Structural investigation of the insulator-metal transition in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ compounds

Garam Han,^{1,2} Sungkyun Choi,^{1,2,3,*} Hwanbeom Cho,^{1,2}

Byungmin Sohn,^{1,2} Je-Geun Park,^{1,2} and Changyoung Kim^{1,2,†}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University (SNU), Seoul 151-742, Korea

²Center for Correlated Electron Systems, Institute for Basic Science, Seoul 151-742, Korea

³Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstrasse 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

(Dated: March 10, 2024)

We report on a combined measurement of high-resolution x-ray diffraction on powder and Raman scattering on single crystalline $\operatorname{NiS}_{2-x}\operatorname{Se}_x$ samples that exhibit the insulator-metal transition with Se doping. Via x-rays, an abrupt change in the bond length between Ni and S (Se) ions was observed at the transition temperature, in sharp contrast to the almost constant bond length between chalcogen ions. Raman scattering, a complementary technique with the unique sensitivity to the vibrations of chalcogen bonds, revealed no anomalies in the phonon spectrum, consistent with the x-ray diffraction results. This indicates the important role of the interaction between Ni and S (Se) in the insulator-metal transition. The potential implication of this interpretation is discussed in terms of current theoretical models.

PACS numbers: 71.30.+h, 61.05.cp, 74.25.nd, 63.20.-e

I. INTRODUCTION

 $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ is an intriguing strongly correlated system showing the transition¹ from an insulating NiS₂ phase to a metallic NiSe₂ phase via Se doping²⁻⁷. NiS_{2-x}Se_x is especially suitable for the study of bandwidth-controlled transitions induced purely by the electron-electron correlation. Specifically, the insulator-to-metal (IM) transition is not obscured by a structural transition, as it preserves its parent crystal symmetry by connecting two isostructural and isoelectronic end compounds. Thus, $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ provides a rare opportunity to directly compare experimental and theoretical results.

 $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ has a pyrite structure composed of Ni and chalcogen dimer ions, whose centers of masses are located in the face-centred cubic lattices and at the center of edges of the unit cell, respectively [see Fig. 1(a)]. Its electronic structure can be understood in terms of chalcogen dimer bonding that accepts two electrons from Ni ions, forming the Ni²⁺ state with two electrons occupying the e_g orbitals⁵. The doping of larger Se ions alters the bond distances as well as the hybridization, stabilizing distinct electronic and magnetic phases [as denoted in the phase diagram in Fig. 1(b)].

The transition in $\operatorname{NiS}_{2-x}\operatorname{Se}_x$ can be explained with respect to the *p*-*d* hybridization in a charge transfer (CT) insulator $\operatorname{NiS}_2^{8,9}$, in which the chalcogen *p*-band is located between upper and lower Hubbard bands of Ni *d* orbitals. With the substitution of the larger Se ions (than S ions), the *p*-*d* hybridization becomes stronger and the hopping energy thus increases. This closes the CT gap, stabilizing the metallic phase via the transition^{10,11}.

Recently, a new microscopic mechanism¹² involving the X - X (X = S, Se) dimer in $\operatorname{NiS}_{2-x}\operatorname{Se}_x$ has been suggested. In this model, a p_{σ}^* -band of $\operatorname{NiS}_{2-x}\operatorname{Se}_x$ is located below the upper Hubbard band and forms a *p*-band gap with the rest of the *p*-band across the Fermi level. As the length of Se - Se dimer is longer than that of the S - S dimer, the Se substitution for S ions reduces the size of the *p*-band gap and finally leads to the metallic state.

Given this inconsistency in the underlying microscopic mechanism and the controversial role of chalcogen dimers in the transition^{11–14}, it would be of interest to examine the crystal structure and its dynamics. The literature suggests a strong charge-lattice coupling, such as the sudden volume change observed at the transition temperature^{15,16}. However, to the best of our knowledge, detailed structural investigations of NiS_{2-x}Se_x with doping and temperature have yet to be made.

Here we present a comprehensive analysis by applying a combined experimental technique of highresolution x-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman scattering to $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ compounds, to investigate their crystal structures and dynamics. At the transition temperature, XRD measurements showed a sudden contraction of the cubic lattice parameter. A similar trend in the distance between Ni and chalcogen ions upon both Se doping and temperature was also observed. This is in sharp contrast to the gradual change in distance between chalcogen ions. Results from Raman scattering study confirm absence of anomalies in the phonon spectra from the dimers. These consistent results indicate the important role of the interaction between Ni and chalcogen ions in the transition, as opposed to that between chalcogen dimers, more in agreement with the CT mechanism.

The paper is organized as follows. Resistivity data from high-quality powder sample of $\operatorname{NiS}_{2-x}\operatorname{Se}_x$ are presented in Sec. II; the results show the evolution of electronic properties over a wide range of doping concentrations. The crystal structures of the same samples were carefully determined with XRD, as presented in Sec. III, followed by Raman scattering analysis of the two single crystals in Sec IV, in which the change of the Ramanactive chalcogen phonons is examined. Their implications of the IM transition are discussed in Sec. V. Finally, conclusions are summarized in Sec. VI.



FIG. 1. (color online) (a) Representative refined pyrite crystal structure of NiS_{2-x}Se_x at 292 K (x = 0.49) with a solid blue line representing d_{Ni-X} and solid black line representing d_{X-X} . (b) Schematic phase diagram of NiS_{2-x}Se_x from x = 0 to 1 with circular symbols obtained from our resistivity data in the T_{IM} line (with a solid black line from a linear fit). The transition is of the first-order at the antiferromagnetic boundary (a dotted black line), whereas a crossover-like transition exists in the paramagnetic states (a dashed black line) based on previous neutron works¹⁶. AFI (AFM) refers to an antiferromagnetic insulator (metal). The same compositions of compounds used for resistivity measurements in (d) are color-coded in (b) with solid arrows; dotted arrows represent compositions used for Raman measurements, as shown in Fig. 4. (c) Resistivity data from powder samples. The inset shows the differentiation of resistivity data by temperature, with a clear peak seen at about 47 K, the T_{IM} . (d) Fitted cubic lattice parameter (a_c) in the cubic symmetry from powder XRD measurements. Filled (empty) symbols are obtained from fits using the full (focused) 2θ range of XRD data.

The appendices contain the full details of sample growth in Appendix A, resistivity measurements in Appendix B, powder XRD measurements in Appendix C, refined crystal structures in Appendix D, sample characterizations of single crystals used in Raman measurements in Appendix E, technical details for Raman scattering in Appendix F, and the effect of beam-heating on Raman measurements in Appendix G. Tables provide additional information on the refined crystal structures and positions of phonon peaks.

II. RESISTIVITY

Figure 1(c) shows the resistivity data from powder samples, categorized into three regimes: insulating $(x \leq 0.43)$, transition $(0.47 \leq x \leq 0.52)$, and metallic $(x \ge 0.6)^{16}$. At lower doping, the samples showed simple insulating behavior with a small hump, suggestive of the effect from a short-range magnetic order¹⁶. Resistivity data corresponding to intermediate doping revealed a clear first-order IM transition at x = 0.47 within a narrow temperature range of about 5 K at around 47 K [confirmed as the percolation of two phases in powder XRD, as shown in Figs. 3(a, b)]. This was followed by a crossover-like transition at x = 0.52 (from T = 80 K to 120 K) that became metallic at higher Se doping. The transition at T = 0 K is expected at x = 0.42, based on extrapolation from a fitted line using three data points from resistivity and XRD data [see the three circular symbols in Fig. 1(b)]. The $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ structures of the same powder samples were examined by high-resolution XRD, as explained in the next section.

