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We review the Noether Symmetry Approach as a geometric criterion to select theories of gravity. Specifically, we deal with Noether Symmetries to solve the field equations of given gravity theories. The method allows to find out exact solutions, but also to constrain arbitrary functions in the action. Specific cosmological models are taken into account.
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1. Introduction

Even though General Relativity (GR) and the ΛCDM cosmological model match very well current observations, they present some shortcomings both at cosmological and astrophysical scales. The discrepancy between the observed value for the cosmological constant with the theoretically calculated vacuum energy of gravitational field is maybe the biggest open problem in modern physics. But it is not the only one; the inability of experiments or observations to find a convincing particle candidate for dark matter, the existence of singularities in the theory, as well as the inefficiency to find a quantum description of gravitational interactions, made the scientific community pursue for alternative or extensions to GR.

Introducing extra fields, higher order derivatives, new degrees of freedom in the form of invariants (e.g. $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, G$, etc.), torsion, non-metricity and other ingredients are some examples of modifications adopted to improve the
gravitational action, studied in the literature the last decades.

Some attempts rely on adopting arbitrary functions, such as $f(R)$, $f(T)$, $f(G)$, etc. where $R$, $T$, $G$ are curvature, torsion, Gauss-Bonnet scalar invariants respectively. Such theories have to be confronted with data in order to be constrained and then give rise to physically reliable models. However, apart from phenomenology, they can also be theoretically constrained and, searching for symmetries, is a straightforward way to do so. Specifically, Noether symmetries can be used as a geometric criterion to choose among modified theories of gravity because the presence of symmetries identifies conserved quantities that, in many cases, have also a physical meaning. It is possible to use this theoretical constraint as a sort of selection criterion based on the geometric symmetries of spacetime [1]. This can be obtained by expressing the Lie/Noether symmetry conditions of second order differential equations of the form

$$\dddot{x}^i + \Gamma^i_{jk} \dddot{x}^j \dddot{x}^k = F^i,$$

in terms of collineations (i.e. symmetries) of the metric. When this is done, one can use the properties of collineations in differential geometry to find general solutions of the Lie/Noether symmetry problem [2].

The Noether Symmetry Approach is outlined in [3] and in [2]. Applications to scalar-tensor cosmologies are reported in [4,5,6,7]. The most general scalar-tensor theory, giving second order field equations, the so-called Horndeski gravity, is discussed in [8]. $f(R)$ theories are studied in [9,10], teleparallel gravity and its modifications are discussed in [11,12,13] as well as a class of non-local theories in [14]. Apart from cosmology, the method has also been used in spherically and axially symmetric space-time to find exact solutions [10,15,16,17,18].

As a final comment, it is worth noticing that, apart from the Lie point symmetries, which are the simplest kind of symmetries, there exist several other types of transformations for searching for symmetries in differential equations. In particular, in [19], S. Hojman proposed a conservation theorem where one uses directly the equations of motion, rather than the Lagrangian or the Hamiltonian of a system and, in general, the conserved quantities can be different from those derived from the Noether Symmetry Approach [20,21]. In addition, there exist higher order symmetries, such as contact symmetries; that is, when the equation of motion are invariant under contact transformations, which are defined as one parameter transformations, in the tangent bundle of the associated dynamical system [22]. Another type of symmetries are the Cartan symmetries [23], which are point transformations with generators in the tangent bundle, that leave the Cartan 1-form invariant. It has been shown [24,25], that the Cartan symmetries for holonomic dynamical systems are equivalent to the generalized Noether symmetries. In cosmology there are many studies in this direction (see, for example [26,27,28] and references therein).

*Noether point symmetries are a subclass of Lie symmetries applied to dynamical systems that are described by a point Lagrangian and leave invariant the action integral.*
The interested reader can consider the recent review on symmetries in differential equations [29].

