

Another interesting class of Sidon sets are the $\varepsilon$-Kronecker sets: $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is $\varepsilon$-Kronecker if for every $\phi : E \to \mathbb{T}$ there exists $x \in G$ such that $|\phi(\gamma) - \gamma(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\gamma \in E$. Any lacunary set $\{n_j\}$ with $\inf n_{j+1}/n_j > 2$ is $\varepsilon$-Kronecker for some $\varepsilon < 2$ and every set that is $(2 - \varepsilon)$-Kronecker is Sidon [7]. There are examples of Sidon sets that are not $(2 - \varepsilon)$-Kronecker for some groups $\Gamma$, but it is unknown if such examples can be found in $\mathbb{Z}$.

A weakened version of independence is the following notion.

**Definition 2.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is $n$-degree independent if whenever $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ are distinct elements in $E$ and $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ are integers with $|m_1| \leq n$, then $\prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^{m_i} = 1$ implies $\gamma_i^{m_i} = 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$ where $1$ denotes the identity in $\Gamma$. A 1-degree independent set is usually called quasi-independent and a 2-degree independent set is called dissociate.

The set $E$ is said to be $n$-length independent if whenever $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ are distinct elements in $E$ and $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ then $\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^{m_i} = 1$ implies $\gamma_i^{m_i} = 1$ for all $i$.

**Remark 1.** Note that the condition $\gamma_i^{m_i} = 1$ can be replaced with $\gamma_i = 1$ in the case that all $\gamma_i$ have order greater than $n$.

Clearly $n$-degree independent implies $n$-length independent and a set is independent if and only if it is $n$-degree independent for every $n$. The set $E = \{3^n\}$ is a dissociate set and a Riesz product construction shows that any dissociate set is Sidon. A modification of this argument can be given to show that quasi-independent sets are also Sidon.

Significant efforts have been made to characterize Sidon sets in terms of these more restricted classes of sets. Towards this end, Malliavin [10] showed that any Sidon set not containing the identity, in the group $\oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $p$ prime, is a finite union of independent sets, while Bourgain [1] proved that every Sidon set $E \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ is a finite union of $n$-length independent sets. However, it is unknown if every Sidon set is:

- a finite union of $I_0$ sets
- a finite union of $\varepsilon$-Kronecker sets
- a finite union of quasi-independent sets

Pisier introduced probabilistic techniques to study these and related questions and obtained important 'proportional' characterizations of Sidon sets (see Theorem 1 below). These characterizations inspired a number of other such characterizations and is the motivation for this paper. Here is a sampling of these 'proportional' characterizations.
Terminology: Given two classes of sets \( A, B \), we will say that \( E \in A \) is proportionally \( B \) if there is some constant \( \delta > 0 \) such that for every finite \( F \subseteq E \) there is some \( H \subseteq F \) such that \( |H| \geq \delta |F| \) and \( H \in B \).

Theorem. (a) The following are equivalent for \( E \subseteq \Gamma \backslash \{1\} \):

1. \( E \) is Sidon;
2. \( E \) is proportionally quasi-independent;
3. There exists a constant \( C \) such that \( E \) is proportionally Sidon with Sidon constant at most \( C \);
4. There exists an integer \( M \) such that \( E \) is proportionally \( I_0(M) \).

(b) If \( \Gamma \) has only finitely many elements of order \( 2^k \) for any \( k \) and \( E \) has no elements of order two, then \( E \) is Sidon if and only if \( E \) is proportional \( \varepsilon \)-Kronecker for some \( \varepsilon < \sqrt{2} \).

The equivalence of (1 - 3) is a deep result of Pisier, see [12]-[14], with later proofs given by Bourgain in [2], [3]. The equivalence of (4) was shown by Ramsey in [15], while (b) was established in [4] along with other related proportional equivalences. We refer the reader also to [5, ch. 7,9] and [8, p. 482-499] for expositions of these results.

In this paper, we will modify Pisier’s technique to prove that if \( \Gamma \) has no elements of finite order, then \( E \) is Sidon if and only if \( E \) is proportionally \( n \)-degree independent for each \( n \) if and only for every constant \( C > 1 \), \( E \) is proportionally Sidon with Sidon constant \( C \). Partial results are obtained in the case that \( \Gamma \) has elements of finite order.