III. POWDER X-RAY DIFFRACTION

High resolution x-ray diffraction (XRD) can provide the structural information in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ associated with the IM transition. Synthesized high-quality powder samples [as described in Appendix A] were examined over a wide range of doping concentrations and temperatures [see solid arrows in Fig. 1(b) for the doping range covered].

To map out the lattice parameters in the phase space of Se doping and temperature, we traced the change in the (3 1 1) Bragg peak [denoted as empty symbols in Fig. 1(d)], taking advantages of its stronger diffraction signal and higher 2θ resolution compared to other peaks. Figure 2(a) shows typical double peaks (owing to Cu K_{α 1} and K_{α 2} wavelengths) for the (3 1 1) peak of the x = 0.49 sample at room-temperature and 12 K. The fitted full-width-half-maximum of the peak was about 0.056°, which indicated that the powder samples were of a high quality.

Figure 1(d) shows the change of the cubic lattice parameter a_c (c for cubic) with temperature; a linear dependence was revealed for insulating powder samples $(x = 0.24, 0.43 \text{ in NiS}_{2-x}\text{Se}_x)$ whereas a_c for the metallic powder (x = 0.60, 0.68) exhibited parabolic behavior as the temperature approached 100 K. In contrast, two intermediate dopings (x = 0.47, 0.49) revealed a sudden jump in a_c at about 47 K and 65 K, respectively, which coincides with the IM transition temperature (T_{IM}) in the resistivity data [see Fig. 1(c)], reproducing the results in the literature¹⁶.

For the detailed structural analysis of the relationship between the structure and the transition, the full range of 2θ XRD data were collected for all dopings at roomtemperature and 12 K [filled symbols at both tempera-



FIG. 2. (color online) Representative (3 1 1) peak data and refined parameters from the full XRD data. (a) Representative XRD data collected at 12 K (cross symbols) and 292 K (plus symbols) with fits (black lines) and the difference between them (horizontal solid lines below the data). (b-d) Extracted structural parameters from the data: (b) a_c , (c) d_{Ni-X} , (d) d_{X-X} with fitted solid lines as guides. The dashed line in (b) is included as a guide for the eyes to separate two phases. Data at 292 K were used for x = 0.24, 0.47 and 0.49.

tures in Fig. 1(d)], and for the three selected dopings over the entire temperature range [filled symbols in Fig. 1(d)]. They are presented in Sec. III A and Sec. III B, respectively.

A. Doping-dependent powder XRD

Figure 2(b) shows an evolution of a_c with Se doping at both 298 and 12 K. At 12 K, the lattice parameter is greatly reduced, shifting the (3 1 1) peak to the larger 2θ [see Fig. 2(a)]. However, this structural modification does not break the cubic crystallographic symmetry as X ions move along the cubic diagonal direction with the doping. Neither peak splitting nor superlattice peaks caused by a lowered symmetry were found within our experimental resolution; thus, the XRD data supported the parent cubic structure. To examine the structural variations such as bond distances, we performed Rietveld refinement (with the Fullprof package¹⁷) using a more realistic Se doping based on Vegard's law^{18,19} [see Appendix D for the detailed procedure and full results from the refinement].

Figures 2(b-d) show information on the refined structures, such as the evolution of a_c , the distance between Ni and S (Se) ions (d_{Ni-X}) , and distance between chalcogen ions (d_{X-X}) , respectively. With Se substitution into NiS₂, the chalcogen ions only move along the diagonal direction in an (x, x, x) fractional coordination of the cubic unit cell, whereas nickel ions remain at the origin. Thus, d_{Ni-X} and d_{X-X} are interconnected and are unambiguously determined by a_c and the fractional



FIG. 3. (color online) (a), (b) Temperature-dependent XRD data for the (3 1 1) peak for x = 0.47 and 0.49, clearly showing the first-order transition. Cu $K_{\alpha 2}$ is subtracted for simpler visualization; small offset angles (-0.04 and -0.11, respectively based on those from the full XRD data) are subtracted in the nominal zero 2θ angle, before converting to the d-spacing. (c-e) Evolution of a_c , d_{Ni-X} and d_{X-X} with temperature for x = 0.47, 0.49 and 0.24, respectively.

coordination-x of X ions inside the unit cell²⁰. As illustrated in Fig. 2(b), a_c at 298 K increased with the doping due to the larger ionic size of Se than that of S, agreeing well with Vegard's law and indicative of a well-defined solid solution NiS_{2-x}Se_x. However, a_c at 12 K showed an anomaly for metallic phases (x = 0.49 or 0.52), similar to

the evolution of d_{Ni-X} at 12 K. This is in sharp contrast to d_{X-X} , in which there were no such anomalies with Se doping at both 298 K and 12 K. Refinement results at 298 K in Figs. 2(b-d) are compatible with previous XRD measurements on NiS_{2-x}Se_x thin films¹⁹. To crosscheck this structural anomaly, temperature-dependent XRD measurements were conducted on the three selected powder samples by scanning the vertical line (the temperature scan) in the phase diagram of Fig. 1(b); the results are presented in Sec. III B.

B. Temperature-dependent powder XRD

Powder XRD measurements were performed on x = 0.47, 0.49 samples with temperature variation; dramatic first-order transitions were revealed in the resistivity data [see Fig. 1(c)]. Figures 3(a-b) show the evolution of a (3 1 1) peak upon heating for x = 0.47 and 0.49, respectively. In Fig. 3(a), the diffraction peak in the insulating phase at a higher d-spacing (~ 1.7312 Å) at about T = 55 K, continued to weaken as the temperature was lowered, whereas the same $(3\ 1\ 1)$ peak from the metallic phase began to emerge at a smaller d-spacing $(\sim 1.7279 \text{ Å})$ at around 47 K. These features coexisted over a narrow temperature range before the former peak at the higher d-spacing disappeared at about T = 38 Kwithin the experimental error. The observed transition temperature is consistent with T_{IM} of x = 0.47 found in the resistivity data [see a sudden drop in resistivity in Fig. 1c)]. This behavior is qualitatively the same for x = 0.49 as shown in Fig. 3(b), with a higher transition temperature at about 65 K, and is reminiscent of the coexistence of metallic and insulating phases previously studied in VO_2^{21} and chromium-doped $V_2O_3^{22}$.

As shown in Figs. 3(c-d), d_{Ni-X} decreased with the temperature, with a sharp contraction seen near the transition temperature; the decrease in d_{X-X} is less significant. Thus, d_{X-X} was relatively more rigid with temperature. The absence of any strong anomaly in d_{X-X} with temperature is also consistent with the doping-dependent XRD results shown in Fig. 2(d). Closer examination of the results shown in Figs. 2(b-c) and Figs. 3(c-d) revealed a d_{Ni-X} anomaly in terms of both temperature and doping dependence.

