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Abstract

This brief note sketches a theory of constructs which unifies multiple variants of the algebra of matrices while at the same time broadening the scope of classical linear algebra algorithms and concepts.

1 Introduction

This note is accompanied by an actively maintained SageMath Hypermatrix Algebra Package1. The note is also interspersed with illustrative code snippets for the benefit of the experimenter. The many variations of matrix multiplication [MB94, GKZ94, Ker08, GER11, MB90, Lim13, Zwi02, Cra15, But03], press for a unified picture which captures algorithmic aspects of the algebra of hypermatrices. Such a unification is achieved by adopting a category theory insight. Namely, that the algebra of composition of maps broadens the scope of the multiplication operation. Our basic idea is to assign to matrix or hypermatrix entries morphism of a semi-category (which loosens the associativity requirement as well as properties of the identity element). To avoid confusion we call the resulting objects constructs. The algebra of constructs is prescribed in terms of a specified operator called the combinator noted Op, and a function called the composer noted F. The composer specifies the rule for composing morphisms while the combinator specifies the rule for combining the obtained morphisms. Possible choices for a combinator include:

\[ \sum_{0 \leq j < k}, \prod_{0 \leq j < k}, \max_{0 \leq j < k}, \min_{0 \leq j < k}, \bigcup_{0 \leq j < k}, \bigcap_{0 \leq j < k}, \bigtimes_{0 \leq j < k}, \bigoplus_{0 \leq j < k}, \bigotimes_{0 \leq j < k} \]

respectively associated with the summation, the product, the maximum, the minimum, the union, the intersection, the cartesian product, the direct sum and the tensor product. For instance, the product of second-order constructs \( A^{(0)} \) and \( A^{(1)} \) of size respectively \( n_0 \times k \) and \( k \times n_1 \) results in a construct noted \( \text{GProd}_{\text{Op}, F} \left( A^{(0)}, A^{(1)} \right) \) of size \( n_0 \times n_1 \) specified entry-wise by

\[
\text{GProd}_{\text{Op}, F} \left( A^{(0)}, A^{(1)} \right) [i_0, i_1] = \text{Op}_{0 \leq j < k} F \left( A^{(0)} [i_0, j], A^{(1)} [j, i_1] \right), \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i_0 < n_0 \\
0 \leq i_1 < n_1
\end{array} \right.
\]

Similarly, the product noted \( \text{GProd}_{\text{Op}, F} \left( A^{(0)}, A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \right) \) of third-order constructs \( A^{(0)}, A^{(1)} \) and \( A^{(2)} \) respectively of size \( n_0 \times k \times n_2, n_0 \times n_1 \times k \) and \( k \times n_1 \times n_2 \) is of size \( n_0 \times n_1 \times n_2 \) and specified entry-wise by

\[
\text{GProd}_{\text{Op}, F} \left( A^{(0)}, A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \right) [i_0, i_1, i_2] = \text{Op}_{0 \leq j < k} F \left( A^{(0)} [i_0, j, i_2], A^{(1)} [i_0, i_1, j], A^{(2)} [j, i_1, i_2] \right),
\]
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More generally, the product of \( m\)-th order constructs are specified entry-wise by

\[
\text{GProd}_{0,p,F} \left( A^{(0)}, \ldots, A^{(t)}, \ldots, A^{(m-1)} \right) [i_0, \ldots, i_t, \ldots, i_{m-1}] = \text{Op}_{0 \leq j < k} F \left( A^{(0)} [i_0, j, i_2, \ldots, i_{m-1}], \ldots, A^{(t)} [i_0, \ldots, i_t, j, i_{t+2}, \ldots, i_{m-1}], \ldots, A^{(m-1)} [j, i_1, \ldots, i_{m-1}] \right).
\]

(1)

It is insightful to consider some concrete \( 2 \times 2 \) examples. Our sketch will mostly focus on second-order constructs and unless otherwise specified the composer is \( F : \mathbb{K}^2 \times \mathbb{K}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^2 \) (for some skew field \( \mathbb{K} \)) such that for any \( f, g \in \mathbb{K}^2 \) we have

\[
F \left( f (z), g (z) \right) = f \left( g (z) \right).
\]

(2)

Let \( A (z), B (z) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^{2 \times 2} \) be given by

\[
A (z) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{00} z + 0 z^0 & a_{01} z + 0 z^0 \\
a_{10} z + 0 z^0 & a_{11} z + 0 z^0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad B (z) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 z + b_{00} z^0 & 0 z + b_{01} z^0 \\
0 z + b_{10} z^0 & 0 z + b_{11} z^0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the trivial terms \( 0 z, 0 z^0 \) are added to emphasize that each entry of \( A (z) \) and \( B (z) \) is a polynomial in the morphism variable \( z \). Take the combinator to be \( \sum \) then \( \text{GProd}_\sum (A (z), B (z)) \) expresses a matrix product

\[
\text{GProd}_\sum (A (z), B (z)) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{00} b_{00} + a_{01} b_{10} & a_{00} b_{01} + a_{01} b_{11} \\
a_{10} b_{00} + a_{11} b_{10} & a_{10} b_{01} + a_{11} b_{11}
\end{pmatrix} = A (1) B (z).
\]

In this example the entries of the constructs \( A (z) \) and \( B (z) \) are univariate polynomials. However, construct entries are not limited to univariate polynomials. More generally, for conformable constructs \( U (z) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^{n_0 \times \ell} \) and \( V (z) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\ell \times n_1} \) such that

\[
U (z) [i_0, t] = u_{i_0 t} z + 0 z^0, \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i_0 < n_0 \\
0 \leq t < \ell
\end{array} \right.,
\]

\[
V (z) [t, i_1] = 0 z + v_{t i_1} z^0, \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i_1 < n_1
\end{array} \right.,
\]

the usual matrix multiplication is recovered as a special case via the equality

\[
\text{GProd}_\sum (U (z), V (z)) = U (1) V (z).
\]

For any \( A (z) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^{n \times n} \) subject to \( A (0) = \mathbb{I}_n \) the identity construct for \( \text{GProd}_\sum \) is equal to \( z \mathbb{I}_n \). For example in the setting where \( n = 2 \) the identity construct is given by

\[
\mathbb{I} (z) = z \mathbb{I}_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
z + 0 z^0 & 0 z + 0 z^0 \\
0 z + 0 z^0 & z + 0 z^0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

such that

\[
\text{GProd}_\sum (A (z), \mathbb{I} (z)) = A (z) = \text{GProd}_\sum (\mathbb{I} (z), A (z)).
\]

The inverse relation for \( \text{GProd}_\sum \) is illustrated by the following \( 2 \times 2 \) example

\[
\text{GProd}_\sum \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
a_{00} z + 0 z^0 & a_{01} z + 0 z^0 \\
a_{10} z + 0 z^0 & a_{11} z + 0 z^0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
a_{00} z + 0 z^0 & a_{01} z + 0 z^0 \\
a_{10} z + 0 z^0 & a_{11} z + 0 z^0
\end{pmatrix}\right\} = \mathbb{I} (z)
\]

2
Linear systems of equations are conveniently expressed via GProdΣ. For instance a system of two equations in the unknowns \( x_0, x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) is expressed in terms of

\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{00} - \frac{b_0}{n} & a_{01} - \frac{b_1}{n} & a_{02} - \frac{b_2}{n} \\
  a_{10} - \frac{b_3}{n} & a_{11} - \frac{b_4}{n} & a_{12} - \frac{b_5}{n}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
x(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 z + x_0 z^0 \\
  0 z + x_1 z^0 \\
  0 z + x_2 z^0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

as

\[
0_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd}_\Sigma (A(z), x(z)) = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 z + (a_{00} x_0 + a_{01} x_1 + a_{02} x_2 - b_0) z^0 \\
  0 z + (a_{10} x_0 + a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 - b_1) z^0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

More generally, systems of equations of the first type associated with constructs (linear systems of equations) are expressed in terms of a coefficient construct \( A(z) \in (\mathbb{C}^C)^{m \times n} \) and a variable construct \( x(z) \in (\mathbb{C}^C)^{n \times 1} \) with entries specified by

\[
A(z)[i, j] = a_{ij} z - \frac{b_i}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad x(z)[j] = 0 z + x_j z^0 \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i < m \\
0 \leq j < n
\end{array} \right.
\]

The system is expressed by

\[
0_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_\Sigma (A(z), x(z))
\]

