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ABSTRACT. Two areas of mathematics which have received substantial attention in recent years are the theory of optimal transport and the Elliott classification programme for C*-algebras. We combine these two seemingly unrelated disciplines to make progress on a classical problem of Weyl. In particular, we show how results from the Elliott classification programme can be used to translate continuous transport of spectral measures into optimal unitary conjugation in C*-algebras. As a consequence, whenever two normal elements of a sufficiently well-behaved C*-algebra share a spectrum amenable to such continuous transport, and have trivial $K_1$-class, the distance between their unitary orbits can be computed tracially.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of calculating the distance between the orbits of operators under conjugation by unitaries was first considered by Weyl [36]. It is well known to any student of linear algebra that two normal matrices are in the same unitary orbit if and only if they have the same eigenvalues, including multiplicity. That is to say, a given unitary orbit is completely determined by spectral data. How then to compare two normal matrices that do not lie in the same orbit? Weyl showed that for positive matrices, spectral data not only determines a given unitary orbit, but is in fact enough to calculate the distance between two orbits via an optimal matching of eigenvalues. This problem was later considered for unitary matrices [2], in the setting of von Neumann algebras [17], as well as for positive elements in C*-algebras by Toms and the first two authors [20]. In this article we continue the study of the Weyl problem in the setting of C*-algebras. In particular, we address the question: for which pairs of normal elements in a given well-behaved C*-algebra can one exactly compute the distance between their unitary orbits using only traces? Our approach connects the theory of optimal transport to the theory of C*-algebras via the Elliott classification programme.

The Elliott classification programme aims to show how two C*-algebras in a given class can be determined, up to isomorphism, by a computable...
invariant, called the Elliott invariant. Explicitly, the Elliott invariant consists of $K$-theory, traces, and a pairing between these objects. By now, the classification programme has proved to be highly successful: all simple separable unital nuclear $C^*$-algebras can be classified by the Elliott invariants under the minor restrictions of $\mathbb{Z}$-stability and provided the so-called universal coefficient theorem (UCT) holds. Here, $\mathbb{Z}$-stability refers to tensorial absorption of the Jiang–Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$. Constructed in \cite{21}, $\mathcal{Z}$ plays an essential role in the classification programme as $\mathbb{Z}$-stability guarantees good structural behaviour, see for example the preliminary discussion in \cite{6}. The UCT \cite{31} is a relatively mild restriction: although it remains an open question as to whether it holds for all nuclear $C^*$-algebras, it does in fact hold for all known nuclear $C^*$-algebras; see \cite{37} for an overview of the role of the UCT in classification.

The aim of this paper, with these powerful results of the Elliott programme in place, is to use the machinery to tackle the classical problem of Weyl. With a rich selection of models witnessing a given invariant, classification gives us flexibility to choose our favourite representative $C^*$-algebra or $^*$-homomorphism. This was the technique of \cite{20} and is also our strategy in the sequel.

In \cite{20} the Weyl problem for self-adjoint elements was approached globally, by finding a concrete tracial model for the ambient $C^*$-algebra and applying powerful results of the Elliott classification programme. The Elliott passport allowed us to freely travel from $C^*$-algebras to matrices and settle the question for self-adjoint elements (with connected spectra).

In this paper, our fine-tuning is local: we solve a transportation problem on certain spectra and use our Elliott phrasebook to translate this back to unitary orbits. Optimal transport theory has its beginnings in the 18th century when Monge studied how to move a mass from one location to another in a way which is optimal with respect to cost. The problem was put on solid mathematical grounding by Kantorovich who rephrased the problem in measure-theoretical terms. Despite having been introduced over two centuries ago, optimal transport continues to find new applications to many areas of mathematics including probability, Riemannian geometry, partial differential equations, and much more, as can be seen for example in \cite{35, 13, 34}.

Here, we study a continuous version of the classical Monge–Kantorovich problem on a compact metric space \cite{22}, analogous for example to the $L^\infty$ problem considered in \cite{7}. This concerns the transport of one probability measure onto another, optimal with respect to a given cost function. We show that the quantised version of this problem can be solved for lines, circles, and cubes among other spaces. Since lines and circles are spectra of self-adjoint and unitary elements respectively, this allows us to apply our results to the unitary orbits of such elements. In this context we are primarily interested in compact subsets of the plane, but our analysis is more broadly applicable. Indeed, alongside the Weyl problem, we consider more generally
the minimal distance between unitary conjugates of \( \ast \)-homomorphisms from commutative unital C*-algebras.

For us, a ‘well-behaved’ C*-algebra will at least be infinite-dimensional, simple, separable, unital, exact, have stable rank one and strict comparison of positive elements. We also, for the most part, assume real rank zero. (See for example [3, Chapter III] for an explanation of the significance of these assumptions: essentially they ensure that maximal information can be extracted from \( K \)-theory and traces.) Moving beyond the real rank zero setting would require a more subtle analysis involving determinants of unitaries, but following [25] we make some progress assuming \( \mathbb{Z} \)-stability. Note however that we do not assume nuclearity, so our theorems apply to some algebras not under the umbrella of the Elliott programme (see Remark 4.13).

The paper is structured as follows. In §2 we define our transportation property, and show that many well-behaved manifolds satisfy this property. In §3 we consider Weyl’s problem more generally, studying the distance between unitary conjugates of \( \ast \)-homomorphisms from commutative unital C*-algebras. Finally, §4 is our bridging of worlds: we show how to use classification to convert transport maps into conjugating unitaries.
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2. **Transporting measures**

Let \( X \) be a compact connected metric space and endow the space \( \mathcal{M}(X) \) of Borel probability measures on \( X \) with the usual weak-\( \ast \) topology. There are many metrisations of \( \mathcal{M}(X) \) that appear in the context of optimal transport problems (see [14]), chief among them being the Wasserstein metrics \( W_p \) [14, p.424]. Most relevant for us is a variation of the Lévy–Prokhorov metric that we will call the **optimal matching distance**:

\[
\delta(\mu,\nu) = \inf \{ r \mid \forall U \subseteq X \text{ Borel } \mu(U) \leq \nu(U_r), \nu(U) \leq \mu(U_r) \}, \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( U_r = \{ x \in X \mid d(x,U) < r \} \). The terminology comes from finitely supported measures: if \( \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{x_i} \) and \( \nu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{y_i} \), then (by Hall’s marriage theorem)

\[
\delta(\mu,\nu) = \min_{\sigma \in S_n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d(x_{\sigma(i)}, y_{i}). \tag{2.2}
\]

In this context the optimal matching distance between \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) is sometimes also referred to as the **bottleneck distance** \( b(F,G) \) between the finite sets \( F = \{ x_1, \ldots, x_n \} \) and \( G = \{ y_1, \ldots, y_n \} \).

It can be shown that \( W_1(\mu,\nu) \leq (\text{diam}(X) + 1)\delta(\mu,\nu) \) for every \( \mu,\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X) \) (see [14, Theorem 2]), but in general \( \delta \)-convergence is strictly stronger
than weak-* convergence. However, $\delta$ does metrise the weak-* topology on the dense $G_δ$ subset $M_g(X)$ of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ consisting of fully supported diffuse measures. (Using the notation of [12], $g$ stands for ‘good’.) It is also useful to note that, by tightness of Borel probability measures on compact metric spaces, we may consider only open sets in the definition of $\delta$. In fact, for spaces such as lines and circles it suffices to consider open intervals or arcs; see the proof of [17, Theorem 2.1].

