On the $p$-Adic Navier-Stokes Equation
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Abstract

We prove the local solvability of the $p$-adic analog of the Navier-Stokes equation. This equation describes, within the $p$-adic model of porous medium, the flow of a fluid in capillaries.
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1 Introduction

A $p$-adic model of propagation of fluids through the capillary structure of a porous medium was suggested in [7]. In this model an idealized fragment of a porous medium is identified with the $p$-adic ball interpreted as the set of (generally infinite) paths of a homogeneous rooted tree of valence $p + 1$. Here $p$ is a fixed prime number.

Natural developments prompted by this idea include both new mathematical models of percolation phenomena [8, 9, 2] and purely mathematical works dealing with $p$-adic analogs of equations of mathematical hydrodynamics, such as the porous medium equation [5, 11].

The $p$-adic Navier-Stokes equation [9] is a pseudo-differential evolution equation on the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of $p$-adic numbers describing average velocity of a fluid moving through the $p$-tree of capillaries. This nonlinear equation (deduced in [9] from the discretized model of hydrodynamics [3]) has the form

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = u(t, x)(D^1u(t, x)) - \theta(D^2u(t, x))$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $\theta > 0$, $D^\alpha (\alpha > 0)$ is the Vladimirov fractional differentiation operator on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (see [10, 13]).

In this paper we initiate the mathematical theory of the equation (1.1). Note that there exists a well-developed theory of linear pseudo-differential equations on $\mathbb{Q}_p$; see [1, 6, 10, 17]. The study of nonlinear equations of this kind is only beginning [5, 11].

Our method of investigating the equation (1.1) is based on abstract results by von Wahl [15] who found sufficient conditions of local solvability of the Cauchy problem for the equation

$$v'(t) + Av(t) + M(v(t)) = 0, \hspace{1cm} t > 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

where $A$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup $e^{-tA}$ in a Banach space $\mathfrak{B}$, $M$ is a nonlinear operator subordinated to $A^{1-\rho}$, $0 < \rho < 1$; see the precise formulation in Section 2 below.

In our situation, where we study the local solvability of (1.1) on a bounded domain, $\mathfrak{B} = L^q(B_N)$ where $1 < q < \infty$, $B_N = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq p^N\}$ is a $p$-adic ball, the operators $A$ and $M$ are constructed from the Vladimirov operators $D^2$ and $D^1$ on the ball. Note that the latter operators are nonlocal, which makes even the definition of an operator on a bounded domain nontrivial; see [10, 11]. In addition, there is an $L^2$-theory of the Vladimirov operator [1, 6, 10, 13] and the initial steps towards its $L^1$-theory [3]. Here we have to develop its $L^q$-theory, in particular to prove certain inequalities for various $L^q$-norms involving $D^\alpha u$ and $D^\beta u$, $0 < \alpha < \beta$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give main notions regarding $p$-adic numbers and the Vladimirov operators, as well as an introduction to von Wahl’s theory. Section 3 is devoted to the $L^q$-theory of the operators $D^\alpha$, while in Section 4 we prove the inequalities mentioned above. Finally, in Section 5 we formulate and prove our result on the local solvability of the Cauchy problem for the equation (1.1) on a $p$-adic ball.

2 Preliminaries

2.1. $p$-Adic numbers [13]. Let $p$ be a prime number. The field of $p$-adic numbers is the completion $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, with respect to the absolute value $|x|_p$, ...
defined by setting \(|0|_p = 0\),

\[ |x|_p = p^{-\nu} \text{ if } x = p^\nu \frac{m}{n}, \]

where \(\nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\), and \(m, n\) are prime to \(p\). \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) is a locally compact topological field. By Ostrowski’s theorem there are no absolute values on \(\mathbb{Q}\), which are not equivalent to the “Euclidean” one, or one of \(| \cdot |_p\).

