

# Unified formalism for Thermal Quantum Field Theories: a geometric viewpoint

M. Blasone<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, P. Jizba<sup>3,4,†</sup> and G. G. Luciano<sup>1,2,3,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R. Caianiello", Università di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 I-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy.*

<sup>2</sup>*INFN, Gruppo collegato di Salerno, Italy.*

<sup>3</sup>*FNSPE, Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 7, 115 19 Praha 1, Czech Republic*

<sup>4</sup>*ITP, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany*

In this paper we study a unified formalism for Thermal Quantum Field Theories, i.e., for the Matsubara approach, Thermo Field Dynamics and the Path Ordered Method. To do so, we employ a mechanism akin to the Hawking effect which explores a relationship between the concept of temperature and spacetimes endowed with event-horizons. In particular, we consider an eight dimensional static spacetime, the so-called  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, which we show to form an appropriate geometric background for generic Thermal Quantum Field Theories. Within this framework, the different formalisms of Thermal Field Theory are unified in a very natural way via various analytical continuations and the set of time-paths used in the Path Ordered Method is interpreted in geometric terms. We also explain reported inconsistencies inherent in the Thermo Field Dynamics through the appearance of horizons (and ensuing loss of information) in the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

Under the generic name *Thermal Quantum Field Theory* (TQFT) [1–6] one collects all formalisms of Quantum Field Theory (QFT) at finite temperature and density, i.e., the Matsubara or Imaginary Time (IT) approach [1–3], Thermo Field Dynamics (TFD) [1, 6–8] and the Path Ordered Method (POM) [5, 9]. The latter includes, for instance, the familiar Closed Time Path (CTP) formalism of Keldysh and Schwinger [1–3] as a special case. The existence of these distinct approaches results from conceptually different efforts to introduce a temperature within the framework of QFT. For instance, in the IT formalism one exploits the analogy between the (inverse) temperature and imaginary time in calculating the partition function. Within this approach, the two-point Green function is given by the Matsubara propagator and the sum over Matsubara frequencies (alongside with various summation techniques) must be invoked when dealing with multi-loop thermal diagrams. Because time is traded for (inverse) temperature, the IT formalism cannot directly address field dynamics within a heat bath and it is thus suitable basically only for QFT at thermal equilibrium. In principle, real-time quantities can be obtained by analytic continuation to the real axis, but in practice this procedure is plagued with ambiguities and further delimitations are typically needed [2, 3, 10]. Aforementioned ambiguities typically appear in higher-point Green's functions, e.g., in three-point thermal Green's functions [11–13]. Ambiguities were also reported in the  $\beta$ -function calculations at the one-loop level [14, 15].

In contrast, both the POM formalism and TFD accommodate time and temperature on equal footing and no extra analytical continuation is required. On one hand, this provides a powerful theoretical platform allowing to address such issues as a temporal dynamics of quantum fields in a thermal heat bath [16–18], dynamics of phase-transition processes [5] or linear responses [2]. On the other hand, the price to be paid for working with the real time is the doubling of the field degrees of freedom which is reflected in a  $2 \times 2$  matrix structure of thermal propagators and self-energies. Consequently, in higher-loop orders a much larger number of diagrams has to be taken into account as compared to the vacuum (i.e., zero temperature) theory. Surprisingly, the POM and TFD approaches lead to the same matrix form for thermal propagator in equilibrium [19]. This is a quite intriguing fact since POM and TFD have very different conceptual underpinnings. In the POM formalism one introduces the temperature by adding a pure imaginary number to the real time and chooses a special time path in the complex-time plane which involves the use of both time- and anti-time-ordered Green functions. In comparison with this, the TFD is a method for describing mixed states as pure states in an enlarged Hilbert space (akin to a purification procedure used in quantum optics [20]). It is characterized by a doubling of the field algebra and its mathematical underpinning is provided by algebraic quantum field theory ( $C^*$ -algebras, the Haag–Hugenholtz–Winnink (HHW) formalism and Tomita–Takesaki modular theory). In the TFD the temperature is contained explicitly in the resulting “vacuum” pure state, which is referred to as the “thermal vacuum” state. Ensuing field propagators are then expressed as expectation values of time-ordered

\* blasone@sa.infn.it

† p.jizba@fjfi.cvut.cz

‡ Corresponding author: gluciano@sa.infn.it

products of quantum fields with respect to such a thermal state. From the above considerations, it appears that a doubling of the degrees of freedom is necessary in order to be able to calculate real-time Green functions. This doubling is, however, absent in the Matsubara formalism, and thus it could be a mere mathematical artifact. Yet, such a doubling of the field degrees of freedom also appears in the axiomatic formulation of quantum statistical mechanics [21–23]. This indicates that a two-component extension is essential for a consistent Minkowski-space field theory at finite temperature and density. This viewpoint will be also confirmed by our subsequent analysis.

An interesting question is whether the aforesaid TQFT formalisms have some roots in common or, in other words, if their features can be understood in a deeper way so that they appear to be unified. A clue to an answer may be found in the well-known discovery of Hawking [24] that temperature may arise in a quantum theory as a result of a non-trivial background endowed with event-horizon(s). In Hawking’s case the background in question is any asymptotically flat black-hole spacetime, such as Schwarzschild [24, 25], Reissner–Nordström [26, 27] or Kerr [28] spacetime. Rindler spacetime, i.e., the spacetime of an accelerated observer, is also known to exhibit thermal features [29–32]. This fact is known as the Unruh (or Davies–Unruh) effect [33]. The same logical scheme can be extended also to cosmological horizons, like the event horizon in de Sitter spacetime, where the ensuing (de Sitter) temperature is  $T_{\text{ds}} = H/2\pi$  ( $H^{-1}$  is the radius of the horizon – de Sitter radius) [34, 35].

In all these cases the (zero-temperature) vacuum state of an inertial observer is perceived as a thermal state by a certain kind of “non-inertial” observers, e.g., a black-hole spacetime (Hartle–Hawking vacuum) represents a thermal state for a static (i.e., non-inertial) observer in Schwarzschild spacetime [24, 36], and similarly, Minkowski vacuum agrees with a thermal state for an accelerated (i.e., non-inertial) observer [33]. It has been known for some time that the aforesaid concept of an observer-dependent vacuum, or more precisely, an observer-dependent notion of particle being emitted from the horizon (alongside with the ensuing concepts of a heat bath and temperature) offers an interesting route towards unification of some of TQFT formalisms [37]. The merger can be achieved when one constructs a new spacetime in which the (zero-temperature) vacuum corresponds to a usual thermal state for Minkowski inertial observer, i.e., where the Minkowski observer is an appropriately chosen non-inertial observer from the point of view of the new spacetime. In addition, such a spacetime should have more than 4 dimensions to allow for analytical continuation between Minkowski and Euclidean spacetimes [38]. Along these lines, a flat background with a non-trivial horizon structure providing desired thermal features – the so-called  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime – has been constructed [37, 39, 40].

In its essence, the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime is a flat complex manifold with complexified  $S^1 \times R^3$  topology. Its Lorentzian section consists of four copies of Minkowski spacetime glued together along their past or future null hyperplanes. Since in Kruskal-like coordinates the metric is singular on these hyperplanes, we shall call them formally event-horizons [41]. Their existence leads to the doubling of the degrees of freedom of the fields. The vacuum propagator on this section is found to be equal to the real-time thermal matrix propagator. On the other hand, in the Euclidean section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, the time coordinate is periodic and the field propagator can be identified with the conventional Matsubara propagator.

Our aim here is to show that the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime is structurally richer than previously thought and, in doing so, we point out the existence and relevance of other complex sections of the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime aside from the already known Lorentzian and Euclidean ones. In this context, it is worth recalling that TQFT formalisms have a number of physically equivalent (though technically distinct) parameterizations [2–5]. For instance, the real-time TQFTs are characterized by a freedom in the parameterization of the thermal matrix propagator. In the POM formalism, this freedom in parameterization is related to the choice of a specific path in the complex-time plane going from  $t = 0$  to  $t = -i\beta$ , which is not unique [42]. This, so called Niemi–Semenoff time path, is depicted in Fig. 1. In TFD, different parameterizations of the Bogoliubov thermal matrix are permitted [19]. We stress that although the choice of the parameterization (both in POM and TFD) is irrelevant in *thermal equilibrium* since it does not affect physical quantities [5, 19], it plays a crucial rôle in non-equilibrium situations, where the choice of a closed-time path in POM or the *left* and *right* statistical states in TFD relate to a particular form of a transport equation [19]. As yet, such distinct parameterizations of TQFTs have not been considered in the context of the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. Here we will see that all the aforementioned parameterizations can be realized within the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime framework and can be interpreted in purely geometrical terms. The geometric picture for TQFTs is consequently enlarged. We will also show how the unification of the different formalisms of TQFT arises naturally within this framework. The generalization introduced here could be useful in order to extend the geometric picture of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime to systems out of thermal equilibrium, for which the typical choice of equilibrium parameterization is not convenient [19].