The following is the $C^*$-analogue of the classical Monge–Kantorovich transportation property.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $(X,d)$ be a nonempty compact, path-connected metric space. Say that $X$ admits **continuous transport** if it has the following transport property: for every $\mu, \nu \in M_g(X)$ there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \to X$ such that $h_*\nu = \mu$ and

$$\sup_{x \in X} d(h(x), x) \leq \delta(\mu, \nu).$$

(2.3)

Say that $X$ admits **approximate continuous transport** if it approximately has the transport property; that is, there is a sequence of homeomorphisms $h_n : X \to X$ such that $(h_n)_*\nu \to \mu$ in the weak-* topology and

$$\limsup_n \sup_{x \in X} d(h_n(x), x) \leq \delta(\mu, \nu).$$

(2.4)

Recall that a **Peano continuum** is a compact, connected, locally connected metric space, or, equivalently, a continuous image of $[0,1]$. For such a space $X$ and $\mu, \nu \in M_g(X)$ there exists a continuous surjection $h : X \to X$ such that $h_*\nu = \mu$ (see for example [5, Corollary 9.7.3]). For some applications in the sequel, such as Theorem 4.9 a continuous surjection will be good enough. That said, if $X$ is a topological manifold then homeomorphisms certainly are on the table. This is the content of the Oxtoby–Ulam Theorem [27]. At least in this case, then, we are optimising over a nonempty set. One way of looking at the Oxtoby–Ulam Theorem is that, for a fixed $\nu \in M_g^0(X)$ the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{H}^0(\partial X) \to M_g^0(\partial X), \quad h \mapsto h_*\nu$$

is surjective. Here, $\mathcal{H}^0(X)$ denotes the set of homeomorphisms of $X$ that fix its boundary $\partial X$, and $M_g^0(\partial X)$ consists of those measures in $M_g(\partial X)$ that are zero on $\partial X$. It was shown in [12, Theorem 3.3] that $\pi$ has a continuous section, at least if one restricts to measures having the same zero sets as $\nu$. A 1-Lipschitz section (with respect to the uniform metric and optimal matching distance) would imply continuous transport. The motivating idea of this article is that this is a reasonable demand for spaces that are sufficiently uniform.

Below, we bring this idea to fruition for intervals, arcs and circles. Namely, we show that intervals and arcs admit continuous transport, and that circles admit at least approximate continuous transport. These spaces are of particular interest as they provide spectra (without gaps) of self-adjoint and
unitary operators in C*-algebras. We then generalise this approach to show that every compact convex subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with non-empty interior satisfies the approximate continuous transport property. The proof of this resembles the one for the circle, but we will treat the circle separately since it presents fewer technical difficulties.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose that \( X \subseteq \mathbb{C} \), equipped with the Euclidean metric, is either

(i) an interval, or 
(ii) a circular arc that subtends an angle of at most \( \pi \).

Then for any \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}_g(X) \), the map

\[ \pi : \mathcal{H}^0(X) \to \mathcal{M}_g(X), \quad \pi(h) = h_*\nu \]

has a 1-Lipschitz section \( \sigma : \mathcal{M}_g(X) \to \mathcal{H}^0(X) \). In particular, intervals and circular arcs admit continuous transport.

**Proof.** (i) For \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_g([0,1]) \) define \( f_\mu : [0,1] \to [0,1] \) by \( f_\mu(t) = \mu[0,t) \). Each \( f_\mu \) is increasing and continuous, hence a homeomorphism (with \( f_\mu(0) = 0 \) and \( f_\mu(1) = 1 \)). Define \( \sigma : \mathcal{M}_g([0,1]) \to \mathcal{H}^0([0,1]) \) by \( \sigma(\mu) = f_\mu^{-1} \circ f_\nu \). In other words, \( \sigma(\mu) \) is the well-known ‘increasing rearrangement’ map; see [35, Chapter 1]. First we check that \( \sigma \) is right-inverse to \( \pi \): for \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_g([0,1]) \) and \( t \in [0,1] \) we have

\[ \pi \sigma(\mu)[0,t) = \nu(\sigma(\mu)^{-1}[0,t)) = \nu[0,f_\mu^{-1}(f_\nu(t))] = f_\mu(t) = \mu[0,t) \]

which implies that \( \pi \sigma(\mu) = \mu \).

It remains to check that \( \sigma \) is 1-Lipschitz (with respect to the uniform metric and optimal matching distance). Let \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_g([0,1]) \) and write \( \delta(\mu_1, \mu_2) = r \). For \( t \in [0,1] \) and \( i \in \{1,2\} \), let \( s_i \in [0,1] \) such that \( f_\mu(s_i) = f_\nu(t) \). That is, \( s_i = \sigma(\mu_i)(t) \). Since

\[ f_{\mu_2}(s_1 - r) \leq f_{\mu_1}(s_1) = f_{\mu_2}(s_2) \leq f_{\mu_2}(s_1 + r), \]

it follows that \( s_1 - r \leq s_2 \leq s_1 + r \). Thus

\[ \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\sigma(\mu_1)(t) - \sigma(\mu_2)(t)| \leq \delta(\mu_1, \mu_2). \]

To deduce continuous transport, take \( \mu_1 = \mu, \mu_2 = \nu \).

Now (ii) follows from (i). We may assume that, for some \( \theta \in [0,2\pi) \), the arc \( X \) is the image of the map \( \gamma : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{C}, \gamma(t) = e^{it} \). Equipped with the arc-length metric \( \rho \), \( X \) is isometrically isomorphic to \([0,\theta]\). The proposition therefore holds for \((X, \rho)\). But then it also holds for the metric \( \tilde{\rho} = f \circ \rho \), where \( f \) is any subadditive strictly increasing function \([0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R} \) with \( f(0) = 0 \). When \( \theta \in [0,\pi] \), this is in particular the case for \( f(r) = 2 \sin \frac{r}{2} \), that is, for the Euclidean metric. \( \square \)

We now consider the full circle \( \mathbb{T} \), arguing by approximating the given measures by finitely supported ones: such measures are weak-* dense.
Lemma 2.3. Let $n > 0$ and $T, S \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ with $|T| = |S| = n$. Define Borel probability measures $\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t \in T} \delta_t$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \in S} \delta_s$. Then if $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ is an anticlockwise ordering of $S$ there is an anticlockwise ordering $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ of $T$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |s_i - t_i| = \delta(\mu, \nu).$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of the main result of \cite{2}. See also Remark 2.5 below.

Proposition 2.4. Circles admit approximate continuous transport.

Proof. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{T})$. We construct finitely supported probability measures $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(\nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\mu_n \to \mu$ and $\nu_n \to \nu$ with respect to the optimal matching distance (hence with respect to the weak-$*$ topology) as follows.

Chop the circle into $2^n$ arcs $K_1, \ldots, K_{2^n}$ of equal length. Since $\mu$ and $\nu$ are diffuse and faithful we may assume by perturbing the endpoints of the arcs that we have natural numbers $M_n, k_1, \ldots, k_{2^n}$ and $l_1, \ldots, l_{2^n}$ with

$$\sum k_i = \sum l_i = M_n$$

and $\mu(K_i) = \frac{k_i}{M_n}, \nu(K_i) = \frac{l_i}{M_n}$ for each $i$. In each $K_i$ we now choose $k_i$ distinct points representing an approximation of $\mu$ (giving altogether $M_n$ points making up the set $T_n$) and $l_i$ distinct points to approximate $\nu$ (giving altogether $M_n$ points making up the set $S_n$). We take $\mu_n = \frac{1}{M_n} \sum_{t \in T_n} \delta_t$ and $\nu_n = \frac{1}{M_n} \sum_{s \in S_n} \delta_s$. We repeat the procedure by chopping each $K_i$ in half.

