ENUMERATION OF RATIONAL CURVES IN A MOVING FAMILY OF $\mathbb{P}^2$
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Abstract. We obtain a recursive formula for the number of rational degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$, whose image lies in a $\mathbb{P}^2$, passing through $r$ lines and $s$ points, where $r + 2s = 3d + 2$. This can be viewed as a family version of the classical question of counting rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$. We verify that our numbers are consistent with those obtained by T. Laarakker, where he studies the parallel question of counting $\delta$-nodal degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ whose image lies inside a $\mathbb{P}^2$. Our numbers give evidence to support the conjecture, that the polynomials obtained by T. Laarakker are enumerative when $d \geq 1 + \lfloor \frac{\delta}{2} \rfloor$, which is analogous to the Göttsche threshold for counting nodal curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$.
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1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental and studied problems in enumerative geometry is the following: what is $N^\delta_d$, the number of degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ that have $\delta$ distinct nodes and pass through $\frac{d(d+3)}{2} - \delta$ generic points? The question was studied more than a hundred years ago by Zeuthen ([21]) and has been studied extensively in the last thirty years by Ran ([10], [15]), Vainsencher ([20]), Caporaso-Harris ([4]), Kazarian ([8]), Kleiman and Piene ([11]), Florian Block ([2]), Tzeng and Li ([13], [19]), Kool, Shende and Thomas ([13]), Berczi ([1]) and Fomin and Mikhalkin ([6]), amongst others. This question has been investigated from several perspectives and is very well understood.

The problem motivates a natural generalization considered by Kleiman and Piene in [10], where they study the enumerative geometry of singular curves in a moving family of surfaces. More recently, this question has been studied further by T. Laarakker in [14], where he obtains a formula for the following number: how many degree $d$ curves are there in $\mathbb{P}^3$ whose image lies in a $\mathbb{P}^2$, that pass through $\frac{d(d+3)}{2} + 3 - \delta$ generic lines and have $\delta$-nodes (provided $d \geq \delta$). This can be viewed
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as a family version of the classical problem of computing $N^3_d$. Motivated by the papers of Kleiman and Piene ([10]) and T. Laarakker ([14]), we have studied the parallel question of counting stable rational maps into a family of moving target spaces. This can be viewed as a family version of the famous question of enumerating rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$, that was studied by Kontsevich-Manin ([12]) and Ruan-Tian ([17]). The main result of our paper is as follows:

**Main Result 1.1.** Let $N^3_{d,\text{Planar}}(r, s)$ be the number of genus zero, degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$, whose image lies in a $\mathbb{P}^2$, intersecting $r$ generic lines and $s$ generic points (where $r + 2s = 3d + 2$). We have a recursive formula to compute $N^3_{d,\text{Planar}}(r, s)$ for all $d \geq 2$.

**Remark 1.2.** Note that for $d = 1$, the corresponding question is classical Schubert calculus and there $r + 2s = 4$ as opposed to $5$.

**Remark 1.3.** We note that when $s = 3$ and $d \geq 2$, the number $N^3_{d,\text{Planar}}(3d - 4, 3)$ is the number of rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ through $3d - 1$ points; this is because $3$ generic points in $\mathbb{P}^3$ determine a unique $\mathbb{P}^2$. We also note that when $s > 3$, $N^3_{d,\text{Planar}}(r, s)$ is zero, since $4$ or more generic points do not lie in a plane.

We have written a mathematica program to implement our formula; the program is available on our web page

[https://www.sites.google.com/site/ritwik371/home](https://www.sites.google.com/site/ritwik371/home)

In section 4, we verify that the numbers we compute are logically consistent with those obtained by T. Laarakker in [14] till $d = 6$. This gives strong evidence to support the conjecture that his formulas for $\delta$-nodal planar degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ are expected to be enumerative when $d \geq 1 + \left\lceil \frac{\delta}{2} \right\rceil$ (as opposed to $d \geq \delta$ which is proved in [14]). Starting from $d = 7$, we can not use the result of [14] to make any consistency check, since the corresponding nodal polynomial is not expected to be enumerative (due to the presence of double lines); this is explained in section 4.

### 2. Notation

Let us define a **planar** curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ to be a curve, whose image lies inside a $\mathbb{P}^2$. We will now develop some notation to describe the space of planar curves of a given degree $d$.

