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ABSTRACT. We enumerate a necessary condition for the existence of infinitely many geo-
metrically distinct prime non-constant closed geodesics on an arbitrary closed Riemannian
manifold \( M \). That is, we show that any Riemannian metric on \( M \) admits infinitely many
prime closed geodesics such that the energy functional \( E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R} \) has infinitely many
non-degenerate critical points on the free loop space \( \Lambda M \) of Sobolev class \( H^1 = W^{1,2} \).

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \( M \) be a multiply connected Riemannian manifold. Then the conjugacy classes in the
fundamental group \( \pi_1(M) \) may be mapped bijectively onto the free homotopy classes of
closed loops on \( M \). Closed geodesics are generated by undergoing an energy minimiz-
ing procedure. Thus, every closed multiply connected Riemannian manifold \( M \) carries a
closed geodesic. Likewise, every closed simply connected Riemannian manifold \( M \) carries
a closed geodesic according to Lyusternik and Fet \([9]\). The existence of at least one non-
trivial (non-constant) closed geodesic on a closed multiply-connected Riemannian manifold
follows from properties of the gradient flow of the energy functional.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume \( M \) is closed and \( \pi_1(M) \) is non-trivial. There is a closed geodesic
in each non-trivial free homotopy class or, commensurately, in each non-trivial conjugacy
class of the fundamental group \( \pi_1(M) \).

The aforementioned theorem is only valid for \( \pi_1(M) \) non-trivial, i.e. when \( M \) is multiply-
connected. The theorem of Lyusternik-Fet covers the case for \( M \) simply connected.

**Theorem 1.2** (Lyusternik-Fet \([9]\)). Any closed simply connected Riemannian manifold
carries at least one closed geodesic.

**Definition 1.3.** A non-constant closed geodesic is said to prime if it traces out its image
exactly once and is not the iterate of another closed geodesic. Similarly, two geodesics are
geometrically distinct if their images differ as subsets of \( M \).

Two geodesics have the same image if and only if their parameterizations differ modulo an
affine transformation of \( \mathbb{R} \). Note, in general, prime closed geodesics are not simple; that is,
they admit self-intersections. We henceforth address the following question.

**Question.** Does every closed Riemannian manifold \( M \) admit infinitely many geometrically
distinct prime non-constant closed geodesics?

The case for \( S^2 \) has been proven in the affirmative by Hingston \([6]\). However, the solu-
tion is not known in general. In the case of multiply connected manifolds, we can enumerate a class for which the fundamental group \( \pi_1(M) \) has infinitely many closed geodesics,
whereby the minimization procedure produces infinitely many geometrically-distinct ones (one for each homotopy class). As such, the essence of the problem is reduced to the simply connected case, which was addressed by Gromoll and Meyer [4].

**Notation.**

We will use the following conventional notations.

(i) \( \mathcal{L}M \) denotes the smooth free loop space on a manifold \( M \).
(ii) \( \Lambda M \) denotes the free loop space of Sobolev class \( H^1 \) on \( M \).
(iii) \( \mathbb{F}_p \) denotes the field with \( p \) elements for \( p \geq 2 \) prime.
(iv) \( b_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}_p) := \text{rk}H_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}_p) \) is the \( k \)-th Betti number of \( \Lambda M \) in \( \mathbb{F}_p \) coefficients, which is equivalent to the \( k \)-th Betti number of \( \mathcal{L}M \) in \( \mathbb{F}_p \) coefficients.

**Definition 1.4.** Closed geodesics \( \gamma : S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to M \) are critical points of the energy functional

\[
E[\gamma] = \int_{S^1} \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 dt,
\]

which is defined on the free loop space \( \Lambda M = H^1(S^1, M) \) of Sobolev class \( H^1 \).

Thus, the critical points of \( E \) are determined by the topology of the Hilbert manifold \( \Lambda M \). Let \( \nabla : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM) \) be the Levi-Civita connection on \( M \). For a smooth curve \( \gamma : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to M \), the covariant derivative \( \nabla \dot{\gamma} := \nabla_t \dot{\gamma} \) defines a vector field along \( \gamma \). The curve \( \gamma \) is said to be a geodesic if it satisfies the autoparallel transport equation

\[
\nabla_t \dot{\gamma} = 0.
\]

A geodesic has constant speed \( \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| \) because \( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{\gamma}\|^2 = \langle \nabla_t \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle \). Equation (2) is a second order non-linear ordinary differential equation with smooth coefficients.

Let \( p, q \in M \) be distinct points, and consider the space of smooth paths defined on \([0,1]\) from \( p \) to \( q \),

\[
P(p, q) = \{ \gamma \in C^\infty([0, 1], M) : \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q \}.
\]

The space of such paths \( P(p, q) \) is a Fréchet manifold and the tangent space at a path \( \gamma \) is defined as \( T_\gamma P(p, q) = \{ \xi \in \Gamma(\gamma^*TM) : \xi(0) = 0, \xi(1) = 0 \} \). That is, an element \( \xi \in T_\gamma P(p, q) \) uniquely determines a curve

\[
\alpha_\xi : \mathbb{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R} \to P(p, q), \quad \alpha_\xi(s) := \exp_{\gamma(t)}(s\xi(t)),
\]

where \( \alpha_\xi(0) = \gamma \) and \( \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}\alpha_\xi(s)(t) = \xi(t) \), \( t \in [0, 1] \). The energy functional \( E : P(p, q) \to \mathbb{R} \) for this Fréchet manifold is defined as

\[
E[\gamma] := \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 dt.
\]

Similarly, the differential of \( E \) at \( \gamma \) is a linear map \( dE[\gamma] : T_\gamma P(p, q) \to \mathbb{R} \) given by the first variation formula via its action on a smooth section of the tangent bundle \( \xi \in T_\gamma P(p, q) \),

\[
dE[\gamma]\xi = 2 \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_t \xi, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = -2 \int_0^1 \langle \xi, \nabla_t \dot{\gamma} \rangle.
\]

**Remark 1.5.** The curve \( \gamma \in C^\infty([0, 1], M) \) is a critical point of \( E \) if and only if \( \nabla_t \dot{\gamma} = 0 \); that is, \( \gamma \) is a geodesic from \( p \) to \( q \).
For our purposes, we consider Banach and, indeed, Hilbert manifolds. Consider the following space of paths of Sobolev class $H^1$ defined on $[0,1]$ from $p$ to $q$ as the domain for the energy functional,

$$
\Omega(p, q) = \{ \gamma \in H^1([0,1], M) : \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q \}.
$$

Such paths are continuous so the endpoint conditions are well-defined. The space $\Omega(p, q)$ is in fact a smooth Hilbert manifold with tangent space at $\gamma \in \Omega(p, q)$ given by the vector space of vector fields along $\gamma$ belonging to the Sobolev class $H^1$ and vanishing at endpoints,

$$
T_\gamma \Omega(p, q) = \{ \xi \in H^1(\gamma^*TM) : \xi(0) = 0, \xi(1) = 0 \}.
$$

The energy functional $E : \Omega(p, q) \to \mathbb{R}$ is $C^2$. The critical points are the $H^1$-solutions of the autoparallel transport equation $\nabla_t \dot{\gamma} = 0$, which is elliptic. As such, the geodesic solutions are necessarily smooth.

Consider the length functional

$$
L : \mathcal{P}(p, q) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad L[\gamma] := \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 dt.
$$

The functional $L$ is invariant under the action of the infinite-dimensional group of diffeomorphisms on the interval $[0,1]$, i.e. $L[\gamma(gt)] = L[\gamma(t)]$ for all $g \in G := \text{Diffeo}([0,1])$. Thus, the critical points of $L$ form infinite-dimensional families of solutions. The degeneracy is rectified by observing that some path $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(p, q)$ admits positive reparameterizations on $[0,1]$ with constant speed. A path $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(p, q)$ is a geodesic if and only if it is a critical point of the length functional $L[\gamma]$ and has constant speed.

Consider the quotient space $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and the space of smooth loops in $M$

$$
\mathcal{L}M := C^\infty(S^1, M),
$$

which is a Fréchet manifold on which the 2-dimensional orthogonal group $O(2) = SO(2) \times \{ \pm 1 \}$ acts by $(e^{i\theta} \cdot \gamma)(t) := \gamma(t + \theta)$ and $(-1 \cdot \gamma)(t) := \gamma(-t)$. For economy, we consider the smooth Banach manifold

$$
\Lambda M := H^1(S^1, M),
$$

which is the so-called free loop space of $M$. The inclusion map $\mathcal{L}M \hookrightarrow \Lambda M$ is a homotopy equivalence, and the $O(2)$ action descends naturally to $\Lambda M$. Equation (3) defines a functional $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$, whose critical points are smooth periodic or closed geodesics. However, under present considerations, $E$ is $O(2)$-invariant which induces critical point degeneracy. Closed geodesics may be classified crudely by bifurcating isotropy groups. In particular, constant geodesics correspond to points in $M$ with isotropy group $O(2)$, whereas the isotropy group of a non-constant one is $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ for $1/k$ the minimal period with $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The tangent space at a point (identified with a path) $\gamma \in \Lambda M$ is $T_\gamma \Lambda M = H^1(\gamma^*TM)$, which is the space of sections of $\gamma^*TM$ of Sobolev class $H^1$ whereby $\gamma : S^1 \to M$ and $\gamma^* : T^*M \to T^*S^1$ is the pullback. The free loop space $\Lambda M$ of Sobolev class $H^1$ is a Hilbert manifold with respect to a $H^1$-inner product

$$
\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_1 := \int_{S^1} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle + \int_{S^1} \langle \nabla_t \xi, \nabla_t \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_0 + \langle \nabla_t \xi, \nabla_t \eta \rangle_0.
$$

The Arzelá-Ascoli theorem implies a compact inclusion $\Lambda M = H^1(S^1, M) \hookrightarrow C^0(S^1, M)$. This inclusion may used to prove the following result.
Proposition 1.6 (Klingenberg [8]). The Riemannian metric on $\Lambda M$ given by the $H^1$-inner product is complete.

2. Morse Theory

The gradient $\nabla E$ is a vector field on $\Lambda M$ defined by the inner product

$$\langle \nabla E[\gamma], \xi \rangle_1 := dE[\gamma] \xi = \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_t \xi \rangle_0, \quad \xi \in H^1(\gamma^*TM).$$

Furthermore, if $\gamma$ is smooth then $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_t \xi \rangle_0 = -\langle \nabla \dot{\gamma}, \xi \rangle_0$ such that $\nabla E[\gamma] \in \Gamma(\gamma^*TM)$ is the unique periodic solution of the differential equation,

$$\nabla_i^2 \eta(t) - \eta(t) = \nabla \dot{\gamma}(t).$$

The following, due to Palais and Smale, is necessary to extend Morse theory to infinite-dimensional setting of Hilbert manifolds such as $\Lambda M$.

Theorem 2.1 (Palais-Smale [12]). The energy functional $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies condition (C) of Palais and Smale:

(C) Let $(\gamma_m) \in \Lambda M$ be a sequence such that $E[\gamma_m]$ is bounded and $\|\nabla E[\gamma_m]\|_1 \to 0$. Then $(\gamma_m)$ has limit points and every limit point is a critical point of $E$.

Let $\text{Crit}(E) := \{ \gamma \in \Lambda M : dE[\gamma] = 0 \}$ denote the set of critical points of the energy functional, i.e., the set of closed geodesics on $M$. For $a \geq 0$, we denote

$$\Lambda^{\leq a} := \{ \gamma : E[\gamma] \leq a \},$$

$$\Lambda^{< a} := \{ \gamma : E[\gamma] < a \},$$

and

$$\Lambda^a := \{ \gamma : E[\gamma] = a \}.$$

The following properties of the negative gradient flow $\frac{d}{ds} \phi_s = -\nabla E(\phi_s)$ of the energy functional are direct consequences of the Palais Smale condition (C).

(i) $\text{Crit}(E) \cap \Lambda^{\leq a}$ is compact for all $a \geq 0$.

(ii) the flow $\phi_s$ is defined for all $s \geq 0$.

(iii) given an interval $[a, b]$ of regular values, there exists $s_0 \geq 0$ such that $\phi_s(\Lambda^{\leq b}) \subset \Lambda^{\leq a}$ for all $s \geq s_0$.