3. Proportional Sidon subsets in torsion-free groups

In this section our main focus will be on torsion-free, discrete abelian groups \( \Gamma \), groups which have no elements of finite order. These are the groups whose dual groups \( G \) are connected. We will first extend Pisier’s proportional quasi-independent characterization of Sidon to \( n \)-degree independence, and then use this to deduce that Sidon sets are proportionally Sidon with constants arbitrarily close to 1.

We begin with some preliminary lemmas that hold for general discrete abelian groups.

Lemma 1. Suppose \( E \subseteq \Gamma \backslash \{1\} \) is Sidon. There is a constant \( K \), depending only on the Sidon constant of \( E \), such that for all finite subsets \( A \subseteq E \) and real numbers \( (a_\gamma)_{\gamma \in A} \), we have

\[
\int \exp \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma R(\gamma) \right) \leq \exp \left( K \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 \right).
\]

Proof. This is well known and is a straightforward argument using the power series expansion of the exponential function and the fact that if \( E \) is a Sidon set with Sidon constant \( S \), then

\[
\|f\|_p \leq 2S^{\sqrt{p}}\|f\|_2
\]

---

\( E \) is \( I_0(M) \) if for every \( \phi \in \text{Ball}(\ell^\infty(E)) \) there is a discrete measure \( \mu = \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \delta_{x_j} \) with \( |a_j| \leq 1 \) and \( \sup_{\gamma \in E} |\phi(\gamma) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \leq 1/2 \).
for any integer \( p \geq 2 \) and trigonometric polynomial \( f \) with \( \text{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq E \). The details are left to the reader.

**Notation:** Given \( E \subseteq \Gamma \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we let
\[
E_k = \{ \gamma^k : \gamma \in E \}.
\]

**Lemma 2.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and assume \( \Gamma \) contains no non-trivial elements of order \( \leq n \). Suppose \( E \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{1\} \) and \( E_k \) is Sidon for each \( k = 1, ..., n \). Then there is a constant \( K_n \), depending only on \( n \) and the Sidon constants of the sets \( E_k \), \( k = 1, ..., n \), such that for all \( 0 < \lambda < 1/n \) and finite subsets \( A \subseteq E \), we have
\[
\int \prod_{\gamma \in A} \left( 1 + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(\gamma^k) \right) \leq \exp \left( K_n |A| n^3 \lambda^2 \right).
\]
(Here \( |A| \) denotes the cardinality of the set \( A \).)

**Proof.** Let \( A \subseteq E \) be finite. Since \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(\gamma^k) \leq n \) and \( \lambda < 1/n \), we have
\[
\prod_{\gamma \in A} \left( 1 + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(\gamma^k) \right) \leq \exp \left( \lambda \sum_{\gamma \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(\gamma^k) \right).
\]
Put \( A^{(n)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k \). We can write
\[
\sum_{\gamma \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(\gamma^k) = \sum_{\beta \in A^{(n)}} a_{\beta} R(\beta).
\]
Note that the coefficients \( a_{\beta} \) satisfy \( 0 \leq a_{\beta} \leq 2n \) since the assumption that \( \Gamma \) contains no elements of order \( \leq n \) ensures that \( R(\gamma^k) = R(\chi^k) \) for \( \gamma, \chi \in A \) and \( k \leq n \) only if \( \gamma = \chi \) or \( \chi \).

Since a finite union of Sidon sets is Sidon with Sidon constant depending only on the Sidon constants of the individual sets and the number of sets in the union, \( A^{(n)} \) is Sidon with Sidon constant depending only on that of the sets \( E_k \) and \( n \). Thus Lemma 2 and the fact that \( |A^{(n)}| \leq n |A| \) implies that there is a constant \( k_n \) with
\[
\int \exp \left( \lambda \sum_{\gamma \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(\gamma^k) \right) = \int \exp \left( \lambda \sum_{\beta \in A^{(n)}} a_{\beta} R(\beta) \right) \leq \exp \left( k_n \sum_{\beta \in A^{(n)}} \lambda^2 a_{\beta}^2 \right) \leq \exp \left( 4k_n n^3 \lambda^2 |A| \right).
\]

Next, we upgrade Pisier’s proportional quasi-independent characterization of Sidon to \( n \)-degree independent proportional sets. Our proof follows his strategy.