The absolute value \(|x|_p\), \(x \in \mathbb{Q}_p\), has the following properties:

\[ |x|_p = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0; \]
\[ |xy|_p = |x|_p \cdot |y|_p; \]
\[ |x + y|_p \leq \max(|x|_p, |y|_p). \]

The latter property called the ultra-metric inequality (or the non-Archimedean property) implies the total disconnectedness of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) in the topology determined by the metric \(|x - y|_p\), as well as many unusual geometric properties. Note also the following consequence of the ultra-metric inequality: \(|x + y|_p = \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)|, if \(|x|_p \neq |y|_p|.

The absolute value \(|x|_p\) takes the discrete set of nonzero values \(p^N, N \in \mathbb{Z}\). If \(|x|_p = p^N\), then \(x\) admits a (unique) canonical representation

\[ x = p^{-N} \left( x_0 + x_1p + x_2p^2 + \cdots \right), \tag{2.1} \]

where \(x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}, x_0 \neq 0\). The series converges in the topology of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\). For example,

\[-1 = (p-1) + (p-1)p + (p-1)p^2 + \cdots, \quad | -1|_p = 1.\]

We denote \(\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq 1\}\). \(\mathbb{Z}_p\), as well as all balls in \(\mathbb{Q}_p\), is simultaneously open and closed.

Proceeding from the canonical representation (2.1) of an element \(x \in \mathbb{Q}_p\), one can define the fractional part of \(x\) as the rational number

\[ \{x\}_p = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } N \leq 0 \text{ or } x = 0; \\ p^{-N} \left( x_0 + x_1p + \cdots + x_{N-1}p^{N-1} \right), & \text{if } N > 0. \end{cases} \]

The function \(\chi(x) = \exp(2\pi i \{x\}_p)\) is an additive character of the field \(\mathbb{Q}_p\), that is a character of its additive group. It is clear that \(\chi(x) = 1\) if and only if \(|x|_p \leq 1\).

Denote by \(dx\) the Haar measure on the additive group of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) normalized by the equality \(\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} dx = 1\).

The additive group of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) is self-dual, so that the Fourier transform of a complex-valued function \(f \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)\) is again a function on \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) defined as

\[ (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi(x\xi)f(x) \, dx. \]

If \(\mathcal{F}f \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)\), then we have the inversion formula

\[ f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi(-x\xi)\bar{f}(\xi) \, d\xi. \]
It is possible to extend $\mathcal{F}$ from $L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, so that the Plancherel identity holds in this case.

In order to define distributions on $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we have to specify a class of test functions. A function $f : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ is called locally constant if there exists such an integer $l \geq 0$ that for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$

$$f(x + x') = f(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \|x\| \leq p^{-l}.$$ 

The smallest number $l$ with this property is called the exponent of local constancy of the function $f$.

Typical examples of locally constant functions are additive characters, and also cutoff functions like

$$\Omega(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad \|x\| \leq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad \|x\| > 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\Omega$ is continuous, which is an expression of the non-Archimedean properties of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ the vector space of all locally constant functions with compact supports.

Note that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is dense in $L^q(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for each $q \in (1, \infty)$. In order to furnish $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with a topology, consider first the subspace $D_N^l \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ consisting of functions with supports in a ball

$$B_N = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \|x\| \leq p^N\}, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and the exponents of local constancy $\leq l$. This space is finite-dimensional and possesses a natural direct product topology. Then the topology in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is defined as the double inductive limit topology, so that

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{l \to \infty} D_N^l.$$ 

If $V \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ is an open set, the space $\mathcal{D}(V)$ of test functions on $V$ is defined as a subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ consisting of functions with supports in $V$. For a ball $V = B_N$, we can identify $\mathcal{D}(B_N)$ with the set of all locally constant functions on $B_N$. The set $\mathcal{D}(B_N)$ is dense in $L^q(B_N)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$.