Measurements were repeated with the non-IM sample (x = 0.24) for a comparative analysis; there was no evidence of any anomaly in the parameters examined $(a_c, d_{Ni-X} \text{ and } d_{X-X})$, as summarized in Fig. 3(e). This observation can be considered with respect to the cubic crystal structure with an arrangement of Ni - X - X - Ni ions along the cubic diagonal direction [depicted as a black dashed line in Fig. 1(a)]. The reduction in the cubic volume with Se doping corresponds to direct contraction of the diagonal distance containing d_{X-X} , expecting a subsequent sudden contraction of d_{X-X} . However, our XRD results showed that the lattice contraction accompanies with d_{Ni-X} via the transition [the blue solid bond

in Fig. 1(a) distinct from the diagonal direction]. This counterintuitive result indicates that the hybridization of Ni and X ions via the transition plays a more significant role than that of the chalcogen dimers.

IV. RAMAN SCATTERING ON SINGLE CRYSTALS

To further explore the evolution of the chalcogen dimer through the IM transition, we performed Raman scattering measurements on $x = 0.45~(\mathrm{T_{IM}} \sim 31.5~\mathrm{K})$ and x = 0.23 (no transition) single crystals [see Appendix E for characterizations of single crystals]. Because Raman scattering is insensitive to the vibrations of nickel ions located at the inversion symmetry position, it is a suitable tool to investigate the dynamics of only chalcogen ions through examining the effect of Se substitution in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$. In this regard, it would be intriguing to prove if the changes in electric properties in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ are accompanied by corresponding anomalies in the Ramanactive phonon vibrations in the dimer model. Also, Raman measurement may provide evidence of a structural anomaly related to the dimer in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ with doping and temperature, by exploiting its high sensitivity to structural and magnetic transitions 23,24 .

However, in the literature, unpolarized Raman measurements of $NiS_{2-x}Se_x^{20,25-27}$ are only reported, mostly at room-temperature. Low-temperature measurements on $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ provide deeper insight into the dynamics of chalcogen bonds upon doping and temperature variation via electronic transitions. In particular, polarized analysis with single crystals allows unambiguous assignment of in-phase and out-of-phase stretching vibrations of all possible chalcogen pairs (S - S, S - Se and Se - Se) based on the symmetry argument.

Therefore, polarized Raman measurements were conducted at room-temperature and lower temperatures on two crystals. Representative Raman responses are shown in Figs. 4(a-d) for two polarizations and two end temperatures [see Figs. 11(a-d) in Appendix F for the entire temperature data set]. Data were collected from -50 to 1000 cm⁻¹; however, only the results from 160 to 550 cm⁻¹ are shown as there were no noticeably strong peaks above 550 cm⁻¹, apart from weak multi-phonon features.

Group analysis predicts five Raman-active phonons in NiS₂, $A_g + E_g + 3T_g^{28}$. Previous unpolarized Raman measurements on NiS₂^{20,25-27} revealed A_g (in-phase) and T_g (out-of-phase) stretching modes of the S - S bond at around 470 cm⁻¹ and two very weak rotational modes (of the dimer dumbbell) E_g and T_g near 270 cm⁻¹. Once Se ions are substituted, a new pair of phonons from the S - Se bond emerged in the middle energy range [see A_g (S - Se) and T_g (S - Se) in Figs. 4(a-d)]. A pair of stretching phonons (A_g , T_g) from Se - Se degenerated inadvertently²⁰ with weak rotational modes (T_g , E_g) from the S - S bond around 270 cm⁻¹. However,



FIG. 4. (color online) (a-d) Raman spectra for the parallel and perpendicular polarization of x = 0.45 and 0.23. (e, g) Normalized fitted peak positions with temperature in x = 0.45 and 0.23, respectively. (f) Zoom view of (e) marked by a green box, for closer examination of the peak shifts near the transition temperature. Symbols for the main peaks in (a-d) match those in (e-g). The fitting error bars shown in (e-g) are very small. The instrumental resolution (1.8 cm⁻¹) was smaller than the width of vertical bars noted for peak positions in (a-d).

strong A_g (in-phase) and T_g (out-of-phase) stretching modes of all three chalcogen bonds in NiS_{2-x}Se_x compounds were detectable separately via the polarization measurements, as shown in Figs. 4(a-d); their phonon energies decreased in the order of S - S, S - Se and Se - Se, as the mass of the chalcogen bond became heavier^{20,25}.

Raman measurements were performed at various temperatures from 24 K (the base temperature) to 312 K (close to room-temperature); two representative end temperature datapoints are shown in Figs. 4(a-d). They were obtained using parallel $\bar{c}(bb)c$ and perpendicular $\bar{c}(ab)c$ polarizations²⁸, where the first (second) axis inside the parenthesis refers to the polarisation of incoming (outgoing) light, and the left (right) axis outside the parenthesis corresponds to the incoming (outgoing) direction of light [see Appendix F for details, including the Raman intensity tensors in Eq. (F1)]. For more accurate determination of peak positions, both inelastic and elastic signals were measured simultaneously, and Raman peaks were calibrated based on the corresponding elastic peak [see Appendix F for details].

With the room-temperature Raman data (qualitatively consistent with the literature^{25–27}), the assignment of Raman-active phonons was based on their symmetry relations with respect to the light polarizations, the doping dependence of relative intensities, and the energy shift due to the change in the reduced masses of the dimers^{20,25–27} in two crystals (x = 0.45 and 0.23): A_g and E_g in $\bar{c}(bb)c$ and T_g phonons in $\bar{c}(ab)c$. Compared to x = 0.23, phonons in x = 0.45 showed decreased wavenumbers; this observation can be understood in terms of the increased distance between chalcogen ions. Also, the intensity of the S - Se relative to that of the S - S phonon was larger in the more highly doped crystal, owing to larger population of S - Se bonds [compare Fig. 4(a) with Fig. 4(c), and Fig. 4(b) with Fig. 4(d)].

To quantitatively determine the positions of phonons, data were fitted with pseudo-Voigt profiles [see Appendix F for test results with profile functions]; peak positions were normalized by room-temperature values by comparing trends in the normalized peak positions with temperature, as illustrated in Figs. 4(e-g). There was no evidence of any anomalous feature in phonon wavenumber through the IM transition for x = 0.45 [Figs. 4(a, b) and (e, f) and x = 0.23 [see Figs. 4(c, d, g)] samples; this Raman evidence is consistent with powder XRD results shown in Fig. 3(c-e). The peaks of all three chalcogen bonds shifted monotonically toward a higher energy; this can be understood as a simple hardening of phonons owing to more contracted bonds at a lower temperature. We should mention that the temperature shown here is rather representative due to beam-heating [estimated and explained in Appendix G, implying that no anomaly is observed around T_{IM} in x = 0.45, similar with the results for x = 0.23. We also observed a new Raman peak denoted as N1 in the $\bar{c}(ab)c$ polarization for x = 0.45; its temperature dependence was similar with those of other peaks, and thus was not associated with the transition. However, this could indicate an inhomogeneity of Se - Se bonds in the x = 0.45 sample.

It is also worth noting that saturated and softened phonon peaks (most prominent for A_g modes) at lower temperatures in both crystals may be indicative of coupling with an antiferromagnetic magnetic structure [see Fig. 1(b)], referring to a similar Raman feature observed in the magnetoelastic distortion of EuCrO₃²⁴. The peak determination for some data [i.e., 132 K for x = 0.45] is ambiguously affected by a strong ice peak nearby; this increases the uncertainty in the extracted peak positions, and for this reason such peak positions are not displayed in Figs. 4(e-g). There were no anomalies in the peak widths (not shown) through the transition temperature in x = 0.45 and x = 0.23 samples. For completeness, fitted peak positions are listed in Table I, and the entire set of Raman data is shown in Fig. 11 in Appendix F.