The corresponding SageMath code setup is as follows

```sage
# Loading the Package into SageMath
load('./Hypermatrix_Algebra_Package_code.sage')

# Initialization of the morphism variable
z=var('z')

# Initialization of the construct order and size parameters
od=2; sz=2

# Initialization of the constructs
M0=z*HM(sz, sz, 'a')+HM(sz, sz, 'b')
M1=z*HM(sz, sz, 'c')+HM(sz, sz, 'd')

# Computing the products of the constructs
M2=GProd([M0, M1], sum, [z]).expand()
M3=GProd([M1, M0], sum, [z]).expand()

# Initializing the right identity construct
rId=z*HM(od,sz,'kronecker')-i2x2(HM(sz,sz,'a'))*HM([[b01,b00],[b11,b10]])

# Initializing the left identity construct
```
\[ 1 \text{Id} = z \cdot \text{HM}(\text{od, sz, 'kronecker'}) \]

# Computing the product with the right identity
M4 = GProd([M0, rId], sum, [z]).factor()

# Computing the product with the left identity
M5 = GProd([1Id, M1], sum, [z])

The code setup above initializes the constructs

\[
\begin{align*}
M_0(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{00}z + b_{00} & a_{01}z + b_{01} \\ a_{10}z + b_{10} & a_{11}z + b_{11} \end{pmatrix}, & M_1(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{00}z + d_{00} & c_{01}z + d_{01} \\ c_{10}z + d_{10} & c_{11}z + d_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \\
1\text{Id}(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \\
r\text{Id}(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{a_{11}b_{00}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} & -\frac{a_{01}b_{11}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} + z & -\frac{a_{11}b_{00}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} + z & -\frac{a_{01}b_{11}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} + z \\ -\frac{a_{11}b_{00}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} - \frac{a_{11}b_{00}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} & -\frac{a_{01}b_{11}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} + z & -\frac{a_{11}b_{00}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} & -\frac{a_{01}b_{11}}{a_{10}a_{10} - a_{00}a_{11}} + z \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

It also computes the product of the constructs \(M_0(z)\) and \(M_1(z)\)

\[
\text{GProd}\sum\Sigma(M_0(z), M_1(z)) = \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{00}c_{00}z + a_{01}c_{10}z + a_{00}d_{00} + a_{01}d_{10} + b_{00} + b_{01} & a_{00}c_{01}z + a_{01}c_{11}z + a_{00}d_{01} + a_{01}d_{11} + b_{00} + b_{01} \\ a_{10}c_{00}z + a_{11}c_{10}z + a_{10}d_{00} + a_{11}d_{10} + b_{00} + b_{01} & a_{10}c_{01}z + a_{11}c_{11}z + a_{10}d_{01} + a_{11}d_{11} + b_{00} + b_{01} \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\text{GProd}\sum\Sigma(M_1(z), M_0(z)) = \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{00}c_{00}z + a_{01}c_{01}z + b_{00}c_{00} + b_{01}c_{01} + d_{00} + d_{01} & a_{01}c_{00}z + a_{11}c_{01}z + b_{01}c_{00} + b_{11}c_{01} + d_{00} + d_{01} \\ a_{00}c_{10}z + a_{10}c_{10}z + b_{00}c_{10} + b_{01}c_{10} + d_{00} + d_{01} & a_{00}c_{11}z + a_{11}c_{11}z + b_{00}c_{11} + b_{01}c_{11} + d_{00} + d_{01} \end{pmatrix}. 
\]

Finally the code setup illustrates subtleties associated with identity elements

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GProd}\sum\Sigma(M_0(z), r\text{Id}(z)) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{00}z + b_{00} & a_{01}z + b_{01} \\ a_{10}z + b_{10} & a_{11}z + b_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \\
\text{GProd}\sum\Sigma(1\text{Id}(z), M_1(z)) &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{00}z + d_{00} & c_{01}z + d_{01} \\ c_{10}z + d_{10} & c_{11}z + d_{11} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

The computation illustrates that even in the simple setting of \(\text{GProd}\Sigma\) over \((\mathbb{C}^C)^{2 \times 2}\) whose entries are polynomials of degree at most one in \(z\), the left identity elements may differ from the right identity elements. In fact right identity elements may differ for different elements of \((\mathbb{C}^C)^{2 \times 2}\). Finally, note that there are other ways of setting the composer \(\mathcal{F}\) to recover the usual matrix product as a special case. For instance setting the composer to

\[
\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^C \times \mathbb{C}^C \to \mathbb{C}^C \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathcal{F}(f(z), g(z)) = f(z) + g(z)
\]

also recovers the usual matrix product from \(\text{GProd}_{\Sigma}\) for the subset of constructs whose entries are polynomials of degree at most one in \(z\) subject to \(A(0) = 0_{n \times n}\). For conformable constructs \(A^{(0)}(z)\) and \(A^{(1)}(z)\) selected among such constructs, we have

\[
\text{GProd}_{\Sigma,\mathcal{F}}(A^{(0)}(z), A^{(1)}(z)) = A^{(0)}(z) \cdot A^{(1)}(z).
\]
2 Systems of equations associated with GProd\(\Pi\)

We describe here two other systems of equations associated with constructs. For an exponent construct \(A(z) \in (\mathbb{C}^C)^{m \times n}\) and a variable construct \(x(z)\) of size \(n \times 1\) with entries specified by

\[
A(z)[i,j] = \frac{z^{a_{ij}}}{\sqrt{b_i}} \quad \text{and} \quad x(z)[j] = 0 + x_j z^0, \quad \forall \begin{cases} 0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n \end{cases},
\]

setting the combinator to \(\Pi\) instead of \(\Sigma\) defines a second type of system of equations associated with GProd\(\Pi\) given by

\[1_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_{\Pi}(A(z), x(z)).\]  

(3)

For example, the constructs

\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z^{a_{00}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{z^{a_{01}}}{\sqrt{b_1}} \\ \frac{z^{a_{10}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{z^{a_{11}}}{\sqrt{b_1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad x(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 + x_0 z^0 \\ 0 + x_1 z^0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

express a system of the second type in the unknowns \(x_0, x_1\) given by

\[1_{2 \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z^{a_{00}} z^{a_{01}}}{\sqrt{b_0} \sqrt{b_1}} \\ \frac{z^{a_{10}} z^{a_{11}}}{\sqrt{b_0} \sqrt{b_1}} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that in this setting \(A(z)\) may be multivalued. Note that if each variable \(x_i\) is an element of a non-Abelian group, then systems of equations of the second type express instances of the word problem for that group and thus generally undecidable.

The third type of system also results from setting the combinator to \(\Pi\) instead of \(\Sigma\) and are of the form

\[1_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_{\Pi}(A(z), x(z)),\]  

(4)

where \(A(z) \in (\mathbb{C}^C)^{m \times n}\) now denotes the base construct and \(x(z)\) of size \(n \times 1\) denotes the variable construct having entries given by

\[
A(z)[i,j] = \frac{a_{ij}^z}{\sqrt{b_i}} \quad \text{and} \quad x(z)[j] = 0 + x_j z^0, \quad \forall \begin{cases} 0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n \end{cases}.
\]

For example, the constructs

\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{00}^z}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{a_{01}^z}{\sqrt{b_1}} \\ \frac{a_{10}^z}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{a_{11}^z}{\sqrt{b_1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad x(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 + x_0 z^0 \\ 0 + x_1 z^0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

express a system of two equations in the unknowns \(x_0, x_1\) given by

\[1_{2 \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{00}^z a_{01}^z}{\sqrt{b_0} \sqrt{b_1}} \\ \frac{a_{10}^z a_{11}^z}{\sqrt{b_0} \sqrt{b_1}} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We subsequently discuss a method for solving such systems by eliminating variables. We provide below some illustrative code snippets for investigating basic properties of GProd\(\Pi\).
# Loading the Package
load('./Hypermatrix_Algebra_Package_code.sage')

# Initialization of the morphism variable
z=var('z')

# Initialization of the order and size parameters
od=2; sz=2

# Initialization of the constructs
A=HM(sz, sz, 'a').elementwise_exponent(z)
B=HM(sz, sz, 'b')

# Computing the product
C1=GProd([A,B], prod, [z])

# The right identity construct
rId=z*HM(od, sz, 'kronecker')
C2=GProd([A,rId], prod, [z])

# The left identity construct
lId=HM(od, sz, 'kronecker').elementwise_base_exponent(z)
C3=GProd([lId,A], prod, [z])

The code setup above initialize the constructs

A(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_z^{00} & a_z^{01} \\
a_z^{10} & a_z^{11} \\
\end{pmatrix},
B(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
b_{00} & b_{01} \\
b_{10} & b_{11} \\
\end{pmatrix},

rId(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
z & 0 \\
0 & z \\
\end{pmatrix},
llId(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
z & 1 \\
1 & z \\
\end{pmatrix}

and computes

GProd\prod (A, B) = \begin{pmatrix}
 a_{00} & a_{01} & b_{00} & b_{01} \\
 a_{10} & a_{11} & b_{10} & b_{11} \\
\end{pmatrix},

GProd\prod (A, rId) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_z^{00} & a_z^{01} \\
a_z^{10} & a_z^{11} \\
\end{pmatrix},

GProd\prod (lId, A) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_z^{00} & a_z^{01} \\
a_z^{10} & a_z^{11} \\
\end{pmatrix}.