The space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of Bruhat-Schwartz distributions on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is defined as a strong conjugate space to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

2.2. Vladimirov’s operator [11, 10, 13].

The Vladimirov operator $D^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, of fractional differentiation, is defined first as a pseudodifferential operator with the symbol $|\xi|_p^\alpha$:

$$(D^\alpha u)(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi \to x} [\xi|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}_{y \to \xi}u], \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where we show arguments of functions and their direct/inverse Fourier transforms. There is also a hypersingular integral representation giving the same result on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ but making sense on much wider classes of functions (for example, bounded locally constant functions):

$$(D^\alpha u)(x) = \frac{1 - p^{\alpha}}{1 - p^{-\alpha - 1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} |y|_p^{-\alpha - 1} [u(x - y) - u(x)] \, dy.$$ 

The Cauchy problem for the heat-like equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + D^\alpha u = 0, \quad u(0, x) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \; t > 0,$$
is a model example for the theory of $p$-adic parabolic equations. If $\psi$ is regular enough, for example, $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then a classical solution is given by the formula

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Z_\alpha(t, x - \xi) \psi(\xi) \, d\xi$$

where $Z_\alpha$ is, for each $t$, a probability density and

$$Z_\alpha(t_1 + t_2, x) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Z_\alpha(t_1, x - y) Z_\alpha(t_2, y) \, dy, \quad t_1, t_2 > 0, \; x \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$ 

The "heat kernel" $Z_\alpha$ can be written as the Fourier transform

$$Z_\alpha(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi(\xi x) e^{-t|\xi|^p} \, d\xi. \quad (2.4)$$

See [10] for various series representations and estimates of the kernel $Z_\alpha$.

The natural stochastic process in $B_N$ corresponds to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} + (D^\alpha_N u)(t, x) - \lambda_\alpha u(t, x) = 0, \quad x \in B_N, \; t > 0; \quad (2.5)$$

$$u(0, x) = \psi(x), \quad x \in B_N, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\lambda_\alpha = \frac{p - 1}{p^{\alpha+1} - 1}p^{\alpha(1-N)}$, the operator $D^\alpha_N$ is defined by restricting $D^\alpha$ to functions $u_N$ supported in $B_N$ and considering the resulting function $D^\alpha u_N$ only on $B_N$. Note that $D^\alpha_N$ defines a positive definite selfadjoint operator on $L^2(B_N)$, $\lambda$ is its smallest eigenvalue.

Under certain regularity assumptions, for example if $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(B_N)$, the problem (2.5)-(2.6) possesses a classical solution

$$u(t, x) = \int_{B_N} Z_N^{(\alpha)}(t, x - y) \psi(y) \, dy, \quad t > 0, \; x \in B_N,$$

where $Z_N^{(\alpha)}(t, x) \geq 0$,

$$Z_N^{(\alpha)}(t, x) = e^{\lambda_\alpha t} Z_\alpha(t, x) + c(t), \quad (2.7)$$

$$c(t) = p^{-N} - p^{-N}(1 - p^{-1})e^{\lambda_\alpha t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} p^{-Nan} \frac{p^{-Nan}}{1 - p^{-an-1}}.$$}

The kernel $Z_N^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies the identity

$$\int_{B_N} Z_N^{(\alpha)}(t, x) \, dx = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

It was shown in [5] that the family of operators

$$(T_N^{(\alpha)}(t) \psi)(x) = \int_{B_N} Z_N^{(\alpha)}(t, x - y) \psi(y) \, dy \quad (2.9)$$
is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^1(B_N)$. Its generator $A_N^{(\alpha)}$ coincides with $D_N^{\alpha} - \lambda_{\alpha} I$ at least on $\mathcal{D}(B_N)$. More generally, this is true in the distribution sense on restrictions to $B_N$ of functions from the domain of the generator of the semigroup on $L^1(Q_p)$ corresponding to $D^\alpha$.

Another approach to the operator $D_N^{\alpha}$ and its extensions was developed in [11] where it was interpreted as a pseudo-differential operator, in terms of the Pontryagin duality on $B_N$ ($B_N$ is an additive group, due to the ultrametric inequality).