**Proposition 2.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and assume \( \Gamma \) contains no non-trivial elements of order \( \leq n \). Suppose \( E \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{1\} \) and \( E_k \) is Sidon for each \( k = 1, ..., n \). There exists \( \delta_n > 0 \) such that for each finite set \( F \subseteq E \) there is a further finite subset \( H \subseteq F \) which is \( n \)-degree independent and satisfies \( |H| \geq \delta_n |F| \).
such that for each finite $F \subseteq E$, let $C_n(F)$ denote the cardinality of the set
\[
\{ (\xi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in F} \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm n\}^F : \prod_{\gamma \in F} \xi^2_\gamma = 1 \}.
\]

The first step of the proof is to show that there are constants $\delta = \delta_n, \alpha = \alpha_n > 0$ such that for each finite $F \subseteq E$, there is a further subset $H \subseteq F$ with $|H| \geq \delta |F|$ and $C_n(H) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\alpha |H|}$. To see this, fix such $F$ and let $\lambda \in (0, 1/n)$. Let $(\varepsilon_\gamma)_{\gamma \in F}$ be a collection of independent 0,1-valued random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ such that $\mathbb{P}\{\varepsilon_\gamma = 1\} = \lambda/2$. An application of Fubini’s theorem, independence and Lemma 2 gives
\[
\mathbb{E} \int \prod_{\gamma \in F} \left( 1 + \varepsilon_\gamma \sum_{k=1}^n (\gamma^k + \gamma^{-k}) \right) = \int \mathbb{E} \prod_{\gamma \in F} \left( 1 + \varepsilon_\gamma \sum_{k=1}^n (\gamma^k + \gamma^{-k}) \right) = \int \prod_{\gamma \in F} \left( 1 + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^n R(\gamma^k) \right) \leq \exp(K_n n^3 \lambda^2 |F|).
\]

If we let $F(\omega) = \{ \gamma \in F : \varepsilon_\gamma(\omega) = 1 \}$, then
\[
\mathbb{E}(C_n(F(\omega))) = \mathbb{E} \int \prod_{\gamma \in F} \left( 1 + \varepsilon_\gamma \sum_{k=1}^n (\gamma^k + \gamma^{-k}) \right) \leq \exp(K_n n^3 \lambda^2 |F|).
\]

By Markov’s inequality, with probability at least 1/2 we have
\[
C_n(F(\omega)) \leq 2 \exp(K_n n^3 \lambda^2 |F|).
\]

Notice that if $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, then $\mathbb{E}((\varepsilon_{\gamma_1} - \mathbb{E}\varepsilon_{\gamma_1})(\varepsilon_{\gamma_2} - \mathbb{E}\varepsilon_{\gamma_2})) = 0$, thus
\[
\mathbb{E}(|F(\omega)| - \mathbb{E}|F(\omega)|)^2 = \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in F} (\varepsilon_\gamma - \mathbb{E}\varepsilon_\gamma) \right)^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in F} \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_\gamma - \mathbb{E}\varepsilon_\gamma)^2 = |F| (\lambda/2 - \lambda^2/4) \leq |F| \lambda/2.
\]

Since, also, $\mathbb{E}(|F(\omega)|) = |F| \lambda/2$, it follows from Chebyshev’s inequality that
\[
\mathbb{P}\{|F(\omega)| \leq |F| \lambda/4 \} \leq \mathbb{P}\{(|F(\omega)| - \mathbb{E}|F(\omega)|)^2 \geq |F|^2 \lambda^2 /16 \} \leq \frac{|F| \lambda/2}{|F|^2 \lambda^2 /16} = \frac{8}{|F| \lambda}.
\]

