NILPOTENT ORBITS OF ORTHOGONAL GROUPS OVER LOCAL
NON-ARCHIMEDEAN FIELDS
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Abstract. Rational nilpotent adjoint orbits are key objects in representation theory. Finite in number, they can be abstractly parametrized using Galois cohomology. In this paper, for local non-archimedean fields of characteristic 0 or sufficiently large, with odd residual characteristic, we explicitly parametrize and count the arithmetic nilpotent adjoint orbits in each geometric orbit of orthogonal and special orthogonal groups, and then separately give an algorithmic construction for representatives of each orbit. We prove these representatives are minimal, in the sense that if the residual characteristic is sufficiently large then they give a realization of the parametrization of rational nilpotent orbits proposed by DeBacker.

1. Introduction

Rational, or arithmetic, nilpotent adjoint orbits of algebraic groups over a local field $k$ arise in representation theory in several contexts. For example, the Harish-Chandra–Howe character formula locally expresses a character of a representation as a linear combination of (Fourier transforms of) nilpotent orbital integrals. As another example, the orbit method would parametrize representations by admissible coadjoint orbits, with the admissible nilpotent orbits corresponding to core singular cases.

Algebraic, or geometric, nilpotent adjoint orbits can be thought of as those under the algebraic group over the algebraic closure of the local field. These orbits can be parameterized in multiple ways, including the Bala-Carter classification (extended to low characteristic by McNinch and others), weighted Dynkin diagrams, and partition-type classifications (for classical groups).

The rational points of an algebraic orbit form zero or more rational orbits, and these can be counted using Galois cohomology. When the characteristic of $k$ is zero, or sufficiently large, and the residual characteristic $p$ is odd, then for symplectic, orthogonal or special orthogonal groups the rational orbits can be parametrized by partitions together with certain tuples of quadratic forms; see Theorem 3.1. This result is well-known: see Collingwood and McGovern [6] for the real case and Waldspurger [17] for the $p$-adic case. Yet it remained an open combinatorial problem to count these orbits for orthogonal groups. Solving this is the first goal of this paper, in Section 4.
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Our second goal is to present an algorithm for generating representatives for all rational nilpotent orbits of orthogonal and special orthogonal groups over \(k\), in the spirit of the one presented by Collingwood and McGovern in [6] over \(\mathbb{R}\). This kind of explicit parametrization has many applications to representation theory, including: computing Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms in [1] and [11]; geometrizing invariant distributions coming from nilpotent orbits [5]; and proving the motivic nature of Shalika germs in [10]. This last result depends on proving the definability, in terms of Pas’s logical language, of nilpotent orbits. To this end, Diwadkar treats the \(p\)-adic odd orthogonal Lie algebras in [8], though not as explicitly as is done in the present work. Finally, although determining a complete set of representatives in the case of special linear and symplectic groups is a direct generalization of the real case (see [15]), orthogonal and special orthogonal groups present a special challenge, and our solution is presented in Section 5.

Our third and most important goal is to offer a realization of a new geometric parametrization of rational nilpotent orbits proposed by DeBacker in [7]. Using a “generalized Bala-Carter” philosophy, DeBacker parametrized the rational nilpotent orbits of groups over, among others, local non-archimedean fields (with restrictions on residual characteristic) using the Bruhat-Tits building of the corresponding group. This parametrization has only been explored in a handful of cases (\(G_2\) and \(F_4\) by DeBacker, \(SL(n, k)\) and \(Sp(2m, k)\) by the second author). One approach to realizing this geometric parametrization is to construct a minimal orbit representative, that is, one contained in a smallest possible generalized Levi subalgebra. This is the approach used in [15] for the special linear and symplectic groups. In Section 6, we first prove how to generate orbit representatives that satisfy a slightly weaker minimality condition and then prove these are indeed minimal in the above sense. We thus realize the DeBacker parametrization, over those fields \(k\) for which it is defined, for all orthogonal and special orthogonal groups.

Let us now summarize the results of this paper in more detail. Let \(\lambda\) be a partition of \(n\) in which even parts occur with even multiplicity and let \(O_\lambda\) denote the corresponding nilpotent adjoint orbit of the algebraic group \(O_n\). Let \(a\) be the number of parts in \(\lambda\) of multiplicity 1, \(b\) the number of odd parts with multiplicity 2, and \(c\) the number of odd parts occurring in \(\lambda\) with multiplicity at least 3. Assume the characteristic of \(k\) is either zero or sufficiently large (see Section 3) and that \(p \neq 2\). Then the rational orbits occurring in \(O_\lambda(k)\) are parametrized by certain tuples \(q\) of quadratic forms (Theorem 3.1). Our first result is to compute their number.

**Theorem** (Theorem 4.3). With the notation above, let \((q, V)\) be a nondegenerate \(n\)-dimensional quadratic space of anisotropic dimension \(n_o \leq n\). Then the number of \(k\)-rational orbits under \(O(q)\) in \(O_\lambda(k)\) is

\[
T(q)_{a,b,c} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{8} 4^a 7^b 8^c & \text{if } c \geq 1; \\
\frac{1}{8} 4^a 7^b + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2} & \text{if } c = 0, a > 0; \\
\frac{1}{8} 7^b + \varepsilon_{n_o,b} & \text{if } a = c = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\varepsilon_{n_o,b} = 0\) unless either \(n_o = 0\) and \(b\) is even, or \(n_o = 4\) and \(b\) is odd, in which cases \(\varepsilon_{n_o,b} = (-1)^b\). The number of \(k\)-rational orbits in \(O_\lambda(k)\) under \(SO(q)\) is the same unless \(a = b = c = n_o = 0\), when there are two.
In contrast, the number of $k$-orbits in one algebraic nilpotent orbit of a special linear group is $|k^\times/(k^\times)^g|$ where $g$ is the gcd of the parts of the corresponding partition. The number of rational orbits in the algebraic orbit corresponding to partition $\lambda$ of a symplectic group is $4^a7^b8^c$ where $a$ is the number of even parts of multiplicity 1, $b$ is the number of even parts of multiplicity 2, and $c$ is the number of even parts of multiplicity greater than or equal to 3; this is easily deduced from [15]. The greater complexity of the formula in the orthogonal case serves as a certain indication of the subtlety of the parametrization.

Next, for each pair $(\lambda, q)$ parametrizing a rational nilpotent orbit of $SO(q)$, choose a partition $\Gamma$ of a set $I_{\lambda,q}$ attached to $(\lambda, q)$, as described in Proposition 5.1. We construct an explicit orbit representative and associated Jacobson-Morozov triple $\phi$ by aligning subspaces of $V$ with the parts of $\Gamma$ in Sections 5.3 to 5.9.

The DeBacker parametrization attaches to each rational nilpotent orbit $O$ one or more degenerate pairs, which for our purposes we may take to be pairs $(F, X)$ consisting of a facet $F$ of the Bruhat-Tits building $B(G)$ of $G$ together with an orbit representative $X$ satisfying a compatibility condition (see Section 6). When $\dim(F)$ is maximal among all pairs attached to $O$, the pair is called distinguished; associativity classes of distinguished pairs are in bijection with rational nilpotent orbits [7]. Based on our work in Section 5, we associate to each choice of partition $\Gamma$ of $I_{\lambda,q}$ a degenerate pair $(F_\Gamma, X_\Gamma)$, and determine a formula for $\dim(F_\Gamma)$, in Proposition 6.1. The corresponding maximum possible value over all such $\Gamma$ is given in Corollary 6.2 and we prove in Theorem 6.3 that the corresponding pairs $(F_{\Gamma_{\max}}, X_{\Gamma_{\max}})$ are in fact distinguished, which completes our work.

Several interesting questions remain open. For one, by Corollary 6.2 we have

$$\dim(F_{\Gamma_{\max}}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |\lambda| - \sum_{i \in \lambda, \text{odd}} D(q, i) \right)$$

where $D(q, i)$ is the anisotropic dimension of the quadratic form in $q$ corresponding to the odd part $i$ of $\lambda$. This is analogous to the formula proven in [15, Corollary 4] for the dimension of a facet in a distinguished pair for orbits under the group $Sp(2m)$. It would be interesting to explore if this can be used to characterize a rational analogue of the algebraic Springer correspondence between (special) nilpotent orbits of symplectic and special orthogonal groups.

It is also an open problem to determine various known invariants of rational nilpotent orbits in terms of the data of their DeBacker parametrization. Together with [15], we now have the complete parametrization for all split classical groups, which should allow a thorough study. Part of the problem would be to give a combinatorial description of the associativity classes of facets in $B$, and more particularly of the $r$-associativity classes for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$, which are greater in number and offer a finer parametrization.

Finally, our results rely on Jacobson-Morozov theory to describe the nilpotent orbits, and thus entail a restriction on the characteristic of $k$. It would be interesting to count rational orbits, and give explicit representatives, in these missing cases.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we establish our notation and some necessary results about quadratic forms. In Section 3 we present the orthogonal groups, nilpotent adjoint orbits and their partition-based parametrization. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.3, counting the number of rational orbits. In Section 5, we present an algorithm for generating representatives of each orbit. To do so explicitly, we set the notation for root vectors in Section 5.1 and describe the overall strategy in Section 5.2 with details for each of the subcases in Sections 5.3 to 5.9. In Section 6 we briefly recall the DeBacker parametrization and then attach to each of our orbit representatives a degenerate pair. In Theorem 6.3 we prove that we produce distinguished pairs with our construction, thus establishing a new dictionary from the partition-based to the building-based parametrizations of rational nilpotent orbits for orthogonal and special orthogonal groups.

2. Notation and the Witt group

Let $k$ be a local non-archimedean field of residual characteristic $p \neq 2$, with integer ring $\mathcal{R}$ and maximal ideal $\mathcal{P}$ generated by a uniformizer $\varpi$. Denote by $f$ the residue field of $k$. Let $\rho$ be a fixed nonsquare in $\mathcal{R} \times$ with image $\rho_f$ in $f \times$. The following theory is concisely presented in [2] and based on [12, Chapter 1]. A quadratic space $(q, V)$ over a field $F$ such that $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ is a finite-dimensional vector space $V$ over $F$ equipped with a regular quadratic form $q$; when needed, its associated (nondegenerate) bilinear form is denoted $B_q$, a matrix form is $M_q$, and the dimension of $V$ is $\text{deg}(q)$, the degree of $q$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the quadratic hyperbolic plane.

If $(q, V)$ and $(q', V')$ are two quadratic spaces we write $q \cong q'$ if they are isomorphic and $q \simeq q'$ if the isomorphism classes of the quadratic forms $q$ and $q'$ differ by a sum of hyperbolic planes. Then $\cong$ defines an equivalence relation on the monoid of nondegenerate quadratic forms, and the resulting quotient is the Witt group $\mathcal{W}_F$ of $F$ with trivial element denoted $0$ or $\mathcal{H}$. Write $\mathcal{W}$ for the image of $q$ in $\mathcal{W}_F$, which we can identify up to isomorphism with the anisotropic kernel $q_\circ$ of $q$. Then $\dim \mathcal{W} := \text{deg}(q_\circ) = n_\circ$ is the anisotropic dimension of $q$.

Each quadratic space $(q, V)$ admits a basis relative to which $q$ is diagonalized; in this case we write $q = \langle a_1, \cdots, a_n \rangle$ for some $a_i \in F^\times$ but even up to permuting and scaling each coordinate by elements of $(F^\times)^2$ this representation of $q$ is not necessarily unique.

If $F = f$, then since $p > 2$ we have $\mathcal{W}_f = \{ \mathcal{H}, \langle 1 \rangle, \langle \rho_f \rangle, \langle 1, -\rho_f \rangle \}$, which has the structure of $\mathcal{W}_f^\circ \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ if $-1 \in k^2$ (that is, if $p$ is congruent to 1 mod 4) and of $\mathcal{W}_f^\circ \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ otherwise. The identification of sets $\iota: \mathcal{W}_f^\circ \to \mathcal{W}_f^\circ$ is thus not a homomorphism but it is easy to check that it satisfies the very useful property that for all $u, v \in \mathcal{W}_f^\circ$,

\begin{equation}
\dim(\iota(u) - \iota(v)) = \dim(\iota(u) - \iota(v)).
\end{equation}
Table 1. Representatives of elements of $W_k$ (in two forms: simple ones dependent on the sign of $-1$ in $k$, and more complex ones which are independent thereof), together with the number of choices of distinct diagonal representatives of each up to $(k^\times)^2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dim $\mathbb{F}$</th>
<th>Representative for $\mathbb{F}$</th>
<th>Number of Choices</th>
<th>Common Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$\langle a, a \rangle$</td>
<td>$4$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{H} = \langle a, -a \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\langle 1 \rangle$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\langle 1 \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle \rho \langle a, \rho \rangle \rangle$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle \langle \rho \langle a, \rho \rangle \rangle \rangle$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, -\rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle 1 \rangle \langle \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$\langle 1 \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle 1 \rangle \langle \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$\langle 1 \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle \langle \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle \langle \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle \langle \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$\langle \rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, \rho \rangle \langle 1, \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$6$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, -\rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle 1, \rho \rangle \langle 1, \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$6$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, -\rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle 1, \rho \rangle \langle 1, \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$6$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, -\rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle 1, \rho \rangle \langle 1, \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$6$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, -\rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle 1, \rho \rangle \langle 1, \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$6$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, -\rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4$</td>
<td>$\langle 1, \rho \rangle \langle 1, \rho \rangle$</td>
<td>$24$</td>
<td>$Q_4 = \langle 1, -1, \rho, -\rho \rangle$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If $F = k$, then the map $\rho_f \mapsto \rho$ induces a well-defined injection $i: W_f \rightarrow W_k$. In fact, the map which sends $(u, v) \in W_f^2$ to the class of $i(u) \oplus \varpi(i(v))$ defines an isomorphism $W_k \cong W_f^2$. (We may write $W_k^\pm$ when we want to specify the group structure.)

