

World influence and interactions of universities from Wikipedia networks

Célestin Coquidé^{1a}, José Lages^{1b}, and Dima L. Shepelyansky^{2c}

¹ Institut UTINAM, Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers THETA, CNRS, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Besançon, France

² Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, IRSAMC, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, 31062 Toulouse, France

Received: / Revised version: date

Abstract. We present Wikipedia Ranking of World Universities (WRWU) based on analysis of networks of 24 Wikipedia editions collected in May 2017. With PageRank and CheiRank algorithms we determine ranking of universities averaged over cultural views of these editions. The comparison with the Shanghai ranking gives overlap of 60% for top 100 universities showing that WRWU gives more significance to their historical development. We show that the new reduced Google matrix algorithm allows to determine interactions between leading universities on a scale of ten centuries. This approach also determines the influence of specific universities on world countries. We also compare different cultural views of Wikipedia editions on significance and influence of universities.

PACS. 89.75.Fb Structures and organization in complex systems – 89.75.Hc Networks and genealogical trees – 89.20.Hh World Wide Web, Internet

1 Introduction

The importance of universities for progress of humanity is broadly recognized world wide. Thus in 2017 UNESCO emphasizes the role of universities and higher education institutes in fostering sustainable development and empowering learners [1]. The efficiency of university education gained a high political importance in many world countries. Various tools have been developed to measure quantitatively this efficiency among which the ranking of universities gained significant importance as reviewed in [2]. Thus the Academic Ranking of World Universities (ARWU), compiled by Shanghai Jiao Tong University since 2003 (Shanghai ranking) [3], generated a significant political impact on evaluation of higher education efficiency in many countries [2]. For example, the ARWU stimulated the emergence of LABEX, IDEX projects in France [4] and the Russian Academic Excellence Project [5] with allocation of significant financial supports. In addition to ARWU other international ranking systems of universities appeared (see e.g. [6, 7, 8]). Various strong and weak features of ranking methodology are reviewed in [9, 10, 11]. Of course, the ranking systems are based on different specific criteria with different cultural preferences of rather larger groups realizing these rankings. Already, the presence of many ranking systems indicates the presence of bias in each of above ranking systems.

Another purely mathematical and statistical approach to ranking of world universities has been developed in [12, 13, 14] on the basis of Google matrix analysis of Wikipedia networks. For each Wikipedia language edition a network is composed by all Wikipedia articles with directed links between them generated by mutual quotations of a given article to other articles. In [12] the analysis was performed only for English Wikipedia (ENWIKI) of year 2009, other years for ENWIKI were considered in [13], while in [14] this analysis was done with 24 language Wikipedia editions of 2013 that allowed to reduce significantly cultural bias (these 24 networks had been collected and analyzed for historical figures in [15] in the frame of EC FET Open project NADINE [16]). The Google matrix analysis [12, 13, 14] is based on the PageRank algorithm [17] which detailed description is given in [18]. Some additional characteristics have been also used for description of network nodes (Wikipedia articles), like CheiRank and 2DRank, as described in [19, 20]. Thus the Wikipedia Ranking of World Universities (WRWU2013) from 24 Wikipedia networks was introduced in [14] and it was shown that its top 100 universities has 62% overlap with ARWU. In addition WRWU2013 attracted a significant interest world wide (see [21] and various press highlights listed at [22]). Other research groups also start to apply Wikipedia ranking in Wikiometrics [23]. We also note the growing interest to scientific analysis of several language editions of Wikipedia [24].

In this work we extend the WRWU studies started in [14]. The new elements are: we use 24 Wikipedia edi-

^a email address: celestin.coquide@utinam.cnrs.fr

^b email address: jose.lages@utinam.cnrs.fr

^c email address: dima@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

tions collected in May 2017 [25] and also we apply the recently invented reduced Google matrix (REGOMAX) method [26]. This new method allows to determine effective interactions between a selected relatively small subset of network nodes taking into account all pathways between them via the global huge network with millions of nodes. The efficiency of the REGOMAX method has been demonstrated on examples of analysis of interactions of political leaders [27], terror networks [28] and protein-protein interactions in cancer networks [29]. Here, using the REGOMAX method we obtain effective interactions between a group of selected universities and determine their influence on world countries. The new ranking of universities from Wikipedia 2017 editions is compared with those of 2013.

The paper is composed as follows: Section 2 gives description of network datasets; Section 3 describes Google matrix construction and PageRank, CheiRank algorithms with overview of the reduced Google matrix approach; Section 4 presents results on global ranking of universities from 24 editions and their distribution over world countries; Section 5 provides REGOMAX results for English edition determining the world influence of specific universities; the interactions between top 20 universities are analyzed in Section 6 comparing views of English, French, German and Russian editions; in Section 7 we obtained the reduced Google matrix of top 100 universities averaged over 24 editions and analyze the interactions between universities on the scale of 10 centuries and all continents; discussion of the results is given in Section 8. All detailed ranking results of WRWU2017 are available at [30] and complementary figures and tables are in Supplementary Information (see from p. 18).

2 Datasets

We use the datasets of 24 Wikipedia editions extracted in May 2017 [25] (see also [30]). The size of each network is given in Table 1. Compared to 2013 discussed in [15] there is a significant size increase for each edition, especially for Swedish (SV) where a part of articles is now computer generated. The number of links is given at [30]. On average there are about 20 links per node. Self-citation links are not considered (references on the article inside the same article are eliminated).

3 Description of algorithms and methods

3.1 Google matrix, PageRank and CheiRank algorithms

The mathematical grounds of this study are based on Markov chain theory and, in particular, on the Google matrix analysis initially introduced in 1998 by Google's co-founders, Brin and Page [17], for hypertext analysis of the World Wide Web. Let us consider the network of the N articles of a given Wikipedia edition. The network

adjacency matrix element A_{ij} is equal to 1 if article j quotes article i and equal to 0 otherwise. The Google matrix element $G_{ij} = \alpha S_{ij} + (1 - \alpha)/N$ gives a transition probability that a random reader jumps from article j to article i . The stochastic matrix element S_{ij} is $S_{ij} = A_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^N A_{ij}$ if article j quotes at least one other article, otherwise $S_{ij} = 1/N$. The second term in G proportional to $(1 - \alpha)$, where $0.5 < \alpha < 1$ is the damping factor, allows to a random reader to escape from isolated sets of articles. More details can be found in [18]. Here we use the value $\alpha = 0.85$ typical for WWW studies [18]. The Google matrix G , constructed as described above, belongs to the class of Perron-Frobenius operators [18]. The eigenvector \mathbf{P} with the largest eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ is the solution of equation $G\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}$. This PageRank vector \mathbf{P} has positive or zero components and describes the steady-state probability distribution of the Markov process encoded in the Google matrix G . Assuming an infinite random process, the vector component P_i is proportional to the number of times a random reader reaches an article i . It is convenient to sort the vector components P_1, \dots, P_N in descending order: the article associated to the highest (lowest) vector component has the top (last) rank index $K = 1$ ($K = N$). The PageRank algorithm measures the relative influence of articles. Recursively, more an article is quoted by influent articles, more high is its probability.

As proposed in [31] we also consider the same network of articles but with inverted links, i.e., article j points toward article i if article j is quoted by article i . This inverted network is defined by the adjacency matrix elements, $A_{ij}^* = A_{ji}$, which can be used to build successively the corresponding stochastic matrix elements, S_{ij}^* , and the corresponding Google matrix elements, G_{ij}^* . The CheiRank vector \mathbf{P}^* is then defined such as $G^*\mathbf{P}^* = \mathbf{P}^*$ and the CheiRank is constructed similarly to the PageRank [31, 12, 19]. The CheiRank algorithm measures the relative communicative ability of the articles. Recursively, the more an articles quotes very communicative articles, the more it is communicative.

The properties of the Google matrix spectrum and eigenstates and their various applications are discussed in detail in [18, 19, 20].

Table 1. Wikipedia directed networks of 2017 from 24 considered language editions; here N is the number of articles. Wikipedia data were collected in May 2017.

Edition	Language	N	Edition	Language	N
EN	English	5416537	ZH	Chinese	939625
SV	Swedish	3786455	FA	Persian	539926
DE	German	2057898	AR	Arabic	519714
NL	Dutch	1900222	HU	Hungarian	409297
FR	French	1866546	KO	Korean	380086
RU	Russian	1391225	TR	Turkish	291873
IT	Italian	1353276	MS	Malaysian	289234
ES	Spanish	1287834	DA	Danish	225523
PL	Polish	1219733	HE	Hebrew	205411
VI	Vietnamese	1155932	EL	Greek	130429
JP	Japanese	1058950	HI	Hindi	121503
PT	Portuguese	967162	TH	Thai	116495

3.2 The reduced Google matrix

The concept of reduced Google matrix (REGOMAX) was introduced in [26] and tested with Wikipedia networks in [27, 28] and protein-protein networks [29]. The method is based on the construction of a Google matrix for a relatively small subset of nodes embedded into a much larger network taking into account all indirect interactions between subset nodes via the remaining huge part of the network.

Let us consider a small subset \mathcal{S}_r of $n_r \ll N$ articles, and the complementary subset \mathcal{S}_s of the $n_s = N - n_r \simeq N$ remaining articles. For convenience, the Google matrix can be rewritten as

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} G_{rr} & G_{rs} \\ G_{sr} & G_{ss} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where the submatrix G_{rr} , of size $n_r \times n_r$, encodes the transitions between articles of the subset \mathcal{S}_r , the submatrix G_{ss} , of size $n_s \times n_s$, encodes the transitions between articles of the subset \mathcal{S}_s , the submatrix G_{rs} , of size $n_r \times n_s$, encodes the transitions from articles of the subset \mathcal{S}_s toward articles of the subset \mathcal{S}_r , the submatrix G_{sr} , of size $n_s \times n_r$, encodes the transitions from articles of the subset \mathcal{S}_r toward articles of the subset \mathcal{S}_s . Since $G\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}$, the PageRank vector can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_r \\ \mathbf{P}_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where the vector \mathbf{P}_r (\mathbf{P}_s) of size n_r (n_s) contains the PageRank vector components associated to articles of the \mathcal{S}_r (\mathcal{S}_s) subset. The reduced Google matrix G_R associated to articles of the subset \mathcal{S}_r is the $n_r \times n_r$ matrix defined implicitly by the following relation $G_R \mathbf{P}_r = \mathbf{P}_r$. After some algebra, the reduced Google matrix can be written as [26, 27, 29]

$$G_R = G_{rr} + G_{ind} \text{ where } G_{ind} = G_{rs} (1_s - G_{ss})^{-1} G_{sr}. \quad (3)$$

Here 1_s is the $n_s \times n_s$ identity matrix. The reduced Google matrix G_R is composed by the G_R -submatrix G_{rr} which encodes the direct links (direct quotations) between the n_r articles of the \mathcal{S}_r subset and by an additional scattering term G_{ind} which quantifies the indirect links between articles. If there is no direct link from article $j \in \mathcal{S}_r$ to article $i \in \mathcal{S}_r$, i.e., $A_{ij} = 0$, then the corresponding G_{rr} element will be minimum ($G_{rr,ij} \sim 1/N \sim 10^{-7}$ for the May 2017 English Wikipedia network). Conversely, the corresponding G_{ind} element can be very high highlighting the fact that two articles can be strongly indirectly linked through successive direct links between articles of the \mathcal{S}_s subset (eg, $j \in \mathcal{S}_r \rightarrow k_1 \in \mathcal{S}_s \rightarrow k_2 \in \mathcal{S}_s \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow k_n \in \mathcal{S}_s \rightarrow i \in \mathcal{S}_r$). The PageRank vector of G_R has the same components of n_r nodes as in the global matrix G (up to a constant normalization factor). The reduced Google matrix G_R , which conserves the global Google matrix PageRank hierarchy between the n_r articles of the \mathcal{S}_r subset, encodes direct links and effective indirect links between articles. The direct calculation of G_{ind} converges very slowly since the matrix $(1_s - G_{ss})^{-1}$ is almost singular, indeed as $n_r \ll n_s$,

$G_{ss} \sim G$, the leading eigenvalue of G_{ss} is $\lambda_c \sim 1$. Let us associate to the eigenvalue λ_c the right eigenvector Ψ_R and the left eigenvector Ψ_L such as $G_{ss} \Psi_R = \lambda_c \Psi_R$ and $\Psi_L^T \Psi_R = 1$. To speed up calculations, we follow the same procedure as in [26, 27, 29], splitting the term $(1_s - G_{ss})^{-1}$ in a term $\Psi_R \Psi_L^T (1 - \lambda_c)^{-1}$ which is a projection onto the subspace associated to λ_c and a term $(1_s - \Psi_R \Psi_L^T) (1_s - G_{ss})^{-1}$ which is a projection onto the complementary subspace. This procedure enables us to rewrite the reduced Google matrix as

$$G_R = G_{rr} + G_{pr} + G_{qr} \quad (4)$$

where $G_{pr} = G_{rs} \Psi_R \Psi_L^T G_{sr} (1 - \lambda_c)^{-1}$ encodes essentially already known information concerning the PageRank (since $\Psi_R \sim \mathbf{P}$) and $G_{qr} = G_{rs} (1_s - \Psi_R \Psi_L^T) (1_s - G_{ss})^{-1} G_{sr}$ encodes hidden interactions between articles which appear due to indirect links via the global network [26, 27, 29]. In the following we perform analysis of the three components present in (4), we also consider the matrix component G_{qrrnd} obtained from G_{qr} by taking out the diagonal terms since the self-citations are not very interesting.