In this paper we want to review the Noether Symmetry Approach, i.e. how to use Noether symmetries as a geometric criterion to constrain alternative theories of gravity. In addition, we shall work out an example applying the method to the $f(R, G)$ theory, where $R$ is the Ricci scalar and $G$ the Gauss-Bonnet invariant. This case is not yet completely studied in literature [30,31]. Cosmological models are selected according to the existence of the symmetries and exact cosmological solutions are derived.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2 we introduce the point transformations, their properties and how they operate on differential equations. We discuss how they act on variables, functions and their derivatives. The symmetry generators are presented. In Sect. 3 we define the symmetries of differential equations. Specifically, it is possible to show that, when the equations remain invariant under these transformations, then their generator is a symmetry of the equations. In more detail, we apply the method to dynamical systems, described by a Lagrangian, where the symmetries are the Noether symmetries. At the end of this section, we construct an algorithm on how to find possible symmetries. In Sect. 4 we discuss $f(R, G)$ gravity as an example. We classify models admitting symmetries in a cosmological minisuperspace, and we use symmetries to find exact solutions. Such solutions can have a physical meaning. In Sect. 5 conclusions are drawn.

2. Point Transformations

The aim of this paper is to discuss how to find symmetries of differential equations and how to use them to derive analytic solutions. This cannot be done before we introduce the notion of a symmetry, which in turn, leads to define the point transformations and their generators.

The mapping of points $(x, y)$ into points $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, where $x$ is the independent and $y$ the dependent variable, is called point transformations. The one parameter point transformations,

\[ \bar{x} = \bar{x}(x, y, \epsilon) \quad \bar{y} = \bar{y}(x, y, \epsilon), \tag{2} \]

are a specific class of point transformations, which have the following properties [32]:

- they are invertible,
- repeated applications yield a transformation of the same family,

\[ \bar{x} = \bar{x}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{\epsilon}) = \bar{x}(x, y, \epsilon), \tag{3} \]

for some $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}(\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon})$,

- the identity is contained for, say, $\epsilon = 0$

\[ \bar{x}(x, y, 0) = x, \quad \bar{y}(x, y, 0) = y. \tag{4} \]
Consider now the one-parameter point transformations (2). If we expand around 
\( \epsilon = 0 \) (= the identity), we get
\[
\bar{x}(x, y, \epsilon) = x + \epsilon \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} + \ldots = x + \epsilon \xi(x, y) + \ldots, \\
\bar{y}(x, y, \epsilon) = y + \epsilon \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} + \ldots = y + \eta(x, y) + \ldots.
\]

The tangent vector
\[
X = \xi(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \eta(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},
\]
is called the infinitesimal generator of the transformation.

Since our goal is to see how differential equations are affected by these transformations, we have first to extend/prolong them to the derivatives. The transformed derivatives are defined as
\[
\bar{y}' = \frac{d\bar{y}}{d\bar{x}(x, y, \epsilon)} = \bar{y}'(x, y, \epsilon) = \bar{y}'(x, y, \epsilon), \\
\bar{y}'' = \frac{d\bar{y}'}{dx} = \bar{y}''(x, y, y', y'', \epsilon), etc.
\]
By Taylor expanding around \( \epsilon = 0 \), as we did in (5), (6), and substitute these into (8), (9), we obtain
\[
\bar{y}' = y' + \epsilon \left( \frac{d\eta}{dx} - y' \frac{d\xi}{dx} \right) + \ldots = y' + \epsilon \eta^{[1]} + \ldots, \\
\bar{y}^{(n)} = y^{(n)} + \epsilon \left( \frac{d\eta^{(n-1)}}{dx} - y^{(n-1)} \frac{d\xi}{dx} \right) + \ldots = y^{(n)} + \epsilon \eta^{[n]},
\]
where
\[
\eta^{[n]} = \frac{d\eta^{(n-1)}}{dx} - y^{(n)} \frac{d\xi}{dx} = \frac{d^n}{dx^n}(\eta - y' \xi) + y^{(n+1)} \xi,
\]
is the \( n \)th prolongation function of \( \eta \). Thus, the \( n \)th prolongation of the generator \( X \) (7) is
\[
X^{[n]} = X + \eta^{[1]} \partial_{y'} + \ldots + \eta^{[n]} \partial_{y^{(n)}}.
\]
Until now, we referred only to one parameter point transformations. However, the procedure followed to define multiparameter point transformations on variables, their derivatives, as well as their generators, is the same. What we find worth mentioning, since we will use it later on, is what happens to the generating vector if, both the dependent and the independent variables, are more than one.