In passing, we mention that the idea of translating some features of a physical system in terms of a geometric background may be of interest also in other contexts than those considered here. For instance, it has been highlighted that in the standard QFT on Minkowski spacetime, flavor mixing relations hide a Bogoliubov transformation that is responsible for the unitary inequivalence of flavor and mass representations and their related vacuum structures [43, 44]. The same scenario has been recently investigated also for an accelerated observer [31, 32]. In this context it has been pointed out that the Bogoliubov transformation associated to flavor mixing and the one arising from the




FIG. 1: The Niemi-Semenoff time path used in POM. The parameter  $\sigma$  ranges from the value  $\sigma = 0$  (Closed Time Path) to  $\sigma = 1$ .

causal structure of the Rindler spacetime combine symmetrically in the calculation of the modified Unruh spectrum, suggesting a possible geometric interpretation for the origin of flavor mixing. Similarly, geometric effects related to the existence of a minimal observable length have been shown to underpin the loss of thermality of Unruh radiation in the framework of Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP) [45]. The outlined geometrical background picture could also help to shed new light on such issues as the cosmological holography [46] or  $\alpha$ -vacua in AdS/CFT correspondence [47].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides theoretical essentials of the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. In doing so, we focus our attention on Euclidean and Lorentzian sections alongside with their respective complex extensions. As a tool for our analysis, in Section 3 we show how to perform field quantization in  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. To keep our discussion as transparent as possible, we consider only a scalar quantum field. Section 4 is devoted to examining the relationships between  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime and TQFTs, and to the unification of various TQFTs in the framework of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. Furthermore, we discuss some of the salient features of the extended Lorentzian section. Various remarks and generalizations are addressed in the concluding Section 5.

## II. $\eta$ - $\xi$ SPACETIME – ESSENTIALS AND BEYOND

The  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime was originally introduced in Refs. [37]. It represents a four-dimensional complex (i.e., eight-dimensional real) manifold defined by the line element

$$ds^2 = \frac{-d\eta^2 + d\xi^2}{\alpha^2(\xi^2 - \eta^2)} + dy^2 + dz^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha = 2\pi/\beta$  is a real constant and  $(\eta, \xi, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ . In the following, we will use the symbol  $\xi^\mu = (\eta, \xi, y, z)$  to denote the entire set of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  coordinates, but for simplicity we will often drop the index  $\mu$  when no confusion with the space-like coordinate occurs.

### A. Euclidean section

One of the key sections of the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime is the Euclidean section. The associated metric is obtained from Eq. (1) by assuming that  $(\sigma, \xi, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , where  $\sigma \equiv -i\eta$ . A straightforward substitution leads to

$$ds^2 = \frac{d\sigma^2 + d\xi^2}{\alpha^2(\sigma^2 + \xi^2)} + dy^2 + dz^2. \quad (2)$$




FIG. 2: Lorentzian section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime: the solid lines represent the singularities at  $\xi^2 - \eta^2 = 0$ . On the (dashed) straight lines time is constant, while on the hyperbolas the Minkowski coordinate  $x$  is constant. Note that times  $t_I$  and  $t_{II}$  flow in opposite directions as a consequence of opposite orientations of ensuing time-like Killing vectors in regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II}$ .

By use of the transformations

$$\sigma = (1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x) \sin(\alpha \tau), \quad (3)$$

$$\xi = (1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x) \cos(\alpha \tau), \quad (4)$$

the metric becomes that of a cylindrical (Euclidean) spacetime, i.e.

$$ds^2 = d\tau^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2, \quad (5)$$

where the temporal direction  $\alpha\tau$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic. In our following considerations, we will restrict the basic temporal interval to  $0 \leq \tau \leq \beta$ . On the QFT level, this setting together with the single-valuedness of quantum fields will yield the typical periodicity property of the Euclidean propagator, cf. Section 4.

## B. Lorentzian section

The second important section is the Lorentzian section. In this case, the line element is given by Eq. (1) with  $(\eta, \xi, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . The ensuing metric is singular on the two hyperplanes  $\eta = \pm\xi$ , which we will call “event-horizons” [41]. These divide  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime into four regions denoted by  $R_I, R_{II}, R_{III}$  and  $R_{IV}$  (see Fig. 2).

In the first two regions, one can define two sets of tortoise-like coordinates  $x_{I,II}^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^4$  by  $x_{I,II}^\mu = (t_{I,II}, x_{I,II}, y, z)$  where

$$\text{in } R_I: \quad \begin{cases} \eta = +(1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x_I) \sinh(\alpha t_I), \\ \xi = +(1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x_I) \cosh(\alpha t_I), \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{in } R_{II}: \quad \begin{cases} \eta = -(1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x_{II}) \sinh(\alpha t_{II}), \\ \xi = -(1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x_{II}) \cosh(\alpha t_{II}). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Similar transformations hold also in regions  $R_{III}$  and  $R_{IV}$  (see Refs. [37, 48, 49]) but for our purposes it will be sufficient to consider only the first two regions. In terms of the new coordinates  $x_{I,II}^\mu$ , the metric in Eq. (1) becomes the usual Minkowski one with the metric signature in the spacelike convention

$$ds^2 = -dt_{I,II}^2 + dx_{I,II}^2 + dy^2 + dz^2, \quad (8)$$

(and similarly for  $R_{III}$  and  $R_{IV}$ ). It thus arises that regions  $R_I$  to  $R_{IV}$  represent four copies of the Minkowski spacetime glued together along the “event-horizons” [41], making up together the Lorentzian section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime.

Although Eqs. (6)-(7) formally correspond to Rindler transformations [29], the  $(t_{I,II}, x_{I,II}, y, z)$  coordinates should not be confused with the Rindler ones, since in those coordinates the metric takes the standard Minkowski form. Indeed, an observer whose world-line is the hyperbola described for example by  $(x_I(t_I), y(t_I), z(t_I)) = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$  moves in the Lorentzian wedge  $R_I$  inertially and thus cannot be identified with an accelerated observer as in the Rindler case. The rôle of the inertial and non-inertial coordinates in Eqs. (6)-(7) are actually reversed with respect to the Rindler case [29, 37].

We now study the analytic properties of the transformations given in Eqs. (6)-(7). In the null coordinates  $\xi^\pm = \eta \pm \xi$ , we can rewrite these equations as

$$\text{in } R_I: \quad \begin{cases} \xi_{>}^+(t_I, x_I) = +(1/\alpha) \exp[+\alpha(t_I + x_I)], \\ \xi_{<}^-(t_I, x_I) = -(1/\alpha) \exp[-\alpha(t_I - x_I)], \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{in } R_{II}: \quad \begin{cases} \xi_{<}^+(t_{II}, x_{II}) = -(1/\alpha) \exp[+\alpha(t_{II} + x_{II})], \\ \xi_{>}^-(t_{II}, x_{II}) = +(1/\alpha) \exp[-\alpha(t_{II} - x_{II})], \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where the subscripts  $<$  and  $>$  have been added to the variables  $\xi^\pm$  to indicate their ranges, i.e. one has  $\xi_{>}^\pm > 0$  and  $\xi_{<}^\pm < 0$ . The reciprocals of these transformations are

$$\text{in } R_I: \quad \begin{cases} t_I(\xi_{>}^+, \xi_{<}^-) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \ln \left( -\frac{\xi_{>}^+}{\xi_{<}^-} \right), \\ x_I(\xi_{>}^+, \xi_{<}^-) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \ln (-\alpha^2 \xi_{>}^+ \xi_{<}^-), \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{in } R_{II}: \quad \begin{cases} t_{II}(\xi_{<}^+, \xi_{>}^-) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \ln \left( -\frac{\xi_{<}^+}{\xi_{>}^-} \right), \\ x_{II}(\xi_{<}^+, \xi_{>}^-) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \ln (-\alpha^2 \xi_{<}^+ \xi_{>}^-). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Equations (11) and (12) are defined in regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II}$ , respectively. We now would like to analytically continue these expressions to obtain the functions  $t_I(\xi)$ ,  $t_{II}(\xi)$  and  $x_I(\xi)$ ,  $x_{II}(\xi)$  defined in  $R_I \cup R_{II}$ . This amounts to extend these expressions from positive or negatives values of  $\xi^\pm$  to their negative or positive values respectively. In order to do this, we choose to perform the analytic extension in the *lower* half-planes of both the  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$  complex planes for reasons which will become clear below. In other words, we assume that  $-\pi < \arg \xi^\pm \leq \pi$ , or equivalently that the cuts in the  $\xi^\pm$  complex planes are given by  $\mathbb{R}_- + i0_+$ . It is not possible to perform the analytic continuation with respect to the two variables  $\xi^\pm$  *at once*, otherwise an erroneous result would be obtained. To fix the ideas, we choose to perform the extension first in the  $\xi^+$  variable and then in  $\xi^-$  (the choice of the opposite order gives the same result for our particular purposes). If  $\xi_{<}^\pm$  is the analytic continuation of  $\xi_{>}^\pm$  from positive to negative values, one has (see Fig. 3)

$$\ln(-\xi_{<}^\pm) = \ln(+\xi_{>}^\pm) + i\pi, \quad (13)$$

$$\ln(+\xi_{>}^\pm) = \ln(-\xi_{<}^\pm) - i\pi. \quad (14)$$

This implies

$$\ln \left( -\frac{\xi_{<}^+}{\xi_{>}^-} \right) = \ln \left( -\frac{\xi_{>}^+}{\xi_{<}^-} \right) + i2\pi, \quad (15)$$

$$\ln(-\alpha^2 \xi_{<}^+ \xi_{>}^-) = \ln(-\alpha^2 \xi_{>}^+ \xi_{<}^-), \quad (16)$$

which means that these expressions are the analytic continuations of each other. Consequently, by using Eqs. (11)-(12), one obtains in  $R_I \cup R_{II}$

$$t_{II}(\xi) = t_I(\xi) + i\beta/2, \quad (17)$$

$$x_{II}(\xi) = x_I(\xi). \quad (18)$$




FIG. 3: Analytic extension in the *lower* half-planes of the  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$  complex planes. The dashed line  $\mathbb{R}_- + i0_+$  is the branch cut of the logarithm in Eq. (13).