V. DISCUSSION

The key observation in this paper is an anomalous contraction of d_{Ni-X} , in sharp contrast to d_{X-X} via the IM transition. d_{Ni-X} exhibited a noticeable contraction through the transition with respect to both doping concentration and temperature, whereas d_{X-X} did not show any particular anomaly, as confirmed by both XRD and Raman measurements [Figs. 2(c-d) and 3(c-e), respectively]. If there is a significant contraction of X - X at the transition temperature, the ion-selective Raman scattering should reveal a sudden change in X - X phonons, such as that observed at 230 K in (chromium-doped) $V_2O_3^{29}$. However, our Raman data indicated only a simple hardening of stretching frequencies of chalcogen bonds near the transition temperature, consistent with the XRD data. Therefore, we conclude that there is only a sharp contraction in d_{Ni-X} at the transition, with a monotonic change in d_{X-X} remaining.

In general, the reduction of the interatomic distance tends to increase the bandwidth¹; hence, the decrease in d_{Ni-X} increases the bandwidth¹¹ and/or the *d-p* hybridization⁸. Given that the level of the d_{Ni-X} contraction at the transition is comparable with that of the lattice parameter contraction [such that the volume a_c^3 changes as shown in Figs. 3(c-d)], the d_{Ni-X} contraction appears to be related more strongly to the driving force for the transition than the dimer. If the dimer is a direct driving factor for the IM transition, d_{X-X} would exhibit the anomaly across the first-order transition temperature; however, our XRD and Raman measurements did not show evidence of this.

The sudden drop in a_c (with the volume decrease) with decreasing temperature, which concurs with the transition^{30,31}, can be understood by the Clausius-Clapeyron relation^{16,31}, an equation describing the entropy change between insulating and metallic phases. The calculated entropy change (from the x = 0.49 data shown in Fig. 3(d) is compatible with the value from heat capacity measurements³², demonstrating the first-order nature of the transition in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$. As this firstorder transition can be attributed to various origins, it is important to point out that the entropy $change^{32}$ by the volume contraction is tiny [i.e., only about 0.2 % for the temperature shown in Figs. 3(c-d)], and is comparable with other materials proposed in terms of the electronic-driven transition³¹. Also, our Raman measurements revealed only a slight monotonic shift in the stretching frequencies near the transition temperature, thus decreasing the likelihood of a significant contribution to the entropy (in sharp contrast to (chromiumdoped) $V_2O_3^{29}$). Therefore, our data favor an electronic origin, as opposed to a dimer-driven mechanism. Furthermore, the CT picture is consistent with a recent observation of a bandwidth-controlled transition by angleresolved photoemission spectroscopy measurements^{7,33}. explained with respect to a first-order transition at the microscopic scale³³.

On the other hand, it would be interesting to note a possibly distinct mechanism of a pressure-induced IM transition. Pressure-dependent single crystal XRD³⁴ and Raman spectroscopy²⁶ made at room temperature reported potential anomalies of the bond lengths and vibrations of the chalcogen dimers respectively in the context of the transition. This could mean a non-negligible role of the chalcogen dimer in the transition under pressure, which may indicate a different microscopic origin of the pressure-induced transitions. This counterintuitive complexity further makes these compounds highly intriguing to investigate the detailed microscopic mechanisms of the IM transitions driven by various perturbations.

Although we did not observe any structural transition in the $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ doping concentrations studied, it would be worthwhile to investigate the possibility of a structural transition in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$. Given that d_{S-S} in NiS_2^{35} is very different from d_{Se-Se} in $NiSe_2^{35}$, any periodic modulation induced by substituted Se ions in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ will naturally break the parent cubic symmetry, inducing peak splitting and/or the emergence of new nuclear Bragg peaks. However, our XRD results did not show any evidence of these features within experimental error, despite the high resolution of the measurements. Instead, our data fit well with the parent cubic crystal structure (No. 205, $Pa\bar{3}$) having a single Wyckoff site (8c) for both S and Se ions [as given in Table II]; this indicates that $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ is a well-mixed solid solution, also justifying Vegard's law, i.e., there is a well-defined linear change in the cubic lattice parameter with Se doping in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x.$

Nevertheless, it might be possible that very weak structural distortions take place beyond our experimental sensitivity. For instance, the d-spacing resolution of our xray measurements (to be able to detect the change in the lattice parameter) is of the order of 0.01 Å, which is still one order bigger than the 0.001 Å order from thermal expansion measurements³⁶; thus, the latter technique may be able to resolve very small structural distortions in NiS_{2-x}Se_x, similar with the proposed structural distortion in NiS₂ at low temperatures³⁶.

Our combined experimental results are consistent and well explain the first order transition; our findings also emphasize that refined crystal structures with well-fit high-quality XRD data can serve as reliable experimental constraints when examining the microscopic mechanisms of the IM transitions, such as that involving *ab initio* band structure calculations.

VI. SUMMARY

We performed high-resolution XRD measurements on high-quality polycrystalline samples and Raman scattering experiments on high-quality $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ single crystals. A detailed structural study with powder XRD measurements indicated that first-order IM transition accommodated a sudden contraction of $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{Ni}-X}$ with a hardly noticeable anomaly in d_{X-X} , with both doping and temperature variations. The potential impact of chalcogen dimers on the electronic and structural transition were investigated by Raman scattering; only a monotonic trend of hardening of lattice dynamics, without any anomalous signature from the d_{X-X} dimer phonons, was revealed in a good agreement with XRD results. These observations imply the significant effect of the interaction between Ni and chalcogen ions in the IM transition, as opposed to those involving the dimer, thus suggesting the important role of p-d hybridization in the metallization process of $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ compounds at low temperatures.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank D. J. Song, S. R. Park, G. L. Pascut and B. Keimer for reading the manuscript and providing helpful comments. We also appreciate the technical support from H. Y. Choi and Y. J. Choi at Yonsei University for Physical Property Measurement System measurements, K. Son and G. Schütz for Magnetic Property Measurement System experiments and A. Schulz for Raman measurements at Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, J. Y. Kwon for four-circle x-ray diffraction measurements and H. Sim for single crystal x-ray diffraction experiments at Center for Correlated Electron Systems (CCES), Institute for Basic Science (IBS), and Y. H. Jung and D. Ahn for synchrotron x-ray diffraction experiments at 9B beamline at Pohang Accelerator Laboratory. The work at the IBS-CCES was funded by Institute for Basic Science in Korea (Grant No. IBS-R009-G1 and IBS-R009-G2). S. C. was also supported by the international postdoctoral scholarship at Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research in Germany.

Appendix A: Sample growth

Series of polycrystalline $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ samples (x = 0.24, 0.43, 0.47, 0.49, 0.52, 0.60 and 0.68) were synthesized using a conventional solid-state reaction covering the insulating to metallic phase [see solid arrows in Fig. 1(b)]. Two grams of starting powder, consisting of Ni (99.99 %), S (99.9995 %) and Se (99.999 %), were mixed well with 3 % of excess S and Se to compensate an unavoidable evaporation of chalcogen ions during the heating, targeting fully occupied anion ions in powder samples. The pelletized powder was placed in an evacuated silica tube and heated to 720 °C, at a rate of 20 °C per hour, inside a box furnace and maintained for 3 days. The resultant powder sample was roughly checked by x-ray measurements to confirm an absence of any secondary phase, followed by a second sintering to improve powder quality. The sintered powder showed very good sample quality without a secondary phase in x-ray measurements.