We list the distinct elements of $W_k$ in the second and third columns of Table 1 in terms of the favoured representatives $\{1, \rho, \varpi, \rho \varpi\}$ for $k^\times/(k^\times)^2$, and grouped by their anisotropic dimension (given in the first column). Write $Q_4$ for the unique class of anisotropic dimension 4, which is the quaternionic class. We now collect some facts needed for Section 4.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $k$ be a local non-archimedean field of odd residual characteristic.

1. The number of isometry classes of quadratic forms of degree $n$ is 4 if $n = 1$, 7 if $n = 2$, and 8 if $n \geq 3$.
2. The number of choices of distinct diagonal representatives of each anisotropic form or hyperbolic plane, counting order but modulo $(k^\times)^2$, is an invariant of the anisotropic dimension and is independent of the class of $p$ mod 4.
3. The map $i$ extends to a bijection $i: W_k^- \rightarrow W_k^+$ such that for all $u, v \in W_k^-$,

$$\dim(i(u) - i(v)) = \dim(i(u) - i(v)).$$

**Proof.** The first statement is well-known, but can also be inferred from Table 1 directly. We have recorded the number of choices of distinct diagonal representatives for each class of anisotropic form or hyperbolic plane, counting order but modulo
scaling in each factor by \((k^x)^2\), in the fourth column of Table I this establishes the second assertion. The map \(\iota\) extends via the isomorphisms \(i: W_k^+ \rightarrow (W_k^p)^2\). Since \(\dim(i(u) \oplus \varnothing i(v)) = \dim(u) \oplus \dim(v)\) for any \(u,v \in W_1\), (2.2) follows from (2.1). For convenience, we have recorded the common representatives defining the map \(\iota\) in the last column of Table I.

We say that two tuples of quadratic forms \((q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_s)\) and \((q'_1, q'_2, \ldots, q'_s)\) are isometric if \(s = s'\) and for all \(i, q_i \cong q'_i\). Let \(q = [q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_s]\) denote the corresponding isometry class; then \(\overline{q} = q_1 + \cdots + q_s\) is a well-defined element of \(W_k\). We say that \(q\) represents \(q\) if \(\overline{q} = \overline{q}\).

Now let \(\lambda\) be a partition of \(n\). The multiplicity \(m_i\) of \(i\) in \(\lambda\) is number of times \(i\) occurs in \(\lambda\). Let \(\Lambda(n)\) denote the set of partitions of \(n\) in which even parts occur with even multiplicity. For \(\lambda \in \Lambda(n)\), let \(Q_\lambda = \{H\}\) if \(\lambda\) has no odd parts; otherwise, let \(j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_s\) denote its distinct odd parts and let

\[
Q_\lambda = \{q = [q_1, \ldots, q_s] \mid \text{for each } i, q_i \text{ is a quadratic form of degree } m_{j_i}\}
\]

be the set of isometry classes of \(s\)-tuples of quadratic forms of the stated degrees.

Given a quadratic space \((q, V)\) of degree \(n\), we set

\[
\mathcal{N}(\overline{q}, n) = \{(\lambda, q) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda(n), q \in Q_\lambda \text{ such that } \overline{q} = \overline{q}\}.
\]

If \(n\) is even, let \(\Lambda^{ve}(n) \subset \Lambda(n)\) be the subset of partitions of \(n\) which have no odd parts; these are called very even partitions. If \(\overline{q} = \overline{q}\) then for each very even partition we attach two distinct copies of \(H\), to give

\[
\mathcal{N}^{hyp}(n) = \mathcal{N}(\overline{0}, n) \sqcup \{(\lambda, H') \mid \lambda \in \Lambda^{ve}(n)\}.
\]

3. Nilpotent Adjoint Orbits of the Orthogonal Group

Let \(G\) be a semisimple algebraic group defined over \(k\) and \(g\) its Lie algebra. A Lie triple is a nonzero set \(\phi = \{H, X, Y\} \subset g\) such that \([H, X] = 2X, [H, Y] = -2Y\) and \([X, Y] = H\). If char(\(k\)) is zero or sufficiently large, then Jacobson-Morozov theory [3, XIII, §11] asserts a bijection between the nonzero nilpotent orbits of \(G\) on \(g\) (respectively, of \(G(k)\) on \(g(k)\)) and conjugacy classes of Lie triples in \(g\) under \(G\) (respectively, in \(g(k)\) under \(G(k)\)), given by associating the triple to the orbit of its nilpositive element \(X\).

Now let \((q, V)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional quadratic space over \(k\). Assume from now that \(k\) has either characteristic 0, or else odd characteristic \(p > 2n\) if \(n\) is odd, or \(p > 2(n - 1)\) if \(n\) is even, so that Jacobson-Morozov theory (as extended to positive characteristic by McNinch [13]) will apply. The special orthogonal Lie algebra is

\[
\mathfrak{so}(q) = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, k) \mid X M_q + M_q X = 0\}.
\]

Observe that \(\mathfrak{so}(q) = \mathfrak{so}(\alpha q)\) for any \(\alpha \in k^x\), so from Table I we infer there is a single isomorphism class of Lie algebra for each anisotropic dimension, except for \(\dim q = 2\). In this latter case, by the Kneser-Tits classification [16], there are two
isomorphism classes, corresponding to Lie algebras splitting over a ramified or an unramified extension respectively. The orthogonal group is

\[ \text{O}(q) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(n, k) \mid ^t g M g = M \} \]

and it contains \( \text{SO}(q) \) as the index-two subgroup of elements of determinant equal to 1. These groups are compact if and only if \( q \) is anisotropic. We think of them as the \( k \)-points of the corresponding inner forms of the algebraic groups \( \text{O}_n \) and \( \text{SO}_n \), respectively.

Given a geometric nilpotent orbit \( \mathcal{O} \) under the algebraic group \( \text{O}(q) \cong \text{O}_n \), then its set of rational points \( \mathcal{O}(k) \) may be empty, or may decompose as a union of one or more rational nilpotent orbits. In the latter case, using the arguments of [14, Prop 4.1], one can deduce that the set of rational orbits is in bijection with the kernel of the map of pointed sets in Galois cohomology

\[ \alpha: H^1(k, \text{O}(q)^\phi) \to H^1(k, \text{O}(q)) \]

where \( \phi \) is an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \)-triple for a base point of \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \text{O}(q)^\phi \) is its centralizer.

The algebraic group \( \text{O}(q)^\phi \) is a product of symplectic and orthogonal groups (see below, as [33]). For a group \( H \) preserving a nondegenerate \( m \)-dimensional bilinear form, \( H^1(k, H) \) counts the number of \( k \)-isometry classes of forms of degree \( m \); thus it is trivial if the form is symplectic, and if the form is symmetric, it has order 4, 7 or 8 if \( m \) is 1, 2 or at least 3, respectively. The kernel of the map \( 3.1 \) can thus parametrized by tuples of quadratic forms whose sum is equivalent to the chosen form \( q \) in the Witt group. This correspondence is made explicit in the proof of the following theorem, which is a known result; for example, for archimedean local fields see [61, Ch. 9] and for extensions of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) see [17, I.6].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( (q, V) \) be a nondegenerate \( n \)-dimensional quadratic space over \( k \). The nilpotent \( \text{O}(q) \) orbits on \( \mathfrak{so}(q) \) are parametrized by the set \( \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{f}, n) \). If \( \mathfrak{f} \neq \mathfrak{f}_0 \), then the nilpotent \( \text{SO}(q) \) orbits coincide with those under \( \text{O}(q) \) but otherwise, \( n \) is even and the nilpotent \( \text{SO}(q) \)-orbits are parametrized by the set \( \mathcal{N}^{hyp}(n) \).

**Proof.** Let \( X \in \mathfrak{so}(q) \setminus \{0\} \) be nilpotent and let \( \phi = \{H, X, Y\} \) be a corresponding Lie triple. Then \( \text{span}\{\phi\} \) is a subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{so}(q) \) isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \) which acts on \( (q, V) \), decomposing it into pairwise orthogonal isotypic components \( V_i \). Each \( V_i \) is the sum of all irreducible submodules of degree equal to \( i \), so we may write

\[ V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \otimes M_i, \]

where \( U_i \) denotes the unique irreducible \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-module of degree \( i \) and \( M_i \) represents the multiplicity space of \( U_i \) in \( V \). If \( X = \{0\} \) set \( \phi = \{0\} \) and \( M_1 = V \) in (3.2).

Each \( U_i \) carries an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-invariant nondegenerate bilinear form \( \beta_i \), which is symplectic if \( i \) is even and symmetric if \( i \) is odd. When \( i = 2k + 1 \) is odd, we fix a choice of form such that \( \beta_{2k+1}|_{\Delta U_i} \cong \langle 1 \rangle \oplus k\mathcal{H} \). For each \( i \) such that \( V_i \neq \{0\} \), let \( q_i \) denote the restriction of \( q \) to \( V_i \). Let \( \gamma_i \) be the unique (up to isometry) bilinear form on \( M_i \) such that \( \beta_i \otimes \gamma_i|_{\Delta V_i} \cong q_i \). Then if \( i \) is even, \( \beta_i \) is symplectic so \( \gamma_i \) is symplectic as well; thus \( (q_i, V_i) \) is a split quadratic space. If \( i = 2k + 1 \) is odd, then \( \gamma_i \) and \( \beta_i \)
are both symmetric. Writing \( q_n = \gamma_i |_{\Delta M_i} \) for the associated quadratic form we have \( \beta_i \otimes \gamma_i |_{\Delta M_i} = ((1) \oplus k \mathcal{H}) \otimes q_n, \) which implies \( (q_n, M_i) \simeq (q, V_i) \) in \( \mathcal{W}_k. \)

This defines the map sending \( X \) to \( \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}, n) \): setting \( m_i = \dim(M_i) \) for each \( i \), the decomposition \((3.2)\) implies \( n = \sum m_i \), whence this data defines a partition \( \lambda \) of \( n. \) If \( i \) is even, then \( M_i \) is symplectic, implying \( m_i \) is even and thus \( \lambda \in \Lambda(n). \) The tuple \( q = [\gamma_j | j \text{ odd}, m_j \neq 0] \) lies in \( \mathcal{Q}_\lambda. \) Since \( \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} q_i \simeq q, \) the pair \( (\lambda, q) \) lies in \( \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}, n). \) In fact, in this way, each element of \( \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}, n) \) defines a decomposition \((3.2)\) that is unique up to isometry.

Note that \( g \in O(q) \) lies in \( O(q)^\phi, \) the centralizer of \( \phi \) in \( O(q), \) if and only if it acts as an intertwining operator on \( V \) viewed as an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-module, so our map induces a well-defined map on orbits. Moreover, it follows that \( g \) acts on each nonzero \( M_i \) occurring in \((3.2)\) by an element of Aut(\( \gamma_i, M_i), \) and in fact

\[
(3.3) \quad O(q)^\phi \cong \prod_{i \in \lambda, i \text{ odd}} O(q_n) \times \prod_{i \in \lambda, i \text{ even}} Sp(m_i).
\]

Now suppose \( \phi \) and \( \phi' \) are two Lie triples in \( \mathfrak{so}(q) \) and \( V = \oplus_i V_i \) and \( V = \oplus_i V'_i \) are the corresponding decompositions of \( V \) into isotypic components. Then, as above, these decompositions are isometric if and only if \( \phi \) and \( \phi' \) are conjugate via an element of \( O(q). \) As \( \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}, n) \) is in bijection with the set of isometry classes of such decompositions, the first statement of the theorem follows.

To understand the \( SO(q) \) orbits, suppose that \( g \in O(q) \setminus SO(q) \) gives Ad(\( g \))\( \phi = \phi'. \) Thus \( \det(g) = -1. \) From \((3.3)\), and that the symplectic factors have determinant 1, we conclude that \( O(q)^\phi \) contains an element \( h \) of determinant \(-1\) if and only if \( \lambda \) contains at least one odd part, in which case \( gh \in SO(q) \) and Ad(\( gh \))\( \phi = \phi', \) showing that the \( O(q) \) and \( SO(q) \) orbits coincide.