Let us denote the dual of $\mathbb{P}^3$ by $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$; this is the space of $\mathbb{P}^2$ inside $\mathbb{P}^3$. An element of $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ can be thought of as a non zero linear functional $\eta : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ up to scaling (i.e., it is the projectivization of the dual of $\mathbb{C}^4$). Given such an $\eta$, we define the projectivization of its zero set as $\mathbb{P}^2_{\eta}$. In other words,

$$\mathbb{P}^2_{\eta} := \mathbb{P}(\eta^{-1}(0)).$$

Note that this $\mathbb{P}^2_{\eta}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{P}^3$. Next, when $d \geq 2$, we define the moduli space of planar degree $d$ curves into $\mathbb{P}^3$ as a fibre bundle over $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$. More precisely, we define

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}^{\text{Planar}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^3, d) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$$

to be the fiber bundle, such that

$$\pi^{-1}(\eta) := \mathcal{M}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^2_{\eta}, d).$$
Here we are using the standard notation to denote \( \mathcal{M}_{0,k}(X, \beta) \) to be the moduli space of genus zero stable maps, representing the class \( \beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{0,k}(X, \beta) \) to be its stable map compactification. Since the dimension of a fiber bundle is dimension of the base, plus the dimension of the fiber, we conclude that the dimension of \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{planar}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^3, d) \) is \( 3d + 2 + k \).

Next, we note that the space of planes in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) can also be thought of as the Grassmanian \( G(3, 4) \). Let \( \gamma_{3,4} \) denote the tautological three plane bundle over the Grassmanian. Since \( G(3, 4) \) can be identified with \( \mathbb{P} \), we can think of \( \gamma_{3,4} \) as a bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^3 \).

When \( d = 1 \), we define \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{planar}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^3, 1) \) to be
\[
\mathcal{M}^{\text{planar}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^3, 1) := \mathbb{P}(\gamma_{3,4}^*) \to \mathbb{P}^3.
\]
We note that an element of \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{planar}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^3, 1) \) is of the form \( (L, H) \), where \( L \) is a line in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) and \( H \) is a plane containing \( L \). Since a line is not contained in a unique plane, \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{planar}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^3, 1) \) is not the same as the space of lines; instead we formally define the left hand side of \( (2.1) \) to be zero, unless \( r = 3d + 2 + \theta = 3d + 2 \). Note that when \( \theta = 0 \), \( r = 3d + 2 \) and \( d \geq 2 \), \( N_d^{\text{planar}}(r, s, 0) \) is precisely equal to the number of rational planar degree \( d \)-curves in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) intersecting \( r \) generic lines and \( s \) generic points.

Next, we will define a number \( B_{d_1, d_2}(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, \theta) \) by intersecting it on the product of two moduli spaces as follows:
\[
B_{d_1, d_2}(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, \theta) := \left( \pi_1^*(H^*_L \cdot H^*_P) \cdot \pi_2^*(H^*_L \cdot H^*_P) \cdot (\pi^* \Delta), \frac{\mathcal{M}_{0,0}^{\text{planar}}(\mathbb{P}^3, d_1) \times \mathcal{M}_{0,0}^{\text{planar}}(\mathbb{P}^3, d_2)}{(\pi_1^* \Delta)} \right).
\]
Here \( \Delta \) denotes the class of the diagonal in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \) and \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) are the obvious projection maps. Again, we formally define the left hand side of \( (2.2) \) to be zero, unless \( r_1 + 2s_1 + r_2 + 2s_2 + \theta = 3d_1 + 3d_2 + 4 \).

3. Recursive Formula and its Proof

We are now ready to state our recursion formula.
Lemma 3.1. If \( d = 1 \), then the number \( N_d^{p, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) \) is given by

\[
N_1^{p, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (1, 2, 0), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (3, 1, 0), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (5, 0, 0), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (0, 2, 1), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (2, 1, 1), \\
2 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (4, 0, 1), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (1, 1, 2), \\
2 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (3, 0, 2), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (0, 1, 3), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (2, 0, 3), \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\] (3.1)

Lemma 3.2. If \( d = 2 \), then the number \( N_d^{p, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) \) is given by

\[
N_2^{p, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) = \begin{cases} 
92 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (8, 0, 0), \\
18 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (6, 1, 0), \\
4 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (4, 2, 0), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (2, 3, 0), \\
34 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (7, 0, 1), \\
6 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (5, 1, 1), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (3, 2, 1), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (1, 3, 1), \\
8 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (6, 0, 2), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (4, 1, 2), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (2, 2, 2), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (0, 3, 2), \\
1 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (5, 0, 3), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (3, 1, 3), \\
0 & \text{if } (r, s, \theta) = (1, 2, 3), \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\] (3.2)

