ISO-CONTACT EMBEDDINGS OF MANIFOLDS IN CO-DIMENSION 2

DISHANT M. PANCHOLI AND SUHAS PANDIT

Abstract. The purpose of this article is to study co-dimension 2 iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifolds. We first show that a contact manifold \((M^{2n-1}, \xi_M)\) iso-contact embeds in a contact manifold \((N^{2n-1}, \xi_N)\), provided \(M\) contact embeds in \((N, \xi_N)\) with trivial normal bundle and the contact structure induced on \(M\) via this embedding is homotopic as an almost-contact structure to \(\xi_M\). We apply this result to first establish that a contact 3-manifold having no 2-torsion in its second integral cohomology iso-contact embeds in the standard contact 5-sphere if and only if the first Chern class of the contact structure is zero. In the last section, we discuss iso-contact embeddings of simply connected contact 5-manifolds.

1. Introduction

The study of embeddings of manifolds in Euclidean spaces has been a very classical and well studied topic of geometric topology. H. Whitney in [WH] established that every smooth manifold admits an embedding in \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\). This result was then generalized by M. Hirsch for odd dimensional orientable manifolds to establish that every \((2n + 1)\)–dimensional manifold admits an embedding in \(\mathbb{R}^{4n-1}\). This, in particular, implies that every closed orientable 3-manifold admits an embedding in \(\mathbb{R}^5\). John Nash in [Na] established that every closed Riemannian \(n\)–manifold admits a \(c^1\)–isometric embedding in \(\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n+1}{2}(3n+11)}\). In this article, we study iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifolds in co-dimension 2. The study of such embeddings was initiated by Etnyre and Fukuwara in [EF].

By a contact structure on a manifold \(M\), we mean a nowhere integrable hyperplane field \(\xi\) on \(M\). The contact structure is said to be co-orientable, provided \(\xi\) is the kernel of a \(1\)–form defined on \(M\). A contact manifold \(M\) with the contact structure \(\xi\) is denoted by the pair \((M, \xi)\). When \(\xi\) is co-oriented and \(\xi\) is the kernel of a \(1\)–form \(\alpha\) defined on \(M\), then we also denote the contact manifold \((M, \xi)\) by the pair \((M, \{\alpha = 0\})\).

In this article, we will always work with co-orientable contact structures.

Let \((M_1, \{\alpha_1 = 0\})\) and \((M_2, \{\alpha_2 = 0\})\) be two contact manifolds. We say that \((M_1, \{\alpha_1 = 0\})\) admits an iso-contact embedding in \((M_2, \{\alpha_2 = 0\})\), provided there exists a smooth embedding \(f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2\) such that \(f^*\alpha_2 = g\alpha_1\), for some everywhere positive function \(g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\).

In case, manifold \(M_1\) admits an embedding into a contact manifold \((M_2, \xi_2)\) such that the restriction of \(\xi_2\) to \(M_1\) is a contact structure on \(M_1\), we say \(M_1\) admits a contact embedding in \((M_2, \xi_2)\).

For a contact manifold \((M_1, \{\alpha_1 = 0\})\) to admit an iso-contact embedding in the manifold \((M_2, \{\alpha_2 = 0\})\), there must exist a smooth embedding \(f\) of \(M_1\) in \(M_2\) and a monomorphism \(F : TM_1 \rightarrow TM_2\) which covers the map \(f\) and satisfies the property that the bundle \((F_\xi, F_d\alpha_1)\) is a conformal symplectic sub-bundle of \((M_2, \{\alpha_2 = 0\})\). If such a pair \((f, F)\) exists, then we say that we have a formal iso-contact embedding of \((M_1, \{\alpha_1 = 0\})\) in \((M_2, \{\alpha_2 = 0\})\). We refer to [EM, Chapt-12] for more on formal iso-contact embeddings.

Let \((N, \xi)\) be a contact manifold. Following [EM], we say that the problem of iso-contact embeddings of a collection \(\mathcal{A}\) of contact manifolds abide by the \(h\)-principle provided every formal iso-contact embedding of a contact manifold \((M, \mathcal{D}) \in \mathcal{A}\) in \((N, \xi)\) can be isotoped to an iso-contact embedding of \((M, \mathcal{D})\) in \((N, \xi)\).

Questions related to iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifolds in an arbitrary contact manifold \((N, \xi)\) abide by the \(h\)-principle provided, either the co-dimension of the embedding is bigger than 4 or the
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\textsuperscript{1}Etnyre and Fukuwara in [EF] term iso-contact embeddings contact embeddings. We, on the other hand follow the conventions from [EM].
target manifold is overtwisted in the sense of BEM. See for example, EM Theorem 12.3.1] to understand the case of iso-contact embeddings when the co-dimension of the embedding is bigger than or equal to 4. Iso-contact embeddings were first studied by Gromov. He established in Gr the h-principle for iso-contact embeddings for the category of open contact manifolds provided the co-dimension of the embeddings is bigger than or equal to 2. As a result, he also obtained the h-principle for closed contact manifolds, provided the co-dimension of the embeddings is at least 4. Gromov in Gr also established the h-principle for co-dimension 2 immersions. To clearly understand the case of iso-contact embeddings in overtwisted contact manifolds, see discussions related to iso-contact embeddings in EF and EL. In particular, in [EL] it is shown that every contact 3–manifold admits an iso-contact embedding in an overtwisted contact $S^2 \times S^3$. We would also like to point out that A. Mori in Mr also produced iso-contact embeddings of all contact 3–manifolds in the contact manifold $\left(\mathbb{R}^7, \{dz + \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i dy_i = 0\}\right)$ using open books and David Martinez-Torres in Ma produced an iso-contact embedding of any contact manifold $M^{2n+1}$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n+3}, \{dz + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} x_i dy_i = 0\}\right)$.

In this article, we first establish an h–principle type result for co-dimension 2 iso-contact embeddings of closed manifolds. More precisely,

**Theorem 1.** Let $M^{2n-1}$ be a smooth manifold. Suppose that $M$ admits a smooth embedding $F$ in a contact manifold $(N^{2n+1}, \xi)$ such that the pull back of $\xi$ by $F$ induces a contact structure $\tilde{D}$ on $M$. If the normal bundle of $F(M)$ is trivial in $N$, then every contact manifold $(M, D)$ admits an iso-contact embedding in $(N, \xi)$, provided $D$ is homotopic to $\tilde{D}$ as an almost-contact structure.

Here, by an almost-contact structure on a manifold $M$, we mean a hyperplane-field $\xi$ together with a conformal class of a symplectic structure on it.

Our proof of the Theorem relies on a flexibility in iso-contact embeddings of contact manifolds in neighborhoods of certain closed contact overtwisted manifolds which are embedded in some fixed contact manifold. See the Lemma.

Recall that a contact manifold $(M, \xi)$ is said to be overtwisted, provided it admits an iso-contact embedding of an overtwisted ball. For a precise definition of an overtwisted ball, refer BEM. For the purpose of this article what is important is the following fact established in BEM: In every homotopy class of an almost-contact structure, there exists a unique overtwisted contact structure.

