

# QUASI-STURMIAN COLORINGS ON REGULAR TREES

DONG HAN KIM, SEUL BEE LEE, SEONHEE LIM, AND DEOKWON SIM

**ABSTRACT.** Quasi-Sturmian words, which are infinite words with factor complexity eventually  $n + c$  share many properties with Sturmian words. In this paper, we study the quasi-Sturmian colorings on regular trees. There are two different types, bounded and unbounded, of quasi-Sturmian colorings. We obtain an induction algorithm similar to Sturmian colorings. We distinguish them by the recurrence function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Factor complexity  $p_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$  of an infinite word  $\mathbf{u}$  is the number of distinct subwords of length  $n$  appearing in  $\mathbf{u}$ . Factor complexity is a classical invariant which measures the disorder of words. Hedlund and Morse showed that a word is eventually periodic if and only if its factor complexity is bounded (see [5]). Sturmian words are infinite words which have unbounded minimal factor complexity, i.e.  $p_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = n + 1$ . Sturmian words are cutting sequences of irrational rotations and enjoy many striking properties [9], [3], [8]. An induction algorithm is developed using the Rauzy graph, which is a graph whose vertices are the subwords of length  $n$  in  $\mathbf{u}$ .

An infinite word  $\mathbf{u}$  is *quasi-Sturmian* if there are integers  $c$  and  $N_0$  such that  $p_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = n + c$  for  $n \geq N_0$ . Cassaigne showed that a quasi-Sturmian word is an image of a Sturmian word by a non-periodic morphism (see [3]).

Factor complexity and Sturmian words have been generalized to Sturmian colorings of trees by the first and third authors [6]. By a *coloring* of a regular tree  $T$ , we mean a vertex coloring with finite alphabet, i.e. a surjective map  $\phi : VT \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  from the vertex set  $VT$  to the set  $\mathcal{A}$  of *alphabet*, such that  $|\mathcal{A}| < \infty$ . For Sturmian colorings, we developed an induction algorithm using the graph of colored balls of radius  $n$ , which are analogs of subwords of length  $n$  in a Sturmian word [7].

For subtrees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T$ , we define a *color-preserving homomorphism*  $f : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  of a coloring  $\phi$  as a graph homomorphism such that  $\phi(v) = \phi(f(v))$  for all  $v \in VT_1$ . Let  $\mathbf{d}$  be the metric on  $T$  giving length 1 on each edge.

**Definition 1.1.** The  $n$ -ball  $\mathcal{B}_n(u)$  of center  $u$  is the closed  $\mathbf{d}$ -ball of radius  $n$  and center  $u$ . Two  $n$ -balls  $\mathcal{B}_n(u)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_n(v)$  are equivalent if there is a color-preserving isometry  $f :$

$\mathcal{B}_n(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n(v)$ . We denote the equivalence class of  $\mathcal{B}_n(u)$  by  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u)]$  and call it a colored  $n$ -ball. Let  $\mathbb{B}_\phi(n)$  be the set of colored  $n$ -balls of  $\phi$ .

The factor complexity  $b_\phi(n) = |\mathbb{B}_\phi(n)|$  of a coloring  $\phi$  is the number of colored  $n$ -balls in  $(T, \phi)$ .

By convention, we denote by  $\mathcal{B}_{-1}(u)$  the empty ball and let  $b_\phi(-1) = 1$ . Clearly,  $b_\phi(0) = |\mathcal{A}|$ . Factor complexity is either a bounded function or a strictly increasing function (see Theorem 2.7 in [6]).

For a given coloring  $\phi$ , let  $\Gamma$  be the group of color-preserving isometries of  $T$ . The quotient  $X = \Gamma \backslash T$  has a structure of an *edge-indexed graph*, which is a graph equipped with an index map  $i : EX \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined as follows: Let  $e \in EX$  be an oriented edge with the initial vertex  $x \in VX$  and the terminal vertex  $y \in VX$ . Let  $\tilde{x}$  be a lift of  $x$  in  $T$ . The index  $i(e)$  is the number of lifts of  $y$  among the neighboring vertices of  $\tilde{x}$ . We will sometimes denote  $e$  by  $[x, y]$  and denote  $i(e)$  by  $i(x, y)$ . We call  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  the *quotient (edge-indexed) graph* of  $(T, \phi)$ . Let  $\pi : T \rightarrow X$  be the covering map. There is a coloring  $\phi_0$  of  $X$  such that  $\phi = \phi_0 \circ \pi$ .

We say that a coloring is *periodic* if its quotient graph is a finite graph. A coloring  $\phi$  is periodic if and only if the factor complexity  $b_\phi(n)$  is bounded (see [6]). A *Sturmian coloring*  $(T, \phi)$  is a coloring with unbounded minimal factor complexity  $b_\phi(n) = n + 1$ .

**Definition 1.2.** We say that a coloring is quasi-Sturmian if there exists a pair of integers  $c$  and  $N_0$  such that  $b(n) = n + c$  for  $n \geq N_0$ , i.e.

$$(1.1) \quad b(n+1) - b(n) = 1 \text{ for each } n \geq N_0.$$


We assume that  $N_0$  is the minimal integer satisfying (1.1). If  $b(n+1) > b(n)$ , there are at least two distinct  $n$ -balls  $\mathcal{B}_n(u)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_n(v)$  such that  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_n(v)]$  but  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(u)] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(v)]$ . We call such a colored  $n$ -ball  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u)]$  *special*. A quasi-Sturmian coloring has a unique special  $n$ -ball for all  $n \geq N_0$  which we denote by  $S_n$ .

In [6], it was shown that a quotient graph of a Sturmian coloring is either a geodesic ray or an infinite geodesic with loops possibly attached to each vertex. The *type set*  $\Lambda_u$  of a vertex  $u \in VT$  is the set of non-negative integers  $n$  for which  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u)]$  is special. A vertex  $u$  is said to be of *bounded type* if  $\Lambda_u$  is a finite set. For a vertex  $u$  of bounded type, the maximal type  $\tau(u)$  of  $u$  is the maximum of elements in  $\Lambda_u$ .

We say that a coloring  $\phi$  is of *bounded type* if each vertex (or equivalently a vertex) of  $(T, \phi)$  is of bounded type. Otherwise, we say that a coloring  $\phi$  is of *unbounded type*. For a coloring of bounded type, we define the subgraph  $G$  of  $X$  as the graph consisting of the vertices whose lifts are of maximal type less than or equal to  $N_1$  (see the equation (2.1) for the definition).

We first characterize the quotient graph of a quasi-Sturmian coloring.

**Theorem 1.3** (Quotient graphs of quasi-Sturmian colorings). *If  $\phi$  is a quasi-Sturmian coloring, then the quotient graph is one of the following graphs.*



More precisely, the quotient graph of a coloring of bounded type is the first graph, where as the quotient graph of a coloring of unbounded type is a geodesic ray or a biinfinite geodesic.




FIGURE 1. The evolution of Rauzy graphs of a quasi-Sturmian word (above) and The evolution of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  of a quasi-Sturmian coloring on a tree (below)

Our second main theorem is the induction algorithm of quasi-Sturmian colorings. As was mentioned in the beginning, the Rauzy graph (or the factor graph) of an infinite word  $\mathbf{u}$  is an oriented graph whose vertices are the factors (or subwords) of length  $n$ . For two factors  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  of length  $n$ , there is an edge from  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{w}$  if there are letters  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\mathbf{va} = \mathbf{bw}$ . There is a continued fraction algorithm for Sturmian words in terms of Rauzy graphs (see [9] for details). The evolution of Rauzy graph is shown in Figure 1.

Analogous factor graph for colorings of trees is defined in [7]: the factor graph  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is defined as the graph whose vertices are the colored  $n$ -balls. Its edges are pairs of colored  $n$ -balls appearing in  $(T, \phi)$  of distance 1, i.e.  $(D_n, E_n)$  with vertices  $v, w \in VT$  such that  $[\mathcal{B}_n(v)] = D_n$ ,  $[\mathcal{B}_n(w)] = E_n$  with  $\mathbf{d}(v, w) = 1$ . An induction algorithm of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for Sturmian colorings is developed in [7] (see Figure 1).

The following theorem shows how the factor graphs  $\mathcal{G}_n$  of quasi-Sturmian colorings evolve as  $n$  goes to infinity.

**Theorem 1.4** (Induction algorithm). *For an acyclic quasi-Sturmian coloring, the factor graph  $\mathcal{G}_n$  falls into one of the three cases:*

- (I)  $S_n \neq C_n$  and one of  $S_n, C_n$  is  $A_n$  or  $B_n$ :  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is a linear graph, i.e., all vertices have degree less than or equals to 2.