Choose $\lambda = \lambda_n > 0$ so small that $\exp(4K_n n^3 \lambda) < 2$ and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ be given by $2^\alpha = \exp(4K_n n^3 \lambda)$. With this choice of $\lambda$, $\mathbb{P}\{|F(\omega)| > |F| \lambda/4 \} > 1/2$ if $|F|$ is sufficiently large, and for any such $\omega$,
\[
2 \cdot 2^{\alpha |F(\omega)|} = 2 \exp(4K_n n^3 \lambda |F(\omega)|) \geq 2 \exp(K_n n^3 \lambda^2 |F|).
\]

Thus $|F(\omega)| > |F| \lambda/4$ and $C_n(F(\omega)) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\alpha |F(\omega)|}$ with positive probability.

This proves that there are constants $\delta = \lambda/4$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for any finite $F \subseteq E$ there is a subset $H = F(\omega) \subseteq E$ with $|H| \geq \delta |F|$ and $C_n(H) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\alpha |H|}$. 
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We will say a finite set $A \subseteq \Gamma$ is an $n$-relation set if there exists $(\xi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in A} \in \{\pm1, \ldots, \pm n\}^A$ with $\prod_{\gamma \in A} \gamma^{\xi_\gamma} = 1$. Given $A$, we let $\mathcal{M}(A)$ denote a maximal (with respect to inclusion) subset of $A$ that is an $n$-relation set. The maximality ensures that $A \setminus \mathcal{M}(A)$ is an $n$-degree independent set. To complete the proof of the proposition, we will establish the following:

**Claim:** Given a sufficiently large finite set $F$ satisfying $C_n(F) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{n|F|}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, there exists a constant $0 < \theta < 1$, depending only on $\alpha$, and a subset $H_1 \subseteq F$ with $|H_1| \geq |F|/2$ and having $|\mathcal{M}(H_1)| \leq \theta |H_1|$. 

Of course, in this case $H = H_1 \setminus \mathcal{M}(H_1)$ is an $n$-degree independent subset of $F$ with cardinality at least $(1 - \theta)|F|/2$.

A technical fact we will use in proving the claim is that if we let $s(\theta) = \left(\frac{1 - \theta}{2}\right) \log_2 \left(\frac{2e}{1 - \theta}\right)$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then, since $\binom{n}{k} \leq \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k$, we have

$$\left(\frac{n}{\theta(1 - \theta)}\right) \leq 2^{s(\theta)n}. $$

Assume the claim is false. Then whenever $H_1$ is a subset of $F$ with $|H_1| = |F|/2$, (without loss of generality we can assume $F$ has an even number of elements) we must have $|\mathcal{M}(H_1)| > \theta |H_1|$. 

As $\lim_{\theta \to 1} s(\theta) = 0$, we can choose $\theta$ sufficiently close to 1 that $1 - s(\theta) > \alpha$. A combinatorial argument shows that if $H_0 \subseteq F$ and $\theta |F|/2 < |H_0| < |F|/2$, then the number of subsets $H_1 \subseteq F$ containing $H_0$ and having cardinality $|F|/2$ is

$$|\{H_1 \subseteq F : |H_1| = |F|/2, H_1 \supseteq H_0\}| \leq \left(\frac{|F| - |H_0|}{|F|/2 - |H_0|}\right) \leq \left(\frac{|F|}{|F| (1 - \theta)/2}\right) \leq 2^{s(\theta)|F|}. $$

(3.1) We let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the collection of all subsets $H_0 \subseteq F$ such that there exists $H_1 \subseteq F$ with $|H_1| = |F|/2$ and $\mathcal{M}(H_1) = H_0$. Of course, $C_n(F) \geq |\mathcal{F}|$. Thus

$$\left(\frac{|F|}{|F|/2}\right) = |\{H_1 \subseteq F : |H_1| = |F|/2\}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{H_0 \subseteq F} |\{H_1 \subseteq F : |H_1| = |F|/2, \mathcal{M}(H_1) = H_0\}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{H_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}} |\{H_1 \subseteq F : |H_1| = |F|/2, H_1 \supseteq H_0\}|$$

$$\leq |\mathcal{F}| 2^{|F|s(\theta)} \leq C_n(F) 2^{|F|s(\theta)},$$

where the second inequality comes from (3.1). Since $1 - s(\theta) > \alpha$, this implies