There are many interesting classes of smooth manifolds which admit smooth co-dimension 2 embeddings in the standard spheres. For example, recall that every smooth 3–manifold admits a smooth embedding in $S^5$. This was first established by M. Hirsch in H. There are now many proofs of this result. See for example HLM for what is now known as braided embedding and PPS for embeddings using open books.

Kasuya in Ka first observed that not all contact 3–manifolds admit iso-contact embeddings in the standard contact $S^5$. He showed that the necessary condition for the existence of such an embedding is that the first Chern class of the contact structure must be zero. In Ka, Kasuya also established that every contact 3–manifold $(M, D)$ admits an iso-contact embedding in some contact $\mathbb{R}^5$.

A systematic study of iso-contact embeddings of closed 3–manifolds in the standard contact $S^5$ was initiated by Etnyre and Fukuwarra in EF. In EF, Etnyre and Fukuwarra obtained many interesting iso-contact embedding results. One of the most striking result which they established states that every overtwisted contact 3–manifold $(M, \xi^{ot})$ with no 2–torsion in second integral cohomology iso-contact embeds in the standard contact $S^5$ if and only if the first Chern class of the overtwisted contact structure $\xi^{ot}$ is zero.

Applying the Theorem about iso-contact embeddings mentioned earlier and a result about iso-contact embeddings of overtwisted 3–manifolds in $S^5$ proved in EF, we establish the following:

**Theorem 2.** Let $M$ be an orientable 3–manifold. Then, we have the following:

1. If case, $M$ has no 2–torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, then $M$ together with any contact structure $\xi$ on it admits an iso-contact embedding in $(S^5, \xi_{std})$ if and only if the first Chern class $c_1(\xi)$ is zero.
In case, \( M \) has a 2–torsion in \( H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \), then there exists a homotopy class \([\xi]\) of plane fields on \( M \) such that \( M \) together with any contact structure homotopic to a plane field belonging to the class \([\xi]\) over a 2–skeleton of \( M \) admits an iso-contact embedding in \((S^5, \xi_{\text{std}})\).

Finally, we discuss iso-contact embeddings of simply-connected contact 5–manifolds in \((S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})\). In particular, we establish:

**Theorem 3.** Let \((M, \xi)\) be a simply connected contact 5–manifold. Then \((M, \xi)\) admits an iso-contact embedding in \((S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})\) if and only if \((M, \xi)\) admits a formal iso-contact embedding in \((S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})\).
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2. **Preliminaries**

In this section, we quickly review notions necessary for the article pertaining open books, contact structures and relationship between them.

2.1. **Open books.**

Let us review few results related to open book decompositions of manifolds. We first recall the following:

**Definition 4** (Open book decomposition). An open book decomposition of a manifold \( M \) consists of a co-dimension 2 submanifold \( B \) with a trivial normal bundle and a fibration \( \pi : M \setminus B \to S^1 \) such that \( \pi^{-1}(\theta) \) is the interior of a co-dimension 1 submanifold \( N_\theta \) such that \( \partial N_\theta = B \), for all \( \theta \). The submanifold \( B \) is called the binding and \( N_\theta \) is called a page of the open book. We denote an open book decomposition of \( M \) by \((M, \mathcal{Ob}(B, \pi))\) or sometimes simply by \( \mathcal{Ob}(B, \pi) \).

Next, we discuss the notion of an abstract open book decomposition. To begin with, let us recall the following:

**Definition 5** (Mapping torus). Let \( \Sigma \) be a manifold with non-empty boundary \( \partial \Sigma \). Let \( \phi \) be an element of the mapping class group of \( \Sigma \). By the mapping torus \( \mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \partial \Sigma, \phi) \), we mean

\[
\Sigma \times [0, 1]/ \sim
\]

where \( \sim \) is the equivalence relation identifying \((x, 0)\) with \((\phi(x), 1)\).

We are now in a position to define the abstract open book decomposition.

**Definition 6.** Let \( \Sigma \) and \( \phi \) as in the previous definition. An abstract open book decomposition of \( M \) is pair \((\Sigma, \phi)\) such that \( M \) is diffeomorphic to

\[
\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi) \cup \text{id} \partial \Sigma \times D^2,
\]

where \( \text{id} \) denotes the identity mapping of \( \partial \Sigma \times S^1 \).

The map \( \phi \) is called the monodromy of the open book. We will denote an abstract open book decomposition by \((M, \mathcal{Ob}(\Sigma, \phi))\) or just by \( \mathcal{Ob}(\Sigma, \phi) \). One can easily see that an abstract open book decomposition of \( M \) gives an open book decomposition of \( M \) up to diffeomorphism and vice versa. Hence, sometimes we will not distinguish between open books and abstract open books. In particular, we will continue to use the notation \( \mathcal{Ob}(\Sigma, \phi) \) to denote the open book decomposition associated to the abstract open book \( \mathcal{Ob}(\Sigma, \phi) \).

---

2 By the mapping class group of any manifold \( \Sigma \), we mean the group of isotopy classes of self-diffeomorphisms of \( \Sigma \) which is the identity in a collar of \( \partial \Sigma \).
Examples 7.  
(1) Notice that $S^n$ admits an open book decomposition with pages $D^{n-1}$ and the monodromy the identity map of $D^{n-1}$. We call this open book the trivial open book. For more details regarding open books, refer the lecture notes [Et] and [Gi] chpt-4.4.2).
(2) $S^1 \times S^2$ admits an open book decomposition with pages $T^*S^2$ and monodromy the identity. We call this open book decomposition of $S^3 \times S^2$ as the standard open book decomposition of $S^3 \times S^2$.
(3) In [Al], it was shown that every closed orientable 3–manifold admits an open book decomposition. This result was further generalized to all odd dimensional orientable manifold of dimension bigger than 5 by Lawson in [La].

Given two abstract open books $(M^1, Aob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1))$ and $(M^2, Aob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2))$, if we make the band connected sum of pages of $Aob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1)$ and $Aob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2)$, then we get an abstract open book decomposition $Aob(\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2, \phi_1 \# \phi_2)$ of $M_1 \# M_2$. This was first established in [Gi] by D.Gabai.

There exists an intimate connection between open books and contact structures on manifolds discovered by Giroux in [Gi]. In order to understand this correspondence, we first recall the notion of a contact structure supported by an open book.

2.2. Contact manifolds and supporting open books.

Giroux in [Gi] introduced the notion of a contact structure supported by an open book. We now recall this notion.

Definition 8. Let $(M, \xi)$ be a contact manifold. We say that an open book decomposition $Ob(B, \pi)$ is supported by the contact structure provided:

1. The binding $B$ is a contact submanifold of $M$.
2. There exists a 1-form $\alpha$, where $\xi = \{\alpha = 0\}$ such that $d\alpha$ is a symplectic form on each page of the open book.
3. The boundary orientation on $B$ coming from the orientation of the pages induced by $(d\alpha)^n$ is the same as the orientation given by $\alpha|_B \wedge (d\alpha|_B)^{n-1}$.