We note in passing that we can call the hypersurfaces  $\xi^2 - \eta^2 = 0$  “horizons” only in the sense that an inertial observer in region  $R_I$  cannot receive any signal sent from  $\xi^- = 0$ , and cannot send any signal to  $\xi^+ = 0$ . So the hypersurface  $\xi^- = 0$  or  $\xi^+ = 0$  can formally be called a “future horizon”  $\mathcal{H}^+$  or “past horizon”  $\mathcal{H}^-$ , respectively for an inertial observer in region  $R_I$ . Analogous conclusion holds, of course also for an inertial observer in region  $R_{II}$ .

### C. Extended Lorentzian section

Let us now consider a class of complex sections of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime generated from the Lorentzian section by shifting the Minkowski time coordinate in the imaginary direction but *only* in the region  $R_{II}$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in } R_I \cup R_{III} \cup R_{IV}: \quad & t_q \rightarrow t_{q_\delta} = t_q, \quad q = I, II \text{ and } III, \\ \text{in } R_{II}: \quad & t_{II} \rightarrow t_{II_\delta} = t_{II} + i\beta\delta, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $\delta \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ . The reason for this interval will become clear shortly. We shall call this one-parametric class of sections as “extended Lorentzian section” and denote by  $R_{II_\delta}$  the image of the region  $R_{II}$  resulting from this shift. In  $R_{II_\delta}$  the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  coordinates become complex variables and are transformed according to  $(\eta, \xi) \rightarrow (\eta_\delta, \xi_\delta)$  where, from Eq. (19), we have

$$\text{in } R_{II_\delta}: \quad \begin{cases} \eta_\delta = -(1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x_{II}) \sinh[\alpha(t_{II} + i\beta\delta)], \\ \xi_\delta = -(1/\alpha) \exp(\alpha x_{II}) \cosh[\alpha(t_{II} + i\beta\delta)]. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In terms of the real  $\eta$ - $\xi$  variables, one can write

$$\eta_\delta = +\eta \cos(2\pi\delta) + i\xi \sin(2\pi\delta), \quad (21)$$

$$i\xi_\delta = -\eta \sin(2\pi\delta) + i\xi \cos(2\pi\delta), \quad (22)$$

or, equivalently, in terms of null coordinates

$$\xi_\delta^+ = \exp(+i2\pi\delta) \xi^+, \quad (23)$$

$$\xi_\delta^- = \exp(-i2\pi\delta) \xi^-. \quad (24)$$

The time shift in Eq. (19) thus induces a rotation in the  $(\eta, i\xi)$  plane of  $R_{II}$ . By using the rotated coordinates, the metric can then be recast into the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{-d\eta_\delta^2 + d\xi_\delta^2}{\alpha^2(\xi_\delta^2 - \eta_\delta^2)} + dy^2 + dz^2, \quad (25)$$

and is thus unchanged by the time shift Eq. (19), which is therefore an isometry of the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. After the time shift, Eqs. (17)-(18) become

$$t_{II_\delta}(\xi_\delta) = t_I(\xi_\delta) + i\beta(1/2 + \delta), \quad (26)$$

$$x_{II_\delta}(\xi_\delta) = x_I(\xi_\delta). \quad (27)$$

Let us finally comment on Killing fields in (extended) Lorentzian section. Since a timelike Killing vector defines a preferred time coordinate in a time-independent spacetime [50], we can expect (with some foresight) that its structure is pertinent for the understanding of the connection between the (extended) Lorentzian section and the POM. It will also prove useful when we will discuss TFD in Sec. IV C.

In order to find the Killing vector field  $\kappa$  in various sections of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, we need to solve the Killing equation  $(\mathcal{L}_\kappa g)_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^\lambda g_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + g_{\lambda\nu} \kappa^\lambda_{,\mu} + g_{\mu\lambda} \kappa^\lambda_{,\nu} = 0$ . Here  $\mathcal{L}_\kappa$  is the Lie derivative along the vector field  $\kappa$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is the pullback metric on a given section. For instance, in the Lorentzian section we have

$$\kappa = \alpha \left( \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right), \quad (28)$$

which is clearly timelike in  $R_I \cup R_{II_\delta}$  as there  $\kappa^2 = g_{\mu\nu} \kappa^\mu \kappa^\nu = -1$ . The parameter  $\alpha$  was introduced in Eq. (28) so that the components of  $\kappa$  become dimensionless and normalized to  $-1$ . Along the same lines, we see that, in the extended Lorentzian section, we obtain from Eq. (25)

$$\kappa = \alpha \left( \xi_\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_\delta} + \eta_\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\delta} \right). \quad (29)$$

Again,  $\kappa$  is timelike in  $R_I \cup R_{II_\delta}$ . The integral curves of the above Killing vector fields satisfy equations  $d\xi_\delta/ds = \alpha\eta_\delta$  and  $d\eta_\delta/ds = \alpha\xi_\delta$ , which yield a parametric representation of orbits in the form

$$\xi_\delta(s) = \xi_\delta^0 \cosh[\alpha(s + i\beta\delta)], \quad (30)$$

$$\eta_\delta(s) = \eta_\delta^0 \sinh[\alpha(s + i\beta\delta)], \quad (31)$$

where  $\delta$  is the shift parameter introduced in Eq. (19), in particular,  $\delta = 0$  in  $R_I$ . The integration constants  $\xi_\delta^0$  and  $\eta_\delta^0$  depend on an actual position  $x_I$  or  $x_{II}$  of the observer. Equations (30)-(31) precisely coincide with the world-lines of a static observer in respective Minkowski wedges. Note that the Killing vectors in regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II_\delta}$  have mutually opposite orientations of their real parts.

Analogous reasonings yield in the Euclidean section the Killing vector

$$\kappa = \alpha \left( \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} - \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right), \quad (32)$$

which is the Euclidean analogue of the timelike Killing vector. The integral curves of the above  $\kappa$  are circles around the origin with radius  $R = e^{\alpha x}/\alpha$  (here  $R^2 = \sigma^2 + \xi^2$ ). Hence these orbits can be identified with preferred inverse-temperature coordinate in the Euclidean section.

Let us note, finally, that the coordinates  $x_{I,II}^\mu = (t_{I,II}, x_{I,II}, y, z)$ ,  $x_{I,II_\delta}^\mu = (t_{I,II_\delta}, x_{I,II_\delta}, y, z)$  and  $x^\mu = (\tau, x, y, z)$  are adapted to the Killing fields in Eqs. (28), (29) and (32), respectively.

### III. FIELD QUANTIZATION IN $\eta$ - $\xi$ SPACETIME

For sake of simplicity, let us now consider a free scalar field with a support in  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. The corresponding generalization to the fermionic sector is quite straightforward and one may, for instance, follow the line of reasonings presented in Ref. [17].

We denote the “global” scalar field in  $\eta$ - $\xi$  coordinates as  $\Phi(\xi)$ . It satisfies the Klein–Gordon equation

$$(\square - m^2)\Phi(\xi) = 0, \quad (33)$$

where  $\square = g^{-1/2}\partial_\mu g^{\mu\nu}g^{-1/2}\partial_\nu$  is the Laplace–Beltrami operator, with  $g(\xi) = |\det g_{\mu\nu}|$ . We define the inner product of two Klein–Gordon fields by

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = -i \int_\Sigma d\Sigma (g(\xi))^{1/2} \Phi_1(\xi) n^\nu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\nu \Phi_2^*(\xi), \quad (34)$$

where the integral is taken over a Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma$  and  $n^\nu$  an orthonormal vector to this hypersurface.

### A. Euclidean section

In the Euclidean section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, one has  $\Phi = \Phi(\sigma, \xi, y, z)$ . The field in the  $\tau$ - $x$  coordinates in Eqs. (3)-(4) shall be denoted by  $\phi = \phi(\tau, x, y, z)$ . These two fields are related by

$$\phi(\tau, x, y, z) = \Phi(\sigma(\tau, x), \xi(\tau, x), y, z). \quad (35)$$

Because of the periodic nature of the time  $\tau$  and presumed single-valuedness of the field, the following condition must be satisfied

$$\phi(\tau, x, y, z) = \phi(\tau + \beta, x, y, z). \quad (36)$$

This periodic boundary condition will prove to be important in Section 4. Note that Eq. (36) is nothing but the familiar Kubo–Martin–Schwinger (KMS) boundary condition for Euclidean fields [3, 5].