The code setup illustrates that for the composer prescribed in (2), the left identity element will almost certainly
differ from the right identity element for $G_{\prod}$. We introduce the constructs

$$
A(z) = 
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{00}z - \frac{b_0}{2} & a_{01}z - \frac{b_0}{2} \\
  a_{10}z - \frac{b_1}{2} & a_{11}z - \frac{b_1}{2}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
Ba(z) = 
\begin{pmatrix}
  z^{a_{00}} & z^{a_{01}} \\
  \sqrt{b_0} & \sqrt{b_1}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(5)

$$
Ca(z) = 
\begin{pmatrix}
  z^{a_{00}} & z^{a_{01}} \\
  \sqrt{b_0} & \sqrt{b_1}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

which we will use to express the three types of systems of equations below using SageMath.

```python
# Loading the Package
load('./Hypermatrix_Algebra_Package_code.sage')

# Initialization of the variables
z=var('z')

# Initialization of the order and size parameter
od=2; sz=2

# Initialization of the constructs
Lb=var_list('b',sz)
A=z*HM(sz,sz,'a')-HM(2,1,[Lb[0]/2,Lb[1]/2])*HM(1,2,'one')
X=HM(sz,1,var_list('x',sz))

# Computing the product associated with systems of the first type
C=GProd([A,X], sum, [z])

# Initialization of the construct
Ba=(HM(sz,sz,'a').elementwise_base_exponent(z)).elementwise_product(HM(2,1,[Lb[0]^(-1/2), Lb[1]^(-1/2)])*HM(1,2,'one'))

# Computing the product associated with systems of the second type
Bc=GProd([Ba,X], prod, [z])

# Initialization of the construct
Ca=(HM(sz,sz,'a').elementwise_exponent(z)).elementwise_product(HM(2,1,[Lb[0]^(-1/2), Lb[1]^(-1/2)])*HM(1,2,'one'))

# Computing the product associated with systems of the third type
Cc=GProd([Ca,X], prod, [z])
```
More generally a weight construct \( W \) each entry of \( G \text{Prod} U \) where function. We compute the hidden layer as vector \( x \). 

An illustration for each one of the three types of systems are provided below

\[
0_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd}_{\sum} (A (z), x (z)) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} x_0 + a_{01} x_1 - b_0 \\ a_{10} x_0 + a_{11} x_1 - b_1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
1_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd}_{\prod} (Ba (z), x (z)) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^{a_{00} a_{01}} b_0^{a_{10} a_{11}} \\ x_1^{a_{00} a_{01}} b_1^{a_{10} a_{11}} \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
1_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd}_{\prod} (Ca (z), x (z)) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^{a_{00} a_{01}} b_0^{a_{10} a_{11}} \\ x_1^{a_{00} a_{01}} b_1^{a_{10} a_{11}} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( x (z) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \).

3 Constructs and artificial neural networks

Feedforward neural networks (FNNs) provide a natural illustration for the algebra of constructs. Consider a FNN with a single hidden layer. We assume for simplicity that each layer of the FNN has 2 neurons. Let the composer

\[
F : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2
\]

be defined such that for any \( f, g \in \mathbb{R}^2 \)

\[
F (f (z), g (z)) = f (g (z)).
\]

We define

\[
U (z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{u_{00} z + b_0} \\ e^{u_{10} z + b_0} \\ e^{u_{01} z + b_0} \\ e^{u_{11} z + b_0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V (z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{v_{00} z + b_0} \\ e^{v_{10} z + b_0} \\ e^{v_{01} z + b_0} \\ e^{v_{11} z + b_0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( U (z) \) and \( V (z) \) are called the weight constructs and \( x \) is the input vector. \( \{ u_{ij}, v_{ij} \}_{0 \leq i, j < 2} \) and \( \{ a_t, b_t \}_{0 \leq t < 2} \) are respectively weights and biases of the FNN. Note that the activation function is taken here to be the exponential function. We compute the hidden layer as

\[
\text{GProd}_{\prod} (U (z), x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{(u_{00} x_0 + u_{01} x_1 + a_0)} \\ e^{(u_{10} x_0 + u_{11} x_1 + a_1)} \end{pmatrix}
\]

Each entry of \( \text{GProd}_{\prod} (U (z), x) \) is the output of the corresponding neuron in the hidden layer. We re-iterate \( \text{GProd}_{\prod} \) to compute the output layer as follows:

\[
\text{GProd}_{\prod} (V (z), \text{GProd}_{\prod} (U (z), x)) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp \left\{ v_{00} e^{(u_{00} x_0 + u_{01} x_1 + a_0)} + v_{01} e^{(u_{10} x_0 + u_{11} x_1 + a_1) + b_0} \right\} \\ \exp \left\{ v_{10} e^{(u_{00} x_0 + u_{01} x_1 + a_0)} + v_{11} e^{(u_{10} x_0 + u_{11} x_1 + a_1) + b_1} \right\} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

More generally a weight construct \( W (z) \in (\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R})^{m \times n} \) is associated with a layer of \( m \) neurons receiving an input vector \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \)

\[
W (z) [i, j] = e^{w_{ij} z + b_j}, \quad \forall \begin{cases} 0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n \end{cases}.
\]

The response of the \( i \)-th neuron for input \( x \) is expressed as

\[
\exp \left\{ b_i + \sum_{0 \leq t < n} w_{it} x_t \right\}.
\]
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The more commonly used sigmoid activation function is recovered from the exponential activation function above via the geometric sum identity

\[
\frac{1 - (-e^{-x})^L}{1 + e^{-x}} = \sum_{0 \leq k < L} (-e^{-x})^k,
\]

for any non-negative integer \( L \). The desired sigmoid activation function is thus obtained by linear superposition of weight constructs

\[
W_k(z)[i, j] = e^{-k(w_{ij} + \frac{b_i}{n})}, \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n \end{array} \right.,
\]

and the response vector is

\[
\left( \sum_{0 \leq k < \infty} (-1)^k \text{GProd}_\Pi(W_k(z), x) \right) = \begin{pmatrix}
\left(1 + \exp\left\{-b_0 - \sum_{0 \leq t < n} w_{0t} x_t\right\}\right)^{-1} \\
\vdots \\
\left(1 + \exp\left\{-b_1 - \sum_{0 \leq t < n} w_{1t} x_t\right\}\right)^{-1} \\
\vdots \\
\left(1 + \exp\left\{-b_{m-1} - \sum_{0 \leq t < n} w_{m-1t} x_t\right\}\right)^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

4 Constructs and the general Floyd–Warshall method

We illustrate here a connection between the algebra of construct and combinatorial algorithms. Set the composer

\[
\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \text{ such that } \mathcal{F}(\alpha, \beta) := \alpha + \beta
\]

and we set the combinator to

\[
\text{Op} := \min.
\]

\( \text{GProd}_{\min} \) expresses the Min-plus matrix product also known as the distance product specified entry-wise by

\[
\text{GProd}_{\min}(A^{(0)}, A^{(1)})[i_0, i_1] = \min_{0 \leq j < \ell} \left\{ A^{(0)}[i_0, j] + A^{(1)}[j, i_1]\right\}, \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq i_0 < n_0 \\ 0 \leq i_1 < n_1 \end{array} \right., \quad (7)
\]

where \( A^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_0 \times \ell} \) and \( A^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n_1} \).