4 Wikipedia Ranking of World Universities from 24 Wikipedia editions of 2017

Once the articles of 24 considered editions are ranked using PageRank and CheiRank algorithms, we extract for each edition the top 100 articles devoted to institutions of higher education and research. We also consider 2DRank which is a combination of PageRank and CheiRank (see [12, 14]; 2DRank results are available at [30]). As in [15, 14], from these 24 top 100 listings we obtain the following cumulative score for a given university U

$$\Theta_{U,A} = \sum_E (101 - R_{U,E,A}) \quad (5)$$

where A denotes the algorithm used for the ranking (PageRank or CheiRank or 2DRank), E the Wikipedia edition, $R_{U,E,A}$ the rank of university U in the top 100 universities obtained using algorithm A from edition E of Wikipedia. If an university U' is absent from the top 100 universities obtained from an edition E' with an algorithm A' then we artificially set $R_{U',E',A'} = 101$. We use ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 country codes [32] (all the used country codes are available at [30]).

The top 10 universities from WRWU2017 with PageRank algorithm and from ARWU2017 are given in Tab. 2 and Tab. 3 respectively. The top 3 places of WRWU are occupied by Oxford, Cambridge and Harvard while for ARWU it is Harvard, Stanford and Cambridge. The universities with significantly lower positions in WRWU (compared to ARWU) are MIT, Berkeley and Caltech, while Oxford significantly improves its position at WRWU going to the first place from 7th at ARWU.

The overlap between different rankings are presented in Fig. 1. For the top 100 universities we have 60% overlap




Fig. 1. Left panel: Overlap $\eta(j) = j_c/j$ of ARWU2017 with WRWU2017 as a function of the rank j of WRWU2017. Here j_c gives the number of common universities among the first j universities of the two rankings. The color curves show the overlap of ARWU2017 with WRWU2017 (black curve), of ARWU2013 with WRWU2017 (green curve), and of WRWU2013 with WRWU2017 (blue curve). Right panel: Overlap of ARWU2017 with EN-WRWU2017 (black curve), of ARWU2017 with FRWRWU2017 (red curve) and of ARWU2017 with DEWRWU2017 (blue curve). The horizontal axis label j is the rank of ARWU2017.

between WRWU PageRank list and ARWU list in 2017. For 2013 this overlap was slightly higher at 62%. The overlap between WRWU2017 list and WRWU2013 list is 91% and between ARWU2017 list and ARWU2013 list is 84%. WRWU appears to be stable, top10s in 2013 (Tab. 2) and 2017 (Tab. 3 in [14]) contain the same universities but with some changes in places: Oxbridge keep the two first places but Oxford supersedes Cambridge at the first place; Yale (9 → 5) and Chicago (7 → 6) improve their ranking whereas Princeton (5 → 7) and MIT (6 → 9) recede; Harvard (3 → 3), Columbia (4 → 4), Stanford (8 → 8), Berkeley (10 → 10) keep their positions. Between 2013 [22] and 2017 [30], only 9 universities went out from top100 (IPSA / Karolinska Institutet / Rockefeller / Rutgers / Tsinghua / Amsterdam / Hamburg / Strasbourg / Wrocław) and 9 new universities enter in the top100 (Seoul National University / TU Munich / UC, San Diego / Boulder / Freiburg / Kiel / Marburg / Salamanca / Sydney).

The above numbers and comparisons show that WRWU approach gives a reliable ranking which remains relatively

close to ARWU at different years. At the same time WRWU has about 40% of different universities compared to ARWU. The origin of this difference is based on variety of cultural views well present in 24 editions. Thus the right panel of Fig. 1 shows a spectacular difference between EN, FR and DE editions: for top 10 universities the German edition has only about 10% overlap with ARWU while EN and FR have about 50%. For top 100 this difference still remains significant being approximately 34% for DE, 43% for FR and 60% for EN. Thus the case of German edition demonstrates rather different cultural view on importance of their universities. As discussed in [14] there are clear historical grounds for this difference related to the world dominance of German and Italian universities before 19th century as it is clearly seen in Fig. 10 in [14].

In total for all 24 editions we find 1011 and 1464 different universities with PageRank and CheiRank algorithms respectively. Their geographical distribution over the country world map is shown in Fig. 2. The largest number of top universities is in US but we see a significant numbers also for India, Japan, Germany and France for PageRank which characterizes the university influence. The communicativity is highlighted by CheiRank with top countries being US, India, Japan, France and China. Of course, Hindi, Japan, Chinese editions give certain preference to their own universities but in global the high positions of these universities and countries reflect significant efforts in higher education performed by these countries.

The geographical distributions of top 100 universities of ARWU2017 and WRWU2017 are presented in Fig. 3. For ARWU the top countries are US, UK, Australia and China while for WRWU we find US, Germany, UK and Japan. It is clear that ARWU gives too high significance to Anglo-saxon and Chinese universities while WRWU provides more balanced historical view taking into account a significant role played e.g. by German universities.

A more detailed view on the universities distribution over countries for ARWU and WRWU is shown in Fig. 4. The ranking of WRWU universities inside each country is given in [30].

Table 2. List of the first 10 universities of the 2017 Wikipedia Ranking of World Universities using PageRank algorithm. For a given university, the score Θ_{PR} is defined by (5), N_a is the number of appearances in the top 100 lists of 24 Wikipedia editions, CC is the country code, LC is the language code, and FC is the foundation century.

Rank	Θ_{PR}	N_a	University	CC	LC	FC
1st	2281	24	University of Oxford	UK	EN	11
2nd	2278	24	University of Cambridge	UK	EN	13
3rd	2277	24	Harvard University	US	EN	17
4th	2099	24	Columbia University	US	EN	18
5th	1959	23	Yale University	US	EN	18
6th	1917	24	University of Chicago	US	EN	19
7th	1858	23	Princeton University	US	EN	18
8th	1825	21	Stanford University	US	EN	19
9th	1804	21	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	US	EN	19
10th	1693	20	University of California, Berkeley	US	EN	19

Table 3. List of the first 10 universities of ARWU2017 [3]. The last columns show the difference between ARWU2017 rank and WRWU2017 rank.

Rank	ARWU17	WRWU17
1st	Harvard University	-2
2nd	Stanford University	-6
3rd	University of Cambridge	+1
4th	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	-5
5th	University of California, Berkeley	-5
6th	Princeton University	-1
7th	University of Oxford	+6
8th	Columbia University	+4
9th	California Institute of Technology	-13
10th	University of Chicago	+4




Fig. 2. Geographical distribution of the universities entering the 2017 Wikipedia Ranking of World Universities using PageRank (top panel) and CheiRank algorithm (bottom panel). The total number of universities is 1011 (1464) for WRWU using PageRank (CheiRank) algorithm. US universities are the most numerous: 101 (174) universities for WRWU using PageRank (CheiRank) algorithm. Countries with white color have no universities in the top 100 edition lists. Here and below the color categories are obtained using the Jenks natural breaks classification method [33].

5 Influence of world universities on countries from English Wikipedia edition

In this Section we use the REGOMAX approach to analyze the influence of universities on world countries. With this aim the reduced Google matrix is constructed for the subset of articles devoted to the PageRank top 20 universities of ENWRWU (see Tab. 4) and articles devoted to the 85 countries to which belong universities appearing in WRWU (see Tab. 5). Thus the total size of the reduced Google matrix is $n_r = 105$, to be compared to the global ENWIKI network size which is about 5.4 millions articles.

Table 4. List of the PageRank top 20 universities of English edition WRWU2017. The color code corresponds to the regional location of universities: red for US west coast, orange for US central region, blue for US east coast, and violet for UK.

Rank	University	Rank	University
1st	Harvard University	11th	University of Michigan
2nd	University of Oxford	12th	Cornell University
3rd	University of Cambridge	13th	University of California, Los Angeles
4th	Columbia University	14th	University of Pennsylvania
5th	Yale University	15th	New York University
6th	Stanford University	16th	University of Texas at Austin
7th	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	17th	University of Florida
8th	University of California, Berkeley	18th	University of Edinburgh
9th	Princeton University	19th	University of Wisconsin-Madison
10th	University of Chicago	20th	University of Southern California




Fig. 3. Geographical distribution of the first 100 universities from ARWU2017 (top panel) and WRWU2017 from PageRank (bottom panel). US universities are the most numerous, 48 universities for ARWU2017 and 37 universities for WRWU2017.




Fig. 4. Distribution over countries of (a) 2017 ARWU top 100 universities and of (b) 2017 WRWU top 100 universities. Panel (c) gives the percentage per country of universities among the 1011 universities listed in 2017 WRWU, countries with less than 10% are not shown. Countries with equal number of universities are sorted by alphabetic order.

The images of the corresponding reduced Google matrix G_R and its components G_{rr} , G_{pr} , and G_{qr} , are shown in Fig. 5. As discussed above and in [27] the G_{pr} component is rather close to the matrix composed by identical columns of PageRank vector of n_r nodes, the direct links are presented by the component G_{rr} and indirect links by G_{qr} (and related G_{qrd}). The weights of these three components (sum of elements of all columns divided by

matrix size n_r) are respectively $W_R = 1$, $W_{pr} = 0.948273$, $W_{rr} = 0.0144137$, and $W_{qr} = 0.0373132$. The weights of the components G_{rr} and G_{qr} are small, compared to those of G_{pr} , but these two components provide new important information on interactions between nodes. The weight of indirect links is larger than the direct ones $W_{qr} > W_{rr}$.

The knowledge of all matrix elements of G_R allows us to determine the influence or sensitivity of a given university u on a given country c . To measure the sensitivity we change the matrix element $G_R(u \rightarrow c)$ by a factor $(1 + \delta)$ with $\delta \ll 1$, we renormalize to 1 the sum of the column associated to university u , and we compute the logarithmic derivative of PageRank probability $P(c)$ associated to country c : $D(u \rightarrow c, c) = d \ln P(c) / d\delta$ (diagonal sensitivity). It is also possible to consider the nondiagonal sensitivity $D(u \rightarrow c, c') = d \ln P(c') / d\delta$ when the variation is done for the link from u to c and the derivative of PageRank probability is computed for another country c' . This approach was already used in [28, 34] showing its efficiency.

Table 5. List of the countries associated to the universities appearing in WRWU2017. Countries are ranked from 2017 Wikipedia English edition using PageRank algorithm.

