PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Meson correlation functions at high temperature QCD: $SU(2)_{CS}$ symmetry vs. free quarks

C. Rohrhofer[*a], **Y. Aoki**[bc], **G. Cossu**[d], **L.Ya. Glozman**[a], **S. Hashimoto**[be], **S. Prelovsek**[fgh],

[a] *Institute of Physics, University of Graz, 8010 Graz, Austria*
[b] *KEK Theory Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan*
[c] *RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY 11973, USA*
[d] *School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom*
[e] *School of High Energy Accelerator Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (Sokendai), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan*
[f] *Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*
[g] *Jozef Stefan Institute, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*
[h] *Institute für Theoretische Physik, Universität Regensburg, D-93040, Germany*

We report on the progress of understanding spatial correlation functions in high temperature QCD. We study isovector meson operators in $n_f = 2$ QCD using domain-wall fermions on lattices of $N_s = 32$ and different quark masses. It has previously been found that at $\sim 2T_c$ these observables are not only chirally symmetric but in addition approximately $SU(2)_{CS}$ and $SU(4)$ symmetric. In this study we increase the temperature up to $5T_c$ and can identify convergence towards an asymptotically free scenario at very high temperatures.

The 36th Annual International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory - LATTICE2018
22-28 July, 2018
Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

arXiv:1809.00244v2 [hep-lat] 5 Oct 2018

1. Introduction

In a series of numerical experiments, initiated in Ref. [1], some interesting findings have been made [2, 3, 4, 5]: Upon truncating the low-modes of the Dirac operator the spectrum of $J = 1,2$ mesons and light baryons revealed a symmetry larger than the chiral symmetry of QCD, see Fig. 1 for the $J = 1$ results. Apriori one expects that such a truncation would lead to a restoration of chiral and possibly of $U(1)_A$ symmetries in hadrons, if hadrons survive this procedure. Surprisingly larger symmetries, $SU(2)_{CS}$ and $SU(2n_f)$, emerge that contain chiral symmetries of the QCD Lagrangian as subgroups [6, 7]. These symmetries are symmetries of the chromo-electric interaction in QCD, while the chromo-magnetic interaction as well as the quark kinetic term break them. From these results one can conclude that the effects of the chromo-magnetic interaction in QCD are located exclusively in the near-zero modes, while confining chromo-electric interaction is distributed among all modes of the Dirac operator. While chiral symmetries for meson propagators have been analytically shown to arise upon truncation of the low-lying modes, emergence of $SU(2)_{CS}$ and $SU(2n_f)$ is encoded in some matrix elements that include some unknown microscopic dynamics [8]. Given this insight one could expect emergence of the same symmetries in QCD at high temperature without any truncation because the high temperature naturally suppresses the near-zero modes of the Dirac operator.

Recently a full $n_f = 2$ flavor simulation of QCD using chirally-symmetric Domain-wall fermions at temperatures above the chiral restoration temperature has shown that the spatial correlators of isovector $J = 1$ mesons approximately feature $SU(2)_{CS}$ and $SU(2n_f)$ symmetries [9]. In the present study we extend the temperature range up to $\sim 5.5T_c$.




Figure 1: $J = 1$ meson spectrum upon low-mode truncation of the Dirac operator. Figure from Ref. [3].

2. Method

Our lattice setup consists of two mass-degenerate flavors of light quarks, which use the Möbius domain wall fermion discretization [10, 11]. The gauge sector is simulated using the tree-level improved Symanzik action. The quark masses are set to values between 2–15 MeV, and the temperature is set by varying strong coupling β and N_t. More details concerning this set of parameters

$N_s^3 \times N_t$	β	$m_{ud}a$	a [fm]	# configs	L_s	T [MeV]	T/T_c
$32^3 \times 8$	4.10	0.001	0.113	800	24	~ 220	~ 1.2
$32^3 \times 8$	4.18	0.001	0.096	230	12	~ 260	~ 1.5
$32^3 \times 8$	4.30	0.001	0.075	260	12	~ 320	~ 1.8
$32^3 \times 8$	4.37	0.001	0.065	77	12	~ 380	~ 2.2
$32^3 \times 6$	4.30	0.001	0.075	270	12	~ 440	~ 2.5
$32^3 \times 8$	4.50	0.001	0.051	197	12	~ 480	~ 2.7
$32^3 \times 4$	4.30	0.001	0.075	200	10	~ 660	~ 3.8
$32^3 \times 4$	4.50	0.001	0.051	209	10	~ 960	~ 5.5

Table 1: Lattices used in this work: L_s is the length in the fifth dimension of the Möbius domain wall fermions.

can be found in Ref. [12, 13] and Table 1. The (pseudo)critical temperature for this ensembles is $T_c = 175 \pm 5$ MeV. In total this allows us to access temperatures from $T \simeq 1.2 - 5.5 T_c$.