### B. Lorentzian section

Lorentzian section, as we have seen, is made up of four different regions, each of them being a complete Minkowski spacetime. Since our primary interest is only in regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II}$ , we shall limit ourselves to consider the quantum field over these two regions. Our aim is to find an expansion for the global field  $\Phi$  in the joining  $R_I \cup R_{II}$ .

Let us start by defining the “local” fields  $\phi^I(x_I)$  and  $\phi^{II}(x_{II})$  by

$$\Phi(\xi) = \begin{cases} \phi^I(x_I(\xi)), & \text{when } \xi \in R_I, \\ \phi^{II}(x_{II}(\xi)), & \text{when } \xi \in R_{II}. \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

They have support in  $R_I$  and  $R_{II}$ , respectively. By choosing  $\Sigma$  to be any of the one-parametric class of hypersurfaces  $\eta = a\xi$  (with  $-1 < a < 1$ ), we obtain from Eq. (34) that the global inner product assumes the form

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \langle \phi_1^I, \phi_2^I \rangle + \langle \phi_1^{II}, \phi_2^{II} \rangle, \quad (38)$$

where  $\langle, \rangle$  is the local inner product in Minkowski spacetime

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle = -i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \phi_1(x) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_t \phi_2^*(x). \quad (39)$$

This is nothing but the usual Klein–Gordon inner product known from relativistic quantum theory [51].

In  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime covered by the  $x_{I,II}^\mu$  coordinates defined by Eqs. (6)-(7), the solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation are just plane waves restricted to a given region. So, we can write explicitly

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I) = (4\pi\omega_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t_I + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_I)}, \quad (40)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II}) = (4\pi\omega_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(+\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t_{II} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{II})}, \quad (41)$$

where  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{|\mathbf{k}|^2 + m^2}$ . Starting from these Minkowski modes, one defines the two wave functions  $U_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)$  and  $V_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)$  with support in  $R_I$  and  $R_{II}$ , respectively, by

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) = \begin{cases} u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I(\xi)), & \text{when } \xi \in R_I, \\ 0, & \text{when } \xi \in R_{II}, \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

$$V_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } \xi \in R_I, \\ v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II}(\xi)), & \text{when } \xi \in R_{II}. \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

Their power spectra with respect to the momenta conjugated to  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$  contain negative contributions, which are furthermore not bounded from below. The sets of functions  $\{U_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi), U_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\xi)\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3}$  and  $\{V_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi), V_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\xi)\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3}$  defined on  $R_I$  and  $R_{II}$ , respectively, are thus over-complete, since the same energy contribution (i.e. momentum contribution conjugate to  $\eta$ ) can appear twice in these sets. In other words, the energy spectra of  $U_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $U_{-\mathbf{k}}^*$  overlap, and so do the spectra of  $V_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $V_{-\mathbf{k}}^*$ . Therefore, these sets cannot be used as a basis in their respective supports and their joining is clearly not a basis in  $R_I \cup R_{II}$ . In order to construct a basis in  $R_I \cup R_{II}$ , we could solve, for instance, the Klein-Gordon equation in  $\eta$ - $\xi$  coordinates to obtain the field modes in these coordinates. The Bogoliubov transformations resulting from this choice of basis would be, however, quite complicated. So instead of following this route, we shall construct basis elements with positive energy spectra from the wave functions  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I(\xi))$  and  $v_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{II}(\xi))$ . We will further demand these basis elements should be analytic functions in the lower complex planes of  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$ , so that their spectra with respect to the momenta conjugate to  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$  contain only positive contributions. Consequently, they will have positive energy spectra.

To this end, we analytically extend the two wave functions  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I(\xi))$  and  $v_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{II}(\xi))$  in the lower complex planes of  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$  (as in Section II B, the cut in the complex planes is represented by  $\mathbb{R}_- + i0_+$ ). By applying Eqs. (17)-(18), we obtain directly

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I(\xi)) = e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} v_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{II}(\xi)), \quad (44)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II}(\xi)) = e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} u_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(x_I(\xi)). \quad (45)$$

The expressions on the right and left hand sides of these equations are analytic continuations of each other. We are therefore led to introduce the following two normalized linear combinations

$$F_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ U_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) + f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}} V_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\xi) \right], \quad (46)$$

$$\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ V_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) + f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\xi) \right], \quad (47)$$

where  $f_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{-\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}$  and  $U_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)$  and  $V_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)$  are defined in Eqs. (42) and (43), respectively. These global wave functions are still solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation. Moreover, they are analytic in  $R_I \cup R_{II}$  and in particular at the origin  $\xi^+ = \xi^- = 0$ . Since they are analytic complex functions in the lower complex planes of  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$ , their energy spectra are positive. The set  $\{F_{\mathbf{k}}, F_{-\mathbf{k}}^*, \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{k}}, \tilde{F}_{-\mathbf{k}}^*\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3}$  is thus complete (but not over-complete) over the joining  $R_I \cup R_{II}$ . Furthermore it is an orthogonal set since

$$(F_{\mathbf{k}}, F_{\mathbf{p}}) = (\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^*, \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{p}}^*) = +\delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}), \quad (48)$$

$$(F_{\mathbf{k}}^*, F_{\mathbf{p}}^*) = (\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{k}}, \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{p}}) = -\delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}), \quad (49)$$

with all the other scalar products vanishing.

On one hand, we can expand the local scalar fields in terms of the Minkowski modes given in Eqs. (40)-(41) as follows

$$\phi^I(x_I) = \int d^3k \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}}^I u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I) + a_{\mathbf{k}}^{I\dagger} u_{\mathbf{k}}^*(x_I) \right], \quad (50)$$

$$\phi^{II}(x_{II}) = \int d^3k \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}}^{II} v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II}) + a_{\mathbf{k}}^{II\dagger} v_{\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{II}) \right]. \quad (51)$$

On the other hand, the global scalar field can be expanded in terms of the  $F$ -modes given in Eqs. (46)-(47) as

$$\Phi(\xi) = \int d^3k \left[ b_{\mathbf{k}} F_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) + b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger F_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\xi) + \tilde{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{F}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\xi) + \tilde{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \tilde{F}_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(\xi) \right]. \quad (52)$$

These three expansions define the local and global creation and annihilation operators, which are connected to each other by Bogoliubov transformations. In order to obtain these transformations, we use the definition Eq. (37) relating the local and global fields and the field expansions Eqs. (50), (51) and (52). A straightforward calculation leads to

$$b_{\mathbf{k}} = a_{\mathbf{k}}^I \cosh \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{II\dagger} \sinh \theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (53)$$

$$\tilde{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{II} \cosh \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - a_{\mathbf{k}}^{I\dagger} \sinh \theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (54)$$

where  $\sinh^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}} = n(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = (e^{\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1)^{-1}$  is the Bose–Einstein distribution.

### C. Extended Lorentzian section

By following the above outlined procedure, we can now construct a set of positive energy modes in the extended Lorentzian section introduced in Section II C. We start by considering the region  $R_{II}$ , where the plane-wave set  $\{v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II}), v_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{II})\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3}$  has the form

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II}) = (4\pi\omega_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(+\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t_{II} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{II})}, \quad (55)$$

$$v_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{II}) = (4\pi\omega_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t_{II} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{II})}. \quad (56)$$

Under the time shift Eq. (19), this set is transformed into  $\{v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II\delta}), v_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_{II\delta})\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3}$ , where the symbol  $*$  has been replaced by  $\sharp$  because  $v_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_{II\delta})$  is no longer the complex conjugate of  $v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II\delta})$ . In fact, one has

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II\delta}(x_{II})) = e^{-\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\delta} v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II}), \quad (57)$$

$$v_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_{II\delta}(x_{II})) = e^{+\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\delta} v_{-\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{II}). \quad (58)$$

We emphasize that the complex conjugation and the time shift do not commute. Indeed, the mode  $v_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_{II\delta}(x_{II}))$  can be obtained from  $v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II\delta}(x_{II}))$  by complex conjugation *and* by the replacement  $\delta \rightarrow -\delta$ . This rule actually defines the  $\sharp$ -conjugation.