Suppose that, a differential equation depends on \( r \) independent and \( s \) dependent variables, that is \( \{x_i : i = 1, \ldots, r\} \) and \( \{y^j : j = 1, \ldots, s\} \), where \( y = y(x) \). If we consider the following one parameter point transformation
\[
\bar{x}_i = \Xi_i(x, y, \epsilon) = x_i + \epsilon \xi_i(x, y) + \ldots, \\
\bar{y}^j = H^j(x, y, \epsilon) = y^j + \epsilon \eta^j(x, y) + \ldots,
\]
with $\xi_k$ and $\eta^j$ being
\[
\xi_k(x, y) = \frac{\partial \xi_k(x, y, \epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon \to 0} , \quad \eta^j(x, y) = \frac{\partial H^j(x, y, \epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon \to 0} .
\]
the generating vector is given by
\[
\mathbf{X} = \xi^k(x', y') \partial_k + \eta^j(x', y') \partial_j .
\]
Following the same procedure as before, we will see how the derivatives of the dependent variables are transformed. At first, we note that $y^l_i = \partial y^j / \partial x_i$ and also
\[
D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y^l_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} + y^l_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_k} + \ldots + y^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n}} + \ldots .
\]
Now the derivatives take the form
\[
\bar{y}^l_i = H^l_i (x, y, \partial y, \epsilon) = y^l_i + \epsilon \eta^l_i (x, y) + \ldots
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
\bar{y}^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} = H^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} (x, y, \partial y, \epsilon) = y^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} + \epsilon \eta^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} (x, y, \partial y, \epsilon) + \ldots ,
\]
where $\eta^l_i = D_i \eta^j - (D_i \xi^k) y^j_k$ and $\eta^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} = D_{i_1} \eta^l_{i_2 \ldots i_k} - (D_{i_1} \xi^k) y^j_k \ldots$.
Thus the prolongation of the generator of the point transformations (14) is given by
\[
\mathbf{X}^{[n]} = \mathbf{X} + \eta^l_i \partial_{y^l_i} + \ldots + \eta^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} \partial_{y^l_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k}} .
\]
These tools will be used to seek for symmetries of differential equations.

3. Symmetries of differential equations

Now we are ready to study the behaviour of differential equation under the action of point transformations. We already mentioned in the Introduction that apart from Lie/Noether symmetries, which are point symmetries, there exist non-point-like symmetries and higher order symmetries (contact, non-local, Cartan), which we do not discuss in this paper.

A group of point transformations that maps solutions into solutions, i.e. the mapping $\bar{y}(\bar{x})$ of any solution $y(x)$ is again a solution, is called a symmetry of the differential equations. Mathematically formulated it is: the differential equation
\[
H(x, y, y', \ldots, y^{(n)}) = 0 ,
\]
remains invariant, under the point transformations (or else symmetry)
\[
\bar{x} = \bar{x}(x, y) , \quad \bar{y} = \bar{y}(x, y) .
\]
Consider now a one parameter point transformation and re-express the differential equation (21) in the transformed variables, i.e. $H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}', \ldots, \bar{y}^{(n)}) = 0$. It is easily seen that,
\[
\frac{\partial H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}', \ldots, \bar{y}^{(n)})}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon = 0} = \lambda H \Rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{[n]} H = \lambda H ,
\]
where $\lambda$ are eigenvalues. The converse is also true and this can be seen only by considering the fact that the existence of symmetries is independent of the choice of variables. Thus we end up with the following theorem:

**Theorem:** A differential equation, $H = 0$, admits a group of symmetries with generator $X$, if and only if $X[0]H = \lambda H$.

### 3.1. Noether point symmetries

A specific class of Lie point symmetries are the so-called Noether symmetries. They are restricted to dynamical systems coming from a Lagrangian. The Lagrangian function $L = L(t, q^i, \dot{q}^i)$ is a function of time $t$, the generalized coordinates $q^i = q^i(t)$ and their time derivatives $\dot{q}^i(t)$. It contains information about the dynamics of a system. The equations of motion of the system are given by the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = 0.$$  \hfill (24)

When the point transformations, that are Lie symmetries for a system of differential equations, transform the Lagrangian in such a way that the action integral remains invariant, they are called Noether symmetries.