Let the matrix \( A \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n \times n} \) denote the weighted adjacency matrix of a directed graph on \( n \) vertices. The matrix \( A \) specifies the distances between adjacent vertex pairs. Consequently, \( A[i_0, i_1] \) is the length of the shortest path between \( i_0 \) and \( i_1 \) which uses no other intermediary vertex. The length of the shortest path spanning the vertex pair \((i_0, i_1)\) which uses exactly one other intermediary vertex is given by

\[
\text{GProd}_{\min}(A, A)[i_0, i_1].
\]

The length of the shortest path spanning the vertex pair \((i_0, i_1)\) which uses exactly two other intermediary vertices is given by

\[
\min \{ \text{GProd}_{\min}(\text{GProd}_{\min}(A, A), A)[i_0, i_1], \, \text{GProd}_{\min}(A, \text{GProd}_{\min}(A, A))[i_0, i_1] \}.
\]
More generally the \( \binom{2k}{k+1} \) distinct compositions of \( \text{GProd}_{\text{min}} \) determine the length of the shortest path spanning the vertex pair \((i_0, i_1)\) which uses exactly \( k \) other intermediary vertices. The product enables us to compute the length of shortest paths as follows:

\[
\text{Length of the shortest path spanning } (i_0, i_1) = \min \{ A[i_0, i_1], \text{GProd}_{\text{min}}(A, A)[i_0, i_1], \text{GProd}_{\text{min}}(\text{GProd}_{\text{min}}(A, A), A)[i_0, i_1], \text{GProd}_{\text{min}}(A, \text{GProd}_{\text{min}}(A, A))[i_0, i_1], \ldots \}.
\]

(8)

Note that extending the framework from matrices to hypermatrices provides a natural generalization of the Floyd–Warshall method. For instance, for third order hypermatrices, If we set the composer to

\[
F : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \text{ such that } F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \alpha + \beta + \gamma
\]

and the combinator to

\[
\text{Op} := \min,
\]

then the corresponding product of third order constructs is specified entry-wise by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GProd}_{\text{min}}&\left(A^{(0)}, A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}\right)[i_0, i_1, i_2] = \min_{0 \leq j < \ell} \left\{ A^{(0)}[i_0, j, i_2] + A^{(1)}[i_0, i_1, j] + A^{(2)}[j, i_1, i_2] \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

where \( A^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n_0 \times \ell \times n_2} \), \( A^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n_0 \times n_1 \times \ell} \) and \( A^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\ell \times n_1 \times n_2} \).

Let \( A \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n \times n \times n} \) denote the weighted adjacency hypermatrix of a directed 3-uniform hypergraph on \( n \) vertices. Assume that \( A \) specifies the surface area spanning adjacent vertex triples. By analogy to the second order setting, the minimum across distinct compositions of \( \text{GProd}_{\text{min}} \) determines the area of the minimal surface spanning a given triple \((i_0, i_1, i_2)\) using intermediary vertices.

5 Constructs and the Matrix Tree Theorem.

It is well known that the number of triangulations of a convex polygon on \((n + 2)\) vertices is enumerated by Catalan numbers given by

\[
C_n = (n + 1)^{-1} \binom{2n}{n} \text{ for } n \geq 0.
\]

We describe how the product of third order constructs enables us to explicitly list all triangulations of a given polygon. Let constructs \( A(z_0, z_1) \), \( B(z_0, z_1) \) and \( C(z_0, z_1) \) of size \( n \times n \times 1 \), \( n \times n \times n \) and \( n \times n \times 1 \) respectively with entries specified by

\[
A(z_0, z_1)[i, t, 0] = \begin{cases} a_{it} & \text{if } i < t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad , \quad C(z_0, z_1)[t, j, 0] = \begin{cases} c_{tj} & \text{if } t < j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
B[i, j, k] = \begin{cases} b_{ij} \cdot z_0 \cdot z_1 & \text{if } t < j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

where \( \{a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij}\}_{0 \leq i, j < n} \subset \mathbb{K} \) for some skew field \( \mathbb{K} \). We set the composer to

\[
F : \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}} \times \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}} \times \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}} \to \mathbb{K}
\]

such that
\[ F(f(z_0, z_1), g(z_0, z_1), h(z_0, z_1)) = g(f(z_0, z_1), h(z_0, z_1)). \]

Consequently, each term in the summand \( \text{GProd}_i (A(z_0, z_1), B(z_0, z_1), C(z_0, z_1)) \) describes the edges of a triangle:
\[
\text{GProd}_i (A(z_0, z_1), B(z_0, z_1), C(z_0, z_1)) [i, j, 0] = \sum_{0 \leq t < n} b_{ij} a_{it} c_{lj}.
\]

The distinct ways of composing \( n \) products of the form
\[
\text{GProd}_i (X(z_0, z_1), B(z_0, z_1), Y(z_0, z_1))
\]
correspond to the different ways to parenthesize \((n + 1)\) factors. For example in the setting \( n + 2 = 4 \) the products
\[
\{ \text{GProd}_i (A(z_0, z_1), B(z_0, z_1), \text{GProd}_i (A(z_0, z_1), B(z_0, z_1), A(z_0, z_1))) \},
\]
\[
\text{GProd}_i (\text{GProd}_i (A(z_0, z_1), B(z_0, z_1), A(z_0, z_1)), B(z_0, z_1), A(z_0, z_1)) \}
\]
provide explicit descriptions for the triangulation of the square. The short code snippets below illustrates the code setup for listing of triangulations of polygons where each edges is associated with (non-commutative) variables.

```python
# Loading the Hypermatrix package
load('./Hypermatrix_Algebra_Package_code.sage')

# Initializing the number of vertices
sz=4

# Generating the script which initializes the needed free variables
generate_triangulation_scriptII(sz)

# Running the script which compute the triangulations
load('triangulation_' + str(sz) + 'sage')
```

Running the code creates a list \( L \) which stores all \( C_n \) possible triangulations. In our example we have
\[
L[0] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{03}a_{01}b_{13}a_{12}a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L[1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{03}b_{02}a_{01}a_{12}a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The connection with the matrix tree theorem stems from maps relating triangulation of convex polygon on \((n + 2)\) vertices to the dual functional directed rooted trees on \(n + (n + 2)\) vertices having exactly \((n + 2)\) leaf nodes and \( n \) internal vertices each having degree exactly 3. Such rooted are among the trees listed by the directed Matrix Tree Theorem identity given by
\[
\sum_{|f^{(2n+1)}((0, \cdots, 2n+1))|=1} \prod_{0 \leq i < 2n+2} A[i, f(i)] = \sum_{0 \leq i < 2n+2} \det \left( \text{diag} \left( A_1(2n+2) \times 1 \right) - A \right) \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0, \cdots, i - 1, i + 1, \cdots, 2n + 1 \\ 0, \cdots, i - 1, i + 1, \cdots, 2n + 1 \end{array} \right],
\]
\[
\text{(10)}
\]
where \( A \) denotes a symbolically weighted adjacency matrix for a complete graph on \( n \) vertices which include loop edges. We set the entries of the adjacency matrix (with commutative symbolic entries) for the complete directed graph which include loop edges as follows

\[
A[i,j] = \begin{cases} 
  a_{ij} x_i x_j & \text{if } 0 \leq i \neq j < 2n + 2 \\
  a_{ii} & \text{if } 0 \leq i = j < 2n + 2
\end{cases}.
\]

The expression

\[
F_{d,2n+2}(A) = \left( \sum_{\{f(2n+1)\mid \{0,\ldots,2n+1\}\}} \prod_{0 \leq i < 2n+1} A[i,f(i)] \mod \{x_j^{d+1}\}_{0 \leq j < 2n+1} \right) \mod \{x_j^2\}_{0 \leq j < 2n+1},
\]

(11)

retains only the trees whose vertex degrees are either \( d \) or 1. The SageMath code setup for expressing the polynomial \( F_{d,n}(A) \) is as follow:

```sage
# Loading the Hypermatrix package
load('./Hypermatrix_Algebra_Package_code.sage')

# Initialization of the size parameter and order parameter
sz=4; od=2

# Initialization of the list of variable
X=var_list('x',sz); Y=var_list('y',sz)

# Initialization of the symbolic adjacency matrix
tmpA=HM(sz,sz,'a'); A=HM(sz,sz,'zero')
for i in rg(sz):
    for j in rg(sz):
        if i == j:
        else:
            A[i,j]=X[i]*tmpA[i,j]*X[j]

# Initialization of the directed Laplacian matrix
lA=HM(od,(A+HM(sz,1,'one')).list(),'diag')-A

# Initialization of the list of principal submatrices of the Laplacian
LlA=[HM(sz-1,sz-1,[lA[i,j] for j in rg(sz) for i in rg(sz) if j!=t if i!=t]) for t in rg(sz)]

# Initialization of the linear combination of principal minors
f=expand(sum(LlA[t].det()*tmpA[t,t] for t in rg(sz)))

# Performing the reduction modulo the first family of algebraic relations
d=4; VrbL=X; Rlts=[X[i]^d for i in rg(len(Y))]
```
for v in range(len(VrbL)):
    for d in range(f.degree(VrbL[v])-Rlts[v].degree(VrbL[v]),-1,-1):
        f=expand(fast_reduce(f,[VrbL[v]^(d+Rlts[v].degree(VrbL[v]))],\
        [VrbL[v]^(d+Rlts[v].degree(VrbL[v]))-expand(Rlts[v]*VrbL[v]^d)]))

# Performing the reduction modulo the second family of algebraic relations
for d in range(len(VrbL)):
    for v in range(len(VrbL)):
        d=3; VrbL=X; Rlts=[X[i]^d-Y[i] for i in range(len(Y))]
        # Performing the reduction modulo the second family of algebraic relations
        [VrbL[v]^(d+Rlts[v].degree(VrbL[v]))-expand(Rlts[v]*VrbL[v]^d)]