Theorem 3.3. If \( d \geq 3 \), then

\[
N_d^{p, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } r + 2s + \theta \neq 3d + 2, \\
0 & \text{if } s > 3, \\
0 & \text{if } \theta > 3.
\end{cases}
\] (3.3)

In the remaining case when \( r + 2s + \theta = 3d + 2 \), \( s \leq 3 \) and \( \theta \leq 3 \), we have
where in the above expression, \( d_2 := d - d_1, r_2 := r - r_1 \) and \( s_2 := s - s_1 \). Furthermore, \( \forall d_1, d_2 \geq 1, \) we have

\[
B_{d_1, d_2}(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, \theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} N^{\text{Planar}}_{d_1}(r_1, s_1, i) \times N^{\text{Planar}}_{d_2}(r_2, s_2, \theta + 3 - i). \tag{3.5}
\]

**Remark 3.4.** We note that equations \((3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4)\) and \((3.5)\) allow us to compute \( N^{\text{Planar}}_d(r, s, \theta) \) for all \( d \geq 1 \) and all \( r, s, \theta \geq 0 \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** We will start by proving equation \((3.3)\). The first equation is true simply because we are pairing a cohomology class with a homology class of different dimensions (see the remark after equation \((2.1)\)). Next, when \( s > 3 \), we note that there can not be any planar curves, because 4 generic points do not lie on a plane. Finally, we note that \( a^{\theta} = 0 \in H^*\(\mathbb{P}^3; \mathbb{Z}\)\) if \( \theta > 3 \), which proves the last equality.

We now justify the main thing, which is equation \((3.4)\). The idea is very similar to the idea used to compute the number of rational degree \(d\) curves in \(\mathbb{P}^2\) (and also \(\mathbb{P}^3\)) that is given in \([12], [5]\) and \([7]\). As in the case of counting curves in \(\mathbb{P}^2\), let us first consider \(\overline{M}_{0,4}\). This space is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{P}^1\); hence we have equivalence of the following divisors

\[
(x_1 x_2 | x_3 x_4) \equiv (x_1 x_3 | x_2 x_4). \tag{3.6}
\]

Here \( (x_1 x_2 | x_3 x_4) \) denotes the domain which is a wedge of two spheres with the marked points \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) on the first sphere and \(x_3\) and \(x_4\) on the second sphere. The domain \( (x_1 x_3 | x_2 x_4) \) is defined similarly. Since \(\overline{M}_{0,4} \approx \mathbb{P}^1\) is path connected, any two points determine the same divisor.

Let us now consider the projection map

\[
\pi: \overline{M}_{0,4}^{\text{Planar}}(\mathbb{P}^3, d) \to \overline{M}_{0,4}.
\]

We define a cycle \(\mathcal{Z}\) in \(\overline{M}_{0,4}^{\text{Planar}}(\mathbb{P}^3, d)\), given by

\[
\mathcal{Z} := \text{ev}_1^*[H] \cdot \text{ev}_2^*[H] \cdot \text{ev}_3^*[L] \cdot \text{ev}_4^*[L] \cdot \mathcal{H}_L^{-3} \cdot \mathcal{H}_P^* \cdot a^\theta,
\]

where \([H]\) and \([L]\) denote the class of a hyperplane and a line in \(\mathbb{P}^3\), \(\text{ev}_i\) denotes the evaluation map at the \(i\)th marked point and \(a\) denotes the generator of \(H^*(\mathbb{P}^3; \mathbb{Z})\).
Let us now intersect the cycle $Z$ by pulling back the left hand side of \((3.6)\), via $\pi$. We now note that
\[
\pi^*(x_1x_2|x_3x_4) \cdot Z = N_d^{\mathbb{P}^3_{\text{Planar}}}(r, s, \theta) + \sum_{r_1=0}^{r-3} \sum_{s_1=0}^{s-1} \sum_{d_1=1}^{d-1} \binom{r-3}{r_1} \binom{s}{s_1} d_1^2 d_2 \times B_{d_1,d_2}(r_1 + 1, s_1, r_2 - 1, s_2, \theta),
\]
(3.7)
where in the above expression, $d_2 := d - d_1$, $r_2 := r - r_1$ and $s_2 := s - s_1$.