As already known from Lagrangian mechanics, Emmy Noether proved that if a Lagrangian admits a symmetry, then this symmetry is associated with a conserved quantity. The most well known examples are

- the conservation of the total energy of a system, when the Lagrangian is time independent, i.e. it is not affected by transformations of the form $\tilde{t} = t + \delta t$,
- the momentum conservation is associated with the translational invariance, i.e. when a Lagrangian has an ignorable variable, then the associated momentum is conserved,
- and also the angular momentum conservation is related to the rotational symmetry of the Lagrangian, i.e. the orientation of the physical system in space does not affect the Lagrangian.

In a more precise mathematical language:

**Theorem:** Suppose a dynamical system described by the Lagrangian $L = L(t, q^i, \dot{q}^i)$. The generator of the infinitesimal point transformations

$$\tilde{t} = t + \epsilon \xi(t, q^i) + \ldots,$$

$$\tilde{q}^i = q^i + \epsilon \eta^i(t, q^j) + \ldots,$$  \hfill (25)

\hfill (26)

The index $i$ takes the values $1, 2, \ldots, n$ and denotes the number of dimensions of the configuration space.
is
\[ X = \xi(t,q^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}, \quad (27) \]

while its first prolongation, according to \[(13)\], is given by
\[ X^{[1]} = X + \eta^{i,[1]} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}. \quad (28) \]

If there exists a function \( g(t,q^i) \) such that
\[ X^{[1]} L + L \frac{d\xi(t,q^i)}{dt} = \frac{dg(t,q^i)}{dt}, \quad (29) \]
then the Euler Lagrange equations remain invariant under the action of the transformations \[(25), (26)\] and \( X \) is the Noether symmetry vector of the system.

The associated first integral of motion is given by the function
\[ \phi(t,q^i,\dot{q}^i) = \xi \left( \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - L \right) - \eta^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} + g. \quad (30) \]

This is known as the Noether’s second theorem. In general, Noether symmetries are valid also for non-point transformations \[(31)\].

3.1.1. Invariant functions

The condition \[(29)\] is equivalent to the following Lagrange system
\[ \frac{dt}{\xi} = \frac{dq^i}{\eta^i} = \frac{d\dot{q}^i}{\eta^{i,[1]}}. \quad (31) \]

The Lagrangian in physical systems contains up to first order derivatives in the canonical variables, yielding up to second order differential equations. In more general systems with \( n \) order differential equations, the above system can be defined with fractions of \( n^{th} \) order derivatives of the canonical variables over \( n^{th} \) prolongations of the generator coordinates.

The above Lagrange system \[(31)\] can give us the zero and first order invariants \( (n^{th} \) order in general) respectively
\[ W^{[0]}(t,q^i) = \frac{dt}{\xi} - \frac{dq^i}{\eta^i} \quad \text{and} \quad W^{[1]}(t,q^i,\dot{q}^i) = \frac{dt}{\xi} - \frac{dq^i}{\eta^i} - \frac{d\dot{q}^i}{\eta^{i,[1]}}. \quad (32) \]

By using these invariants, we can reduce the order of the Euler-Lagrange equations and thus solve them in an easier way.

3.2. Finding symmetries

In the last part of this section, let us see how to find symmetries. We give here a general description of the procedure. In the next section, we will work out a specific example.
In order to determine Noether symmetries, what we need is to find the coefficients of the generator $X$, $\xi(t, q)$ and $\eta^i(t, q)$, such that the symmetry condition (29) is satisfied. So, if we have a dynamical system described by a Lagrangian $L = L(t, q^i, \dot{q}^i)$ then:

1. We write an ansatz for the generator of the form (27) defined on the configuration space.
2. We expand the symmetry condition (29) to obtain a polynomial depending on $\xi(t, q)$, $\eta^i(t, q)$ and products of the generalized velocities, i.e. $(\dot{q}^a\dot{q}^b...)$.
3. Since the unknown coefficients $\xi$, $\eta$ depend only on $(t, q)$, in order for the polynomial to vanish, the coefficients of the products $(\dot{q}^a\dot{q}^b...)$ have to vanish. Thus we end up with a set of partial differential equations for $\xi$ and $\eta$, which, most of the times, can be solved in a straightforward way.
4. Once we calculate the generating vector $X$, we can easily find the first integral $\phi$ from (30), and thus obtain a better insight into the physical meaning of these integrals.
5. Finally, from the generator we can construct the associated Lagrange system, find the zero and first order invariants and reduce the order of the Euler-Lagrange equations.
6. Depending on the number of symmetries, one can achieve the complete integrability of the dynamical system.