Next we define homeomorphisms $h_n : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ such that $(h_n)_{*}\nu_n = \mu_n$. By Lemma 2.3 we may order $S_n = \{s^n_1, \ldots, s^n_{M_n}\}$ and $T_n = \{t^n_1, \ldots, t^n_{M_n}\}$ anticlockwise so that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq M_n} |s^n_i - t^n_i| = \delta(\mu_n, \nu_n).$$

Define $h_n$ by setting $h_n(s^n_i) = t^n_i$ and interpolating logarithmically linearly (so each arc $[s^n_i, s^n_{i+1}]$ is mapped onto $[t^n_i, t^n_{i+1}]$). Then each $h_n$ is a homeomorphism such that $(h_n)_{*}\nu_n = \mu_n$ and $\|h_n - \text{id}\| = \delta(\mu_n, \nu_n) \to \delta(\mu, \nu)$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$\delta((h_n)_{*}\nu, \mu) \leq \delta((h_n)_{*}\nu, (h_n)_{*}\nu_n) + \delta((h_n)_{*}\nu_n, \mu_n) + \delta(\mu_n, \mu)$$

$$\leq \delta(\nu, \nu_n) + \delta(\mu_n, \mu)$$

$$\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

so $(h_n)_{*}\nu \to \text{w}^* \mu$. \hfill \square

Remark 2.5. By a similar argument, one can show that there is a decreasing sequence $(c_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $c_k \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$ such that the boundary of a regular $k$-gon $X_k$ admits approximate continuous transport up to $c_k$. That is, for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_g(X_k)$, \cite{2,3} holds with upper bound $c_k \delta(\mu, \nu)$. In general one would expect the best constant $c_X$ for a space $X$ to depend on its geometry: for example, if $X$ is formed by two copies of $[0, 1]$ attached at
0, then \( c_X \) depends on the angle these two intervals form, and \( c_X \to 1 \) as the angle tends to \( \pi \) (or to 0).

If \( F, G \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) are finite subsets with \( |F| = |G| = m \) we will assume that \( F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \) and \( G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \) where
\[
d(x_i, y_i) = b(F \setminus \{x_j\}_{j \neq i}, G \setminus \{y_j\}_{j \neq i}),
\]
and \( b \) is the bottleneck distance, defined after equation (2.2).

We end this section by proving that for \( n \geq 2 \), compact convex subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with nonempty interior have approximate continuous transport. The strategy is similar to the one used in Proposition 2.4. If \( X \) is such a space, \( X \) is in particular contractible (in fact, homeomorphic to \([0,1]^n\)) so is certainly \( K \)-contractible which will be useful in Section 4 (see Definition 4.2). We denote the contractible of \( X \) by \( \bar{X} \). First, let us deal with the easy case, when \( n \geq 3 \).

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \( X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3 \) be a compact convex subset with \( \bar{X} \neq \emptyset \). Let \( F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \) and \( G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \) be finite disjoint subset of \( X \) such that (2.5) holds. Then there are mutually disjoint paths \( \alpha_i : [0,1] \to \bar{X}, i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), with \( \alpha_i(0) = x_i, \alpha_i(1) = y_i \) and
\[
\alpha_i(t) \subseteq \left\{ z \mid d(z, \frac{x_i + y_i}{2}) < \frac{1}{2} b(F, G) \right\} \text{ for all } t \in (0,1).
\]

In particular, for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \),
\[
\sup_{y,z \in \alpha_i} d(y, z) \leq b(F, G).
\]

**Proof.** Connect each \( x_i \) to \( y_i \) by a straight line \( \bar{\alpha}_i \). Wherever \( \bar{\alpha}_1 \) crosses another path, perturb it by a small circular arc in a plane containing \( \bar{\alpha}_1 \) but no other \( \bar{\alpha}_i \), and set this path as \( \alpha_1 \). Continue to replace each \( \bar{\alpha}_i \) with a possibly perturbed path \( \alpha_i \) in turn. Note that straight lines perturbed in this way will satisfy (2.6). \( \square \)

When \( n = 2 \) there is less room to manoeuvre so things become trickier and we will first require a lemma. In what follows, we will often identify paths \( \alpha_i \) with their images \( \alpha_i([0,1]) \).

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \( X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) be a compact convex subset with nonempty interior. Suppose that \( F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \) and \( G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \) are finite disjoint subset of \( X \) such that (2.5) holds. Let \( z_i = \frac{x_i + y_i}{2}, \varepsilon = b(F, G) \) and let \( \mathcal{P} \) be the class of all \( \bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \) where each \( \alpha_i \) is a path connecting \( x_i \) to \( y_i \) such that \( \alpha_i \subseteq B_{\varepsilon/2}(z_i) \).

Then, if \( \bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there is \( \bar{\alpha}' \in \mathcal{P} \) such that \( |\alpha_i' \cap \alpha_j'| \leq |\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j| \) for all \( i \neq j \), each \( \alpha_i' \) is injective, and \( \alpha_i' \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \alpha_i} B_{\varepsilon}(x) \).

**Proof.** If each \( \alpha_i \) is injective, set \( \bar{\alpha}' = \bar{\alpha} \). Else, by perturbing each \( \alpha_i \) by less than \( \varepsilon \), we can assume the set
\[
D = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \exists i \neq j \exists s, t(\alpha_i(s) = \alpha_j(t) = z) \}
\]
is finite. For each $z \in D$ fix $i$ with $z \in \alpha_i$, let $s' \leq t'$ be the minimum and the maximum of the set $\{s \mid \alpha_i(s) = z\}$. By setting

$$
\alpha'_i(x) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_i\left(\frac{s'}{t'}x\right) & x \in [0, t'] \\
\alpha_i(x) & x \in [t', 1]
\end{cases}
$$

and repeating this process we can assume that if $z \in D \cap \alpha_i$ for some $i$ then $|\{s \mid \alpha_i(s) = z\}| = 1$. Let $s_1 < \cdots < s_k$ with $D \cap \alpha_1 = \{\alpha_1(s_1), \ldots, \alpha_1(s_k)\}$. For each $k' < k$ the points $\alpha_1(s_{k'})$ and $\alpha_1(s_{k'+1})$ are in the same path-connected component of

$$\left( \bigcup_{x \in [s_{k'}, s_{k'+1}]} B_{s/m}(\alpha_1(x)) \right) \cup D.$$

Therefore, there is an injective path $\beta_{1,k'}$ with $\beta_{1,k'}(0) = \alpha_1(s_{k'})$ and $\beta_{1,k'}(1) = \alpha_1(s_{k'+1})$. Define $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i | [0, s_1] \circ \beta_{1,1} \circ \cdots \circ \beta_{1,k-1} \circ \alpha_1 | [s_k, 1]$. Then $\alpha'_i$ is injective and for all $j \neq i$ we have $|\alpha'_i \cap \alpha_j| \leq |\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j|$. This process can be repeated for all $j \neq 1$. The second statement of the lemma is ensured by construction.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, be a compact convex subset with $\bar{X} \neq \emptyset$. Let $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and $G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ be finite disjoint subset of $\bar{X}$ such that (2.7) holds. Then there are mutually disjoint paths $\alpha_i : [0, 1] \to \bar{X}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, with $\alpha_i(0) = x_i$, $\alpha_i(1) = y_i$ and

$$
\alpha_i(t) \subseteq \left\{ z \mid d(z, \frac{x_i + y_i}{2}) < \frac{1}{2} b(F, G) \right\} \text{ for all } t \in (0, 1). \tag{2.8}
$$

In particular, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$,

$$
\sup_{y, z \in \alpha_i} d(y, z) \leq b(F, G). \tag{2.9}
$$

**Proof.** Let $z_i = \frac{x_i + y_i}{2}$, $\varepsilon = b(F, G)$ and let $\mathcal{P}$ be the class of all $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ where each $\alpha_i$ is a path connecting $x_i$ to $y_i$ such that $\alpha_i \subseteq B_{\varepsilon/2}(z_i)$.