Giroux in [Gi] established a one to one correspondence between the open books up to positive stabilizations and the supported contact structures up to isotopy for 3–manifolds. In general, – for all odd dimensional manifolds – it is relatively easy to see that if two contact structures are supported by the same open book, then they are contactomorphic. See the notes [Ko1] by Otto Van Koert and [Et] for more on this. The purpose of the next subsection is to recall a few notions and results associated to Giroux’s correspondence.

2.3. Contact abstract open book and Giroux’s correspondence.

We begin this subsection by recalling the notion of Generalized Dehn twist due to Paul Seidel. This notion is necessary to understand the notion of positive stabilization. This notion was first introduced in [Se]. See also [Sp2].

Definition 9 (Generalized Dehn twist). Consider,

$$T^*S^n = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | \ x.y = 0, \ ||y|| = 1\}.$$  

Define a self-diffeomorphism $\tau$ of $T^*S^n$ as follows:

$$\tau(x, y) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos g(y) & |y|^{-1} \sin g(y) \\ -|y| \sin g(y) & \cos g(y) \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right)$$

where, $g$ is a function of $y$ which is the identity near 0 and is zero outside a compact set containing 0. The diffeomorphism $\tau$ is call the generalized Dehn twist.

It is relatively easy to check that $\tau$ is a compactly supported symplectomorphism of $T^*S^n$. Furthermore, $\tau$ can be isotoped to a symplectomorphism which is compactly supported in an arbitrary small neighborhood of the zero section of $T^*S^n$. We refer to [MS] page-186 and the notes [Ko] for more details. See also [KN] for a nice exposition on how to produce a compactly supported generalized Dehn twist.

The symplectomorphism $\tau^{-1}$ is known as the negative Dehn twist.
We will need the notion of contact abstract open book. We refer to [Ko] Section–2 for a detailed description of this.

In brief, let Σ is a Weinstein manifold and φ is a symplectomorphism of Σ which is the identity near the boundary of Σ. Giroux generalized the construction of Thurston and Winkelnkemper given in [TW] to produce a contact form on the manifold with open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi) \) such that the contact structure is supported by the open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi) \) in the sense discussed in Subsection 2.2. See also lecture notes by Giroux and Mølseth [GM]. We will generally denote this contact form by \( \alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)} \).

We call this contact manifold a contact abstract open book. In this article, unless stated otherwise, whenever we talk of a contact structure supported by an open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi) \), we will always mean that Σ is some Weinstein manifold and φ is a symplectomorphism of Σ which when restricted to a collar of its boundary is the identity.

We would like to remark that by a contact abstract open book \( \{ \alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)} \} \), we will always mean the abstract open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi) \) together with the contact form \( \alpha = \alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)} \) as described in the previous paragraph.

We now discuss a few examples of contact abstract open books that we will need.

**Examples 10.**

1. Let \( D^{2n} \) denote a 2n–disk in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) with its center at the origin. Let \( \lambda_{\text{std}} \) denote the canonical 1–form on \( D^{2n} \) given by \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i dy_i - y_idx_i \) which induces the standard symplectic structure on \( D^{2n} \). The standard contact sphere \( (S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{std}}) \) is contactomorphic to the open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(D^{2n}, id), \xi(D^{2n}, id) \).

2. Consider \( T^*S^n \), the unit disk bundle associated to the co-tangent bundle of \( S^n \) and the Dehn-Seidel twist \( \tau \) on \( T^*S^n \). It is well known that the contact abstract open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(T^*S^n, \tau) \) is contactomorphic to the standard contact sphere \( (S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{std}}) \).

3. The contact abstract open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(T^*S^n, \tau^{-1}) \) induces an overtwisted contact structure on \( S^{2n+1} \). This is clearly discussed for 3–manifolds in [KN1]. In general this follows from [CMP]. We will denote this overtwisted contact structure by \( \xi_{\text{std}} \). In case, we are interested in a contact form supporting the abstract open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(T^*S^n, \tau^{-1}) \), we will use the notation \( \alpha_{\text{stot}} \).

**Remark 11.** If \( \{ \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1), \alpha_1 \} \) and \( \{ \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2), \alpha_2 \} \) are two contact abstract open books, then as mentioned earlier, we can produce the connected sum \( \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_1, \phi) \# \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2) \) by performing the band connected sum of their pages. It is clear that this connected sum carries a natural contact structure supported by the open book with pages the band connected sum of \( \Sigma_1 \) with \( \Sigma_2 \). This contact structure will be denoted by \( \{ \alpha_1 = 0 \} \# \{ \alpha_2 = 0 \} \). We call this connected sum a contact abstract connected sum. See, for example, [BEM] for this construction.

### 2.4. Iso-contact open book embeddings

In this section, we discuss the notion of iso-contact open book embeddings. For more on open book embeddings, refer [EL] and [PPS].

Let \( \Sigma_1 \) be a proper Weinstein sub-manifold of a Weinstein manifold \( W \). Suppose that there exists a symplectomorphism \( \phi \) of \( W \) which sends \( \Sigma_1 \) to itself, then it is clear that the contact abstract open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_1, \phi|\Sigma_1) \) iso-contact embeds in the contact abstract open book \( \mathcal{A}ob(W, \phi) \). We call this embedding an iso-contact abstract open book embedding.

Finally, we establish a proposition which is relatively straight forward.

**Proposition 12.** If \( \{ \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1), \xi_1 \} \) iso-contact open book embeds in a contact abstract open book \( \{ \mathcal{A}ob(W_i, \Psi_i), D_i \} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), then the contact (abstract) connected sum \( \{ \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1) \# \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2), \xi_1 \# \xi_2 \} \) iso-contact open book embeds in the contact (abstract) connected sum \( \{ \mathcal{A}ob(W_1, \Psi_1) \# \mathcal{A}ob(W_2, \Psi_2), D_1 \# D_2 \} \).

Furthermore, if \( \Sigma_1 \) is contained in a collar of \( \partial W_i \), then we can ensure that the page \( \Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2 \) of \( \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2, \phi_1 \# \phi_2) \) is contained in a collar of the boundary of the page of \( \mathcal{A}ob(W_1 \#_b W_2, \Psi_1 \# \Psi_2) \).

**Proof.** First of all notice that since band connected sum of \( W_1 \) with \( W_2 \) can be regarded as adding a 1–handle to \( W_1 \cup W_2 \) with the connected components of the attaching sphere \( S^0 \) contained in different connected components of \( W_1 \cup W_2 \), we can perform the band connected sum of \( W_1 \) with \( W_2 \) along its boundary in
such way that the band connected sum of $\Sigma_1$ with $\Sigma_2$ properly symplectically embeds in $W_1 \#_b W_2$. Since the symplectomorphisms $\Psi_1$ and $\Psi_2$ are identity in suitable collars of the boundaries of $W_1$ and $W_2$ respectively, the symplectomorphism $\Psi_1 \# \Psi_2$ naturally induces the symplectomorphism $\phi_1 \# \phi_2$ on the properly embedded $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$ contained in $W_1 \#_b W_2$.

Next, observe that in order to achieve the second claim regarding the embeddings of pages $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$ of $\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1) \#_b \mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2)$, we just need to show that $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$ is disjoint from the core of the one–handle used in performing the band connected sum. But, for dimensional reasons, this is clearly possible.