(iv) $\Lambda^0 \equiv M \subset \Lambda^{\leq \varepsilon}$ is a strong deformation retract via $\phi_s$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

Suppose $\gamma \in \text{Crit}(E)$ is a critical point. The $O(2)$-invariance of the energy functional $E$ means that the entire orbit $O(2) \cdot \gamma$ is contained entirely in $\text{Crit}(E)$.

Definition 2.2. The index $\lambda(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ is the dimension of the negative eigenspace of the Hessian $d^2E[\gamma]$.

Definition 2.3. The nullity $\nu(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ is the dimension of the null space of $d^2E[\gamma]$, i.e. $\nu(\gamma) := \dim(N(d^2E[\gamma]))$.

Note, $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle \in \ker(d^2E[\gamma])$ so $\nu(\gamma) \geq 1$ if $\gamma$ is non-constant, since $\text{Crit}(E)$ is invariant under the $S^1$ reparameterization action.

The Hessian of $E$ at $\gamma$ is given by the second variation formula,

$$d^2E[\gamma](\xi, \eta) = -\int \langle \xi, \nabla_i^2 \eta + R(\dot{\gamma}, \eta) \dot{\gamma} \rangle.$$
Definition 2.4. A $C^2$-function $f$ defined on a Hilbert manifold is a Morse function if all of its critical points are non-degenerate, which means that the Hessian has a zero-dimensional kernel at each critical point $c$, i.e. $\dim(\ker(d^2f(c))) = 0$.

Remark 2.5. The energy functional $E$ defined on $\Lambda M$ will never satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.4 because the critical points at level $0$ form a closed manifold which means that it is never non-degenerate. In a similar vein, the energy functional $E$ is $S^1$-invariant which means that the kernel of the Hessian of $E$ at a non-constant geodesic $\gamma$ is always at least one-dimensional, i.e. $\dim(\ker(d^2E[\gamma])) \geq 1$, because it contains the infinitesimal generator of the $S^1$-action, $\dot{\gamma}$.

Definition 2.6 (Oancea [11]). A $C^2$-function defined on a Hilbert manifold is said to be a Morse-Bott function if its critical set is a disjoint union of closed connected submanifolds $\bigsqcup N_i$ and, for each critical point $c_i$, the kernel of the Hessian at that critical point coincides with the tangent space to the respective connected component of the critical locus, i.e. $\ker(d^2f(c_i)) \cong TN_i$. As such, the critical set is said to be non-degenerate.

Definition 2.7. The index $\lambda(p)$ of a critical point $p$ is defined as the dimension of the maximal subspace on which the Hessian is negative definite, i.e. $\iota(p) = \dim\{V_{\max} \subset T_pM : d^2f(p) < 0\}$.

Definition 2.8. The nullity $\nu(p)$ of a critical point $p$ is defined as the dimension of the kernel of the Hessian, i.e. $\nu(p) = \dim(\ker(d^2f(p)))$.

Remark 2.9. When one passes the critical level $c$, the sublevel $c + \varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, deformation retracts onto the the union of the sublevel $c - \varepsilon$ and a $\lambda(c)$-cell. The $\lambda$-cell is the negative vector bundle over the critical manifold consisting of a single point. Such a retraction is forced by a modification of the negative gradient flow of $f$, i.e. $\frac{d}{dt}\phi_s = -\nabla f(\phi_s)$

Corollary 2.10. Adopting the aforementioned a posteriori hypotheses, the sublevel set $\Lambda^{\leq b}$ is diffeomorphic to and deformation retracts onto $\Lambda^{\leq c}$. Similarly, the sublevel set $\Lambda^{< b}$ is diffeomorphic to and deformation retracts onto $\Lambda^{< c}$. In particular, let $c \in [a,b]$ be a non-degenerate critical point of $E$ of index $\lambda(c)$ and that $E[c] = r$. Furthermore, suppose $E^{-1}[r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$ is compact and contains no critical points other than $c$. Then it follows that $\Lambda^{\leq r+\varepsilon}$ is homotopy equivalent to the union of $\Lambda^{\leq r-\varepsilon}$ and a $\lambda$-cell.

Corollary 2.11. Suppose $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued smooth functional on $\Lambda M$, $a < b$, $E^{-1}[a,b]$ is compact, and there are no critical values between $a$ and $b$. Then the sublevel set $\Lambda^{\leq a}$ is diffeomorphic to and deformation retracts onto $\Lambda^{\leq b}$.

The above provides a useful heuristic for determining the homology groups of $\Lambda M$ by way of the non-decreasing filtration $\Lambda^{\leq a}$, whereby

$$\Lambda M = \lim_{a \to +\infty} \Lambda^{\leq a}.$$  

Thus, the homology of $\Lambda M$ is $H_*(\Lambda M) = \lim_{a \to +\infty} H_*(\Lambda^{\leq a})$. We present the useful and well-known theorem of Gromoll and Meyer.

Theorem 2.12 (Gromoll-Meyer [4]). Let $M$ be a simply connected closed manifold. If the sequence $\{b_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}_p)\}_{k \geq 0}$ is unbounded for some prime $p \geq 2$, then any Riemannian metric on $M$ admits infinitely many prime closed geodesics.
Remark 2.13. It was shown by Vigué-Poirrier and Sullivan [13] that for a simply connected closed manifold \(M\), the sequence of rational Betti numbers \(b_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{rk} \, H^k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q})\) is unbounded if and only if the rational cohomology ring \(H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})\) has at least two generators. That is, \(M\) does not have rational cohomology that is the quotient of a polynomial ring. There are a few simply connected compact globally symmetric spaces of rank \(>1\) whose rational cohomology ring \(H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})\) is not a truncated polynomial ring. In fact, it was shown by Ziller [16] that compact globally symmetric spaces of rank \(>1\) are such that the sequence of Betti numbers for their free loop space is unbounded with coefficients in \(\mathbb{F}_2\). On the other hand, compact globally spaces of rank 1 have rational cohomology generated by a single element.

We must address two complications that arise in view of Gromoll and Meyer 2.12, the first being that iterates \(\gamma_m : S^1 \to M\) with \(\gamma_m(t) = \gamma(mt), m \in \mathbb{Z}\) of a closed geodesic \(\gamma\) are themselves closed geodesics (under the action of infinite-dimensional reparameterization), and thereby constitute a part of the homology of free loop space \(\Lambda M\). Second, we would like to handle degeneracy for closed geodesics. It was shown by Gromoll and Meyer [4] that a single degenerate closed geodesic adds to homology by at most \(\nu(\gamma)\) for degrees \(\lambda(\gamma)\) contained in \([\lambda(\gamma), \lambda(\gamma) + \nu(\gamma)]\).

By virtue of a combinatorial argument and the Gromoll-Meyer index and nullity iteration lemmata, if the number of simple closed geodesics is bounded, there is a bound on the Betti numbers of \(\Lambda M\).

Let

\[
A_\gamma : H^1(\gamma^* TM) \to L^2(\gamma^* TM), \quad \xi \mapsto -\xi'' - R(\dot{\gamma}, xi)\dot{\gamma}
\]

be the self-adjoint asymptotic elliptic operator at the closed geodesic \(\gamma\) with compact resolvent such that \(d^2 E[\gamma](\xi, \eta) = \langle A_\gamma \xi, \eta \rangle_{L^2}\). More precisely,

\[
\langle A_\gamma \xi, \eta \rangle_{L^2} = \langle A_\gamma \eta, \xi \rangle_{L^2} = \int \langle -\eta'' - R(\dot{\gamma}, \eta)\dot{\gamma}, \eta \rangle = -\int \langle \xi, \nabla^2_\gamma \eta + R(\dot{\gamma}, \eta)\dot{\gamma} \rangle.
\]

Its spectrum is discrete with no accumulation point besides \(\pm \infty\). The eigenvectors of \(A_\gamma\) are smooth by elliptic regularity. The eigenvalue problem \(-\xi'' - R(\dot{\gamma}, \xi)\dot{\gamma} = -\lambda \xi\) has no non-trivial periodic solutions for \(\lambda \gg 0\). Moreover, the spectrum of the elliptic operator \(A_\gamma\) is bounded from below which implies the finiteness of the index of \(\gamma\), given by

\[
\lambda(\gamma) = \sum_{\text{Spec}(A_\gamma) \ni \lambda < 0} \text{mult}(\lambda) < +\infty
\]

for \(\text{mult}(\lambda)\) the multiplicity of the eigenvalue \(\lambda\). The nullity of \(\gamma\) is

\[
\nu(\gamma) = \dim \ker A_\gamma,
\]

for which we have the bounds \(1 \leq \nu(\gamma) \leq 2n - 1\). The first inequality is a result of \(\dot{\gamma} \in \ker A_\gamma\), and the second inequality follows because an element in \(\ker(A_\gamma)\) solves a second order ordinary differential equation.
Theorem 2.14 (Rademacher [13]). Let $M$ be a simply connected closed Riemannian manifold with rational cohomology a truncated polynomial ring in one even variable and all geodesics hyperbolic. Then there are infinitely many geometrically distinct ones.

Theorem 2.15. Let $M$ be a simply connected closed Riemannian manifold with rational homotopy type that of a rank 1 symmetric space (i.e. $S^n, \mathbb{CP}^n, \mathbb{HP}^n, \mathbb{CP}^2(\mathbb{Q})$) and suppose all closed geodesics on $M$ are hyperbolic. Then the number $\pi(x)$ of prime closed geodesics of length less than or equal to $x$ is on the order of

$$\pi(x) = |\{\gamma \in \Lambda M : L[\gamma] \leq x\}| \sim \frac{x}{\log x}.$$  

Recall that the isotropy group at a non-constant closed geodesic is $\mathbb{Z}_m$ with $1/m$ minimal period. Since $\mathbb{Z}_m, m \geq 2$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclic, we have the following isomorphism on equivariant cohomology $H^*_\mathbb{Z}_m(\Lambda M, M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\Lambda M/\mathbb{Z}_m, M; \mathbb{Q})$ where $H^*_\mathbb{Z}_m(\bullet) := H^*(\mathbb{Z}_m \cdot \bullet)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_m$-equivariant cohomology.

The most significant consequence of $O(2)$-invariance for $E$ is that the $m$-fold iterate $\gamma_m$ of a closed geodesic adds $H^{O(2)}_*(\Lambda M)$ by $H_*(B\mathbb{Z}_m)$.

3. Rational Homotopy Theory

An important consequence of rational homotopy theory is that every simply connected closed Riemannian manifold $M$ with rational cohomology not isomorphic to a truncated polynomial has infinitely many geometrically distinct prime closed geodesics.

Definition 3.1. Suppose $M, N$ are simply connected topological spaces. A continuous map $f : M \to N$ is called a rational homotopy equivalence if it induces an isomorphism on homotopy groups tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 3.2. A rational space is a simply connected CW complex whose homotopy groups are vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 3.3. The rationalization of a simply connected CW complex $M$ is the rational space $M_\mathbb{Q}$ for which the map from $M$ to $M_\mathbb{Q}$ descends to an isomorphism on rational homology, i.e. $\pi_k(M_\mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_k(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and, by the universal coefficient theorem, $H_k(M, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_k(M, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $k > 0$.

The rational cohomology ring $H^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$ is a graded commutative algebra and $\pi_*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a graded homotopy Lie algebra. Let $\Omega M$ be the loop space of $M$, then $\pi_*(\Omega M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is also a graded Lie algebra by the Whitehead product structure.

Theorem 3.4. The homology of loop space $H_*(\Omega M, \mathbb{Q})$ is the (graded) universal enveloping algebra of the (graded) Lie algebra $\pi_*(\Omega M, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_{*-1}(M, \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 3.5. Let $M$ be a simply connected (CW complex) space for $H^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$ finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$. A simply connected space $M$ is said to be rationally elliptic if the homotopy Lie algebra $\pi_*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$, or else it is rationally hyperbolic.

According to Félix and Halperin [2], if $M$ is rationally hyperbolic, there exists a $C > 1$ and an integer $N$ such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq C^n.$$
Remark 3.6. Let $M$ be a simply connected closed Riemannian manifold. Then the rational cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ requires more than one generator if and only if $\pi_{odd}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is more than one-dimensional, i.e. $\dim \pi_{odd}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} > 1$.

Proof. A basis for rational homotopy $\pi_k(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ corresponds to a set of algebra generators of the minimal model. Then the claim follows from Proposition 1 of Vigué-Poirrier and Sullivan [13].