$$C_n(F) \geq \left(\frac{|F|}{|F|/2}\right)^{2-|F|s(\theta)} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F|}} 2^{|F| 2^{-|F|s(\theta)}} > 2 \cdot 2^{\alpha|F|}$$

if $|F|$ is sufficiently large, and that is a contradiction. □

**Lemma 3.** Assume $\Gamma$ is a torsion-free group. If $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is a Sidon set, then for all positive integers $n$, the set $E_n = \{\gamma^n : \gamma \in E\}$ is also a Sidon set with the same Sidon constant as $E$. 

Let $\phi$ be a modulus one, and define the trigonometric polynomial $P_n$ such that each finite $\delta > 0$ so that $f(y) = \gamma(x_0)$,

$$|f(y)| = \left| \sum_{\gamma \in E} a_{\gamma} \gamma(x_0) \right| = \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in E} a_{\gamma} \gamma \right\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{1}{S} \sum_{\gamma \in E} |a_{\gamma}|,$$

where $S$ is the Sidon constant of $E$. Hence $E_n$ is a Sidon set with constant at most $S$.

It is easier to see that the Sidon constant of $E$ is at most the Sidon constant of $E_n$, hence we have equality.

We are now ready to prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.** Assume $\Gamma$ is a torsion-free group. The following are equivalent for $E \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$:

1. $E$ is Sidon;
2. For each positive integer $n$, $E$ is proportionally $n$-degree-independent;
3. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $E$ is proportionally Sidon with Sidon constant at most $1 + \varepsilon$.

**Proof.** The fact that (2) and (3) each imply (1) is a consequence of Pisier's proportional characterizations Theorem [1].

The fact that (1) implies (2) follows directly from the previous Lemma and Prop. [2].

We turn now to the proof that (1) implies (3). Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\eta > 0$ so that $(1 - \eta)/(1 + \eta) \geq 1/(1 + \varepsilon)$. Pick $n$ such that $\left| e^{2\pi it} - 1 \right| < \eta/2$ on $[-1/n, 1/n]$ and consider the continuous, even function $f : \mathbb{T} = [-1/2, 1/2] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = n - n |x|$ for $x \in [-1/n, 1/n]$ and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. Obviously, $f \geq 0$ and $\hat{f}(0) = \|f\|_1 = 1$. An easy calculation shows $\hat{f}(\pm 1) \geq 1 - \eta/2$.

Select an even, real-valued trigonometric polynomial $q$ such that $\|f - q\|_{\infty} < \eta/2$ and let $p$ be the even, positive, trigonometric polynomial given by

$$p = \frac{q + \eta/2}{q(0) + \eta/2}.$$  

This normalization ensures that $\hat{p}(0) = 1$ and $\hat{p}(\pm 1) \geq (1 - \eta)/(1 + \eta) \geq 1/(1 + \varepsilon)$. Let $N$ be the degree of $p$.

Since Sidon sets are proportionally $n$-degree independent for each $n$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that each finite $F \subseteq E$ admits an $(N + 1)$-degree independent subset $H$ with $|H| \geq \delta |F|$.

We now give a Riesz product construction to bound the Sidon constant of $H$. Let $\phi : H \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq 1/(1 + \varepsilon)$. Let $u_\gamma = \phi(\gamma)/|\phi(\gamma)|$ be a complex number of modulus one, and define the trigonometric polynomial $P_\gamma$ on $G$ by

$$P_\gamma(x) = \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\hat{p}(1)} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{p}(n) (u_\gamma \gamma(x))^n + 1 - \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\hat{p}(1)}$$

for $x \in G$.