Next, we note that
\[
\pi^*(x_1x_3|x_2x_4) \cdot Z = 2dN_d^{\mathbb{P}^3_{\text{Planar}}}(r - 2, s + 1, \theta) + \sum_{r_1=0}^{r-3} \sum_{s_1=0}^{s-1} \sum_{d_1=1}^{d-1} \binom{r-3}{r_1} \binom{s}{s_1} d_1^2 d_2 \times B_{d_1,d_2}(r_1 + 1, s_1, r_2 - 2, s_2, \theta),
\]
(3.8)
where as before $d_2 := d - d_1$, $r_2 := r - r_1$ and $s_2 := s - s_1$.

We now note that equations \((3.6)\), \((3.7)\) and \((3.8)\), imply our desired recursive formula \((3.3)\).

Next, we will justify the formula for $B_{d_1,d_2}(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, \theta)$ (equation \((3.5)\)). This follows immediately from the fact that the class of the diagonal is given by
\[
\Delta_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3} = \pi_1^*a^3 + \pi_1^*a^2 \cdot \pi_2^*a + \pi_1^*a \cdot \pi_2^*a^2 + \pi_2^*a^3,
\]
where $a$ denotes the generator of $H^*(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\pi_1, \pi_2$ denote the respective projection maps. The formula now follows immediately from the definition of $B_{d_1,d_2}(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, \theta)$.

It remains to prove the two base cases of the recursion, namely Lemma \((3.1)\) and Lemma \((3.2)\). \hfill \Box

**Proof of Lemma \((3.1)\)**: We recall that $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}^{\mathbb{P}^3_{\text{Planar}}}(\mathbb{P}^3, 1)$ is defined to be the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\gamma^*_{3,4}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3$. Now, we note that the Chern classes of the rank three vector bundle $\gamma^*_{3,4} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3$ are given by
\[
c_i(\gamma^*_{3,4}) = a^i \in H^{2i}(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3; \mathbb{Z}).
\]
The reason is explained in [22, Page 18]. Here $a$ is the standard generator of $H^*(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3; \mathbb{Z})$.

Next, we note that $a^i = 0$ if $i > 3$. Hence, the cohomology ring of $H^*(\mathbb{P}(\gamma^*_{3,4}))$ is given by
\[
H^*(\mathbb{P}(\gamma^*_{3,4})) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[a, \lambda]}{(\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 a + \lambda a^2 + a^3)}
\]
(3.9)
where $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\gamma^*_{3,4})$ is the tautological line bundle over the projectivized bundle $\mathbb{P}(\gamma^*_{3,4})$ and $\lambda := c_1(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3) \in H^2(\mathbb{P}(\gamma^*_{3,4}))$. This follows from [3, Page 270].

We now note the following two important facts: intersecting a generic line, corresponds to the cycle
\[
\mathcal{H}_t = \lambda + a.
\]
Furthermore, passing through a generic point, corresponds to the cycle

\[ \mathcal{H}_p = \lambda a. \]

The reason for this can again be found in [22, Pages 18 and 19]. Hence, to compute \( N_1^{\mathbb{P}^2, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) \) we have to compute the expression

\[ (\lambda + a)^r(\lambda a)^s a^\theta, \]

use the relationship

\[ \lambda^3 = -(\lambda^2 a + \lambda a^2 + a^3) \]

and extract the coefficient of \( \lambda^2 a^3 \). This gives us all the numbers for various values of \( r, s \) and \( \theta \).

\[ \square \]

Proof of Lemma 3.2: First we note that every conic in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) lies inside a unique plane (except a double line). Hence, let us consider the projective bundle

\[ \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^3)) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3. \]

This space \( \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^3)) \) is the space of conics in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) and a plane that contains the conic. The space of all smooth conics form an open dense subspace of \( \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^3)) \).

Hence, to compute the numbers \( N_2^{\mathbb{P}^2, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) \) (which is defined as an intersection number on \( \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^3, 2) \)), we can instead compute the relevant intersection number on \( \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^3)) \).