(II)  $S_n, A_n, B_n, C_n$  are all distinct:  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is a tripod and  $S_n$  is the unique vertex of degree 3 in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ .

(III)  $S_n, A_n, B_n$  are distinct and  $C_n = S_n$ : The special ball  $S_n$  is a vertex of degree 2 in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  corresponds to (I) and  $m$  is the number of vertices of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  which are connected to  $S_n$  through  $C_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_{n+i}$  corresponds to (II) for  $0 < i \leq m$  and either  $\mathcal{G}_{n+m}$  corresponds to (I) again or  $\mathcal{G}_{n+m}$  corresponds to (III) and  $\mathcal{G}_{n+m+1}$  corresponds to (I):

$$(I) \rightarrow (II) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (II) \rightarrow (I) \quad \text{or} \quad (I) \rightarrow (II) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (II) \rightarrow (III) \rightarrow (I).$$

In Section 4, we further investigate the quotient graph  $\mathcal{X}$  of a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type. We will show that we can obtain  $\mathcal{X}$  by attaching a finite edge indexed colored graph to a geodesic ray whose lift is a part of a periodic coloring. More precisely, for a subgraph  $Y$  of  $T$ , a *periodic extension to  $T$*  of a coloring of  $Y$  is a periodic coloring on  $T$  which coincides with the coloring on  $Y$ .

**Theorem 1.5** (Quotient graphs of colorings of bounded type). *Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  be the quotient graph of a coloring  $(T, \phi)$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The coloring  $\phi$  is a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type.*
- (2) *There is a finite connected subgraph  $G$  of the quotient graph  $X$  such that  $X - G$  is a connected infinite ray and any connected component of  $T - \tilde{G}$  has a periodic extension to  $T$  where  $\tilde{G}$  is the union of lifts of  $G$ .*

In the last part of the article, we obtain some bounds for the recurrence functions of quasi-Sturmian colorings. There are three versions of recurrence functions for words (see [2], [3]). In this paper, we mainly consider one of the recurrence functions  $R''$  which is defined by Cassaigne: for infinite words, let  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$  be the length of the smallest factor of  $\mathbf{u}$  containing all factors of length  $n$ . It is clear that  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \geq p_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + n - 1$ . If  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = p_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + n - 1$  for each  $n \geq 0$ , then we say that  $\mathbf{u}$  has *grouped factors*. Cassaigne proved that quasi-Sturmian words have *ultimately grouped factors*, i.e., there is  $n_0$  such that  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = p_{\mathbf{u}} + n - 1$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  (see [3]).

We define the recurrence function  $R''(n)$  for colorings of trees analogously. If a quasi-Sturmian coloring  $\phi$  is of unbounded type, the factor graph  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is of type (I) on  $n = n_k$  in Theorem 1.4 (see Theorem 4.3). Denote by  $\mathcal{Z} = (Z, i_Z)$  the finite quotient graph of  $T - \tilde{G}$  with respect to the coloring  $\phi$  in Theorem 1.5.

For a finite graph  $G$  and a vertex  $x$  of  $G$ , let us denote by

$$\mathbf{r}(x, G) = \max\{\mathbf{d}(x, y) : y \in VG\}.$$

**Theorem 1.6** (Bounds of  $R''(n)$ ). *Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring and  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  be the quotient graph of  $(T, \phi)$ .*

- (1) *Let  $\phi$  be of unbounded type. Then, we have*

$$R''(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{b(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k.$$

- (2) Let  $\phi$  be of bounded type. Let  $x_{N_1}$  be the vertex of maximal type  $N_1$ .  
 (a) If  $Z$  is acyclic, then we have

$$R''(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k.$$

- (b) If  $Z$  is cyclic, then we have

$$R''(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b(n) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } n \geq N_1.$$

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we characterize quotient graphs of quasi-Sturmian colorings. Theorem 1.3 is proved in Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.11. In Section 3, we study the factor graphs of quasi-Sturmian colorings. We prove Theorem 1.4 in Proposition 3.10. We prove Theorem 1.5 in Theorem 4.3. In Section 5, we investigate the recurrence function of quasi-Sturmian colorings.

## 2. QUOTIENT GRAPHS OF QUASI-STURMIAN COLORINGS

In this section, we characterize the quotient graphs of quasi-Sturmian colorings. The quotient graph of a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type is a union of a finite graph and a geodesic ray. For a quasi-Sturmian coloring of unbounded type, the quotient graph is a geodesic ray or an infinite geodesic.

Recall that for a vertex  $u$  of bounded type, the maximal type  $\tau(u)$  of  $u$  is the maximum of elements in  $\Lambda_u$ . If a vertex of a coloring of a tree is of bounded type, then every vertex is of bounded type (see Lemma 2.15 in [6]).

**2.1. Quotient graphs of quasi-Sturmian colorings of bounded type.** For  $u \in VT$ ,  $\tau(u) \leq m$  if and only if  $[\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(v)]$  implies that  $u$  and  $v$  are in the same class. If two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are in the same class, then  $u$  and  $v$  have the same maximal type. Kim and Lim proved that the converse is also true in the case of a Sturmian coloring (see Proposition 3.2 in [6]). We observe that the same proof holds in quasi-Sturmian colorings as long as  $b(n+1) - b(n) = 1$ . We provide the proof for completeness.

We say that two vertices  $u, v$  are *in the same class* if there is a color-preserving isometry of  $T$  such that  $f(u) = v$ . Note that two vertices are in the same class if the  $n$ -balls  $\mathcal{B}_n(u)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n(v)$  are equivalent for every  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $b(n)$  is a strictly increasing function. If  $b(n+1) - b(n) = 1$  and two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  have maximal type  $n$ , then  $u$  and  $v$  are in the same class.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $b(n+1) - b(n) = 1$  and there exist two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  not in the same class such that  $\tau(u) = \tau(v) = n$ . Since the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite, there is a number  $N$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_N(w)$  contains a special  $n$ -ball for each  $w \in VT$  (see Lemma 2.16 in [6]).

Fix a vertex  $w$  and let  $z$  be the center of a special  $n$ -ball contained in  $\mathcal{B}_N(w)$ . Since the special  $n$ -ball is unique, either  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(z)] = [\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(u)]$  or  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(z)] = [\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(v)]$ , thus  $z$  is in

the same class of  $u$  or  $v$ . Since  $w \in \mathcal{B}_N(z)$ , the tree  $T$  is covered by  $N$ -balls whose centers are in the same class of  $u$  or  $v$ . Thus, the maximal types of vertices of  $T$  is bounded by  $M = \max\{\tau(p) : p \in \mathcal{B}_N(u) \cup \mathcal{B}_N(v)\}$ . It contradicts that  $b(n)$  is strictly increasing.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type with factor complexity  $b(n) = n + c$  for  $n \geq N_0$ . If two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $(T, \phi)$  have the same maximal type greater than or equal to  $N_0$ , then  $u$  and  $v$  are in the same class.*

**Lemma 2.3.** *If a vertex  $u$  of a quasi-Sturmian coloring  $(T, \phi)$  is of maximal type  $m$ , then the following hold.*

- (1) *If  $m \geq N_0$ , its neighboring vertices are of maximal type  $m - 1, m, m + 1$ .  
If  $m = N_0 - 1$ , its neighboring vertices are of maximal type at most  $N_0$ .  
If  $m \leq N_0 - 2$ , its neighboring vertices are of maximal type at most  $N_0 - 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $m \geq N_0$ , one of its neighboring vertices is of maximal type  $m + 1$ .*
- (3) *If  $m \geq N_0$  is not minimum among maximal types of vertices, one of its neighboring vertices is of maximal type  $m - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$  be the neighboring vertices of  $u$ .

(1) Let  $\tau = \max\{\tau(u_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$ . Choose  $u_k$  such that  $\tau(u_k) = \tau$ . There is a vertex  $v$  such that  $[\mathcal{B}_\tau(u_k)] = [\mathcal{B}_\tau(v)]$  but  $[\mathcal{B}_{\tau+1}(u_k)] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{\tau+1}(v)]$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{B}_\tau(u_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\tau(v)$  be a color-preserving isometry. Let  $w = f(u)$ . Suppose that  $\tau > m + 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(u) \subset \mathcal{B}_\tau(u_k)$ ,  $[\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(w)]$ . Thus,  $u$  and  $w$  are in the same class. Since  $\mathbf{d}(w, v) = 1$ ,  $u_j$  and  $v$  are in the same class for some  $j$ . We have

$$[\mathcal{B}_\tau(u_j)] = [\mathcal{B}_\tau(v)] = [\mathcal{B}_\tau(u_k)] \text{ and } [\mathcal{B}_{\tau+1}(u_j)] = [\mathcal{B}_{\tau+1}(v)] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{\tau+1}(u_k)],$$

thus  $\tau(u_j) \geq \tau$ . By the maximality of  $\tau$ ,  $\tau(u_j) = \tau$ . By Corollary 2.2, if  $\tau > N_0$ , then  $u_k$  and  $u_j$  are in the same class. It contradicts  $[\mathcal{B}_{\tau+1}(u_k)] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{\tau+1}(u_j)]$ . Hence,  $\tau < N_0$ .