Similarly as in Eq. (37), one defines

$$\Phi(\xi) = \begin{cases} \phi^I(x_I(\xi)), & \text{when } \xi \in R_I, \\ \phi^{II\delta}(x_{II\delta}(\xi)), & \text{when } \xi \in R_{II\delta}. \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

In  $R_I \cup R_{II\delta}$  the Klein–Gordon-like inner product Eq. (38) takes the form

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)_{\delta} = \langle \phi_1^I, \phi_2^I \rangle + \langle \phi_1^{II\delta}, \phi_2^{II\delta} \rangle_{\delta}, \quad (60)$$

where the local Minkowski inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\delta}$  in region  $R_{II\delta}$  is given by

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_{\delta} = -i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x_{II\delta} \phi_1(x_{II\delta}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_t \phi_2^{\sharp}(x_{II\delta}). \quad (61)$$

Equations (44), (45), (57) and (58) imply

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I(\xi_{\delta})) = e^{-\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(1/2+\delta)} v_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_{II\delta}(\xi_{\delta})), \quad (62)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{II\delta}(\xi_{\delta})) = e^{-\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(1/2+\delta)} u_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_I(\xi_{\delta})). \quad (63)$$

With this, we can also write

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_I(\xi_{\delta})) = e^{-\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(1/2-\delta)} v_{-\mathbf{k}}(x_{II\delta}(\xi_{\delta})), \quad (64)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp}(x_{II\delta}(\xi_{\delta})) = e^{-\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(1/2-\delta)} u_{-\mathbf{k}}(x_I(\xi_{\delta})). \quad (65)$$

The expressions on the left and right hand sides of these equations are thus analytic continuations of each other. If we now define

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) = \begin{cases} u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I(\xi_\delta)), & \text{when } \xi_\delta \in R_I, \\ 0, & \text{when } \xi_\delta \in R_{I\delta}, \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

$$V_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } \xi_\delta \in R_I, \\ v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{I\delta}(\xi_\delta)), & \text{when } \xi_\delta \in R_{I\delta}, \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

the global modes in the extended Lorentzian section  $R_I \cup R_{I\delta}$  are be written as

$$G_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ U_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) + f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} V_{-\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) \right], \quad (68)$$

$$\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ V_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) + f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} U_{-\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) \right], \quad (69)$$

and

$$G_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ U_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) + f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} V_{-\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) \right], \quad (70)$$

$$\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ V_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) + f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} U_{-\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) \right], \quad (71)$$

where  $f_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{-\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}$  is the conventional Boltzmann factor.

Equations (62)-(65) allow us to state that the global modes Eqs. (68)-(71) are analytic in  $R_I \cup R_{I\delta}$ , in particular at the origin  $\xi_\delta^+ = \xi_\delta^- = 0$ . Since they are analytic complex functions in the lower complex planes of  $\xi_\delta^+$  and  $\xi_\delta^-$ , their energy spectra have only positive contributions. We might note that, for  $\delta = 0$ , they reduce to the expressions Eqs. (46)-(47), as it should be expected.

Let us stress that the global modes  $G_{\mathbf{k}}^*$  and  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$  are *not* analytic in the extended Lorentzian section, contrary to the non-Hermitian combinations  $G_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp$  and  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp$ . Non-Hermitian conjugation operations such as our “sharp” conjugation  $\sharp$  are actually common in TQFT, see for example Ref. [52] for a formally similar situation. In Ref. [53], the necessity of the so-called Osterwalder–Schrader (reflection) positivity as opposed to the Hermiticity property is shown in Euclidean field theories even when the temperature vanishes.

The set  $\{G_{\mathbf{k}}, \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}, G_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp, \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3}$  is thus complete over  $R_I \cup R_{I\delta}$ . It is furthermore an orthogonal set since

$$(G_{\mathbf{k}}, G_{\mathbf{p}})_\delta = (\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp, \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{p}}^\sharp)_\delta = \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}), \quad (72)$$

$$(G_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp, G_{\mathbf{p}}^\sharp)_\delta = (\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}, \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{p}})_\delta = -\delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}), \quad (73)$$

with all the other inner products vanishing.

Following the procedure of Section IIIB, we now expand the local fields in the Minkowski modes over regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{I\delta}$  as

$$\phi^I(x_I) = \int d^3k \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}}^I u_{\mathbf{k}}(x_I) + a_{\mathbf{k}}^{I\dagger} u_{\mathbf{k}}^*(x_I) \right], \quad (74)$$

$$\phi^{I\delta}(x_{I\delta}) = \int d^3k \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}}^{I\delta} v_{\mathbf{k}}(x_{I\delta}) + a_{\mathbf{k}}^{I\delta\dagger} v_{\mathbf{k}}^*(x_{I\delta}) \right]. \quad (75)$$

On the other hand, the expansion of the global field in the  $G$  modes over the region  $R_I \cup R_{I\delta}$  reads as

$$\Phi(\xi_\delta) = \int d^3k \left[ c_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) + c_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp G_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) + \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{G}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\xi_\delta) + \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp \tilde{G}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\sharp(\xi_\delta) \right]. \quad (76)$$

From these last expansions, by using Eqs. (68)-(71), one finds the Bogoliubov transformations

$$c_{\mathbf{k}} = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( a_{\mathbf{k}}^I - f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{I\delta\dagger} \right), \quad (77)$$

$$\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}} = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{I\delta} - f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{I\dagger} \right), \quad (78)$$

and their  $\sharp$ -conjugate duals

$$c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp} = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( a_{\mathbf{k}}^{I\dagger} - f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{II} \right), \quad (79)$$

$$\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sharp} = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{II\dagger} - f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^I \right). \quad (80)$$

Note that the Bogoliubov transformations Eqs. (53)-(54) in the Lorentzian section are recovered for  $\delta = 0$ .

#### IV. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN $\eta$ - $\xi$ SPACETIME AND TQFTS

We are now ready to formulate and to prove the connection between QFTs in  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime and TQFTs. In particular, we will show that in the aforesaid sections of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, QFT naturally reproduces all the known formalisms of TQFTs inasmuch as the correct thermal Green functions are recovered in respective sections. Without loss of generality, we will carry out our discussion in terms of a self-interacting real scalar field.

We start first by recalling the known result [37] which states that in the Euclidean section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, QFT reproduces the imaginary time formalism. This can be seen both on the level of generating functional and ensuing two-point Green functions. The latter turn out to be nothing but thermal Green functions. In the next step, we shall see that in the extended Lorentzian section, QFT corresponds to the two known real-time TQFT formalisms, namely the POM and TFD. Moreover, we shall identify the parameter  $\delta$  of the extended Lorentzian section with the parameter  $\sigma$  that naturally parametrizes both POM and TFD formalisms.

In order to show this, let us start from the general form of the Lagrange density in the full  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime for the real scalar theory with a Schwinger-type source term  $J$ . This is given by

$$\mathcal{L}[\Phi, J] = -\sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi + \frac{m^2}{2} \Phi^2 + V(\Phi) - J\Phi \right), \quad (81)$$

where  $V(\Phi)$  is an arbitrary local self-interaction which might be further restricted in its form, e.g. by requiring the renormalizability of the theory.

##### A. Matsubara formalism

In the Euclidean section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, the generating functional of Green functions is given by [37]

$$Z_E[J] = N \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp \left\{ - \int d\sigma d\xi dy dz \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\xi}[\Phi, J] \right\}, \quad (82)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\xi}[\Phi, J] &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_{\sigma} \Phi)^2 + (\partial_{\xi} \Phi)^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha^2 (\sigma^2 + \xi^2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\perp} \Phi)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \Phi^2 + V(\Phi) - J\Phi \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

is the corresponding pullback of the Lagrange density of the full  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime to the Euclidean section.

By performing the change of coordinates in Eqs. (3)-(4), the generating functional takes the form

$$Z_E[J] = N \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{\beta} d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx dy dz \mathcal{L}_{\tau,x}[\phi, J] \right\}, \quad (84)$$

where the functional integration is taken over fields satisfying the Euclidean KMS boundary condition Eq. (36) and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tau,x}[\phi, J] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_{\tau} \phi)^2 + (\nabla \phi)^2 + m^2 \phi^2 \right] + V(\phi) - J\phi, \quad (85)$$

with  $J(\tau, x, y, z) = J(\sigma, \xi, y, z)$ . By differentiation of Eq. (84) with respect to the source  $J$ , we obtain the Matsubara propagator, whose Fourier transform is

$$G_{\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = \frac{1}{\omega_n^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}. \quad (86)$$

Here the (bosonic) Matsubara frequencies  $\omega_n$  are given by  $\omega_n = 2\pi n/\beta$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

### B. Real time formalism – POM

Let us now consider the extended Lorentzian section. The generating functional of Green functions can be written as

$$Z[J] = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp \left\{ i \int d\eta_\delta d\xi_\delta dydz \mathcal{L}_{\eta_\delta, \xi_\delta}[\Phi, J] \right\}, \quad (87)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\eta_\delta, \xi_\delta}[\Phi, J] = & \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \partial_{\eta_\delta} \Phi \right)^2 - \left( \partial_{\xi_\delta} \Phi \right)^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 [\xi_\delta^2 - \eta_\delta^2]} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\perp \Phi)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \Phi^2 - V(\Phi) + J\Phi \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Since we are interested only in Green functions with spacetime arguments belonging to  $R_I \cup R_{II_\delta}$ , we can set the source to zero in regions  $R_{III}$  and  $R_{IV}$ , i.e.  $J(x) = 0$  when  $x \in R_{III} \cup R_{IV}$ . This amounts to reducing Eq. (87) to

$$Z[J] = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp \left\{ i \int_{R_I \cup R_{II_\delta}} d\eta_\delta d\xi_\delta dydz \mathcal{L}_{\eta_\delta, \xi_\delta}[\Phi, J] \right\}. \quad (89)$$

By using the transformations in Eq. (20), the fields in regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II_\delta}$  can now be expressed in terms of the local Minkowskian coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J] = & \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ i \int dt_I dx_I dydz \mathcal{L}_{t,x}[\phi, J](t_I, \mathbf{x}_I) \right\} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ i \int dt_{II_\delta} dx_{II_\delta} dydz \mathcal{L}_{t,x}[\phi, J](t_{II_\delta}, \mathbf{x}_{II_\delta}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

where  $\phi$  is the local field, the integration is taken over the Minkowski spacetime and

$$\mathcal{L}_{t,x}[\phi, J] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_t \phi)^2 - (\nabla \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2 \right] - V(\phi) + J\phi. \quad (91)$$

We now use Eqs. (26)-(27) to further manipulate the generating functional. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J] = & \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ i \int dt dx dydz \mathcal{L}_{t,x}[\phi, J](t, \mathbf{x}) \right\} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -i \int dt dx dydz \mathcal{L}_{t,x}[\phi, J](t + i\beta(1/2 + \delta), \mathbf{x}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where in the last step we have dropped the subscript  $I$  and employed the fact that the time direction (epitomized by time-like Killing vector) is mutually opposite in regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II_\delta}$ .