2.3. A theorem by von Wahl. Let us consider the equation (1.2) with the initial condition $v(0) = \varphi$, $\varphi \in D(A)$, where a linear operator $A$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup in a Banach space $\mathcal{B}$, $M$ is a nonlinear operator in $\mathcal{B}$. It is assumed that, for some $\rho \in (0,1)$, $M$ acts from the domain $D(A^{1-\rho})$ to $\mathcal{B}$ and satisfies the following condition: if $v, w \in D(A)$, $\|Av\| + \|Aw\| \leq h$, $h > 0$, then there exists such a constant $k(h) > 0$ that

$$
\begin{cases}
\|M(u) - M(v)\| \leq k(h)\|A^{1-\rho}(v - w)\|,
\|M(v)\| \leq k(h).
\end{cases}
$$

(2.10)

Here $\| \cdot \|$ means the norm in $\mathcal{B}$.

The von Wahl theorem ([15], Theorem II.1.1) states that, under the above conditions, there exists $T(\varphi) \in (0, \infty]$ with the following property. For any $T < T(\varphi)$, there exists a unique function $v \in C^1([0,T], \mathcal{B})$, such that $Av \in C([0,T], \mathcal{B})$, satisfying the equation (1.2) and the initial condition $v(0) = \varphi$.

3 The Vladimirov operator in $L^q(B_N)$, $q \geq 1$.

Let $T_N^{(\alpha)}(t)$ be the family of operator defined by (2.9).

Proposition 1. For any $q \geq 1$, the family $T_N^{(\alpha)}$ is a $C_0$-semigroup of contraction operators on $L^q(B_N)$.

Proof. The family $T_N^{(\alpha)}$ is a positivity preserving Markov semigroup on $L^2(B_N)$ generated by a nonnegative selfadjoint operator $D_N^{\alpha} - \lambda_{\alpha}$ (see [11]). On the other hand, it is a contraction $C_0$-semigroup on $L^1(B_N)$ [5]. By (2.8), $T_N^{(\alpha)}(t)$ is a contraction on $L^\infty(B_N)$ for each $t$. It follows from these properties ([11], Theorems 1.3.3 and 1.4.1) that $T_N^{(\alpha)}$ is a $C_0$-contraction semigroup on $L^q(B_N)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$.

Remark. If $1 < q < \infty$, then $T_N^{(\alpha)}$ is holomorphic on the sector $|\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - |\frac{2}{q} - 1|)$. See [4], Theorem 1.4.2.

Define a $m$-accretive linear operator $A_q^{(\alpha)}$ on $L^q(B_N)$ as a generator of the $C_0$-semigroup $T_N^{(\alpha)}$ on $L^q(B_N)$. Properties of the similar operator on $L^1(B_N)$ proved in [11] (representation formulas for this operator and its resolvent, interpretation in the distribution sense) remain valid for the operator $A_q^{(\alpha)}$.

Below we understand $D_N^{\alpha}$ as an operator on $L^q(B_N)$, such that $A_q^{(\alpha)} = D_N^{\alpha} - \lambda_{\alpha}$. On $\mathcal{D}(B_N)$, $D_N^{\alpha}$ coincides with the operator defined in Section 2.2 in terms of the restriction procedure.
Proposition 2. $\mathcal{D}(B_N)$ is dense in the domain $D(A_q^{(\alpha)})$ with respect to the graph norm of the operator $A_q^{(\alpha)}$.

Proof. If $u \in D(A_q^{(\alpha)})$, set $f = \left(A_q^{(\alpha)} + \mu I\right) u$, $\mu > 0$. Let us approximate $f$ in $L^q(B_N)$ by a sequence $\{f_m\} \subset \mathcal{D}(B_N)$ and set $u_m = \left(A_q^{(\alpha)} + \mu I\right)^{-1} f_m$. It follows from the convolution representation of the resolvent (see Theorem 2 in [11]) that $u_m \in \mathcal{D}(B_N)$.