We conclude that  $\tau > m + 1$  implies  $\tau < N_0$ . If  $m \geq N_0 - 1$ , then  $\tau \leq m + 1$ . If  $m < N_0 - 1$ , then  $\tau \leq N_0 - 1$ . In other words, for  $u, v$  such that  $\mathbf{d}(u, v) = 1$ , if  $|\tau(u) - \tau(v)| \geq 2$ , then  $\tau(u), \tau(v) \leq N_0 - 1$ . Thus if  $m \geq N_0$ , then  $\tau(u_i) \geq m - 1$ .


(2) Let  $m \geq N_0$ . Suppose that there is no  $u_i$  such that  $\tau(u_i) = m + 1$ . By (1),  $m - 1 \leq \tau(u_i) \leq m$  for each  $i$ . If  $\tau(u_i) = m - 1$ , then there is no vertices on  $\mathcal{B}_1(u_j)$  of maximal type greater than  $m$ . Even if  $\tau(u_i) = m$ , since  $u$  and  $u_i$  are in the same class by Corollary 2.2, we have the same conclusion. Thus, there is no vertex on  $\mathcal{B}_2(u)$  of maximal type greater than  $m$ . Inductively, every vertex is of maximal type less than  $m + 1$ . It contradicts the fact that  $b(n)$  is strictly increasing.

(3) We can show it by the similar argument of the proof of (2).  $\square$

For a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type, we define


$$(2.1) \quad N_1 = \max\{N_0, \min\{\tau(x) : x \in VT\}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.4.** *For the quotient graph  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  of a quasi-Sturmian coloring  $\phi$  of bounded type, the quotient graph  $X$  is a union of  $G$  and a geodesic ray (see the following figure).*



The quotient graph  $X$  is linear from the vertex of maximal type  $N_1 + 1$ . In the figure, the vertex labeled by  $x_k$  is of maximal type  $k$ .

**Example 2.5** (quasi-Sturmian coloring which is not a Sturmian coloring). *Let  $c \geq 3$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_c\}$ . Consider a coloring whose quotient graph is as follows.*



$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = -1 \\ n + c, & \text{if } n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

The alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\{\bullet, \circ, \otimes\}$  for the following examples.

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = -1 \\ n + 3, & \text{if } n \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $N_0 = N_1 = 0$ .

**Example 2.7** (quasi-Sturmian coloring with  $N_0 \neq 0$ ).




*Its factor complexity is*

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = -1 \\ 3, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ n + 4, & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $N_0 = N_1 = 1$ .

**Example 2.8** (an example with  $N_0 \neq 0$  and the quotient graph is not a geodesic ray).




*The factor complexity is*

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ n + 5, & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $N_0 = N_1 = 1$

**Example 2.9** (an example with a cycle in the compact part  $G$ ).




*It has the factor complexity*

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 5, & \text{if } n = 1 \\ n + 5, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and  $N_0 = N_1 = 2$ .

**Example 2.10** (an example with  $N_0 \neq N_1$ ).





The factor complexity is

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = -1 \\ n + 3, & \text{if } n \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $N_0 = 0$ ,  $N_1 = 1$ .

**2.2. Quasi-Sturmian colorings of unbounded type.** The quotient graph of a Sturmian coloring of unbounded type is a geodesic ray or an infinite geodesic (see Theorem 3.8 in [6]). In this section, we show that the same property holds for quasi-Sturmian colorings of unbounded type.

**Proposition 2.11.** *For a quasi-Sturmian coloring of unbounded type, the vertices of a 1-ball have at most three distinct type sets.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there are three vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  neighboring  $u$  such that  $u, u_1, u_2, u_3$  have mutually distinct type sets. If  $n \in \Lambda_u \cap \Lambda_v$  and  $n \geq N_0$ , then  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_n(v)]$  by the uniqueness of the special  $n$ -ball. Thus, for  $l \leq n$ ,  $l \in \Lambda_u$  if and only if  $l \in \Lambda_v$ .

If  $\Lambda_u \neq \Lambda_v$  and  $\Lambda_u \cap \Lambda_v \neq \emptyset$ , then let  $N$  be the maximal element of  $\Lambda_u \cap \Lambda_v$ . If  $\Lambda_u \cap \Lambda_v = \emptyset$ , let  $N = -1$ . Choose such  $N$  for each pair of vertices from different classes in  $\mathcal{B}_2(u)$  and let  $M$  be the maximum of such  $N$ 's. Then, the type sets of two non-equivalent vertices in  $\mathcal{B}_2(u)$  intersected with  $\{M + 1, M + 2, \dots\}$  are all mutually disjoint.


Now let  $l > M + 1$  be in the type set  $\Lambda_u$ . Such  $l$  exists since the coloring is of unbounded type. At least one of  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  has a type set disjoint from  $\{l - 1, l, l + 1\}$ , say  $u_i$ . Since  $l \in \Lambda_u$ , there is  $v$  such that  $[\mathcal{B}_l(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_l(v)]$  but  $[\mathcal{B}_{l+1}(u)] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{l+1}(v)]$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{B}_l(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_l(v)$  be a color-preserving isometry. Then  $[\mathcal{B}_{l-1}(u_i)] = [\mathcal{B}_{l-1}(f(u_i))]$ .

Let  $p = \min\{k \geq l - 1 : k \in \Lambda_{u_i}\}$ . Since  $p > l + 1$ ,  $[\mathcal{B}_{l-1}(u_i)]$  has a unique extension to  $[\mathcal{B}_p(u_i)]$ . Thus,  $[\mathcal{B}_p(u_i)]$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_p(f(u_i))]$  are equivalent by a color-preserving isometry  $g$ . Since  $[\mathcal{B}_{p-1}(g^{-1}(v))] = [\mathcal{B}_{p-1}(v)]$  and  $p - 1 > l$ ,  $[\mathcal{B}_l(g^{-1}(v))] = [\mathcal{B}_l(v)] = [\mathcal{B}_l(u)]$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_{l+1}(g^{-1}(v))] = [\mathcal{B}_{l+1}(v)] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{l+1}(u)]$ . Thus,  $g^{-1}(v) \neq u$  and  $\Lambda_{g^{-1}(v)} \cap \Lambda_u$  contains  $l > M + 1$ . However, since  $d(g^{-1}(v), u) \leq 2$ , it contradicts that  $\Lambda_{g^{-1}(v)} \cap \Lambda_u \cap \{M + 1, M + 2, \dots\}$  is empty.  $\square$

Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring of a tree and  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  be its quotient graph. If two vertices  $u, v$  have the same type set, they have the same colored  $n$ -balls for every  $n$ , i.e.  $u, v$  are equivalent (see Lemma 2.4 in [6]). By Proposition 2.11, there are at most 2 adjacent vertices of each vertex  $x \in VX$ .

For a quasi-Sturmian coloring of unbounded type, we define  $G$  as the set of vertices which has only one adjacent vertex in  $X$ . Since factor complexity of  $\phi$  is unbounded,  $X$  is an infinite graph. Since  $X$  is connected,  $G$  is empty or  $G$  has a single element. Thus, we obtain the following characterization of the quotient graphs of quasi-Sturmian colorings of trees.

**Theorem 2.12.** *If  $\phi$  is a quasi-Sturmian coloring, then the quotient graph is one of the following graphs.*



### 3. EVOLUTION OF FACTOR GRAPHS

In this section, we look into quasi-Sturmian colorings of unbounded type in details. Let us begin by explaining an induction algorithm for quasi-Sturmian colorings of bounded type. As in [7], for  $n \geq N_0$ ,  $S_n$  denotes a unique special  $n$ -ball,  $C_n$  denotes a centered  $n$ -ball of  $S_{n+1}$ , and  $A_{n+1}$ ,  $B_{n+1}$  denote two types of extensions of  $S_n$ . For a class of  $n$ -balls  $B = [\mathcal{B}_n(x)]$ , denote the class of  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x)]$  by  $\overline{B}$  and the class of  $[\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(x)]$  by  $\underline{B}$ . Note that if  $B$  is not special, then  $\overline{B}$  is well-defined.