# Performing the reduction modulo the third family of algebraic relations
for d in range(len(VrbL)):
    for v in range(len(VrbL)):
        d=2; VrbL=X; Rlts=[X[i]^d for i in range(len(X))]

Running the code yields

\[
F_{3,4}(A) = a_{00}a_{10}a_{20}a_{30}x_1x_2x_3y_0 + a_{01}a_{11}a_{21}a_{31}x_1x_2x_3y_0 + a_{02}a_{12}a_{22}a_{32}x_1x_2x_3y_0 + a_{03}a_{13}a_{23}a_{33}x_1x_2x_3y_0 +
\]

\[
a_{00}a_{10}a_{21}a_{31}x_0x_2x_3y_1 + a_{01}a_{11}a_{21}a_{32}x_0x_2x_3y_1 + a_{02}a_{12}a_{22}a_{31}x_0x_2x_3y_1 + a_{03}a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}x_0x_2x_3y_1 +
\]

\[
a_{00}a_{11}a_{22}a_{30}x_0x_1x_3y_2 + a_{01}a_{12}a_{20}a_{32}x_0x_1x_3y_2 + a_{02}a_{13}a_{22}a_{30}x_0x_1x_3y_2 + a_{03}a_{11}a_{23}a_{30}x_0x_1x_3y_2 +
\]

\[
a_{00}a_{10}a_{20}a_{30}x_1x_2x_2y_3 + a_{01}a_{11}a_{21}a_{31}x_1x_2x_2y_3 + a_{02}a_{12}a_{22}a_{31}x_1x_2x_2y_3 + a_{03}a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}x_1x_2x_2y_3 +
\]

6 Illustrating the elimination method

6.1 Illustrating elimination for a system of equations of the first type

We start this section by illustrating the construct approach to Gaussian elimination. Let \( A(z) \in (\mathbb{K}^\mathbb{K})^{2 \times 2} \) and \( x(z) \in (\mathbb{K}^\mathbb{K})^{2 \times 1} \) for some skew field \( \mathbb{K} \) such that

\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
    a_{00}z + \left(\frac{c_0}{2}\right) & a_{01}z + \left(\frac{c_0}{2}\right) \\
    a_{10}z + \left(\frac{c_1}{2}\right) & a_{11}z + \left(\frac{c_1}{2}\right)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
x(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
    x_0 \\
    x_1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The corresponding linear system is

\[
0_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd}_{\Sigma}^{\text{G}}(A(z),x(z)) \iff \begin{cases}
    0 = a_{00}x_0 + a_{01}x_1 + (-1)c_0 \\
    0 = a_{10}x_0 + a_{11}x_1 + (-1)c_1
\end{cases}.
\]

We express the solutions to such a system by using four row operations. The first three put the system in Row Echelon Form (REF) and the last one gives rise to the Reduced Row Echelon Form (RREF).

The first row operation is the row linear combination

\[
-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}R_0 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad (12)
\]
which yields
\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= a_{00}x_0 + a_{01}x_1 + (-1)c_0 \\
0 &= (-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{10})x_0 + (-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})x_1 + (-1)(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0 + c_1)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \begin{cases}
0 = a_{00}x_0 + a_{01}x_1 + (-1)c_0 \\
0 = 0x_0 + (-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})x_1 + (-1)(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0 + c_1)
\end{cases}
\] (13)

The next two row operations are the row scaling operations
\[
\begin{align*}
a_{00}^{-1}R_0 & \rightarrow R_0 \\
(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}R_1 & \rightarrow R_1
\end{align*}
\]

which yield
\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= a_{00}^{-1}a_{00}x_0 + a_{00}^{-1}a_{01}x_1 + (-1)a_{00}^{-1}c_0 \\
0 &= 0x_0 + (-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})x_1 + (-1)(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0 + c_1)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \begin{cases}
0 = x_0 + a_{00}^{-1}a_{01}x_1 + (-1)a_{00}^{-1}c_0 \\
0 = 0x_0 + x_1 + (-1)(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0 + c_1)
\end{cases}
\] (14)

The row operations which put the system in REF can be performed instead on the constructs \(A(z)\) and \(x(z)\). More specifically the row linear combination operation \([2]\) changes \(A(z)\) to
\[
A_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & a_{00}^{-1}(a_{00}z + \left(\frac{-c_0}{2}\right)) \\
0 & a_{00}^{-1}(a_{00}z + \left(\frac{-c_0}{2}\right)) + (-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0 + c_1) z
\end{pmatrix}
\]

On the other hand, the effect of row scaling operations are more easily achieved by performing change of variables. For instance, the effect of the row scaling operations \([14]\) are obtained by performing the following variable change in \([13]\)
\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 &= a_{00}^{-1}y_0 \\
x_1 &= (-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}y_1
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow x(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}(a_{00}x_0) \\
(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}(a_{00}x_0 + a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11}x_1)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}y_0) \\
(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}y_1
\end{pmatrix} = y^*(z).
\] (16)

The resulting system in the variables \(y_0\) and \(y_1\) is in REF and given by
\[
0_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd} \sum (A_0(z), y^*(z))
\] (17)

which yields
\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= y_0 + a_{01}(a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}y_1 + (-1)c_0 \\
0 &= 0y_0 + y_1 + (-1)(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0 + a_{00}c_1)
\end{align*}
\] (18)

Finally, the RREF of the new system in the variables \(y_0\) and \(y_1\) is obtained by performing the row linear combination operation
\[
-a_{01}(a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{11})^{-1}R_1 + R_0 \rightarrow R_0
\]
Finally, the system is put in RREF via the row linear combination operation
\[
\mathbf{A}_1(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z + \left(-\frac{d_0}{2}\right) & z_0 + \left(-\frac{d_0}{2}\right) \\ z_0 + \left(-\frac{d_1}{2}\right) & z + \left(-\frac{d_1}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}
\]
where
\[
d_0 = c_0 - (c_0 b_{00}^{-1} b_{01} + c_1) \left( (-b_{10} b_{00}^{-1} b_{01} + b_{11})^{-1} b_{10} \right), \quad d_1 = c_0 b_{00}^{-1} b_{01} + c_1
\]
Both examples presented above are special cases of a general family of linear systems of equation. The solutions to these special systems are expressible as rational functions of the coefficients of \( z \) in \( \mathbf{A}(z) \) [GR91].
and given by
\[ 0_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}\sum (A(z), x(z)), \] (19)
more explicitly as
\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= a_{00} x_0 b_{00} + a_{01} x_1 b_{10} + (-1)c_0 \\
0 &= a_{10} x_0 b_{01} + a_{11} x_1 b_{11} + (-1)c_1
\end{align*}
\]

The following code snippets illustrates how to set up a such a system using SageMath

```sage
# Loading the Hypermatrix package
load('./Hypermatrix_Algebra_Package_code.sage')

# Initialization of the size parameter and the variables
sz=2; l=2

# Initialization of the morphism variable
z=var('z')

# Defining the list of variables
La=HM(sz,l,'a').list(); Lb=HM(sz,l,'b').list()
Lc=var_list('c',sz); Lx=var_list('x',l)

# Initialization of the Free algebra
F=FreeAlgebra(QQ,len(La+Lx+Lb+Lc+[z]),La+Lx+Lb+Lc+[z])
F.<a00,a10,a01,a11,x0,x1,b00,b10,b01,b11,c0,c1,z>=FreeAlgebra(QQ,len(La+Lx+Lb+Lc+[z]))

# Initialization of some temporary matrices used to initialize the constructs
Ha=HM(sz,l,[a00, a10, a01, a11]).transpose()
Hb=HM(sz,l,[b00, b10, b01, b11]).transpose()

# Initialization of the constructs
A=Ha.elementwise_product(z*Hb)-HM(sz,1,[c0,c1])*HM(1,l,[QQ(1/2) for i in rg(l)])
X=HM(l,1,[x0,x1])

# Computing the product
M=GeneralHypermatrixProductIV([A,X], sum, [z])
```

Running the code above initializes the constructs
\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2}c_0 + a_{00}z b_{00} & -\frac{1}{2}c_0 + a_{01}z b_{10} \\
-\frac{1}{2}c_1 + a_{10}z b_{01} & -\frac{1}{2}c_1 + a_{11}z b_{11}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad x(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and
\[ 0_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd}\sum (A(z), x(z)) \]
yields the system of equation
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
-c_0 + a_{00}x_0 b_{00} + a_{01}x_1 b_{10} \\
-c_1 + a_{10}x_0 b_{01} + a_{11}x_1 b_{11}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
The following theorem addresses the solvability of such general linear systems.