Obviously, $u_m \to \left(A_q^{(\alpha)} + \mu I\right)^{-1} f = u$ in $L^q(B_N)$ and

$$A_q^{(\alpha)} u_m = \left(A_q^{(\alpha)} + \mu I\right) u_m - \mu u_m = f_m - \mu u_m \to f - \mu u = A_q^{(\alpha)} u$$

in $L^q(B_N)$, as desired. ■

This property shows that a priori estimates involving $A_q^{(\alpha)}$ can be proved by checking the inequalities on functions from $\mathcal{D}(B_N)$.

4 Inequalities

4.1. Fractional powers. Since $T_N^{(\beta)}$, $\beta > 0$, is a contraction semigroup in $L^q(B_N)$, the operator $D_N^{\beta}$ is a generator of a semigroup of type $-\lambda_{\beta}$. For the definition of its fractional powers see §5, Chapter 1, in [12], in particular Theorem 5.6.

Proposition 3. Let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$. For all $u \in \mathcal{D}(B_N)$,

$$\|D_N^{\alpha} u\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq C \left\| \left(D_N^{\beta}\right)^{\alpha/\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(B_N)}$$

(4.1)

where $C$ does not depend on $u$ (here and below $C$ denotes various positive constants).

Proof. Following [12] we use the representation

$$\left(D_N^{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha/\beta} f = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - 1} e^{-\lambda_{\beta} t} T_N^{(\beta)}(t) f \, dt, \quad f \in L^q(B_N),$$

(4.2)

where $e^{-\lambda_{\beta} t} T_N^{(\beta)}(t)$ is the convolution operator in $L^q(B_N)$ (recall that $B_N$ is an additive group) with the kernel

$$G_N^{(\beta)}(t, x) = Z_{\beta}(t, x) + e^{-\lambda_{\beta} t} c_{\beta}(t)$$

(4.3)

where

$$c_{\beta}(t) = p^{-N} - e^{\lambda_{\beta} t} p^{-N} \int_{B_N} Z_{\beta}(t, y) \, dy$$

(4.4)

(see [11], especially the proof of Theorem 2).

We find from (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$G_N^{(\beta)}(t, x) = Z_{\beta}(t, x) - p^{-N} \int_{B_N} Z_{\beta}(t, y) \, dy + p^{-N} e^{-\lambda_{\beta} t}.$$
Next, using (2.4) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
p^{-N} \int_{B_N} Z_\beta(t, y) \, dy &= p^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} e^{-t|\xi|^\beta_p} \, d\xi \int_{B_N} \chi(-y\xi) \, dy = \int_{|\xi|^p \leq p^{-N}} e^{-t|\xi|^\beta_p} \, d\xi 
\end{align*}
\]
by a well-known integration formula [13].

Let \( x \in B_N, \quad |x|^p = p^m, \quad m \leq N \). The same integration formula gives
\[
\int_{|\xi|^p \geq p^{-m+2}} \chi(-x\xi)e^{-t|\xi|^\beta_p} \, d\xi = \sum_{k=-m+2}^{\infty} e^{-tp^k\beta} \int_{|\xi|^p = p^k} \chi(-x\xi) \, d\xi = 0,
\]
so that
\[
G_N^{(\beta)}(t, x) = \int_{p^{-N+1} \leq |\xi|^p \leq p^{-m+1}} \chi(-x\xi)e^{-t|\xi|^\beta_p} \, d\xi + p^{-N}e^{-\lambda_3 t}. \quad (4.6)
\]

Denote \((D_N^{\beta})^{\alpha/\beta} u = f\). The desired inequality (4.1) is equivalent to the inequality
\[
\left\| D_N^{\alpha} \left( D_N^{\beta} \right)^{-\alpha/\beta} f \right\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq C \| f \|_{L^q(B_N)}.
\quad (4.7)
\]