If, however, \( \dim(V_i) \) is even for all \( i, \) then no such \( h \) exists. In this case, each \( V_i \) is a split quadratic space and so \( (q, V) \) is a sum of hyperbolic planes, whence \( \mathfrak{q} = 0. \) Since \( O(q)^\phi = SO(q)^\phi \) in this case, and \( SO(q) \) has index two in \( O(q), \) we deduce that each of the \( O(q) \)-orbits corresponding to \( \lambda \in \Lambda^{ve}(n) \) decompose as a disjoint union of two \( SO(q) \)-orbits. \( \square \)

4. Counting rational nilpotent orbits

Let \( \lambda \in \Lambda(n) \) be a partition and let \( a \) be the number of odd parts with multiplicity 1, \( b \) the number of odd parts with multiplicity 2, and \( c \) the number of odd parts with multiplicity 3 or greater. Let \( X \) be an element of the associated algebraic orbit \( O_\lambda \) and \( \phi \) an associated Lie triple. From the form of \( O(q)^\phi \) in \((3.3)\), and Lemma \( 2.1, \) we deduce that

\[
|H^1(k, O(q)^\phi)| = 4^a 7^b 8^c.
\]

whereas \( |H^1(k, O(q))| \in \{4, 7, 8\}, \) depending on \( n. \) Thus from the discussion preceding the statement of Theorem \( 3.1, \) if \( n \geq 3 \) one expects about \( \frac{1}{3}(4^a 7^b 8^c) \) rational orbits in \( O_\lambda(k), \) with some variation depending on \( \lambda \) and the choice of rational form \( O(q) \) of the algebraic group \( O_n. \)
On the other hand, Theorem 3.1 gives a direct means of counting the number of rational orbits in $O_{\lambda}(k)$: they are parametrized by $P_{\lambda, q} = \{ q \in Q_{\lambda} \mid \bar{q} = \bar{q} \}$. That is to say, it suffices to count the number of isometry classes of tuples (of degrees prescribed by the multiplicities of the odd parts in $\lambda$) that represent $\bar{q}$. This is a nontrivial counting problem, and the subject of this section.

We begin with the simple case that each odd part of $\lambda$ has multiplicity equal to 1.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $u \in W_k$ and set $n_o = \dim(u)$. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_+$ have the same parity as $n_o$. The number $N(u)_{a}$ of isometry classes of $a$-tuples of degree-one quadratic forms representing $u$ is

$$N(u)_{a} = \frac{1}{8} 4^a + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2}. \quad (4.1)$$

**Proof.** We prove this formula by induction on even and odd $a$, respectively. When $a = 1$, we have $N(u)_1 = 1$ if $n_o = 1$ but $N(u)_1 = 0$ if $n_o = 3$, so we can see that (4.1) holds. When $a = 2$, there are 16 distinct isometry classes of pairs of quadratic forms. By Lemma 2.1 regardless of the sign of $-1$ in $k$, each of the six anisotropic quadratic forms with $n_o = 2$ is represented by exactly two such pairs, accounting for $12 = 6 \times 2$ pairs; the remaining four pairs represent the hyperbolic plane (which has $n_o = 0$). In particular no pair can represent $Q_4$ (which has $n_o = 4$). This count agrees with (4.1) for $a = 2$ and each $n_o \in \{0, 2, 4\}$. Thus the formula for $N(u)_{a}$ holds for $a \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $n_o$ of the same parity as $a$.

Suppose now that $a > 2$ and that $N(v)_{a-2}$ is as given, for all $v \in W_k$ such that $v', := \dim(v)$ has the same parity as $a$; in particular, since the right side of (4.1) depends only on the anisotropic dimension, we may define $N(v)_{a-2} := N(v)_{a-2}$. Let $u \in W_k$ and suppose it is represented by a $a$-tuple of degree-one quadratic forms $q_a = [q_1, q_2, q_{a-2}]$ where $q_{a-2}$ denotes an $(a - 2)$-tuple. Set $v = v - (q_1, q_2); \text{ then } v = u - (q_1, q_2) \in W_k$.

Set $n_o = \dim(u)$ and $n_o' = \dim(v)$; then necessarily $n_o' \in \{n_o, n_o \pm 2\} \cap \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Suppose first that $n_o \in \{0, 4\}$. Then the four pairs that yield $\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle \simeq H$ give $u = v$ and thus $n_o = n_o'$ whereas the twelve others give $n_o' = 2$. Therefore by induction we have

$$N(u)_{a} = 4N(u)_{a-2} + 12N(2)_{a-2}$$

$$= 4\left(\frac{1}{8} 4^{a-2} + (2 - n_o)2^{a-4}\right) + 12\left(\frac{1}{8} 4^{a-2}\right) = \frac{1}{8} 4^a + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2}.$$ 

Next suppose that $n_o = 2$. Then for each $v \in \{H, Q_4\}$ we have that $u - v$ has anisotropic dimension two, so is represented by exactly two choices of pairs $(q_1, q_2)$. Thus the remaining 12 choices of $(q_1, q_2)$ correspond to $v$ such that $n_o' = 2$. This yields $N(u)_{a} = 2N(0)_{a-2} + 12N(2)_{a-2} + 2N(4)_{a-2} + 16\left(\frac{1}{8} 4^{a-2}\right) = \frac{1}{8} 4^a$ as required. Finally, suppose $n_o \in \{1, 3\}$. If $u = v$, then we must have $\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle \simeq H$; this accounts for 4 pairs $(q_1, q_2)$. For each of the three other elements $v$ of the same anisotropic dimension as $u$, a quick calculation using Table 1 and Lemma 2.1 yields that $u - v$ has anisotropic dimension 2 and hence is representable by exactly two
choices of \((q_1, q_2)\). This accounts for \(3 \times 2 = 6\) pairs. The remaining six choices of \((q_1, q_2)\) therefore yield \(v\) such that \(n'_o \neq n_o\), so necessarily \(n'_o = 4 - n_o\). We thus infer
\[
N(u)_o = 4N(u)_{o-2} + 6N(n_o)_{o-2} + 6N(4 - n_o)_{o-2}
= 10\left(\frac{1}{8}4^{a-2} + (2 - n_o)2^{a-4}\right) + 6\left(\frac{1}{8}4^{a-2} + (n_o - 2)2^{a-4}\right),
\]
and the formula follows. \(\square\)

Now consider the case that each odd part of \(\lambda\) has multiplicity exactly two.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \(u \in \mathcal{W}_k\) such that \(\dim(u) = n_o \in \{0, 2, 4\}\), and let \(b \geq 0\). Then the number \(M(u)_b\) of isometry classes of \(b\)-tuples of degree-two quadratic forms representing \(u\) is
\[
M(u)_b = \begin{cases} 
\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}7^b \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } b \text{ is even and } n_o = 0; \\
\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}7^b \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{if } b \text{ is odd and } n_o = 4; \\
\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}7^b \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
where \(\left\lfloor \frac{1}{3}7^b \right\rfloor = \frac{1}{8}(7^b - (-1)^b)\) is the closest integer to \(7^b/8\).

**Proof.** We can write the formula as \(M(u)_b = \frac{1}{8}(7^b - (-1)^b) + \varepsilon_{n_o,b}\), where
\[
\varepsilon_{n_o,b} = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^b & \text{if } n_o = 0 \text{ and } b \text{ is even, or } n_o = 4 \text{ and } b \text{ is odd, and} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Notice that if \(n_o \in \{0, 4\}\) then \(\varepsilon_{n_o,b-1} + (-1)^b = \varepsilon_{n_o,b}\), for all \(b\).

When \(b = 0\), then \(M(\overline{v})_0 = 1\) and \(M(u)_0 = 0\) for all \(u \neq \overline{v}\) so the formula holds. Assume \(b \geq 1\) and let us count the number of ways, up to isometry, to construct an \(b\)-tuple of degree-two quadratic forms \(q_b = [q_1, q_{b-1}]\) representing \(u\). There are 7 choices for the form \(q_1\), of which 6 are anisotropic. Set \(v = \overline{q_{b-1}} - u - \overline{q_1}\) and let \(n'_o = \dim(v)\). By induction \(M(v)_{b-1}\) is an invariant of anisotropic dimension so we can set \(M(n'_o)_{b-1} := M(v)_{b-1}\).

Suppose \(n_o \in \{0, 4\}\). If \(\overline{q_1} = \overline{0}\) then \(v = u\) and \(n'_o = n_o\). Otherwise, \(v = u - \overline{q_1}\) has anisotropic dimension \(n'_o = 2\). Therefore by induction we have
\[
M(u)_b = M(u)_{b-1} + 6M(2)_{b-1}
= \frac{1}{8}(7^{b-1} - (-1)^{b-1}) + \varepsilon_{n_o,b-1} + 6\left(\frac{1}{8}(7^{b-1} - (-1)^{b-1})\right)
= \frac{1}{8}(7^{b} - (-1)^{b}) + (-1)^b + \varepsilon_{n_o,b-1} = \frac{1}{8}(7^{b} - (-1)^{b}) + \varepsilon_{n_o,b}.
\]
On the other hand, if \(n_o = 2\), then \(v = \mathcal{H}\) if \(\overline{q_1} = \overline{u}\) but \(v = Q_4\) if \(\overline{q_1} = Q_4 - u\). Each of the remaining five choices of \(q_1\) gives \(v\) such that \(n'_o = 2\). This yields the final relation
\[
M(u)_b = M(\mathcal{H})_{b-1} + 5M(2)_{b-1} + M(Q_4)_{b-1}
= 7\left(\frac{1}{8}(7^{b-1} - (-1)^{b-1})\right) + \varepsilon_{0,b-1} + \varepsilon_{4,b-1}.
\]
Since \(\varepsilon_{0,b-1} + \varepsilon_{4,b-1} = (-1)^{b-1}\), the formula follows. \(\square\)
**Theorem 4.3.** Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $n \geq 1$ and suppose $V$ is decomposed as a direct sum of $a$ subspaces of dimension 1, $b$ subspaces of dimension 2 and $c$ subspaces of dimension at least 3. Let $u \in \mathcal{W}_k$ and set $n_o = \dim(u)$. If $n - n_o$ is a nonnegative even integer, then the number of ways $T(u)_{a,b,c}$ of assigning a nondegenerate quadratic form to each subspace such that the sum is equivalent to $u$ in the Witt group is

$$T(u)_{a,b,c} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{8} 4^a 7^b 8^c & \text{if } c \geq 1; \\
\frac{1}{8} 4^a 7^b + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2} & \text{if } c = 0, a > 0; \\
\left\lfloor \frac{1}{8} 7^b \right\rfloor + \varepsilon_{n_o,b} & \text{if } a = c = 0,
\end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon_{n_o,b} = 0$ unless either $n_o = 0$ and $b$ is even, or $n_o = 4$ and $b$ is odd, in which cases $\varepsilon_{n_o,b} = (-1)^b$.

**Proof.** First suppose that $c \geq 1$, and let $W$ be one of the designated subspaces of $V$ of dimension at least 3. The number of choices of quadratic forms on the remaining spaces is $4^a 7^b 8^{c-1}$. Given such a choice, let $v$ be Witt class of their sum. Then $u - v$ has anisotropic dimension of the same parity as $\dim(W)$. Since $\dim(W) \geq 3$, each of the 8 possible choices of $u - v$ can be realized on $W$. The formula follows.

Now suppose that $c = 0$, so that all designated subspaces have dimension 1 or 2. If $b = 0$ or $a = 0$ then we apply Lemmas [121] and [122], respectively. Otherwise, letting $\mathcal{W}_2$ denote the subgroup of the Witt group of all quadratic forms of even anisotropic dimension, we deduce that

$$T(u)_{a,b,0} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{W}_2} N(u - v)_{a} M(v)_{b} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{W}_2} N(u - v)_{a} \left( \frac{1}{8} 7^b \right) + \varepsilon_{\dim(v),b}$$

(4.2)

where at this last step we have used that $u - v$ ranges over all Witt classes of quadratic forms of dimension of the same parity as $\dim(u)$, and thus all 4$^a$ possible $a$-tuples of degree-one quadratic forms.