**Theorem**: Let \( A(z) \) and \( x(z) \) respectively denote the coefficient and the variable constructs given by
\[
A(z)[i,j] = a_{ij} z b_{ji} + \left(\frac{-c_i}{n}\right), \quad x(z)[j] = x_j, \; \forall \; 0 \leq i < m, 0 \leq j < n
\]  
(20)

The entries of the general solution to
\[
0_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_\Sigma(A(z), x(z)), 
\]  
(21)
cannot be expressed as rational functions of the coefficients of \( z \) in \( A(z) \).

**Proof**: Consider the Sylvester equation associated with the coefficient and variable constructs respectively given by
\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a \; z \; id_n + (-\frac{0}{2}) & id_m \; z \; b + (-\frac{0}{2}) \\ id_m \; z \; id_n + (-\frac{0}{2}) & (-id_m) \; z \; id_n + (-\frac{0}{2}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad x(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, 
\]  
(22)
where \( id_m \) and \( id_n \) denotes identity elements. It is well known that the system admits a unique solution iff
\[
\text{Resultant} \{ \det (x \; id_m - a), \; \det (x \; id_n + b) \} \neq 0
\]  
(23)
moreover exploiting the underlying matrix structure we know that
\[
m_{n+s+t}[n \cdot i + j, n \cdot u + v] = \begin{cases} (id_m \otimes a + b^\top \otimes id_n) [n \cdot i + j, n \cdot u + v] & \text{if } n \cdot u + v \neq n \cdot s + t \\ c[i,j] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]  
(24)
and in particular the solution is expressed by
\[
x[s,t] = \frac{\det(m_{n+s+t})}{\det(id_m \otimes a + b^\top \otimes id_n)}. 
\]  
(25)
But we see that such expressions are not expressible as rational functions of the coefficient, thereby concluding our proof.

We now proceed to illustrate on (19) the steps used to express solutions to systems of general system of linear equations over skew field (20). The first row operation is
\[
A(z) \rightarrow A_0(z)
\]  
(26)
yields
\[
A_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (I_2 \otimes a_{00}) z (I_2 \otimes b_{00}) + (-\frac{I_2 \otimes c_0}{2}) & (I_2 \otimes a_{01}) z (I_2 \otimes b_{10}) + (-\frac{I_2 \otimes c_1}{2}) \\ 0_{2 \times 2} \; z \; 0_{2 \times 2} - \frac{(f-a_{10} a_{00}^{-1} c_0 b_{01}^{-1} b_{10}) \oplus (c_1-f)}{2} & (a_{10} a_{00}^{-1} + a_{11}) z (b_{01}^{-1} b_{01} + b_{11}) - \frac{(f-a_{10} a_{00}^{-1} c_0 b_{01}^{-1} b_{10}) \oplus (c_1-f)}{2} \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(27)
and

\[ \mathbf{x}(z) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} I_2 \otimes x_0 \\ I_2 \otimes x_1 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(28)

\[ \Rightarrow I_2 \otimes x_1 = (a_{10}a_{00}^{-1} + a_{11})^{-1} \left( \frac{f - a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0b_{00}^{-1}b_{01} + (c_1 - f)}{2} \right) (b_{00}^{-1}b_{01} + b_{11})^{-1} \]  

(29)

\[ \Rightarrow f = a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0b_{00}^{-1}b_{01} + a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{11}(c_1 - f) b_{11}^{-1}b_{00}^{-1}b_{01} \]  

(30)

The equality expresses a rational series determined by the recurrence

\[ f_0 = f, \quad f_{k+1} = a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}c_0b_{00}^{-1}b_{01} + a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{11}(c_1 - f_k) b_{11}^{-1}b_{00}^{-1}b_{01} \]  

(31)

As ansatz we assert that the equality \( f = f_\infty \) expresses the free variable as a rational series expansion of the coefficients of \( \mathbf{A}(z) \). Having thus expressed \( x_1 \) in terms of the coefficients of \( \mathbf{A}(z) \) back substitution yields \( x_0 \). Note that the series relates to automata theory, on the other hand there are special cases where it is clear that the solution are expressible as rational functions of the entries. These cases are associated with left-right linear systems.

### 6.2 Illustrating elimination for a system equations of the second type

We illustrate the elimination method on a system of the second type. Consider the system of two equations in the unknowns \( x_0, x_1 \) expressed in terms of

\[ \mathbf{A}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{00}}{z^{a_{00}}} & \frac{a_{01}}{z^{a_{01}}} \\ \frac{b_{00}}{z^{b_{00}}} & \frac{b_{01}}{z^{b_{01}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(32)

given by

\[ \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd}_I(\mathbf{A}(z), \mathbf{x}(z)), \]  

(33)

more explicitly given by

\[
\begin{cases}
1 = x_0^{a_{00}} \cdot x_1^{a_{01}} \cdot b_0^{-1} \\
1 = x_0^{a_{10}} \cdot x_1^{a_{11}} \cdot b_1^{-1}.
\end{cases}
\]

The system is put in REF via the row log-linear combination operation

\[
\left( R_0^a_{00} \right)^{-a_{10}} R_1 \rightarrow R_1
\]

(34)

which yields

\[
\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \begin{cases}
1 = x_0^{a_{00}} \cdot x_1^{a_{01}} \cdot b_0^{-1} \\
1 = (x_0)^{(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{00} + a_{10})} \cdot (x_1)^{(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{01} + a_{11})} \cdot \left( (b_0e^{2\pi ik})^{a_{00}} \right)^{-a_{10}} b_1^{-1},
\end{cases}
\]

(35)

\[
\Rightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \begin{cases}
1 = x_0^{a_{00}} \cdot x_1^{a_{01}} \cdot b_0^{-1} \\
1 = (x_0)^{0} \cdot (x_1)^{(-a_{10}a_{00}^{-1}a_{01} + a_{11})} \cdot \left( (b_0e^{2\pi ik})^{a_{00}} \right)^{-a_{10}} b_1^{-1}.
\end{cases}
\]

(36)
The row log-linear combination operation therefore effects the change
\[
A_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{z^{a_{00}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{z^{a_{01}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} \\
\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{b_0}} \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]
(37)

The following change of variable will allow us to transform the pivots to 1.
\[
x(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
(x_0^{a_{00}})^{a_{00}} & (x_1^{a_{00}})^{a_{00}} \\
(\frac{-a_{10}a_{00}a_{01}+a_{11}}{-a_{10}a_{00}a_{01}+a_{11}})^{a_{00}} & (\frac{-a_{10}a_{00}a_{01}+a_{11}}{-a_{10}a_{00}a_{01}+a_{11}})^{a_{00}} \\
\end{pmatrix} = y^*(z).
\]
(38)

The original system can thus be re-written as
\[
1_{2 \times 1} = GProd_\Pi (A_0(z), y^*(z)).
\]
Finally, the system is put in RREF via the row log-linear combination operation
\[
A_0(z) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}
(\frac{z^{a_{00}}}{\sqrt{b_0}}) & (\frac{z^{a_{01}}}{\sqrt{b_0}}) \\
\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{b_0}} \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]
(39)

where
\[
d_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
\left(\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}}\right)^{-1} & (\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}})^{-1} \\
(\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}})^{-1} & (\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}})^{-1} \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
d_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
\left(\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}}\right)^{-1} & (\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}})^{-1} \\
(\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}})^{-1} & (\frac{b_0e^{2\pi k_0}}{a_{00}})^{-1} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The solution to the equations can be read from the RREF as \((y_0, y_1) = (d_0, d_1)\). The original unknown variables

\[
x(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
d_0^{a_{00}} \\
d_1^{a_{00}} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

6.3 Illustrating elimination for a system equations of the third type

We illustrate the method of elimination on systems of the third type. Consider the system of two equations in the unknowns \(x_0, x_1\) expressed in terms of
\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_{00}^{a_{00}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{a_{01}^{a_{01}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} \\
\frac{a_{10}^{a_{10}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{a_{11}^{a_{11}}}{\sqrt{b_0}} \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]
(40)

given by
\[
1_{2 \times 1} = GProd_\Pi (A(z), B(z)).
\]
(41)
more explicitly written as

\[
\begin{align*}
1 &= a_{00}^{x_0} \cdot a_{01}^{x_1} \cdot b_0^{-1}, \\
1 &= a_{10}^{x_0} \cdot a_{11}^{x_1} \cdot b_1^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

The first row log-linear combination on the system is

\[
R_0 \cdot R_1 \rightarrow R_1
\]

which yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
1 = a_{00}^{x_0} \cdot \left( a_{00}^{a_{00}^{x_0}} a_{10} \right)^{x_0} \cdot \left( a_{01}^{a_{01}^{x_1}} a_{11} \right)^{x_1} \cdot \left( b_0 e^{i2\pi k} \right)^{-1}, \\
1 = a_{10}^{x_0} \cdot \left( a_{00}^{a_{00}^{x_0}} a_{10} \right)^{x_0} \cdot \left( a_{01}^{a_{01}^{x_1}} a_{11} \right)^{x_1} \cdot \left( b_0 e^{i2\pi k} \right)^{-1}.
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