Corollary 3.7. The rational space $M_\mathbb{Q}$ cannot be a $K(G, n)$ Eilenberg-MacLane space for $G$ simply generated if $\dim \pi_{odd}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} > 1$.

Proof. We will proceed by contradiction. Suppose the rational space $M_\mathbb{Q}$ is a $K(G, n)$ Eilenberg-MacLane space. Then for $n$ a positive integer, $\pi_n(K(G, n)) \cong G$, and $\pi_i(M_\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$. Thus, $\dim \pi_i(M_\mathbb{Q}) = \dim \pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \delta_{in}$, which implies that $\dim \pi_{odd}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \leq 1$. It follows that $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is simply generated so $M_\mathbb{Q} \neq K(G, n)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3.8. If the rational cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is not singly generated, $M_\mathbb{Q} = M \times E\mathbb{Q} \neq K(G, n)$ for any positive integer $n$ or group $G$ acting freely on $M$.

The following theorem due to Hurewicz allows us to impose a sufficient and necessary condition such that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} > 1$.

Theorem 3.9 (Rational Hurewicz Theorem). Let $M$ be a simply connected topological space with $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \leq m$. Then the Hurewicz map given by

$$f \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to H_i(M; \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$ and a surjection for $i = 2m + 1$.

Remark 3.10. Let $m = n - 1$ in the above. Then there is an isomorphism $f \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 2$ and $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \leq n - 1$. Therefore, with top homology $H^n(M; \mathbb{Q})$, the Euler characteristic is given by

$$\chi(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \text{rk} H_i(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \dim \pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = (-1)^n \dim \pi_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

so $\dim \pi_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = (-1)^n \chi(M)$.

Corollary 3.11. Let the homotopies of $M$ have trivial tensored rationalization up to $\dim M = n$, i.e. let $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \leq \dim M - 1$. Then $\dim \pi_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = (-1)^n \chi(M)$.

More precisely, $\dim \pi_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = (-1)^n \chi(M) > 1$ if and only if $(-1)^{\dim M} \chi(M) = (-1)^{\dim M} (2 - 2g) > 1$ for $M$ closed. Thus, the rational cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H^k(M; \mathbb{Q})$ has two generators if and only if $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \leq \dim M - 1$ and $(-1)^{\dim M} (2 - 2g) > 1$. Since $M$ is simply connected, $g = 0$ so $(-1)^{\dim M} > \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $\dim M$ is even.

Remark 3.12. Suppose $M$ is odd-dimensional, $\dim M = 2k + 1$ odd for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(-1)^{\dim M} (2 - 2g) = (-1)^{2k+1} (2 - 2g) > 1$. If $g = 0$, this is a contradiction, so odd-dimensional manifolds that are simply connected do not satisfy $\dim \pi_{odd}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = (-1)^{odd} \chi(M) > 1$.

Lemma 3.13. If $M$ is a rationally hyperbolic manifold then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_k(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} > C^{k-1}$ for $k, C > 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_1(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq 2$ so $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_{odd}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} > 1$ and thus the rational cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ has at least two generators.
Proof. If \( M \) is rationally hyperbolic, then there exists a real number \( C > 1 \) and an integer \( N \) such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim_{Q} \pi_i(M) \otimes Q \geq C^n
\]

for all \( n \geq N \). If \( n = 1 \) then \( \dim_{Q} \pi_1(M) \otimes Q \geq C \) for \( C > 1 \) so \( \dim_{Q} \pi_1(M) \otimes Q \geq 2 \). If \( n = 2 \) then \( \dim_{Q} \pi_1(M) \otimes Q + \dim_{Q} \pi_2(M) \otimes Q \geq C^2 \). Assume \( \dim_{Q} \pi_1(M) \otimes Q = C \) so that \( \dim_{Q} \pi_2(M) \otimes Q \geq C^2 - C \). If \( n = 3 \) then \( \dim_{Q} \pi_1(M) \otimes Q + \dim_{Q} \pi_2(M) \otimes Q + \dim_{Q} \pi_3(M) \otimes Q \geq C^3 \). Let \( \dim_{Q} \pi_2(M) \otimes Q = C^2 - C \) so \( C + (C^2 - C) + \dim_{Q} \pi_3(M) \otimes Q \geq C^3 \) or \( \dim_{Q} \pi_3(M) \otimes Q \geq C^3 - C^2 \). It follows by induction that \( \dim_{Q} \pi_k(M) \otimes Q \geq C^k - C^{k-1} \) for \( C > 1 \). If \( C = 2 \) in the lowest case, \( \dim_{Q} \pi_k(M) \otimes Q \geq 2^k - 2^{k-1} = 2^{k-1} \). More generally, \( \dim_{Q} \pi_k(M) \otimes Q > C^{k-1}(C - 1) > C^{k-1} \) or \( \dim_{Q} \pi_k(M) \otimes Q \geq C^{k-1} \) for \( C > 1 \). As per the above, for \( k = 1 \), it is already known that \( \dim_{Q} \pi_1(M) \otimes Q \geq 2 \).

Remark 3.14. If \( M \) is an elliptic space whose top rational cohomology group is in degree \( n \), i.e. \( H^i(M; Q) = 0 \) for \( i > n \), then each Betti number \( b_i(M; Q) \) is at most \( \binom{\dim M}{i} \). That is, \( b_i(M; Q) = \text{rk} H_i(M; Q) \leq \binom{\dim M}{i} \). Then each Betti number is bounded by \( b_i \leq \binom{\dim M}{i} \), so the sequence is bounded since the manifold is finite-dimensional. Moreover, the Euler characteristic of \( M \) is given by

\[
\chi(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim M} (-1)^i \text{rk} H_i(M; Q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim M} (-1)^i b_i(M) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\dim M} (-1)^i \binom{\dim M}{i}.
\]

Since \( \chi(M) = (-1)^n \dim \pi_n(M) \otimes Q \) for \( \dim M := n \) and \( \pi_i(M) \otimes Q = 0 \) for \( i \leq \dim M - 1 \),

\[
\dim \pi_n(M) \otimes Q = \frac{\chi(M)}{(-1)^n} = \frac{1}{(-1)^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\dim M} (-1)^i \binom{\dim M}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i-n} \binom{n}{i}.
\]

The condition that \( \dim \pi_n(M) \otimes Q > 1 \) therefore reduces to \( \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} (-1)^{i-n} > 1 \). That is, if \( n = 2k + 1 \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) then \( \dim \pi_{2k+1}(M) \otimes Q > 1 \).

Theorem 3.15. Let \( E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a Morse function on the free loop space of Sobolev class \( H^1 \). Let \( C^\gamma \) denote the number of critical points of the energy functional \( E \) of index \( \gamma \). Then we have the following inequalities:

\[
\text{rk} H^\gamma(\Lambda M) = b_\gamma \leq C^\gamma \text{ for each } \gamma,
\]

\[
\chi(\Lambda M) = \sum_{\gamma} (-1)^\gamma C^\gamma.
\]

Proof. Let \( \Lambda_1 \) be obtained from \( \Lambda_0 \) by attaching a handle of index \( \gamma \) for \( M_0 \subset M_1 \). Then it follows that \( H_k(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_0) = H_k(D^\gamma, S^{\gamma-1}) \). Thus, \( H_k(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_0) = \mathbb{Z} \) of \( k = \gamma \) and 0 otherwise. Denote by \( c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_n \) the critical values of \( E \). Consider the sequence of real numbers \( \{a_i\} \) such that \( c_i < a_i < c_{i+1} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq n - 1 \), and let \( \Lambda_i = \Lambda^{a_i} \). Thus, we obtain the inclusions of an increasing sequence of manifolds with boundary, \( D^{\dim \Lambda M} = \Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_n = \Lambda M \), where \( \Lambda_{i+1} \) is \( \Lambda_i \) with a finitely many cells attached for each critical point in the set \( \Lambda^{[a_i, a_{i+1}]} \). Since homology groups \( H_k \) are sub-additive,

\[
\text{rk} H_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Z}) \leq \sum \text{rk} H_k(\Lambda_{i+1}, \Lambda_i),
\]

the rank of \( H_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is less than or equal to the number of critical points of index \( k \).

\[\square\]
Thus, suppose $\Lambda M$ is elliptic, then

$$\chi(\Lambda M) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^i C^i$$

for $\lambda$ sufficiently large, and $b_i \leq C^i$. Therefore $b_i \leq \binom{\lambda}{i}$ so $C^i = \binom{\lambda}{i}$, say. For sufficiently large $\lambda$,

$$\chi(\Lambda M) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^i \binom{\lambda}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^i b_i(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}).$$

We have therefore demonstrated the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.16.** If $\chi(\Lambda M) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^i \binom{\lambda}{i} \geq 0$ for sufficiently large $\lambda(\gamma) = \dim \{V_{\max} \subset T\gamma \Lambda M : d^2 E < 0\}$, the index of a critical point $\gamma \in \Lambda M$, then $\Lambda M$ is elliptic and the rational cohomology ring $H^*(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q})$ does not have at least two generators since the sequence of Betti numbers $\{b_i(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q})\}_{i \geq 0}$ is bounded.

Bott’s conjecture predicts that any simply connected closed Riemannian manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature should be rationally elliptic. Let $M$ be rationally elliptic so that $b_{2k+1}(M) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\chi(M) > 0$, and let $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \leq \dim M - 1$ for $\dim M := n$. Thus, $\chi(M) = (-1)^n b_n > 0$ so $\chi(M) = b_n = \text{rk} \pi_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} > 0$.

**Theorem 3.17.** If $M$ is elliptic and $\chi(M) > 0$ then $\text{rk} \pi_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} > 0$ for $\dim M := n$ and $\text{rk} \pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for all $i \neq n$ since

$$\chi(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i b_i(M) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^i b_i(M).$$

We henceforth assume the validity of Bott’s conjecture. If the sectional curvature of $M$ is nonnegative, i.e. $K \geq 0$, then $M$ is rationally elliptic. As before, let $M$ be a closed orientable even $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, and suppose $\Omega$ is the curvature form of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{L.C.}$ associated with $M$ of dim $M := n$. Then $\Omega$ is an $\mathfrak{so}(n)$-valued 2-form on $M$, so it is a skew-symmetric $n$-by-$n$ matrix of 2-forms over $\wedge^{\text{even}} T^* M$. Let $Pf(\Omega)$ denote the $n$-form Pfaffian. Since the sectional curvature is nonnegative, by the generalized Gauss-Bonnet theorem,

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n}} \int_M Pf(\Omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n}} \int_M i^{n^2} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr log}(\sigma_y \otimes I_{n/2}) T \cdot \Omega) \right) \geq 0.$$  

Recall the isomorphism $\pi_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_{k+1}(M; \mathbb{Q})$, which implies $\pi_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_{k+1}(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_{k+1}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{k+1}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ where it is assumed that $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \leq k - 1 = \dim M - 1$ and $\pi_i(\Lambda M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \leq k - 2 = \dim M - 2$. Then

$$H_{k-1}(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_{k}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{k}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{k}(M; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the rational Hurewicz theorem, and the last by $H_i(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_i(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_i(M, \mathbb{Q})$. Let $\dim M = k$, and we a priori assume the odd Betti
numbers $b_{2i+1}$ vanish. Then we obtain the following bounds
\[
0 < \chi(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim M} (-1)^i b_i(M) = (-1)^k b_k(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} (-1)^{i-1} b_{i-1}(\Lambda M)
\]
so $0 < \chi(M) \leq \chi(\Lambda M)$ or $\chi(\Lambda M) > 0$.

**Theorem 3.18.** Let $M$ be a closed even-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Lambda M)$ of free loop space of Sobolev type $H^1 = W^{1,2}$ is positive, then $M$ is rationally elliptic.

The prime geodesic theorem describes the asymptotic distribution of prime geodesics on a hyperbolic manifold whereby for $M$ an $n$-dimensional manifold, $M = \mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$ with $\Gamma$ a discrete subgroup of $SO^+(1,n)$.\[\Real\].