This establishes the proposition. 

3. $H$-Principle for Iso–Contact Embeddings in Co-Dimension 2

The purpose of this section is to establish the Theorem 1. We begin by establishing some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 13. Let $(N^{2n+1}, \{\alpha = 0\})$ be a contact manifold. Let $f_0 : \Sigma \to [a, b] \times N$ be a proper symplectic embedding of $\Sigma$ in the symplectic manifold $([a, b] \times N, d(e^*\alpha))$. Let $\partial f_0(\Sigma) \subset \{b\} \times N$. Suppose that there exists a smooth family $f_t, t \in [0, 1]$ of embeddings of $\Sigma$ in $[a, b] \times N$ satisfying the following:

1. $f_t(\Sigma)$ is a properly embedded symplectic sub-manifold of $([a, b] \times N, d(e^*\alpha))$ for every $t$.
2. $f_t(\Sigma) = f_0(\Sigma)$ and $f_t^{-1} \circ f_0 = \phi$ for some symplectomorphism $\phi$ of $(\Sigma, d(e^*\alpha)|_{f_0(\Sigma)})$.
3. $\partial f_t(\Sigma) = \partial f_0(\Sigma)$ for any $t, 1 \in [0, 1]$.

Then, there exists a real number $K$ positive such that $MT(\Sigma, \phi)$ admits a contact embedding $F$ in $(S^1 \times [a, b] \times N, \{Kd\theta + e^*\alpha = 0\})$ which satisfies the property that $d(F^*(Kd\theta + e^*\alpha))$ induces a symplectic form on each fiber of the fibration $MT(\Sigma, \phi) \to S^1$. In addition, the fiber is symplectomorphic to $(\Sigma, f_0^*(d(e^*\alpha)))$.

Proof. In order to establish the lemma, first notice that there exists an embedding of $I \times \Sigma$ in $I \times N$ given by $(t, x) \to (t, f_t(x))$. Let us call this map $\tilde{F}$. Notice that the map $\tilde{F}$ induces a map between fiber bundles $MT(\Sigma, \phi)$ and $S^1 \times [a, b] \times N$ which is level preserving. We claim that this map is the required map $F$. This can be seen as follows:

Notice that since $\phi^*(f_t^*(e^*\alpha)) = f_0^*(e^*\alpha)$, the pull-back of the form $Kd\theta + e^*\alpha$ via $F$ from $S^1 \times [a, b] \times N$ to $MT(\Sigma, \phi)$ induces a well-defined 1–form on $MT(\Sigma, \phi)$. Furthermore, it is clear that for a large $K$, the pull back to $MT(\Sigma, \phi)$ of the form $Kd\theta + e^*\alpha$ under the map $F$ is indeed a contact structure on $MT(\Sigma, \phi)$ with the required properties as $F^*(d(Kd\theta + e^*\alpha))$ is a symplectic form on each fiber of the natural projection $\pi : MT(\Sigma, \phi) \to S^1$.

Our next task is to show that the Lemma 13 implies that the standard overtwisted $2n + 1$–sphere iso-contact embeds in $(S^{2n+3}, \xi_{std})$. In fact, we will establish a slightly general result and obtain the embedding of an overtwisted sphere in the standard contact sphere as a corollary. Before we state this general result, we need the following:

Lemma 14. Let $(N^{2n-1}, \{\alpha = 0\})$ be a contact manifold. Let $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$ be an open book decomposition of $N$ which supports the contact form $\alpha$. If $\Sigma$ is a page of $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$ and $\phi$ is the monodromy, then for any $0 < a < b$, there exists a family $f_t$ of embeddings of $\Sigma$ in $[a, b] \times N$ which satisfies the properties 1 and 2 mentioned in the Lemma 13 and $f_t^{-1} \circ f_0 = \phi$, the monodromy associated to $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$.

Proof. Since $\alpha$ is supported by the open book decomposition $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$ with the page $\Sigma$ and the monodromy $\phi$, we have a symplectic fiber bundle $\pi : N \setminus B \to S^1$ contained in $N$. This implies that there exists a family $f_t$ of embedding of $\Sigma$ in $N$ which satisfies the following properties:

1. $f_t^*d\alpha$ is symplectic form on $\Sigma$.
2. $f_t(\Sigma) = f_0(\Sigma).
3. f_t \circ f_t^{-1}$ is the symplectomorphism $\phi$ of $(\Sigma, f_t^*d\alpha)$.

Next, observe that the interior of each page $\Sigma_0$ of the open book $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$ can be pushed inside the interior of $[a, b] \times N$ in such a way that the pushed page intersect $\{b\} \times N$ only in the binding $B = \partial \Sigma_0$. This is because of the following:
If $\Sigma_{\theta_0}$ denotes a page at $\theta_0$, then there exists a neighborhood $U = B \times D^2$ of $B = \partial \Sigma_{\theta_0}$ with co-ordinates $(x, r, \eta)$, where $x \in B$ and $(r, \eta)$ denotes co-ordinates of $D^2$. Let $f$ and $g$ be two functions from $[0, \delta] \rightarrow [a, b]$ such that the graph of the curve $\gamma(r) = (f(r), g(r))$ is as depicted in Figure 2. Furthermore, choose $f$ and $g$ such that at each $r$ either $f'(r)$ or $g'(r)$ is negative and $f' \leq 0$ and $g' \leq 0$ for all $r$. Now, notice that the embedding $\{b\} \times B \times [0, \delta] \times \{\theta_0\} \rightarrow [a, b] \times B \times [0, \delta]$ given by $(b, x, r, \theta_0) \rightarrow (f(r), x, g(r), \theta_0)$ can be extended to give the required embedding for the page $\Sigma_{\theta_0}$, as $\partial \Sigma_{\theta_0} = B$ is a convex boundary of $\Sigma_{\theta_0}$.

Furthermore, notice that this can be done parametrically. Hence, we get a family $f_t$ with required properties as the boundary of each $f_t(\Sigma)$ is just the binding $B$ of the open book. See Figure 1 for a pictorial description of $\hat{f}_t(\Sigma)$ and pushed $f_t(\Sigma)$.

We are now ready to establish the general result whose corollary establishes that there exist an open book embedding of the standard overtwisted contact $(S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{stot}})$ in the $(S^{2n+3}, \xi_{\text{std}})$, where $\xi_{\text{std}}$ is supported by an open book decomposition with pages $D^{2n+2}$ and monodromy the identity.

**Lemma 15.** Let $(N, \{\alpha = 0\})$ be a contact manifold. Suppose that $\alpha$ supports an open book decomposition $\text{Aob}(\Sigma, \phi)$. If $M$ is an abstract open book $\text{Aob}(\Sigma, \phi^m)$, then there exists a proper contact embedding of the mapping torus $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$ in the contact manifold $S^1 \times [a, b] \times N$ with the contact structure $Kd\theta + e^\alpha$. Furthermore, if $m$ is non-negative, then we can choose $K$ to be arbitrarily small.