Rank	University	CC	Rank	University	CC
1	United States	US	44	Chile	CL
2	France	FR	45	Republic of Ireland	IE
3	Germany	DE	46	Singapore	SG
4	United Kingdom	UK	47	Serbia	RS
5	Iran	IR	48	Vietnam	VN
6	India	IN	49	Nepal	NP
7	Canada	CA	50	Estonia	EE
8	Australia	AU	51	Iraq	IQ
9	China	CN	52	Bangladesh	BD
10	Italy	IT	53	Syria	SY
11	Japan	JP	54	Myanmar	MM
12	Russia	RU	55	Slovakia	SK
13	Brazil	BR	56	Venezuela	VE
14	Spain	ES	57	Morocco	MA
15	Netherlands	NL	58	Cuba	CU
16	Poland	PL	59	Puerto Rico	PR
17	Sweden	SE	60	Saudi Arabia	SA
18	Mexico	MX	61	Lithuania	LT
19	Turkey	TR	62	Lebanon	LB
20	Romania	RO	63	Cyprus	CY
21	South Africa	ZA	64	Latvia	LV
22	Norway	NO	65	Belarus	BY
23	Switzerland	CH	66	United Arab Emirates	AE
24	Philippines	PH	67	Uruguay	UY
25	Austria	AT	68	North Korea	KP
26	Belgium	BE	69	Yemen	YE
27	Argentina	AR	70	Costa Rica	CR
28	Indonesia	ID	71	Tunisia	TN
29	Greece	GR	72	Jordan	JO
30	Denmark	DK	73	Guatemala	GT
31	South Korea	KR	74	Greenland	GL
32	Israel	IL	75	Dominican Republic	DO
33	Hungary	HU	76	Uzbekistan	UZ
34	Finland	FI	77	Kuwait	KW
35	Egypt	EG	78	Qatar	QA
36	Portugal	PT	79	Senegal	SN
37	Taiwan	TW	80	El Salvador	SV
38	Ukraine	UA	81	Suriname	SR
39	Czech Republic	CZ	82	Faroe Islands	FO
40	Malaysia	MY	83	Brunei	BN
41	Thailand	TH	84	Palestine	PS
42	Colombia	CO	85	Georgia	GE
43	Bulgaria	BG			




Fig. 5. Reduced Google matrix G_R for the first 20 universities ranked in ENRWU (Tab. 4) and the 85 countries to which universities from WRWU belong (Tab. 5). The full reduced Google matrix G_R is presented in top left panel, G_{pr} in top right panel, G_{rr} in bottom left panel, and G_{qrd} in bottom right panel. The weights of G_R matrix components are $W_R = 1$, $W_{pr} = 0.948273$, $W_{rr} = 0.0144137$, and $W_{qr} = 0.0373132$. The node order presents first 20 universities in order of Tab. 4 and then 85 countries in order of Tab. 5.

The world maps of university influence on countries, expressed by the diagonal sensitivity $D(u \rightarrow c, c)$ for 4 selected universities, are shown in Fig. 6.

For Harvard the most sensitive country is South Africa (ZA) due to the well known scandal linked to Harvard investments in apartheid ZA pointed on the Harvard wikipedia. Puerto Rico also appears on this wikipedia in relation with oldest universities in the America. However, next influenced countries are Georgia (GE), Israel (IL) and Ireland (IR) which are not present on the wikipedia which appearance we attribute to indirect links.

For Chicago the most influenced countries are Singapore (SG), Puerto Rico (PR) and India (IN). The first two countries SG, PR are not present on the wikipedia showing that indirect links play an important role for them. India has a direct link related to the following facts: Chicago campus opened in India and a faculty member was erstwhile governor of India central bank.

For Stanford the top countries are Spain (ES), present on the wikipedia, PR and ZA appearing due to indirect links.

For Oxford the top three countries are Jordan (JO), appearing of wikipedia since Abdullah II of JO has been educated at Oxford; Iraq (IQ), also appearing on wikipedia since T. E. Lawrence educated at Oxford played a major role in establishing and administering the modern state of IQ; IR which is not present on wikipedia but has close links with UK.




Fig. 6. World map of diagonal sensitivity of world countries $D(u \rightarrow c, c)$ to the change of the reduced Google matrix link university $u \rightarrow$ country c . The cases of 4 universities are shown from top to bottom: Harvard University, University of Chicago, Stanford University, and University of Oxford.

Examples of nondiagonal sensitivity are shown in Fig. 7 for the link variation Harvard University to US and University of Oxford to UK. For the link Harvard \rightarrow US the most influenced countries are Suriname (SR), People’s Republic of Korea (KP) and Puerto Rico (PR). For the link variation Oxford \rightarrow UK the most influenced countries are Qatar (QA), Ireland (IR) and Singapore (SG). By definition the nondiagonal sensitivity contains indirect effects and it is not so easy to find the pathways of links which are




Fig. 7. World map of nondiagonal sensitivity $D(u \rightarrow c, c')$ of country c' to the change of the reduced Google matrix link Harvard \rightarrow US (top panel) and Oxford \rightarrow UK (bottom panel). Red (blue) color corresponds to the greatest (lowest) absolute value. Diagonal values $D(u \rightarrow c, c' = c)$ are not shown.

responsible for this dominant influence. These examples show the strength of reduced Google matrix approach.




Fig. 8. Same as in Fig. 6 with diagonal sensitivity $D(\text{“Rice University”} \rightarrow c, c)$ to the change of the reduced Google matrix link Rice University \rightarrow country c .

Finally we discuss an example of Rice University. It has rank 74 in ARWU2017 being at position 37 inside USA, but according to WRWU2017 its PageRank position is only 357 and 56 inside USA. This shows that the Wikipedia article of Rice University is not sufficiently developed and its visibility via Wikipedia is rather low and can be improved by more skillful organization of its wikipedia. We note that Wikipedia visibility of a university plays rather important role since very often it is on top lines of Google search and many language editions spread the wikipedia content world wide free of charge. Also the WRWU position 357 is only due to appearance of Rice in top 100




Fig. 9. Matrices G_{rr} (left panel) and G_{qrnd} (right panel) for top20 ENWRWU (Tab. 4). The universities are ordered by their PageRank index as in Tab. 4. The matrix weights are $W_{rr} = 0.00877$ and $W_{qrnd} = 0.01381$. Color shows the strength of matrix elements. The same components for top 20 universities of FRWIKI, DEWIKI, RUWIKI are available in Figs. SI3, SI4, SI5.

universities of FA, KO, and TH language editions probably due to wikipedia creation and information given by Rice alumni speaking these languages. This indicates an importance of links between university and its alumni.

The world influence of Rice University, expressed via its diagonal sensitivity, is shown in Fig. 8. The most influenced countries are Kuwait (KW), Mexico (MX) and Puerto Rico (PR). These countries are not present on the wikipedia of Rice University and their appearance is related to indirect links.

6 Reduced networks of world universities

In this Section we analyze the interactions between top 20 universities obtained from the REGOMAX approach. We consider the different cultural views from EN, FR, DE, RU editions and make a comparative analysis. For EN edition we also consider the links of selected universities with countries.

6.1 Top 20 universities in English Wikipedia edition

Top 20 PageRank universities of ENWRWU2017 are given in Tab. 4. They are either US or UK universities. We define 4 regional groups with their PageRank leaders: Stanford University for US west coast, University of Chicago for US central region, Harvard University for US east coast, and University of Oxford for UK; each group is marked by color in Tab. 4.

The components of reduced Google matrix describing direct links G_{rr} and indirect links G_{qrnd} are shown in Fig. 9 (only nondiagonal links are shown for G_{qr}). The weight of indirect nondiagonal links is about 50% percent stronger than the weight of direct links. This shows the importance of indirect interactions between top 20 universities.

To characterize the importance of direct and indirect links we consider the sum of two matrix components given by $G_{rr} + G_{qrnd}$. From this matrix we construct the network of friends of regional leaders shown in Fig. 10 (the




Fig. 10. Network of friends of top 20 PageRank universities of ENWRWU obtained from matrix of direct and indirect links $G_{rr} + G_{qrnd}$. Color filled nodes are regional leaders. Red links are purely hidden links, i.e., no corresponding adjacency matrix entry. We obtain 4 friendship levels (gray circles). Links originating from 1st level universities are presented by solid lines, from 2nd level by dashed lines, from 3rd level by dotted lines, and from 4th level by “\” symbol lines.




Fig. 11. Network of friends from $G_{rr} + G_{qrnd}$ associated to the top20 ENWRWU and 85 countries listed in Tab. 5. For each regional leaders, Stanford University, University of Chicago, Harvard University, University of Oxford, the four strongest links to one of the 85 countries listed in Tab. 5 are presented. Universities (countries) are represented by empty (full) nodes. The color code for countries depends on the main spoken language: blue for English, red for Arabic, orange for Spanish, violet for Chinese, green for Hindi, and yellow for Hebrew. Red links are purely indirect links and black ones are from direct links.

drawings of networks have been produced using Cytoscape [35]). First we place the regional leader on a circle (1st level of possible friendship). From a regional leader we look at the four biggest outgoing links in $G_{rr} + G_{qrnd}$, these four links define the four best friends of the regional leader. If these friends are not present in the network of

friends, i.e., they are not themselves regional leaders, then they are placed on the circle around the regional leader (2nd level of friendship). If several regional leaders share the same friend, by preference, the friend is placed in the circle around the leader of its region. Then, from each friends of regional leaders, we define in the same way four new friends. Each new friend is either already placed in the friendship network or not. If the new friend is not present, it is placed in the circle around the corresponding friend of regional leader (3rd level of friendship). If a new friend is shared by several friends of regional leaders, the new friend is placed by preference on the circle around the friend of regional leader belonging to its region. In the same manner we then define the 4th level of friendship and so on. The procedure continues until no new friends can be placed on the friendship network (because already placed on it). For the 2017 ENWRWU top20 the procedure stops after four levels of friendship. A red arrow represents a pure hidden link, i.e., a link from university u to university u' with a null adjacency matrix entry, $A_{u'u} = 0$, or otherwise stated, with a minimal value in G_{rr} , $(G_{rr})_{u'u} = (1 - \alpha)/N$.

This network presentation of friends in Fig. 10 shows that the close friends are mainly located in same region; thus MIT, Harvard, Yale form one group of east coast, Oxford, Cambridge, Edinburgh are friends inside UK. However, there are also inter-regional friends formed by Princeton, UCLA, USC, UT Austin and proximity between Stanford, Berkeley and Cornell. The direct links shown in black play an important role but indirect links shown in red are also present and significant like e.g. MIT being indirect friend of Stanford, Harvard being indirect friend of Chicago and Oxford, and Edinburgh, Princeton being indirect friend of Oxford.

We also consider countries which are friends of each regional university leader as shown in Fig. 11. For this we consider the matrix elements of $G_{rr} + G_{qnd}$ constructed for top 20 universities of Tab. 4 and 85 countries listed in Tab. 5. For each regional leader university we select top 4 friendliest countries. The network of country-university friends is presented in Fig. 11 with countries marked by colors corresponding to mostly spoken language. Thus we see that countries friends of Oxford are mostly Arab and English speaking countries; countries friends of Harvard are dominantly from English speaking countries excepting one Hebrew speaking country; friends of Stanford are two Spanish speaking countries, one English and one He-

Table 6. List of top 20 PageRank universities of French edition WRWU2017. The color code corresponds to the country location of universities: blue for US, violet for UK, red for FR, green for CA, and yellow for BE.