In this work we focus on the local isovector bilinears

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(x) = \bar{q}(x)\vec{\tau}\,\Gamma q(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where Γ denotes any element of the Clifford algebra and $\vec{\tau}$ are the generators in flavor space. For spatial measurements in z-direction we sum over orthogonal lattice slices in x,y,t-direction

$$C_\Gamma(n_z) = \sum_{n_x,n_y,n_t} \langle \mathcal{O}_\Gamma(n_x,n_y,n_z,n_t)\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(\mathbf{0},0)^\dagger \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

The gamma structures are grouped into the following objects:

- Scalar (S, $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$) and Pseudoscalar (PS, $\Gamma = \gamma_5$)
- Vector ($\mathbf{V}$, $\Gamma = \gamma_k$) and Axial-vector ($\mathbf{A}$, $\Gamma = \gamma_k\gamma_5$)
- Tensor-vector ($\mathbf{T}$, $\Gamma = \gamma_k\gamma_3$) and Axial-tensor-vector ($\mathbf{X}$, $\Gamma = \gamma_k\gamma_3\gamma_5$)

as well as the non-propagating $\Gamma = \gamma_3$ and $\Gamma = \gamma_3\gamma_5$. The vector indices take on values $k = 1,2,4$, which we denote as x,y and t respectively. *E.g.* the first component of the Axial-vector would be A_x.

3. Results

Figures 2 and 3 summarize findings for $T \leq 2T_c$ from [9]. Fig. 2 shows that correlation functions of operators connected by both $U(1)_A$ transformations (PS and S, $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{X}$) as well as $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry ($\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{A}$) get degenerate at temperatures $T > 220$ MeV. This is a clear signal for restoration of the flavor chiral symmetry, as well as for at least approximate restoration of $U(1)_A$ symmetry.

However a larger degeneracy is seen. E.g. correlators for V_x and T_t, as well as V_t and T_x get approximately degenerate at $T \sim 380$ MeV. Such degeneracies indicate emergence of the $SU(2)_{CS}$ and $SU(4)$. Fig. 3 shows the ratio of corresponding correlators connected by $U(1)_A$ and $SU(2)_{CS}$




Figure 2: Normalized spatial correlation functions for operators and ensembles up to ~ 380 MeV.

transformations. It can be seen that while the $U(1)_A$ symmetry is "exactly" restored, a degeneracy of correlators connected by the $SU(2)_{CS}$ transformation is only approximate: There are still symmetry breaking effects at the level of 5%.




Figure 3: Detailed ratio for correlation functions of operators connected by $U(1)_A$ as well as $SU(2)_{CS}$ symmetries.

The $SU(2)_{CS}$ ratio of correlators for all available lattice ensembles is shown in Fig. 4. The data therein supports approximate $SU(2)_{CS}$ symmetry in the region around $2T_c$. The interacting correlators approach the free quark limit at very high temperatures $T > 700$ MeV, where $SU(2)_{CS}$ is broken. The same ratio for free quarks, *i.e.* non-interacting quarks, is given for each lattice geometry. The ratio for free quarks is always below 1, which is in agreement with the analytic observation that the free (massless) Dirac Lagrangian $\mathscr{L} = \bar{\Psi} i \partial \Psi$ breaks $SU(2)_{CS}$ symmetry.

In contrast to free quarks, the situation for interacting quarks is a little more intricate. The corresponding Lagrangian $\mathscr{L} = \bar{\Psi} i \not{D} \Psi$ in Minkowski space can be written in terms of color-electric and color-magnetic contributions

$$\mathscr{L} = \bar{\Psi} i \gamma^0 D_0 \Psi + \bar{\Psi} i \gamma^i D_i \Psi. \tag{3.1}$$

The first term that includes the color-electric interaction in this equation, is invariant under $SU(2)_{CS}$ transformations, whereas the second term, with the color-magnetic contributions, is not [7]. Ac-

cordingly, a theory with pure color-electric interaction would show $SU(2)_{CS}$ symmetry in its spectrum and a $SU(2)_{CS}$ ratio of 1.