**Proof.** Our first claim is that since $N$ admits an open book with pages $\Sigma$ and the monodromy $\phi$, there exists an embedding $f_0$ of $\Sigma$ in $[a, b] \times N$ and a family $f_t, t \in [0, 1]$ of $\Sigma$ in $[a, b] \times N$ which satisfies the hypothesis of the Lemma 13 for each $\phi^m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. 
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**Figure 1.** The figure depicts a neighborhood $N(B)$ of the binding of an open book. We depict the vertical line connecting the binding $B$ with the boundary of the circle as a part of the collar of $\hat{f}_0(\Sigma)$ while the dashed curve depicted $f_0(\Sigma)$ obtained after pushing $\hat{f}_0(\Sigma)$ in the interior.
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**Figure 2.** The figure depicts the image of the curve $\gamma(r) = (f(r), g(r))$. 

Furthermore, notice that this can be done parametrically. Hence, we get a family $f_t$ with required properties as the boundary of each $f_t(\Sigma)$ is just the binding $B$ of the open book. See Figure 1 for a pictorial description of $\hat{f}_t(\Sigma)$ and pushed $f_t(\Sigma)$.
This is because we already know that from the Lemma 13 there exists a family \( f_t \), \( t \in [0, 1] \) of embeddings of \( \Sigma \) in \([a, b] \times N\) which satisfies the hypothesis of the Lemma 13 and such that \( f_t^{-1} \circ f_0 = \phi \).

Now, for a fixed \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) non-zero, consider the family,

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_t &= \begin{cases} 
    f_0, & m = 0 \\
    f_1^{-1} \circ (\phi^{-1})^k, & t \in \left[ \frac{k+1}{m}, \frac{k}{m} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad m > 0 \\
    f_1^{k-mt} \circ \phi^k, & t \in \left[ \frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad m < 0.
  \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Observe that the family \( f_t \) defined above satisfies the hypothesis of the Lemma 13 for each \( \phi^m \). Hence, the Lemma 13 implies the first part of the claim.

We now focus on our claim that \( K \) can be chosen to be arbitrary small in case \( m \) is non-negative. First of all notice that if \( m \) is zero, there is nothing to prove as the \( \mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi^0 = \text{id}) \) is \( S^1 \times \Sigma \) and the pull-back of \( Kd\theta + e^\alpha \) in this case is just \( Kd\theta + e^\alpha|_\Sigma \), where \( \theta \) is now \( S^1 \) co-ordinate for \( S^1 \) in \( S^1 \times \Sigma \). Notice that for any \( K \) positive, this is a contact form on \( \mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi^0 = \text{id}) \).

For the general case, let \( F_m \) denote the embedding of \( \mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi^m) \) constructed via the embedding \( f_t \) described earlier. Notice that in the calculation of the volume form \( F_m^*(Kd\theta + e^\alpha) \) \( (dF_m^*(Kd\theta + e^\alpha))^{n-1} \), we get terms \( \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial \theta} d\theta \wedge (F_m^*(d(e^\alpha)) + F_m^*(Kd\theta)) \wedge (F_m^*(d(e^\alpha))^{n-1} \wedge F_m^*(e^\alpha))^{n-1} \).

Since the second term is always positive for any \( K \) positive, we get that whenever \( \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial \theta} \) is positive, the pull-back form defines a contact form on \( \mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi) \) for an arbitrary \( K \) positive. This establishes the lemma.

We now write a corollary of this lemma:

**Corollary 16.** Let \( M \) be an abstract open book manifold with pages \( T^*S^n \) and the monodromy \( \tau^k \), for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). If \( \xi \) is a contact structure on \( M \) which is supported by the open book with pages \( T^*S^n \) and the monodromy \( \tau^k \), then \( (M, \xi) \) admits an iso-contact embedding in \( (S^{2n+3}, \xi_{\text{std}}) \). In particular, \( (S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{std}}) \) iso-contact embeds in \( (S^{2n+3}, \xi_{\text{std}}) \).

**Proof.** First of all observe that (2) in Example 10 and the Lemma 13 allows us to conclude that the contact mapping torus \( \mathcal{MT}(T^*S^n, \tau^k) \) admits an iso-contact fiber preserving proper embedding in the mapping torus \( (S^1 \times D^{2n+2}, Kd\theta + \lambda_{\text{std}}) \) for some large \( K \). This is because the standard \( (2n + 1) \)-contact sphere is the convex boundary of the standard symplectic \( D^{2n+2} \).

Since the contact structure supported by the open book with pages \( (D^{2n+2}, d\lambda_{\text{std}}) \) and monodromy the identity is the standard contact structure on \( S^{2n+3} \), we have the result.

**Remark 17.**

1. The Corollary 16 can also be established by observing that there is a family of proper embedding of \( T^*S^n \) in \( D^{2n+2} \) which induces the Dehn-Seidel twist \( \tau \) on \( T^*S^n \subset D^{2n+2} \). More precisely, there exists a family \( f_t : T^*S^n \to D^{2n+2} \) such that \( d(f_t^*\lambda_{\text{std}}) \) is symplectic form on \( T^*S^n \) and \( f_t^{-1} \circ f_0 = \tau \). See, for example, [MS pp 186].

2. It follows from the Corollary 16 and the classification of tight contact structures on lens spaces due to [GH1] and [H0] that any tight contact structure on the lens space \( L(-p, 1) \) iso-contact open book embeds in \( (S^5, \xi_{\text{std}}) \), where the open book for \( S^5 \) is the trivial one. It was already shown in [EF] that all tight contact structures on \( L(p, q) \) iso-contact embeds in \( (S^5, \xi_{\text{std}}) \).

Next, we establish a lemma regarding iso-contact embedding of contact manifolds in Darboux neighborhoods of a fixed contact manifold.

**Lemma 18.** Let \( (M, (\alpha = 0)) \) be a contact manifold. Suppose that the contact structure \( \{\alpha = 0\} \) is supported by an abstract open book \( \text{Aob}(\Sigma, \phi) \), then any contact abstract open book \( \text{Aob}(\Sigma, \phi^k) \) admits an iso-contact embedding in \( (M \times D^2, \{\alpha + r^2d\theta = 0\}) \) for an arbitrarily small \( \epsilon \), provided \( k \) is non-negative.

Furthermore, the embedding is such that for every \( \theta_0 \in S^1 \), the interior of \( M \times [0, \epsilon] \times \{\theta_0\} \) intersects the embedded \( \text{Aob}(\Sigma, \phi^k) \) in the interior of the page of \( \text{Aob}(\Sigma, \phi^k) \).

Before, we formally prove the Lemma 18 we would like to discuss the main idea involved in the proof. To begin with, observe that it is sufficient to iso-contact embed \( (\text{Aob}(\Sigma, \phi^k), \alpha|_{\Sigma \times \phi^k}) \) in the contact manifold.
\((M \times D^2, e^{-\tau} \alpha + r^2 \theta)\) for an arbitrary \(\epsilon\). Next, observe that \(M \times D^2\) can be regarded as the binding of an open book with pages \((0, \epsilon) \times M\) and monodromy the identity. Hence, if we properly iso-contact embed a mapping tours \(\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^k)\) in \((S^1 \times [a, b] \times M, \{d\theta + e^s\alpha = 0\})\), then we get a contact embedding of \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi^k)\) in \((M \times D^2, e^{-\tau} \alpha + r^2 d\theta)\) such that the interior of each page of the contact abstract open book \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi^k)\) is a properly embedded symplectic sub-manifold of \(((0, \epsilon) \times M, d(e^s\alpha))\). The proofs of the Lemmas 18 and 19 are based on this observation.