Recall from the introduction that for a given quasi-Sturmian coloring  $\phi$ , for  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ , the factor graph  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has  $\mathbb{B}_\phi(n)$  as its vertex set. There is an edge between two colored  $n$ -balls  $D$ ,  $E$  if there exist  $n$ -balls centered at  $x$ ,  $y$  in the classes  $D$ ,  $E$ , respectively, such that  $\mathbf{d}(x, y) = 1$ .

**3.1. Preliminary.** Now, we gether preliminaries of cyclic quasi-Sturmian colorings.

**Definition 3.1.** *We say that  $D$  is weakly adjacent to  $E$  if there exist  $v, w \in VT$  such that  $\mathbf{d}(v, w) = 1$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_n(v)] = D$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_m(w)] = E$  for some  $n, m$ .*

*We also say that  $D$  is strongly adjacent to  $E$  if for any  $\mathcal{B}_n(x)$  in the class  $D$ , there exists a vertex  $y$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_m(y) \in E$  and  $\mathbf{d}(x, y) = 1$ . If  $D$  is strongly adjacent to  $E$  and vice versa, then we say that  $D$  and  $E$  are strongly adjacent.*

We remark the following fact. If  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(v)]$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+2}(u)] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{n+2}(v)]$ , then there exist neighboring vertices  $u'$  and  $v'$  of  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively, such that  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u')] = [\mathcal{B}_n(v')]$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(u')] \neq [\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(v')]$  (see Lemma 2.11 in [6] for details). Thus,  $S_{n+1}$  is strongly adjacent to  $S_n$  for  $n \geq N_0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring and  $n \geq N_0$ .*

- (1) *We can choose  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq N_0+1}$ ,  $\{B_n\}_{n \geq N_0+1}$  so that  $A_{n+1}$ ,  $B_{n+1}$  are strongly adjacent to  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ , respectively. Moreover,  $A_{n+1}$ ,  $B_{n+1}$  are uniquely determined if we give the condition that  $A_{n+1}$  contains more balls of the class  $A_n$  than  $B_{n+1}$  does.*

- (2) For each vertex  $x$  in  $T - \tilde{G}$  and  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ , the  $n$ -balls with centers adjacent to  $x$  belong to at most two classes of  $n$ -balls apart from  $[B_n(x)]$ . Thus, for any class  $D \neq S_n$  of  $n$ -balls with centers in  $T - \tilde{G}$ , each vertex of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has degree at most 2.
- (3) If  $A_n \neq S_n$  (respectively  $B_n \neq S_n$ ), then  $A_n$  (respectively  $B_n$ ) is strongly adjacent to  $S_n$ .
- (4) The two classes  $S_n, C_n$  are strongly adjacent.

*Proof.* (1) Since  $S_{n+1}$  is strongly adjacent to  $S_n$  for  $n \geq N_0$ , we can choose sequences  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq N_0+1}$ ,  $\{B_n\}_{n \geq N_0+1}$  such that  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  are strongly adjacent to  $A_n, B_n$ , respectively, and  $A_{n+1}$  contains more balls of class  $A_n$  than  $B_{n+1}$  does. Then, such an inductive choice is unique.

It is sufficient to show that  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  cannot contain the same number of balls of class  $A_n$ . Denote by  $i(D, E)$  the number of  $n$ -balls of class  $E$  which are contained in the colored  $(n+1)$ -ball  $D$ . Then, we have

$$i(S_n, S_{n-1}) = i(A_{n+1}, A_n) + i(A_{n+1}, B_n) = i(B_{n+1}, A_n) + i(B_{n+1}, B_n).$$

If  $i(A_{n+1}, A_n) = i(B_{n+1}, A_n)$ , then  $i(A_{n+1}, B_n) = i(B_{n+1}, B_n)$ . Since each  $(n-1)$ -ball not  $S_{n-1}$  has a unique extension to an  $n$ -ball, we have  $A_{n+1} = B_{n+1}$ .

(2) By Theorem 2.12, there are at most two congruent classes of vertices adjacent to any given vertex  $x$  in  $T - \tilde{G}$ , apart from itself. In other words, the number of classes of  $n$ -balls with centers adjacent to  $x$  in  $T - \tilde{G}$  is also at most two, apart from  $[B_n(x)]$ . For any class  $D \neq S_n$  of  $n$ -balls with centers in  $T - \tilde{G}$ ,  $D$  has a unique extension to  $(n+1)$ -balls. Thus, there are at most two classes of  $n$ -balls which are weakly adjacent to  $D$ .

(3) By (1),  $A_n$  is weakly adjacent to  $\underline{A_{n+1}} = S_n$ . If  $A_n \neq S_n$ , then  $A_n$  has a unique extension to  $\overline{A_n}$ . Thus,  $A_n$  is strongly adjacent to  $S_n$ .

(4) Since  $S_{n+1}$  is strongly adjacent to  $S_n$  for  $n \geq N_0$ ,  $C_n$  is strongly adjacent to  $S_n$ . If  $S_n = C_n$ , we are done. Suppose that  $S_n \neq C_n$ . Note that  $A_{n+1} \neq S_{n+1}$  and  $B_{n+1} \neq S_{n+1}$ . By (3), both  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  are strongly adjacent to  $S_{n+1}$ . Hence,  $S_n$  is strongly adjacent to  $C_n$ .  $\square$

We will specify the choice of  $A_{N_0+1}$  from the two extension of  $S_{N_0}$  for acyclic quasi-Sturmian colorings later.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\phi$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring and  $n$  be greater than  $N_0$ . Let  $D$  be a colored  $n$ -ball other than  $A_n, B_n$  and  $S_n$ . Assume that  $S_n$  and  $D$  are weakly adjacent. Then, we have that*

- (1) *the special ball  $S_n$  and  $D$  are strongly adjacent, and*
- (2) *if  $D \neq C_n$ , then  $S_n \neq C_n$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $S_n$  is weakly adjacent to  $D$ ,  $S_n$  is strongly adjacent to  $\underline{D}$ . By the assumption,  $\underline{D} \neq S_{n-1}$ , i.e.  $\underline{D}$  is uniquely extended to  $D$ . Thus,  $S_n$  and  $D$  are strongly adjacent.

(2) Assume that  $D \neq C_n$ . If  $S_n = C_n$ , then either  $S_{n+1} = A_{n+1}$  or  $S_{n+1} = B_{n+1}$ . By Lemma 3.2 (3),  $A_{n+1}$  and  $B_{n+1}$  are weakly adjacent. Since  $A_n \neq S_n$  or  $B_n \neq S_n$ , we may

assume that  $A_n \neq S_n$ . We obtain that  $A_{n+1}$  is strongly adjacent to  $D$  by (1) and to  $A_n$  by Lemma 3.2 (1). Then,  $A_{n+1}$  is weakly adjacent to  $B_{n+1}$ ,  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{A_n}$ . Since  $A_{n+1}$ ,  $B_{n+1}$ ,  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{A_n}$  are mutually distinct, it contradicts Lemma 3.2 (2).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *If there are two vertices of degree at least three in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for some  $n > N_0$ , then the quasi-Sturmian coloring  $(T, \phi)$  is of bounded type.*

*Proof.* If  $\phi$  is of unbounded type,  $S_n$  is the unique vertex adjacent to distinct three classes of  $n$ -balls in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  by Lemma 3.2 (2). Thus, there is at most one vertex of degree at least three in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** *A quasi-Sturmian coloring is cyclic if there is a cycle containing  $S_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for some  $n > N_0$ . If not, we say that a quasi-Sturmian coloring is acyclic.*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has a cycle whose lift in  $X$  is not contained in  $G$  for some  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ . The following statements hold.*

- (1) *The special ball  $S_n$  is in the cycle.*
- (2) *If  $D \neq A_n, B_n, C_n, S_n$ , then  $D$  is not weakly adjacent to  $S_n$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $S_n$  is not in the cycle, then it connected to a vertex  $D$  of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  which is in the cycle. Thus, the degree of  $D$  is greater than 2. It contradicts Lemma 3.2 (2).