For every $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}$ define

$$i_{\bar{\alpha}} = \max\{i \mid \exists j < i(\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j \neq \emptyset)\}.$$

If there is $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $i_{\bar{\alpha}}$ cannot be defined, then for all $j < i$ we have that $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \emptyset$, and, in particular, the thesis. Therefore, by contradiction, we can suppose that for every $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}$, $i_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is well defined, and $i_{\bar{\alpha}} \geq 2$. Let

$$\bar{i} = \min\{i_{\bar{\alpha}} \mid \bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}' = \{\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P} \mid i_{\bar{\alpha}} = \bar{i}\}.$$

For $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}'$ define

$$j_{\bar{\alpha}} = \max\{j < \bar{i} \mid \alpha_j \cap \alpha_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Again by contradiction, if $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}'$ then $j_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is well defined. Let

$$\bar{j} = \min\{j_{\bar{\alpha}} \mid \bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}'\} \geq 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{P}'' = \{\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}' \mid i_{\bar{\alpha}} = \bar{i}, j_{\bar{\alpha}} = \bar{j}\}.$$

$P''$ is nonempty and $\bar{\alpha} \in P''$ implies $|\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j| \neq \emptyset$. For $\bar{\alpha} \in P''$ let

$$p_\bar{\alpha} = |\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j| \geq 1 \text{ and } \bar{p} = \min\{p_\alpha \mid \bar{\alpha} \in P''\}.$$  

Fix $\bar{\alpha} \in P''$ such that $p_\bar{\alpha} = \bar{p}$. By slightly modifying $\bar{\alpha}$ we can assume that $x_i, y_i \notin \alpha_j$, and, by Lemma 2.7, that each $\alpha_i$ is injective.

We claim that

$$x_j, y_j \notin B_{\bar{\varepsilon}/2}(z_i).$$

Without loss of generality, suppose $x_j \in B_{\bar{\varepsilon}/2}(z_i)$. Let $s_1 = \min\{s \mid \alpha_j(s) \in \alpha_i\}$ and $t_1$ such that $\alpha_j(s_1) = \alpha_i(t_1)$. Let $\delta > 0$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in \alpha_j} B_\delta(x) \cap \bigcup_{i>\bar{i}} \alpha_i = \emptyset$, and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_i([t_1 - \eta, t_1 + \eta]) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \alpha_j} B_\delta(x)$$

and $\alpha_i([t_1 - \eta, t_1 + \eta]) \cap \alpha_j = \alpha_i(t_1)$. The set $E = \bigcup_{x \in \alpha_j} B_\delta(x) \setminus \alpha_j$ is path connected, so we can find a path $\beta$ such that $\beta \subseteq E$, $\beta(0) = \alpha_i(t_1 - \eta)$ and $\beta(1) = \alpha_i(t_1 + \eta)$. Let

$$\alpha_i' = \alpha_i([0, t_1 - \eta]) \circ \beta \circ \alpha_i([t_1 + \eta, 1])$$

and $\bar{\alpha}'$ given by $\alpha_i' = \alpha_i$ whenever $i \neq \bar{i}$. By the choice of $\delta$ we have that $\bar{\alpha}' \in P''$, but $p_{\bar{\alpha}'} = \bar{p} - 1$, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Let $t_1 < \ldots < t_p \in (0,1)$ be such that $\alpha_i(t_p) \in \alpha_j$ for all $p \leq \bar{p}$, and $s_1$ such that $\alpha_j(s_1) = \alpha_i(t_1)$. The exact same proof that showed $x_j, y_j \notin B_{\bar{\varepsilon}/2}(z_i)$ gives that there are $r_1, r_2$ with $r_1 < s_1 < r_2$, $\alpha_j(r_1), \alpha_j(r_2) \in D$ and

$$\alpha_j([r_1, r_2]) \cap \alpha_i = \alpha_j(s_1),$$

where

$$D = \partial B_{\bar{\varepsilon}/2}(z_i).$$

By the same argument again, we have that $x_i$ and $y_i$ lie in distinct connected components of $D \setminus \{r_1, r_2\}$. Consider $f(w) = d(w, z_j)$. Then $f \upharpoonright D$ has one minimum and one maximum. Since $\alpha_j(r_1), \alpha_j(r_2) \in D$ and $f(\alpha_j(r_1)), f(\alpha_j(r_2)) \leq \varepsilon/2$, we have that either $f(x_i) < \varepsilon/2$ (if the minimum of $f \upharpoonright D$ is in the connected component of $x_i$ in $D \setminus \{\alpha_j(r_1), \alpha_j(r_2)\}$), or $f(y_i) < \varepsilon/2$. Suppose that $f(x_i) < \varepsilon/2$. Note that for all $w \in D \setminus \{r_1, r_2\}$ in the same connected component of $x_i$ we have that $f(w) < \varepsilon/2$. Let $E \subseteq B_{\bar{\varepsilon}/2}(z_i)$ be the region delimited by the connected component of $x_i$ in $D \setminus \{\alpha_j(r_1), \alpha_j(r_2)\}$ and $\{\alpha_j(s)\}_{s \in [r_1, r_2]}$. Since $f(w) < \varepsilon/2$ whenever $w \in \partial E$, then $f(z) < \varepsilon/2$ for all $z \in E$. Fix $\delta > 0$ small enough so that

$$(\bigcup_{w \in \alpha_i} B_\delta(w)) \cap \bigcup_{i>\bar{i}} \alpha_i = \emptyset,$$

and $f(z) < \varepsilon/2$ whenever $z \in B_\delta(x_j)$. There are two points $r_3 < s_1 < r_4$ such that $r_3, r_4 \in E$, $\alpha_j([r_3, r_4]) \cap \alpha_i = \alpha_j(s_1)$, and $\alpha_j(r_3), \alpha_j(r_4) \in$
We can then find a path \( \beta \) such that \( \beta \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in \alpha_i} B_\delta(w) \), \( \beta(0) = \alpha_j(r_3), \beta(1) = \alpha_j(r_4) \) and \( \beta \cap \alpha_i = \emptyset \). Setting \( \alpha_i' = \alpha_i \) if \( i \neq j \) and \( \alpha_j' = \alpha_j \mid [0,r_3] \circ \beta \circ \alpha_j \mid [r_4,1] \), we get a contradiction, since \( p_{n\epsilon} \leq \tilde{p} - 1 \). \hfill \square

**Lemma 2.9.** For \( n \geq 2 \) and \( m < \infty \), suppose that \( F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfy
\[
d(x_i, y_i) = b(F \setminus \{x_j\}_{j \neq i}, G \setminus \{y_j\}_{j \neq i}).
\]
Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then there is a homeomorphism \( h : X \to X \) such that \( h(F) = G \) and
\[
\sup_{x \in X} d(h(x), x) < b(F,G) + \varepsilon.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \) be the paths established in Proposition 2.6 if \( n \geq 3 \) or Proposition 2.8 if \( n = 2 \). We can find a \( \delta \) small enough such that \( \delta < \min\{\varepsilon, \min_{i \neq j} d(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\} \) and, for each \( i \), \( U_i = \bigcup_{z \in \alpha_i} B_\delta(z) \) is homeomorphic to \( (0,1)^n \). We can then find a homeomorphism \( h_i : U_i \to \overline{U_i} \) such that \( h_i(x_i) = y_i \) and \( h_i(z) = z \) if \( z \in \partial U_i \). Since the \( U_i \)'s are disjoint, we have that \( h = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} h_i \) is the required homeomorphism. \hfill \square

**Theorem 2.10.** Let \( X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) be a compact convex subset with \( \bar{X} \neq \emptyset \). Then \( X \) admits approximate continuous transport.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.9 (together with the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.4) it suffices to show that every \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_g(X) \) can be approximated (with respect to optimal matching distance) by a finitely supported probability measure. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). It is not hard to show that there exist pairwise disjoint open subsets \( U_1, \ldots, U_m \) of \( X \), each of diameter \( \varepsilon \), such that \( \mu(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i) = 0 \). (See for example the proof of [25, Lemma 4.1].)