**Proof.** Notice that it follows from the Lemma 15 that for a given \(\epsilon\) positive, there is a proper iso-contact open book embedding of \(\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^k)\) inside the contact manifold \((S^1 \times [a, b] \times M, \delta d\theta + e^s\alpha)\) for an arbitrary small \(\delta\) and for some \(0 < a < b << \epsilon\). We would like to show that this implies that there is an iso-contact embedding of the contact open book \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi^k)\) in \((M \times D^2, \{\alpha + r^2 d\theta\})\).

This is because \(M \times D^2\) can be regarded as the manifold which is the quotient of the manifold \(S^1 \times [\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon] \times M \cup M \times D^2\) where a collar of \(S^1 \times \{\epsilon\} \times M\) is identified with a collar of \(\partial M \times D^2\). Notice that if we extend the contact structure on \(S^1 \times [\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon] \times M\) in the same fashion as we extend the contact structure defined in a neighborhood of the binding to the binding region as described in [Ko] and [G2], we produce a contact structure on \(M \times D^2\) contactomorphic to \(\{\alpha + r^2 d\theta\}\). Notice that this identification induces a contact structure on \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi^k)\). \(\subseteq\)

Notice that, by construction, we have that the constructed embedding is such that for every \(\theta_0 \in S^1\), the interior of \(M \times [0, \epsilon] \times \{\theta_0\}\) intersects the embedded \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi^k)\) in the interior of the page of \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi^k)\).

This completes the argument.

Next, we show that in an arbitrarily small Darboux neighborhood of a contact manifold \((M^{2n+1}, \{\alpha = 0\})\), there exists an iso-contact embedding of \((S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{std}})\). Again, the proof of this assertion uses the fact that \((M \times D^2, \{\alpha + r^2 d\theta = 0\})\) can be regarded as a contact open book with pages \([0, \epsilon) \times M\) and monodromy the identity. We now state this precisely.

**Lemma 19.** Let \((M^{2n+1}, \{\alpha = 0\})\) be an arbitrary contact manifold with a contact form \(\alpha\) supported by an open book \(\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)\). Consider the contact form \(\alpha_{\text{std}}\) on \(S^{2n+1}\) inducing the standard contact structure on \(S^{2n+1}\). Then, for a given \(\epsilon\) positive, there exists an iso-contact embedding of \((S^{2n+1}, \xi)\) in the contact manifold \((M \times D^2, \{\alpha + r^2 d\theta = 0\})\) satisfying the following:

1. **The binding** \(S^{2n-1}\) of \(S^{2n+1}\) associated to the trivial open book is contained in \(M \times \{0\}\).
2. **The intersection** \(\mathcal{M}T(D^{2n}, \text{id})\) associated to trivial open book of \(S^{2n+1}\) with \(M \times [0, \epsilon) \times \{\theta_0\}\) is the interior of the page \(D^{2n}\) of the trivial open book.

**Proof.** First of all observe that there is a proper iso-symplectic embedding of \((D^{2n}, d\lambda_{\text{std}})\) in the symplectic manifold \((M \times [a, b], d(e^s\alpha))\), for an arbitrary pair of reals \(0 < a < b\). This implies that there exists a proper strict contact embedding of \(S^1 \times D^2\) in \(S^1 \times [a, b] \times M\) such that the pull-back of the form \(d\theta + e^s\alpha\) induces the form \(d\theta + \lambda_{\text{std}}\) on \(S^1 \times D^2\).

Now, this clearly implies that there exists an iso-contact embedding of \((S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{std}})\) in the contact manifold \((M \times D^2, \{e^{-\tau} \alpha + r^2 d\theta = 0\})\) such that for each \(\theta\), \((\theta \times D^{2n})^\circ\) is contained in \((\theta \times [0, \epsilon) \times M)^\circ\) -- where \(A^\circ\) denotes the interior of any set \(A\) -- and \(\partial D^{2n} \subset M \times \{0\}\). Thus, we have the required embedding.

**Lemma 20.** Let \(M^{2n-1}\) be a smooth manifold. Suppose that \(M\) admits a smooth embedding \(F\) in a contact manifold \((N^{2n+1}, \xi)\) such that the pull-back of \(\xi\) by \(F\) induces a contact structure \(\mathcal{D}^\text{tot}\) on \(M\). If the embedding \(F\) satisfies the following:

1. **The normal bundle of** \(F(M)\) **is trivial in** \(N\),
2. **The contact structure** \(\mathcal{D}^\text{tot}\) **is supported by an open book decomposition with pages the band connected sum of** \(\Sigma \#_i T^* S^{n-1}\) **with monodromy** \(\phi \#_i \tau^{-1}\), for some symplectomorphism \(\phi\) **of** \(\Sigma\),

then, the contact manifold \((M, \mathcal{D})\) admits an iso-contact embedding in \((N, \xi)\), where \(\mathcal{D}\) is supported by the abstract open book \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi)\).

**Proof.** Notice that we can regard \((M, \mathcal{D})\) as the contact abstract open book \(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi, \{\alpha_{\Sigma, \phi} = 0\})\). Let \(0 < a < b\) be an arbitrary pair of reals.
First notice that the Lemma 18 implies that there is a fiber preserving proper iso-contact embedding of the mapping tours \((\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi), \alpha(\Sigma, \phi)|_{\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi)})\) in \((S^1 \times [a, b] \times M, \{d\theta + e^{-1} \alpha(\Sigma, \phi) = 0\})\).

Next, we observe that the Lemma 19 implies that there exist a fiber preserving proper iso-contact embedding of \((S^1 \times D^{2n-2}, \{d\theta + e^{-1} \alpha_{std}(\Sigma)\})\) in the contact manifold \((S^1 \times [a, b] \times S^{2n-1}, \{d\theta + e^{-1} \alpha_{std}\})\).

Now, using arguments similar to the one given in the Proposition 12 we conclude that there exists an iso-contact embedding of \((\Sigma, \phi \# id)\) having the contact form \(\alpha(\Sigma, \phi \# D^{2n-2}, \phi \# id)\) restricted to the mapping tours \(\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi)\) in the contact manifold \((S^1 \times [a, b] \times M \# \alpha, \{a, b \times S^{2n-1}, \{d\theta + \lambda\})\), where \(\lambda\) is the 1-form on \([a, b] \times M \# \alpha, \{a, b \times S^{2n-1}\) obtained via band connected sum along \(M \times \{b\}\) and \(S^{2n-1} \times \{b\}\). Furthermore, if \(C\) denotes the core of the 1-handle attached to perform the band connected sum \([a, b] \times M \# \alpha, \{a, b \times S^{2n-1}\), then the embedded \(\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi \# D^{2n-2}, \phi \# id)\) is disjoint from \(S^1 \times C\).

