Learning Discriminative Representation with Signed Laplacian Restricted Boltzmann Machine
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Abstract— We investigate the potential of a restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM) for discriminative representation learning. By imposing the class information preservation constraints on the hidden layer of the RBM, we propose a Signed Laplacian Restricted Boltzmann Machine (SLRBM) for supervised discriminative representation learning. The model utilizes the label information and preserves the global data locality of data points simultaneously. Experimental results on the benchmark data set show the effectiveness of our method.

1 Introduction

A restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM) [2] is a two layer neural network with one visible layer and one hidden layer. It consists of $m$ visible units $V = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m)$ to represent observable data and $n$ hidden units $H = (h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_n)$ to capture dependencies between observed variables. The RBM has only connections between the layer of hidden and visible variables but not between two variables of the same layer. Accordingly, the random variables $(V, H)$ take values $(v, h) \in \{0, 1\}^{m+n}$ and the joint probability distribution under the model is given by the Gibbs distribution with the energy function:

$$E(v, h) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} h_i v_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j v_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j h_j. \tag{1}$$

where $w_{ij}$ is a real valued weight associated with the connection between $v_j$ and $h_i$ and $b_j$ and $c_j$ are real valued bias terms associated with the $i$th visible and the $j$th hidden variable, respectively. $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Here we assume that both the visible and hidden units of the RBM are binary, other types of units can be modeled according to [2].

The RBM has received an increasing amount of interest in recent years because of its excellent ability of unsupervised learning [3], and has been successfully adopted in many applications, such as image classification [4], document processing [5], object segmentation [6] and others. More recently, based on the manifold assumption [7, 8], the local geometric structure of data can typically be modeled by constructing the binary signed graph and employing the signed graph Laplacian, we train a new RBM based model, dubbed as the Signed Laplacian Restricted Boltzmann Machine (SLRBM) for learning discriminative representation (Figure 1). The SLRBM utilizes the label information and preserves the global data locality of data points simultaneously. Experimental results on the benchmark data set show the effectiveness of our method.

2 Proposed Method

2.1 Signed Graph Laplacian

The local geometric structure of data can typically be modeled through a nearest neighbor graph [7, 8, 10]. Consider a graph with $N$ vertices to describe the geometric structure of data, where each vertex corresponds to a data point. For each data point $x_i$, we find its $p$ nearest neighbors and put edges between $x_i$ and its neighbors. There are many choices to define the adjacency matrix $\Phi = [\phi_{ij}]_{i,j = 1,2,\cdots,N}$ on the graph $G$. For example, $\phi_{ij}$ can be defined by the Gaussian heat kernel distance, i.e., if nodes $i$ and $j$ are connected, the graph weight is computed as $\phi_{ij} = \exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2/\rho)$, where $\rho$ is the kernel width. Binary weight is another commonly used strategy in which $\phi_{ij} = 1$ if and only if nodes $i$ and $j$ are connected.
by an edge, $\phi_{ij} = 0$, otherwise.

To introduce more discriminative information into the graph construction, a signed graph was recently proposed in [13] which defines a novel adjacency matrix $\Phi$ containing both positive and negative elements. Specifically, the vertices $i$ and $j$ in the graph correspond to the training data points $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$, $x^{(i)}$ and $x^{(j)}$ are connected by a positive edge if they belong to the same class, while they are linked by a negative edge if they come from different classes. Therefore, the elements in the adjacency matrix $\Phi$ are

$$
\phi_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{label}(x^{(i)}) = \text{label}(x^{(j)}); \\
-1, & \text{label}(x^{(i)}) \neq \text{label}(x^{(j)});
\end{cases}
$$

(2)

By defining a diagonal matrix $D$ as $D_{ii} = \sum_{j} \phi_{ij}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the signed graph Laplacian is formulated as accordingly

$$
L = D - \Phi,
$$

(3)

it is easy to verify that $L$ is positive semi-definite.