4. The case of $f(R, G)$ gravity

Let us now take into account a specific example on how to find out Noether symmetries in modified theories of gravity. Specifically, if a theory of gravity is given by an arbitrary function, e.g. $f(R)$ gravity, adopting the above procedure, one can find the functional form fixed by the existence of Noether point symmetries.

Let us consider a generalization of $f(R)$ gravity, that is a theory of the form $f(R, G)$, where $R$ is the Ricci scalar and $G$ is the Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant given by

$$G = R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu},$$

with $R_{\mu\nu}$ and $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ being the Ricci and Riemann tensors respectively. Such a theory is very well motivated for two reasons: firstly, it exhausts all the possible curvature budget by combining $R$ and $G$; apart from that, Gauss-Bonnet terms arise naturally when trying to renormalize quantum field theories, as well as it contributes to the trace anomaly, too [35]. We remind the reader that, a linear term in $G$, appearing in the gravitational action (in four dimensions) do not contribute to the field equations being a topological invariant [38].

\[\text{The Einstein-Hilbert action is given by } S \sim \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R, \text{ where } R \text{ is the Ricci scalar. We can extend the action to a more general form, by substituting } R \text{ with an arbitrary function } f(R) \text{ in order to see if we can obtain physically interesting solutions [35,36].}\]
The action of the theory reads
\[ S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R, G) , \] (34)
where the constant \( \kappa = 8\pi G_N \), with \( G_N \) the Newton constant. The speed of light is assumed to be \( c = 1 \). We want to seek for forms of the function \( f(R, G) \) compatible with the existence of Noether symmetries and then use these symmetries to find out solutions. We will develop our considerations in a cosmological minisuperspace considering a spatially flat Friedman-Robertson-Walker metric of the form
\[ ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) . \] (35)
In order to construct a point-like canonical Lagrangian, we introduce two Lagrange multipliers as
\[ S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int dt \sqrt{-g} \left[ f(R, G) - \lambda_1 (R - \bar{R}) - \lambda_2 (G - \bar{G}) \right] , \] (36)
where \( \bar{R} \) and \( \bar{G} \) are the Ricci scalar and the Gauss Bonnet invariant expressed in terms of the metric (35),
\[ R = 6 \left( \dot{a}^2 + \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) , \quad G = 24 \dot{a}^2 \frac{\ddot{a}}{a^3} . \] (37)
The Lagrange multipliers \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are given by varying the action with respect to \( R \) and \( G \) respectively and thus \( \lambda_1 = \partial f / \partial R = f_R \) and \( \lambda_2 = \partial f / \partial G = f_G \). After integrating out two total derivatives, we end up with the following point-like Lagrangian
\[ L = a^3 (f - G f_G - R f_R) - 6a f_R \dot{a}^2 - 6a^2 f_{RG} \dot{a} \dot{G} - 6a^2 f_{RR} \dot{a} \ddot{a} R - 8 f_{GG} \dot{a}^3 \dot{G} - 8 f_{RG} a^3 \ddot{R} . \] (38)
The configuration space of (38) is \( \mathcal{Q} = \{a, R, G\} \) and its tangent space \( T\mathcal{Q} = \{a, \dot{a}, R, \dot{R}, G, \dot{G}\} \). Hence the symmetry generating vector is
\[ X = \xi(t, a, R, G) \partial_t + \eta_a(t, a, R, G) \partial_a + \eta_R(t, a, R, G) \partial_R + \eta_G(t, a, R, G) \partial_G . \] (39)

Noether Symmetries
Let us now apply the symmetry condition (29) to the point-like Lagrangian (38). If symmetries exist, such a condition will fix the form of vector \( X \) as well as the form \( f(R, G) \). By the above procedure, we obtain an overdetermined system of 27 partial differential equations. There are several different cases depending on the forms of \( f(R, G) \) as well as on the values of integration constants. We summarize them in what follows; the function \( g \) of the right hand side of equation (29) is assumed constant, unless otherwise stated. All the \( c_i \)'s constant mentioned below are integration constants. There are two different classes of theories; those for which the derivative \( f_{RG} \) vanishes and those for which it does not.