Rank	University	Rank	University
1st	Harvard University	11th	University Laval
2nd	University of Oxford	12th	Panthéon-Sorbonne University
3rd	École polytechnique	13th	Princeton University
4th	University of Cambridge	14th	University of California, Berkeley
5th	École normale supérieure	15th	Paris-Sorbonne University
6th	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	16th	Université libre de Bruxelles
7th	Yale University	17th	University of Montreal
8th	Columbia University	18th	Université catholique de Louvain
9th	Stanford University	19th	Paris Nanterre University
10th	École pratique des hautes études	20th	University of Chicago




Fig. 12. Same as in Fig. 10 for PageRank top 20 universities of FRWIKI2017 from Tab. 6. Color filled nodes are country leaders. Red links are purely hidden links, i.e., no corresponding adjacency matrix entry. We obtain 4 friendship levels (gray circles). Links originating from 1st level universities are presented by solid lines, from 2nd level by dashed lines, from 3rd level by dotted lines, and from 4th level by “\” symbol lines.

brew; Chicago is mostly diversified having English, Hindi, Chinese and Spanish speaking friends.

6.2 Top 20 universities in French Wikipedia edition

Here we consider the cultural view of FRWIKI on top 20 universities and their interactions. We select from FRWIKI2017 top PageRank universities listed in Tab. 6. These universities belong to 5 countries (US, UK, FR, CA, BE) marked by corresponding color. Top PageRank university of each country is considered as a country leader. Similar to the case of Fig. 10 we obtain from the reduced Google matrix components $G_{rr} + G_{qnd}$ of these 20 universities the network of friends shown in Fig. 12.

The obtained network of friends of Fig. 12 shows clear cluster of universities inside their own countries. However, the inter-country links are well present and they are mainly indirect links (shown in red) pointing toward English speaking universities. Thus Princeton is indirect friend of Oxford, ULaval and ULB; Yale and Harvard are indirect friends of ULaval. Since we consider FRWIKI there are 6 French universities being the next in number after US with 7 universities among top 20. However, US universities are strongly linked with universities of Canada, Belgium and UK while French universities are weakly linked to other countries. This FRWIKI-analysis demonstrate certain world isolation of French universities. Also from FRWIKI point of view, the leading English speaking universities in Fig. 12 form an invariant subset from which a random surfer cannot escape: the friends of these universities are uniquely English speaking universities.




Fig. 13. Same as in Fig. 10 for PageRank top 20 universities of DEWIKI2017 from Tab. 7. Color filled nodes are country leaders. Red links are purely hidden links, i.e., no corresponding adjacency matrix entry. We obtain 4 friendship levels (gray circles). Links originating from 1st level universities are presented by solid lines, from 2nd level by dashed lines, from 3rd level by dotted lines, and from 4th level by “\” symbol lines.

6.3 Top 20 universities in German Wikipedia edition

Top 20 PageRank universities are given in Tab. 7. The network of friends, constructed for these 20 nodes from the matrix components $G_{rr} + G_{qrd}$ of the reduce Google matrix, is shown in Fig. 13. A specific point of these top 20 universities is that there are only 3 non-German-speaking universities (Harvard, Oxford, Cambridge). This is due to the already discussed feature of DEWIKI which gives strong preference to German universities (see right panel of Fig. 1). The universities belong only to 4 countries AT, DE, UK, US. The main cluster are formed around LMU Munich and Vienna however GU Frankfurt, Marburg and Tübingen are closely linked with Oxford and Cambridge; Hamburg is linked with Harvard. It should be pointed that there is a dominance of indirect links which are also linking different countries.

Table 7. List of top 20 PageRank universities of German edition WRWU2017. The color code corresponds to the country location of universities: green for DE, blue for US, violet for UK, and black for AT.

Rank	University	Rank	University
1st	Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich	11th	University of Freiburg
2nd	Humboldt University of Berlin	12th	University of Cologne
3rd	University of Göttingen	13th	University of Münster
4th	Heidelberg University	14th	University of Oxford
5th	Free University of Berlin	15th	University of Hamburg
6th	University of Vienna	16th	Goethe University Frankfurt
7th	University of Tübingen	17th	University of Cambridge
8th	Harvard University	18th	University of Marburg
9th	University of Bonn	19th	University of Kiel
10th	Leipzig University	20th	University of Jena




Fig. 14. Same as in Fig. 10 for PageRank top 20 universities of RUWIKI2017 from Tab. 8. Color filled nodes are country leaders. Reduced network from top20 RUWRWU $G_{rr} + G_{qrd}$. Color filled nodes are regional leaders. Red links are purely hidden links, i.e., no corresponding adjacency matrix entry. We obtain 3 acquaintance levels (gray circles). Links originating from 1st level universities are presented by solid lines, from 2nd level by dashed lines, and from 3rd level by dotted lines.

6.4 Top 20 universities in Russian Wikipedia edition

Top 20 PageRank universities are given in Tab. 8. The network of friends, constructed for these 20 nodes from the matrix components $G_{rr} + G_{qrd}$ of the reduce Google matrix, is shown in Fig. 14. Among these 20 universities there 8 from US, 5 from Russia, 2 from Ukraine, 2 from Germany (its former DDR part), 2 from UK and 1 from Austria so that there are 6 different countries. The clusters of universities are mainly linked with their own countries even if there is very close proximity between UK and US even if Berkeley and Chicago are in the circle proximity of Vienna. The main intercountry links are mainly indirect (except direct links between Kiev pointing to Moscow and St. Petersburg which were all inside former USSR). It is interesting to note that German university, belonging to the former DDR part of Germany, have strong links with Russian universities, showing that the links inside Soviet block are still significant even if Wikipedia had been created well after disappearance of DDR.

Table 8. List of top 20 PageRank universities of German edition WRWU2017. The color code corresponds to the country location of universities: red for RU, blue for US, violet for UK, green for DE, black for AT, and yellow for UA.

Rank	University	Rank	University
1st	Moscow State University	11th	Kazan Federal University
2nd	Saint Petersburg State University	12th	National University of Kharkiv
3rd	Harvard University	13th	Stanford University
4th	University of Oxford	14th	Princeton University
5th	University of Cambridge	15th	University of Chicago
6th	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	16th	Higher School of Economics
7th	Yale University	17th	Bauman Moscow State Technical University
8th	Columbia University	18th	Leipzig University
9th	Kyiv University	19th	University of Vienna
10th	Humboldt University of Berlin	20th	University of California, Berkeley




Fig. 15. Reduced Google matrix G_R for universities listed in Tab. 9 computed from EN (top left), FR (top right), DE (bottom left), and RU (bottom right) Wikipedia editions; for each edition nodes have the same order as in Tab. 9. The images of components G_{pr} , G_{rr} , G_{qrd} are given in Figs. SI6, SI7, SI8.

6.5 Comparison between English, French, German, and Russian Wikipedia editions

In this subsection we perform a comparison of different cultural views of DEWIKI, ENWIKI, FRWIKI and RUWIKI on top universities. With this aim we take 20 PageR-ranked universities of each of these editions. This gives us 52 different universities presented in these editions. The list of them is given in Tab. 9. Each of these universities is attributed to its own foundation country shown by colors in this Table. There are 9 different countries: US, FR, DE, UK, CA, RU, AT, BE and UA.

Then we perform the reduced Google matrix analysis for these 52 universities for each edition constructing G_R and its 3 components. The matrices G_R for each edition are shown in Fig. 15. The G_{pr} , G_{rr} , G_{qrd} matrix components are available in Figs. SI6, SI7, SI8 with their weights which are similar to those given in Fig. 5; the weights of direct and indirect links are comparable. From Fig. 15 we see that each edition has its own view on these 52 universities. Indeed, there is a clear tendency that edition rank higher universities belonging to the countries with edition language, e.g. RUWIKI places Moscow and St. Petersburg universities on top PageRank positions with a similar situation for DEWIKI. Using the matrix components of $G_{rr} + G_{qrd}$ we analyze the network of friend of 52 universities from the view point of ENWIKI, FRWIKI, DEWIKI and RUWIKI. The approach is the same as those used in network of friends discussed in the previous subsection.

The network of top friends for 52 universities in ENWIKI is shown in Fig. 16. We see that the majority of links




Fig. 16. Network of friends of 52 universities listed in Tab. 9 computed from $G_{rr} + G_{qrd}$ of ENWIKI. Color filled nodes are country leaders (same colors as in the Tab. 9). Red links are purely hidden links, i.e., no corresponding adjacency matrix entry. We obtain 4 acquaintance levels (gray circles). Links originating from 1st level universities are presented by solid lines, from 2nd level by dashed lines, from 3rd level by dotted lines, and from 4th level by “\” symbol lines.

are indirect (red) comparing to the direct links (black). As expected two clusters of English speaking universities are well visible; in fact as in Fig. 12, these English speaking universities form an invariant subspace from which a random surfer cannot escape. These universities act as an attractor subset in this friendship network. Chicago is located aside as it was already visible in previous subsection

Table 9. List of the 52 different universities appearing in the top20s of the EN, FR, DE, RU WRWU. Color code corresponds to country: **US**, **FR**, **DE**, **UK**, **CA**, **RU**, **AT**, **BE** and **UA**. Universities are ordered by countries (country groups are ordered according to PageRanking of 2017 English Wikipedia). Within country groups universities are ordered according to PageRanking of 2017 English Wikipedia.

Rank	University	Rank	University
1	Harvard University	28	Heidelberg University
2	Columbia University	29	Free University of Berlin
3	Yale University	30	University of Tübingen
4	Stanford University	31	University of Bonn
5	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	32	University of Freiburg
6	University of California, Berkeley	33	University of Cologne
7	Princeton University	34	University of Münster
8	University of Chicago	35	University of Hamburg
9	University of Michigan	36	Goethe University Frankfurt
10	Cornell University	37	University of Marburg
11	University of California, Los Angeles	38	University of Kiel
12	University of Pennsylvania	39	University of Jena
13	New York University	40	University of Oxford
14	University of Texas at Austin	41	University of Cambridge
15	University of Florida	42	University of Edinburgh
16	University of Wisconsin-Madison	43	University of Laval
17	University of Southern California	44	University of Montreal
18	École polytechnique	45	Moscow State University
19	École normale supérieure	46	Saint Petersburg State University
20	École pratique des hautes études	47	Kazan Federal University
21	Panthéon-Sorbonne University	48	Bauman Moscow State Technical University
22	Paris-Sorbonne University	49	University of Vienna
23	Paris Nanterre University	50	Université Libre de Bruxelles
24	Humboldt University of Berlin	51	Kyiv University
25	Leipzig University	52	National University of Kharkiv
26	Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich		
27	University of Göttingen		




Fig. 17. Same as in Fig. 16 but from FRWIKI.

(see Fig. 10). A compact cluster of German universities is also well visible. We point that there are only 2 isolated French universities among top friends appearing in Fig. 16; no university from other countries points toward these 2 universities, and these 2 universities point exclusively toward UK/US universities. In total this network of top friends has 13 US universities, 6 of DE, 3 of UK, 3 of RU, 2 of UA, 2 of FR. Since the network is obtained from ENWIKI it is understandable that US universities (with UK ones) form the majority. However, German universities show their strength and significant influence. Comparing to them French university group is small and not significant being placed behind Russian universities. This network clearly shows the weak representation and influence of French universities that reflects a certain reality.

The network of top friends from FRWIKI is shown in Fig. 17. Here we have the dominance of 13 German universities, followed by 11 of US, 6 of France and 4 of Russia. Still the indirect links play a dominant or comparable role with the direct links. In this network the cluster structure is less visible, however as in Figs. 12 and 16 the cluster of US-UK universities is central and acts as an attractor subset.

Fig. 18 shows the network of top friends from DEWIKI. Here, naturally, the dominance of 14 German universities remains, to be compared with 11 of US, 3 of France, 3 of UK and 2 of Russia. In global DE universities are distributed over 3 clusters, and US over 2 clusters.

The network of top friends from RUWIKI is shown in Fig. 19. The dominance of German universities is well present here with 16 of them followed by 10 of US, 4 of Russia and 3 of UK. Three major hubs are clearly visible a German one centered around HU Berlin / Heidelberg / Göttingen, an US-UK one centered around Harvard and Oxford, and a Russian one centered around Moscow SU.




Fig. 18. Same as in Fig. 16 but from DEWIKI.




Fig. 19. Same as in Fig. 16 but from RUWIKI.

The analysis of this subsection allows to establish most close links between top world universities. It also shows the dominance of US and German universities.