Single crystals were also grown via a chemical vapour transport method using Cl₂ gas¹⁶. The well-ground starting polycrystalline sample (0.8 g) was sealed under 0.5 atm of Cl₂ gas in an evacuated silica ampoule (inner diameter: 15 mm; length: 13 ~ 14 cm) inside a two-zone furnace. The middle temperature of the ampoule was maintained at about 745 °C with a temperature gradient of 2 °C/cm. Single crystals, typically up to 1 ~ 2 mm, were synthesized on the tube edge after about a month. The crystals typically exhibited shiny and flat surfaces of (0 0 1) facets³⁷, characterized by the Laue diffraction and four-circle XRD measurements (not shown).



FIG. 5. (color online) Series of XRD patterns on $\operatorname{NiS}_{2-x}\operatorname{Se}_x$ (x = 0.24, 0.43, 0.47, 0.49, 0.52, 0.60, 0.68) collected at 298 K. Observed XRD data (red circles) and calculated diffraction patterns (black lines) are shown. The difference (a blue solid line) between observed and calculated patterns for x = 0.24 is displayed as a representative data, showing a very good fit. The refinement of all other data was performed in the same manner, with similar fitting results. Note that the data are normalized by an intensity of (2 0 0) peak (near $2\theta \sim 31^{\circ}$) for a better comparison of data collected from different doping concentrations.

Appendix B: Resistivity

Resistivity measurements of pelletized and sintered powder samples $(1 \times 2 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^3)$ were performed with Physical Property Measurement System (from Quantum Design). The temperature was scanned at a constant rate of 3 K/min from T = 5 K to 300 K using a standard four-probe method with gold wires as contacts.

Appendix C: Powder X-ray diffraction

Using high-quality powder samples grown by the method detailed in Appendix A, XRD measurements were performed with a Rigaku D8 advance high-resolution x-ray diffractometer using a Bragg-Brentano geometry with a Cu $K_{\alpha 1,2}$ source. No monochromator to screen Cu $K_{\alpha 2}$ was used (so Fullprof codewords



FIG. 6. (color online) Series of XRD patterns on $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ (x = 0.24, 0.43, 0.47, 0.49, 0.52, 0.60, 0.68) collected at 12 K. Note that the data are normalized by the intensity of (2 0 0) peak for a better comparison.

were applied accordingly), but the Ni filter on the detector "arm" was used to suppress Cu K_{β} x-ray beams. The following instrumental conditions were applied: 280 mm (radius) diffraction arm; 0.26° (0.6 mm) divergence slit; 1.32° (3.0 mm) anti-scatter slit; primary Soller slits with 2.5° opening. A small mass (approximately 120 mg) of powder sample was well-spread on a circular sample holder to ensure good-quality diffraction patterns. Powder XRD data was collected in a continuous mode at a rate of 1.335 seconds / step and a step increment of 0.01°.

A cryostat was installed for low-temperature measurements. After vacuum pumping of the chamber for several hours, the chamber was cooled to the starting basetemperature (12 K) before beginning the measurements. Notably, the cooling data was qualitatively the same as the heating data, with a marginally lowered transition temperature by about 0.5 K; this difference is consistent with resistivity measurements (not shown). The x-ray diffractometer was calibrated by aligning components before collecting the main data; this improved the 2θ -resolution, particularly in the region of higher 2θ . An aging effect was observed in powder samples, such that magnetic susceptibility at low-temperature increased significantly after several months (not shown) in accordance with observations from a previous study³⁸. Thus, XRD



FIG. 7. (color online) (a) Temperature-dependent XRD data of the x = 0.49 powder sample with refinement results. The difference (a blue solid line) between the diffraction data pattern and calculation at 12 K is shown to represent a good fit. (b) Evolution of the (3 1 1) Bragg peak with temperatures. (c) R_p, R_{wp} and R_{exp} with relative scales to show how refinements capture a structural anomaly around the first-order IM transition at about 65 K.



FIG. 8. (color online) Temperature-dependent XRD data of the x = 0.47 powder sample with refinement results.

measurements were performed soon after synthesizing powder samples. No obvious evidence of degradation of single crystals was observed (over the course of several years).

Appendix D: Structure determination

The full XRD data scan ranged from $20^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 120^{\circ}$; however, the pattern beyond $2\theta > 65^{\circ}$ was too weak to be used for the refinements. Thus, for simplicity, diffraction data (red circles) with fitted patterns (black solid lines) are shown only for the range $25^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 65^{\circ}$ in Fig. 5 to Fig. 9.

In the refinement, a zero-shift and lattice parameters were first found using *Le Bail* fits, based on relevant instrument parameters. Full structural information was then obtained via structural refinements in the order of S (Se) position, the isotropic thermal parameter (B_{iso}) of Ni, and S (Se) atomic sites. Several other sequences were also tested in the fits, with no meaningful difference detected in the refined crystal structures.

More realistic Se-dopings (x) than the nominal values in powder samples were determined through three kinds of refinements. First, Vegard's law between NiS₂ ($a_c = 5.6873 \text{ Å}$)³⁵ [close to our single crystal XRD results (not shown)] and NiSe₂ ($a_c = 5.9629 \text{ Å}$)³⁵ was utilized to estimate the actual doping, followed by the Rietveld refinements to extract structural parameters. Second, the relative ratios of S and Se occupancies were



FIG. 9. (color online) Temperature-dependent XRD data of the x = 0.24 powder sample with refinement results.

fitted, assuming the full occupancy in the same 8c Wyckoff site. The deviation of refined Se-dopings (x) from the values obtained with Vegard's law was only within 0.018 over the entire powder sample, which was much smaller than a typical step of nominal x values ($\delta x = 0.05$ or (0.1) in our series of powder samples. Last, occupancies of chalcogen ions were refined independently although their fits were least stable; however, nearly same structural parameters (i.e., d_{Ni-X} , d_{X-X} and a_c) were obtained, comparable with those using Vegard's law [as shown in Figs. 2(b-d)]. The high quality of our power sample made this fit (with free occupancy parameters) more reliable [see very small values of refinement parameters, R_p , R_{wp} and R_{exp} in Tables II and III]: in fact, many of refinements parameters are even comparable with those from standard LaB₆ powder samples ($R_p \sim 14.7$; $R_{wp} \sim 11.9$) (not shown). As a result, a more realistic Se doping scheme was adopted based on Vegard's law (the first method). We assumed that full site occupancies in all XRD data with x = 0.24, 0.43, 0.47, 0.49, 0.52, 0.60,

and 0.68 (deviated from the nominal doping of x = 0.2, 0.4, 0.45, 0.45, 0.5, 0.6, and 0.7, respectively) [see a full structural information from the refinement in Tables II and III]. This in-depth analysis makes the anomalous trend of structural parameters shown in Figs. 2(b-d) in the main text more convincing.

All doping-dependent XRD data collected at the 298 and 12 K are presented in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. The most prominent change in the diffraction pattern in terms of Se doping was in the relative intensities of the three strong peaks from $30^{\circ} \leq 2\theta \leq 40^{\circ}$ surrounded by dashed black lines. This enables more reliable structural refinements.