(1) For \( f_{RG} \neq 0 \) we have the following cases:
(a) If $f_{RR} \neq 0$, then

- for $c_1 \neq c_2$, we get

$$f(R, G) = R^{\frac{c_1 + 3c_2}{3c_2 - 3c_1}} \tilde{f} \left( \frac{G}{R^2} \right) + \frac{c_4}{3(c_2 - c_1)} G,$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is an arbitrary function of $G/R^2$. It admits the Noether symmetry vector

$$X = (c_1 t + c_3) \partial_t + c_2 \alpha \partial_\alpha - 2c_1 R \partial_R - 4c_1 G \partial_G.$$  

(b) If $f_{RR} = 0$, the function $f$ takes the form $f(R, G) = f_1(G) + Rf_2(G)$ and the following cases are obtained:

- For $c_1 = 0$, the theory $f(R, G) = f_1(G) + Rf_2(G)$ admits only the symmetry $X = 3 \partial_t$ and the associated integral is the Hamiltonian $E$.

- For $c_1 \neq 0$ we have two cases

  i. If $c_2 \neq c_1$ and $c_2 \neq -c_1/3$, the theory $f(R, G) = R^{\frac{c_4}{3c_2 - 3c_1}} G + c_5 G^{\frac{c_1 + 3c_2}{4c_1 - 4c_2}} + c_6 R^{\frac{3c_2 - c_1}{4c_1}}$ admits the symmetry vector given by Eq. (41).

  ii. If $c_2 = c_1$, the theory $f(R, G) = -\frac{c_4 G \ln G}{4c_1} + c_5 G + c_6 \sqrt{G} R$, admits the Noether vector given by Eq. (43).

(2) The second class of theories are those for which $f_{RG} = 0$, which means $f(R, G) = f_1(R) + f_2(G)$. For these theories we find that

(a) If $f_1''(R) \neq 0$ and

  i. $f_2''(G) \neq 0$, there are three possible cases:

    - If $c_2 \neq c_1$ and $c_2 \neq -c_1/3$, the theory

      $$f(R, G) = \frac{c_4 G}{3c_2 - 3c_1} + c_5 R^{\frac{c_1 + 3c_2}{2c_1}} + c_6 G^{\frac{-c_1 + 3c_2}{4c_1}}$$

      admits the symmetry vector given by Eq. (41).

    - If $c_2 = c_1$, the theory

      $$f(R, G) = -\frac{c_4 G \ln G}{4c_1} + c_5 R^2 + c_6 G$$

      admits the Noether vector given by Eq. (44).
If $c_2 = -c_1/3$, the theory

$$f(R, G) = -\frac{c_4}{4c_1} G + \frac{c_5}{2c_1} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{G}}{R} \right) + c_6,$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

admits the symmetry with generator

$$X = (c_1 t + c_3) \partial_t - \frac{c_1}{3} \alpha \partial_a - 2c_1 R \partial_R - 4c_1 G \partial_G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

ii. $f''_2(G) = 0$, then $f$ takes the form $f(R, G) = f_1(R) + c_2 G$, and the system reduces to three equations, but it is not solvable for arbitrary $f_1(R)$.

(b) If $f''_1(R) = 0$ then we can write it as $f_1(R) = c_5 R + c_6$. Thus we end up with the following cases

i. For theories which are General Relativity with $c_5 \neq 0$

A. and $f''_2(G) \neq 0$, there are two different cases, one of which is non-trivial:

- when $c_1 \neq 0$, the theory
  
  $$f(R, G) = c_5 R - \frac{c_4}{2c_1} G + c_7 \sqrt{G},$$

  admits the following symmetry

  $$X = (c_1 t + c_3) \partial_t + \frac{c_1}{3} \alpha \partial_a - 4c_1 G \partial_G,$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

- while when $c_1 = 0$, the theory is $f(R, G) = c_5 R + c_6 + f_2(G)$ and admits only the symmetry $X = c_3 \partial_t$ with the Hamiltonian as integral of motion.