The row log-linear combination operation therefore effects

\[
A(z) \cdot a_0(z) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\frac{a_{00}^{x_0}}{\sqrt{b_0}} & \frac{a_{10}^{x_0}}{\sqrt{b_0}} \\
\sqrt{b_0 e^{i2\pi k} a_{00}^{a_{00}^{x_0}} a_{11}} & \sqrt{b_0 e^{i2\pi k} a_{10}^{a_{00}^{x_0}} a_{11}}
\end{array} \right). \tag{45}
\]

The following change of variable will allow us to transform the pivots to 1.

\[
x(z) = \exp \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
x_0 (\ln a_{00})^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\ln a_{00}} \\
x_1 \ln \left( a_{01}^{a_{01}^{x_1}} a_{11} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\ln \left( a_{01}^{a_{01}^{x_1}} a_{11} \right)}
\end{array} \right\} = \exp \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{y_0}{\ln a_{00}} \\
\frac{y_1}{\ln a_{01} a_{01}^{a_{00}^{x_1}} a_{11}}
\end{array} \right\} = y^*(z). \tag{46}
\]

The original system can thus be re-written as

\[
\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1} = \text{GProd} \prod (A_0(z), y^*(z)).
\]

The system is put in RREF via the row log-linear combination operation

\[
\begin{align*}
\forall k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
1 = e^{y_0} \cdot e^{y_1} \cdot \left( b_0 e^{i2\pi k_0} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left( b_0 e^{i2\pi k_1} \right)^{-1} b_0 \\
1 = e^{y_0} \cdot e^{y_1} \cdot \left( b_0 e^{i2\pi k_0} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left( b_0 e^{i2\pi k_1} \right)^{-1} b_0
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]
Equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A}_0(z) & \quad \rightarrow \\
\mathbf{A}_1(z) &= \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{-\ln a_{01}}{\ln a_{01}} \\
\frac{-\ln a_{10}}{\ln a_{01}} a_{11}
\end{array} \right) R_0 \quad \rightarrow \\
& = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{e^z}{\sqrt{d_0}} \\
\frac{e^{0z}}{\sqrt{d_1}}
\end{array} \right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
d_0 = \left( \begin{array}{c}
(b_0 e^{i\pi k_0})^{-\ln a_{10}} \\
(b_1 e^{i\pi k_1})^{-\ln a_{01}} a_{11}
\end{array} \right),
$$

and

$$
d_1 = \left( \begin{array}{c}
(b_0 e^{i\pi k})^{-\ln a_{10}} \\
(b_1 e^{i\pi k})^{-\ln a_{01}}
\end{array} \right) -1.
$$

The solution to the equations can be read from the RREF as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
y_0 \\
y_1
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\ln d_0 \\
\ln d_1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

We omit the cumbersome expression of \( x(z) \).

7 Eliminants and Remnants

7.1 Row operations for systems of the first type

Consider a system of equations of the first type associated with the coefficient construct \( \mathbf{A}(z) \in (\mathbb{K}^\mathbb{K})^{m \times n} \) and the variable construct \( \mathbf{x}(z) \) of size \( n \times 1 \) given by

$$
\mathbf{A}(z) [i,j] = a_{ij} z b_{ji} + \left( -\frac{c_i}{n} \right), \quad \mathbf{x}(z) [j] = x_j, \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i < m \\
0 \leq j < n
\end{array} \right.
$$

The corresponding system is

$$
\mathbf{0}_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_\Sigma (\mathbf{A}(z), \mathbf{x}(z)).
$$

The existence of solutions expressible as formal series in the coefficients of \( z \) in the construct \( \mathbf{A}(z) \) is established by combining the following three kinds of row operations. The first kind of row operation is the row linear combination specified as follows

$$
\alpha R_i + \beta R_j \rightarrow R_j,
$$

for some non-zero \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K} \). The second kind of row operation is the row exchange specified for \( i \neq j \) by

$$
R_i \leftrightarrow R_j.
$$

Note that those two row operations change \( \mathbf{A}(z) \) while leaving \( \mathbf{x}(z) \) unchanged. The third kind of row operation is the change of variable expressed by

$$
\forall 0 \leq j < n, \quad y_j = \alpha x_j \beta.
$$
7.2 Row operations for systems of the second and third types

A system of equation of the second type is associated with an exponent constructs \( A(z) \in (\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{C})^{m \times n} \) of the form

\[
A(z)[i, j] = \frac{z^{a_{ij}}}{\sqrt{b_i}}, \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i < m \\
0 \leq j < n
\end{array} \right.,
\]

while a system of the third type is associated with a base constructs \( A(z) \in (\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{C})^{m \times n} \) of the form

\[
A(z)[i, j] = \frac{a_{ij} z^{b_i}}{\sqrt{b_i}}, \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i < m \\
0 \leq j < n
\end{array} \right..
\]

In both cases the variable construct \( x(z) \) of size \( n \times 1 \) is given by

\[
x(z)[j] = x_j, \quad \forall \ 0 \leq j < n
\]

and the corresponding system is

\[
1_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_\Pi (A(z), x(z)).
\]

The existence of solutions is established by combining the following three kinds of row operations. The first kind of row operation is the row log-linear combination specified as follows

\[
(R_i)^\alpha \cdot R_j \to R_j,
\]

for some non-zero \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \). Note that a row log-linear combination may be multivalued. The second kind of row operation is the row exchange specified for \( i \neq j \) by

\[
R_i \leftrightarrow R_j.
\]

The third kind of row operation is the change of variable expressed by

\[
\forall \ 0 \leq j < n, \quad y_j = x_j^\alpha.
\]

7.3 The elimination game

Given a system of any of the three types the goal of the elimination procedure is to put the system in RREF. It is clear from linear algebra what it means for a system of linear equations to be in REF or in RREF. To extend this notion to systems of the second and third type we introduce the degree matrix of a system. Let \( A(z) \in (\mathbb{K}^\mathbb{K})^{m \times n} \) denote the coefficient construct for a system of the first type given by

\[
0_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_\Sigma (A(z), x(z))
\]

or alternatively let \( A(z) \in (\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{C})^{m \times n} \) denote the exponent or base construct respectively for a system of the second or third type given by

\[
1_{m \times 1} = \text{GProd}_\Pi (A(z), x(z)).
\]

The degree matrix of the system noted \( D(A(z)) \) is an \( m \times n \) matrix whose entries are specified by

\[
D(A(z))[i, j] = \text{degree of } z \text{ in } A(z)[i, j], \quad \forall \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq i < m \\
0 \leq j < n
\end{array} \right. .
\]
Consequently, a system is in REF and in RREF if its degree matrix is in REF and in RREF respectively. The elimination algorithm seeks to combine row operations in order to put the system in RREF. We conclude by discussing settings where \( b_i = 0 \) for some indices \( 0 \leq i < n \) in a given system of the second or third type. This poses some difficulties. If \( b_i = 0 \) for some index \( 0 \leq i < m \) in (54), then the corresponding constraint is of the form

\[
\frac{0}{0} = \left( \prod_{0 \leq j < n} (x_j)^{a_{ij}} \right)^{0-1}.
\] (63)

Any such constraint is meaningless unless at least one of the factors in the set \( \{(x_j)^{a_{ij}}\}_{0 \leq j < n} \) is zero. The possible choices of factors to set to zero lead to a combinatorial branching of options. On the other hand if the \( b_i = 0 \) for some index \( 0 \leq i < m \) in (55), then the corresponding system admits no bounded solutions.

Note that allowing for the constant terms in \( A(z) \) to be zero in systems of the first type poses no difficulties for the elimination procedure.