Next, consider a quotient manifold \(X\) defined as:

\[
S^1 \times ([a, b] \times M \# \alpha, \{a, b \times S^{2n-1}\} \cup_{id} D^2 \times \{\{b\} \times M \# \{b\} \times S^{2n-1}\}.
\]

Notice that this implies that there is an iso-contact embedding of \((M, D)\) in the contact manifold \(((\# S^{2n-1}) \times D^2, \{e^{-r(\alpha(\Sigma, \phi) + \alpha_{std})} + r^2 d\theta = 0\})\) contained in \(X\). This clearly implies that we have the required iso-contact embedding of \((M, D)\) in \((N, \xi)\) as claimed.

Before we proceed, let us introduce some notations. Let \((M^{2n-1}, \xi = \{a = 0\})\) be a contact manifold. Let \(A\) be an abstract open book which support the contact structure \(\xi\) on \(M\). From now on, by \(\xi \# \xi_{std}\), we will denote the contact structure which is supported by the open book \(A\). Next claim is that an iso-contact embedding of \((M, \{a = 0\})\) in a contact manifold \((N, \xi)\) gives an iso-contact embedding of \((M, \xi \# \xi_{std})\).

**Lemma 21.** Let \((M^{2n-1}, \xi_1)\) be a contact manifold. Let \(\epsilon > 0\) be given. If \((M, \xi_1)\) admits an iso-contact embedding in a contact manifold \((N, \xi_2)\), then there exists an iso-contact embedding of \((M, \xi_1 \# \xi_{std})\) in the contact manifold \((N, \xi_2)\).

**Proof.** Recall from [ET] that if \((M_1, \xi_1)\) iso-contact embeds in \((N_1, D_1)\) and \((M_2, \xi_2)\) iso-contact embeds in \((N_2, D_2)\), then \((M_1 \# M_2, \xi_1 \# \xi_2)\) iso-contact embeds in \((N_1 \# N_2, D_1 \# D_2)\).

We have already shown that there exists an iso-contact embedding of \((S^{2n-1}, \xi_{std})\) in the standard contact tight sphere \((S^{2n-1}, \xi_{std})\). This implies that \((M \# S^{2n-1}, \xi_1 \# \xi_{std})\) admits an iso-contact embedding in \((N \# S^{2n-1}, \xi_{std})\).

Since the contact manifold \((N \# S^{2n-1}, \xi_{std})\) is contactomorphic to \((N, \xi_2)\), the lemma follows.

We now have all lemmas in place for establishing the Theorem 1.

**Proof of the Theorem 1.**

Let \(D^{st}\) denote the unique overtwisted contact structure in the homotopy class of the almost-contact plane field determined by \(D\).

Since \(D^{st}\) is the unique overtwisted contact structure in its homotopy class and \(D\) is homotopic to \(D\), the contact structure \(D \# \xi_{std}\) is contactomorphic to \(D^{st} \# \xi_{std}\) as both contact structures are overtwisted and are homotopic to almost-contact plane fields to the overtwisted contact structure \(D \# \xi_{std}\).

Hence, it follows from the Lemma 21 that there is an iso-contact embedding of \((M, D \# \xi_{std})\) in the contact manifold \((N, \xi)\). But, now the Lemma 20 implies that there exists an iso-contact embedding of \((M, D)\) as required.

In the next couple of sections, we will give applications of the Theorem 1.

4. Contact embedding of 3-manifolds in the standard contact \(S^5\)

The purpose of this section is to show that every contact 3-manifold \((M, \xi)\) contact embeds in \((S^5, \xi_{std})\), provided the first Chern class of \(\xi\) is zero and \(M\) has no 2-torsion in \(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})\). The result essentially follows...
from [EF, Theorem:1.20] and the Lemma 20. However, for the sake of completion, we provide a slightly more detailed argument. We begin this section by reviewing a few facts about homotopy classes of plane fields on an orientable 3–manifold.

4.1. Homotopy classes of oriented plane fields on orientable 3-manifolds.

Let $\xi$ be an oriented 2–plane field on a closed oriented 3–manifold $M$. Recall that any two such plane fields are homotopic over the 1–skeleton of a triangulation of $M$. Gompf in [Go] established that when $M$ has no two torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, the first Chern class $c_1(\xi)$ completely determines homotopy of plane fields over the 2–skeleton. See [Go, Theorem:4.5].

It also follows from [Go, Theorem:4.5] that if $c_1(\xi) = 0$, then homotopy over the 3–skeleton is completely determined by the 3–dimensional invariant $d_3(\xi)$, which is defined as follows:

It was shown in [Go] that it is possible to choose an almost complex manifold $(X, J)$ whose complex tangencies are $(M, \xi)$. Given this one defines $d_3(\xi)$ as:

$$d_3(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} \left( C_1^2(X, J) - 3\sigma(X) - 2(\chi(X) - 1) \right).$$

We would like to point out that this formula is slightly different from the one given in [Go], as we are subtracting 1 from the Euler characteristic of $X$ in the formula. This is just to ensure that the formula for $d_3$ is additive when one considers the connected sums. More precisely,

Let $(M_1, \xi_1)$ be a contact manifold with $c_1(\xi_1) = 0$ and $(M_2, \xi_2)$ be another contact manifold with $c_1(\xi_2) = 0$, then for the contact connected sum $(M_1 \# M_2, \xi_1 \# \xi_2)$, we have

$$d_3(\xi_1 \# \xi_2) = d_3(\xi_1) + d_3(\xi_2).$$

To begin with, we need the following result of Etnyre and Fukuwara established in [EF]. For the sake of completeness, we will provide a short sketch of the proof of this result here.

**Theorem 22** (Etnyre and Fukuwara).

*Let $M$ be a closed 3–manifold. If $M$ is orientable, then there exists an embedding of $M$ in $S^5$ such that the contact structure $\xi_{std}$ on $S^5$ induces a contact structure on $M$.***

**Proof.** To begin with, we observe that if there exists an embedding $F : M \to S^3 \times D^2$ given by $F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))$ which satisfies the following properties:

1. The map $f_1 : M \to S^3$ is a branch covering,
2. The branch locus $L$ in $S^3$ for the branch cover $f_1 : M \to S^3$ is transversal to the standard contact structure on $S^3$.

Then, there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon$ less than $\epsilon_0$, the embedding $F_\epsilon : M^3 \to S^3 \times D^2$ given by $F_\epsilon(x) = (f_1(x), \epsilon f_2(x))$ is a contact embedding of $M$ in $(S^3 \times D^2, \{\alpha_{std} + r^2d\theta\}) = 0$. See [EF] for the computation establishing that the pulled back form $F_\epsilon^*(\alpha + r^2d\theta)$, in fact, induces a contact structure on $M$.

Now, the Remark 3 on the page 375 of [HLK] and the fact that transversality is a generic property implies that there exists an embedding of $M$ in $S^3 \times D^2$ satisfying the two properties mentioned above. This clearly implies that in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of contact $(S^3, \xi_{std})$ inside $(S^5, \xi_{std})$ admits an embedding of $M$ such that it is a contact embedding.