(2) Assume that  $S_n$  is weakly adjacent to  $D \neq A_n, B_n, C_n, S_n$ . By Lemma 3.3 (1),  $S_n$  is strongly adjacent to  $D$ . By Lemma 3.3 (2) and Lemma 3.2 (4),  $S_n \neq C_n$  and  $S_n$  is strongly adjacent to  $C_n$ . Hence,  $S_{n+1} \neq A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$ , and the colored  $n$ -balls appearing in  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  are in  $\{C_n, D, S_n\}$  by Lemma 3.2 (2). By (1),  $C_n$  and  $D$  are in the cycle. Since  $S_n$  is extended to  $A_{n+1}$  and  $B_{n+1}$ , the degree of  $\overline{D}$  is 3 in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . It is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *For  $n > N_0$ , suppose that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has a cycle whose lift in  $X$  is not contained in  $G$ .*

- (1) *If  $C_n$  is not contained in the cycle, then  $\mathcal{G}_{n+l}$  has a cycle containing  $C_{n+l}$  for some  $l \geq 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $C_n = S_n$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  has a cycle containing  $C_{n+1}$  and  $C_{n+1} \neq S_{n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma 3.6,  $S_n, A_n, B_n, C_n$  are all distinct. By Lemma 3.2 (3) and (4),  $A_n, B_n, C_n$  are strongly adjacent to  $S_n$ . Thus,  $A_n, B_n$  are in the cycle. By Lemma 3.2 (1),  $A_{n+1}$  and  $\overline{A_n}$  are weakly adjacent and  $B_{n+1}$  and  $\overline{B_n}$  are weakly adjacent. Since  $C_n \neq S_n$ , we have  $S_{n+1} \neq A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$ . Thus,  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  are strongly adjacent to  $S_{n+1}$ . In  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ , there is a cycle

$$[S_{n+1}A_{n+1}\overline{A_n} \cdots \overline{B_n}B_{n+1}S_{n+1}].$$

If  $C_{n+1}$  is equal to one of  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}, S_{n+1}$ , then we are done. If  $C_{n+1}$  is not equal to  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}, S_{n+1}$ , then we can apply the above argument again. For each  $l \geq n$ , the number of the vertices of the subgraph of  $\mathcal{G}_l$  starting from  $S_l$  toward  $C_l$  is a decreasing function on  $l$ . Hence, the above process stops.

(2) Suppose that  $C_n = S_n$ . By Lemma 3.6, the cycle is represented by  $[S_n A_n \cdots B_n S_n]$ , and  $S_n$  is not equal to  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ . Thus,  $\overline{A_n}$  is not equal to  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}, S_{n+1}$ . We have either  $S_{n+1} = A_{n+1}$  or  $S_{n+1} = B_{n+1}$ , say  $S_{n+1} = A_{n+1}$ . Thus, in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ , there is a cycle

$$[S_{n+1} \overline{A_n} \cdots \overline{B_n} B_{n+1} S_{n+1}].$$

By Lemma 3.6 (2),  $\overline{A_n}$  is equal to  $C_{n+1}$ . Thus,  $C_{n+1} \neq S_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** (1) Let  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ . If there is a ball  $D$  which is weakly adjacent to  $S_n$  and different from  $A_n, B_n, C_n$ , and  $S_n$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  has a cycle containing  $\overline{D}$ .

(2) Any cyclic quasi-Sturmian coloring is of bounded type.

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma 3.3 (2),  $S_n \neq C_n$ . Thus,  $S_{n+1} \neq A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$ . By Lemma 3.2 (3), both  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  are strongly adjacent to  $S_{n+1}$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3 (1),  $D$  is strongly adjacent to  $S_n$ . Thus,  $\overline{D}$  is strongly adjacent to  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$ . Hence,  $[S_{n+1} A_{n+1} \overline{D} B_{n+1} S_{n+1}]$  is a cycle in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ .

(2) Let us prove that a cyclic quasi-Sturmian coloring is of bounded type. By Lemma 3.7, there is  $n$  such that  $S_n \neq C_n$  and  $C_n$  is in a cycle

$$[S_n C_n D \cdots E S_n]$$

of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for some colored  $n$ -balls  $D, E$ . Note that  $D$  and  $C_n$  are strongly adjacent to each other. By Lemma 3.6 (2),  $E$  can be  $A_n$  or  $B_n$ , say  $A_n$ . Since  $S_n \neq C_n$ ,  $A_{n+1}$  and  $B_{n+1}$  are strongly adjacent to  $S_{n+1}$  respectively. By Lemma 3.2 (1),  $A_{n+1}$  is strongly adjacent to  $\overline{A_n}$ . Thus, a cycle of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  is represented by

$$[A_{n+1} S_{n+1} \overline{D} \cdots \overline{A_n} A_{n+1}].$$

Since  $\overline{D}$  cannot be  $B_{n+1}$ ,  $\overline{D} = C_{n+1}$ . Note that if a colored  $(n+1)$ -ball  $F$  is contained in the cycle of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ , then  $\underline{F}$  is in the cycle of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Now, we have  $S_{n+1} \neq C_{n+1}$  and a cycle containing  $C_{n+1}$ . Thus, we can apply above argument for all  $m > n$ .

Since  $B_{n+1}$  is not equal to  $A_{n+1}, S_{n+1}, C_{n+1}$ , we conclude that  $B_{n+1}$  is outside of the cycle of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . If an extension of  $B_{n+1}$  to the colored  $m$ -ball is in the cycle of  $\mathcal{G}_m$ , then  $B_{n+1}$  is in the cycle of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . It is a contradiction. Thus, any extension of  $B_{n+1}$  is not special. Therefore, for a vertex  $u$  such that  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(u)] = B_{n+1}$ , the vertex  $u$  is of bounded type.  $\square$

### 3.2. Acyclic quasi-Sturmian colorings.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $\phi$  be an acyclic quasi-Sturmian coloring. If  $A_N = S_N = C_N$  for some  $N > N_0 + 1$ , then  $A_n = S_n = C_n$  for all  $N_0 + 1 \leq n < N$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A_N = S_N = C_N$  for some  $N > N_0 + 1$ . A colored  $n$ -ball which is weakly adjacent to  $S_n$  is one of  $A_n, C_n, B_n, S_n$  for  $n \geq N_0 + 1$  by Proposition 3.8. Thus,  $S_N$  is weakly adjacent to only  $B_N$  and itself. Since  $|\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_n| \geq 3$  for  $n > 1$ , there exists a colored  $N$ -ball  $D$  weakly adjacent to  $B_N$ . The special  $(N-1)$ -ball  $S_{N-1}$  is weakly adjacent to  $\underline{D}$ . By  $C_{N-1} = S_{N-1}$ , we have that  $\underline{D} = A_{N-1}$  or  $\underline{D} = B_{N-1}$ . If  $\underline{D} = A_{N-1}$ , then  $A_N = S_N$  is

not weakly adjacent to  $A_{N-1}$ . Hence,  $\overline{D} = B_{N-1}$  and  $A_{N-1} = C_{N-1} = S_{N-1}$ . By the same argument,  $A_n = S_n = C_n$  for all  $N_0 + 1 \leq n < N$ .  $\square$

We choose  $A_n$  as  $S_n = C_n = A_n$  if there exists  $n > N_0$  such that  $S_n = C_n$  is identical to  $A_n$  or  $B_n$ . Define

$$K = \min\{n > N_0 : A_n, S_n, C_n \text{ are not all identical}\}$$

as in [7]. Note that  $K$  may be infinity.

For an acyclic quasi-Sturmian coloring, for each  $n \geq K$ , neither  $A_n, S_n, C_n$  nor  $B_n, S_n, C_n$  are identical. Therefore, the colored  $n$ -balls  $S_n, A_n, B_n, C_n$  satisfy one of the following conditions.

- (I)  $S_n, C_n$  are distinct, but one of  $S_n, C_n$  is identical to  $A_n$  or  $B_n$ .
- (II)  $S_n, A_n, B_n, C_n$  are all distinct.
- (III)  $S_n, A_n, B_n$  are distinct, but  $S_n = C_n$ .

Case (I) is divided into three subcases:

- (I-a)  $A_n, B_n, S_n$  are distinct and  $C_n = A_n$  or  $B_n$ ,
- (I-b)  $A_n, B_n, C_n$  are distinct and  $S_n = A_n$  or  $B_n$ ,
- (I-c)  $A_n = S_n, B_n = C_n$  are distinct,

By Lemma 3.6 we deduce that  $S_n$  is a vertex of degree 3 in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for Case (II). But for Case (I) and (III),  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is a linear graph and  $S_n$  is of degree 1 or 2.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  corresponds to Case (I). Then  $S_n$  is a vertex of degree 2 or 1 in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is a linear graph. Let  $m$  be the number of vertices connected to  $S_n$  through  $C_n$ . Note that  $m \geq 1$  since  $C_n$  is not identical to  $S_n$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{G}_{n+k}$  belongs to Case (II) for all  $0 < k < m$  and either  $\mathcal{G}_{n+m}$  belongs to Case (I) or  $\mathcal{G}_{n+m}$  belongs to Case (III) and  $\mathcal{G}_{n+m+1}$  belongs to Case (I).*

*Proof.* If  $S_n$  and  $C_n$  are distinct, then  $\mathcal{G}_n$  belongs to Case (I) or (II). We deduce that  $S_{n+1}, A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  are distinct. If  $C_n$  is of degree 2, then there exists  $D$  neighboring  $C_n$  which is not  $S_n$ . Thus  $\overline{D}$  is weakly adjacent to  $S_{n+1}$  but different from  $S_{n+1}, A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$ , which is implied that  $\overline{D} = C_{n+1}$ , which corresponds Case (II). In this case the number of vertices connected to  $S_{n+1}$  through  $C_{n+1}$  decrease by 1.