When $b$ is even, $\varepsilon_{\dim(v),b}$ is nonzero only when $v = \mathcal{Q}_4$, in which case $\varepsilon_{0,b} = 1$, so the final summand is

$$\varepsilon_{0,b} N(u)_{a} = \frac{1}{8} 4^a + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2}$$

whereas when $b$ is odd, the only nonzero factor is $\varepsilon_{4,b} = -1$ and the term corresponding to $v = \mathcal{Q}_4$ has $\dim(u - v) = 4 - n_o$; this yields

$$\varepsilon_{4,b} N(u - \mathcal{Q}_4)_{a} = (-1) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{8} 4^a + (2 - (4 - n_o))2^{a-1} \right) = -\frac{1}{8} 4^a + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2}.$$ 

Thus the final summand in [42] is precisely $(-1)^b \frac{1}{8} 4^a + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2}$. Expanding $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{8} 7^b \right\rfloor$ as in Lemma [122] we obtain, for $a, b > 0$ and $c = 0$,

$$T(u)_{a,b,0} = 4^a \frac{1}{8} (7^b - (-1)^b) + (-1)^b \frac{1}{8} 4^a + (2 - n_o)2^{a-2},$$

as required. □
Rephrasing Theorem 4.3 in terms of partitions gives the desired result.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \( q \) be a nondegenerate quadratic form on an \( n \)-dimensional space \( V \) and let \( \lambda \in \Lambda(n) \). Denote by \( \mathcal{O}_\lambda \) the corresponding algebraic nilpotent adjoint orbit of \( O(q) \). Write \( X_i \) for the number of odd parts of multiplicity exactly \( i \) in \( \lambda \) and set \( a = X_1, b = X_2, \) and \( c = \sum_{i=3}^{n} X_i. \) Then the number of \( O(q) \)-orbits in \( \mathcal{O}_\lambda(k) \) is

\[
\|\{q \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \mid q = \overline{\eta}\}\| = T(\overline{\eta})_{a, b, c},
\]

as defined in Theorem 4.3.

Finally, let us formulate an algorithm for enumerating the elements of the set \( P_{\lambda, q} = \{q \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \mid q = \overline{\eta}\} \), as suggested by the proofs above. Recall that \( n_0 \) is the anisotropic dimension of \( q \) and \( n \) is its degree.

**Algorithm 4.5.** Let \( \lambda \in \Lambda(n) \), write \( m_j \) for the multiplicity of part \( j \) in \( \lambda \), and let \( D \) be the set of odd parts in \( \lambda \). Set \( m = \sum_{j \in D} m_j \).

- **Step 1:** If \( m < n_0 \) then \( P_{\lambda, q} = \emptyset \). If \( m = 0 \) and \( n_0 = 0 \) then \( P_{\lambda, \mathcal{H}} = \{\mathcal{H}\} \).
- **Otherwise:**
  - **Step 2:** Define a subset \( E \) of \( D \) as follows. If there is at least one part \( j \in D \) with \( m_j \geq 3 \), let \( E = \{j\} \). If \( m < 4 \) then let \( E = D \). Otherwise, choose \( E \) to satisfy \( \sum_{j \in E} m_j = 3 \) if \( n_0 \) is odd and \( \sum_{j \in E} m_j = 4 \) if \( n_0 \) is even.
  - **Step 3:** Generate the set \( S \) of all tuples \( \{q_j \mid j \in D \setminus E\} \) and the (small) set \( T \) of all tuples \( \{q_j \mid j \in E\} \) (with \( \deg(q_j) = m_j \) for each \( j \)).
  - **Step 4:** By construction, for each tuple \( q_S \in S \), there exist one or more tuples \( q_T \in T \) such that \( \overline{q_S} + \overline{q_T} = \overline{\eta} \); include each of the resulting tuples \( \{q_S, q_T\} \) in \( P_{\lambda, q} \).

In particular, \( \mathcal{O}_\lambda \) has no \( k \)-rational points if and only if \( m < n_0 \).

5. **Representatives for nilpotent orbits**

In this section, we show how to generate from an element of \( \mathcal{N}(\overline{\eta}, n) \) (or \( \mathcal{N}^{hyp}(n) \)) an explicit representative of the corresponding rational nilpotent orbit of \( G = O(q) \) (or \( G = SO(q) \)) on \( g = so(q) \). We set our notation for \( g \) and for irreducible \( sl_2(k) \)-modules in Section 5.1. We present the strategy for the algorithm in Section 5.2 and provide the steps in Sections 5.3 to 5.9.

5.1. **Bases for \( g \) and for \( sl_2(k) \)-modules.** Suppose \( q \cong \mathcal{H}^{n_0} \oplus q_0 \) where the anisotropic kernel \( q_0 \) is represented by \( \langle r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{n_0}\rangle \); then \( \dim(V) = n = 2m + n_0 \).

Let \( \{v_1, v_m, w_1, \ldots, w_m\} \) be a Witt basis of \( \mathcal{H}^{n_0} \), that is, with \( B_q(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{i,j} \), such that the subspace generated by the \( v_i \)'s (respectively, the \( w_i \)'s) is totally isotropic. Complete this to a basis of \( V \) by choosing, for \( 1 \leq \kappa, \ell \leq n_0 \), vectors \( z_\ell \) in the orthogonal complement such that \( B_q(z_\kappa, z_\ell) = \delta_{\kappa, \ell}r_\ell \). Then with respect to the ordered basis \( B = \{v_1, v_m, w_1, \ldots, w_m, z_1, \ldots, z_{n_0}\} \) of \( V \), and the corresponding dual basis \( B^* = \{v_1^*, v_m^*, w_1^*, \ldots, w_m^*, z_1^*, \ldots, z_{n_0}^*\} \) of \( V^* \), the Lie algebra \( g \subset gl(V) \) has a maximal split toral subalgebra \( t \) spanned by

\[
H_i = v_i v_i^* - w_i w_i^*, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.
\]
Then \( g^i = t \oplus s \) where \( s \cong \mathfrak{so}(q) \) is spanned by \( \{ r_\kappa z_\ell z_\ell^* - r_\ell z_\kappa z_\ell^* \mid 1 \leq \ell < \kappa \leq n_o \} \).

Denoting by \( \varepsilon_i \in t^* \) the functional \( \varepsilon_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j} \), for each \( 1 \leq i, j \leq m \), the positive roots of \( g \) with respect to \( t \) are \( \Phi^+ = \{ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq m \} \) and the root system is \( \Phi = \Phi^+ \cup (-\Phi^+) \). A basis for each root space is given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m : & X_{i,j} &= v_i v_j^* - w_i w_j^* \\
\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j, & 1 \leq i < j \leq m : & X_{i,-j} &= v_i w_j^* - v_j w_i^* \\
-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, & 1 \leq i < j \leq m : & X_{-i,j} &= w_j v_i^* - w_i v_j^*
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1)

\( \varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq m : \) \( \{ X_i^\ell = z_\ell v_i^* - r_\ell v_i z_\ell^* \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq n_o \} \)

\( -\varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq m : \) \( \{ X_{-i}^\ell = z_\ell w_i^* - r_\ell w_i z_\ell^* \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq n_o \} \)

With respect to these choices, we have the expected relations \( [X_{i,j}, X_{j,i}] = H_i - H_j, [X_{i,-j}, X_{-i,j}] = H_i + H_j, [X_i^\ell, X_j^\kappa] = \delta_{\ell,\kappa} r_i H_i \), and also for \( i < j \)

\[ [X_i^\ell, X_j^\kappa] = -r_\ell \delta_{\ell,\kappa} X_{i,-j}, \quad [X_{-i}^\kappa, X_{-j}^\ell] = r_\ell \delta_{\ell,\kappa} X_{-i,j}, \quad [X_i^\ell, X_j^\ell] = r_\ell X_{i,j} \]

whereas if \( \ell \neq \kappa \) and \( i \neq j \) we have \( [X_i^\ell, X_j^\kappa] \in s \).

Suppose now \( \phi = \{ H, X, Y \} \subset \mathfrak{so}(q) \) is a Lie triple. Let \( U_i \) be an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-submodule of \( V \). Then a basis for \( U_i \) is given by \( \{ X^{i-1} v, X^{i-2} v, \ldots, X v, v \} \), where \( v \in U_i \) is a lowest weight vector; we'll call such an ordered basis an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-basis. With respect to this basis, the action of \( X \) is given in matrix form as a Jordan block \( J_i \), that is, an upper triangular matrix with 1s on the second diagonal and 0s elsewhere. In fact, \( H, X, Y \) act by, respectively, the matrices

(5.2)

\[ h_i = \text{diag}(i - 1, i - 3, \ldots, -i + 3, -i + 1), \quad x_i = J_i, \quad y_i = D_i^t J_i \]

where \( D_i = \text{diag}(0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{i-1}) \) with \( \mu_k = k(i - k) \) for \( 1 \leq k < i \). Importantly, if the residual characteristic satisfies \( p > h \) (where the Coxeter number \( h \) of \( G \) satisfies \( h = 2n \) if \( n \) is odd, and \( h = 2(n - 1) \) if \( n \) is even) then \( \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^* \), regardless of \( i \).

We want to describe various such submodules with respect to the basis \( B \) of \( V \) in order to construct our desired matrix representatives. In Sections 5.3 to 5.9 we generally do so in one of two ways.

In the first way, given a consecutive subset of the Witt basis, which we take without loss of generality to be \( B' = \{ v_1, \ldots, v_i, w_1, \ldots, w_j \} \), we realize its span \( V' \) as two copies of \( U_i \) by choosing the \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-bases \( B_1 = \{ v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_i \} \) and \( B_2 = \{ -w_i, w_{i-1}, \ldots, (-1)^j w_1 \} \). Then the restriction of \( \phi \) to \( V' \) is given in matrix form by

(5.3)

\[ H|_{V'} = \text{diag}(h_i, -h_i), \quad X|_{V'} = \begin{bmatrix} x_i & 0_i \\ 0_i & -t x_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad Y|_{V'} = \begin{bmatrix} y_i & 0 \\ 0 & -t y_i \end{bmatrix}. \]

Alternatively, if \( i = 2k + 1 \) is odd, we may take a consecutive subset such as \( B_k = \{ v_1, \ldots, v_k, w_1, \ldots, w_k \} \) of the Witt basis, together with a vector \( x \) in the span of \( B \setminus B_k \) satisfying \( q(x) = r \), which will take the role of the 0-weight vector of the representation. Then

\[ B' = \{ rv_1, rv_2, \ldots, rv_k, x, -w_k, w_{k-1}, \ldots, (-1)^k w_1 \} \]

is an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-basis of a submodule \( V' \) isomorphic to \( U_i \), such that \( q|_{V'} \cong \langle r \rangle \otimes \langle (1) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\pm k} \rangle \). The restriction of \( \phi \) to \( V' \) is given in matrix form relative to the basis \( B_k \cup \{ x \} \)
by
\begin{equation}
H|_{V'} = \text{diag}(\tilde{h}_k, -\tilde{h}_k, 0), \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{h}_k = \text{diag}(2k, 2k - 2, \ldots, 2),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
X|_{V'} = \begin{bmatrix} x_k & 0_k & M_r \\ 0_k & -x_k & 0 \\ 0 & iM_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad Y|_{V'} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_k & 0_k & 0 \\ 0_k & -i\tilde{y}_k & M_{-1} \\ tM_{r-1} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\end{equation}
where \( M_s \) denotes the \( k \times 1 \) matrix \( (\delta_{i,s})_{1 \leq i \leq k} \), and \( \tilde{y}_k \) is the \( k \)th order principal submatrix of \( y_i \). We make the convention that \( \tilde{h}_0 \) denotes an empty or omitted matrix.

5.2. The strategy. Now suppose that \( (\lambda, q) \in \mathcal{N}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}, n) \) or \( \mathcal{N}^{hyp}(n) \), so that \( \lambda \in \Lambda(n) \) and \( q = [q_1, \cdots, q_{s_k}] \in P_{\lambda,q} \) (or is taken to be \( \mathcal{H} \) if \( \lambda \) has no odd parts). Thus each distinct part in \( \lambda \) corresponds to an orthogonal component of the direct sum \( (3.2) \), on which the restriction of \( q \) is equivalent to \( q_{i_1} \) if the part \( i_k \) is odd, or else to a direct sum of hyperbolic planes, if the part is even. When \(-1 \not\in k^2\), some complications may arise, as in the following example.

Example 1. Suppose \( \lambda = (1,3,5) \) and \( q = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H} \). If \(-1 \not\in k^2\), then \( P_{\lambda,q} = \{[1,a,-a],[a,1,-a],[a,-a,1] \mid a \in \{\pm 1, \pm \omega\}\} \) (writing \( a \) in place of \( \langle a \rangle \)) which has \( N(q)_3 = 10 \) distinct elements. To \( [1,\omega,-\omega] \in P_{\lambda,q} \), for example, one associates a decomposition \( V = V_1 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_5 \) with quadratic forms \( q_1 = \langle 1 \rangle \), \( q_3 = \langle \omega \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H} \) and \( q_5 = \langle -\omega \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H}^{hyp} \), respectively. Thus \( V_3 \oplus V_5 \) is isomorphic to a direct sum of hyperbolic planes, and \( (q_1, V_1) \simeq (q, V) \). On the other hand, however, now suppose \(-1 \not\in k^2\). Then to \( q = [\rho, \rho, \rho] \in P_{\lambda,q} \) we associate a decomposition as above but no single \( V_i \) carries a form equivalent to \( q \).

As this example illustrates, the difficulty is that although \( q \simeq q_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus q_{i_s} \), it is not in general true that one can partition the basis \( B \) to reflect this orthogonal decomposition. We proceed as follows.

Recall that we have fixed a diagonal representative \( \langle r_1, \ldots, r_{n_o} \rangle \) for \( q_0 \).