**Theorem 3.19** (Prime Geodesic Theorem \[\textbf{15}\]). Let $M$ be a hyperbolic manifold of dimension $\dim M = m + 1$. Furthermore, let $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ be its fundamental group. For any element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists a closed geodesic representative $\gamma$ in $M$. Let $\ell(\gamma)$ denote the length of the geodesic $\gamma$, $N(\gamma) := e^{\ell(\gamma)}$ its norm, and $\pi_\Gamma(x)$ the number of primitive elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $N(\gamma) \leq x$. Moreover, let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_N\}$ denote the zeros of the Selberg zeta function, and $\li(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\ln t}$ the logarithmic integral. Then $\pi_\Gamma(x)$ satisfies the following equality.

\[
\pi_\Gamma(x) = \li(x^m) + \sum_{n=0}^N \li(x^{\pi n}) + \text{(error term)}.
\]

Let $C^\lambda$ denote the number of critical points of $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ of index $\lambda$ where the index of a critical point $\gamma \in \Lambda M$ is $\lambda(\gamma) := \dim\{V_{\max} \subset T_\gamma \Lambda M : d^2 E < 0\} = \sum_{\text{Spec}(A_n) > \lambda < \infty} \text{mult}(\lambda) < +\infty$. Consider the energy functional $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ for $\Lambda M$ an infinite-dimensional Hilbert manifold. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ regular values of $E$, let $C^\lambda(a, b)$ denote the number of critical points of index $\lambda$ in $E^{-1}[a, b]$ with homology rank $r_\lambda(a, b) = \rk(H_\lambda(\Lambda M^b, \Lambda M^a))$ and torsion rank $t_\lambda(a, b) = t(H_\lambda(\Lambda M^b, \Lambda M^a))$ for $\Lambda M^c = E^{-1}(-\infty, c]$. That is,

\[
\sum_{i=0}^\lambda (-1)^{\lambda-i} r_i(a, b) \leq \sum_{i=0}^\lambda (-1)^{\lambda-i} C^i,
\]

for $\lambda = 0, 1, \ldots$. For sufficiently large $\lambda$, the last inequality becomes an equality. Thus, for $\chi(\Lambda M) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\lambda b_\lambda(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q})$ and $b_\lambda + t_\lambda + t_{\lambda-1} \leq C^\lambda$, we have $b_\lambda \leq C^\lambda$. Therefore the total number of primitive elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t \pi_\Gamma(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \pi_\Gamma(x) dx.
\]

Recall, the total number of critical points is given by the sum over all indices of the number of critical points of a specific index, i.e., $\sum C^\lambda$. Therefore,

\[
\sum \lambda C^\lambda = \sum \lambda b_\lambda(\Lambda M) = \int_0^{\infty} \pi_\Gamma(x) dx.
\]
That is, for $\Lambda M = \mathbb{H}^\infty/\Gamma$ hyperbolic,

$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{\dim \Lambda M} C^\lambda = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\dim \Lambda M} b_\lambda(\Lambda M) = \int_0^\infty \text{li}(x^{\dim \Lambda M - 1}) + \sum_{n=0}^N \text{li}(x^n) + \text{(error term)} \, dx$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \text{li}(x^{k-1}) + \sum_{n=0}^N \text{li}(x^n) + \text{(error term)} \, dx$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \text{li}(x^{k-1}) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^\infty \text{li}(x^n) + \int_0^\infty \text{(error term)} \, dx.$$ 

Note, we can bound the Euler characteristic of $\Lambda M$ as

$$|\chi(\Lambda M)| = \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\dim \Lambda M} (-1)^\lambda C^\lambda \right| \leq \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\dim \Lambda M} |(-1)^\lambda C^\lambda| = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\dim \Lambda M} C^\lambda = \int_0^\infty \pi_\Gamma(x) \, dx.$$

It follows that

$$|\chi(\Lambda M)| \leq \int_0^\infty \text{li}(x^{\dim \Lambda M - 1}) \, dx + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^\infty \text{li}(x^n) \, dx + \int_0^\infty \text{(error term)}(x) \, dx.$$ 

Moreover, for $\Lambda M = \mathbb{H}^\infty/\Gamma$ hyperbolic with $\Gamma \subset SO^+_{(1,\infty)} \mathbb{R}$, the Poincaré polynomial of $\Lambda M$ is the generating function of Betti numbers of $\Lambda M$, $P_{\Lambda M}(z) = b_0(\Lambda M) + b_1(\Lambda M)z + b_2(\Lambda M)z^2 + \cdots$ so $P_{\Lambda M}(1) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\dim \Lambda M} b_\lambda(\Lambda M) = \int_0^\infty \pi_\Gamma(x) \, dx$.

**Lemma 3.20.** By the main result [5.7],

$$\int_0^\infty \pi_\Gamma(x) \, dx = P_{\Lambda M}(1) = \infty,$$

there are infinitely many such prime closed geodesics.

We remark that we have only shown this to be true for $\Lambda M$ hyperbolic. Thus, we resort to a holonomic classification of simply connected manifolds due to Berger.

### 4. Holonomy, The Berger Classification, and The Classification of Symmetric Spaces

We begin with preliminary definitions of reducibility and irreducibility of holonomy representations.

**Definition 4.1.** The Riemannian holonomy of a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is the holonomy of the Levi-Civita connection on the tangent bundle of the manifold.

**Definition 4.2.** A Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is said to be (resp. locally) reducible if it is (resp. locally) isometric to a Riemannian product.

**Theorem 4.3** (de Rham [11]). If a Riemannian manifold is complete, simply connected, and if its holonomy representation is reducible, then $(M, g)$ is a Riemannian product.

Berger provided a complete classification of holonomy for simply connected, Riemannian manifolds which are irreducible and nonsymmetric.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{Hol}(g)$</th>
<th>$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(M)$</th>
<th>$G$-structure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$SO(n)$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>Orientable manifold</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U(n)$</td>
<td>$2n$</td>
<td>Kähler</td>
<td>Kähler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SU(n)$</td>
<td>$2n$</td>
<td>Calabi-Yau Manifold</td>
<td>Ricci-flat, Kähler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$</td>
<td>$4n$</td>
<td>Quaternion-Kähler manifold</td>
<td>Einstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_2$</td>
<td>$7$</td>
<td>$G_2$ manifold</td>
<td>Ricci-flat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Spin(7)$</td>
<td>$8$</td>
<td>$Spin(7)$ manifold</td>
<td>Ricci-flat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a sequence of inclusions $Sp(n) \subset SU(2n) \subset U(2n) \subset SO(4n)$ so every hyperkähler manifold manifold is Calabi-Yau, every Calabi-Yau manifold is Kähler, and every Kähler manifold is also orientable.

**Theorem 4.4.** If $M$ is simply connected and has reducible holonomy, then the de Rham decomposition theorem implies that $M$ is a product, and hence does not have rational cohomology generated by one element.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be a simply connected $n$-dimensional manifold such that there is a complete decomposable reduction of the tangent bundle $TM = T(0)M \oplus T(1)M \oplus \cdots \oplus T(k)M$ under the action of holonomy. If $\text{Hol}(M)$ is reducible then $M = V_0 \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k$ for each $V_i$ an integral manifold for the respective tangent bundle $T(i)M$ where $V_0$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, the holonomy group $\text{Hol}(M)$ splits as a direct product of the holonomy groups of each $M_i$. Thus, if $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_k$ then $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{Hol}(M_0) \times \text{Hol}(M_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Hol}(M_k)$. So $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_k$ means $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ cannot be singly generated.

**Remark 4.5.** The fact that $H^*(M)$ is not 2-generated when $M = M_1 \times M_2$ follows because $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(M_1; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(M_2; \mathbb{Q})$ since the Kunneth theorem preserves cup products.

Coupling the Berger classification with the de Rham decomposition, one obtains a classification of reducible holonomy groups by requiring that each factor is one of the example from Berger’s list, realized by compact simply connected manifolds. One can find metrics on product manifolds with irreducible holonomy, so the converse of Theorem 4.4 is false. More precisely, a generic manifold (a manifold with $O(n)$ holonomy or $SO(n)$ if it is orientable) will have irreducible holonomy and have homology that is not generated by a single element.

**Corollary 4.6.** If $M$ is oriented then $\text{Hol}(M) = SO(n)$ so there are at least two non-trivial classes in $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$. Thus, if $\text{Hol}(M) = \times_{i=1}^k \text{Hol}(M_i)$ then $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ must have at least two generators.

**Remark 4.7.** Another program for determining the dimension of $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is by way of minimal models. If $M$ is a closed, simply connected smooth manifold, then the rank of the rational homotopy groups $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ equals the number of degree $i$ generators introduced in the construction of the minimal model for $M$. For manifolds that are formal (for example, if $M$ is Kähler), their cohomology ring (with trivial differential) is quasi-isomorphic as a differential graded algebra to the minimal model. Thus, we can use the cohomology ring to determine the rank of rational homotopy groups.

Since Ziller [16] showed $b_k(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ to be unbounded for $M$ a compact globally symmetric space of rank $> 1$, we only consider simply connected, Riemannian manifolds which are irreducible and non-symmetric, as well as non-compact symmetric spaces of rank 1.
Theorem 4.8. An irreducible simply connected symmetric space $G/H$ has holonomy group isomorphic to $H$.

We obtain the following classification of reducible holonomy groups from Berger’s classification and de Rham’s decomposition theorem by requiring that each factor in the decomposition is one from Berger’s list or the holonomy of an irreducible non-compact symmetric space of rank $= 1$ (which may be interchanged with a compact symmetric space of rank $= 1$ under bijection in the decomposition). That is, let $M$ be a simply connected Riemannian symmetric space. Then it may decomposed as $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_r$ for $M_0$ Euclidean and the other $M_i$ irreducible. As such, the classification of symmetric spaces is reduced to the the irreducible case. By duality (see [5], P. 199), compact and noncompact simply connected irreducible symmetric spaces may be interchanged, which therefore simplifies the classification to that of compact irreducible symmetric spaces.

As such, $Hol($non-compact simply connected reducible $n$-dimensional symmetric space$)$=Hol($compact simply connected reducible $n$-dimensional symmetric space$)$. The holonomy is then a direct product decomposition of:

$$Hol(M) = SO(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times SU(n_3) \times (Sp(n_4) \cdot Sp(1)) \times Sp(n_5) \times G_2 \times Spin(7)$$

$$\times Hol($compact symmetric spaces of rank $= 1$)

where it is required that a given decomposition has at least two irreducible factors. Adopting the Cartan labeling convention, we consider the label AI, II, BDI, CII, FII symmetric spaces $G/K$.

Remark 4.9. For label AI, $G/K = SU(p + q)/S(U(p) \times U(q))$ has rank $\min(p, q)$ and $\dim(G/K) = 2pq$. So we let $p = 1$, such that $K = S(U(1) \times U(q))$. If we let $\dim(G/K) = n = 2q$ then $q = \frac{n}{2}$ or $K = S(U(1) \times U(\frac{n}{2}))$.

Remark 4.10. For label BDI, $G/K = SO(p + q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$ has rank $\min(p, q)$ and $\dim(G/K) = pq$. So if we let $p = 1$, $SO(1 + q)/SO(1) \times SO(q)$ has dimension $q$. Thus, $K = SO(1) \times SO(n)$ for $\dim(G/K) = n$.

Remark 4.11. For label CII, $G/K = Sp(p + q)/Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$ has rank $\min(p, q)$ and $\dim(G/K) = 4pq$. We let $p = 1$ so $F_4/Spin(9)$ has dimension $n = 4q$ or $K = Sp(1) \times Sp(\frac{q}{2})$.

Remark 4.12. For label FII, $G/K = F_4/Spin(9)$ has rank 1 and $\dim(G/K) = 16$.

Note, if $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ with the flat Euclidean metric then parallel translation is simply a translation in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, if $P_\gamma : T_{\gamma(0)}M \to T_{\gamma(1)}M$ for $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$, then $P_\gamma$ is the identity for each $\gamma$, so $Hol(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the trivial group, and it may be ignored in the decomposition. Thus, the decomposition of compact symmetric spaces for the problem under consideration is $Hol($compact simply connected symmetric spaces$) = S(U(1) \times U(\frac{n}{2})) \times (SO(1) \times SO(n)) \times (Sp(1) \times Sp(\frac{n}{2})) \times Spin(9)$. To be more precise, the holonomy of a reducible simply connected Riemannian manifold $M$ (excluding compact symmetric spaces of rank $> 1$) is given by the following.

Theorem 4.13. (Classification of Reducible Holonomy.) If $M$ is an $n$-dimensional simply connected Riemannian manifold with decomposition $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_j$ of irreducible $M_k$
We can obtain the space $M$ where it is required that there are at least two factors in a given decomposition and $\sum_i \dim_R(M_i) = n$.