We may also assume that there are natural numbers \( M \) and \( k_1, \ldots, k_m \) such that \( \sum k_i = M \) and \( \mu(U_i) = \frac{k_i}{M} \) for every \( i \). For each \( i \), choose distinct points \( x_1^i, \ldots, x_{k_i}^i \in U_i \). Set \( \delta_i = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} d_{x_j^i} \).

Now fix an open set \( U \subseteq X \). Let \( p \) be minimal so that (after reordering) \( \mu(U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^p U_i) = 0 \). By minimality, \( U \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^p U_i \subseteq U_\varepsilon \). So
\[
\mu'(U) \leq \mu' \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^p U_i \right) = \mu \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^p U_i \right) \leq \mu(U_\varepsilon)
\]
and the other way round. It follows that \( \delta(\mu, \mu') < \varepsilon \). \hfill \square

### 3. Distances between unitary orbits

In what follows, let \( A \) be a simple, tracial, unital \( C^* \)-algebra and let \( X \) be a compact metric space. Denote the unitary group of \( A \) by \( \mathcal{U}(A) \) and the space of tracial states on \( A \) by \( T(A) \). Write \( \text{Lip}_1^1(X) \) for the set of 1-Lipschitz contractions \( X \to \mathbb{C} \). If \( \tau \in T(C(X)) \), denote by \( \mu_{\tau} \) the Borel probability
measure on $X$ corresponding under Riesz representation to $\tau$. (That is, $\tau(f) = \int f d\mu_\tau$ for every $f \in C(X)$. ) Dually, for a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $X$, denote by $\tau_\mu$ the trace on $C(X)$ defined by $\tau_\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$.

For two positive elements $a, b \in A$ we say that $a$ is Cuntz subequivalent, or Cuntz below, $b$, and write $a \preceq b$, if there are elements $x_n \in A \otimes K$ with $x_n^* bx_n \rightarrow a$. The Cuntz relation measures, in some sense, the inclusion of spectral projections (see [11, §2]).

We introduce distances on the set of equivalence classes of unital *-homomorphisms $C(X) \rightarrow A$ under approximate unitary equivalence.

**Definition 3.1.** If $\varphi, \psi : C(X) \rightarrow A$ are unital *-monomorphisms, define the *unitary distance* as

$$d_U(\varphi, \psi) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid \forall F \subset_{fin} \text{Lip}^1(X) \exists u \in U(A) \forall f \in F : \|u\varphi(f)u^* - \psi(f)\| < \varepsilon\}. \quad (3.1)$$

In other words,

$$d_U(\varphi, \psi) = \sup_{F \subset_{fin} \text{Lip}^1(X)} \inf_{u \in U(A)} \sup_{f \in F} \|u\varphi(f)u^* - \psi(f)\|. \quad (3.2)$$

The *Cuntz distance* is defined as

$$d_W(\varphi, \psi) = \inf \{ r > 0 \mid \varphi(f_U) \preceq_{U} \psi(f_U), \ \psi(f_U) \preceq_{U} \varphi(f_U) \forall \text{ open } U \subseteq X \} \quad (3.3)$$

(only considered in [11, §2].) In principle this means that $d_U(\varphi, \psi)$ is defined via traces of spectral projections. It is denoted by $D_T$ in [17] and by $d_P$ in [20]. Actually, $d_P$ and $d_W$ are defined in [20] only for positive contractions and there the open sets $U$ considered in the definitions are restricted to be of the form $(t, 1]$. In principle this means that Definition [3.1] gives larger distances, but under suitable hypotheses (for example those of [20, Theorem 5.2]) the two versions agree.
For normal matrices \( a \) and \( b \), \( \delta(a,b) \) coincides with the optimal matching distance between eigenvalues:

\[
\delta(a,b) = \min_{\sigma \in S_n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\alpha_i - \beta_{\sigma(i)}|.
\]

For self-adjoint matrices, this minimum is attained by listing both sets of eigenvalues in ascending order. For unitary matrices, the minimum can be obtained via anticlockwise orderings of the two sets of eigenvalues (see [2]).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( A \) be a simple, separable, tracial, unital \( C^* \)-algebra.

(i) There is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that \( \delta(x,y) \leq c \cdot d_U(x, y) \) for every pair of normal elements \( x, y \in A \). In particular, \( \delta(\cdot, \cdot) \) is uniformly continuous (as a function of approximate unitary equivalence classes of normal elements of \( A \)).

(ii) If \( x \) and \( y \) are commuting normal elements of \( A \) then

\[
\delta(x,y) \leq d_U(x, y).
\]

(iii) If \( X \) is connected, \( A \) is exact and has strict comparison and \( \varphi, \psi : C(X) \to A \) are unital *-monomorphisms then

\[
d_W(\varphi, \psi) = \delta(\varphi, \psi).
\]

**Proof.** Building on [9], (i) and (ii) were observed in [17] to hold for a semifinite von Neumann algebra \( M \) with a faithful normal semifinite trace \( \tau \). This in particular applies to the weak closure \( M_\tau = \pi_\tau(A)' \) of the image of the GNS representation \( \pi_\tau \) associated to \( \tau \in T(A) \). Since

\[
d_A^\tau(x,y) = d_U^\tau(A)(\pi_\tau(x), \pi_\tau(y)) \geq d_U^\tau(A)(\pi_\tau(x), \pi_\tau(y))
\]

and by definition

\[
\delta^\tau(x,y) = \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \delta(\mu_{\tau,x}, \mu_{\tau,y}) = \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \delta^M(\pi_{\tau}(x), \pi_{\tau}(y))
\]

(where the superscripts indicate the algebra in which the distance should be measured), (i) follows from [17 Theorem 1.1] (which provides a universal constant \( c \) such that \( \delta^M(\pi_{\tau}(x), \pi_{\tau}(y)) \leq cd_U^M(\pi_{\tau}(x), \pi_{\tau}(y)) \) and (ii) follows from [17 Theorem 2.1(1)] (which says that \( d_U^M(\pi_{\tau}(x), \pi_{\tau}(y)) \geq \delta^M(\pi_{\tau}(x), \pi_{\tau}(y)) \) if \( x \) and \( y \) commute).

For (iii), connectedness of \( X \) is equivalent to the absence of projections in \( C(X) \). The proof is then the same as that of [8 Lemma 2].

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( X \) be a compact metric space, let \( A \) be a simple, tracial, unital \( C^* \)-algebra and let \( \varphi, \psi : C(X) \to A \) be unital *-monomorphisms. Then

\[
\delta(\varphi, \psi) = \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}^1(X)} \delta(\varphi(f), \psi(f)).
\]
Proof. For a metric space $Y$, $O \subseteq Y$ open and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$, write
\[
\delta_O(\mu, \nu) = \inf\{r > 0 \mid \mu(O) \leq \nu(O_r), \nu(O) \leq \mu(O_r)\},
\]
so that
\[
\delta(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{O \subseteq Y} \delta_O(\mu, \nu).
\]
For every $f \in \text{Lip}^1(X)$, every open set $U \subseteq f(X)$ and every $r > 0$ we have $f^{-1}(U)_r \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Therefore,
\[
\delta(\varphi(f), \psi(f)) = \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \delta(\mu_{\tau, \varphi(f)}, \mu_{\tau, \psi(f)})
\]
\[
= \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \delta(f_\ast \mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau}, f_\ast \mu_{\psi^\ast \tau})
\]
\[
= \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{U \subseteq f(X)} \delta_U(\mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau} \circ f^{-1}, \mu_{\psi^\ast \tau} \circ f^{-1})
\]
\[
\leq \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{U \subseteq f(X)} \delta_{f^{-1}(U)}(\mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau}, \mu_{\psi^\ast \tau})
\]
\[
\leq \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{O \subseteq X} \delta_O(\mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau}, \mu_{\psi^\ast \tau})
\]
\[
= \delta(\varphi, \psi).
\]
For the reverse inequality, since $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ varies linearly when the metric of $X$ is scaled, we may assume without loss of generality that $\text{diam}(X) \leq 1$. Then for every open subset $O \subseteq X$ the function $g : X \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $g_O(x) = d(x, \bar{O})$ is in $\text{Lip}^1(X)$. Therefore,
\[
\delta(\varphi, \psi) = \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{O \subseteq X} \delta_O(\mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau}, \mu_{\psi^\ast \tau})
\]
\[
= \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{O \subseteq X} \sup_{t > 0} \delta_{[0, t]}(\mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau}, \mu_{\psi^\ast \tau})
\]
\[
= \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{O \subseteq X} \sup_{U \subseteq f(X)} \delta_U(\mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau} \circ g^{-1}_O, \mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau} \circ g^{-1}_O)
\]
\[
\leq \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{O \subseteq X \subseteq [0, 1]} \sup_{U \subseteq f(X)} \delta_U(\mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau} \circ g^{-1}_O, \mu_{\varphi^\ast \tau} \circ g^{-1}_O)
\]
\[
= \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{O \subseteq X} \sup_{\mathcal{T}_1} \delta(\mu_{\tau, \varphi(g_O)}, \mu_{\tau, \psi(g_O)})
\]
\[
\leq \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}^1(X)} \delta(\varphi(f), \psi(f))
\]
\[
= \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}^1(X)} \delta(\varphi(f), \psi(f)). \tag*{\Box}
\]