If  $C_n$  is of degree 1, then  $m = 1$ . In this case,  $S_{n+1}$  is connected to only  $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$  two extensions of  $S_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ , which implies that  $C_{n+1} = S_{n+1}$ , i.e., Case (III) or  $C_{n+1} = A_{n+1}$  or  $B_{n+1}$ , i.e., Case (I-a).

If  $\mathcal{G}_n$  belongs to Case (III), then  $S_n = C_n$ , thus we have either  $S_{n+1} = A_{n+1}$  or  $S_{n+1} = B_{n+1}$ , say  $S_{n+1} = A_{n+1}$ . Since  $\overline{A_n}$  is weakly adjacent to  $A_{n+1} = S_{n+1}$  and  $\overline{A_n}$  cannot be  $A_{n+1}$  nor  $B_{n+1}$ , we deduce that  $C_{n+1} = \overline{A_n}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  belongs to the Case (I-b).

We remark that Case (I-c) can happen only for  $n = K$ .  $\square$




FIGURE 3. The evolution of  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$  along the path (I)  $\rightarrow$  (II)  $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$  (II)  $\rightarrow$  (I). The vertex  $\circ$  represents either  $S_{n_k}$  or the extensions of  $S_{n_k}$ .

We denote by  $(n_k)$  the subsequence for which  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$  is of Case (I). The evolution of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  from  $n = n_k$  to  $n = n_{k+1}$  is shown in Figure 3.

Compare with Sturmian words (see Figure 1): there are infinitely many  $n$ 's such that the Rauzy graph has disjoint two cycles starting from a common bi-special word (see e.g. [1]). It corresponds to the factor graph  $\mathcal{G}_n$  belongs to Case (I).

#### 4. QUASI-STURMIAN COLORINGS OF BOUNDED TYPE

In this section, we investigate a necessary and sufficient condition for a quotient graph to be a quotient graph of a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type.

Let  $x$  be a vertex of the quotient graph  $X$ . For the two lifts  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{x}'$  of  $x$ ,  $[\mathcal{B}_n(\tilde{x})] = [\mathcal{B}_n(\tilde{x}')]$  for all  $n$ . Then,  $\tau(\tilde{x}) = \tau(\tilde{x}')$ . By abuse of notation, define  $[\mathcal{B}_n(x)]$  as a class  $[\mathcal{B}_n(\tilde{x})]$ . Define the maximal type  $\tau(x)$  of  $x$  as  $\tau(\tilde{x})$ .

Recall the examples in Section 2. Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  be the quotient graph for each of them. We obtain a periodic edge-indexed subgraph  $X'$  of  $X$  by removing a finite subgraph  $G$  in Proposition 2.4. Then, a lift of  $(X', i|_{E_{X'}})$  can be extended to a periodic coloring of a tree. It is natural to guess that the property holds for every quasi-Sturmian coloring.

From now on, let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type. By Proposition 2.4, the quotient graph  $X$  of  $(T, \phi)$  is the graph in Figure 2. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be the union of lifts of  $G$ . A connected component of  $T - \tilde{G}$  is a lift of  $(X - G, i|_{E(X-G)})$ . Thus, all connected components of  $T - \tilde{G}$  are equivalent to each other. Let  $Y$  be a connected component of  $T - \tilde{G}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $u, v$  are vertices of  $Y$  with  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(v)]$ , where  $N_1$  is as in (2.1), then we have  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1+1}(u)] = [\mathcal{B}_{N_1+1}(v)]$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case of  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(u)] = S_{N_1}$ . Every vertex of maximal type  $N_1$  is the center of either  $A_{N_1+1}$  or  $B_{N_1+1}$ , say  $A_{N_1+1}$ . Since vertices of  $X - G$  are of maximal type bigger than  $N_1$ , if  $u$  is a vertex of  $Y$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(u)] = S_{N_1}$ , then  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1+1}(u)] = B_{N_1+1}$ .  $\square$

We define an edge-indexed graph  $\mathcal{Z} = (Z, i_Z)$  as follows : the vertices of  $Z$  are of the form  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(u)]$  for a vertex  $u$  in  $Y$  or  $X - G$ , and any two vertices  $D, E$  of  $Z$  are adjacent if  $D$  and  $E$  are weakly adjacent. The index  $i_Z(D, E)$  is the number of  $E$  which are adjacent to  $D$ . The



indices are well-defined by Lemma 4.1. Since any vertex in  $X - G$  is adjacent to at most two vertices besides itself, the graph  $Z$  is a line segment or a cycle.

**Lemma 4.2.** *A restriction of  $\phi$  on any connected component of  $T - \tilde{G}$  has a periodic extension to  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be the vertex of  $Y$ . Define a coloring  $\psi_k$  on  $\mathcal{B}_k(u)$  with the alphabet  $VZ = \{[\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(v)] \mid v \in Y\}$  recursively: Put  $\psi_0(u) = [\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(u)] \in VZ$ . Define  $\psi_{k+1}(v) = \psi_k(v)$  for  $v \in \mathcal{B}_k(u)$ . Choose  $w \in VT$  with  $\mathbf{d}(u, w) = k$  and let  $w_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 0, \dots, d-1$ ) be the neighboring vertices of  $w$  with  $\mathbf{d}(u, w_\alpha) = k+1$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{d}(u, w_0) = k-1$ . We define  $\psi_{k+1}(w_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$  in the following ways.

If  $w \notin Y$ , then  $w_\alpha \notin Y$  for all  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Let  $D_0 = \psi_k(w_0)$  and  $D_j$  be satisfying  $i_Z(\psi_k(w), D_j) > 0$  with  $j = 0, 1, 2$  or  $j = 0, 1$ . We assign  $\psi_{k+1}(w_\alpha)$  for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq d-1$  as

$$\psi_{k+1}(w_\alpha) = D_\ell \text{ for } \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} i_Z(\psi_k(w), D_j) \leq \alpha \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} i_Z(\psi_k(w), D_j) - 1.$$

Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad i_Z(\psi_{k+1}(w), D) = \#\{0 \leq \alpha \leq d \mid \psi_{k+1}(w_\alpha) = D\}$$

for each  $D \in VZ$ .

If  $w \in Y$ , then we put  $\psi_{k+1}(w_\alpha) = [\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(w_\alpha)]$  for all  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Using the fact that  $Y$  is an infinite subgraph of  $T$ , Lemma 4.1 implies that there exists a vertex  $v$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_{N_1+1}(v) \subset Y$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1+1}(v)] = [\mathcal{B}_{N_1+1}(w)]$ , thus  $\psi_{k+1}(w_\alpha) = [\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(w_\alpha)] \in VZ$  and (4.1) is satisfied.

Since  $\psi_{k+\ell}|_{\mathcal{B}_k(u)} = \psi_k$  for  $\ell \geq 1$ , the coloring  $\psi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_k$  on  $T$  with alphabet  $VZ$  exists. By (4.1), we deduce that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the quotient graph of  $\psi$ . Since  $\psi(u) = [\mathcal{B}_{N_1}(u)]$  on  $Y$ , by the coloring which gives the color of the center of  $\psi(u)$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  be the quotient graph of a coloring  $(T, \phi)$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The coloring  $\phi$  is a quasi-Sturmian coloring of bounded type.*
- (2) *There is a finite connected subgraph  $G$  of  $X$  such that  $X - G$  is a connected infinite ray and any connected component of  $T - \tilde{G}$  has a periodic extension to  $T$  where  $\tilde{G}$  is the union of lifts of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, (1) implies (2). Now we assume (2) holds. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the alphabet of  $\phi$ . Let  $\tilde{x}$  be a lift of  $x \in VX$ . Define a new coloring  $\psi$  with an alphabet  $\mathcal{A} \sqcup VG$  as

$$\psi(v) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } v = \tilde{x} \text{ for some } x \in VG, \\ \phi(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u)]_\psi$  a  $\psi$ -colored  $n$ -ball. As ever  $[\mathcal{B}_n(u)]$  means a  $\phi$ -colored  $n$ -ball. A map  $\mathbb{B}_\psi(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_\phi(n)$  which defined by  $[\mathcal{B}_n(x)]_\psi \mapsto [\mathcal{B}_n(x)]$  is surjective. It implies  $b_\phi(n) \leq b_\psi(n)$ .