7 Reduced Google matrix averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions

Above we have considered ranking and interactions from a view point of a given edition. Using the reduced Google

matrix approach it is possible to perform an averaging over all 24 editions thus determining the averaged cultural view on selected universities. With this aim, for each of the 24 Wikipedia editions listed in Tab. 1, we select the subset of articles devoted to the 100 first PageRank universities of WRWU (see Tab. SII). Then we compute the corresponding reduced Google matrix \bar{G}_R averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions. The averaging is defined by the relation

$$\bar{G}_R = \frac{1}{24} \sum_E G_R^{(E)} \quad (6)$$

where $G_R^{(E)}$ is the reduced Google matrix (4) of the Wikipedia edition E . Each one of the 24 reduced Google matrices is written in the same basis corresponding to the ordered PageRank list of 100 universities. For a given edition E , reduced Google matrix entries corresponding to a link pointing toward an absent university in edition E are set to 0 and reduced Google matrix columns corresponding to absent universities in edition E are filled with $1/100$ entries. These contributions from absent universities are added to the $G_{pr}^{(E)}$ matrix components of the full reduced Google matrices $G_R^{(E)}$.

We note that the averaging of 24 $G_R^{(E)}$ matrices with equal weights gives us again the reduced Google matrix which performs an averaging over different cultural views of 24 editions.

The PageRank vector computed from the averaged reduced Google matrix \bar{G}_R is presented in Tab. 10. We see that the rank order is changed comparing to the Θ -averaging (5) with the top 10 PageRank universities given in Tab. 2 (list of top 100 is given in Tab. SII). We see that Harvard takes the first position instead of the third one in Tab. 2 and then Oxford and Cambridge are moved to second and third positions in Tab. 10. The top ten universities of Tab. 10 have overlap of 100% with PageRank WRWU of Tab. 2 and 90% with ARWU of Tab. 3. It can be discussed what ranking averaging over 24 cultural view of editions is more appropriate: with Θ -averaging or with averaging of $G_R^{(E)}$. We think that both approaches are useful: in Θ -averaging all PageRanking vectors are completely independent while averaging of $G_R^{(E)}$ introduces some additional links which are not present in certain network editions.

The obtained averaged Reduced Google matrix \bar{G}_R and its three components are shown in Fig. 20. In global the matrix structure is similar to those of individual editions discussed above. The component \bar{G}_{pr} has the dominant weight but it is rather close to the columns of PageRank vector and hence the interesting links are determined by the components \bar{G}_{rr} and \bar{G}_{qr} which have comparable weights. It is well seen that there are indirect links which are not present between direct ones.

From the matrix of direct and indirect links $\bar{G}_{rr} + \bar{G}_{qrnd}$ we construct the interaction friendship network between above considered 100 universities divided by certain groups. Such a network takes into account cultural views of all 24 editions. We now show all links by the same black

color since after averaging over 24 editions there is a significant mixture of direct and indirect links.

In our first division, we mark universities by foundation time (century) periods: red for foundation years from 1000 to 1300 AD, blue from 1300 to 1600 AD, green from 1600 to 1800 AD and black from 1800 to 2000 AD. Each time period has its leader taken as a university with highest rank position in this period. The resulting network of friends is shown in Fig. 21. This network shows an interesting evolution of interactions between universities through 10 centuries: the cluster of universities founded in 11th-13th centuries, marked in red, is formed mainly by UK and Italian universities (one from Spain, group leader is Oxford). This cluster transfers its influence via interaction and links to next 14th-16th centuries universities, marked in blue, which are mainly from northern countries including Scotland, Denmark, Germany, Sweden and Netherlands (group leader is Copenhagen). The influence of these universities is transferred to 17th-18th centuries universities, marked in green, being mainly near the blue cluster and located in the same countries with addition of Moscow in Russia, Tartu in Estonia, Helsinki in Finland;

Table 10. Top100 2017 WRWU ordered according to averaged reduced Google matrix.

Rank	PageRank value	University	Rank	PageRank value	University
1	0.0633191	Harvard University	51	0.00659655	Univ. of Colorado Boulder
2	0.0528587	Univ. of Oxford	52	0.00657266	Univ. of Glasgow
3	0.0518905	Univ. of Cambridge	53	0.00636839	Univ. of Toronto
4	0.0339304	MIT ^a	54	0.0063255	Stockholm University
5	0.0301911	Columbia University	55	0.00624184	Univ. of Tübingen
6	0.0283041	Yale University	56	0.00609986	Univ. of Texas at Austin
7	0.0261455	Stanford University	57	0.00593539	Univ. of Virginia
8	0.024318	UC Berkeley ^b	58	0.00584412	Imperial College London
9	0.0229394	Princeton University	59	0.00582829	Carnegie Mellon University
10	0.0215136	Univ. of Chicago	60	0.00579437	Univ. of Bonn
11	0.0197203	Univ. of Copenhagen	61	0.00570673	Univ. of Minnesota
12	0.0168679	HU Berlin ^c	62	0.00567465	Keio University
13	0.0160439	Uppsala University	63	0.00557384	Univ. of Helsinki
14	0.0148231	Univ. of Tokyo	64	0.00548871	King's College London
15	0.0135633	Moscow State University	65	0.0054485	Univ. of Florida
16	0.0127305	Cornell University	66	0.00538279	Univ. of Zurich
17	0.0126064	HUJI ^d	67	0.00536546	Univ. of Manchester
18	0.0125732	Univ. of Pennsylvania	68	0.00523928	McGill University
19	0.0120329	UCLA ^e	69	0.00507791	Free University of Berlin
20	0.011732	Leiden University	70	0.00505635	Univ. of Washington
21	0.011246	Caltech ^f	71	0.00505447	Univ. of Illinois U.-C.
22	0.0112404	New York University	72	0.00497258	Brown University
23	0.0112273	Univ. of Vienna	73	0.00491903	Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison
24	0.0104997	Univ. of Edinburgh	74	0.00485964	Northwestern University
25	0.0103698	Jagiellonian University	75	0.00480294	Univ. of Coimbra
26	0.0101557	Univ. of Bologna	76	0.00479832	Univ. of Oslo
27	0.0100089	Univ. of Göttingen	77	0.00477973	Univ. of Padua
28	0.00987766	Heidelberg University	78	0.00476805	Georgetown University
29	0.00982921	Univ. of Michigan	79	0.00475634	UNAM ^g
30	0.00974263	Lund University	80	0.00468635	Boston University
31	0.00929623	LSE ^h	81	0.0045985	Ohio State University
32	0.00918967	Johns Hopkins University	82	0.00458516	Michigan State University
33	0.00909002	Univ. of Warsaw	83	0.00452351	Univ. of Geneva
34	0.00902656	Seoul National University	84	0.00451385	Univ. of Marburg
35	0.00877768	Leipzig University	85	0.00433353	Univ. of Salamanca
36	0.00832413	Univ. of Munich ⁱ	86	0.0042273	Univ. of Freiburg
37	0.00791791	Waseda University	87	0.00418341	Univ. of Arizona
38	0.0076835	Univ. College London	88	0.00417181	Univ. of Jena
39	0.00751886	Duke University	89	0.00415139	MLU ^m
40	0.00718132	Sapienza ^j	90	0.00401368	Univ. of St Andrews
41	0.00711981	ETH Zurich	91	0.00398415	TU Berlin ⁿ
42	0.0071081	USC ^j	92	0.00391916	UNC Chapel Hill ^o
43	0.00693105	École Polytechnique	93	0.00390789	Univ. of Tartu
44	0.00692597	Peking University	94	0.00388656	TU Munich ^p
45	0.00682986	Al-Azhar University	95	0.00385376	Univ. of Sydney
46	0.00682254	École Normale Supérieure	96	0.00384341	UC San Diego ^q
47	0.00680075	Kyoto University	97	0.00371085	Trinity College, Dublin
48	0.00666809	Charles University	98	0.00368454	Indiana University
49	0.00666454	SPbU ^k	99	0.00355122	University of Notre Dame
50	0.00662585	Utrecht University	100	0.00353878	University of Kiel

^aMassachusetts Institute of Technology, ^bUniversity of California, Berkeley, ^cHumboldt University of Berlin, ^dHebrew University of Jerusalem, ^eUniversity of California, Los Angeles, ^fCalifornia Institute of Technology, ^gLondon School of Economics, ^hLudwig Maximilian University of Munich, ⁱSapienza University of Rome, ^jUniversity of Southern California, ^kSaint Petersburg State University, ^lNational Autonomous University of Mexico, ^mMartin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg, ⁿTechnical University of Berlin, ^oUniversity of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, ^pTechnical University of Munich, ^qUniversity of California, San Diego




Fig. 20. Reduced Google matrix \bar{G}_R for PageRank top 100 universities in WRWU (top 10 is in Tab. 2, top 100 is in Tab. S11) averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions. Matrix entries correspond to universities ordered according the top 100 WRWU PageRank order. The full reduced Google matrix \bar{G}_R is presented in top left panel, \bar{G}_{pr} in top right panel, \bar{G}_{rr} in bottom left panel, and \bar{G}_{qrnd} in bottom right panel. The matrix weights are $W_R = 1$, $W_{pr} = 0.957$, $W_{rr} = 0.019$, $W_{qr} = 0.024$, $W_{qrnd} = 0.015$.

another group of green universities of this time period is linked with Oxford and Cambridge and is located mainly on US east coast (Harvard, Yale, Princeton; Columbia is directly linked to Cambridge). The university of next centuries 19th-20th, marked in black (MIT is group leader), are mainly located in US but new universities of this time period appear also in Japan (Tokyo, Kyoto), Egypt (Al-Azhar), Germany (TU Munich, TU Berlin, Free Berlin) and Sweden (Stockholm). Thus the obtained friendship network provides a compact description of world universities development through 10 centuries taking into account the balanced view of 24 cultures presented by Wikipedia editions.

In our second division we mark universities by continent location: blue for America, red for Europe, green for Asia (Russia is attributed to Asia), yellow for Oceania and black for Africa. Again color group leaders are marked by full circles. The friendship network obtained from $\bar{G}_{rr} + \bar{G}_{qrnd}$ is shown in Fig. 22. The network has two large clusters of European universities (red) and US universities (blue). The group of university in Asia (green) is mainly linked between themselves having secondary links with Europe and US. Oceania (Sydney) and Africa (Al-Azhar) are represented only by one university. This network structure clearly shows the influence of European




Fig. 21. Century reduced friendship network constructed for universities of PageRank top 100 list of WRWU (see Tab. S11, top 10 are in Tab. 2), computed from $\bar{G}_{rr} + \bar{G}_{qnd}$ averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions. Color marks university founded at the same time (century) period given in years; color filled circles are time period leaders, open circles of the same color are universities from the same time period. We show 5 friendship levels (gray circles). Links originating from 1st level universities are presented by solid lines, from 2nd level by dashed lines, from 3rd level by dotted lines, and from 4th or 5th level by “\” symbol lines.

and US universities with emerging group of new group of Asian universities with strong internal links.

8 Discussion

In this work we performed analysis of ranking and interactions of world universities from directed networks of 24 Wikipedia editions dated by May 2017. Our results show that obtained WRWU2017 with PageRank algorithm averaged over 24 editions gives a reliable ranking of universi-

ties with 60% overlap with top 100 of ARWU2017 (Shanghai ranking) [3]. At the same time WRWU2017 highlights in a stronger way the significance of historical path of a given university over centuries. There are certain changes in WRWU2017 version comparing to WRWU2013 version demonstrating appearance of new universities with time evolving and with the increase of the number of Wikipedia articles in the 24 selected editions. A comparison of WRWU and ARWU ranking positions for specific uni-




Fig. 22. Continent reduced friendship network constructed for universities of PageRank top 100 list of WRWU (see Tab. SI1, top 10 are in Tab. 2), computed from $\bar{G}_{rr} + \bar{G}_{qrd}$ averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions. Color marks university of the same continent; color filled circles are continent leaders, open circles of the same color are universities from the same continent. We obtain 5 friendship levels (gray circles). Links originating from 1st level universities are presented by solid lines, from 2nd level by dashed lines, from 3rd level by dotted lines, and from 4th or 5th level by “\” symbol lines.

versities (e.g. Rice University) shows that the Wikipedia visibility can be significantly improved in certain cases.