Corollary 3.5. Let $A$ be a simple, separable, tracial, unital C*-algebra and let $X$ be a compact metric space. Then $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous with respect to $d_U$, (as a function defined on approximate unitary equivalence classes of unital *-monomorphisms $C(X) \to A$).

Proof. Let $\varphi : C(X) \to A$ be a unital *-monomorphism and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $c$ be as in Lemma [3.3]. Suppose that $\varphi' : C(X) \to A$ is a unital
Lemma 3.3(ii) implies that \( \delta(\varphi(f), \psi(f)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \) for every \( f \in \text{Lip}^1(X) \) and so by Proposition 3.4, \( \delta(\varphi, \psi) < \varepsilon \). □

**Corollary 3.6.** Let \( A \) be a simple, separable, tracial, unital \( C^* \)-algebra and let \( X \) be a compact metric space. Suppose that \( \varphi, \psi : C(X) \to A \) are unital \( * \)-monomorphisms with commuting images. Then \( \delta(\varphi, \psi) \leq d_U(\varphi, \psi) \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.3(ii) we have
\[
\delta(\varphi, \psi) = \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}^1(X)} \delta(\varphi(f), \psi(f)) \\
\leq \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}^1(X)} \inf_{u \in U(A)} \|u\varphi(f)u^* - \psi(f)\| \\
= \sup \sup_{F \subset \text{Lip}^1(X)} \sup_{u \in U(A)} \inf_{f \in F} \|u\varphi(f)u^* - \psi(f)\| \\
\leq \sup \inf \sup_{f \in F} \|u\varphi(f)u^* - \psi(f)\| \\
= d_U(\varphi, \psi). \quad \square
\]

4. **The classification machine**

In this section we use classification machinery to convert transport maps in the commutative \( C^* \)-algebra \( C(X) \) into conjugating unitaries in a well-behaved \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). To do so, we will use some (basic) \( KL \)-theory, where \( KL \) is \( K \)-theory with coefficients. For a reference to the main definitions and properties see [29, §2.4]. The following describes domains and targets that are amenable to this strategy.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( C_1 \) denote the class of infinite-dimensional simple, separable, unital, exact \( C^* \)-algebras with real rank zero, stable rank one, weakly unperforated \( K_0 \) and finitely many extremal tracial states (which we will typically write as \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m \)). Let \( C_2 \) denote the class of simple, separable, unital, exact, \( \mathcal{Z} \)-stable \( C^* \)-algebras with a unique tracial state (which we will typically write as \( \tau \)).

**Definition 4.2.** Let \( X \) be a compact path-connected metric space. Recall that \( X \) is \( K \)-contractible if it has the same \( K \)-theory as a point. Let us say that \( X \) is \( K \)-planar if it has the \( K \)-theory of a subset of the plane, so \( K^0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) and \( K^1(X) \) is torsion free—see [18, Proposition 7.5.2].

**Remark 4.3.** Uniqueness results for unital \( * \)-monomorphisms \( \varphi, \psi : C(X) \to A \) are typically of the form: \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are approximately unitarily equivalent if and only if
\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( KL(\varphi) = KL(\psi) \),
  \item \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) agree on algebraic \( K_1 \), and
  \item \( \tau \circ \varphi = \tau \circ \psi \) for every tracial state \( \tau \in T(A) \).
\end{enumerate}
The assumptions we make on $X$, $A$, $\varphi$ and $\psi$ ensure that agreement on the $K$-theoretic part of the invariant (detailed descriptions of which can be found in [25, §2 and §3]) holds automatically. More precisely:

- if $X$ is $K$-planar then $KL(\varphi) = KL(\psi)$ if and only if $K_*(\varphi) = K_*(\psi)$, which is immediate if $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are unital and $K_1$-trivial;
- algebraic $K_1$ becomes redundant if $A$ has real rank zero or $K^1(X) = 0$.

In the sequel, this allows us to isolate and fine-tune the measure-theoretic part of the invariant.

We first adapt some existence and uniqueness results from the literature to suit our needs.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $X$ be a compact path-connected $K$-contractible metric space and let $\mu$ be a fully supported Borel probability measure on $X$. Then for every $A \in C_2$ there is a unital *-monomorphism $\varphi : C(X) \to A$ with $\varphi^*\tau = \tau_\mu$.

**Proof.** If $X$ is locally connected, the argument is relatively easy. By [30, Theorem 2.1] there is a unital *-monomorphism

$$\varphi' : C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow 1 \otimes Z \hookrightarrow A \otimes Z \cong A$$

such that $\tau \circ \varphi' = \tau_\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. By [28, Theorem 1] there is a continuous surjection $\pi : [0, 1] \to X$ with $\pi_*\lambda = \mu$. Then $\varphi = \varphi' \circ \pi^* : C(X) \to A$ is the required map.

For the general case we argue as follows. It is well known that the compact connected metric space $X$ may be written as an inverse limit $X = \lim\downarrow (X_i, f_i)$, where each $X_i$ is a finite simplicial complex and each continuous function $f_i : X_{i+1} \to X_i$ is surjective (see [32, Corollary 4.10.11]). Dually, $C(X) \cong \lim\downarrow (\tau C(X_i), \theta_i)$, where $\theta_i = f_i^*$. Since by assumption $K_0(C(X)) = \langle [1] \rangle = Z$ and $K_1(C(X)) = 0$, we may assume by passing to a subsequence that, for every $i$, $K_1(\theta_i) = 0$ and there are generating sets $S_i = \{[1] = s_1^i, \ldots, s_n^i\} \subseteq K_0(C(X_i))$ such that $K_0(\theta_i)(s_j^i) = 0$ for $j > 1$.

For each $i$, the restriction $\mu_i$ of $\mu$ to $X_i$ is a fully supported Borel probability measure. Since each $X_i$ is a Peano continuum, by the first part of the proof there are unital *-monomorphisms $\varphi_i : C(X_i) \to A$ with $\varphi_i^*\tau = \tau_{\mu_i}$. Then the maps $\Phi_i = \varphi_{i+2} \circ \theta_{i+1} \circ \theta_i$ and $\Psi_i = \varphi_{i+1} \circ \theta_i$ are such that

(i) $KL(\Phi_i) = KL(\Psi_i)$ (or equivalently in this setting, $K_*(\Phi_i) = K_*(\Psi_i)$),
(ii) $\Phi_i$ and $\Psi_i$ agree on algebraic $K_1$ (because by construction they both factor through $C([0, 1])$, which has trivial $K_1$), and
(iii) $\tau \circ \Phi_i = \tau \circ \Psi_i (= \tau_{\mu_i})$.