Proposition 5.1. Let \( (\lambda, q) \in \mathcal{N}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}, n) \) or \( \mathcal{N}^{hyp}(n) \). For each odd part \( i \) of \( \lambda \), let \( m_i \) denote its multiplicity in \( \lambda \) and choose a diagonal form of \( q_i \), given by \( q_i = \langle r_{i,1}, r_{i,2}, \ldots, r_{i,m_i} \rangle \). Let
\[ \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,q} = \{(i,j) \mid i \in \lambda, 1 \leq j \leq m_i\} \]
be the set of all index pairs, which has cardinality \( |\lambda| = \sum_{i \in \lambda} m_i \), the number of parts in \( \lambda \). Then there exists a partition \( \Gamma \) of \( \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,q} \) into subsets of the following form:

- **even**: \( \{ (i,j), (i,j+1) \} \) such that \( i \) is even;
- **hyp**: \( \{ (i,j), (i,j') \} \) such that \( i \) is odd, \( j \neq j' \) and \( \langle r_{i,j} \rangle \cong \langle -r_{i,j'} \rangle \);
- **pairs**: \( \{ (i,j), (i',j') \} \) such that \( i \neq i' \) are odd, and \( \langle r_{i,j} \rangle \cong \langle -r_{i',j'} \rangle \);
- **quad**: if \(-1 \not\in k^2\), \( \{ (i_1,j_1), (i_2,j_2), (i_3,j_3), (i_4,j_4) \} \) such that the \( i_k \) are distinct odd parts and \( \langle r_{i_1,j_1} \rangle \cong \langle r_{i_2,j_2} \rangle \cong \langle r_{i_3,j_3} \rangle \cong \langle r_{i_4,j_4} \rangle \);
- **trip**: if \(-1 \not\in k^2\), \( \{ (i_1,j_1), (i_2,j_2), (i_3,j_3) \} \) such that the \( i_k \) are distinct odd parts, and there exists \( 1 \leq \ell \leq n_o \) such that \( \langle -r_{\ell} \rangle \cong \langle r_{i_1,j_1} \rangle \cong \langle r_{i_2,j_2} \rangle \cong \langle r_{i_3,j_3} \rangle \);
- **sign**: if \(-1 \not\in k^2\), \( \{ (i,j), (i',j') \} \) such that \( i \neq i' \) are odd and there exist \( 1 \leq \kappa < \ell \leq n_o \) such that \( \langle r_{i,j} \rangle \cong \langle r_{i',j'} \rangle \cong \langle -r_{\kappa} \rangle \cong \langle -r_{\ell} \rangle \).
ani: for some \( t \leq n_0 \): \( \{(i_s, j_s) \mid 1 \leq s \leq t\} \) such that up to permutation of the diagonal representative of \( q_0 \) we have \( \langle r_{i_s, j_s} \rangle \cong \langle r_s \rangle \) for each \( 1 \leq s \leq t \);

and such that there are in total at most two sets of the form \( \text{trip}, \text{sign} \) or \( \text{ani} \) in \( \Gamma \).

Proof. Even parts occur with even multiplicity, so the index pairs \((i, j)\) with \( i \) even can be partitioned into couples of the form \( \text{even} \). Thus we only need to consider those elements \((i, j)\) with \( i \) odd.

If \(-1 \in k^2\), then \( \langle r \rangle = \langle -r \rangle \) so exhaustively matching up elements of \( \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, q} \) using \( \text{hyp} \) or \( \text{pairs} \) leaves at most four index pairs \((i, j)\), such that each \( r_{i, j} \) represents a distinct square class in \( \{1, \rho, \varpi, \rho \varpi\} \). Since \( \overline{\mathcal{I}} = \overline{\mathcal{G}} \), we deduce these must satisfy \( \text{ani} \). Therefore the lemma holds in this case.

If \(-1 \notin k^2\), then exhaustively matching up elements using \( \text{hyp} \) or \( \text{pairs} \) leaves at most two sets of index pairs: \( S_a \) and \( S_{\varpi b} \), consisting of those \((i, j)\) for which \( \langle r_{i, j} \rangle \cong \langle a \rangle \) or \( \langle r_{i, j} \rangle \cong \langle \varpi b \rangle \), for some fixed \( a, b \in \{1, \rho\} \), respectively. We claim that \( S_a \) and \( S_{\varpi b} \) can each be partitioned as required, with at most one part of the form \( \text{trip}, \text{sign} \) or \( \text{ani} \).

If \( S_a \cup S_{\varpi b} \) satisfies \( \text{ani} \), we are done. If not, then given the classification of Table 1 there is at least one \( r \in \{a, \varpi b\} \) such that \( |S_r| > 2 \); we now consider each such \( r \) in turn.

If \( S_r \) contains two distinct elements \((i, j)\) and \((i, j')\) with the same part \( i \) of \( \lambda \), then by applying the relation \( \langle r, r \rangle \cong \langle -r, -r \rangle \), we can replace the diagonal form of the corresponding \( q_i \) with one in which \( r_{i, j} = r_{i, j'} = -r \) instead. Since \( |S_r| > 2 \), we may then apply \( \text{hyp} \) or \( \text{pairs} \), removing at least two (and perhaps four) elements from \( S_r \). Repeat this process until either: the resulting \( S_r \) has two or fewer elements, in which case \( \text{ani} \) applies and we are done; or all the parts \( i \) of \( \lambda \) occurring in elements of the resulting \( S_r \) are distinct. In the latter case, we next exhaustively eliminate quadruples from \( S_r \) using \( \text{quad} \), leaving at most three elements. Recalling that \( \langle r, r, r \rangle \cong \langle -r \rangle \) and \( \langle r, r \rangle \cong \langle -r, -r \rangle \), we conclude that exactly one of \( \text{trip}, \text{sign} \) or \( \text{ani} \) must apply to what is left of \( S_r \), and we are done. \( \square \)

Choose such a partition \( \Gamma \). For each \( L \in \{\text{even}, \text{hyp}, \text{pairs}, \text{quad}, \text{trip}, \text{sign}, \text{ani}\} \), let \( \Gamma_L \) denote the set of parts falling under case \( L \). For each \( \gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{trip}} \cup \Gamma_{\text{sign}} \cup \Gamma_{\text{ani}} \), if any, we have that \( \bigoplus_{(i, j) \in \gamma} \langle r_{i, j} \rangle \) represents a nontrivial element of the Witt group, and these are the only such parts in \( \Gamma \).

In the following sections we partition the basis \( B \) according to \( \Gamma \), giving an orthogonal decomposition \( V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_{\gamma} \). On each orthogonal subspace \( V_{\gamma} \) we construct an action of \( \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{l}_2(k) \) such that their direct sum is isomorphic to a decomposition (3.2) associated to \( (\lambda, q) \). In doing so, we define a Lie triple \( \phi = \{H, X, Y\} \) such that \( X \) represents the nilpotent orbit corresponding to \( (\lambda, q) \). Since \( X \) is a sum of its restrictions to each \( V_{\gamma} \), and each \( V_{\gamma} \) is spanned by a subset of \( B \), we will recoup an expression for \( X \) as a linear combination of root vectors.
For ease of notation, in each case we suppose the subset $B_\gamma$ of $B$ starts with $\{v_1, w_1\}$; to implement this algorithm in practice, one chooses an appropriate partition of $B$ and shifts the indices on all the vectors and root vectors.

5.3. $\Gamma_{\text{even}}$ : even parts of $\lambda$, and $\Gamma_{\text{hyp}}$ : hyperbolic planes in odd parts of $\lambda$. Suppose $\gamma = \{(i, j), (i', j')\} \in \Gamma_{\text{even}} \cup \Gamma_{\text{hyp}}$. Then $V_\gamma$ should be a sum of two copies of $U_i$ and the restriction of $q$ to $V_\gamma$ should be a split quadratic space of dimension $2i$. Therefore we choose a consecutive subset of the Witt basis with 2 elements, which up to relabeling we may take to be $B_\gamma = \{v_1, \ldots, v_i, w_1, \ldots, w_i\}$. Set $V_\gamma = \text{span} B_\gamma$ and define an action of $\phi$ as in (5.3). Then $V_\gamma \cong U_i \oplus U_i$ and the restriction of $q$ to $V_\gamma$ is isometric to $H^{\oplus i}$. From the matrix form we deduce that $X|_{V_\gamma}$ is a sum of simple root vectors. Specifically, we have

$$
X|_{V_\gamma} = \sum_{1 \leq t < i} X_{t, t+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad Y|_{V_\gamma} = \sum_{1 \leq t < i} \mu_t X_{t+1, t},
$$

where we note that $Y|_{V_\gamma}$ is a linear combination of exactly the corresponding negative root vectors.

By choosing disjoint subsets $B_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{even}} \cup \Gamma_{\text{hyp}}$, we thus create an $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$-submodule $V_{\text{hyp}} = \oplus V_\gamma$ of $V$.

5.4. $\Gamma_{\text{pairs}}$: Hyperbolic planes across two distinct odd parts. Suppose now that $\gamma = \{(i, j), (i', j')\} \in \Gamma_{\text{pairs}}$. Scaling by a square if necessary, we may assume that $r_{i, j} = r$ and $r_{i', j'} = -r$ for some $r$. Write $i = 2k + 1$, $i' = 2k' + 1$, with $k > k' \geq 0$, and set $p = \frac{1}{2}(i + i') = k + k' + 1$.

Here, $V_\gamma$ should be isomorphic to $U_i \oplus U_{i'}$ as $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$-modules, and the restriction of $q$ to $V_\gamma$ should be $(\langle r \rangle \otimes (\langle 1 \rangle \oplus H^{\oplus k})) \oplus (\langle -r \rangle \otimes (\langle 1 \rangle \oplus H^{\oplus k'})) \cong H^{\oplus 2p}$. Explicitly, we have

$$
^t K \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} K = \begin{bmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & -r \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} r/2 & r/2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},
$$

which we use to explicitly identify the two-dimensional 0-weight space, which carries the form $\langle r, -r \rangle \cong H$, with a hyperbolic plane spanned by a Witt basis.

That is, choose a subset of the Witt basis with $2p$ elements, which up to relabeling we take to be $B_\gamma = \{v_1, \ldots, v_p, w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$. We identify the 2-dimensional 0-weight space with the span of $\{v_p, w_p\}$ by choosing $x^\pm_p = \frac{r}{2} v_p \pm w_p$ as our 0-weight vectors. Note that $q(x^\pm_p) = \pm r$. Then our $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$-bases are

$$
B_i = \{rv_1, rv_2, \ldots, rv_k, x^+_p, -w_k, w_{k-1}, \ldots, (-1)^k w_1\}
$$

and

$$
B_{i'} = \{rv_{k+1}, rv_{k+2}, \ldots, rv_{k+k'}, x^-_p, w_{k+k'}, -w_{k+k'-1}, \ldots, (-1)^{k'+1} w_{k+1}\},
$$

respectively, giving the required $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$-structure to $V_\gamma = \text{span} B_\gamma$.

We next write down explicit representatives of the restrictions of $H$ and of $X$ to $V_\gamma$ with respect to $B_\gamma$, which amounts to performing a change of coordinates from $B_i \cup B_{i'}$, with respect to which these matrices are given as in (5.2).
We have $H|_{V_\gamma} = \text{diag}(\bar{h}_k, \bar{h}_k', 0, -\bar{h}_k, -\bar{h}_k')$. If $k' = 0$ then it follows from the bases above that $Xv_1 = 0$, $Xv_p = v_k$, and $Xv_i = v_{i-1}$ for $1 < i < p$, whereas if $k' > 0$ then instead $Xv_{k+1} = 0$ and $Xv_p = v_k + v_{k+k'}$. Similarly, if $k' = 0$ we have $Xw_p = \frac{r}{2}v_k$, $Xw_k = -\frac{r}{2}v_p - w_p$ and $Xw_i = -w_{i+1}$ for all $1 < i < k$, whereas if $k' > 0$ then instead $Xw_p = \frac{r}{2}v_k - \frac{r}{2}v_{k+k'}$ and $Xw_{k+k'} = \frac{r}{2}v_p - w_p$. Using the notation of (5.1), we may thus write the restriction of $X$ to $V_\gamma$ as the sum of positive root vectors

\[(5.8) \quad X|_{V_\gamma} = \sum_{1 \leq j < k+k'} X_{j,j+1} + X_{k,p} + \frac{r}{2}X_{k,p} - \frac{r}{2}X_{k+k',p} \]

where if $k' = 0$ we omit the two terms in which $k+k'$ appears as a subscript. Similarly, we determine that $Y|_{V_\gamma}$ is a linear combination (with coefficients in $\mathcal{R}^\times$ if $p > h$) of the root vectors

\[
\{X_{j+1,j}, X_{p,k}, r^{-1}X_{-k,p}, (X_{p,k+k'}), (r^{-1}X_{-p,k+k'}) \mid 1 \leq j < k+k', j \neq k\},
\]

omitting the terms in parentheses when $k' = 0$.

Making suitable choices of disjoint bases $B_\gamma$, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{pairs}}$, yields another split quadratic subspace $V_{\text{pairs}} = \oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{pairs}}} V_\gamma \subseteq V$.