Next, we note that \( \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^3)) \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) bundle over \( \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \). The cohomology ring structure of the total space is given by

\[ H^*(\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^3))) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a, \lambda] / (\lambda^6 + 4\lambda^5 a + 10\lambda^4 a^2 + 20\lambda^3 a^3). \] (3.10)

This follows from splitting principle (see page 275 in [3]). We now note the following two important facts: intersecting a generic line, corresponds to the cycle

\[ \mathcal{Z}_l = \lambda + 2a. \]

Furthermore, passing through a generic point, corresponds to the cycle

\[ \mathcal{Z}_p = \lambda a. \]

The reason for this can again be found in [22, Pages 18 and 19]. Hence, to compute \( N_2^{\mathbb{P}^2, \text{Planar}}(r, s, \theta) \) we have to compute the expression

\[ (\lambda + 2a)^r(\lambda a)^s a^\theta, \]

use the relationship given by the cohomology ring structure in (3.10), i.e.

\[ \lambda^6 = -(4\lambda^5 a + 10\lambda^4 a^2 + 20\lambda^3 a^3) \]

and extract the coefficient of \( \lambda^5 a^3 \). This gives us all the numbers for various values of \( r, s \) and \( \theta \).

\[ \square \]
4. LOW DEGREE CHECKS

We now describe concrete low degree checks that we have performed. Using our recursive formula, we have obtained the following numbers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(d, r, s)$</th>
<th>$(3, 11, 0)$</th>
<th>$(4, 14, 0)$</th>
<th>$(5, 17, 0)$</th>
<th>$(6, 20, 0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_d^{\text{Pl}}(r, s)$</td>
<td>12960</td>
<td>3727920</td>
<td>1979329280</td>
<td>1763519463360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next, let $N_d^{\text{Node}, \delta}(r, s)$ denote the number of planar degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $\delta$ (unordered) nodes intersecting $r$ generic lines and $s$ generic points. These numbers are computed in [14]. Using that, we get the following table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(d, r, s, \delta)$</th>
<th>$(3, 11, 0, 1)$</th>
<th>$(4, 14, 0, 3)$</th>
<th>$(5, 17, 0, 6)$</th>
<th>$(6, 20, 0, 10)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_d^{\text{Node}, \delta}(r, s)$</td>
<td>12960</td>
<td>4057340</td>
<td>2487128120</td>
<td>2681467886460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, let us denote the by $\text{Red}_d^{\text{Node}, \delta}(r, s)$ to be the number of reducible planar degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $\delta$ (unordered) nodes intersecting $r$ generic lines and $s$ generic points. This number can be computed using [14 Proposition 8.4]. Using that, we get

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(d, r, s, \delta)$</th>
<th>$(3, 11, 0, 1)$</th>
<th>$(4, 14, 0, 3)$</th>
<th>$(5, 17, 0, 6)$</th>
<th>$(6, 20, 0, 10)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Red}_d^{\text{Node}, \delta}(r, s)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>329420</td>
<td>507798840</td>
<td>917948423100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We now note that in all the cases we have tabulated,

$$N_d^{\text{Pl}}(r, s) = N_d^{\text{Node}, \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}}(r, s) - \text{Red}_d^{\text{Node}, \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}}(r, s).$$

This is positive evidence for the fact that T. Laarakker’s formula for $N_d^{\text{Node}, \delta}(r, s)$ is actually enumerative when $d \geq 1 + \left\lceil \frac{\delta}{2} \right\rceil$ (as opposed to $d \geq \delta$, which is proved in [14]). We also note that when $d = 7$ and $\delta = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} = 15$, the formula for $N_7^{\text{Node}, \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}}(r, s)$ is not expected to be enumerative because of an obvious geometric reasons. To see why, suppose $s = 0$ and $r = 23$. Through the required $r = 23$ lines, we can place a double line through 4 lines and through the remaining 19 lines we can place a quintic that intersects the line so that the double line and the quintic lie in a plane. This is a degenerate configuration, and hence $N_7^{\text{Node}, 15}(23, 0)$ is not expected to be enumerative.

This is analogous to the case of counting $\delta$-nodal degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$; let $N_d^{\delta}$ denote that number. A formula for this number can be explicitly found in [2] for instance. On the other hand, let $N_d^{\text{Pl}}$ denote the number of rational degree $d$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ through $3d - 1$ generic points. Till $d = 6$, we can verify that $N_6^{\text{Pl}}$ is logically consistent with the corresponding value of $N_6^{\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}}$ (after subtracting the number of irreducible curves). From $d = 7$, we can not make any such consistency check, because $N_7^{15}$ is not enumerative; there are double lines that can pass through two of the 20 points and a quintic through the remaining 18 points. We also note that this fact is consistent with the Göttsche threshold of when the number $N_d^{\delta}$ is supposed to be enumerative; this is proved in [11]. Our computations give evidence to show that a similar bound is likely to be true for the case of planar curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$.
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