Since  $X$  is not a finite graph,  $b_\phi(n)$  is strictly increasing. Thus, it is enough to show that  $b_\psi$  is linear.

Let us denote by  $\mathbf{d}(x, G) = \min\{\mathbf{d}(x, g) : g \in VG\}$ . Fix a positive integer  $n$ . If  $x$  be a vertex such that  $\mathbf{d}(x, G) \leq n$ , then  $[\mathcal{B}_n(x)]_\psi \neq [\mathcal{B}_n(y)]_\psi$  for any other  $y \in VX$ . If  $x$  be a vertex such that  $\mathbf{d}(x, G) > n + 1$ , then  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x)]_\psi = [\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x)]$ . Thus,  $[\mathcal{B}_n(x)]$  has the unique extension to a colored  $(n + 1)$ -ball. Since  $X$  is not finite,  $\psi$  has at least one special  $n$ -ball for each  $n$ . Thus, for  $x$  such that  $\mathbf{d}(x, G) = n + 1$ ,  $[\mathcal{B}_n(x)]$  is the unique special  $n$ -ball and it has exactly two extensions to colored  $(n + 1)$ -balls. It means that  $b_\psi(n) = n + |\mathcal{A}| + |VG|$  for all  $n$ .  $\square$

## 5. RECURRENCE FUNCTIONS OF COLORINGS OF TREES

In this section, we will extend the notion of recurrence functions  $R(n), R''(n)$  for words to colorings of trees. We will show that the quasi-Sturmian colorings of trees satisfy a certain inequality between  $R''(n)$  and  $b(n)$ . We also explain that the existence of  $R(n)$  is related to unboundedness of the quasi-Sturmian colorings of trees.

Let us briefly recall recurrence functions of words (see Section 10.9 in [2] for definitions and details). Recurrence functions are important objects related to symbolic dynamics. Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet. Let  $\Sigma^*$  be the set of finite words over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^\mathbb{N}$  be the set of infinite words over  $\Sigma$ . For  $\mathbf{u} \in \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^\mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $F_n(\mathbf{u})$  the set of factors of length  $n$  of  $\mathbf{u}$ .

A recurrence function  $R_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$  is defined as the smallest integer  $m \geq 1$  such that every factor of length  $m$  contains all factors of length  $n$ . It is known that such an integer  $R_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$  exists for all  $n$  if and only if the word is *uniformly recurrent*, i.e. any subword of the word infinitely occurs with bounded gaps. Another recurrence function  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$  is defined as

$$R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid F_n(\mathbf{u}) = F_n(\omega) \text{ for some } \omega \in F_m(\mathbf{u})\},$$

i.e. it is the length of the smallest factor of  $\mathbf{u}$  that contains all factors of length  $n$  of  $\mathbf{u}$ . From the definition, the following fact immediately holds.

**Remark 5.1.** For all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \geq p_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + n - 1$  for any word  $\mathbf{u}$ .

Recall that a word  $\mathbf{u}$  is said to have *grouped factors* if, for all  $n \geq 0$ , it satisfies  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = p_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + n - 1$ . If there is  $n_0$  such that the equality holds for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we say that  $\mathbf{u}$  has *ultimately grouped factors*. Cassaigne suggested some conditions that guarantee the equality.

**Theorem ([3]).** A word  $\mathbf{u}$  is Sturmian if and only if  $R''_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = 2n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . A uniformly recurrent word on a binary alphabet has ultimately grouped factors if and only if it is periodic or quasi-Sturmian.

We want an analogous statement for quasi-Sturmian colorings of trees. Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring of a tree and  $\mathcal{X} = (X, i)$  be the quotient graph of  $(T, \phi)$ . We define  $R_\phi(n)$  as the smallest radius  $m$  such that every colored  $n$ -ball of  $\phi$  occurs in  $[\mathcal{B}_m(x)]$  for all  $x \in VT$ . We define  $R''_\phi(n)$  as the smallest radius  $m$  such that every colored  $n$ -ball of  $\phi$  occurs in  $[\mathcal{B}_m(x)]$  for some  $x \in VT$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A coloring of a tree  $(T, \phi)$  is said to be recurrent if, for any compact subtree  $T'$ , every colored ball appears in  $T - T'$ . A coloring of a tree is said to be uniformly recurrent if  $R_\phi(n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring of a tree. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $(T, \phi)$  is of unbounded type.
- (2)  $(T, \phi)$  is uniformly recurrent.
- (3) For any colored ball, it appears in  $T - \pi^{-1}(S)$  for any finite set  $S \subset X$ .

*Proof.* (1) implies (2) : Suppose  $(T, \phi)$  is of unbounded type. Let  $n \geq N_0$ . For arbitrary  $v \in VT$ , let  $D = [\mathcal{B}_n(v)]$ . Consider  $E = [\mathcal{B}_n(w)]$  which is distinct with  $D$ . Since  $\Lambda_w$  is infinite, there exists the minimal number  $m = m_E \geq n$  in  $\Lambda_w$ . Note that  $m$  depends only on  $E$  and not on  $w$ .

Let  $F^1 = [\mathcal{B}_m(v)]$ . It is not  $S_m$ . Let  $[F^1 F^2 \cdots F^l S_m]$  be the shortest path from  $F^1$  to  $S_m$  in  $\mathcal{G}_m$ . For arbitrary colored  $m$ -balls  $F$  and  $F'$ , if  $F \neq S_m$ , then  $F$  has the unique extension. Thus, if  $F$  is weakly adjacent to  $F'$ , then  $F$  is strongly adjacent to  $F'$ . Therefore, there is a path  $[v - v_2 - v_3 - \cdots - v_l - w']$  in  $T$  such that  $[\mathcal{B}_m(v_i)] = F^i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, l$ , and  $[\mathcal{B}_m(w')] = S_m$ .

Since  $S_m$  occurs in  $[\mathcal{B}_{m+l}(v)]$ ,  $E$  occurs in  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+l}(v)]$ . Since  $l \leq |V\mathcal{G}_m| = m + c$ ,  $E$  occurs in  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+m+c}(v)]$ . Define  $m_D = 0$ . Every colored  $n$ -ball occurs in  $[\mathcal{B}_{n+M+c}(v)]$  where  $M = \max\{m_E : E \in \mathbb{B}_\phi(n)\}$ . Thus,  $R_\phi(n) \leq n + M + c$ .

(2) implies (3) : Suppose that  $R_\phi(n)$  exists for all  $n$ . Since the quotient graph  $X$  is infinite, for any finite  $S \subset X$ , there is  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_{R_\phi(n)}(x) \subset T - \pi^{-1}(S)$ .

(3) implies (1) : Assume that  $(T, \phi)$  is of bounded type. Let  $v$  be a vertex of maximal type  $N_1$ . By Proposition 2.4, all vertices in  $X - G$  is of maximal type larger than  $N_1$ . Therefore,  $[\mathcal{B}_{N_1+1}(v)]$  does not appear in  $T - \pi^{-1}(G)$ .  $\square$

Recall that we denote by  $\mathcal{Z}$  the quotient graph of  $T - \tilde{G}$  with respect to the coloring  $\phi$  and denote by  $\mathbf{r}(x, G)$

$$\mathbf{r}(x, G) = \max\{\mathbf{d}(x, y) : y \in VG\}.$$

**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a quasi-Sturmian coloring.

- (1) Let  $\phi$  be of unbounded type. As in Proposition 3.10, the factor graph  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is of Case (I) on  $n = n_k$ . Then, we have

$$R''_\phi(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{b_\phi(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k.$$

- (2) Let  $\phi$  be of bounded type. Let  $x_{N_1}$  be the vertex of  $X$  which is of maximal type  $N_1$ .
  - (a) If  $Z$  is acyclic, then we have

$$R''_\phi(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_\phi(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k.$$

(b) If  $Z$  is cyclic, then we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_{\phi}(n) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_1.$$

*Proof.* (1) In the case of a quasi-Sturmian coloring of unbounded type, the evolution of the factor graph follows Proposition 3.10. Then, we can choose an infinite sequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$  is in Case (I) of Proposition 3.10. For any colored  $n_k$ -balls  $D$  and  $E$ , assume that  $D$  is weakly adjacent to  $E$ . If  $D$  is not a special ball, then  $D$  has a unique extension. Hence,  $D$  is strongly adjacent to  $E$ . If  $D = S_{n_k}$ , then assume that  $D$  is weakly adjacent to  $E$  and  $F$ . Since one of  $E$  and  $F$  is  $C_{n_k}$ , say  $E$ ,  $D$  is strongly adjacent to  $E$  by Lemma 3.2. Hence, there exist vertices  $v, u$  and  $w$  in  $T$  with  $\mathbf{d}(v, u) = \mathbf{d}(v, w) = 1$  such that  $D = [\mathcal{B}_{n_k}(v)]$ ,  $E = [\mathcal{B}_{n_k}(u)]$ , and  $F = [\mathcal{B}_{n_k}(w)]$  in  $T$ . Therefore, We can take a path with length  $b_{\phi}(n_k) - 1$  consisting of centers of all the colored  $n_k$ -balls in  $T$ .