We also performed an additional analysis based on the reduced Google matrix (REGOMAX) algorithm [26, 27]. This approach allowed us to establish direct and indirect links between universities and world countries. As a result we obtain the sensitivity and influence of specific universities on world countries as it is seen by Wikipedia. The REGOMAX method allows to perform a democratic and uniform averaging over cultural views of 24 language editions and obtain a balanced cultural view on the interactions of top world universities through ten centuries of their historical development as well as their influence over continents.

Finally we stress that the WRWU method is independent of various personal opinions being based on purely mathematical and statistical analysis of the Wikipedia database. We think that this approach can be very complementary to ARWU and other university rankings. We note that Wikipedia articles of universities usually appear

in the top line (or lines) of Google search. As a result the world visibility of a given university can be publicly and freely broadcast all over the world increasing visibility of certain universities. We estimate that the improvement of Wikipedia articles of certain universities (e.g. we found a low visibility of French universities) can be an efficient way to increase their world visibility, attractivity and influence. Such an improvement is rather inexpensive and can be performed by a small group of researchers and students having knowledge in languages, history, computer and network sciences. We think that this approach can be complementary to various government projects which aim to increase visibility of national universities (like e.g. [4, 5]).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the French “Investissements d’Avenir” program, project ISITE-BFC (contract ANR-15-IDEX-0003) and by the Bourgogne Franche-Comté Region 2017-2020

APEX project (conventions 2017Y-06426, 2017Y-06413, 2017Y-07534; see <http://perso.utinam.cnrs.fr/~lages/apex/>). The research of DLS is supported in part by the Programme Investissements d'Avenir ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02, reference ANR-10-LABX-0037-NEXT (project THETRACOM).

References

1. UNESCO Liaison Office 24 July 2017, New York Office, Available: www.unesco.org/new/en/unesco-liaison-office-in-new-york/about-this-office/single-view/news/unesco-emphasizes-the-role-of-universities-and-higher-educat/. Accessed July 2018
2. E. Hazelkorn, *Rankings and the Reshaping of Higher Education: The Battle for World-Class Excellence*, Palgrave Macmillan, New York (2015)
3. Academic Ranking of World Universities. Available: <http://www.shanghairanking.com/>. Accessed July 2018
4. Enseignement supérieur et recherche, Investissements d'avenir. Available: <http://www.enseignementsup-recherche.gouv.fr/pid24578/investissements-d-avenir.html>. Accessed July 2018
5. Russian Academic Excellence Project. Available: <http://5top100.ru/>. Accessed July 2018
6. Times Higher Education World University Ranking. Available: <https://www.timeshighereducation.com>. Accessed July 2018
7. U-Multirank of European Union. Available: <http://www.umultirank.org/>. Accessed July 2018
8. IREG Observatory on Academic Ranking and Excellence. Available: <http://ireg-observatory.org/en/>. Accessed July 2018
9. H. Jöns and M. Hoyler, *Global geographies of higher education: The perspective of world university rankings*, *Geoforum* **46**, 45 (2013)
10. A. Rauhvargers, *Global University Rankings and Their Impact - Report II*, European University Association (2013) ISBN: 9789078997412
11. D. Docampo and L. Cram, *On the internal dynamics of the Shanghai ranking*, *Scientometrics* **98**, 1347 (2014)
12. A.O. Zhirov, O.V. Zhirov and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Two-dimensional ranking of Wikipedia articles*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **77**, 523 (2010)
13. Y.-H. Eom, K.M. Frahm, A. Benczur and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Time evolution of Wikipedia network ranking*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **86**, 492 (2013)
14. J.Lages, A.Patt and D.L.Shepelyansky, *Wikipedia ranking of world universities*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **89**, 69 (2016)
15. Y.-H. Eom, P.Aragon, D.Laniado, A.Kaltenbrunner, S.Vigna and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Interactions of cultures and top people of Wikipedia from ranking of 24 language editions*, *PLoS ONE* **10**(3), e0114825 (2015)
16. EC FET Open project NADINE Available: www.quantware.ups-tlse.fr/FETNADINE/. Accessed July 2018
17. S. Brin and L. Page, *The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine*, *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems* **30**, 107 (1998).
18. A.M. Langville and C.D. Meyer, *Google's PageRank and beyond: the science of search engine rankings*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (2006)
19. L. Ermann, K.M. Frahm and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Google matrix analysis of directed networks*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 1261 (2015)
20. L. Ermann, K.M. Frahm and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Google matrix*, *Scholarpedia* **11**(11), 30944 (2016)
21. R.A. Page1 (2016) Available: <http://librarylearningsspace.com/ruths-rankings-17-wikipedia-google-scholar-sources-university-rankings-influence-popularity-open-bibliometrics/>. Accessed July 2018
22. Web page WRWU 2013, Available: <http://perso.utinam.cnrs.fr/~lages/datasets/WRWU/>. Accessed July 2018
23. G. Katz and L. Rokach, *Wikiometrics: a Wikipedia based ranking system*, *World Wide Web* **20**(6), 1153 (2017)
24. K. Ban, M. Perc and Z. Levnajic, *Robust clustering of languages across Wikipedia growth*, *R. Soc. open sci.* **4**, 171217 (2017)
25. K.M. Frahm and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Wikipedia networks of 24 editions of 2017*, Available: <http://www.quantware.ups-tlse.fr/QWLIB/24wiki2017>. Accessed July 2018
26. K.M. Frahm and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Reduced Google matrix*, *arXiv:1602.02394*[physics.soc] (2016)
27. K.M. Frahm, K. Jaffrès-Runser and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Wikipedia mining of hidden links between political leaders*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **89**, 269 (2016)
28. S. El Zant, K.M. Frahm, K. Jaffrès-Runser and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Analysis of world terror networks from the reduced Google matrix of Wikipedia*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **91**, 7 (2018)
29. J. Lages, D.L. Shepelyansky and A. Zinovyev, *Inferring hidden causal relations between pathway members using reduced Google matrix of directed biological networks*, *PLoS ONE* **13**(1), e0190812 (2018)
30. Web page WRWU 2017, Available: <http://perso.utinam.cnrs.fr/~lages/datasets/WRWU17/>. Accessed July 2018
31. A.D. Chepelianskii, *Towards physical laws for software architecture*, *arXiv:1003.5455* [cs.SE] (2010)
32. Country codes ISO 3166-1 alpha-2. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISO_3166-1_alpha-2. Accessed July 2018
33. *Jenks natural breaks optimization* entry in English Wikipedia, Available https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jenks_natural_breaks_optimization. Accessed June 2018
34. S. El Zant, K. Jaffrès-Runser, K.M. Frahm and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Interactions and influence of world painters from the reduced Google matrix of Wikipedia networks*, *PLoS ONE* **13**(8), e0201397 (2018)
35. P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N.S. Baliga, J.T. Wang, D. Ramage, N. Amin, B. Schwikowski and T. Ideker, *Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks*, *Genome Research* **13**(11), 2498-504 (2003)

Supplementary Information: World influence and interactions of universities from Wikipedia networks




Fig. SI1. University to Country sector of the G_{qr} matrix (left panel) and of the $G_{rr} + G_{qrnd}$ composite matrix (right panel). See Fig. 5, bottom left (right) panel for the complete G_{rr} (G_{qr}) matrix.




Fig. SI2. Reduced network from $G_{rr} + G_{qrnd}$ associated to the top20 ENWRWU and 240 countries listed in Tab. SI4. For each regional leaders, Stanford University, University of Chicago, Harvard University, University of Oxford, the four strongest links to one of the 240 countries are presented. Universities (countries) are represented by empty (full) nodes. The color code for countries depends on the main spoken language: **blue** for English, **red** for Arabic, **orange** for Spanish, **violet** for Chinese, **green** for Hindi, **yellow** for Hebrew, and gray for others. Red links are purely hidden links and black ones are at least present in the adjacency matrix.




Fig. SI3. Matrices G_{rr} (left panel) and G_{qrnd} (right panel) for top20 FRWRWU (Tab. 6). The matrix weights are $W_{rr} = 0.01404$ and $W_{qrnd} = 0.00746$.




Fig. SI4. Matrices G_{rr} (left panel) and G_{qrnd} (right panel) for top20 DEWRWU (Tab. 7). The matrix weights are $W_{rr} = 0.00746$ and $W_{qrnd} = 0.01280$.




Fig. SI5. Matrices G_{rr} (left panel) and G_{qrnd} (right panel) for top20 RUWRWU (Tab. 8). The matrix weights are $W_{rr} = 0.01417$ and $W_{qrnd} = 0.00798$.




Fig. SI6. G_{pr} part of the reduced Google matrix G_R for universities listed in Tab. 9 computed from EN (top left), FR (top right), DE (bottom left), and RU (bottom right) Wikipedia editions. Matrix weights are $W_{pr} \simeq 0.956$ (EN), 0.966 (FR), 0.96 (DE) and 0.963 (RU).




Fig. SI7. G_{tr} part of the reduced Google matrix G_R for universities listed in Tab. 9 computed from EN (top left), FR (top right), DE (bottom left), and RU (bottom right) Wikipedia editions. Matrix weights are $W_{\text{tr}} \simeq 0.0148$ (EN), 0.0144 (FR), 0.0111 (DE), 0.0105 (RU).




Fig. SI8. G_{qr} part of the reduced Google matrix G_R for universities listed in Tab. 9 computed from EN (top left), FR (top right), DE (bottom left), and RU (bottom right) Wikipedia editions. Matrix weights are $W_{qr} \simeq 0.0153$ (EN), 0.00923 (FR), 0.015 (DE), 0.0138 (RU).

Table SI1. List of the first 100 universities of the 2017 Wikipedia Ranking of World Universities using PageRank algorithm. For a given university, the score Θ_{PR} is defined by (5), N_a is the number of appearances in the top 100 lists of Wikipedia editions, CC is the country code, LC is the language code, and FC is the foundation century.