It follows from (4.2) and (4.7) that the convolution kernel of the operator \( (D_N^{\beta})^{-\alpha/\beta} \) equals
\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)} \int_{p^{-N+1} \leq |\xi|^p \leq p^{-m+1}} \chi(-x\xi) dt \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} e^{-t|\xi|^\beta_p} \, dt + p^{-N} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} e^{-\lambda_\beta t} \, dt = \int_{p^{-N+1} \leq |\xi|^p \leq p^{-m+1}} \chi(-x\xi)|\xi|^\alpha_p \, d\xi + p^{-N}\lambda_\beta^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} e^{-tp^k\beta} \int_{|\xi|^p = p^k} \chi(-x\xi) \, d\xi,
\]
that is, by Theorem 2 from [11], it is equal to the convolution kernel of the operator \( (D_N^{\alpha})^{-1} \) plus a constant \( d = p^{-N} \left( \lambda_\beta^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - \lambda_\alpha^{-1} \right) \), so that
\[
\left( (D_N^{\beta})^{-\alpha/\beta} f \right)(x) = ((D_N^{\alpha})^{-1} f)(x) + d \int_{B_N} f(y) \, dy.
\]

Now, from the integral representation of the operator \( D_N^{\alpha} \) given in [11] (Theorem 1, (ii)), it follows that
\[
\left( D_N^{\alpha} \left( D_N^{\beta} \right)^{-\alpha/\beta} f \right)(x) = f(x) + \lambda_\alpha d \int_{B_N} f(y) \, dy, \quad x \in B_N,
\]
which implies (4.7). ■

4.2. Comparison of fractional powers. Let \( 0 < \alpha < \beta \leq \alpha + 1, \beta > 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq r < \infty \).
Proposition 4. Suppose that
\[ \alpha - \beta + \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q}. \] (4.8)

Then for any \( u \in \mathcal{D}(B_N) \)
\[ \| D_N^\alpha u \|_{L^r(B_N)} \leq C \| D_N^\beta u \|_{L^q(B_N)} \] (4.9)
where the constant \( C \) does not depend on \( u \).

Proof. Let \( f = D_N^\beta u \). Then \( f \in \mathcal{D}(B_N) \) (see [11], Theorem 1), and the inequality (4.9) is equivalent to the inequality
\[ \left\| D_N^\alpha \left( D_N^\beta \right)^{-1} f \right\|_{L^r(B_N)} \leq C \| f \|_{L^q(B_N)}. \] (4.10)

By Theorem 2 from [11],
\[ \left( D_N^\beta \right)^{-1} f \right)(x) = \int_{B_N} K_{\lambda_\beta}(x - \xi) f(\xi) \, d\xi + \lambda_\beta^{-1} p^{-N} \int_{B_N} f(\xi) \, d\xi \] (4.11)
where
\[ K_{\lambda_\beta}(x) = \int_{|\eta| \geq p^{-N+1}} \frac{\chi(\eta x)}{|\eta|^p} \, d\eta. \] (4.12)

Another result from [11] (Theorem 1) is the representation
\[ (D_N^\alpha \varphi) (x) = \lambda_\alpha \varphi(x) + \frac{1 - p^\alpha}{1 - p^{-\alpha-1}} \int_{B_N} |y|^{-\alpha-1} |\varphi(x - y) - \varphi(x)| \, dy, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B_N). \] (4.13)

Substituting (4.12) into (4.13) we see that
\[ \left( D_N^\alpha \left( D_N^\beta \right)^{-1} f \right)(x) = \lambda_\alpha \int_{B_N} K_{\lambda_\beta}(x - \xi) f(\xi) \, d\xi + \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta^{-1} p^{-N} \int_{B_N} f(\xi) \, d\xi \]
\[ + \frac{1 - p^\alpha}{1 - p^{-\alpha-1}} \int_{B_N} |y|^{-\alpha-1} \int_{B_N} \left[ K_{\lambda_\beta}(x - y - \xi) - K_{\lambda_\beta}(x - \xi) \right] f(\xi) \, d\xi. \] (4.14)