Finally, we provide a possible interpretation of our results in Fig. 4. For temperatures slightly above the chiral restoration, at 220 MeV, the $SU(2)_{CS}$ ratio is well above 1 (upper left panel), which means that the color-magnetic interaction is large. Increasing the temperature, its role is diminishing and interaction is dominantly color-electric. This can be seen by a $SU(2)_{CS}$ ratio of approximately 1 at $\sim 2T_c$ (upper right panel of Fig. 4). Further increasing temperature, as shown in the lower two panels of Fig. 4, the ratio for interacting quarks approaches the limit for free quarks. Here the dynamics is governed by kinetic contributions, which are the same as for free quarks. The remaining color-electric interaction slowly dies out at very high temperature, and one approaches the asymptotic freedom regime.

This might indicate that elementary objects in QCD at $T \sim 2T_c$ are not free deconfined quarks but rather quarks with a definite chirality connected by the chromo-electric field [6]. This conclusion remains also true in matter with finite chemical potential [14], see Fig. 5.




Figure 4: Detailed ratio for correlation functions of operators connected by $SU(2)_{CS}$. The subplots group lattices of same geometry.




Figure 5: Sketch of the QCD phase diagram: at $T \sim 2T_c$ the spectrum shows $SU(2)_{CS}$ symmetry. Non-vanishing chemical potential has no effect on this property.

Acknowledgments

We thank C. B. Lang for numerous discussions. Support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the grants DK W1203-N16 and P26627-N27 is acknowledged. Numerical calculations are performed on Blue Gene/Q at KEK under its Large Scale Simulation Program (No. 16/17-14), at the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC) and at the cluster of the University of Graz. This work is supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP26247043 and by the Post-K supercomputer project through the Joint Institute for Computational Fundamental Science (JICFuS). S.P. acknowledges support from ARRS (J1-8137, P1-0035) and DFG (SFB/TRR 55).

References

[1] C. B. Lang and M. Schrock, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 087704 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.087704 [arXiv:1107.5195 [hep-lat]].

[2] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and C. B. Lang, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 7, 077502 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.077502 [arXiv:1402.1887 [hep-lat]].

[3] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and C. B. Lang, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 3, 034505 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.034505 [arXiv:1410.8751 [hep-lat]].

[4] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and M. Pak, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 11, 114512 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.114512 [arXiv:1505.03285 [hep-lat]].

[5] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and M. Pak, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 7, 074508 (2015) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 099902 (2015)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.099902, 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.074508 [arXiv:1508.01413 [hep-lat]].

[6] L. Y. Glozman, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, no. 3, 27 (2015) doi:10.1140/epja/i2015-15027-x [arXiv:1407.2798 [hep-ph]].

[7] L. Y. Glozman and M. Pak, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) no.1, 016001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.016001 [arXiv:1504.02323 [hep-lat]].

[8] C. B. Lang, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.11, 114510 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.114510 [arXiv:1803.08693 [hep-ph]].

[9] C. Rohrhofer, Y. Aoki, G. Cossu, H. Fukaya, L. Y. Glozman, S. Hashimoto, C. B. Lang and S. Prelovsek, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.9, 094501 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.094501 [arXiv:1707.01881 [hep-lat]].

[10] R. C. Brower, H. Neff and K. Orginos, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **153** (2006) 191 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2006.01.047 [hep-lat/0511031].

[11] R. C. Brower, H. Neff and K. Orginos, arXiv:1206.5214 [hep-lat].

[12] A. Tomiya, G. Cossu, S. Aoki, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko and J. Noaki, arXiv:1612.01908 [hep-lat].

[13] G. Cossu *et al.* [JLQCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.3, 034507 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034507 [arXiv:1510.07395 [hep-lat]].

[14] L. Y. Glozman, Eur. Phys. J. A **54** (2018) no.7, 117 doi:10.1140/epja/i2018-12560-0 [arXiv:1712.05168 [hep-ph]].