### 7.4 Application to matrix scaling.

We illustrate the elimination method on \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix scaling instance specified by the constraints

\[
\text{diag}\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{array} \right) \right\} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 4b_0 & -4b_1 \\ -4b_2 & 4b_3 \end{array} \right) \text{diag}\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array} \right) \right\} = 4^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})^2 & - (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})^2 \\ - (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})^2 & (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})^2 \end{array} \right)
\]

equivalently expressed as the set of constraints

\[
\begin{align*}
1 &= x_0 x_2 \left( e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta} \right)^{-2} b_0^{-1}, \\
1 &= x_0 x_3 \left( e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta} \right)^{-2} b_1^{-1}, \\
1 &= x_1 x_2 \left( e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta} \right)^{-2} b_2^{-1}, \\
1 &= x_1 x_3 \left( e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta} \right)^{-2} b_3^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

These constraints can thus be expressed in terms of the exponent and variable constructs respectively given by

\[
A(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{x_0}{x_1} & \frac{x_0}{x_3} & \frac{x_0}{x_2} & \frac{x_0}{x_3} \\
\frac{x_0}{x_1} & \frac{x_0}{x_2} & \frac{x_0}{x_3} & \frac{x_0}{x_3} \\
\frac{x_0}{x_1} & \frac{x_0}{x_2} & \frac{x_0}{x_3} & \frac{x_0}{x_3} \\
\frac{x_0}{x_1} & \frac{x_0}{x_2} & \frac{x_0}{x_3} & \frac{x_0}{x_3}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad x(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
x_0 \\
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_3
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta} \\
e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

We chose this example because of its simplicity and also because it illustrates a system of equations which combines all three types of systems of equations. The code setup for getting a feel for the solution is as follows.
# Loading the Hypermatrix package
load('./Hypermatrix_Algebra_Package_code.sage')

# Initialization of the angle parametrization variable
theta=var('theta')

# Initialization of the matrices
A=HM(4,6,[1,1,0,0, 0,0,1,1, 1,0,1,0, 0,1,0,1, -2,0,0,-2, 0,-2,-2,0])
b=HM(4,1,var_list('b', 4))

# Initialization of the constraint variables
Mx=HM(A.n(1),1,[exp(I*theta)+exp(-I*theta),exp(I*theta)-exp(-I*theta)])
Mv=HM(A.n(1),1,var_list('t',6))

# Performing the elimination procedure to obtain pivot variable prescriptions
TmpSln=multiplicative_linear_solverHM(A,b,Mx,Mv)

# Expressing the solution
Tx=HM(A.n(1),1,[exp(I*theta)+exp(-I*theta),exp(I*theta)-exp(-I*theta)])
X=HM(A.n(1),1,[exp(I*theta)+exp(-I*theta),var('t5')]).subs(TmpSln)
Sln=[Tx[i,0]==X[i,0] for i in rg(6)]

Running the code above yields the solution

\[
\begin{align*}
Sln = \left[\begin{array}{l}
x_0 = \frac{b_1 t_5^2 e^{(2i \pi k_0)}}{t_3}, \quad x_1 = \frac{b_3 t_5^2 \sqrt{\frac{b_2^2}{b_0 b_3}} e^{(i \pi k_0)}}{t_3}, \quad x_2 = \frac{b_2 t_3 e^{(-i \pi k_0)}}{b_3 \sqrt{\frac{b_1 b_2}{b_0 b_3}}}, \\
x_3 = t_3, \quad e^{i \theta} + e^{-i \theta} = t_5 \left( \frac{b_1 b_2}{b_0 b_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\left( \frac{i}{2} \pi k_0 \right)} e^{i \theta} - e^{(-i \theta)} = t_5
\end{array}\right].
\]

The explicit form of the solution is derived by rewriting the solution as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
  x_0 &= \frac{b_1 t_5^2 e^{(2i \pi k_0)}}{t_3} \\
x_1 &= \frac{b_3 t_5^2 \sqrt{\frac{b_2^2}{b_0 b_3}} e^{(i \pi k_0)}}{t_3} \\
x_2 &= \frac{b_2 t_3 e^{(-i \pi k_0)}}{b_3 \sqrt{\frac{b_1 b_2}{b_0 b_3}}} \\
x_3 &= t_3 \\
(e^{i \theta} + e^{-i \theta}) &= t_5 \left( \frac{b_1 b_2}{b_0 b_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\left( \frac{i}{2} \pi k_0 \right)} e^{i \theta} - e^{(-i \theta)} &= t_5
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
\]
We illustrate here with an illustration of a spectral decomposition for constructs. The constructs

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 &= \frac{b_1 t_3^2 e^{(2i \pi k_0)}}{b_1 t_5^2} \\
x_1 &= \frac{b_3 t_5^2 \sqrt{b_1 t_5^2 e^{(2i \pi k_0)}}}{b_3 t_4^2} \\
x_2 &= \frac{b_4 t_3^2 e^{(-i \pi k_0)}}{b_4 t_2^2} \\
x_3 &= \frac{b_5 t_2^2}{b_5 t_3^2}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
e^{i \theta} = \frac{t_3}{t_5} \left( \frac{b_1 b_3 t_5^2}{b_1 t_5^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{\left( \frac{i}{2} \pi k_0 \right)} + 1
\]

\[
e^{-i \theta} = \frac{t_3}{t_5} \left( \frac{b_1 b_3 t_5^2}{b_1 t_5^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{\left( \frac{i}{2} \pi k_0 \right) - 1}
\]

\[
x_0 = \frac{b_1 t_5^2 e^{(2i \pi k_0)}}{b_1 t_5^2} \\
x_1 = \frac{b_3 t_5^2 \sqrt{b_1 t_5^2 e^{(2i \pi k_0)}}}{b_3 t_4^2} \\
x_2 = \frac{b_4 t_3^2 e^{(-i \pi k_0)}}{b_4 t_2^2} \\
x_3 = \frac{b_5 t_2^2}{b_5 t_3^2}
\]

\[
e^{i \theta} = \frac{t_5}{t_3} \left( \frac{b_1 b_3 t_5^2}{b_1 t_5^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{\left( \frac{i}{2} \pi k_0 \right)} + 1
\]

\[
1 = \left( \frac{t_5}{t_3} \right)^2 \left( \frac{b_1 b_3 t_5^2}{b_1 t_5^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{\left( \frac{i}{2} \pi k_0 \right) - 1}
\]

The free variables are \( t_3 \) and \( t_4 \). If a solution exists, there must be infinitely many of them. We see from the derivation that the existence of solutions is determined by the equation

\[
1 = \left( \frac{t_5}{t_3} \right)^2 \left( \frac{b_1 b_3 t_5^2}{b_1 t_5^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{\left( \frac{i}{2} \pi k_0 \right) - 1}
\]

which is related to both the permanent and determinant of the input matrix. The obtained solution therefore explicitly determines solutions to the matrix scaling problem for matrices generated from \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices via a finite combination of direct sum and Kronecker products.

8 Illustration of a spectral decomposition for constructs.

We illustrate here with \( 2 \times 2 \) constructs an approach to defining a spectral decomposition. The constructs

\[
U(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & \ln z \\ \frac{z}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \ln z & \ln (-z) \\ e^z & e^z \end{pmatrix}
\]
are subject to
\[\text{GProd}_\Sigma \left\{ \left( \frac{e^z}{e^z \ln z}, \frac{\ln z}{\ln z} \right), \left( \ln z, \ln (-z) \right) \right\} = \left( z, 0 \right).\]

We consider expressions of the form
\[\text{GProd}_\Sigma \left\{ \left( \frac{e^z}{e^z \ln z}, \frac{\ln z}{\ln z} \right), \text{GProd}_\Sigma \left\{ \left( \lambda_0 (z), 0 \lambda_1 (z) \right), \left( \ln z, \ln (-z) \right) \right\} \right\} =
\text{GProd}_\Sigma \left\{ \left( \frac{e^z}{e^z \ln z}, \frac{\ln z}{\ln z} \right), \left( \lambda_0 (\ln z), \lambda_1 (e^z) \right) \right\}\]
or alternatively
\[\text{GProd}_\Sigma \left\{ \text{GProd}_\Sigma \left\{ \left( \frac{e^z}{e^z \ln z}, \frac{\ln z}{\ln z} \right), \left( \lambda_0 (z), 0 \lambda_1 (z) \right) \right\}, \left( \ln z, \ln (-z) \right) \right\} =
\text{GProd}_\Sigma \left\{ \left( \frac{e^z}{e^z \ln z}, \frac{\ln z}{\ln z} \right), \left( \lambda_0 (\ln z), \lambda_1 (e^z) \right) \right\}\]
which define the spectral decomposition of the construct
\[2^{-1} \left( e^{\lambda_0 (\ln z)} + \ln (\lambda_1 (e^z)) - e^{\lambda_0 (\ln (-z))} + \ln (\lambda_1 (e^z)) \right) \text{ for } \lambda_1 (z), \lambda_2 (z) \subset \mathbb{C}.\]

More generally the spectral decomposition of a construct \( A (z) \in (\mathbb{C}^\Sigma)^{n \times n} \) is defined in terms of constructs \( U (z) \) and \( V (z) \) subject to
\[\text{GProd}_\Sigma (U (z), V (z)) = z I_n,\]
and the equality
\[A (z) = \text{GProd}_\Sigma \left( U (z), \text{GProd}_\Sigma \left( \text{diag} \left( \lambda_0 (z), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} (z) \right), V (z) \right) \right) = \text{GProd}_\Sigma \left( \text{GProd}_\Sigma \left( U (z), \text{diag} \left( \lambda_0 (z), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} (z) \right) \right), V (z) \right)\]
for \( \{ \lambda_i (z) \}_{0 \leq i < n} \subset \mathbb{C}.\)
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