Let us now consider the expression for the generating functional as given in the POM formalism [2, 54]

$$Z_{\text{POM}}[J] = \mathcal{N}' \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ i \int_C dt dx dydz \mathcal{L}_{t,x}[\phi, J] \right\}, \quad (93)$$

where the time path  $C$  is the Niemi–Semenoff time path depicted in Fig. 1. The path integration is over all fields satisfying periodicity condition  $\phi(t_i, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(t_i - i\beta, \mathbf{x})$ ,  $t_i$  being the initial time. For most practical purposes (though not for all, see note in Discussion and Conclusions) one can disregard the contribution from the vertical parts of the path contour and assimilate it in the normalization factor  $\mathcal{N}'$  [55]. In so doing, the generating functionals Eqs. (92)-(93) can be identified provided that

$$\delta = \sigma - 1/2. \quad (94)$$

Therefore, we see that the time path used in the POM formalism is directly related to the “rotation angle” between the two regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II_\delta}$ . From the quadratic sector (i.e., free-field part) of the Lagrangian in Eq. (93), we can

read-off the thermal-matrix propagator, which in the momentum space acquires the familiar Mills form [1–3, 9]

$$\Delta_{11}(k) = \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2 + i0_+} + 2\pi n(k_0) \delta(k^2 - m^2), \quad (95)$$

$$\Delta_{22}(k) = \Delta_{11}^*(k), \quad (96)$$

$$\Delta_{12}(k) = e^{\sigma\beta k_0} [n(k_0) + \theta(-k_0)] 2\pi \delta(k^2 - m^2), \quad (97)$$

$$\Delta_{21}(k) = e^{-\sigma\beta k_0} [n(k_0) + \theta(k_0)] 2\pi \delta(k^2 - m^2), \quad (98)$$

where  $n(k_0) = (e^{\beta|k_0|} - 1)^{-1}$ . It is worth noting that the parameter  $\sigma$  explicitly appears only in the off-diagonal components of the matrix propagator.

### C. Real time formalism – TFD

As already mentioned in Introduction, there is yet another formalism for real-time TQFT, namely Thermo Field Dynamics [5–8, 56]. In this approach, a crucial rôle is played by the Bogoliubov transformation relating the zero-temperature annihilation and creation operators with the thermal ones. In TFD the field algebra is doubled and one then considers two commuting field operators  $\phi$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$  given by

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}(2\omega_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i(-\omega_k t + \mathbf{k}\mathbf{x})} + a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{i(\omega_k t - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{x})} \right], \quad (99)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}(2\omega_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i(\omega_k t - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{x})} + \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{i(-\omega_k t + \mathbf{k}\mathbf{x})} \right]. \quad (100)$$

The thermal Bogoliubov transformation in the Takahashi–Umezawa representation [6–8] is given by

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}(\theta) = a_{\mathbf{k}} \cosh \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \sinh \theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (101)$$

$$\tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}(\theta) = \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \cosh \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \sinh \theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (102)$$

where  $\sinh^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}} = n(\omega_k) = (e^{\beta\omega_k} - 1)^{-1}$ . While the operators  $a_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$  annihilate the (zero-temperature) vacuum  $|0, \tilde{0}\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$ , the operators  $a_{\mathbf{k}}(\theta)$  and  $\tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}(\theta)$  annihilate the so-called “thermal vacuum”

$$|0(\beta)\rangle = \sum_m \rho^{1/2}(\beta) |m, \tilde{m}\rangle, \quad (103)$$

where  $|m, \tilde{m}\rangle \equiv |m\rangle \otimes |\tilde{m}\rangle$  with  $|m\rangle$  being base vectors of the Fock space in the (regularized) occupation number representation, i.e.  $|m\rangle \equiv |m_{\mathbf{k}_1}, m_{\mathbf{k}_2}, \dots\rangle$ . A doubled Fock space spanned by  $|m, \tilde{m}\rangle$  is known as the Liouville space [5, 19]. The operator  $\rho(\beta)$  is the density matrix defined by

$$\rho(\beta) = c \prod_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes \mathbb{1}. \quad (104)$$

Here  $f_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{-\beta\omega_k}$  is the conventional Boltzmann factor [57] and the coefficient  $c$  is the normalization constant chosen so that  $\langle 0(\beta) | 0(\beta) \rangle = 1$  holds.

The form of the thermal Bogoliubov matrix, however, is not unique. Indeed, the above transformations can be generalized to a non-Hermitian superposition of the form [8, 19]

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{k}} = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( a_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{\mathbf{k}}^{1-\sigma} \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right), \quad (105)$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathbf{k}} = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{\mathbf{k}}^{1-\sigma} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right). \quad (106)$$

The non-Hermitian property of the last transformation implies that the canonical conjugates of  $\zeta$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}$  are not  $\zeta^\dagger$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}^\dagger$ , but are rather the combinations

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - f_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \quad (107)$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sharp = (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - f_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma a_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \quad (108)$$

which give the correct canonical commutators,  $[\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^\#] = \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})$ ,  $[\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}] = 0$ ,  $[\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^\#, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^\#] = 0$  and similarly for  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathbf{k}}^\#$ . Here the  $\#$ -conjugation is defined as the usual Hermitian conjugation *together* with the replacement  $\sigma \rightarrow 1 - \sigma$ . The Hermitian representation Eqs. (101)-(102) is recovered when  $\sigma = 1/2$ . Thermal averages are now expressed as [5, 8, 19, 56]

$$\langle A \rangle = \frac{\langle\langle \rho^L \| A \| \rho^R \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \rho^L \| \rho^R \rangle\rangle}, \quad (109)$$

where  $A$  is a generic operator acting on the Liouville space and

$$\|\rho^R\rangle = \exp\left(\prod_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger\right) |0, \tilde{0}\rangle, \quad \langle\langle \rho^L \| = \langle 0, \tilde{0} | \exp\left(\prod_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1-\sigma)} a_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}\right). \quad (110)$$

In the special case when  $A \equiv A \otimes \mathbb{I}$ , then  $\langle A \rangle$  reduces to the standard thermal average of an observable  $A$ . Again, for  $\sigma = 1/2$ , the states  $\|\rho^R\rangle$  and  $\langle\langle \rho^L \|$  become Hermitian conjugates. Furthermore, by employing Eqs. (105)-(108) and (110), it can be seen that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \zeta \\ \tilde{\zeta} \end{array} \right\} \|\rho^R\rangle = 0 = \langle\langle \rho^L \| \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \zeta^\# \\ \tilde{\zeta}^\# \end{array} \right\}. \quad (111)$$

The thermal propagator for a scalar field in TFD is calculated as

$$\Delta_{ab}(x, y) = \langle T [\phi^a(x) \phi^{b\dagger}(y)] \rangle, \quad (112)$$

where  $T$  is the time ordering symbol and the  $a, b$  indices refer to the thermal doublet  $\phi^1 = \phi$  and  $\phi^2 = \tilde{\phi}^\dagger$ . In the present case of a real scalar field we should use in the above definition  $\phi^2 = \tilde{\phi}$ . Quite remarkably, the propagator Eq. (112) is equal to the one given by Eqs. (95)-(98), as it can be easily verified by employing the definitions given above.