5.5. $\Gamma_{\text{quad}}$: Hyperbolic planes across four parts, when $-1 \notin k^2$. Suppose now that

\[\gamma = \{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), (i_3, j_3), (i_4, j_4)\} \in \Gamma_{\text{quad}}\]

with $i_1 > i_2 > i_3 > i_4$, and after scaling by squares if necessary, let $r$ be the common value of $r_{i_t,j_t}$ for $1 \leq t \leq 4$. Let $i_t = 2i_t + 1$ for each $t$, and set $p = \frac{1}{2} \sum i_t = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 + 2$. Choose a subset of the Witt basis with $2p$ elements, which we assume up to relabelling is $B_\gamma = \{v_1, \ldots, v_p, w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$. This space is to carry the module $\oplus \rho^{U_t}$ with form $\langle r, r, r \rangle \oplus H^{2p-2}$. We choose its hyperbolic four dimensional 0-weight space to coincide with $W_0 = \text{span}\{v_{p-1}, v_p, w_{p-1}, w_p\}$. Using the change of basis matrix $K$ from (5.6) we diagonalize $B_\gamma$ on this subspace to diag($r, r, -r, -r$). Next, since $-1 \notin k^2$, there exist $c, s \in k^\times$ such that $c^2 + s^2 = -1$. Thus, a matrix $C$ satisfying $'C(-rI)C = rI$ is given by

\[(5.9) \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix}.\]

Consequently, the following vectors form an orthogonal basis of $W_0$ in which each vector $x_t$ satisfies $q(x_t) = r$:

\[(5.10) \quad x_1 = \frac{r}{2}v_{p-1} + w_{p-1},
\]

\[x_2 = \frac{r}{2}v_p + w_p,
\]

\[x_3 = \frac{cr}{2}v_{p-1} + \frac{sr}{2}v_p - cw_{p-1} - sw_p,
\]

\[x_4 = -\frac{sr}{2}v_{p-1} + \frac{cr}{2}v_p + sw_{p-1} - cw_p.
\]

We complete each of these to an $\mathfrak{so}(2,k)$-basis of $U_t$, respectively, by partitioning the remaining elements of $B_\gamma$ as before. Specifically, setting $p_t = \sum_{s=1}^{t} k_s$, so that $p_0 = 0$
and \( p_4 = p - 2 \), ordered bases of the four \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \) submodules are

\[
(5.11) \quad B_i = \{ rv_{p_1+1}, rv_{p_1+2}, \ldots, rv_{p_4}, x_t, -w_{p_4}, \ldots, (-1)^{k_t}w_{p_4+1} \},
\]

where it is understood that if \( k_4 = 0 \) then \( B_4 = \{ x_4 \} \), a one-dimensional space.

These bases define the restriction of the Lie triple \( \{ H, X, Y \} \) to \( V_\gamma = \text{span}(B_\gamma) \). For example, the matrix of \( Xx \) (5.10), and then apply the relations (5.11)

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
\text{diag}(h_{k_1}, h_{k_2}, h_{k_3}, h_{k_4}, 0, 0, -h_{k_1}, -h_{k_2}, -h_{k_3}, -h_{k_4}, 0, 0)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

To obtain the matrix of the restriction of \( X \) to \( V_\gamma \) with respect to \( B_\gamma \), we first invert (5.10), and then apply the relations \( X x_i = rv_{p_i} \) to deduce

\[
Xv_{p-1} = v_{p_1} - cv_{p_3} + sv_{p_4}
\]

\[
Xv_p = v_{p_2} - sv_{p_3} - cv_{p_4}
\]

\[
Xw_{p-1} = \frac{r}{2} v_{p_1} + \frac{cr}{2} v_{p_3} - \frac{sr}{2} v_{p_4}
\]

\[
Xw_p = \frac{r}{2} v_{p_2} + \frac{sr}{2} v_{p_3} + \frac{cr}{2} v_{p_4},
\]

where if \( k_4 = 0 \) we omit the four terms containing the subscript \( p_4 \). The action of \( X \) on the remaining \( v_i \) and \( w_i \) of \( B_\gamma \) can be read from the bases (5.11) directly, and contribute sums of simple root vectors as before. With respect to the root vectors (5.1), the restriction of \( X \) to \( V_\gamma \) is given by

\[
X|_{V_\gamma} = \sum_{1 \leq j < p-2, j \neq p_1, p_2, p_3} X_{j,j+1} + X_{p_1,p-1} + X_{p_2,p} - cX_{p_3,p-1} - sX_{p_3,p}
\]

\[
+ \frac{r}{2} X_{p_1,-(p-1)} + \frac{r}{2} X_{p_2,-p} + \frac{cr}{2} X_{p_3,-(p-1)} + \frac{sr}{2} X_{p_3,-p}
\]

\[
+ sX_{p_4,p-1} - cX_{p_4,p} + \frac{-sr}{2} X_{p_4,-(p-1)} + \frac{cr}{2} X_{p_4,-p},
\]

(5.12)

where the four terms containing \( p_4 \) as a subscript are omitted if \( k_4 = 0 \). As before, one can verify that \( Y|_{V_\gamma} \) is a linear combination of the corresponding negative root vectors, with the proviso that if a root vector appears with coefficient in \( aR^\times \) in (5.12) for some \( a \in k \) then the corresponding negative root vector appears with a coefficient in \( a^{-1}R^\times \) in \( Y|_{V_\gamma} \), if the residual characteristic is larger than \( h \).

Choosing disjoint subsets \( B_\gamma \), for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{quad}} \), gives an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-invariant split quadratic subspace \( V_{\text{quad}} = \oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{quad}}} V_\gamma \) of \( V \).

5.6. \( \Gamma_{\text{trip}} \): Anisotropic part, when \(-1 \notin k^2\) and a triple identity is required. Suppose \(-1 \notin k^2 \) and \( \gamma = \{(i_1,j_1), (i_2,j_2), (i_3,j_3)\} \in \Gamma_{\text{trip}} \); without loss of generality we assume \( i_1 > i_2 > i_3 \) and \( r_{i_1,j_1} = r_{i_2,j_2} = r_{i_3,j_3} = -r \). Let \( \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n_0\} \) be such that \( r = r_\ell \); then by the proof of Proposition 5.1 we know that this is the only occurrence of \( r \) (up to scaling by \( (k^*)^2 \)) in the diagonal form of \( g_0 \). Let \( k_t = (i_t - 1)/2 \) for each \( t \) and set \( p = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 1 \). In this case, \( V_\gamma \) should be isomorphic to \( U_{i_1} \oplus U_{i_2} \oplus U_{i_3} \) and carry the form \( \langle -r, -r, -r \rangle \cap H^{p-1} \cong \langle r \rangle \oplus H^{op} \). Therefore, up to relabeling of the Witt basis, we choose the subset

\[
B_\gamma = \{ v_1, \ldots, v_p, w_1, \ldots, w_p, z_\ell \}.
\]
The restriction of $q$ to $W_0 = \text{span}\{v_p, w_p, z_\ell\}$ can be transformed to the diagonal form \((\langle -r, -r, -r \rangle)\) by first applying the matrix $K$ of (5.6) to the first two coordinates, then $C$ of (5.9) to the first and last coordinates. Thus the orthogonal vectors

\[
x_1 = \frac{cr}{2}v_p + cw_p + sz_\ell, \quad x_2 = \frac{r}{2}v_p - w_p, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = -\frac{sr}{2}v_p - sw_p + cz_\ell
\]
each satisfy $q(x_i) = -r$, which implies that the following bases span complementary quadratic subspaces of $V_\gamma = \text{span}(B_\gamma)$, each with anisotropic kernel $\langle -r \rangle$:

\[
B_1 = \{-rv_1, \ldots, -rv_{k_1}, x_1, -w_{k_1}, \ldots, (-1)^{k_1}w_1\}
\]
\[
B_2 = \{-rv_{k_1+1}, \ldots, -rv_{k_1+k_2}, x_2, -w_{k_1+k_2}, \ldots, (-1)^{k_2}w_{k_1+1}\}
\]
\[
B_3 = \{-rv_{k_1+k_2+1}, \ldots, -rv_{k_1+k_2+k_3}, x_3, -w_{k_1+k_2+k_3}, \ldots, (-1)^{k_3}w_{k_1+k_2+1}\}
\]

where it is understood that $B_3 = \{x_3\}$ if $k_3 = 0$. We interpret the $B_i$ as standard bases for $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}_2(k)$-modules as usual. With respect to $B_\gamma$ the matrix of the restriction of $H$ to $V_\gamma$ is $\text{diag}(\hat{h}_{k_1}, \hat{h}_{k_2}, \hat{h}_{k_3}, 0, -\hat{h}_{k_1}, -\hat{h}_{k_2}, -\hat{h}_{k_3}, 0, 0)$. The action of $X$ can be determined from the bases $B_i$ above, noting that

\[
v_p = -cr^{-1}x_1 + r^{-1}x_2 + sr^{-1}x_3, \quad w_p = \frac{1}{2}(-cx_1 - x_2 + sx_3), \quad z_\ell = -sx_1 - cx_3.
\]

It follows that in terms of the root vectors (5.1) we have

\[
X|_{V_\gamma} = \sum_{1 \leq j < p-1 \atop j \neq k_1, k_1+k_2} X_{j,j+1} + cX_{k_1,p} - X_{k_1+k_2,p} - sX_{p-1,p} +
\]
\[
+ \frac{cr}{2}X_{k_1,-p} + \frac{r}{2}X_{k_1+k_2,-p} - \frac{sr}{2}X_{p-1,-p} - sX_{k_1} - cX_{p-1}.
\]

(5.13)

where if $k_3 = 0$ we omit the three terms having $p - 1$ as a subscript. Similarly, we can readily determine that $Y|_{V_\gamma}$ is a linear combination (with coefficients in $R^\times$, if the residual characteristic is larger than the Coxeter number) of the root vectors

\[
\{X_{j+1,j}, X_{p,k_1}, X_{p,k_1+k_2}, (X_{p,p-1}), r^{-1}X_{-k_1,p}, r^{-1}X_{-(k_1+k_2),p}, (r^{-1}X_{-(p-1),p}),
\]
\[
r^{-1}X_{\ell,k_1}, (r^{-1}X_{p-1}) \mid 1 \leq j < p - 1, j \neq k_1, j \neq k_1+k_2\}
\]

where the vectors in parentheses are omitted if $k_3 = 0$.

5.7. $\Gamma_{\text{sign}}$: Anisotropic part, when $-1 \notin k^2$ and sign change is required. Now suppose $-1 \notin k^2$ and $\gamma = \{(i, j), (i', j')\} \in \Gamma_{\text{sign}}$. We assume without loss of generality that $i > i'$ and $r_{i,j} = r_{i',j'} = -r$. By the proof of Proposition 5.1 there are exactly two indices $\ell < \kappa$ in \{1, \ldots, n_0\} such that $r = r_\ell = r_\kappa$. Let $k = (i - 1)/2$, $k' = (i' - 1)/2$ and $p = k + k'$. Then $V_\gamma$ should be isomorphic to $U_i \oplus U_{i'}$ as $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}_2(k)$-modules and carry the form $\langle -r, -r \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\oplus p}$. Therefore, up to numbering of the Witt basis, we choose the subset

\[
B_\gamma = \{v_1, \ldots, v_p, w_1, \ldots, w_p, z_\ell, z_\kappa\}.
\]

The matrix $C$ of (5.9) transforms $\langle r, r \rangle$ to $\langle -r, -r \rangle$, so the vectors

\[
x_1 = cz_\ell - sz_\kappa \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = sz_\ell + cz_\kappa
\]
each satisfy $q(x_i) = -r$. Thus the following bases span complementary quadratic subspaces of the span $V_\gamma$ of $B_\gamma$, each with anisotropic kernel $(-r)$:

$$B_1 = \{-rv_1, \ldots, -rv_k, x_1, -w_k, \ldots, (-1)^k w_1\}$$

$$B_2 = \{-rv_{k+1}, \ldots, -rv_{k+k'}, x_2, -w_{k+k'}, \ldots, (-1)^{k'} w_{k+1}\}$$

where it is understood that $B_2 = \{x_2\}$ if $k' = 0$. We interpret the $B_i$ as standard bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$-modules as usual. With respect to $B_\gamma$ the matrix of the restriction of $H$ to $V_\gamma$ is $\text{diag}(\hat{h}_k, \hat{h}_{k'}, -\hat{h}_k, -\hat{h}_{k'}, 0, 0)$. The action of $X$ can be read from the bases $B_\ell$ above, noting that

$$z_\ell = -cx_1 - sx_2 \quad \text{and} \quad z_k = sx_1 - cx_2.$$

In terms of the root vectors (5.1) we have

$$(5.14) \quad X|_{V_\gamma} = \sum_{1 \leq j < k, k' \neq k} X_{j,j+1} - cX_k + sX_k - sX_{k+k'} - cX_{k+k'},$$

where we omit the two terms with $k+k'$ in the subscript if $k' = 0$. On the other hand, $Y|_{V_\gamma}$ is a linear combination (with coefficients in $R^k$ if $p > h$) of the root vectors in the set

$$\{X_{j+1,j}, r^{-1}X^\ell_{-k}, r^{-1}X^\kappa_{-k}, (r^{-1}X^\ell_{-(k+k')}), (r^{-1}X^\kappa_{-(k+k')}) \mid 1 \leq j < k + k', j \neq k\}$$

where the vectors in parentheses are omitted if $k' = 0$.