2.2 SLRBM

Given a data set $\mathcal{X} = \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(N)}\} \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times N}$, its corresponding hidden representations learned by RBM is denoted by $H = \{h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, \ldots, h^{(N)}\} \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times N}$. According to [3], the performance of structure preservation in the hidden representation space (i.e. the smoothness of the hidden representation) can be measured by the following term:

$$
\mathcal{J}(H) = \sum_{ij} \phi_{ij} \| h^{(i)} - h^{(j)} \|^2,
$$

(4)

where the pairwise Euclidean distance $\| h^{(i)} - h^{(j)} \|^2$ is used to measure the dissimilarity between $h^{(i)}$ and $h^{(j)}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

By minimizing [4], we expect that if two data points $x^{(i)}$ and $x^{(j)}$ come from the same class (i.e. $\phi_{ij} = 1$), $h^{(i)}$ and $h^{(j)}$ are also close to each other, and vice versa. By incorporating the signed Laplacian regularizer [4] with the original RBM energy function [10] we can get the energy function of SLRBM. Specifically, the sample-wise energy function of our model is defined as follows:

$$
F(v^{(i)}, h^{(i)}) = E(v^{(i)}, h^{(i)}) + \lambda \sum_j \phi_{ij} \| h^{(i)} - h^{(j)} \|^2.
$$

(5)

where $\lambda \geq 0$ is the regularization trade-off parameter which controls the smoothness of hidden representations.

Define $\delta^{(i)} = \sum_j \phi_{ij} (h^{(i)} - 2h^{(j)})$. Then, the conditional probabilities for each visible and hidden unit given the others are

$$
p(h_t^{(i)} = 1|v^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{\sum_r W_{tr} v_r^{(i)} + c_t - \delta^{(i)}};
$$

$$
p(v_t^{(i)} = 1|h^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{\sum_s W_{si} h_s^{(i)} + b_t},
$$

(6)

(7)

where $\delta_t = \lambda \sum_j \phi_{ij} (1 - 2h_t^{(j)})$.

The gradient of $\theta = \{W, b, c\}$ can be computed using the contrastive divergence (CD) [14] algorithm accordingly. Finally, the network parameter $\theta$ is updated using the standard gradient ascent strategy.

2.3 Relation to Other Methods

It is easy to verify that the signed graph Laplacian regularizer $\mathcal{J}(H) = \sum_{ij} \phi_{ij} \| h^{(i)} - h^{(j)} \|^2 = 2\text{Trace}(HLH^\top)$, which implies that minimizing [4] is a standard graph embedding problem formulated in [12]. Therefore, the regularizer $\mathcal{J}(H)$ essentially provides a manifold separation over the graph.

According to the patch alignment framework proposed in [15], various dimension reduction algorithms, such as [7] [16], can be summarized into a one unified formulation. Following the tricks in [13] [15], and let $\phi(i)$ denote the $i$th column of $\Phi$, it is easy to verify that the signed Laplacian can be regarded as a special case of patch alignment framework if

$$
L = \left( D_{ii} - \phi(i)^{\top} \Gamma(i) \right),
$$

(8)

where $\Gamma(i) = \text{diag}(\phi(i), \cdots, \phi(N))$ is a diagonal matrix.

Specifically, the patches of SLRBM are globally constructed by using all the data points in the dataset, while the GraphRBM establishes each patch by a data point and its nearest neighbors. SLRBM preserves the proximity relationship in a patch through the adjacency matrices, which are different from GraphRBM that preserves local coefficients obtained in the original high-dimensional space.

3 Experiment and Discussion

We evaluate the performance of the SLRBM using the benchmark MNIST dataset [17] for the task of discriminative representation learning [4] This MNIST includes 60,000 handwritten digits samples used for training and 10,000 samples for testing, with an image size of $28 \times 28$ binarized grayscale pixels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLRBM ($\lambda = 10^{-2}, \eta = 10^{-2}$)</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassRBM</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBM</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Classification performances for the different models, $\eta$: learning rate.

Figure 1 illustrates the representations learnt by SLRBM. It shows the representations of two different digit ‘0’ (or ‘1’) are almost the same, while the representations of digits ‘0’ and ‘1’ are totally different. We are further interested in knowing whether the representations learnt by SLRBM are useful for representation based image classification [18]. Consequently, the nearest neighbor classifier (1NN) is applied on the learned hidden representations to classify the testing data and compute the error rates. Table 1 shows the classification results of two counterpart models namely RBM, ClassRBM [19] and our SLRBM. Note that we did not conduct the additional supervised fine tuning. The result justifies the importance of considering the signed Laplacian regularizer on RBM for learning discriminative data representation, which demonstrates the motivation of this study: the data points belonging to the same class, not simply originally nearby, are better to be projected together for the subsequent classification; the elements in the adjacency matrix are allowed to take negative values to enable the incorporation of both similarity and dissimilarity information, so that better discriminative performance can be achieved.

\footnote{Experiment setting are as follows: batch size 100, weight decay $10^{-4}$, 100 epochs.}
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