By [25, Theorem 6.6], we therefore have that $\Phi_i$ and $\Psi_i$ are approximately unitarily equivalent. It follows that the diagram

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C(X_i) \xrightarrow{\theta_i} C(X_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{\theta_{i+1}} C(X_{i+2}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C(X)$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} A \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i+1}} A \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i+2}} \cdots$$
Proposition 4.5. Let $A \in C_1 \cup C_2$, let $X$ be a compact path-connected metric space and let $\varphi : C(X) \to A$ be a unital $^*$-monomorphism. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ and a finite set of positive contractions $G \subseteq C(X)$ such that the following holds. If $\varphi' : C(X) \to A$ is a unital $^*$-monomorphism with $KL(\varphi') = KL(\varphi)$ and $|\tau(\varphi'(g)) - \tau(\varphi(g))| < \delta$ for every $g \in G$ and $\tau \in T(A)$, then $d_U(\varphi, \varphi') < \varepsilon$. That is, for any finite subset $F \subseteq Lip^1(X)$ there is a unital $u \in A$ such that

$$\|\varphi'(f) - u\varphi(f)u^*\| < \varepsilon$$

for every $f \in F$.

Proof. This follows from [25, Theorems 4.7 and 6.6] since $Lip^1(X)$ is compact. (Alternatively, one can directly check that in the proofs of the results of [25] the set $G$ of test functions and the tolerance $\delta > 0$ depend only on the modulus of continuity of the elements of $F$—see [25, Lemmas 4.1–4.3].) \qed

For measures with atoms transport maps may not even exist, optimal or otherwise. In our context we do not lose any generality by excluding these.

Proposition 4.6. Suppose that either $A \in C_1$ and $X$ is a compact path-connected metric space or $A \in C_2$ and $X$ is a compact path-connected $K$-contractible metric space. Then for every unital $^*$-monomorphism $\varphi : C(X) \to A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unital $^*$-monomorphism $\varphi' : C(X) \to A$ such that

(i) $KL(\varphi') = KL(\varphi)$,
(ii) $d_U(\varphi, \varphi') < \varepsilon$, and
(iii) $\mu_{(\varphi')^\tau} \in M_g(X)$ for every $\tau \in T(A)$.

Proof. In either case let $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$ be the extremal tracial states of $A$. Let $G \subseteq C(X)$ and $\delta > 0$ be as in Proposition 4.5. Since $M_g(X)$ is $w^*$-dense in the set of faithful Borel probability measures on $X$, there exist $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m \in M_g(X)$ such that $|\varphi^* \tau_i(g) - \mu_i(g)| < \delta$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $g \in G$.

By [25, Theorem 2.6] (which is a simplification of [29, Theorem 0.1]) or Proposition 4.4 there is a unital $^*$-monomorphism $\varphi' : C(X) \to A$ with $KL(\varphi') = KL(\varphi)$ and $(\varphi')^*\tau_i = \tau_{\mu_i}$ for every $i$. By convexity, $\varphi'$ is the required map. \qed

In the real rank zero setting, we can simultaneously transport finitely many measures by diagonalising.

Lemma 4.7. Let $A \in C_1$ with extremal traces $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$. Then for every $\gamma > 0$ there exist orthogonal projections $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in A$ such that

(i) $p_1 + \cdots + p_m = 1$ and
(ii) $|\tau_i(p_j) - \delta_{ij}| < \gamma$ for every $i$ and $j$. 
Proof. This follows from the results of [4, III] (summarised for example in [3, Theorems 6.9.1, 6.9.2 and 6.9.3]). □

**Proposition 4.8.** Let \( A \in C_1 \). Let \( X \) be a compact path-connected \( K \)-planar metric space. Let \( \psi : C(X) \rightarrow A \) be a unital *-monomorphism. Then for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there are orthogonal projections \( p_1, \ldots, p_m \in A \) with \( p_1 + \cdots + p_m = 1 \), a unital *-monomorphism \( \psi' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \psi_i : C(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m p_i Ap_i \) and a unitary \( u \in A \) such that \( d_U(\psi, \psi') < \varepsilon \) and the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(X) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \\
& \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \xrightarrow{\psi'} p_i Ap_i \\
\end{array}
\]

commutes at the level of \( K \)-theory.

Proof. Let \( G \subseteq C(X) \) and \( \delta > 0 \) be as required in Proposition 4.5 for \( \varepsilon \) and \( \psi \). Choose \( \gamma > 0 \) such that \( \gamma + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} < \delta \) and let \( p_1, \ldots, p_m \in A \) be as in Lemma 4.7 for this \( \gamma \). By [25, Theorem 2.6] there are unital *-monomorphisms \( \psi_i : C(X) \rightarrow p_i Ap_i \) with:

(i) \( \psi_i^* \tau = \psi^* \tau_i \) for every \( \tau \in T(p_i Ap_i) \),

(ii) \( K_1(\psi_1) = K_1(\psi) \) (under the isomorphism \( K_1(p_1 Ap_1) \cong K_1(A) \) induced by inclusion),

(iii) \( K_1(\psi_i) = 0 \) for \( i > 1 \).

Then the *-homomorphism \( \psi' = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i \) is injective, unital and satisfies \( K_1(\psi') = K_1(\psi) \) (by construction) and \( K_0(\psi') = K_0(\psi) \) (since both maps are unital). (See Remark 4.3.) This means that \( KL(\psi') = KL(\psi) \). Moreover, \( \psi' \) and \( \psi \) approximately agree on traces: for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( g \in G \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
|\tau_i(\psi'(g)) - \tau_i(\psi(g))| &\leq \sum_{j \neq i} |\tau_i(\psi_j(g))| + |\tau_i(\psi_i(g)) - \tau_i(\psi(g))| \\
&\leq \tau_i(1-p_i) + |\tau_i(\psi_i(g)) - \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_i(p_i)}(\psi_i(g))| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_i(p_i)}(\psi_i(g)) - \tau_i(\psi(g)) \right| \\
&\leq \gamma + \left| \frac{1}{\tau_i(p_i)} - 1 \right| + 0 \\
&\leq \gamma + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \leq \delta.
\end{align*}
\]

The conclusion then follows by choice of \( G \) and \( \delta \). □

In our first theorem we consider normal elements in C*-algebras with real rank zero that have Peano continua as spectra.
Theorem 4.9. Let \( A \in \mathcal{C}_1 \) and let \( X \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) be a Peano continuum. Suppose that \( x, y \in A \) are normal elements corresponding to unital \(*\)-monomorphisms \( \varphi, \psi : C(X) \to A \) with \( K_1(\varphi) = K_1(\psi) = 0 \). Then
\[
d_U(x, y) = \delta(x, y) = d_W(x, y).
\]

Proof. Since \( X \) is connected and the hypotheses imply that \( A \) has strict comparison of positive elements (see [28] Corollary 3.10), Lemma 3.3 (which is proved by finite-spectra approximations) gives \( \delta(x, y) = d_W(x, y) \). The inequality \( d_U(x, y) \leq \delta(x, y) \) is then provided by [19] Theorem 3.6 (which is proved by finite-spectra approximations).