Rank	Θ_{PR}	N_a	University	CC	LC	FC	Rank	Θ_{PR}	N_a	University	CC	LC	FC
1	2281	24	University of Oxford	UK	EN	11	51	464	13	University of Manchester	UK	EN	19
2	2278	24	University of Cambridge	UK	EN	13	52	461	11	Sapienza University of Rome	IT	IT	14
3	2277	24	Harvard University	US	EN	17	53	447	9	Al-Azhar University	EG	AR	20
4	2099	24	Columbia University	US	EN	18	54	437	8	University of Helsinki	FI	WR	17
5	1959	23	Yale University	US	EN	18	55	436	13	University of Minnesota	US	EN	19
6	1917	24	University of Chicago	US	EN	19	56	429	10	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	US	EN	19
7	1858	23	Princeton University	US	EN	18	57	425	13	McGill University	CA	EN	19
8	1825	21	Stanford University	US	EN	19	58	391	5	Lund University	SE	SV	17
9	1804	21	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	US	EN	19	59	377	11	Georgetown University	US	EN	18
10	1693	20	University of California, Berkeley	US	EN	19	60	376	10	Peking University	CN	ZH	19
11	1578	22	Humboldt University of Berlin	DE	DE	19	61	351	9	University of Geneva	CH	DE	16
12	1534	22	Cornell University	US	EN	19	62	349	8	Imperial College London	UK	EN	20
13	1472	22	University of Pennsylvania	US	EN	18	63	347	7	University of Oslo	NO	WR	19
14	1408	23	New York University	US	EN	19	64	346	8	University of Padua	IT	IT	13
15	1395	21	University of California, Los Angeles	US	EN	19	65	337	13	University of Washington	US	EN	19
16	1303	21	University of Edinburgh	UK	EN	16	66	331	6	Kyoto University	JP	JA	19
17	1298	20	University of Michigan	US	EN	19	67	329	9	Saint Petersburg State University	RU	RU	18
18	1221	21	Johns Hopkins University	US	EN	19	68	325	9	Brown University	US	EN	18
19	1216	20	University of Vienna	AT	DE	14	69	308	10	University of Arizona	US	EN	19
20	1198	20	University of Göttingen	DE	DE	18	70	306	7	Ohio State University	US	EN	19
21	1189	21	Heidelberg University	DE	DE	14	71	297	7	University of Tartu	EE	WR	17
22	1099	18	California Institute of Technology	US	EN	19	72	290	9	Northwestern University	US	EN	19
23	1075	21	Moscow State University	RU	RU	18	73	289	5	Waseda University	JP	JA	19
24	1031	20	University of Bologna	IT	IT	11	74	281	8	Boston University	US	EN	19
25	998	19	Leipzig University	DE	DE	15	75	273	5	University of Warsaw	PL	PL	19
26	993	19	Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich	DE	DE	15	76	263	6	ETH Zurich	CH	DE	19
27	975	17	London School of Economics	UK	EN	19	77	261	6	University of Marburg	DE	DE	16
28	891	16	Uppsala University	SE	SV	15	78	247	8	Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg	DE	DE	16
29	874	20	University of Southern California	US	EN	19	79	246	6	Michigan State University	US	EN	19
30	851	18	University of Tokyo	JP	JA	19	80	241	7	University of Jena	DE	DE	16
31	770	15	Leiden University	NL	NL	16	81	235	7	University of Notre Dame	US	EN	19
32	746	13	University College London	UK	EN	19	82	231	6	Free University of Berlin	DE	DE	20
33	653	15	University of Toronto	CA	EN	19	83	226	7	University of Salamanca	ES	ES	12
34	651	14	Charles University	CZ	CS	14	84	225	6	University of Freiburg	DE	DE	15
35	638	17	Duke University	US	EN	19	85	221	4	Seoul National University	KR	KO	20
36	600	13	École normale supérieure	FR	FR	18	86	218	5	University of Colorado Boulder	US	EN	19
37	585	15	University of Copenhagen	DK	DA	15	87	215	5	Trinity College, Dublin	IE	EN	16
38	565	11	University of Texas at Austin	US	EN	19	88	209	8	Indiana University	US	EN	19
39	555	14	University of Tübingen	DE	DE	15	89	207	7	Technical University of Munich	DE	DE	19
40	531	13	University of Bonn	DE	DE	19	90	207	7	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill	US	EN	18
41	526	15	Carnegie Mellon University	US	EN	19	91	206	5	University of Coimbra	PT	PT	13
42	516	10	University of Florida	US	EN	19	92	204	5	Stockholm University	SE	SV	19
43	511	12	Jagiellonian University	PL	PL	14	93	204	5	Utrecht University	NL	NL	17
44	505	11	University of Glasgow	UK	EN	15	94	203	4	Technical University of Berlin	DE	DE	20
45	501	11	École polytechnique	FR	FR	18	95	199	3	Keio University	JP	JA	19
46	501	13	Hebrew University of Jerusalem	IL	HE	20	96	199	6	University of California, San Diego	US	EN	20
47	499	13	University of Wisconsin-Madison	US	EN	19	97	196	5	University of St Andrews	UK	EN	15
48	489	9	King's College London	UK	EN	16	98	196	4	University of Sydney	AU	EN	19
49	482	14	University of Virginia	US	EN	19	99	195	4	University of Kiel	DE	DE	17
50	473	15	University of Zurich	CH	DE	16	100	193	3	National Autonomous University of Mexico	MX	ES	20

Table SI2. List of the first 100 universities of the 2017 Academic Ranking of World Universities (ARWU). CC is the country code, LC is the language code, and FC is the foundation century.

Rank	University	CC	LC	FC	Rank	University	CC	LC	FC
1	Harvard University	US	EN	17	51	University of Texas at Austin	US	EN	19
2	Stanford University	US	EN	19	52	Vanderbilt University	US	EN	19
3	University of Cambridge	UK	EN	13	53	University of Maryland, College Park	US	EN	19
4	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	US	EN	19	54	University of Southern California	US	EN	19
5	University of California, Berkeley	US	EN	19	55	University of Queensland	AU	EN	20
6	Princeton University	US	EN	18	56	University of Helsinki	FI	WR	17
7	University of Oxford	UK	EN	18	57	Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich	DE	DE	15
8	Columbia University	US	EN	18	58	University of Zurich	CH	DE	16
9	California Institute of Technology	US	EN	19	59	University of Groningen	NL	DE	17
10	University of Chicago	US	EN	19	60	University of Geneva	CH	FR	16
11	Yale University	US	EN	18	61	University of Bristol	UK	EN	20
12	University of California, Los Angeles	US	EN	19	62	University of Oslo	NO	WR	19
13	University of Washington	US	EN	19	63	Uppsala University	SE	SV	15
14	Cornell University	US	EN	19	64	University of California, Irvine	US	EN	20
15	University of California, San Diego	US	EN	20	65	Aarhus University	DK	DA	20
16	University College London	UK	EN	19	66	McMaster University	CA	EN	19
17	University of Pennsylvania	US	EN	18	67	McGill University	CA	EN	19
18	Johns Hopkins University	US	EN	19	68	University of Pittsburgh	US	EN	18
19	ETH Zurich	CH	DE	19	69	École Normale Supérieure	FR	FR	18
20	Washington University in St. Louis	US	EN	19	70	Ghent University	BE	FR	19
21	University of California, San Francisco	US	EN	19	71	Mayo Medical School	US	EN	20
22	Northwestern University	US	EN	19	72	Peking University	CN	ZH	19
23	University of Toronto	CA	EN	19	73	Erasmus University Rotterdam	NL	NL	20
24	University of Michigan	US	EN	19	74	Rice University	US	EN	20
25	University of Tokyo	JP	JA	19	75	Stockholm University	SE	SV	19
26	Duke University	US	EN	19	76	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	CH	ZH	20
27	Imperial College London	UK	EN	20	77	Purdue University	US	EN	19
28	University of Wisconsin-Madison	US	EN	19	78	Monash University	AU	EN	20
29	New York University	US	EN	19	79	Rutgers University	US	EN	18
30	University of Copenhagen	DK	DA	15	80	Boston University	US	EN	19
31	University of British Columbia	CA	EN	20	81	Carnegie Mellon University	US	EN	19
32	University of Edinburgh	UK	EN	16	82	Ohio State University	US	EN	19
33	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill	US	EN	18	83	University of Sydney	AU	EN	19
34	University of Minnesota	US	EN	19	84	Nagoya University	JP	JA	19
35	Kyoto University	JP	JA	19	85	Georgia Institute of Technology	US	EN	19
36	Rockefeller University	US	EN	20	86	Pennsylvania State University	US	EN	19
37	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	US	EN	19	87	University of California, Davis	US	EN	20
38	University of Manchester	UK	EN	14	88	Leiden University	NL	NL	16
39	University of Melbourne	AU	EN	19	89	University of Florida	US	EN	19
40	Pierre and Marie Curie University	FR	FR	20	90	KU Leuven	BE	FR	15
41	University of Paris-Sud	FR	FR	20	91	National University of Singapore	SG	ZH	20
42	Heidelberg University	DE	DE	14	92	The University of Western Australia	AU	EN	20
43	University of Colorado Boulder	US	EN	19	93	Moscow State University	RU	RU	18
44	Karolinska Institute	SE	SV	19	94	Technion - Israel Institute of Technology	IL	HE	20
45	University of California, Santa Barbara	US	EN	19	95	University of Basel	CH	ZH	15
46	King's College London	UK	EN	16	96	University of Göttingen	DE	DE	18
47	Utrecht University	NL	NL	17	97	Australian National University	AU	EN	20
48	The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas	US	EN	20	98	University of California, Santa Cruz	US	EN	20
49	Tsinghua University	CN	ZH	20	99	Cardiff University	UK	EN	19
50	Technical University of Munich	DE	DE	19	100	University of Arizona	US	EN	19

Table SI3. List of countries with corresponding country codes (CC) and language codes (LC). Only countries appearing in the top 100 universities of the 24 considered 2017 Wikipedia editions using PageRank, CheiRank, and 2DRank algorithms are listed here. LC is determined by the most spoken language in the given country. Country codes (CC) follow ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 standard [32]. Language codes are based on language edition codes of Wikipedia; WR represents all languages other than the considered 24 languages.

CC	Country	LC	CC	Country	LC	CC	Country	LC
AE	United Arab Emirates	AR	GE	Georgia	WR	NP	Nepal	WR
AF	Afghanistan	FA	GL	Greenland	DA	NZ	New Zealand	EN
AL	Albania	WR	GR	Greece	EL	PE	Peru	ES
AM	Armenia	WR	GT	Guatemala	ES	PG	Papua New Guinea	EN
AO	Angola	PT	HK	Hong Kong	ZH	PH	Philippines	EN
AR	Argentina	ES	HN	Honduras	ES	PK	Pakistan	HI
AT	Austria	DE	HR	Croatia	WR	PL	Poland	PL
AU	Australia	EN	HU	Hungary	HU	PR	Puerto Rico	ES
AZ	Azerbaijan	TR	ID	Indonesia	WR	PS	State of Palestine	AR
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	WR	IE	Ireland	EN	PT	Portugal	PT
BD	Bangladesh	WR	IL	Israel	HE	QA	Qatar	AR
BE	Belgium	NL	IN	India	HI	RO	Romania	WR
BG	Bulgaria	WR	IQ	Iraq	AR	RS	Serbia	WR
BI	Burundi	WR	IR	Iran	FA	RU	Russia	RU
BJ	Benin	FR	IS	Iceland	WR	SA	Saudi Arabia	AR
BN	Brunei	MS	IT	Italy	IT	SD	Sudan	AR
BR	Brazil	PT	JM	Jamaica	EN	SE	Sweden	SV
BY	Belarus	RU	JO	Jordan	AR	SG	Singapore	ZH
CA	Canada	EN	JP	Japan	JA	SK	Slovakia	WR
CD	Dem. Rep. of Congo	FR	KE	Kenya	EN	SN	Senegal	FR
CH	Switzerland	DE	KG	Kyrgyzstan	WR	SR	Suriname	NL
CI	Ivory Coast	FR	KH	Cambodia	WR	SV	El Salvador	ES
CL	Chile	ES	KP	North Korea	KO	SY	Syria	AR
CN	China	ZH	KR	South Korea	KO	TH	Thailand	TH
CO	Colombia	ES	KW	Kuwait	AR	TL	Timor-Leste	PT
CR	Costa Rica	ES	KZ	Kazakhstan	WR	TN	Tunisia	AR
CU	Cuba	ES	LA	Laos	WR	TR	Turkey	TR
CV	Cape Verde	PT	LB	Lebanon	AR	TW	Taiwan	ZH
CY	Cyprus	EL	LT	Lithuania	WR	UA	Ukraine	WR
CZ	Czech Republic	WR	LV	Latvia	WR	UG	Uganda	EN
DE	Germany	DE	LY	Libya	AR	UK	United Kingdom	EN
DK	Denmark	DA	MA	Morocco	AR	US	United States	EN
DO	Dominican Republic	ES	MK	Macedonia	WR	UY	Uruguay	ES
DZ	Algeria	AR	MM	Myanmar	WR	UZ	Uzbekistan	WR
EC	Ecuador	ES	MT	Malta	EN	VA	Holy See	IT
EE	Estonia	WR	MX	Mexico	ES	VE	Venezuela	ES
EG	Egypt	AR	MY	Malaysia	MS	VN	Vietnam	VI
ES	Spain	ES	NE	Niger	FR	YE	Yemen	AR
FI	Finland	WR	NG	Nigeria	EN	ZA	South Africa	WR
FO	Faroe Islands	DA	NL	Netherlands	NL	ZW	Zimbabwe	EN
FR	France	FR	NO	Norway	WR			

Table SI4. List of 240 countries and territories ranked from 2017 Wikipedia English edition using PageRank algorithm. Country codes (CC) follow ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 standard [32].