**Corollary 4.14.** If $M$ is a simply connected Riemannian manifold with reducible holonomy given by the previous theorem, the rational cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ has at least two generators.

Riemannian spaces that are locally isometric to the homogeneous spaces $G/H$ have local holonomy isomorphic to $H$. The holonomy decomposition is

$$
\text{Hol}(M) = SO(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times SU(n_3) \times (Sp(n_4) \cdot Sp(1)) \times Sp(n_5) \times G_2 \times Spin(7) \\
\times (SU(1) \times U(n_6)) \times (SO(1) \times SO(n_7)) \times (Sp(1) \times Sp(n_8)) \times Spin(9)
$$

where it is required that there are at least two factors in a given decomposition and $\sum_i \dim_R(M_i) = n$.

Let $M$ be any space whose rational cohomology ring is a free graded-commutative algebra. Then the rationalization $M_\mathbb{Q}$ is a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. This hypothesis on cohomology also applies to compact Lie groups. Consider the decomposition of $M$, i.e. $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_k$, then the rationalization of holonomy is $\text{Hol}(M)_\mathbb{Q} = \text{Hol}(M) \times \mathbb{Q} E\mathbb{Q}$ and $\text{Hol}(M_\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hol}(M \times \mathbb{Q} E\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hol}(M) \times \text{Hol}(E\mathbb{Q})$. The total space of the universal bundle over $B\mathbb{Q}$ is $E\mathbb{Q} = B\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ since $B\mathbb{Q} = E\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}$. Note, $B\mathbb{Q}$ is the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Q}, 1)$ so $E\mathbb{Q} = K(\mathbb{Q}, 1) \times \mathbb{Q}$.

We can obtain the space $K(\mathbb{Q}, 1)$ by the following procedure. Take the circle $S^1$, consider the sequence of maps $f_n : S^1 \to S^1$ of degree $n$, and form an infinite mapping telescope of $f_n$, a special case of a homotopy colimit. Observe, $\mathbb{Q}$ is the filtered colimit $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to \cdots$ where successive maps are multiplication by 1, 2, \ldots. Note, since $\Omega\mathbb{Q} \cong *$ regardless of its topology, the resulting classifying space $B\mathbb{Q}$ will be a $K(\mathbb{Q}, 1)$ space. It follows that $\text{Hol}(M)_\mathbb{Q} = \text{Hol}(M) \times \mathbb{Q} E\mathbb{Q}$ and $\text{Hol}(M_\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hol}(M) \times \text{Hol}(E\mathbb{Q})$, so $\text{Hol}(M)_\mathbb{Q} = \frac{\text{Hol}(M_\mathbb{Q})}{\text{Hol}(E\mathbb{Q})} \times \mathbb{Q} E\mathbb{Q}$. By first computing the rationalization space $M_\mathbb{Q}$, we can determine the equivariant cohomology ring $H^*_\mathbb{Q}(M; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(E\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} M; \mathbb{Q})$ noting that the rationalization $M_\mathbb{Q}$ is the product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces, whose rational cohomology rings are easier to compute. Since $\text{Hol}(M)$ under consideration has the decomposition

$$
\text{Hol}(M) = \text{Hol} \left( \text{M}^{\text{orientable}}, SO(n_1) \right) \times \text{Hol} \left( \text{M}^{\text{Kähler}}, U(n_2) \right) \times \text{Hol} \left( \text{M}^{\text{Calabi-Yau}}, SU(n_3) \right) \\
\times \text{Hol} \left( \text{M}^{\text{quaternion-Kähler}}, Sp(n_4) \cdot Sp(1) \right) \times \text{Hol} \left( \text{M}^{\text{hyperkahler}}, Sp(n_5) \right) \times \text{Hol}(M_{G_2}) \\
\times \text{Hol}(M_{\text{Spin}(7)}) \times \text{Hol} \left( \frac{SU(n_6 + 1)}{SU(1) \times U(n_6)} \right) \times \text{Hol} \left( \frac{SO(n_7 + 1)}{SO(1) \times SO(n_7)} \right) \\
\times \text{Hol} \left( \frac{Sp(n_8 + 1)}{Sp(1) \times Sp(n_8)} \right) \times \text{Hol} \left( \frac{F_4}{\text{Spin}(9)} \right).
$$

(30)
the decomposition of $M$ is given by

$$M = M_{\text{orientable}} \times SO(n_1) \times M_{\text{Kähler}, \ U(n_2)} \times M_{\text{Calabi-Yau}, \ SU(n_3)} \times M_{\text{quaternion-Kähler}, \ Sp(n_4)} \cdot Sp(1)$$

$$\times M_{\text{hyperkähler}, \ sp(n_5)} \times M_{G_2} \times M_{Sp(7)} \times \frac{SU(n_6 + 1)}{SU(1) \times U(n_6)} \times \frac{SO(n_7 + 1)}{SO(1) \times SO(n_7)}$$

$$\times \frac{Sp(n_8 + 1)}{Sp(1) \times Sp(n_8)} \times \frac{F_4}{Spin(9)}.$$

We can compute the rational cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ by the Kunneth formula, $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(M_{\text{orientable}, \ SO(n_1)}; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(M_{\text{Kähler}, \ U(n_2)}; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(M_{\text{Calabi-Yau}, \ SU(n_3)}; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^*(F_4/Spin(9); \mathbb{Q})$. Note, for the compact symmetric space $G/K$, their real cohomology is given by the $K$-invariant in the exterior algebra of the cotangent space at the identity, $(\wedge^p)^k$.

5. Morse-Palais Lemma and Local Approximation Theory

**Lemma 5.1.** Every continuous map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ from a Hilbert manifold can be arbitrarily approximated by a smooth map $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which has no critical points.

**Theorem 5.2.** A continuous map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ from a Hilbert manifold may be approximated to create infinitely many local minima and maxima by a small $C^0$-perturbation. This is impossible, in general, for a $C^1$-perturbation.

**Proof.** Working in local charts, let $\sigma(t) := \max(0, \min(2t, 1))$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, define $f_\varepsilon(x) = f(\sigma(\|x\|/\varepsilon)x)$. Then $f_\varepsilon$ is constant in the ball of radius $\varepsilon/2$, it coincides with $f$ outside the ball of radius $\varepsilon$, and $\|f - f_\varepsilon\|_\infty = o(1)$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \qed

Let $\phi$ be a chart on the Hilbert manifold $\Lambda M$ defined by

$$\phi : \ U \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$(31) \quad \gamma \mapsto \left( \int_{S^1} \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2dt, \int_{S^1} \|\ddot{\gamma}(t)\|^2dt, \ldots \right),$$

satisfying the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{U} & \phi \rightarrow & \mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\
\downarrow E & & \downarrow \phi \circ E^{-1} \\
\mathbb{R} & & \\
\end{array}$$

The Morse Lemma can be generalized to the infinite-dimensional setting. On separable Hilbert spaces, it takes the following form.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space and $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a $C^k$ function $f$ with $k \geq 3$ in the sense of Fréchet differentiability, for which $c$ is a non-degenerate critical point. Then there exist convex neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of $c$, and a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ of class $C^{k-2}$ with $\varphi(c) = c$ and a bounded orthogonal projection $P : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$(32) \quad f(x) = f(c) - \|P(\varphi(x))\|^2_H + \|\varphi(x) - P(\varphi(x))\|^2_H,$$

where $\dim \text{im}(P)$ is the Morse index of the critical point $c$. 

We henceforth use the notations of section [1]. For any real numbers $a, b$ for $b > a$, define the sets $\Lambda^{[a, b]}$ as follows, $\Lambda^{[a, b]} = \{ p \in \Lambda M | a \leq E(p) \leq b \}$. Recall, if $\Lambda^{[a, b]}$ is compact and contains no critical point of $E : \Lambda M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then $\Lambda^a$ is diffeomorphic to $\Lambda^b$. On the other hand, suppose that $\Lambda^{[a, b]}$ is compact and contains exactly one non-degenerate critical point of $E$. If the index of the critical point is $\lambda$ then $\Lambda^\lambda$ is obtained from $\Lambda^a$ by successively attaching a handle of index $\gamma$ and a collar.

**Proposition 5.4.** Every smooth Hilbert manifold admits a Morse function if $E : \Lambda M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Lambda^a$ is compact for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $E : \Lambda M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on a compact manifold $\Lambda M$ such that each $\Lambda^a$ is compact. Let $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k, \ldots$ be the critical points of $E$ and let the index of $p_i$ be $\lambda_i$. Then $\Lambda M$ has a handlebody decomposition which admits a handle of index $\lambda_i$ for each $i$.

**Proof.** Consider the Morse function $E : \Lambda M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_k < \cdots$ be the $m$ critical values of $E$. Then consider the sequence of real numbers $\{a_i\}$ for which $c_k < a_k < c_{k+1}$. For real $a, b$ with $b > a$ we define $\Lambda^{[a, b]} = \{ p \in \Lambda M | a \leq E(p) \leq b \}$. Consequently, $\Lambda^{a_{k+1}} = \Lambda^{a_k} \cup \Lambda^{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}$ and $\Lambda M = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} \Lambda^{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}$. The set $\Lambda^{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}$ is compact so it only contains finitely many critical points of $E$. If $\lambda_{k+1}$ is the index of $c_{k+1}$, it has the homotopy type of a handle of a handle of index $\lambda_{k+1}$.

**Corollary 5.6.** Every smooth manifold has the homotopy type of a CW complex.

**Proof.** The set $\Lambda^b$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Lambda^a$ with a 1-cell attached. $\square$

Suppose $E$ is a smooth, real-valued functional on $\Lambda M$ and $p$ is a non-degenerate critical point of $E$ of index $\gamma$ and that $E[p] = q$. Suppose $E^{-1}[q - \varepsilon, q + \varepsilon]$ is compact and contains no critical points besides $p$. Then if $\Lambda^\epsilon = E^{-1}(\infty, c]$, $\Lambda^{q+\epsilon}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Lambda^{q-\epsilon}$ with a $\gamma$-handle attached. That is, we attach a $\gamma$-handle to $\Lambda^{q-\epsilon}$ by considering the embedding $\theta : S^{\gamma-1} \times D^{\dim \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} - \gamma} \rightarrow \partial \Lambda^{q-\epsilon}$ and letting $H^{\gamma} = D^{\gamma} \times D^{\dim \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} - \gamma}$. Then the union of $\Lambda^{q-\epsilon}$ and a $\gamma$-handle along $\theta$ is the disjoint union of $\Lambda^{q-\epsilon}$ and $H^{\gamma}$, i.e. $\Lambda^{q-\epsilon} \cup H^{\gamma} = (\Lambda^{q-\epsilon} \cup (D^{\gamma} \times D^{\dim \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} - \gamma})) / \sim$, under the equivalence $(x, y) \sim (x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in S^{\gamma-1} \times D^{\dim \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} - \gamma}$. Then, for reduced homology, the long exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence is given by the following:

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H_{\gamma+1}(\Lambda^{q+\epsilon}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{\gamma}(\Lambda^{q-\epsilon} \cap H^{\gamma}) \xrightarrow{(i_*j_*)} H_{\gamma}(\Lambda^{q-\epsilon}) \oplus H_{\gamma}(H^{\gamma}) \xrightarrow{k_\gamma-\ell_\gamma} H_{\gamma}(\Lambda^{q+\epsilon}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{\gamma-1}(\Lambda^{q-\epsilon} \cap H^{\gamma}) \rightarrow \cdots
\]

for the inclusion maps $i : \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} \cap H^{\gamma} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{q-\epsilon}$, $j : \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} \cap H^{\gamma} \hookrightarrow H^{\gamma}$, $k : \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{q+\epsilon}$, and $\ell : H^{\gamma} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{q+\epsilon}$. The manifolds $\Lambda^{q-\epsilon}$ and $H^{\gamma}$ intersect over the image of $\theta$ which is $S^{\gamma-1} \times D^{\dim \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} - \gamma}$. Since $H^{\gamma}$ is contractible, $S^{\gamma-1} \times D^{\dim \Lambda^{q-\epsilon} - \gamma}$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^{\gamma-1}$ so the sequence becomes:

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H_{\gamma}(S^{\gamma-1}) \xrightarrow{(i_*j_*)} H_{\gamma}(\Lambda^{q-\epsilon}) \xrightarrow{k_\gamma-\ell_\gamma} H_{\gamma}(\Lambda^{q+\epsilon}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{\gamma-1}(S^{\gamma-1}) \rightarrow \cdots
\]
which is equivalent to
\[ 0 \xrightarrow{(i^* j^*)} H^\gamma_\gamma((\Lambda_\gamma^q + c) \cap (\Lambda_\gamma^q - c)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(i^* j^*)} \partial_\gamma \xrightarrow{(i^* j^*)} H^\gamma_\gamma((\Lambda_\gamma^q + c) \cap (\Lambda_\gamma^q - c)) \xrightarrow{\partial} 0. \]

**Theorem 5.7** (Main Result). *Every closed Riemannian manifold M admits infinitely many infinitely many geometrically distinct non-constant prime closed geodesics.*

**Proof.** Assume that the Riemannian metric on M is chosen such that the energy functional \( E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R} \) is Morse-Bott, so E is a \( C^2 \)-function. Let \( \{ c_\alpha \}_{\alpha \in A} \), for \( c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_{|A|} \) and the index set \( A \), be the critical values of \( E \). Then choose real numbers \( \{ a_i \} \) such that \( c_i < a_i < c_{i+1} \), \( 0 \leq i \leq |A| - 1 \) and let \( \Lambda_i := \Lambda^{a_i} \). Then let \( \Lambda^{a_{i+1}} = \Lambda^{a_i} \cup \Lambda^{[a_i,a_{i+1}]} \), so we obtain a sequence of increasing inclusions of manifolds with boundary:

\[ D^{\dim \Lambda M} = D^\infty = \Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_{|A|} = \Lambda M \]

where \( \Lambda_{i+1} \) is \( \Lambda_i \) with finitely many cells attached for each critical point in \( \Lambda^{[a_i,a_{i+1}]} \), and where we define the \( \infty \)-disk \( D^\infty \) in the sense of a Baire space. Recall that \( \Lambda^{[a_i,a_{i+1}]} \) is homotopy equivalent to a handle of index \( \lambda_{i+1} \). Thus, \( \Lambda M = \Lambda_0 \bigcup_{i=0}^{|A| - 1} \Lambda^{[a_i,a_{i+1}]} = \Lambda_0 \bigcup_{i=0}^{|A| - 1} H^{\lambda_{i+1}} = D^\infty \bigcup_{i=0}^{|A| - 1} H^{\lambda_{i+1}} \equiv D^\infty \bigcup_{x \in A} H^{\lambda_x}. \)

From the recursive definition of \( \Lambda_{i+1} \) it follows that
\[ H_k(\Lambda_{i+1}, \Lambda_i; \mathbb{Q}) = H_k(D^{\lambda_x}, S^{\lambda_x} - 1; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}; & \text{if } k = \lambda_x \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq \lambda_x. \end{cases} \]

To compute the homology of \( \Lambda M \), we consider Mayer-Vietoris sequences via an iterative process. In particular, we attach a \( \lambda_k \)-handle \( H^{\lambda_k} \) to a \( \dim X_{k-1} \)-manifold \( X_{k-1} \) by considering the embedding map \( \theta : S^{\lambda_k - 1} \times D^{\dim X_{k-1} - \lambda_k} \to \partial X_{k-1} \). Let \( H^\lambda_k = D^{\lambda_k} \times \dim X_{k-1} - \lambda_k \) such that the manifold \( \Lambda_{k-1} \cup_0 H^\lambda_k \) is the disjoint union of \( \Lambda_{k-1} \) and \( H^\lambda_k \) with the identification of \( S^{\lambda_k - 1} \times D^{\dim X_{k-1} - \lambda_k} \) along the image of \( \partial X_{k-1} \), i.e., \( X_k := X_{k-1} \cup H^\lambda_k = (X_{k-1} \cup (D^{\lambda_k} \times \dim X_{k-1} - \lambda_k)) / \sim \) for the equivalence relation \( (x, y) \sim \theta(x, y) \) for all \( (x, y) \in S^{\lambda_k - 1} \times D^{\dim X_{k-1} - \lambda_k} \). Then for the inclusion maps \( i^k : X_{k-1} \cap H^\lambda_k \hookrightarrow X_{k-1} \), \( j^k : X_{k-1} \cap H^\lambda_k \hookrightarrow H^\lambda_k \), \( \kappa^k : X_{k-1} \hookrightarrow X_k \), and \( \ell^k : H^\lambda_k \hookrightarrow X_k \), the Mayer-Vietoris sequence states that the following is a long exact sequence.

\[
\cdots \to H_{\lambda_k+1}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\lambda_k}(X_k \cap H^\lambda_k) \xrightarrow{(i^k j^k)} H_{\lambda_k}(X_{k-1}) \oplus H_{\lambda_k}(H^\lambda_k) \xrightarrow{(\kappa^k \ell^k)} H_{\lambda_k}(X_k) \to 0
\]

or equivalently,

\[
\cdots \to H_{\lambda_k+1}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\lambda_k}(S^{\lambda_k-1}) \xrightarrow{(i^k j^k)} H_{\lambda_k}(X_{k-1}) \oplus H_{\lambda_k}(H^\lambda_k) \xrightarrow{(\kappa^k \ell^k)} H_{\lambda_k}(X_k) \to 0
\]

Observing that \( H^k = D^k \times D^{n-k} \cong \ast \) has trivial homology, the exact sequence reduces to

\[
0 \xrightarrow{(i^k j^k)} H_{\lambda_k}(X_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{(\kappa^k \ell^k)} H_{\lambda_k}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(i^k j^k)} H_{\lambda_k}(X_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{(\kappa^k \ell^k)} H_{\lambda_k-1}(X_k) \to 0.
\]

The image of \( H_{\lambda_k}(X_k) \) under the induced boundary homomorphism \( \partial \) is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z} \), and is hence of the form \( i\mathbb{Q} \) for some rational \( i \). Either \( i = 0 \), in which case we can deduce
isomorphisms which we denote by equalities $H_{\lambda_k}(X_{k-1}) = H_{\lambda_k}(X_k)$ and $H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_{k-1}) = H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_k)$, or $i \neq 0$. If $i \neq 0$, we can decompose the last piece of the long exact sequence by gluing together short exact sequences:

\begin{align}
0 \longrightarrow H_{\lambda_i}(X_{k-1}) & \longrightarrow H_{\lambda_i}(X_k) \longrightarrow i\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 \longrightarrow i\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/i\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{align}

and

\begin{equation}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/i\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_{k-1}) \longrightarrow H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_k) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{equation}

By the splitting lemma, we have the isomorphisms $H_{\lambda_k}(X_k) = H_{\lambda_k}(X_{k-1}) \oplus i\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q} = i\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}/i\mathbb{Q}$, and $H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_{k-1}) = H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_k) \oplus \mathbb{Q}/i\mathbb{Q}$. We write the first isomorphism as $H_{\lambda_k}(X_k) = H_{\lambda_k}(X_{k-1}) \oplus i_k\mathbb{Q}$ for $\lambda_k = \dim H_{\lambda_k}$. Then supposing $E$ has $|A|$-many critical points,

\[
H_n(X_k; \mathbb{Q}) = H_n(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 
H_{\lambda_1}(X_{k-1}; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus i_1\mathbb{Q}, & \text{if } n = \lambda_1 \\
\vdots & \\
H_{\lambda_{|A|}}(X_{k-1}; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus i_{|A|}\mathbb{Q}, & \text{if } n = \lambda_{|A|} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

For $i \neq k$, the image of $H_{\lambda_i}(X_k)$ under the induced boundary homomorphism $\partial_*$ is a subgroup of the trivial group, i.e. $\text{im}(H_{\lambda_{i-1}}(X_k)) \subset 0$. Since

\[
0 \longrightarrow H_{\lambda_{i-1}}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\alpha_i - \ell_i} H_{\lambda_i}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} 0
\]

is exact, there is an isomorphism $H_{\lambda_{i-1}}(X_k) = H_{\lambda_{i-1}}(X_k)$ so for $i \neq k$:

\[
H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_k) = H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(X_{k-1}) = \cdots = H_{\lambda_{k-1}}(D^{\infty}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Q}_2, & \text{if } \lambda_i = 1 \\
0, & \text{if } \lambda_i \neq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Let the $n$-th Betti numbers of relative homology of free loop space, excised by a $\lambda_i$-handle, be $b_n(\Lambda M, H^{\lambda_i}) = \text{rk} H_n(\Lambda M, H^{\lambda_i})$. Then it follows that the rank of homology for free loop space $\Lambda M$ is

\[
b_n(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 
b_{\lambda_1}(\Lambda M, H^{\lambda_1}) + 1, & \text{if } n = \lambda_1 \\
\vdots & \\
b_{\lambda_{|A|}}(\Lambda M, H^{\lambda_{|A|}}) + 1, & \text{if } n = \lambda_{|A|} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

From the exact sequence

\[
0 \xrightarrow{(i^k, j^k)} H_{\lambda_n}(X_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^k - \ell^k} H_{\lambda_n}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} i_{n,k}\mathbb{Q},
\]

where $i_{n,k}$ is the rational corresponding to the image of $H_{\lambda_n}(X_k)$ under $\partial_*$, it is evident by excision that $H_{\lambda_n}(X_k) = H_{\lambda_n}(X_{k-1}) \oplus i_{n,k}\mathbb{Q} = H_{\lambda_n}(X_{k-1}) \oplus i_{n,k}\mathbb{Q} \oplus i_{n,k-1}\mathbb{Q} = \cdots = H_{\lambda_n}(D^{\infty}) \oplus i_{n,k}\mathbb{Q} \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{n,0}\mathbb{Q}$. If we denote the indices of the handles by $m \in \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{|A|}\}$, then

\[
b_m(X_k; \mathbb{Q}) = b_m(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = b_m(D^{\infty}; \mathbb{Q}) + |A| = \begin{cases} 
1 + |A|, & \text{if } m = 0 \\
|A|, & \text{if } m \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We invoke Theorem 5.2 to conclude that a $C^0$-perturbation of $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ may be approximated by a smooth functional $\tilde{E} : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ with infinitely many critical points.
such that the cardinality \(|A|\) is infinite, which means there exist infinitely many critical points of \(E\), and thus, since \(|A| \to \infty\), the sequence of Betti numbers \(\{b_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q})\}_{k \geq 0}\) is unbounded. It follows that there exist infinitely many geometrically distinct non-constant prime closed geodesics of a closed Riemannian manifold \(M\).

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.8.** Since the \(k\)-th Betti number of the free loop space \(\Lambda M\) is given by

\[b_k(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 1 + |A|, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ |A|, & \text{if } k \neq 0, \end{cases}\]

for \(|A|\) the number of non-degenerate critical points of \(E\), the upper bound of the sequence of Betti-numbers, \(\lim_{k \to \infty} b_k(\Lambda M) = |A|\), is uniquely determined by the number of handles of all indices, i.e. \(|A|_{\infty}\), where \(|A| = \infty\) is the result of a chosen \(C^0\)-perturbation of \(E\).

**Remark 5.9.** There is a deformation retraction of \(X_k = X_{K-1} \cup H^m\) onto the core \(X_{k-1} \cup S^{m-1} \cup \{\cdot\} \cup \cdots \cup \{\cdot\} \cup \{0\}\), so homotopically attaching an \(m\)-handle is the same as attaching an \(m\)-cell. Thus, treating \(\Lambda M := X_k\) as a CW complex, it has an Euler characteristic given by the number of \(0\)-cells, minus the number of \(1\)-cells, plus the number of \(2\)-cells, and so on.

Suppose \(\Lambda M\) has \(|A|\)-many attached handles of respective indices \(m_1, \ldots, m_{|A|}\). That is, the Euler characteristic of \(\Lambda M\) with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Q}\) is

\[\chi(\Lambda M) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i b_i(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = \sum_{m\text{-cells}} (-1)^m b_m(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = (-1)^0 b_0(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) + (-1)^{m_1} b_{m_1}(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) + \cdots + (-1)^{m_{|A|}} b_{|A|}(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) = (1 + |A|) + |A| \sum_{i=1}^{|A|} (-1)^{m_i}.\]

Likewise, if \(C^m\) denotes the number of critical points of the energy functional \(E\) of index \(m\), then \(\chi(\Lambda M) = \sum_m (-1)^m C^m\) where \(\sum_m C^m = |A| \to \infty\).