B. and $f''_2(G) = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(G) = c_7 G$ we obtain the following two non-trivial cases:

- For $c_6 \neq 0$ the theory has the form
  
  $$f(R, G) = c_5 R + c_6 + c_7 G,$$

  and the Noether vector becomes

  $$X = \left[ \frac{a}{3} \left( c_3 \sin \left( \frac{t}{c_0} \right) + c_2 \cos \left( \frac{t}{c_0} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( c_4 \sin \left( \frac{t}{2c_0} \right) + c_8 \cos \left( \frac{t}{2c_0} \right) \right) \right] \partial_a +$$

  $$\left[ c_1 - c_0 \left( c_2 \sin \left( \frac{t}{c_0} \right) + c_3 \cos \left( \frac{t}{c_0} \right) \right) \right] \partial_t,$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

  where $c_0 = \sqrt{2c_5/(3c_6)}$ is a redefinition of the integration constants.

In addition, while in all the previous cases, the right hand side function $g$ in Eq. 29 was constant, here it becomes non-trivial and takes the form

$$g(a, t) = 2\sqrt{c_5 c_6} \sqrt{6a^{-3/2}} \left( c_8 \sin \left( \frac{t}{2c_0} \right) - c_4 \cos \left( \frac{t}{2c_0} \right) \right) +$$

$$\left[ \frac{2}{3} a^{3/2} \left( c_2 \sin \left( \frac{t}{c_0} \right) - c_3 \cos \left( \frac{t}{c_0} \right) \right) \right] + g_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)
For $c_6 = 0$ the theory is the Einstein-Hilbert action plus a topological invariant term (Gauss-Bonnet) $f(R, G) = c_5 R + c_7 G$ which does not contribute to the dynamics in 4-dimensional spaces. In this case, the Noether vector takes the form

$$X = (tc_1 + c_2) \partial_t + \left( \frac{1}{3} a (2c_1 t + c_2) + \frac{c_4 t + c_8}{\sqrt{a}} \right) \partial_a,$$

and the function $g$ is again non-trivial

$$g(a) = -8a^{3/2} c_4 c_5 - \frac{8}{3} a^3 c_1 c_5 + g_0.$$  

ii. In the case where the theories do not contain any Ricci scalar in the action, i.e. $c_5 = 0$, the function $f$ takes the form $f(R, G) = c_6 + f_2(G)$. The only interesting theories in this case, are those for which $f''_2(G) \neq 0$, since if $f''_2(G) = 0$, we will have only linear terms in $G$ in the action and the theory is trivial for the above reasons. So, for $f''_2(G) \neq 0$ and

- $c_2 \neq c_1$, we have the theory

$$f(R, G) = c_7 G^\frac{c_4 + 3c_2}{c_1} + \frac{c_4}{3(c_2 - c_1)} G,$$

and its Noether symmetry is given by

$$X = (tc_1 + c_3) \partial_t + c_2 a \partial_a - 4c_1 G \partial G;$$

- for $c_2 = c_1$, the theory takes the form

$$f(R, G) = c_7 G - \frac{c_4}{4c_1} G \ln G,$$

and its generator

$$X = (tc_1 + c_3) \partial_t + c_1 a \partial_a - 4c_1 G \partial G.$$  

It is obvious that, for each of these functions $f$ and its generators, there exists an integral of motion, which we do not report here for the sake of simplicity. In conclusion, we have showed that, by Noether theorem, it is possible to select specific models of a given theory of gravity, in this case $f(R, G)$ of the action (34). Models that admit Noether symmetries have generating vectors and associated integrals of motion. These symmetries can be used to find analytical solutions as we see below.