The connection of TFD with the geometric picture of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime is immediate by making the identification

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \tilde{\phi} \end{array} \right) \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^I \\ \phi^{I_s} \end{array} \right). \quad (113)$$

Let us now analyze some other salient features of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, which are directly related the rotation Eqs. (21)-(22). Along the lines of Ref. [40], we consider the analytic extension of the imaginary time thermal propagator to real times within the framework of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. In Ref. [40] it was shown that the geometric structure of this spacetime plays a central role in obtaining the matrix real-time propagator from the Matsubara one. In order to see how this works, we consider the simple case of a massless free scalar field in two-dimensions. In the Euclidean section, the equation for the propagator has the form

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \right) \Delta_E(\xi^\mu - \xi^{\mu'}) = -(g_E)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta(\xi^\mu - \xi^{\mu'}), \quad (114)$$

where  $(\xi^\mu, \xi^{\mu'})$  denotes a couple of points,  $g_E$  stands for the determinant of the pullback metric in the Euclidean section and  $\Delta_E$  is the imaginary time thermal propagator. Let us now continue Eq. (114) to the extended Lorentzian section. This is achieved by first replacing  $\sigma$  by  $-i\eta$  and then performing the rotation in Eqs. (21)-(22). If  $\Delta$  is the real time propagator, we have

$$\left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_s^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_s^2} \right) \Delta(\xi^\mu - \xi^{\mu'}) = -(g_L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta(\xi^\mu - \xi^{\mu'}), \quad (115)$$

where  $g_L$  is the absolute value of the determinant of the pullback metric in the Lorentzian section. Due to the presence of different disconnected regions in the Lorentzian section, the propagator exhibits a matrix structure, since now the points  $\xi^\mu$  and  $\xi^{\mu'}$  can belong either to region  $R_I$  or  $R_{I_s}$  ( $R_{III}$  and  $R_{IV}$ , as mentioned earlier, are excluded from our consideration). In terms of the Minkowski coordinates, Eq. (115) reads

$$\left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \Delta(\xi^\mu - \xi^{\mu'}) = -\delta_C(\xi^\mu - \xi^{\mu'}), \quad (116)$$

where the  $\delta_C$  is defined as derivative of a contour step function

$$\theta_C(t - t') = \theta(s - s'), \quad (117)$$

so that

$$\delta_C(t - t') = \left( \frac{dz}{ds} \right)^{-1} \delta(s - s'). \quad (118)$$

Here  $t = z(s)$ , with  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  monotonically increasing parameterization of the time path  $C$ . This path coincides with the Niemi–Semenoff time path of Fig. 1 when the identification Eq. (94) is made. By using Eqs. (26)–(27), we obtain, for example, for the component  $\Delta_{12}$ , the equation

$$\left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \Delta(t - t' + i\sigma\beta, x - x') = -\delta_C(t - t' + i\sigma\beta) \delta(x - x'), \quad (119)$$

which gives us the solution propagator  $\Delta_{12}$  given in Eq. (97).

We finally consider the *tilde conjugation* within the framework of the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. The tilde conjugation rules are postulated in TFD in order to connect the physical and the tilde operators. Due to the geometric structure of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime, these rules are there seen as coordinate transformations. This result, which was first discussed in Ref. [39], is here enlarged to the extended Lorentzian section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime.

Let us recall the tilde conjugation rules as originally defined in TFD [6–8] (we restrict for simplicity to bosonic operators):

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)^\sim &= \tilde{A}\tilde{B}, & (c_1A + c_2B)^\sim &= c_1^*\tilde{A} + c_2^*\tilde{B}, \\ (\hat{A})^\sim &= A, & (\hat{A})^\dagger &= (A^\dagger)^\sim, \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

where  $A, B$  are two generic operators and  $c_1, c_2$  are  $c$ -numbers. In order to reproduce this operation in the extended Lorentzian section, let us first introduce the following  $M$  operation as defined in Ref. [39]:

$$M \Phi(\eta, \xi) M^{-1} \equiv \Phi(-\eta, -\xi). \quad (121)$$

By expressing the field in terms of the Minkowskian coordinates, the  $M$  operation can be cast into

$$M \phi(t, x) M^{-1} = \phi(t - i\beta/2, x). \quad (122)$$

Note that the  $M$  operation is anti-linear, since it induces a time inversion together with the shift  $t \rightarrow t - i\beta/2$ . This is clear when we consider its action on the conjugate momentum  $\pi(t, x) = \partial_t \phi^\dagger(t, x)$ , then

$$M \pi(t, x) M^{-1} = -\pi(t - i\beta/2, x). \quad (123)$$

Next we perform a rotation by an angle  $\delta$  transforming the  $\eta, \xi$  coordinates according to Eqs. (21)–(22). The field then becomes

$$R_\delta \Phi(\eta, \xi) R_\delta^{-1} \equiv \Phi(\eta_\delta, \xi_\delta). \quad (124)$$

Finally, we introduce a  $\delta$ -conjugation operation, which is similar to a charge conjugation, by

$$C_\delta \phi(t, x) C_\delta^{-1} \equiv \phi^\sharp(t, x). \quad (125)$$

Here the change  $\delta \rightarrow -\delta$  (or equivalently  $\sigma \rightarrow 1 - \sigma$ ) has to be performed together with usual charge conjugation.

The combination of these three operations results in the tilde conjugation. By defining the combined transformation  $G_\delta \equiv C_\delta R_\delta M$ , we have

$$G_\delta \phi(t, x) G_\delta^{-1} = \phi^\sharp(t - i\sigma\beta, x) = \phi^\dagger(t - i(1 - \sigma)\beta, x). \quad (126)$$

In order to reproduce the tilde rules of Eq. (120), we can now omit for simplicity the space dependence of the field. Then we have

$$G_\delta \phi_1(t) \phi_2(t') G_\delta^{-1} = \phi_1^\sharp(t - i\sigma\beta) \phi_2^\sharp(t' - i\sigma\beta), \quad (127)$$

$$G_\delta [G_\delta \phi(t) G_\delta^{-1}] G_\delta^{-1} = \phi(t), \quad (128)$$

$$G_\delta [B_1 \phi_1(t) + B_2 \phi_2(t')] G_\delta^{-1} = B_1^* \phi_1^\sharp(t - i\sigma\beta) + B_2^* \phi_2^\sharp(t' - i\sigma\beta), \quad (129)$$

$$G_\delta [\phi^\dagger(t)] G_\delta^{-1} = [G_\delta \phi(t) G_\delta^{-1}]^\dagger. \quad (130)$$

The  $c$ -numbers are conjugated since the  $M$  operation is anti-linear. The second of the above relations follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_\delta [G_\delta \phi(t) G_\delta^{-1}] G_\delta^{-1} &= C_\delta R_\delta M \phi^\dagger(t - i(1 - \sigma)\beta) M^{-1} R_\delta^{-1} C_\delta^{-1} \\
&= C_\delta \phi^\dagger(t - i(1 - \sigma)\beta - i\sigma\beta) C_\delta^{-1} \\
&= \phi(t - i\beta) = \phi(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

On the last line we have used the periodicity boundary condition for fields in the Lorentzian section, cf. Section 2. In this way the tilde rules of Eq. (120) for generic  $\sigma$  are directly reproduced.

## V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have discussed the thermal properties of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. Our particular focus was on specific complex sections of this spacetime which could be identified with the general geometric background for real-time TQFTs at equilibrium. More specifically, we have shown that there is a one-to-one relationship between the *vacuum* Green's functions in the respective sections of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime and generic mathematical representation (the so-called Mills representation) of *thermal* Green's functions in Minkowski spacetime. Complex sections discussed here can be regarded as an extension of the Lorentzian section of Gui [37] by means of a rotation of region  $R_{II}$  with respect to  $R_I$  in the complex  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. In terms of the Minkowski coordinates, this rotation is shown to be an isometry: it is equivalent to a constant time shift, leaving the metric invariant. The angle between the two regions turns out to be related to the  $\sigma$  parameter of the time path as used in the POM formalism. It also reproduces Umezawa's characteristic parameter appearing in the Bogoliubov thermal matrix of TFD, when the relation between modes belonging to different regions is considered. All in all, we have shown that the full  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime is versatile enough to allow for analytic extension from the imaginary-time (Matsubara) propagator to generic  $2 \times 2$  thermal matrix propagator of the real-time formalism – feat impossible in fixed 4-dimensional spacetime, and for a consistent prescription of the tilde conjugation rule in TFD.

In the course of our analysis, we have seen that the geometric framework of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime allows us to understand the various existent formalisms of TQFT in a unified way. In particular, with regard to the real-time methods, i.e. the POM formalism and TFD, one can draw the following geometric picture. In the Lorentzian section of  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime there are two different regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II_\delta}$  over which the global field is defined. For a global observer this field propagates in a zero-temperature heat bath. However, when one restricts itself to one of the two regions (say  $R_I$ ), temperature arises as a consequence of the loss of information (increase in entropy) about the other region. In order to calculate the corresponding thermal propagator, one needs then to compare the fields defined in different regions. This can be done essentially in two conceptually distinct ways.

i) By analytically continuing the field  $\phi^{u_\delta}(x_{II_\delta})$  defined in region  $R_{II_\delta}$  to region  $R_I$ . In this case the time argument gets shifted by  $i\beta(1/2 + \delta)$ , as described in Section III. One thus ends up with *one* field and *two* possible time arguments, which can be either  $t$  or  $t - i\beta(1/2 + \delta)$ . The generating functional defined in  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime by following this procedure turns out to be the same of the one defined in the POM formalism. The matrix structure of the two-point thermal Green's function is obtained by functionally differentiating the generating functional with respect to Schwinger sources with four possible combinations of time arguments.

ii) One can attach the information about the region to the field operator rather than putting it in the time argument. In this case the identification  $\phi^I(x) \equiv \phi(x)$  and  $\phi^{u_\delta}(x) \equiv \tilde{\phi}(x)$  can be made and one obtains the formalism of TFD, which consists of *two* commuting field operators and a *single* time argument. The matrix structure of the two-point thermal Green's function arises then from the four possible combinations of *physical* and *tilde* fields in the (thermal) vacuum expectation value.