$\square$

We are now in a position to prove the Theorem 2. Recall that the Theorem 2 states that a necessary and sufficient condition for an iso-contact embedding of a contact 3–manifold $(M, \xi)$ in $(S^5, \xi_{std})$ is that $c_1(\xi) = 0$ provided $M$ has no 2–torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. In case, $M$ has a 2–torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, the statement claims that there is a homotopy class $[\xi]$ of plane fields such that $M$ with every contact structure homotopic to a plane field in the class $[\xi]$ over the 2–skeleton of $M$ admits an iso-contact embedding in $(S^5, \xi_{std})$.

**Proof of the Theorem 2.**
We know from [Kas] that $c_1(\xi) = 0$ is a necessary condition for having an iso-contact embedding of any contact $(M, \xi)$ in $(S^5, \xi_{\text{std}})$. We know from the Theorem [22] that there exist a contact structure $\eta$ on every 3–manifold $M$ with $c_1(\eta) = 0$ such that $(M, \eta)$ admits an iso-contact embedding in $(S^5, \xi_{\text{std}})$.

In case, $M$ has no 2–torsion in $H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$, it follows from the [Ge] Theorem:4.5 that every overtwisted contact structure $\eta^{ot}$ on $M$ which is homotopic to $\eta$ over a 2–skeleton of $M$ can be obtained by making a contact connected sum of $M$ with a suitably chosen overtwisted $S^3$. We already know from [EF Theorem:1.20] that every contact $S^3$ embeds in $(S^5, \xi_{\text{std}})$. Hence, we conclude that if $(M, \eta)$ iso-contact embeds in $(S^5, \xi_{\text{std}})$, then so does $(M, \eta^{ot})$.

We now proceed to describe this list. First of all, we are now in a position to state Braden’s theorem that we will need to establish the Theorem 3.

**Proposition 23.** Let $\xi$ be a contact structure on $S^{2n-1}$. If $\xi$ is co-orientable and homotopic as an almost-contact structure to the standard contact structure on $S^{2n-1}$, then $(S^{2n-1}, \xi)$ admits an iso-contact embedding in $(S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$. In particular, every contact $(S^5, \xi)$ iso-contact embeds in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$.

**Proof.** The first part of the Theorem is an immediate corollary of the Theorem [1]. In order to establish the second part, recall that there exists a unique almost-contact class on $S^5$. This was established in [Ge1]. But this implies $\xi_{\text{std}}$ is homotopic as an almost-contact plane field to $\xi$. Hence the theorem.

Next, we show that any contact structure on $S^2 \times S^3$ with trivial first Chern class iso-contact embeds in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$. More precisely, we establish:

**Lemma 24.** Let $\xi$ be a co-orientable contact structure on $S^2 \times S^3$. The contact manifold $(S^2 \times S^3, \xi)$ iso-contact embeds in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$ if and only if the first Chern class $c_1(\xi)$ of the contact structure is zero.

**Proof.** Recall that in [Ge1] it is established that two almost-contact plane fields $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are homotopic as almost-contact structures if and only if their first Chern classes coincide.

Next, Kasuya in [Kas] showed that a necessary condition for a contact manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \xi)$ to admit an iso-contact embedding in $(S^{2n+3}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ is that $c_1(\xi) = 0$.

Hence, from the Theorem [1] we can see that if there exist a contact embedding of $S^2 \times S^3$ in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$, then the lemma follows. So, we now show that there is a contact embedding of $S^2 \times S^3$ in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$.

Notice that the contact abstract open book $\mathcal{Ob}(T^*S^2, id)$ contact manifold diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^3$. Clearly, $\mathcal{Ob}(T^*S^2, id)$ iso-contact open book embeds in the contact abstract open book $\mathcal{Ob}(D^6, id)$ as there is a symplectic embedding of $T^*S^2$ in $S^5$. Since contact abstract open book $\mathcal{Ob}(D^6, id)$ is contactomorphic to $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$, the theorem follows.

It was established by Geiges in [Ge Chapter–8] that a necessary condition to produce a contact structure on any five manifold is that the third integral Steifel-Whitney class $W_3$ is zero. Barden in [Ba] had given a complete classification of simply connected 5–manifolds. Using this classification, it is easy to list all the simply connected prime 5–manifolds with vanishing $W_3$. We now proceed to describe this list. First of all, recall that for each $2 \leq k < \infty$, there exists a unique prime simply connected manifold $M_k$ characterized by the property that $H_2(M_k, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \mathbb{Z}_k$. Next, recall that there exists a unique non-trivial orientable real rank 4 vector-bundle over $S^2$. By $S^2 \times S^3$, we denote the unit sphere bundle associated to this vector-bundle.

We are now in a position to state Braden’s theorem that we will need to establish the Theorem [8].
Theorem 25 (Barden). Every simply connected almost-contact 5–manifold can be uniquely decomposed into a connected sum of prime manifolds $M_k, 2 \leq k < \infty$, $S^2 \times S^3$ and $S^2 \times S^3$. Furthermore, the decomposition can have at most one copy of $S^2 \times S^3$.

Proof of the Theorem \[\text{[8]}\]
Let $M$ be a simply connected 5–manifold and let $\xi$ be a contact structure on it which admits a formal iso-contact embedding in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$. In order to establish the Theorem \[\text{[8]}\] we first show that $M$ admits a contact embedding in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$ such that the induced contact structure has its first Chern class 0.

Notice that if $M$ is a simply connected manifold which embeds in $S^7$, then $M$ in its connected sum decomposition can not contain $S^2 \times S^3$ factor. This is because for a 5–manifold to embed in $S^7$, the normal bundle of the 5–manifold in $S^7$ has to be trivial. This implies $S^2 \times S^3$ can not embed in $S^7$.

Since our hypothesis assumes that $M$ admits a formal iso-contact embedding in $S^7$, $M$ can not have $S^2 \times S^3$ in its connected sum decomposition into prime manifolds. Next, observe that if $M = N_1 # N_2 # \cdots # N_l$ and each $N_i$ contact embeds in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$, then there exist a contact embedding of $M$ in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$.

We have already shown in the Lemma \[\text{[24]}\] that $S^2 \times S^3$ contact embeds in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$. Hence, in order to show that $M$ contact embeds in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$, we just need to show that each prime manifold $M_k$ described in the Theorem \[\text{[25]}\] above must contact embed in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$. It is well known that each $M_k$ is a Briskorn 5–sphere. Hence, they admit contact embedding in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$. See, for example, \[\text{[Ko]}\] Rnk:4.2.

Thus, we have shown that every simply connected 5–manifold which admits a formal iso-contact embedding in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$ admits a contact embedding in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$. Next, recall that if a 5–manifold admits a formal contact embedding in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$, then it was shown in \[\text{[Ka]}\] that the first Chern class of the induced contact structure has to be trivial.

Finally, observe that it was established in \[\text{[Ge]}\] Ge1 chpt–8 that any two contact structures on a simply connected 5–manifold having the identical first Chern classes are homotopic as almost-contact structures. It now follows from the Theorem \[\text{[H]}\] that $(M, \xi)$ admits an iso-contact embedding in $(S^7, \xi_{\text{std}})$.

\[\square\]
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