**Cosmological Solutions**

Finding out exact solutions is the main issue related to the search for symmetries. In other words, if the symmetries do not reduce dynamics, they are useless from the point of view of dynamical systems. Here we consider some specific forms of $f(R, G)$, selected above by the existence of the Noether symmetries, and search for cosmological solutions. From the generator of symmetries, we can calculate the
zero-order invariants for each case. The Noether vector for several of above models, i.e. \([40], [42], [44], [45], [40], [47], [48], [50], [57], [59]\), has the same form, with different constants of integration. From this, we get the Lagrange system

\[
\frac{dt}{c_1 t} = \frac{da}{c_2 a} = -\frac{dR}{2c_1 R} = -\frac{dG}{4c_1 G},
\]

and solving for \(a(t), R(t)\) and \(G(t)\), we get

\[
a(t) = a_0 e^{c_2/c_1}, \quad R(t) = \frac{r_0}{t^2}, \quad G(t) = \frac{g_0}{t^4},
\]

where \(a_0, r_0\) and \(g_0\) are constants. By substituting these into the Euler-Lagrange equations for \(a, R\) and \(G\) we can constrain the arbitrary functions \(\tilde{f}(G/R^2)\) in the \([40]\) and \([42]\), as well as the integration constants \(c_i\).

Let us study the different models one by one. Consider the model given by \([40]\). We substitute it into the point-like Lagrangian \([38]\) and write down the Euler-Lagrange equations for the variables of the configuration space. The equations for \(R\) and for \(G\), as expected from the Lagrange multipliers, give the expressions \([37]\).

It is easy to see that the cosmological scale factor \(a\) has power law solutions of the form \(a(t) = a_0 t^p\) for \(c_2 = c_1 (3p - 1)/3\), and de-Sitter solutions \(a(t) = a_0 e^{H_0 t}\), for \(\tilde{f}(1/6) = 0\).

In the same way, the model given by \([42]\) admits de Sitter solutions for \(c_4 = 0\). Power-law solutions are obtained for some specific values of \(\tilde{f}\). The model \([44]\) admits power-law solutions for \(c_2 = c_1 (3p - 1)/3\) and de-Sitter solutions for \(c_6 = -c_5/\sqrt{6}\). The model \([46]\) gives de-Sitter solutions as soon as \(c_4 = 0\) and power-law solutions for

\[
c_6 = \frac{c_4 \sqrt{(p-1)p^3(p+3)}}{3\sqrt{6}c_1 (p-1)p}.
\]

For the model \([40]\) power-law solutions exist for \(c_2 = c_1 (3p - 1)/3\) and de-Sitter solutions for \(c_6 = -6(3c_2 + c_1)/4c_1 c_5\). The model \([47]\) admits de-Sitter solutions only for \(c_4 = 0\), but gives power-law solutions for

\[
c_4 = \frac{18c_5 (2p-1)c_1}{p(p+3)}.
\]

The model \([48]\) gives de-Sitter solutions for \(c_6 = c_5 \ln 6/4c_1\) and power-law solutions for

\[
c_6 = -\frac{c_5 \left(4p^2 - 6p + 2 \ln \left(-\frac{\sqrt{6} \sqrt{(p-1)p^3}}{p-2p^3} \right) + 3p + 1 \right)}{4c_1 (2p^2 - 3p + 1)}.
\]

The model \([49]\) admits de-Sitter solutions for \(c_7 = -\sqrt{6} c_5\) and power-law solutions for \(c_7 = \sqrt{6} c_5 2^{(p-1)/4p+1}\). The model \([57]\) admits only power-law solutions for \(c_2 = -c_1/3\) or \(c_2 = c_1 (3p - 1)/3\). Finally, the model \([59]\) admits only power-law solutions for \(p = -3\) and \(p = 4/3\).
5. Conclusions
Symmetries can be considered a general criterion to select physical models. In this paper, we discussed a specific class of symmetries, the so-called Noether symmetries, which are Lie symmetries for dynamical systems derived from a Lagrangian. Specifically, we presented the one-parameter point transformations that maintain second order differential equations invariant. It is a purely geometric approach which allowing to classify theories of gravity that admits Noether symmetries, gives rise to the possibility to find exact solutions.

As specific example, we presented \( f(R,G) \) gravity. We showed that if the symmetry generator vector exists, it fixes the form of the \( f(R,G) \) function and allows to find out exact cosmological solutions which are physically interesting being Einstein-de Sitter power law solutions or de Sitter exponential solutions. In this sense, the Noether Symmetry Approach is a natural geometric criterion capable of selecting physical model. This statement is particularly relevant in Quantum Cosmology where the existence of symmetries allow to select observable universes according to the prescriptions of the Hartle criterion (see [39] for details).
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