All temperature-dependent XRD data for three powder samples (x = 0.49, 0.47 and 0.24) are shown in Fig. 7 to Fig. 9, respectively. In the experiment, data quality was checked at 292 K, followed by the collection of the full diffraction data set as the samples were heated from 12 to 292 K. In the refinement process, a_c extracted from the full XRD data collected at 298 K [filled symbols in Fig. 1(d)] was used as a starting value to obtain a_c parameters of the remaining temperature data [empty symbols in Fig. 1(d)] in the subsequent *Le Bail* fit. This was cross-checked by refinements starting with 12 K data for x = 0.49, with consistent results.

As a representative sampling of data quality with corresponding analyses, the entire XRD data set is shown for the x = 0.49 sample over a wide temperature range in Fig. 7. Figure 7(a) shows the overall change in the diffraction patterns with temperatures. Figure 7(b) reveals a clear jump in the chosen (3 1 1) Bragg peak in terms of 2θ through the transition to the lower temperature. Figure 7(c) shows reliable refinements, capturing a small peak feature near the transition; notably, the double-peak structure of the whole pattern makes the refinement worse due to the coexistence of the metallic and insulating phase [see Fig. 3(b)]. This was further verified in a failed refinement trial with the $(3\ 1\ 1)$ peak data collected to the closer transition temperature (not shown). For completeness, the extracted structural information from all XRD data is summarized in Table II and III.

High-quality crystallites of our powder samples were further corroborated with preliminary synchrotron powder XRD data (not shown). The fitted lattice parameters from the D8 XRD data collected at the home laboratory were used to fit the synchrotron XRD data, to determine the lower and upper bound of the inhomogeneous Se doping for each sample. Via Vegard's law, tiny inhomogeneity concentrations (less than $\Delta x = 0.011$) were resolved from the same powder samples (x = 0.43, 0.47and 0.52) previously measured by the D8 XRD system. Thus, the refined Se doping values shown in this paper are representative with a good accuracy.



FIG. 10. (color online) Magnetic susceptibility measurements on two single crystals, x = 0.23 and 0.45. T_{IM} indicates the onset of the transition derived by the differentiation of susceptibility. In the inset, the same differentiation method is used to obtain the transition temperature with the resistivity data for consistency [see the inset of Fig. 1(c)].

Appendix E: Characterizations of single crystals used for Raman measurements

Two single crystals (x = 0.23 and 0.45, deviated from nominal 0.2 and 0.45 dopings, respectively) studied by Raman scattering were also characterized by Magnetic Property Measurement System (from Quantum Design), supplemented by four-circle single crystal XRD measurements. Magnetic susceptibility data in Fig. 10 showed a clear signature for the IM transition at about 31.5 K in x = 0.45 whereas this feature was absent in x = 0.23with two reproduced long-range magnetic transitions¹⁶.

More realistic dopings of x = 0.23 and x = 0.45 were obtained from the four-circle single crystal XRD measurements using Vegards law with a fitted lattice parameter a_c , based on two nuclear Bragg peaks of $(2\ 0\ 0)$ and $(4\ 0\ 0)$. In particular, the Se doping of the x = 0.45 crystal was consistently estimated with a fitted solid black line connecting extracted transition temperatures in Fig. 1(b) obtained from resistivity and high-resolution XRD measurements of powder samples.

Appendix F: Raman Scattering

Raman spectra were collected with a Jobin Yvon Typ V 010 LabRAM spectrometer²⁴ (with the linearly polarized 632.817 nm red light from a He/Ne gas laser) using high-resolution 1800 lines/mm grating monochromator with an instrumental resolution of about 1.8 cm⁻¹ (measured with a standard Neon lamp). Lower temperature was attained using a CryoVac crostat with a copper cold finger. Single crystals were mounted with a Leit-Silver paste to ensure the fast and reliable ther-

mal equilibrium between room-temperature and the base temperature (~ 10 K in the cryostat as measured by a Lake Shore temperature controller). The low temperatures were also checked using the already-known Raman spectra from standard materials; i.e., Si^{39} , GaP^{40} using a general hardening of phonon peaks with cooled temperatures; $SrTiO_3^{41}$ using a new peak that only appears below about 94 K. The Raman beam was focused to a circular spot (a few micrometer in the diameter) on the sample's surface using a Nikon 50x lens. Note that the green 514 nm light from an argon+krypton ion laser (Innova i70c-spectrum) with a JobinYvon T64000 spectrometer was also used, finding no noticeable difference in the Raman spectra at room-temperature.

Importantly, to more accurately determine the wavenumbers of phonon peaks, a secondary Rayleigh filter was equipped to further suppresses an elastic scattering peak. This specialized setup allows the simultaneous measurement of an elastic peak and inelastic peaks. In the collected data, the zero-energy peak was first fitted and shifted to the nominal 0 cm^{-1} position in the spectrometer, and the positions of inelastic peaks were calibrated accordingly. The insignificant change in the Raman signal with the secondary Rayleigh filter was also confirmed, as this effectively cuts off signals only close to the elastic line. Typical measurements were performed longer than 30 minutes, and much longer measurements (i.e., 8.5 hours) were carried out to confirm very weak signals for some selected data. To optimize the signal-tonoise signals, the Raman beam was focused laterally with the microscope at each temperature. Nearly the same beam position on the crystal was used for all temperature data. Raman signals from various positions on the crystals did not exhibit significant differences, and this homogeneous chemical composition was further supported by scanning electron microscope and energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy analyses (not shown).

Polarized measurements enable Raman peaks to be distinguished by the symmetry argument. The point group $T_h (m\bar{3})$ [with a space group $Pa\bar{3}$ (No. 205)] predicts five Raman-active modes, factorized as $A_g + E_g + 3T_g^{28}$. The point group determines the Raman intensity tensor depending on the given directions of light and polarization. For example, the Raman intensity tensor matrices^{28,42} with X = [1 0 0], Y = [0 1 0] and Z = [0 0 1] are given as

$$I_{001}(A_g) = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & A \\ & & A \end{pmatrix}$$
$$I_{001}(E_g) = \begin{pmatrix} B & \\ & B \\ & & B \end{pmatrix}$$
(F1)
$$I_{001}(T_g) = \begin{pmatrix} D & D \\ D & D \\ D & D \end{pmatrix},$$



FIG. 11. (color online) Raman spectra for the parallel and perpendicular polarization of x = 0.45 and 0.23. Asterisks indicate ice peaks at about 220 cm⁻¹. Other symbols for the main peaks in this figure corresponds to those in Figs. 4(e-g).

where A, B and D are Raman intensity components and a subscript indicates the direction of light, where $[0\ 1\ 0]$ [as given in the literature²⁸] was changed to an equivalent $[0\ 0\ 1]$ direction in the cubic symmetry, to make it more directly connecting with our experimental notations.

With the backscattering geometry, we employ a Porto's notation⁴³ to describe the direction and polarization of incident and scattered light in the form of $k_i(E_iE_s)k_s$, where k_i (k_s) is the direction of incident (scattered) light and E_i (E_s) is the polarization of incident (scattered) light. Two polarizations [parallel $\bar{c}(bb)c$ and perpendicular $\bar{c}(ab)c$ polarizations] were used, where a, b and c indicate the cubic crystallographic directions. This no-

tation was used instead of X, Y and Z to avoid any confusion with other notations in this paper, and a bar indicates the negative direction.