5.8. $\Gamma_{\text{ani}}$: Anisotropic part, simple case. Suppose now that $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ani}}$ and match each element $(i, j) \gamma$ to a distinct index $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, n_\alpha\}$ such that $r_{i,j} = r_\ell$. Now fix $(i, j) \in \gamma$ and the corresponding index $\ell$. Let $k = (i - 1)/2$; then up to renumbering the elements of the Witt basis, choose the subset $B_{(i,j)} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k, w_1, \ldots, w_k, z_\ell\}$ and denote its span $V_{(i,j)}$. We rescale and reorder this basis to obtain the $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$-basis

$$B_\ell = \{r_{\ell}v_1, \ldots, r_{\ell}v_k, z_\ell, -w_k, w_{k-1}, \ldots, (-1)^k w_1\}$$

that spans an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$-module isomorphic to $U_\ell$ and carrying the form $(r_\ell) \otimes ((1) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\pm k})$. The restriction of $H$ to $V_{(i,j)}$ is given in matrix form by (5.4), with $x = z_\ell$. In terms of our chosen scaling of root vectors in (5.1), we have

$$(5.15) \quad X|_{V_{(i,j)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_{j,j+1} - X^\ell_k, \quad Y|_{V_{(i,j)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mu_j X_{j+1,j} + \mu_k r^{-1}X^\ell_{-k},$$

though both are 0 if $k = 0$. Let $V_{\gamma} = \oplus_{(i,j) \in \gamma} V_{(i,j)}$, obtained by choosing suitable disjoint subsets $B_{(i,j)}$ of the basis $B$.

By Proposition 5.1 $\Gamma$ contains at most two parts corresponding to the cases trip, sign, or ani, and their union corresponds to a subspace of $V$ carrying a form that is Witt-equivalent to $q$. Let $V_{\text{ani}} = \oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ani}}} V_\gamma$ denote this subspace.

Putting all of the preceding constructions together, we have chosen a partition of the basis $B$ and a corresponding direct sum decomposition

$$(5.16) \quad V = V_{\text{hyp}} \oplus V_{\text{pairs}} \oplus V_{\text{quad}} \oplus V_{\text{ani}}$$

together with an action of a Lie triple $\{H, X, Y\}$, such that $X$ represents the nilpotent orbit of $O(q)$ attached to $(\lambda, q)$. 
5.9. Very even orbits. Now suppose that \( \lambda \in \Lambda_{\text{ve}}(n) \) is a very even partition. A representative for the corresponding nilpotent \( O(q) \) orbit on \( \mathfrak{so}(q) \) was constructed in Section 5.3 by pairing up irreducible \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-submodules in the obvious way. In this section, we modify one component in order to construct a second representative, such that the nilpotent \( \mathcal{O}(q) \) orbits of the two representatives are distinct.

Choose one element of \( \gamma = \{(i, 1), (i, 2)\} \in \Gamma_{\text{even}} = \Gamma \), and up to relabelling let \( B_\gamma = \{v_1, \ldots, v_i, w_1, \ldots, w_i\} \) be the corresponding subset of the Witt basis. Set \( V_\gamma = \text{span}B_\gamma \). This time, using the strategy of the proof of Theorem 5.1 we first apply the orthogonal transformation of determinant \(-1\) which permutes \( v_i \) and \( w_i \), to define

\[
B_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}, w_i\} \\
B_2 = \{v_i, -w_{i-1}, w_{i-2}, \ldots, (-1)^{i-1} w_1\}
\]

as the \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \)-bases for the two submodules isomorphic to \( U_i \). With respect to \( B_\gamma \), the restriction of \( H \) to \( V_\gamma \) now has the form \( \text{diag}(i - 1, h_{i-2}, i - 1, -i + 1, -h_{i-2}, -i + 1) \).
The action of \( X \) and \( Y \) on \( V_\gamma \) can be read directly from \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \); as a sum of root vectors, this yields

\[
\begin{align*}
X|_{V_\gamma} &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} X_{j,j+1} + X_{i-1,-i}, \\
Y|_{V_\gamma} &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \mu_j X_{j+1,j} + \mu_i X_{-(i-1),i}.
\end{align*}
\]

Putting this component in the place of \( V_\gamma \) in (5.16), we obtain a representative of the second \( \mathcal{O}(q) \)-orbit corresponding to \( \lambda \).

6. Links to the DeBacker parametrization of nilpotent orbits

Throughout this section, we assume additionally that the residual characteristic of \( k \) satisfies \( p > h \), where \( h \) is the Coxeter number of \( G \).

In [7], DeBacker gives a parametrization of rational nilpotent orbits of \( G \) on \( \mathfrak{g} \) in terms of objects arising from its Bruhat-Tits building \( \mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{B}(G, k) \). Recall that to each \( x \in \mathcal{B}(G) \) Bruhat-Tits theory associates an \( \mathcal{R} \)-lattice \( \mathfrak{g}_{x,0} \); this is carefully described (particularly for non-split groups) in [9], for example, following [4].

Given a nilpotent element \( X \), form a Lie triple \( \phi = \{H, X, Y\} \) and define the subset

\[
\mathcal{B}(H, X, Y) = \mathcal{B}(\phi) = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(G) \mid \phi \subset \mathfrak{g}_{x,0}\}.
\]

This is a union of facets of \( \mathcal{B}(G) \); let \( \mathcal{F} \) be such a facet. Then the pair \((\mathcal{F}, X)\) (or rather, \((\mathcal{F}, v)\) where \( v \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,0}/\mathfrak{g}_{x,0}^+ \) is the image of \( X \), though we will not need this here) is called degenerate. We say a degenerate pair \((\mathcal{F}, X)\) is distinguished if \( \mathcal{F} \) is of maximal dimension in \( \mathcal{B}(\phi) \). DeBacker shows that the rational nilpotent orbits are in bijection with classes of distinguished pairs relative to an equivalence relation called 0-associativity [7].

As shown in [15], a particular challenge in applying this definition explicitly is that for a given apartment \( \mathcal{A} \) of \( \mathcal{B}(G) \), a facet \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}(\phi) \cap \mathcal{A} \) may be of maximal dimension relative to \( \mathcal{B}(\phi) \cap \mathcal{A} \) without being distinguished. Nevertheless, our starting point is to
use the explicit Lie triples of the previous section to produce degenerate pairs $(F, X)$ which are maximal with respect to a particular apartment.

Let $T$ be the maximal split torus of $G = SO(q)$ with Lie algebra $t$. We have the root system $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ with simple system $\Delta = \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m\}$ if $n_o > 0$ and $\Delta = \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_m\}$ if $n_o = 0$. Let $A = A(T)$ be the corresponding apartment in $B(G) = B(SO(q))$; this is the affine space under $X_s(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{R}$ on which the roots act by functionals, together with the simplicial structure defined by the affine root hyperplanes $H_{\alpha, n} = \{x \in A \mid \alpha(x) = n\}$, as $\alpha$ ranges over $\Phi$ and $n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

We choose a pinning of $G$ relative to $T$, which is a consistent choice of valuation on each root subgroup (or equivalently, root subalgebra), and identified with a vertex $x_0$ of $A \subset B(G)$. For each $\alpha \in \{\pm \varepsilon_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, we have $\dim(g_{\alpha}) = 1$ and we declare that our chosen root vectors $X_{\pm \varepsilon_i}$ have valuation $0$. If $n_o > 0$ then there are roots $\alpha \in \{\pm \varepsilon_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ and for each one, $\dim(g_{\alpha}) = n_o$. Following the process described in [2, §2], one determines that a consistent pinning assigns valuation $0$ to each root vector $X_{\pm \varepsilon_i}$ such that $\text{val}(r_i) = 0$ and valuation $\frac{1}{2}$ to each root vector $X_{\pm \varepsilon_i}$ such that $\text{val}(r_i) = 1$. Then the corresponding $R$-subalgebra $g_{x_0,0}$ of $g$ is generated by the $R$-span of our chosen root vectors. Its intersection with $t + s$ is an $R$-subalgebra containing, in particular, the $R$-span of $\{H_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

We put coordinates on $A \cong X_s(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ so that this point $x_0$ is the origin. Thus when a vector $X \in g$ is expressed as a linear combination of nonzero vectors in different root spaces $\sum_{\alpha \in X_{\Phi_X}} X_\alpha$, then the $x \in A$ for which $X \in g_{x,0}$ are described by the condition that for each $\alpha \in \Phi_X$ we have $\text{val}(X_\alpha) + \alpha(x) \geq 0$.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $(\lambda, q) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}, n)$ and let $\Gamma$ be a partition of $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mathcal{F}}$ as in Proposition [5, 7]. Let $\phi_T$ be an associated Lie triple as constructed in Section [3] Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote a maximal facet in $B(\phi_T) \cap \mathcal{A}$. If $\lambda$ is very even, then $\dim(F) = \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|$ and this is the same value obtained for both $SO(q)$-orbits attached to $\lambda$. Otherwise, $\dim(F) = |\Gamma_{\text{even}}| + |\Gamma_{\text{hyp}}|$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\phi_T = \{H, X, Y\}$ is a Lie triple produced in Section [3] from a choice of partition $\Gamma$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mathcal{F}}$. Then $H \in \text{span}_R\{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$, so it lies in $g_{x,0}$ for all $x \in A$. Let $\Phi_X$ be the set of roots such that for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $X|_{\gamma}$ has a nonzero projection onto the root space $g_{\alpha}$. Then we have determined an expression of the form $X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_X} X_\alpha$ with each $X_\alpha$ denoting an element of $g_{\alpha}$.

Reviewing the construction reveals that for our choice of $X$ and $Y$, we have $\Phi_X = -\Phi_Y$, that is, $Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_X} Y_\alpha$ for some nonzero $Y_\alpha \in g_{-\alpha}$. Therefore we may describe the set of all $x \in A$ such that $\phi \subset g_{x,0}$ by the system of inequalities

$$-\text{val}(X_\alpha) \leq \alpha(x) \leq \text{val}(Y_\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi_X.$$

We now list, in Table [2] all the pairs $(X_\alpha, Y_\alpha)$, up to multiplication by scalars in $\mathcal{R}^X$, which appear in the expressions for $X$ and $Y$ in (5.15), (5.16), (5.17), (5.18), (5.19), (5.20), and (5.21). In doing so, we make use of the fact that the coefficients $\{c, s, 2, -1\}$ lie in $\mathcal{R}^X$ but that the coefficients $r_{ij}$ and $r_{i}$ (often abbreviated as $r$) variously take values in $\{\mathcal{R}^X, i \in \mathcal{R}^X\}$. Given that $\text{val}(X_{i,j}) = \text{val}(X_{i,-j}) = 0$ and $\text{val}(X_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{2}\text{val}(r_{i})$, we compute the valuations of $X_\alpha$ and $Y_\alpha$ in the last two columns of Table [2].
We observe from Table 2 that our representatives were optimally chosen, in that \( \text{val}(Y_a) = -\text{val}(X_a) \) in each case, whence for any \( x \) such that \( X, Y \in g_{x,0} \), \( \alpha(x) \) is equal to this common value. Therefore, to each \( \Gamma \) and associated Lie triple \( \phi \Gamma \) we can associate the affine subspace \( A_\Gamma = \mathcal{B}(\phi \Gamma) \cap \mathcal{A} \) of the apartment \( \mathcal{A} \) which is the solution set of the system of equations

\[
(6.1) \quad \alpha(x) = -\text{val}(X_a), \quad \alpha \in \Phi_X.
\]

(Note that this system is overdetermined; if it had no solution, then we would conclude that \( \mathcal{B}(\phi \Gamma) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset \).)

The affine subspace \( A_\Gamma \) is a union of facets of \( \mathcal{A} \), and any maximal facet \( F \subseteq A_\Gamma \) satisfies \( \dim(F) = \dim(A_\Gamma) \). This gives a degenerate pair \( (F, X) \) representing the nilpotent orbit \( O_{\lambda, q} = G \cdot X \), such that this pair is distinguished relative to \( \mathcal{A} \). Our goal is now to determine \( \dim(A_\Gamma) \).