We will assume that the measures \( \mu_i := \mu_{\varphi^* \tau_i} \) and \( \nu_i := \mu_{\psi^* \tau_i} \) are in \( \mathcal{M}_q(X) \). Since the spectrum \( X \) is a Peano continuum, for each \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) there exists a continuous surjection \( h_i : X \to X \) such that \( \langle h_i \rangle_* \nu_i = \mu_i \) (see the discussion in Section 2). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Let \( G \subseteq C(X) \) and \( \delta > 0 \) be as required in Proposition 4.5 for \( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \) and \( \varphi \) (where \( c \) is as in Lemma 3.3(i)). By Proposition 4.5 we may assume that \( \psi \) factorises as
\[
\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \psi_i : C(X) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^m p_i A p_i \to A
\]
with \( |\psi_i^* \tau_i(g) - \psi^* \tau_i(g)| < \frac{\delta}{2} \) for every \( i \) and every positive contraction \( g \in C(X) \). Now define the unital \(*\)-monomorphism \( \varphi' : C(X) \to A \) by \( \varphi' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (\psi_i \circ h_i^*) \). Then \( KL(\varphi') = KL(\psi) = KL(\varphi) \) and \( |\tau(\varphi'(g)) - \tau(\varphi(g))| < \frac{\delta}{2} \) for every \( \tau \in T(A) \), so by Proposition 4.5 \( d_U(\varphi', \varphi) < \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon} \).

Let \( x' = \varphi'(\text{id}) \). Then \( x' \) commutes with \( y \) and by the above conclusion there is a unitary \( u \in U(A) \) such that \( \|x' - uxu^*\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\delta} \). By (i) and (ii) of Lemma 3.3 we therefore have that
\[
\delta(x, y) \leq \delta(x', y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq d_U(x', y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq d_U(x, y) + \varepsilon.
\]

If we are willing to demand more of the compact metric space \( X \) (specifically, continuous transport) then we can refine the inequality \( d_U(x, y) \leq \delta(x, y) \) to \( d_U(\varphi, \psi) \leq \delta(\varphi, \psi) \) and in some cases even remove the assumption of real rank zero.

Theorem 4.10. Let \( A \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \), let \( X \) be a compact path-connected \( K \)-plane metric space that admits approximate continuous transport and let \( \varphi, \psi : C(X) \to A \) be unital \(*\)-monomorphisms. Suppose that either
(i) \( A \in \mathcal{C}_1 \) and \( K_1(\varphi) = K_1(\psi) = 0 \) or
(ii) \( A \in \mathcal{C}_2 \) and \( X \) is \( K \)-contractible.

Then
\[
d_U(\varphi, \psi) = \delta(\varphi, \psi) = d_W(\varphi, \psi).
\]

Proof. The argument is the same as in Theorem 4.9 except that here both inequalities \( d_U \leq \delta \) and \( \delta \leq d_U \) will be witnessed by the transport maps.

As before, by connectedness of \( X \) and strict comparison in \( A \) (which in case (ii) is provided by [30] Corollary 4.6) we automatically have \( d_W(\varphi, \psi) = \).
\[ \delta(\varphi,\psi). \] We also assume that the measures \( \mu_i := \mu_{\varphi^* \tau_i} \) and \( \nu_i := \mu_{\psi^* \tau_i} \) are in \( \mathcal{M}_0(X) \).

Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and let \( F \) be a finite subset of \( \text{Lip}^1(X) \). Choose (by Corollary 3.5) \( \gamma \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \) such that \( \delta(\varphi',\varphi) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \) whenever \( d_U(\varphi',\varphi) < \gamma \) (in fact, \( \gamma = \frac{\varepsilon}{2c} \) will do). Let \( G \subseteq \text{C}(X) \) and \( \delta > 0 \) be as required in Proposition 4.5 for \( \gamma \) and \( \varphi \). By assumption there exist homeomorphisms \( h_1,\ldots,h_m : X \to X \) such that

\[
\sup_{x \in X} d(h_i(x),x) \leq \delta(\mu_i,\nu_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\]

and

\[
\left| \int_X gd(h_i)_* \nu_i - \int_X gd\mu_i \right| < \frac{\delta}{3}
\]

for every \( g \in G \) and \( i = 1,\ldots,m \). By Proposition 4.8 we may assume that \( \psi \) factorises as

\[
\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \psi_i : \text{C}(X) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^m p_i A p_i \to A
\]

with \( |\psi_i^* \tau_i(g) - \psi^* \tau_i(g)| < \frac{\delta}{3} \) for every \( i \) and every positive contraction \( g \in \text{C}(X) \). Now define the unital *-monomorphism \( \varphi' : \text{C}(X) \to A \) by \( \varphi' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (\psi_i \circ h_i^*) \). Then \( KL(\varphi') = KL(\psi) = KL(\varphi) \) and \( \varphi' \) and \( \varphi \) tracially agree within \( \delta \) on each element of \( G \): for every \( i \) and \( g \in G \) we have

\[
|\tau_i(\varphi(g)) - \tau_i(\varphi'(g))| = \left| \int_X gd\mu_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_X (h_j)^* gd\mu_{\psi_j^* \tau_i} \right| \\
\leq \left| \int_X gd\mu_i - \int_X (h_i)^* gd\mu_{\psi_i^* \tau_i} \right| + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_X (h_j)^* gd\mu_{\psi_j^* \tau_i} \\
\leq \left| \int_X gd\mu_i - \int_X (h_i)^* gd\mu_{\psi_i^* \tau_i} \right| + \frac{\delta}{3} + \tau_i(1-p_i) \\
\leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} \\
= \delta.
\]

Therefore

\[ d_U(\varphi',\varphi) < \gamma < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \]
Note moreover that $\varphi'$ and $\psi$ have commuting images. Then:

$$d_U(\varphi, \psi) \leq d_U(\varphi', \psi) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$= \sup_{F \subseteq \text{Lip}(X)} \inf_{u \in U(A)} \sup_{f \in F} \|u \varphi'(f) u^* - \psi(f)\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}(X)} \|\varphi'(f) - \psi(f)\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$= \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}(X)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|f \circ h_i - f\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \delta(\mu_i, \nu_i) + \varepsilon$$

$$= \delta(\varphi, \psi) + \varepsilon$$

$$\leq \delta(\varphi', \psi) + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\leq d_U(\varphi', \psi) + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{(by Corollary 3.6)}$$

$$\leq d_U(\varphi, \psi) + 2\varepsilon.$$ 

It follows that $d_U(\varphi, \psi) = \delta(\varphi, \psi).$ \hfill \qed

**Corollary 4.11.** Let $A$, $X$, $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be as in Theorem 4.10. Suppose further that $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, so that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ correspond to normal elements $x, y \in A$. Then

$$d_U(x, y) = \delta(x, y) = d_W(x, y).$$

(This in particular applies to certain self-adjoint and unitary elements with connected spectra.)

**Remark 4.12.** As discussed, the goal of this article has been to identify connected spectra that provide for an exact solution to Weyl’s problem. It is remarkable that, though our methods differ, we ultimately arrive at essentially the same examples as [19]: lines and circles. That the geometry of the spectrum should appear to impose its limitations in such disparate guises, whether via the rigidity of continuous transport in Theorem 4.10 or the softening of optimal matching distance in [19, Theorem 8.5], is striking.

**Remark 4.13.** Among simple, separable, unital, exact $C^*$-algebras of real rank zero and with finitely many extremal tracial states, Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 4.10(i) apply for example to the $\mathcal{Z}$-stable ones. (Stable rank one and weakly unperforated $K_0$ are automatic for these algebras.) These include for example AF-algebras and the irrational rotation algebras.

In addition, some non-$\mathcal{Z}$-stable $C^*$-algebras are included as well. For example, the reduced group $C^*$-algebra $A$ of the group $G = \ast_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies all the required properties—see [10, Example 2.4]. Note that $A$ is
not $\mathcal{Z}$-stable for the same reason that it is not approximately divisible: the associated group von Neumann algebra does not have property $\Gamma$. Note also that, since $G$ is not amenable, $A$ is nonnuclear. Moreover, by Rosenberg’s Theorem [16, Theorem A1] $A$ is not quasidiagonal, so by [24, Theorem 3.4] $A$ does not have tracial rank zero. This C*-algebra therefore falls outside the remit of [19, Theorem 8.5, Remark 8.6].
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