Then

$$\hat{P}_\gamma(1) = \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\hat{p}(1)} \hat{p}(0) + 1 - \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\hat{p}(1)} = 1,$$
and the degree of $P_\gamma$ is $N$. Since $|\phi(\gamma)|/\hat{p}(1) \leq 1$, $P_\gamma \geq 0$ and therefore $\|P_\gamma\|_1 = 1$. Let $P = \prod_{\gamma \in H} P_\gamma$. Since $H$ is $(N+1)$-degree independent, standard arguments show that $\|P\|_1 = \hat{P}(1) = 1$ and $\hat{P}(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in H$. As $\|\phi\| \leq 1/(1 + \varepsilon)$, this proves that $H$ is a Sidon set with Sidon constant bounded by $1 + \varepsilon$, as we desired to show. □

Remark 2. (i) An antisymmetric Sidon set that has the additional property that the interpolating measure can always be chosen to be positive is called a Fatou-Zygmund set with the Fatou-Zygmund constant defined in the obvious way. As the Riesz product measure $P$ constructed in the proof of the Theorem is a positive measure, we actually have shown that any Sidon set in a torsion-free group is proportionally Fatou-Zygmund with Fatou-Zygmund constants arbitrarily close to 1.

(ii) Since finite sets have the same Sidon and $I_0$ constants ([6]), it also follows that $E$ is Sidon if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $E$ is proportionally $I_0$ with $I_0$ constant at most $1 + \varepsilon$.

4. Sidon sets in torsion groups

When the group $\Gamma$ has elements of finite order, the situation is quite different. In [1], Bourgain proved that every Sidon set in $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_n^N$, where $n$ has no repeated prime factors, is a finite union of independent sets. His methods actually show the following.

Proposition 3. Suppose $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^N$, $p_i$ prime and assume $p_1 = \min\{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Then any Sidon set in $\Gamma$ is a finite union of sets that are $(p_1-1)$-degree independent.

However, such Sidon sets are not necessarily proportionally Sidon with Sidon constants arbitrarily close to 1. Indeed, it is easy to see using Prop. [14] that if, for example, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p^N$ for a prime number $p$, then any two element subset of $\Gamma$ (even if an independent set) has Sidon constant bounded below by

$$\sup_{\alpha, \beta} \left( \min_{\xi \text{ p-root unity}} \frac{|\alpha| + |\beta|}{|\alpha + \beta \xi|} \right) \geq \sec(\pi/(2p)).$$

We can, however, obtain our ‘small constants’ proportionality result for products of cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ where $(p_i)$ tends to infinity.

Proposition 4. Suppose $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$, where $(p_i)$ is a sequence of prime numbers tending to infinity. If $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is Sidon, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $\delta > 0$ such that for all finite $F \subseteq E$, there exists a further finite subset $H \subseteq F$ with Sidon constant bounded by $1 + \varepsilon$ and satisfying $|H| \geq \delta |F|$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose $F$ is a finite subset of $E$. Let $p$ be the polynomial defined in (3.3) and put $N = \deg p$. Choose $n_0$ such that $p_i > N + 1$ for all $i > n_0$. Let $\Gamma_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^{n_0} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ and $M = |\Gamma_1|$. Choose $F_1 \subseteq F$ such that $F_1 = \gamma Y$ where $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, $Y \subseteq \oplus_{i>n_0} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ and $|F_1| \geq |F|/M$. Since translation preserves Sidon constants, $Y$ is a Sidon set with constant at most that of $E$.

Now consider $Y_k = \{\chi^k : \chi \in Y\}$ for $k \leq N$. Since the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ for $i > n_0$ have prime order exceeding $N$, essentially the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3 shows that each $Y_k$ is Sidon with Sidon constant the same as $E$. 
Applying Prop. 2 we see there is a constant $\delta > 0$ (depending on $N$) and an $(N + 1)$-degree independent set $Y_0 \subseteq Y$ such that $|Y_0| \geq \delta |Y|$. For $Y_0$, being $(N + 1)$-degree independent is the same as saying $\prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \gamma_i^{m_i} = 1$ for $|m_i| \leq N + 1$ only if $\gamma_i = 1$ for all $i$. That fact allows us to apply the Riesz product construction of the proof of Theorem 2 (with the polynomial $p$) and as in that proof we deduce that the Sidon constant of $Y_0$ is at most $1 + \epsilon$. Of course, this is also a bound on the Sidon constant of $H = \gamma Y_0$ and this subset of $F$ has cardinality at least $(\delta/M) |F|$, completing the proof. 

References