To be explicit, let \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) be the partition of the set \( \{1, 2, \cdots, m\} \) induced by the partition \( \Gamma \); that is, for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) the element \( \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma} \) is the set of indices of the Witt basis \( B_\gamma \) attached to \( V_\gamma \). Thus for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), the roots \( \alpha \in \Phi_{X|V_\gamma} \) are linear combinations of \( \{\varepsilon_i \mid i \in \tilde{\gamma}\} \), and so the linear system (6.1) can be decoupled into \(|\Gamma|\) distinct linear systems, so any solution may be written \( x = \sum_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}} x_{\tilde{\gamma}} \). Let \( e_{\tilde{\gamma}} \) be the vector such that \( \varepsilon_i(e_{\tilde{\gamma}}) = 1 \) if \( i \in \tilde{\gamma} \) and 0 otherwise.

We now solve each of these linear systems (using in each case the notation of the corresponding section without further comment):

- \( \Gamma \) even \( \cup \) \( \Gamma \) hyp (Section 5.3): the linear system is \( \alpha(x) = 0 \), for all \( \alpha \in \{\varepsilon_{t} - \varepsilon_{t+1} \mid 1 \leq t < i\} \), whose solution is \( \mathbb{R}e_{\tilde{\gamma}} \).

- \( \Gamma \) pairs (5.8): whether \( k' = 0 \) or not, the linear system is \( \alpha(x) = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \{\varepsilon_{j} - \varepsilon_{j+1} \mid 1 \leq j < k + k', j \neq k\} \cup \{\varepsilon_{k} - \varepsilon_{p}, \varepsilon_{k+k'} - \varepsilon_{p}\} \) together with \( \beta(x) = -\text{val}(r) \) for all \( \beta \in \{\varepsilon_{k} + \varepsilon_{p}, \varepsilon_{k+k'} + \varepsilon_{p}\} \). It is consistent, with unique solution \( x_{\tilde{\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{2}\text{val}(r)e_{\tilde{\gamma}} \).

- \( \Gamma \) quad (5.12): if \( k_4 > 0 \), the linear system is \( \alpha(x) = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \{\varepsilon_{j} - \varepsilon_{j+1} \mid 1 \leq j < p_4, j \neq p_1, p_2, p_3\} \cup \{\varepsilon_{p_1} - \varepsilon_{p-1}, \varepsilon_{p_2} - \varepsilon_{p}, \varepsilon_{p_3} - \varepsilon_{p-1}, (\varepsilon_{p_4} - \varepsilon_{p}), (\varepsilon_{p_4} + \varepsilon_{p})\} \), together with \( \beta(x) = -\text{val}(r) \) for all \( \beta \in \{\varepsilon_{p_1} + \varepsilon_{p-1}, \varepsilon_{p_2} + \varepsilon_{p}, \varepsilon_{p_3} + \varepsilon_{p-1}, \varepsilon_{p_4} + \varepsilon_{p}, (\varepsilon_{p_4} + \varepsilon_{p-1}), (\varepsilon_{p_4} + \varepsilon_{p})\} \). It is consistent, with unique solution \( x_{\tilde{\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{2}\text{val}(r)e_{\tilde{\gamma}} \). When \( k_4 = 0 \), the terms in parentheses are omitted, but the solution is the same.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha \in \Phi^+ )</th>
<th>( X_\alpha )</th>
<th>( Y_\alpha )</th>
<th>( \text{val}(X_\alpha) )</th>
<th>( \text{val}(Y_\alpha) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} )</td>
<td>( X_{i,i+1} )</td>
<td>( X_{i+1,i} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j )</td>
<td>( X_{i,j} )</td>
<td>( X_{j,i} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j )</td>
<td>( \varpi X_{i,j} )</td>
<td>( \varpi^{-1} X_{i,j} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_i )</td>
<td>( X^\ell_i )</td>
<td>( r^{-1}<em>{\ell} X^\ell</em>{-i} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2}\text{val}(r_{\ell}) )</td>
<td>( -\frac{1}{2}\text{val}(r_{\ell}) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Corollary 6.2. Let \((\lambda, q) \in \mathcal{N}(\tau, n)\), with \(q = [q_{j_1}, \ldots, q_{j_s}]\). For each partition \(\Gamma\) of \(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, q}\) as in Proposition 5.7, let \(\phi_{\Gamma}\) denote the corresponding Lie triple as constructed in Section 3. Then

\[
\max_{\Gamma} \{\dim(\mathcal{B}(\phi_{\Gamma}) \cap \mathcal{A})\} = \frac{1}{2} \left( |\lambda| - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \dim \mathcal{D}_{j_i} \right).
\]

This is equal to the number of hyperbolic planes in \(q\).

Proof. By Proposition 6.1, \(\dim(\mathcal{B}(\phi_{\Gamma}) \cap \mathcal{A}) = |\Gamma_{\text{even}}| + |\Gamma_{\text{hyp}}|\). All even parts of \(\lambda\) are paired into parts of \(\Gamma_{\text{even}}\). By definition, a pair \(\gamma = \{(j_i, t), (j_i, t')\}\) in \(\Gamma_{\text{hyp}}\) corresponds to a hyperbolic plane in \(q_{j_i}\). To maximize \(|\Gamma_{\text{even}}| + |\Gamma_{\text{hyp}}|\) is thus equivalent to removing all such hyperbolic planes, and all the even parts, from \(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, q}\). This leaves precisely \(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \dim \mathcal{D}_{j_i}\) elements, corresponding to the anisotropic kernels of all of the quadratic forms in \(q\). The statement follows by noting that \(|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, q}| = |\lambda|\) and that each pair in \(\Gamma_{\text{even}} \cup \Gamma_{\text{hyp}}\) contributes one linear degree of freedom to the solution space \(A_{\Gamma}\), which is \(\mathcal{B}(\phi_{\Gamma}) \cap \mathcal{A}\). \(\square\)
We are now in position to prove that our construction realizes the DeBacker correspondence. Let \((\lambda, q) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}, n)\) or \(N^{hyp}(n)\), and \(\Gamma_{max}\) a partition of \(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, q}\) giving rise to a pair \((\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{max}}, X_{\Gamma_{max}})\) for which \(\dim(\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{max}})\) is maximal, as in Corollary 5.2.

**Theorem 6.3.** The pair \((\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{max}}, X_{\Gamma_{max}})\) is distinguished. Its associativity class is the unique one attached to the rational nilpotent orbit \(G \cdot X_{\Gamma_{max}}\) by the DeBacker correspondence, for \(G = O(q)\) or \(G = SO(q)\).

**Proof.** Let \((\lambda, q) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}, n)\) or \(N^{hyp}(n)\). Let \((\mathcal{F}', X')\) be a degenerate pair representing the \(G\)-orbit attached to \((\lambda, q)\). Then after conjugating by \(G\) (which acts by isometries on \(\mathcal{B}(G)\)), and using key properties of the DeBacker correspondence (as described in detail at the beginning of the proof of [15, Theorem 4]), we may assume: that \(\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}\); that \(X'\) is part of a Lie triple \(\phi' = \{H', X', Y'\}\) such that \(H' \in \mathfrak{t}\) is dominant; and that when \(X'\) is expressed as a sum of root vectors with respect to \(\mathfrak{t}\) as \(X' = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_X'} X'_\alpha\), then the minimal affine space containing \(\mathcal{F}'\) is an affine translation of the zero set of \(\Phi_{X'}\) in \(\mathcal{A}\). It suffices to prove that under these hypotheses, \(\dim(\mathcal{F}') \leq \dim(\mathcal{F})\).

Decompose \(V\) into weight spaces under \(H'\) as \(V = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} V[\ell]\) and transversely into irreducible \(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)\)-modules under \(\phi'\) as \(V = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, q}} U_{i,j}\), where \(\dim(U_{i,j}) = i \in \lambda\), and \(1 \leq j \leq m_i\). The rank of \(X'|_{U_{i,j}}\) is \(i - 1\). Since each root vector \(X'_\alpha\) is a matrix of rank two, we deduce that \(|\Phi_{X'}| \geq \sum_{i \in \lambda} m_i \frac{(i-1)}{2}\).

When \(i = 2\ell\) is even, taking these \(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)\)-submodules pairwise gives the lower bound of \(2 \frac{(i-1)}{2} = 2\ell - 1\) for the number of roots required; this is realized by our construction. Our construction similarly attains the minimum possible number of linearly independent roots on subspaces produced by sets in \(\Gamma_{hyp}\). We may thus without loss of generality reduce to the case that \(\lambda\) consists only of odd parts and \(q\) consists only of anisotropic forms. Then \(|\lambda| = \sum_{i \in \lambda} \dim q_i\) so we must prove \(\dim(\mathcal{F}') = 0\). Showing that \(\Phi_{X'}\) contains \(m = \dim(\mathcal{A})\) linearly independent roots is an interesting exercise in linear algebra, which we now sketch.

All weights are even. Since \(H' \in \mathfrak{t}\), for each even \(\ell > 0\) the weight space \(V[\ell]\) is spanned by a subset \(B_{\ell}\) of \(\{v_1, \cdots, v_m\}\), whereas \(V[0]\) is spanned by

\[B_0 = \{v_{p+1}, \cdots, v_m, v_{p+1}, \cdots, w_m, z_1, \cdots, z_n\}\]

for some \(p\). Since \(X'|_{V[\ell-2]}\) surjects onto \(V[\ell]\) for each (even) \(\ell \geq 2\), we can choose an injection \(\alpha : B_{\ell} \to B_{\ell-2}\) with the property that each \(v_j \in B_{\ell}\) occurs with nonzero coefficient in the vector \(X'\alpha(v_j) \in V[\ell]\).

If \(\ell = 0\), then \(\alpha(v_j)\) identifies exactly one root in the set \(\{\varepsilon_j \pm \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \mid p < i \leq m\}\) which must occur in the support \(\Phi_{X'}\). If \(\ell \geq 2\), then \(\alpha(v_j) = v_i\) for some \(i\), wherein \(\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i \in \Phi_{X'}\). Since each \(j\) appears only once, as the \(v_j\) range over \(\cup_{\ell \geq 2} B_{\ell}\), these maps \(\alpha\) identify \(\sum_{\ell \geq 2} \dim(V[\ell])\) linearly independent roots in \(\Phi_{X'}\). This number is equal to \(\sum_{i \in \lambda} m_i \frac{(i-1)}{2}\), the minimum possible number of roots for an operator of the given rank, but does not yet take into account the contraints imposed by \(q\).

The intersection of each isotypic space with \(V[0]\) being anisotropic implies that none of the isotropic vectors of the Witt basis in \(V[0]\) can lie in an isotypic component. We now show with a recurrence that each Witt pair \(\{v_i, w_i\}\), with \(p < i \leq m\), contributes
at least one root to the support $\Phi_{X'}$ that was not accounted for by $\alpha$, and such that the union of all these is linearly independent. This would give $\frac{1}{2}(\dim V[0] - n_\circ)$ additional linearly independent vectors in $\Phi_{X'}$.

Namely, fix $i$ and let $u \in \{v_i, w_i\}$. Write $X'u = \sum c_{u,j}v_j$ as a linear combination of elements of $B_2$; since $u$ is not isotypic, not all coefficients are zero. If there exist $j \neq k$ such that $c_{v_i,j}c_{v_i,k} \neq 0$, then the support $\Phi_{X'}$ contains $\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i$ and $\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_i$, and by the injectivity of $\alpha$, at least one of these is an additional (and linearly independent) root. Otherwise, if there exist $j \neq k$ such that $c_{w_i,j}c_{w_i,k} \neq 0$, we obtain an additional root of the form $\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_i$ or $\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_i$, and we are done.

So assume that up to scaling we have $X'v_i = v_j$ and $X'w_i = v_k$, for some $v_j, v_k \in B_2$. If $j = k$ then by well-definedness of $\alpha$ necessarily one of $\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_i$ is not in $\Phi_{X'}$, as required. Otherwise, consider the vectors $v_j \neq v_k \in B_2$. If either one is isotypic, then there must exist at least one $u \in B_0 \setminus \{v_i, w_i\}$ such that it occurs in the expression for $X'u$, for if not, this would contradict that neither $v_i$ nor $w_i$ lies in an isotypic component. This yields a new root in $\Phi_{X'}$ in which $i$ appears as an index. Finally, if not, that is, if neither $v_j$ nor $v_k$ is isotypic, then we may repeat the proceeding argument with the pair $\{v_j, v_k\}$ in place of $\{v_i, w_i\}$ to either produce an additional root, or else shift the problem to a higher weight space. Thus this process terminates in all cases by producing an additional (linearly independent of the preceding) root in $\Phi_{X'}$, as we wanted to show.

Therefore
\[
\dim \text{span}\Phi_{X'} \geq \sum_{\ell \geq 2} V[\ell] + \frac{1}{2}(\dim V[0] - n_\circ) = \frac{1}{2} \dim V - \frac{1}{2} n_\circ = m,
\]
whence $\dim \mathcal{F}' = 0$, as required. □

We note that in [15, Corollary 4], the formula (6.2) appears also as the dimension of the facet attached to a nilpotent orbit of $\text{Sp}(2m)$, where $(\lambda, q)$ is a similar parametrizing datum.

This theorem establishes a constructive map from the classical partition-based parametrization of nilpotent orbits of orthogonal and special orthogonal groups to the building-based parametrizations proposed by DeBacker.
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