Rank	Country	CC	Rank	Country	CC	Rank	Country	CC
1	United States	US	81	Madagascar	MG	161	Niger	NE
2	France	FR	82	Armenia	AM	162	Gabon	GA
3	Germany	DE	83	Lebanon	LB	163	Brunei	BN
4	United Kingdom	UK	84	Cyprus	CY	164	Belize	BZ
5	Iran	IR	85	Antarctica	AQ	165	Guinea	GN
6	India	IN	86	Kazakhstan	KZ	166	Chad	TD
7	Canada	CA	87	Latvia	LV	167	Malawi	MW
8	Australia	AU	88	Panama	PA	168	Togo	TG
9	China	CN	89	Belarus	BY	169	Liechtenstein	LI
10	Italy	IT	90	Albania	AL	170	United States Virgin Islands	VI
11	Japan	JP	91	Papua New Guinea	PG	171	Samoa	WS
12	Russia	RU	92	Luxembourg	LU	172	Burundi	BI
13	Brazil	BR	93	Ghana	GH	173	South Sudan	SS
14	Spain	ES	94	United Arab Emirates	AE	174	Republic of the Congo	CG
15	Netherlands	NL	95	Uruguay	UY	175	East Timor	TL
16	Poland	PL	96	North Korea	KP	176	Cape Verde	CV
17	Sweden	SE	97	Yemen	YE	177	Jersey	JE
18	Mexico	MX	98	Costa Rica	CR	178	Eritrea	ER
19	Turkey	TR	99	Malta	MT	179	Mauritania	MR
20	Romania	RO	100	Tunisia	TN	180	Central African Republic	CF
21	New Zealand	NZ	101	Jamaica	JM	181	Maldives	MV
22	South Africa	ZA	102	Zimbabwe	ZW	182	Tonga	TO
23	Norway	NO	103	Cambodia	KH	183	Andorra	AD
24	Switzerland	CH	104	Cameroon	CM	184	Vanuatu	VU
25	Philippines	PH	105	Mongolia	MN	185	State of Palestine	PS
26	Austria	AT	106	Burkina Faso	BF	186	Lesotho	LS
27	Belgium	BE	107	Jordan	JO	187	Cook Islands	CK
28	Pakistan	PK	108	Sudan	SD	188	The Gambia	GM
29	Argentina	AR	109	Uganda	UG	189	French Polynesia	PF
30	Indonesia	ID	110	Guatemala	GT	190	Swaziland	SZ
31	Greece	GR	111	Libya	LY	191	American Samoa	AS
32	Denmark	DK	112	Greenland	GL	192	Falkland Islands	FK
33	South Korea	KR	113	Dominican Republic	DO	193	Seychelles	SC
34	Israel	IL	114	Haiti	HT	194	Grenada	GD
35	Hungary	HU	115	Moldova	MD	195	San Marino	SM
36	Finland	FI	116	Somalia	SO	196	Northern Mariana Islands	MP
37	Egypt	EG	117	Ivory Coast	CI	197	Saint Lucia	LC
38	Portugal	PT	118	Namibia	NA	198	Palau	PW
39	Taiwan	TW	119	Paraguay	PY	199	Marshall Islands	MH
40	Ukraine	UA	120	Angola	AO	200	Guernsey	GG
41	Sri Lanka	LK	121	Uzbekistan	UZ	201	Equatorial Guinea	GQ
42	Czech Republic	CZ	122	Montenegro	ME	202	Dominica	DM
43	Malaysia	MY	123	Kuwait	KW	203	Cayman Islands	KY
44	Peru	PE	124	Laos	LA	204	Aruba	AW
45	Thailand	TH	125	Mozambique	MZ	205	Guinea-Bissau	GW
46	Hong Kong	HK	126	Nicaragua	NI	206	Curaçao	CW
47	Colombia	CO	127	Qatar	QA	207	Comoros	KM
48	Bulgaria	BG	128	Senegal	SN	208	Djibouti	DJ
49	Chile	CL	129	Fiji	FJ	209	Tuvalu	TV
50	Republic of Ireland	IE	130	Mali	ML	210	Niue	NU
51	Singapore	SG	131	Honduras	HN	211	Western Sahara	EH
52	Serbia	RS	132	Macau	MO	212	British Virgin Islands	VG
53	Azerbaijan	AZ	133	Isle of Man	IM	213	Nauru	NR
54	Vietnam	VN	134	Zambia	ZM	214	Saint Vincent & Grenadines	VC
55	Nepal	NP	135	El Salvador	SV	215	Kiribati	KI
56	Estonia	EE	136	Bermuda	BM	216	Saint Helena	SH
57	Croatia	HR	137	Kyrgyzstan	KG	217	Saint Kitts and Nevis	KN
58	Nigeria	NG	138	Kosovo	XK	218	Mayotte	YT
59	Afghanistan	AF	139	Guyana	GY	219	Micronesia	FM
60	Iraq	IQ	140	Trinidad and Tobago	TT	220	Antigua and Barbuda	AG
61	Bangladesh	BD	141	Mauritius	MU	221	Netherlands Antilles	AN
62	Syria	SY	142	Guam	GU	222	Montserrat	MS
63	Myanmar	MM	143	Tajikistan	TJ	223	São Tomé and Príncipe	ST
64	Kenya	KE	144	Monaco	MC	224	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	PM
65	Slovakia	SK	145	New Caledonia	NC	225	Wallis and Futuna	WF
66	Venezuela	VE	146	Oman	OM	226	Turks and Caicos Islands	TC
67	Slovenia	SI	147	Suriname	SR	227	Sint Maarten	SX
68	Morocco	MA	148	Liberia	LR	228	Saint Barthélemy	BL
69	Cuba	CU	149	Solomon Islands	SB	229	Anguilla	AI
70	Algeria	DZ	150	Sierra Leone	SL	230	Tokelau	TK
71	Bosnia and Herzegovina	BA	151	Bhutan	BT	231	Pitcairn Islands	PN
72	Ecuador	EC	152	The Bahamas	BS	232	Christmas Island	CX
73	Puerto Rico	PR	153	Bahrain	BH	233	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	CC
74	Saudi Arabia	SA	154	Barbados	BB	234	Saint Martin	MF
75	Lithuania	LT	155	Botswana	BW	235	British Indian Ocean Territory	IO
76	Iceland	IS	156	Rwanda	RW	236	Svalbard and Jan Mayen	SJ
77	Bolivia	BO	157	Gibraltar	GI	237	Georgia	GE
78	Tanzania	TZ	158	Faroe Islands	FO	238	Macedonia	MK
79	Ethiopia	ET	159	Turkmenistan	TM	239	Vatican	VA
80	Dem. Rep. of Congo	CD	160	Benin	BJ	240	Reunion	RE

Table SI5. Universities from top100 2017 ARWU ordered according to PageRank algorithm applied to the reduced Google matrix averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions.

Rank	PageRank	University	Rank	PageRank	University
1	0.0745472	Harvard University	51	0.00583189	University of Washington
2	0.0621785	University of Oxford	52	0.00560402	Northwestern University
3	0.0609665	University of Cambridge	53	0.00556462	University of Groningen
4	0.0397826	MIT ^a	54	0.00545821	University of Wisconsin-Madison
5	0.0355868	Columbia University	55	0.00545373	U of I, Illinois ^f
6	0.0333098	Yale University	56	0.00541549	Boston University
7	0.0306724	Stanford University	57	0.00539776	University of Oslo
8	0.0282621	UC Berkeley ^b	58	0.00530861	University of Geneva
9	0.0266544	Princeton University	59	0.00516361	Ohio State University
10	0.0250087	University of Chicago	60	0.00502027	Tsinghua University
11	0.0195607	University of Copenhagen	61	0.00491138	Ghent University
12	0.0189782	Uppsala University	62	0.0049034	University of Arizona
13	0.0176773	University of Tokyo	63	0.00473034	University of California, San Diego
14	0.0165834	Moscow State University	64	0.00468699	Purdue University
15	0.0148824	Cornell University	65	0.00465378	University of Basel
16	0.0145334	University of Pennsylvania	66	0.0046221	UNC Chapel Hill ^g
17	0.0141382	UCLA ^c	67	0.00455207	Technical University of Munich
18	0.0131496	New York University	68	0.00444405	University of Sydney
19	0.0131307	California Institute of Technology	69	0.00437431	University of Maryland, College Park
20	0.0125402	University Göttingen	70	0.00430464	Rutgers University
21	0.0124228	Leiden University	71	0.00404074	Karolinska Institute
22	0.0124135	Heidelberg University	72	0.0039799	University of Pittsburgh
23	0.012155	University of Edinburgh	73	0.00396299	Georgia Institute of Technology
24	0.0115044	University of Michigan	74	0.00385092	Penn State University
25	0.0107339	Johns Hopkins University	75	0.00369518	Washington University in St. Louis
26	0.0105176	University of Munich ^d	76	0.00364923	University of British Columbia
27	0.00917197	University College London	77	0.00360777	Australian National University
28	0.00872849	Duke University	78	0.00355039	University of California, Santa Barbara
29	0.00819352	University of Southern California	79	0.00352429	University of Bristol
30	0.00814739	ETH Zurich	80	0.00337135	National University of Singapore
31	0.00802171	Kyoto University	81	0.00326108	Rockefeller University
32	0.00797102	Aarhus University	82	0.00320465	Rice University
33	0.00795232	University of Colorado Boulder	83	0.00311399	University of Melbourne
34	0.00783698	École Normale Supérieure	84	0.00307731	Vanderbilt University
35	0.00766772	Peking University	85	0.00290042	Erasmus University Rotterdam
36	0.0076523	Stockholm University	86	0.00284488	University of California, Davis
37	0.00744185	University Toronto	87	0.00279911	EPFL ^h
38	0.0070079	University of Texas at Austin	88	0.00273436	Pierre and Marie Curie University
39	0.00685167	Imperial College London	89	0.00272264	Nagoya University
40	0.00681529	Utrecht University	90	0.00265046	University of California, Irvine
41	0.00658785	University of Minnesota	91	0.00263142	University of Queensland
42	0.00654586	Carnegie Mellon University	92	0.00210331	University of California, San Francisco
43	0.00653689	University of Helsinki	93	0.0021006	Monash University
44	0.00651107	KU Leuven	94	0.00198778	University of California, Santa Cruz
45	0.0064442	King's College London	95	0.00189623	Cardiff University
46	0.00639753	University of Zurich	96	0.00186566	McMaster University
47	0.00623053	McGill University	97	0.00186277	University of Paris-Sud
48	0.00621359	University of Manchester	98	0.00157434	The University of Western Australia
49	0.00602113	University of Florida	99	0.0011675	UT Southwestern ⁱ
50	0.00600767	Technion ^e	100	0.00102057	Mayo Medical School

^aMassachusetts Institute of Technology, ^bUniversity of California, Berkeley, ^cUniversity of California, Los Angeles, ^dLudwig Maximilian University of Munich, ^eTechnion - Israel Institute of Technology, ^fUniversity of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, ^gUniversity of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, ^hÉcole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, ⁱThe University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas




Fig. SI10. Reduced network constructed for universities listed in Tab. SI5 computed from $G_{rr} + G_{qrd}$ averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions. Color filled nodes are time period leaders. We obtain 4 friendship levels with corresponding links: black solid lines (level 1), dashed lines (level 2), dotted lines (level 3) and “\” symbol lines (4+).




Fig. SI11. Reduced network constructed for universities listed in Tab. SI5 computed from $G_{Tr} + G_{Qnd}$ averaged over 24 Wikipedia editions. Color filled nodes are continent leaders. We obtain 4 friendship levels with corresponding links: black solid lines (level 1), dashed lines (level 2), dotted lines (level 3) and “\” symbol lines (4+).