For completeness, the full Raman data set is shown in Fig. 11. In addition to stretching phonon modes [see Figs. 4(e-g)], a few other weak peaks were observed; however, such peaks could not be readily identified. For instance, the tiny peaks around 200 meV are too close to a stronger ice signal nearby. Additionally, weak shoulder peaks appeared at a lower wavenumber of A_g (Se -Se) peaks; these features may be related to the rotation modes (such as E_g) of S - S bonds²⁰ energetically close to the stretching modes of Se - Se.



FIG. 12. (color online) (a) Normalized averaged peak positions of A_g mode (S - S) from Raman measurements on two single crystals (x = 0, 0.58). The two crystals exhibited different softening rates with laser power guided by the fitted solid curves. The threshold power, at which the phonon softening is initiated, is indicated by vertically dotted lines at 0.5 mW (a lower bound) and 0.85 mW (an upper bound) for x = 0.58 and 0, respectively.

Raman data were fit using a convoluted pseudo-Voigt function of Lorentzian and Gaussian profiles²⁷ to quantitatively describe phonon peaks by extracting more accurate peak positions. A more conventional Raman fitting method⁴⁴ was also applied, to take into account the electronic response; however, this was not successful, most likely due to much weaker broad continuous signals below the main phonon peaks, especially towards the lower wavenumber in the polarized Raman data than the unpolarized counterpart. Thus, we focused more on extracting phonon wavenumbers with two representative crystals (x = 0.23 and 0.45), to locate any phonon anomaly related to the transition with temperature and doping variations. Fitting with the convoluted pseudo-Voigt function works much better with an empirical linear background combined with a constant background [visualized as solid black lines in Figs. 4(a-d) and Fig. 11].

Independent Raman measurements were conducted to estimate the beam-heating effect by tracing the shift of phonon peaks with laser power at room-temperature. With two representative single crystals [x = 0 and 0.58, which were also characterized by susceptibility and four-circle single crystal XRD measurements (not shown)], we chose the $A_g(S - S)$ phonon in a parallel polarization $\bar{c}(bb)c$ due to a stronger intensity and more symmetric peak shape than the others. Their peak positions were normalized by the reference phonon wavenumber measured with the lowest Raman laser power, in which beam heating is clearly not present.

Figure 12 illustrates the relative peak positions (symbols) and their fits (solid lines), showing a nearly flat behavior from zero power up to 0.8 mW for x = 0.0 (0.5 mW for x = 0.58 as a minimum level of power without heating), followed by the onset of a gradual softening of phonons owing to beam heating. The data revealed that the beam-heating effect seems to occur similarly from 0.8 mW for both samples, indicating a general trend of it over the wide range of Se doping up to x = 0.58. However, we should mention that it is rather difficult to determine the precise laser power at which beam heating clearly begins; thus, the lower-bound value of the laser power with the x = 0.58 data is shown in Fig. 12 as a reference. The complete list of peak positions used for this analysis is given in Table IV.

In the Raman data shown in Fig. 4 in the main text, 2.11 mW was used (except for the lowest temperature data collected at 1.19 mW), which allows a clear detection of even weak Raman peaks, such as A_g (Se - Se) and T_g (Se - Se) modes between 270 and 290 cm⁻¹. This is especially helpful in tracing the evolution of Se - Se phonons with temperature and testing the role of the Se - Se dimer in the transition¹³. We estimated an averaged beam-heating of ~ 20 K (~ 13 K for 1.19 mW setting), assuming a linear evolution of phonon peaks from room-temperature down to 202 K. This is a reasonable assumption based on a similarly observed linear trend in phonon shifts with temperature [Figs. 4(e,g)]. The temperatures in Fig. 4 and Fig. 11 were calibrated accordingly.

- * sc1853@physics.rutgers.edu; Present address: Rutgers Center for Emergent Materials and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA
- [†] changyoung@snu.ac.kr
- ¹ For a review, see M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 1039 (1998) and references therein.
- ² A. Husmann, D. S. Jin, Y. V. Zastavker, T. F. Rosenbaum, X. Yao, and J. M. Honig, Science **274**, 1874 (1996).
- ³ E. Abrahams and G. Kotliar, Science **274**, 1853 (1996).
- ⁴ X. Yao, J. M. Honig, T. Hogan, C. Kannewurf, and J. Spałek, Phys. Rev. B 54, 17469 (1996).
- ⁵ J. M. Honig and J. Spałek, Chem. Mater. **10**, 2910 (1998).
- ⁶ A. Perucchi, C. Marini, M. Valentini, P. Postorino, R. Sopracase, P. Dore, P. Hansmann, O. Jepsen, G. Sangiovanni, A. Toschi, K. Held, D. Topwal, D. D. Sarma, and S. Lupi, Phys. Rev. B 80, 073101 (2009).
- ⁷ H. C. Xu, Y. Zhang, M. Xu, R. Peng, X. P. Shen, V. N. Strocov, M. Shi, M. Kobayashi, T. Schmitt, B. P. Xie, and D. L. Feng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 087603 (2014).

- ⁸ A. Fujimori, K. Mamiya, T. Mizokawa, T. Miyadai, T. Sekiguchi, H. Takahashi, N. Mori, and S. Suga, Phys. Rev. B 54, 16329 (1996).
- ⁹ S. R. Krishnakumar and D. D. Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 68, 155110 (2003).
- ¹⁰ A. Y. Matsuura, Z. -X. Shen, D. S. Dessau, C. -H. Park, T. Thio, J. W. Bennett, and O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. B 53, 7584(R) (1996).
- ¹¹ C.-Y. Moon, H. Kang, B. G. Jang, and J. H. Shim, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 235130 (2015).
- ¹² J. Kuneš, L. Baldassarre, B. Schächner, K. Rabia, C. A. Kuntscher, D. M. Korotin, V. I. Anisimov, J. A. McLeod, E. Z. Kurmaev, and A. Moewes, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 035122 (2010).
- ¹³ J. Jeong, K. J. Park, E. -J. Cho, H. -J. Noh, S. B. Kim, and H. -D. Kim, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **72**, 111 (2018).
- ¹⁴ C. Schuster, M. Gatti, and A. Rubio, Eur. Phys. J. B 85, 325 (2012).
- ¹⁵ T. Miyadai, M. Saitoh, and Y. Tazuke, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **104-107**, 1953 (1992).
- ¹⁶ M. Matsuura, H. Hiraka, K. Yamada, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69**, 1503 (2000).
- ¹⁷ J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Physica B **192**, 55 (1993).
- ¹⁸ N. Chen, J. Zeng, F. Li, W. Zhang, and Y. Qian, J. Cryst. Growth **235**, 505 (2002).
- ¹⁹ R. Otero, J. L. M. de Vidales, and C. de las Heras, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **10**, 6919 (1998).
- ²⁰ C. de las Heras and F. Agulló-Rueda, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **12**, 5317 (2000).
- ²¹ M. M. Qazilbash, M. Brehm, B. -G. Chae, P. -C. Ho, G. O. Andreev, B. -J. Kim, S. J. Yun, A. V. Balatsky, M. B. Maple, F. Keilmann, H. -T. Kim, and D. N. Basov, Science **318**, 1750 (2007).
- ²² S. Lupi, L. Baldassarre, B. Mansart, A. Perucchi, A. Barinov, P. Dudin, E. Papalazarou, F. Rodolakis, J. -P. Rueff, J. -P. Itié, S. Ravy, D. Nicoletti, P. Postorino, P. Hansmann, N. Parragh, A. Toschi, T. Saha-Dasgupta, O. K. Andersen, G. Sangiovanni, K. Held, and M. Marsi, Nat. Commun. 1, 105 (2010).
- ²³ P. Postorino, A. Congeduti, E. Degiorgi, J. P. Itié, and P. Munsch, Phys. Rev. B 65, 224102 (2002).
- ²⁴ M. Taheri, F. S. Razavi, Z. Yamani, R. Flacau, P. G. Reuvekamp, A. Schulz, and R. K. Kremer, Phys. Rev. B 93, 104414 (2016).
- ²⁵ V. Lemos, G. M. Gualberto, J. B. Salzberg, and F. Cerdeira, Phys. Status Solidi B **100**, 755 (1980).
- ²⁶ C. Marini, A. Perucchi, D. Chermisi, P. Dore, M. Valentini, D. Topwal, D. D. Sarma, S. Lupi, and P. Postorino, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235134 (2011).
- ²⁷ C. Marini, B. Joseph, S. Caramazza, F. Capitani, M. Bendele, M. Mitrano, D. Chermisi, S. Mangialardo, B. Pal, M. Goyal, A. Iadecola, O. Mathon, S. Pascarelli, D. D. Sarma, and P. Postorino, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **26**, 452201 (2014).
- ²⁸ T. Suzuki, K. Uchinokura, T. Sekine, and E. Matsuura, Solid State Commun. **23**, 847 (1977).
- ²⁹ C. Tatsuyama and H. Y. Fan, Phys. Rev. B **21**, 2977 (1980).
- ³⁰ D. B. McWhan and J. P. Remeika, Phys. Rev. B 2, 3734 (1970).
- ³¹ R. F. Jardim, V. B. Barbeta, S. Andrade, M. T. Escote, F. Cordero, and M. S. Torikachvili, J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 17C105 (2015).
- ³² S. Sudo, T. Nishioka, Y. Miyako, and T. Miyadai, J. Phys.