**Theorem 5.10.** If \(M\) is a closed simply connected manifold, then the space of free loops of Sobolev class \(H^1\), \(\Lambda M\), is necessarily connected.

**Proof.** The canonical isomorphisms \(\pi_k(\Lambda M) \cong \pi_k(\Omega M) \times \pi_k(M) \cong \pi_{k+1}(M) \times \pi_k(M)\) involving homotopy groups follow from the existence of a section, namely the map sending a point on \(M\) to the constant loop at \(M\), in the fibration \(\Omega M \to \Lambda M \to M\). Thus, \(\Lambda M\) is the total space of a fibration with connected fiber and base, which means that it is necessarily connected using the long exact sequence in homotopy.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 5.11.** If the energy functional \(E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}\), defined by \(E[\gamma] = \int_{S^1} ||\dot{\gamma}(t)||^2 dt\) for \(\gamma : S^1 \to M\), is a \(C^3\)-function, then \(M\) is a union of infinitely many Baire spaces \(S^{\infty}\).

**Proof.** Assume that the Riemannian metric on \(M\) is chosen such that the energy functional \(E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}\) is Morse-Bott, so \(E\) is a \(C^2\)-function. Assume that \(E\) is also a \(C^3\)-function by choice. Let \(H_\alpha \subset \Lambda M\) and let \(\Lambda M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} H_\alpha \oplus H_\alpha^\perp\) for \(A\) the set of critical points of \(E\). Further, let \(\mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset \Lambda M\) be a local neighborhood of the critical point \(\beta_\alpha\). Then the projection map \(P : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \to H_\alpha\) has \(P(x) = m\) for \(x = m + m', m \in H_\alpha, m' \in H_\alpha^\perp\).

Recall that \(\text{dim}(P) = \lambda(\beta_\alpha)\). Let \(\{\gamma_{\alpha k}\}_{k=1}^{\lambda(\beta_\alpha)}\) be an orthonormal basis for \(H_\alpha\). That is, the orthonormal basis satisfies
(i) Orthogonality: Every two different basis elements are orthogonal, i.e. \( \langle \gamma_k, \gamma_j \rangle = 0 \) for all \( k, j = 1, \ldots, \lambda(\beta_\alpha) \) with \( k \neq j \).

(ii) Normalization: Every element of this basis has norm 1: \( \|\gamma_{ak}\| = 1 \) for all \( k = 1, \ldots, \lambda(\beta_\alpha) \).

(iii) Completeness: The linear span of the family \( \gamma_{ak}, k = 1, \ldots, \lambda(\beta_\alpha) \) is dense in \( H_\alpha \).

Similarly, let \( \{\gamma_{ak}\}_{k \in B} \), for \( B := (\lambda(\beta_\alpha) + 1, \lambda(\beta_\alpha) + 2, \ldots) \) a countable (but infinite) set, be an orthonormal basis for \( H_\alpha^+ \), satisfying the above properties, of \( \text{dim}(H_\alpha^+) = \text{codim}(H_\alpha) = \infty \) for \( \text{dim} H_\alpha = \lambda(\beta_\alpha) \). Then \( \langle \gamma_{ak}, \gamma_{aj} \rangle = 0 \) for all \( k = 1, \ldots, \lambda(\beta_\alpha), j = \lambda(\beta_\alpha) + 1, \ldots \). We may construct \( \Lambda M \) as a patching over neighborhoods for sufficiently many critical points, which are homeomorphic to \( H_\alpha \oplus H_\alpha^+ \) for \( \alpha \in A \). Thus, for \( U_\alpha \) an open neighborhood of \( \beta_\alpha \in \Lambda M, U_\alpha = H_\alpha \oplus H_\alpha^+ \) such that for \( \gamma_\alpha \in U_\alpha \), there exists a unique \( \theta_\alpha \in H_\alpha \) and \( \varepsilon_\alpha \in H_\alpha^+ \) such that \( \gamma_\alpha = \theta_\alpha + \varepsilon_\alpha \) whereby \( \theta_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(\beta_\alpha)} c_{ak}\gamma_{ak} \) and \( \varepsilon_\alpha = \sum_{j=\lambda(\beta_\alpha)+1}^{\infty} c_{aj}\gamma_{aj} \).

Thus,

\[
\gamma_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(\beta_\alpha)} c_{ak}\gamma_{ak} + \sum_{j=\lambda(\beta_\alpha)+1}^{\infty} c_{aj}\gamma_{aj},
\]

where \( \langle \gamma_{ak}, \gamma_{aj} \rangle = 0 \). We invoke Theorem 5.2 to conclude that \( E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R} \) may be approximated by a smooth functional \( \hat{E} : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R} \) with infinitely many critical points, so that in \( \Lambda M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} H_\alpha \oplus H_\alpha^+, A \) is uncountable or countably infinite. Note, this follows because \( U_\alpha \) is dense. Furthermore, let \( \{\gamma_{ak}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be an orthonormal basis for \( U_\alpha = H_\alpha \oplus H_\alpha^+ \) such that if \( \gamma_\alpha \in U_\alpha \) then \( \gamma_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}\gamma_{ak} \) and \( P : U_\alpha \to H_\alpha \) means \( P(\gamma_\alpha) = P(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}\gamma_{ak}) \). Since \( P \) is a linear operator, \( P(\gamma_\alpha) = \theta_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}P(\gamma_{ak}) \). Let \( \{\theta_{ak}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be an orthonormal basis for \( H_\alpha \) where \( \theta_{ak} = 0 \) for \( k > \lambda(\beta_\alpha) \). That is, \( \{\theta_{ak}\}_{k=1}^{\lambda(\beta_\alpha)} \) is an orthonormal basis for \( H_\alpha \). Then \( P(\gamma_{ak}) = \theta_{ak} \) and \( P(\gamma_{aj}) = \theta_{aj} \) for \( k > \lambda(\beta_\alpha) \). Let \( \{\theta_{ak}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be an orthonormal basis for \( H_\alpha^+ \), then for \( P_\perp : U_\alpha \to H_\alpha^+ \) and \( P_\perp(\gamma_{ak}) = \theta_{ak} \), we have \( P_\perp(\gamma_\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_{ak}P_\perp(\gamma_{ak}) \) such that \( P_\perp(\gamma_{ak}) = \theta_{ak}^{\perp} = 0 \) for \( k < \lambda(\beta_\alpha) + 1 \). Therefore \( \gamma_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}\gamma_{ak} \) and

\[
P(\gamma_\alpha) = \theta_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}P(\gamma_{ak}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(\beta_\alpha)} c_{ak}P(\gamma_{ak}) \text{ with } P(\gamma_{ak}) = \begin{cases} \theta_{ak}, & \text{if } k \leq \lambda(\beta_\alpha), \\ 0, & \text{if } k > \lambda(\beta_\alpha), \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
P_\perp(\gamma_\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(\beta_\alpha)+1} \widetilde{c}_{ak}P_\perp(\gamma_{ak}) = \sum_{k=\lambda(\beta_\alpha)+1}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_{ak}P_\perp(\gamma_{ak}) \text{ with } P_\perp(\gamma_{ak}) = \begin{cases} \theta_{ak}^{\perp}, & \text{if } k \geq \lambda(\beta_\alpha) + 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } k < \lambda(\beta_\alpha) + 1, \end{cases}
\]

where \( \{\theta_{ak}, \theta_{aj}^{\perp}\} = 0 \) for all \( k = 1, \ldots, \lambda(\beta_\alpha), j = \lambda(\beta_\alpha) + 1, \ldots \).

Thus, by the Morse-Palais Lemma 5.3, \( E[\gamma_\alpha] = E[\beta_\alpha] - \|P(\varphi(\gamma_{ak}))\|_\Lambda M + \|\varphi(\gamma_{ak}) - P(\varphi(\gamma_{ak}))\|_\Lambda M \) where \( \varphi : U \to V \) is a diffeomorphism such that for \( \gamma_\alpha \in U_\alpha, \varphi(\gamma_\alpha) = \gamma_\alpha \), i.e. \( \varphi = \text{id}_{U_\alpha} \) by identification. It follows that

\[
E[\gamma_\alpha] = E[\beta_\alpha] - \|P(\varphi(\gamma_{ak}))\|_\Lambda M + \|\varphi(\gamma_{ak}) - P(\varphi(\gamma_{ak}))\|_\Lambda M \\
= E[\beta_\alpha] - \|P(\varphi(\gamma_{ak}))\|_\Lambda M + \|\varphi(\gamma_{ak})\|_\Lambda M - 2\text{Re}(\varphi(\gamma_{ak}), P(\varphi(\gamma_{ak}))) + \|P(\gamma_{ak})\|_\Lambda M \\
= E[\beta_\alpha] + \|\gamma_\alpha\|_\Lambda M - 2\text{Re}(\gamma_\alpha, P(\gamma_\alpha)).
\]
Let
\[ \varphi_a : \mathcal{U}_a \cap H_\alpha \to \mathbb{R}^{\lambda(\beta_a)} \]
\[ \gamma_\alpha \mapsto (\gamma_{a1}, \ldots, \gamma_{a\lambda(\beta_a)}) \]
since \( H_\alpha \) is a vector space, so the tangent space \( T_{\gamma_\alpha}H_\alpha \) at any point \( \gamma_\alpha \in H_\alpha \) is canonically isomorphic to \( H_\alpha \) itself. Similarly, let
\[ \widehat{\varphi}_a : \mathcal{U}_a \cap H_\alpha^\perp \to \mathbb{R}^\infty \]
\[ \gamma_\alpha \mapsto (\gamma_{a1}, \gamma_{a2}, \ldots) \]
with \( H_\alpha \cap H_\alpha^\perp = \{ \beta_\alpha \} \) (affinely translated \{0\}). Then let
\[ \phi_a : \mathcal{U}_a \to \mathbb{R}^\infty \]
\[ \gamma_\alpha \mapsto (\gamma_{a1}, \gamma_{a2}, \ldots) \]
be a chart on \( \mathcal{U}_a \). Thus,
\[ \phi_a^i : \mathcal{U}_a \to \mathbb{R} \]
\[ \gamma_\alpha \mapsto \gamma_{ai} \]
are local coordinate charts such that \( \gamma_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak} \gamma_{ak} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak} \phi_a^k \) so \( \| \gamma_\alpha \|^2_{\Lambda M} = \langle \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha \rangle_{\Lambda M} = \| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak} \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \| c_{ak} \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} \) since the \( \phi_a^k \)'s are orthogonal by choice, and \( \| \gamma_\alpha \|^2_{\Lambda M} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \| c_{ak} \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} \) where \( \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} = \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\hat{M}} + \| \nabla \phi_a^k \|^2_{\hat{M}} \). It follows that
\[ \| \gamma_\alpha \|^2_{\Lambda M} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}^2 (\| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\hat{M}} + \| \nabla \phi_a^k \|^2_{\hat{M}}) \]
Likewise, \( \theta_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(\beta_a) \hat{c}_{ak} P(\phi_a^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(\beta_a) \hat{c}_{ak} \phi_a^k \) since \( P(\phi_a^k) = \phi_a^k \) for \( k \leq \lambda(\beta_a) \). Recall \( \gamma_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak} \gamma_{ak} \). Therefore, \( \langle \gamma_\alpha, P(\gamma_\alpha) \rangle_{\Lambda M} = \langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak} \phi_a^k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(\beta_a) \hat{c}_{ak} \phi_a^k \rangle_{\Lambda M} = \langle \sum_{(k,j) \in K \times J} c_{ak} \hat{c}_{aj} \phi_a^k \phi_a^j \rangle_{\Lambda M} = \sum_{(k,j) \in K \times J} c_{ak} \hat{c}_{aj} \phi_a^k \phi_a^j = c_{ak} \hat{c}_{ak} = C_{ak} \). As such, \( E[\gamma_\alpha] = E[\beta_\alpha] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}^2 \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} - 2C_{ak} \) or for \( \hat{C}_{ak} = E[\gamma_\alpha] - E[\beta_\alpha] + 2C_{ak} \) we have
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}^2 \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} = \hat{C}_{ak} \]
where \( \gamma_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak} \phi_a^k = c_{a1} \phi_a^{k_1} + c_{a2} \phi_a^{k_2} + \cdots \), and \( \phi_a^k \) is defined on \( \mathcal{U}_a \). Then \( c_{ak} = \int_{\mathcal{U}_a} \phi_a^k(\gamma_\alpha) d\gamma_\alpha \). Thus, \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{ak}^2 \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} = 1 \) so letting \( a_{ak} = C_{ak} / \hat{C}_{ak} \) we have
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ak} \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} = 1. \]
The locus of all points \( \{ \phi_a^1, \phi_a^2, \ldots \} \) (i.e. the coordinates on the patch \( \mathcal{U}_a \)) corresponds to an infinite-dimensional ellipsoid, since \( a_{ai} \neq 0 \) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), because otherwise \( a_{ai} = 0 \) would obstruct the uniqueness and existence of the orthonormal basis. Thus, \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ak} \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} = 1 \) for each \( \mathcal{U}_a \). It follows that
\[ \Lambda M = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathcal{U}_a = \bigcup_{a \in A} \left\{ (\phi_a^1, \phi_a^2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ak} \| \phi_a^k \|^2_{\Lambda M} = 1 \right. \right\} \cong \bigcup_{a \in A} S^\infty. \]
Suppose there exists a suitable \( C^0 \)-perturbation \( \widehat{E} \) of \( E \) such that there is an atlas with \( \Lambda M = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathcal{U}_a \) where \( \mathcal{U}_a \) is locally a Hilbert space for all \( \alpha \in A \). Then given such a distribution of critical points on \( \Lambda M \), we can compute the cohomology groups via a nerve of a good cover and, thus, the sequence of Betti numbers. Note, such a construction of an atlas for \( \Lambda M \) can always be made because \( \widehat{E} \) is an arbitrary \( C^0 \)-perturbation of \( E \). Then the
rational cohomology of the Baire space is $H^k(S^\infty; \mathbb{Q})$ is the inverse limit $\lim_{\leftarrow n} H^k(S^n; \mathbb{Q})$, i.e.