Note that
\[ K_{\lambda_\beta}(z - y) - K_{\lambda_\beta}(z) = \int_{|\eta| \geq p^{-N+1}} |\eta|_p^{\beta} \chi(\eta z) [\chi(-\eta y) - 1] \, d\eta, \]
so that
\[ \frac{1 - p^\alpha}{1 - p^{-\alpha-1}} \int_{B_N} |y|^{-\alpha-1} \left[ K_{\lambda_\beta}(z - y) - K_{\lambda_\beta}(z) \right] \, dy \]
\[ = \frac{1 - p^\alpha}{1 - p^{-\alpha-1}} \int_{|\eta| \geq p^{-N+1}} |\eta|_p^{\beta} \chi(\eta z) \, d\eta \int_{B_N} |y|^{-\alpha-1} [\chi(-\eta y) - 1] \, dy. \]
The internal integral in \( y \) is known (see [10], page 164) \(- \) if \( |\eta|_p \geq p^{-N+1} \), then

\[
\int_{B_N} |y|_p^{-\alpha-1} \chi(-\eta y) - 1 \, dy = |\eta|_p^\alpha - \lambda_\alpha,
\]

and we obtain the equality

\[
\frac{1 - p^\alpha}{1 - p^{-\alpha-1}} \int_{B_N} |y|_p^{-\alpha-1} \, dy \int_{B_N} \left[ K_\lambda_n (x - y - \xi) - K_\lambda_n (x - \xi) \right] f(\xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
= \int_{B_N} f(\xi) \, d\xi \left[ \int_{|\eta|_p \geq p^{-N+1}} |\eta|_p^{\alpha-\beta} \chi(\eta(x - \xi)) \, d\eta - \lambda_\alpha \int_{|\eta|_p \geq p^{-N+1}} |\eta|_p^{-\beta} \chi(\eta(x - \xi)) \, d\eta \right] \tag{4.15}
\]

where the integrals in \( \eta \) converge in the sense of \( \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{Q}_p) \), so that the right hand side makes sense, since \( f \in \mathcal{D}(B_N) \).

Now we substitute (4.15) into (4.14) and notice that the second integral in the right-hand side of (4.15) cancels with the first summand in (4.14). We have

\[
\left( D_N^\alpha \left( D_N^\beta \right)^{-1} f \right)(x) = \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta^{-1} p^{-N} \int_{B_N} f(\xi) \, d\xi + \int_{B_N} I(x - \xi) f(\xi) \, d\xi \tag{4.16}
\]

where

\[
I(z) = \int_{|\eta|_p \geq p^{-N+1}} |\eta|_p^{\beta} \chi(\eta z) \, d\eta, \quad z \in B_N.
\]

While this integral a priori exists in \( \mathcal{D}' \), we can study its pointwise behavior using the representation

\[
I(z) = \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} p^{n(\alpha-\beta)} \int_{|\eta|_p = p^n} \chi(\eta z) \, d\eta. \tag{4.17}
\]

If \( |z|_p = p^j, -\infty < j \leq N \), then [10] [13]

\[
\int_{|\eta|_p = p^n} \chi(\eta z) \, d\eta = \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{1}{p})p^n, & \text{if } n \leq -j; \\ -p^{n-1}, & \text{if } n = -j + 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq -j + 2. \end{cases}
\]

For \( j = N \), only one term \( n = -N + 1 \) in (4.17) is different from zero, and we get

\[
I(z) = -p^{(-N+1)(\alpha-\beta)} p^{-N} = -p^{\alpha-\beta} |z|_p^{-(\alpha-\beta+1)}.
\]

If \( j < N \), then

\[
I(z) = (1 - \frac{1}{p}) \sum_{n=-N+1}^{-j} p^{n(\alpha-\beta+1)} - p^{(-j+1)(\alpha-\beta)} p^{-j} = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \sum_{\nu=1}^{N-j} p^{(\nu-N)(\alpha-\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{p} \cdot p^{(-j+1)(\alpha-\beta+1)}.
\]
Computing the progression we find that in both cases
\[ |I(z)| \leq C|z|^{-(\alpha-\beta+1)}, \quad z \in B_N. \]  
(4.18)