These two pictures lead to the same physics which is manifested by the same matrix form of thermal Green functions in generic Mills representation. After all, this could be expected since both pictures represent just a different “viewpoints” of an inertial local observer in the context of full  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime. In this connection it is interesting to mention the rôle of regions  $R_{III}$  and  $R_{IV}$  of the extended Lorentzian section. These regions were intentionally omitted from our discussion in the main text. This omission was motivated, partially by technical simplifications, but mainly by the fact that for most applications the vertical parts of the time path in POM can be neglected. In particular, for computation of correlation functions in TQFT, the regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II_\delta}$  of the extended Lorentzian section fully suffice. It is, however, known that when vacuum-bubble diagrams are important (e.g., when vacuum pressure, effective action or Casimir effect are considered) then the full Niemi–Semenoff POM with vertical time paths included is obligatory [55]. In such cases the partition function  $Z_{\text{POM}}$  cannot be factorized (as assumed in Section IV B), i.e.  $Z_{\text{POM}} = Z_{R_I \cup R_{II} \cup R_{III} \cup R_{IV}} \neq Z_{R_I \cup R_{II}} Z_{R_{III} \cup R_{IV}}$ , or in other words, the regions  $R_{III}$  and  $R_{IV}$  must

be correlated with regions  $R_I$  and  $R_{II}$ . Similar conclusion holds also for the extended Lorentzian section. Note that the aforesaid cross-horizon correlation has purely quantum-mechanical origin (presence of vacuum-bubble diagrams is required) and hence it cannot be explained by classical means. One can estimate the correlation between  $R_{III} \cup R_{IV}$  and  $R_I \cup R_{II}$ , for instance, by checking how much the vertical time paths contribute in observable quantities (such as pressure). In this connection the Casimir effect at finite temperature with oscillating plates (or other geometries) is a particularly pertinent system. Conceptually a similar issue was recently considered in the context of Rindler spacetime in order to explain the origin of Unruh radiation in terms of vacuum entanglement among all four different regions of that spacetime [58].

Another interesting question to ask is to what extent the connection between  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime and TQFTs can be generalized to out-of-thermal-equilibrium situations. This would be highly desirable endeavor as in the last two decades there has been a demand for a new set of tools and concepts from QFT to treat the non-equilibrium dynamics of relativistic many-body systems and for understanding of further ensuing issues like dissipation, entropy, fluctuations, noise and decoherence in these systems. The catalyst has been the infusion of (mostly) experimental data from nuclear particle physics in the relativistic heavy-ion collision experiments (at LHC and RHIC), early-universe cosmology in the wake of high-precision observations (such as WMAP, Planck probe or BICEP3), cold atom (such as Bose–Einstein) condensation physics in highly controllable environments, quantum mesoscopic processes and collective phenomena in condensed matter systems (topological insulators, spintronics or out-of equilibrium phase transitions), etc.

While the  $\eta$ - $\xi$  spacetime connection can certainly be applied in the Linear-Response-Theory (i.e., near-to-equilibrium situations), as there one still employs the real-time (equilibrium) thermal Green functions and concomitant Keldysh–Schwinger (or Niemi–Semenoff) POM [2], the situation far-from-equilibrium is considerably less clear. The major difficulty that hinders the applicability of the outlined geometrical picture to generic non-equilibrium QFT systems is the lack of any (asymptotically time-like) Killing vector field in the geometry of a dynamical time-dependent spacetime. This leaves us without a preferred time coordinate with which we could study the problem. One possible way to proceed is to employ the Kodama vector as a substitute for the Killing vector, that it is parallel to the timelike Killing vector in the static case (as well as at spatial infinity if one assumes the evolving spacetime is asymptotically flat) [59, 60]. This “preferred” time coordinate is also known as the Kodama time [60]. Whether this route can lead to a new conceptual paradigm remains yet to be seen. Work in the direction is presently under active investigation.

### Acknowledgments

P.J. was supported by the Czech Science Foundation under the Grant No. 17-33812L. This work was also in part supported by the U.S. Army RDECOM - Atlantic Grant No. W911NF-17-1-0108.

### References

- 
- [1] A. Das, *Finite Temperature Field Theory* (Singapore: World Scientific, 1997).
  - [2] M. Le Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000).
  - [3] N. P. Landsman and C. G. van Weert, Phys. Rept. **145** (1987) 141.
  - [4] J. I. Kapusta and C. Gale, *Finite-Temperature Field Theory Principles and Applications* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011).
  - [5] M. Blasone, P. Jizba and G. Vitiello, *Quantum Field Theory and its Macroscopic Manifestations* (London: World Scientific & ICP, 2011).
  - [6] Y. Takahashi and H. Umezawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **10** (1996) 1755.
  - [7] H. Umezawa, H. Matsumoto and M. Tachiki, *Thermo Field Dynamics and Condensed States* (Amsterdam: North-Holland Publ. Co., 1982).
  - [8] H. Umezawa, *Advanced Field Theory: Micro, Macro and Thermal Physics* (New York: American Institute of Physics, 1993).
  - [9] R. L. Mills, *Propagators for many-particle systems* (New York: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1969).
  - [10] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **9** (1974) 3320.
  - [11] R. Baier, B. Pire and D. Schiff, Phys. Lett. B **238** (1990) 367.
  - [12] T. S. Evans, Phys. Lett. B **249** (1990) 286.
  - [13] J. Frenkel and J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B **334** (1990) 199.
  - [14] E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. B **337** (1990) 569.
  - [15] N. P. Landsman, Phys. Lett. B **232** (1989) 240.
  - [16] P. V. Landshoff and J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B **430** (1994) 683.

- [17] P. Jizba, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 3634.
- [18] P. Jizba, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 085011.
- [19] P. A. Henning, Phys. Rept. **253** (1995) 235.
- [20] J. I. Cirac, A. K. Ekert and C. Macchiavello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 4344.
- [21] R. Haag, N. M. Hugenholtz and M. Winnink, Commun. Math. Phys. **5** (1967) 215.
- [22] R. Haag, *Local Quantum Physics: Fields, Particles, Algebras* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1996).
- [23] G. Sewell, *Quantum Mechanics and Its Emergent Macrophysics* (Oxford: Princeton University Press, 2002).
- [24] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43** (1975) 199 Erratum: [Commun. Math. Phys. **46** (1976) 206].
- [25] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D **13** (1976) 198.
- [26] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D **16** (1977) 2402.
- [27] E. Sorkin and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 124024.
- [28] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976) 3260.
- [29] W. Rindler, Am. J. Phys. **34** (1966) 1174.
- [30] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Field in Curved Space* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982).
- [31] M. Blasone, G. Lambiase and G. G. Luciano, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) 025023.
- [32] M. Blasone, G. Lambiase and G. G. Luciano, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **956** (2018) no.1, 012021.
- [33] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976) 870.
- [34] see, e.g., H. Y. Guo, C. G. Huang and B. Zhou, Europhys. Lett. **72** (2005) 1045.
- [35] R. Bousso, A. Maloney and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 104039.
- [36] W. Israel, Phys. Lett. A **57** (1976) 107.
- [37] Y. X. Gui, Phys. Rev. D **42** (1990) 1988; Phys. Rev. D **46** (1992) 1869; Sci. Sin. A **36** (1993) 561; M. Blasone, Y. X. Gui and F. Vendrell, gr-qc/9908024.
- [38] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **15** (1977) 2738.
- [39] J. Zuo and Y. X. Gui, J. Phys. A **28** (1995) 4907.
- [40] J. Zuo and Y. X. Gui, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 537.
- [41] See comment at the end of Section 2.2.
- [42] A. J. Niemi and G. W. Semenoff, Annals Phys. **152** (1984) 105.
- [43] M. Blasone and G. Vitiello, Annals Phys. **244** (1995) 283.
- [44] M. Blasone, A. Capolupo, O. Romei and G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 125015.
- [45] M. Nirouei, S. H. Mehdipour and S. Sayyadzad, Acta Phys. Polon. B **42** (2011) 1181; Y. Gim, H. Um and W. Kim, arXiv:1803.08801 [physics.gen-ph]; F. Scardigli, M. Blasone, G. Luciano and R. Casadio, arXiv:1804.05282 [hep-th].
- [46] S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 237901.
- [47] A. Chamblin and J. Michelson, Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 1569.
- [48] F. Vendrell, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 044019.
- [49] M. E. Ortiz and F. Vendrell, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 084005.
- [50] see e.g., N. Straumann, *General Relativity* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2013).
- [51] see e.g., C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (London: Dover, 2006).
- [52] M. Blasone, T. S. Evans, D. A. Steer and G. Vitiello, J. Phys. A **32** (1999) 1185.
- [53] K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, Commun. Math. Phys. **31** (1973) 83; Commun. Math. Phys. **42** (1975) 281.
- [54] R. J. Rivers, *Path Integral Methods in Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987).
- [55] M. Le Bellac and H. Mabilat, Phys. Lett. B **381** (1996) 262.
- [56] V. P. Nair, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 104009.
- [57] The product  $\prod_{\mathbf{k}}$  in Eq. (104) is unambiguously defined only at finite volume, in which case  $\mathbf{k}$  is a discrete variable. Strictly speaking, the infinite volume limit we consider here can be performed only after this product has been evaluated.
- [58] A. Higuchi, S. Iso, K. Ueda and K. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) 083531.
- [59] P. Binétruy and A. Helou, Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (2015) 205006.
- [60] G. Abreu and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 044027.