$$H^k(S^\infty; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}, & \text{if } k = 0, \infty, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq 0, \infty. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 5.12.** Let $\Lambda M \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ be a paracompact connected Hilbert manifold. Moreover, let $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^2$-function. Then the simplicial complex $\Delta := \{ \gamma \in \Lambda M : dE[\gamma] = 0 \}$, for vertices identified with the intersections of a refined open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ the non-degenerate critical points of $E$, is homotopy equivalent to $\Lambda M$.

**Proof.** A $C^0$-perturbation of the energy functional $E : \Lambda M \to \mathbb{R}$ produces infinitely many critical points. Suppose we form a good cover $U = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that each $U_\alpha$ is a neighborhood of the non-degenerate critical point $p_k$. Then, in general, this should be a cover of $\Lambda M$ and the map from the geometric realization of the simplicial space (i.e. the topological nerve of $\{U_\alpha\}$) to $\Lambda M$ is a homotopy equivalence. Likewise, the geometric realization of the topological nerve $R(\text{Top-Nrv}(\{U_\alpha\}))$ is homotopy equivalent to the geometric realization of the simplicial set for which the $|A|$-simplices are $|A|$-tuples $(\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{|A|})$ whereby the intersection $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_\alpha$ is nonempty $|A| \to \infty$ under $C^0$-perturbation of $E$.

Treating $\Lambda M$ as a CW complex, by Theorem 5.11 it is given by the union of Baire spaces, i.e., $\infty$-spheres, namely $M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S^\infty$ without information on the intersections. The only requirement is that the union of such Baire spaces covers $\Lambda M$. Let $\Sigma = \{S^\infty, \ldots, S^\infty\}$ be a finite collection of sets, with cardinality $|A|$. The nerve consists of all sub-collections whose sets have a non-empty common intersection, $\text{Nrv}(\Sigma) = \{ X \subset \Sigma \bigcap X \neq \emptyset \}$, which is an abstract simplicial complex. That is, for suppose we form a good cover $U = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that each $U_\alpha$ is a neighborhood of the non-degenerate critical point $p_k$. The geometric realization of the topological nerve $R(\text{Top-Nrv}(U))$ is homotopy equivalent to the geometric realization of the simplicial set for which the $|A|$-simplices are $|A|$-tuples $(\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{|A|})$ where the intersection $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_\alpha$ is nonempty. Since all Hilbert manifolds are paracompact, we may compute homology of the CW model of $\Lambda M$ via Čech homology (with values in the presheaf $\mathcal{F}$) $\check{H}_k(U, \mathcal{F}) = \lim_{\mu \in A} H_k(U, \mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{F}$ a presheaf of abelian groups on $\Lambda M$, and $A$ a directed set consisting of all open covers of $\Lambda M$, which is directed by $U < V$ for $V$ a refinement of $U$. The Čech homology is similarly given by $\check{H}_k(\Lambda M, \mathcal{F}) = \lim_{\mu \in A} H_k(\text{Nrv}(U), \mathcal{F})$.

6. **Moduli Space and the Generalized Mumford Conjecture**

Consider a moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of the closed $n$-dimensional simply connected manifolds with the following properties.

(i) Two abstract simply connected closed manifolds $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$ are identified if their embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ are the same, i.e., if the images of their $C^k$-smooth embeddings are equal: $\text{im}(\text{Emb}(M, \mathbb{R}^\infty)) = \text{im}(\text{Emb}(N, \mathbb{R}^\infty))$. Note, $\mathbb{R}^{\max(2 \dim M, 2 \dim N)} \suffices$ by the Whitney embedding theorem;

(ii) $\mathcal{M}$ is itself a manifold which carries a natural Hausdorff topology induced by the metric $d(M, N) = \sup_{(p, q) \in M \times N} d(\text{Emb}(B(p; r), \mathbb{R}^\infty), \text{Emb}(B(q; r), \mathbb{R}^\infty))$ where $B(p; r) := \{ x \in M : d(p, x) < r \}$. 

The space $\text{Emb}(M, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ suitably topologized is a contractible space on which $\text{Diff}(M)$ acts freely and properly. Hence, its quotient by this action $\text{Emb}(M, \mathbb{R}^\infty)/\text{Diff}(M)$ is the classifying space $B\text{Diff}(M)$. As we let the diffeomorphism type of $M$ vary, we obtain a moduli space

$$\bigcup_{\text{simply connected manifold of dimension } n} B\text{Diff}(M).$$

Note, the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(M)$ may be endowed with a weak topology induced by a family of metrics

$$d_G(f, g) = \sup_{p \in G} d(f(p), g(p)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{b \in G} \|d^k f(p) - d^k g(p)\|$$

where $G$ varies over compact subsets of $M$. It is possible to relate the homology in low degrees to the homology of $\bigcup_M B\text{Diff}(M)$.

**Proposition 6.1.** The free loop space of Sobolev class $H^1 = W^{1, 2}$ may be written as the infinite-dimensional space $\Lambda M = \#_{i \geq 1} (S^\infty \times S^\infty)$ with genus $g = \infty$.

**Proof.** Since $\Lambda M = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} S^\infty$ and, by the Riemann mapping theorem $\mathbb{H}^1 \cong \overline{\text{Int}(S^1)}$, $\mathbb{H}^2 \cong \overline{\text{Int}(S^2)}$, $\mathbb{H}^3 \cong \overline{\text{Int}(S^3)}$, $\ldots$, $\mathbb{H}^\infty \cong \overline{\text{Int}(S^\infty)} = \text{Int}(S^\infty) \cup \partial S^\infty$, we have $\Lambda M = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} S^\infty = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{H}^\infty \backslash \text{Int}(S^\infty)$ where $\mathbb{H}^\infty \backslash \text{Int}(S^\infty) := \mathbb{H}^\infty \backslash \Gamma$ for $\Gamma \subset SO^+(1, \infty)\mathbb{R}$ a torsion-free discrete group of isometries on $\mathbb{H}^\infty$. Note, every hyperbolic manifold $M$ can be written as $\mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$ where $\Gamma$ is a torsion-free discrete group of isometries on $\mathbb{H}^n$. Thus, under identification, $\mathbb{H}^\infty/\Gamma \cong S^\infty \times S^\infty$ so $\Lambda M = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{H}^\infty \backslash \text{Int}(S^\infty) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} S^\infty \times S^\infty$. Moreover, choosing a suitable $C^0$-perturbation of $E$, we may patch an atlas $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\Lambda M = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} S^\infty = \#_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{H}^\infty/\Gamma \cong \#_{i \geq 1} S^\infty \times S^\infty$. \hfill \Box

For a smooth compact manifold $M$, $B\text{Diff}(M)$ is the classifying space of the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(M)$. It classifies fiber bundles with fiber $M$, in that there exists a bijection between isomorphism classes of fiber bundles $E \to X$ with fiber $M$ and the set $[M, B\text{Diff}(M)]$ of homotopy classes of maps. Mumford [10] addressed the case in which $M = \Sigma_g$ is an oriented surface of genus $g$ as $g \to \infty$. Galatius and Randal-Williams [3] proved the generalized Mumford conjecture by determining the stable rational cohomology

$$\lim_{g \to \infty} H^*(B\text{Diff}(W_g, D^{2n}); \mathbb{Q})$$

for $W_g = \#^g S^n \times S^n$ the $g$-fold connected sum of $S^n \times S^n$. For each characteristic class of oriented vector bundles $c \in H^{2n+k}(B\text{SO}(2n))$ of dimension $2n$, there is an associated generalized Mumford-Morita-Miller class of a smooth fiber bundle $\pi : E \to M$ with oriented $2n$-dimensional fibers defined as $\kappa_c(E) = \pi_1(c(T_v(E))) \in H^k(M)$ where $T_v(E) = \ker(d\pi)$ is the tangent bundle of $\pi$ [3]. Suppose the fiber bundle is $W_g$. Then there is a universal class $\kappa_c \in H^k(B\text{Diff}(W_g, D^{2n})$ which is compatible for increasing $g$ if $k > 0$.

**Theorem 6.2** (Galatius and Randal-Williams [3]). Let $n > 2$ and let $\mathcal{B} \subset H^*(B\text{SO}(2n); \mathbb{Q})$ be the set of monomials in the classes $e, p_{n-1}, p_{n-2}, \ldots, p_{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]}$ of total degree greater than $2n$. Then the natural map

$$\mathbb{Q}[\kappa_c | c \in \mathcal{B}] = \lim_{g \to \infty} H^*(B\text{Diff}(W_g, D^{2n}); \mathbb{Q})$$
is an isomorphism.

The choice of inclusion $W_g \rightarrow \text{int}(D^{2n}) ightarrow W_{g+1}$ induces a map between topological groups $B\text{Diff}(W_g, D^{2n}) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}(W_{g+1}, D^{2n})$, which in turn defines an inverse system in the theorem of Galatius and Randal-Williams 6.2.

Let $\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathcal{M}_g^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of compact $2n$-dimensional submanifolds $W \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\partial W = S^{2n-1}$ and $S^{2n-1} \subset W$ where $W$ is diffeomorphic relative to its boundary to the manifold $W_g = \#^g(S^n \times S^n)$. Topologize $\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the quotient of the space of embeddings $W_g \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$. Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we write $M_g = \colim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $M_g$ is a model for the classifying space $B\text{Diff}^0(W_g, D^{2n})$.

We would like to compute the stable cohomology ring of the moduli space which is the set of compact $\infty$-dimensional submanifolds diffeomorphic to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_g = \#^g S^\infty \times S^\infty$, i.e. the cohomology of the moduli space of $\Lambda M$,

$$\lim_{g} H^*(B\text{Diff}(W_g, D^\infty); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Under the the isomorphism of Galatius-Randal-Williams, this reduces to computing the Mumford-Morita-Miller classes $\kappa_c$ and the classes $e, p_\infty$. However, if we would like to find the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we need to map diffeomorphisms of the $2n$-dimensional manifolds $W_g^{2n}$ to diffeomorphisms of the $(2n + 2)$-dimensional manifolds $W_g^{2n+2}$. Moreover, the dimension of the manifold $W_g; 2n$, is fixed and one considers embedded submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^N$ diffeomorphic to $W_g$. Then adopting the notation of $\mathcal{M}_g$, one lets $N$ go to infinity via the natural inclusion of $\mathbb{R}^N$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, so submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^N$ are regarded as submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. However, the dimension $2n$ of the submanifolds does not change. Thus, there is no natural way to let $n$ go to infinity in this construction.
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