Thus, we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n_k) \leq n_k + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Let  $D_{n_k}, E_{n_k}$  be the colored  $n_k$ -balls which are the end points of the graph  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$ . The distance between  $D_{n_k}$  and  $E_{n_k}$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$  is  $b_{\phi}(n_k) - 1$ , so for any vertices  $z, z'$  such that  $[\mathcal{B}_{n_k}(z)] = D_{n_k}$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_{n_k}(z')] = E_{n_k}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}(z, z') \geq b_{\phi}(n_k) - 1$ . Thus, it implies

$$R''_{\phi}(n_k) \geq n_k + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Hence, we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n_k) = n_k + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Now, let us consider the case  $n_{k-1} < n < n_k$ . Then,  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is of Case (II) or Case (III). Let us define two colored  $n$ -balls  $D_n$  and  $E_n$ . If  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is of Case (II), then  $D_n$  and  $E_n$  is defined as the colored  $n$ -balls which are the end points of two paths starting from  $S_n$  to  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , respectively. If  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is of Case (III), then  $D_n$  and  $E_n$  is defined as the end points of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , respectively. Now, let us compute the distance between  $D_n$  and  $E_n$ .

Let  $D, E$  be colored  $n$ -balls. If  $D \neq S_n$  is weakly adjacent to  $E$ , then  $D$  is strongly adjacent to  $E$ . However, if  $D = S_n$ , then  $D$  is strongly adjacent to either  $A_n$  and  $C_n$  or  $B_n$  and  $C_n$ . If  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is of Case (II) for all  $n_{k-1} < n < n_k$ , then  $\mathbf{d}(D_n, E_n) \geq b_{\phi}(n_k) - 1$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is of Case (III) only for  $n = n_k - 1$  and it is of Case (II) for  $n \neq n_k - 1$ . Then,  $\mathbf{d}(D_n, E_n) \geq b_{\phi}(n_k - 1) - 1 + 1$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{d}(D_n, E_n) \geq b_{\phi}(n_k) - 1$ , which implies

$$R''_{\phi}(n) \geq n + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor$$

for  $n_{k-1} < n < n_k$ . On the other hand, since each  $n$ -ball is the restriction of an  $n_k$ -ball and there exists the path with length  $b_{\phi}(n_k) - 1$  consisting of centers of all the colored  $n_k$ -balls in  $T$ , we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n) \leq n_k - (n_k - n) + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor = n + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor$$

for  $n_{k-1} < n < n_k$ . Thus, we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

for  $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$ .

(2)-(a) Let  $Z$  be acyclic. Assume that  $n \geq N_1$ . The evolution of the factor graph  $\mathcal{G}_n$  follows Proposition 3.10. Hence, we can consider the same argument with (1). The difference between (1) and (2)-(a) is the existence of the compact part  $G$ . Now, we can take a finite graph  $G'$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$  isomorphic to  $G$ . Since every vertex in  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k} - G'$  has at most degree 2, the maximal distance between any two vertices in  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$  is  $b_{\phi}(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G)$ .

Thus

$$R''_{\phi}(n_k) \geq n_k + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_{\phi}(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor.$$

Now, we can choose a path  $P$  in  $T$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$ , i.e. there exists a bijection  $f : VP \rightarrow V\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$  such that two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in  $P$  if and only if  $f(u)$  (respectively  $f(v)$ ) is weakly adjacent to  $f(v)$  (respectively  $f(u)$ ) in  $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$ . This is because weak adjacency implies strong adjacency by the same argument with (1). Thus,

$$R''_{\phi}(n_k) \leq n_k + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_{\phi}(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor.$$

Hence,

$$R''_{\phi}(n_k) = n_k + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_{\phi}(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor.$$

Now, let us consider the case  $n_{k-1} < n < n_k$ . Then, we can compute  $R''_{\phi}(n)$  by the same argument with (1). The difference between (1) and (2)-(a) is also the existence of the compact part  $G$ . Thus,

$$R''_{\phi}(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_{\phi}(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor$$

for  $n_{k-1} < n < n_k$ . Hence, we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_{\phi}(n_k) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor$$

for  $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$ .

(2)-(b) Let  $Z$  be cyclic. Assume that  $n \geq N_1$ . The special  $n$ -ball is of degree 3 in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Moreover,  $S_n$  is uniquely of degree 3 not overlapping the finite graph  $G$  in Proposition 2.12. The cycle connecting to  $S_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is also unique except for cycles in  $G$ . Since weak adjacency implies strong adjacency, we can take a finite graph  $G''$  in  $T$  isomorphic to  $G$ , i.e. there exists a bijection  $g : VG'' \rightarrow VG$  such that two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in  $G''$  if and only if two vertices  $g(u)$  and  $g(v)$  are adjacent in  $G$ . To contain all of the colored  $n$ -balls, it is sufficient for an  $R''_{\phi}(n)$ -ball to contain the finite graph  $G''$  and the path  $[A_n \dots C_n S_n B_n \dots [\mathcal{B}_n(\tilde{x}_{N_1})]]$ , where a vertex  $\tilde{x}_{N_1}$  is a lifting of  $x_{N_1}$  to  $T$ . Since the length of the path is  $b_{\phi}(n) - |G|$ , we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b_{\phi}(n) - |G| + \mathbf{r}(x_{N_1}, G) + 1) \right\rfloor$$

when the equality holds if and only if  $G$  is linear.  $\square$

We note that the converse of the proposition does not hold. Consider a sequence of words

$$X_k = \begin{cases} aL_k a L_k b L_k a, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ bL_k a L_k b L_k b, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where  $L_k$  is given by  $L_1 = \varepsilon$ , the empty word and  $L_{k+1} = L_k a L_k$  for odd  $k$ ,  $L_{k+1} = L_k b L_k$  for even  $k$  recursively. Then  $L_k$  is a palindrome and we get

$$X_1 = aaba, \quad X_2 = baaabab, \quad X_3 = aabaaabababaa, \quad \dots$$

Since  $X_k$  is a factor of  $X_{k+1}$ , we have a coloring  $\phi$  of the 2-regular tree by the limit of  $X_k$ . Let  $n_k = |L_k a L_k| = 2^k - 1$ . Then we can check that for  $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$ , we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n) - n = \left\lfloor \frac{|X_k|}{2} \right\rfloor$$

and

$$b_{\phi}(n_k) = |X_k|.$$

Thus, we have

$$R''_{\phi}(n) = n + \left\lfloor \frac{b_{\phi}(n_k)}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aberkane. Words whose complexity satisfies  $\lim p(n)/n = 1$ . *Theoretical Computer Science*. **307**(1) (2003), 31–46.
- [2] J. Allouche and J. Shallit. *Automatic sequences: theory, applications, generalizations*. Cambridge university press, 2003.
- [3] J. Cassaigne. Sequences with grouped factors. *Developments in Language Theory III (DLT'97)*. 1998, 211–222.
- [4] N. Pytheas Fogg. *Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1794)*. Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [5] M. Morse and G. Hedlund, Symbolic dynamics II. Sturmian trajectories. *American Journal of Mathematics*. **62**(1) (1940), 1–42.
- [6] D.H. Kim and S. Lim, Subword complexity and Sturmian colorings of regular trees. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. **35**(2) (2015), 461–481.
- [7] D.H. Kim and S. Lim. Continued fraction algorithm for Sturmian colorings of trees. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. 2017, to appear.
- [8] M. Lothaire. *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 90)*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [9] G. Rauzy, Suites à termes dans un alphabet fini. *Séminaire de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux*. 1982–1983, 1–16.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY-SEOUL, 30 PILDONG-RO 1-GIL, JUNGGU, SEOUL, 04620

*E-mail address:* kim2010@dongguk.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, KWANAK-RO 1, KWANAK-GU, SEOUL, 08826

*E-mail address:* seulbee.lee@snu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, KWANAK-RO 1, KWANAK-GU, SEOUL, 08826

*E-mail address:* seonhee.lim@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, KWANAK-RO 1, KWANAK-GU, SEOUL, 08826

*E-mail address:* deokwon.sim@snu.ac.kr