Let us apply to the convolution on \( B_N \), appearing in (4.16), the Young inequality
\[ \|I \ast f\|_{L^r(B_N)} \leq \|I\|_{L^s(B_N)} \|f\|_{L^q(B_N)} \]
where \( s, q, r \geq 1, s^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1 + r^{-1} \) (in [16], this inequality is proved for general groups, which covers the case of \( B_N \)). By (4.18), the function \( I \) belongs to \( L^s(B_N) \), if \( 1 \leq s < \frac{1}{\alpha-\beta+1} \). In particular, if we choose \( s \) from the equality \( s^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1 + r^{-1} \), then by our assumption (4.8) we have \( 1 \leq s < \frac{1}{\alpha-\beta+1} \).

Applying the Hölder inequality to the first summand in (4.16) we come to the inequality (4.10), which implies the required inequality (4.9).

\[ \square \]

5 Local solvability of the \( p \)-adic Navier-Stokes equation

Let us consider the equation
\[ \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = u(t,x) (D_1^1 u) (t,x) - \theta (D_2^2 u) (t,x) \]  
(5.1)
with the initial condition
\[ u(0,x) = \varphi(x) \].  
(5.2)

We apply von Wahl’s theorem with \( \mathfrak{B} = L^q(B_N), 1 < q < \infty, A = \theta D_2^2, M(u) = u \cdot D_1^1 u \). As we know, \( A \) is a generator of an analytic subgroup in \( \mathfrak{B} \).

**Theorem.** For any \( \varphi \in D(A) \) (in particular, for any \( \varphi \in D(B_N) \)), there exists \( T(\varphi) \in (0, \infty] \) with the following property. For any \( T, 0 < T < T(\varphi) \), the Cauchy problem (5.1), (5.2) possesses a unique solution \( u \in C^1([0,T], \mathfrak{B}) \), such that \( Au \in C([0,T], \mathfrak{B}) \).

**Proof.** We have to check the conditions of von Wahl’s theorem. Let \( v, w \in D(A), \|Av\|_{L^q(B_N)} + \|Aw\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq h \). By the Hölder inequality,
\[ \|M(v)\|_{L^r(B_N)} \leq \|v\|_{L^r(B_N)} \|D_1^1 v\|_{L^s(B_N)}, \quad v \in D(B_N), \]
where \( r, s \geq 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1 \).

By Proposition 4,
\[ \|D_2^2 v\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq C\|\theta D_2^2 v\|_{L^q(B_N)}, \quad v \in D(B_N), \]  
(5.3)

It follows (with the use of the Hölder inequality) from (4.11) and the continuity of the resolvent kernel for \( D_2^2 \) that
\[ \left\| (D_2^2)^{-1} f \right\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^q(B_N)}, \quad f \in L^q(B_N), \]
so that
\[ \|v\|_{L^qr(B_N)} \leq C\|\theta D^2_N v\|_{L^q(B_N)}, \quad v \in D(A), \]
and the second inequality in (2.10) has been proved.

Next, \( M(v) - M(w) = (v - w)D^1_N + w(D^1_N v - D^1_N w) \). In subsequent estimates we can use
\[ \|D^2_N(1-\alpha) v\|_{L^q(B_N)} \]
instead of \( \|A(1-\alpha) v\|_{L^q(B_N)} \). That is possible by virtue of Proposition 3.

As before, we have for \( 0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2} \) that
\[ \|(v - w)D^1_N v\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq \|v - w\|_{L^q(B_N)} \|D^1_N v\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq C\|D^2_N(1-\rho) (v - w)\|_{L^q(B_N)} \|D^2_N v\|_{L^q(B_N)}, \]

Finally, if \( \rho < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{qs}\right) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{qr}) \), then by Proposition 4,
\[ \|w \cdot D^1_N (v - w)\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq \|w\|_{L^q(B_N)} \|D^1_N (v - w)\|_{L^q(B_N)} \leq C\|D^2_N w\|_{L^q(B_N)} \|D^2_N(1-\rho) (v - w)\|_{L^q(B_N)}, \]
which proves the first inequality in (2.10).

\[ \blacksquare \]
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