Soc. Jpn. 55, 1806 (1986).

- ³³ Garam Han, Bo Gyu Jang, Ji Hoon Shim, and Changyoung Kim, (unpublished).
- ³⁴ T. Fujii, K. Tanaka, F. Marumo, and Y. Noda, Miner. Journ. **13**, 448 (1987).
- ³⁵ A. F. Andresen, Acta Chem. Scand. A **23**, 2325 (1969).
- ³⁶ H. Nagata, H. Ito, and T. Miyadai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 41, 2133 (1976).
- ³⁷ R. J. Bouchard, J. Cryst. Growth **2**, 40 (1968).
- ³⁸ S. Sudo and T. Miyadai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **54**, 3934 (1985).
- ³⁹ T. R. Hart, R. L. Aggarwal, and Benjamin Lax, Phys. Rev. B 1, 638 (1970).
- ⁴⁰ S. Ves, I. Loa, K. Syassen, F. Widulle, and M. Cardona, Phys. Status Solidi B **223**, 241 (2001).
- ⁴¹ R. Ouillon, J. P. P. Lucarre, P. Ranson, P. Pruzan, S. K. Mishra, R. Ranjan, and D. Pandey, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 2079 (2002).
- ⁴² R. Loudon, Adv. Phys., **13**, 423 (1964).
- ⁴³ T. C. Damen, S. P. S. Porto, and B. Tell, Phys. Rev. 142, 570 (1966).
- ⁴⁴ C. Marini, E. Arcangeletti, D. Di Castro, L. Baldassare, A. Perucchi, S. Lupi, L. Malavasi, L. Boeri, E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, and P. Postorino, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 235111 (2008).

TABLE I. Fitted positions of phonon peaks with fitting errors from $\bar{c}(bb)c$ and $\bar{c}(ab)c$ polarization data of x = 0.23 (upper panel) and x = 0.45 (lower panel), respectively. The lowest temperature measured varied slightly: 25 K in $\bar{c}(bb)c$, 24.5 K in $\bar{c}(ab)c$ for x = 0.23 and 24 K in $\bar{c}(bb)c$, 27.5 K in $\bar{c}(ab)c$ for x = 0.45.

	T (K)	$A_g(Se$	- Se)	$A_g(S$	- Se)	$A_g($	S - S)	$T_g(Se$	- Se)	$T_g(S$	- Se)	$T_g(S$	- S)
	24	289.86	5(39)	399.2	9(4)	476.	10(1)	268.6	59(2)	402.0	4(1)	481.9	1(1)
	32	290.4	3(9)	399.3	9(1)	476.	08(0)	269.4	12(3)	402.3	1(2)	481.6	2(1)
	52	290.04	4(12)	399.6	1(1)	476.	30(1)	270.3	2(15)	402.7	3(8)	482.3	3(4)
	72	289.34	4(34)	399.4	4(4)	476.	01(1)	269.5	52(3)	402.2	5(2)	481.7	6(1)
	92	286.31	l(10)	399.3	7(1)	475.	94(0)	269.4	10(4)	402.1	4(2)	481.3	4(1)
	112	287.64	4(12)	399.4	2(1)	476.	02(0)	268.7	'4(3)	402.2	2(2)	481.8	4(1)
	132	286.88	8(12)	399.2	4(1)	475.	89(0)	270.8	31(2)	401.9	6(2)	481.5	4(1)
	172	285.97	7(13)	398.7	6(1)	475.	15(0)	268.9	3(2)	401.1	1(1)	480.4	2(1)
	222	283.9	0(9)	398.1	9(1)	474.	44(0)	268.5	56(2)	400.6	1(1)	479.7	6(1)
=	312	280.9	3(7)	395.8	7(1)	471.	74(0)	267.2	27(1)	398.5	9(1)	477.3	3(1)
T (K)	$A_g(S)$	e - Se)	$A_g(S)$	- Se)	$A_g(S$	- S)	$T_g(S)$	e - Se)	Ν	1	$T_g(S$	- Se)	$T_g(S - S)$
24	286.	25(4)	388.0	2(1)	469.5	2(1)	264.	62(7)	288.9	97(9)	393.5	53(1)	473.24(1)
32	286.	38(5)	388.5	9(1)	469.9	1(1)	265.3	31(23)	290.0	4(20)	393.3	39(2)	473.35(2)
52	287.2	28(34)	388.7	7(4)	470.1	3(5)	267.9	94(37)	290.7	2(32)	393.9	99(3)	473.95(4)
72	286.	95(5)	388.7	1(1)	469.9	7(1)	262.9	94(15)	290.6	0(13)	393.8	87(2)	473.71(2)
92	286.	21(4)	388.4	1(1)	469.7	3(1)	263.9	99(11)	289.1	19(8)	393.4	47(1)	473.45(1)
112	285.	22(5)	388.2	6(1)	469.4	5(1)	263.4	10(14)	289.0	9(15)	393.0	37(1)	473.25(1)
132	286.	29(4)	388.4	2(1)	469.6	3(1)	264.0	6(13)	287.9	7(22)	393.2	25(1)	473.05(1)
172	283.	83(3)	387.2	0(1)	468.1	8(1)	263.5	58(12)	287.6	50(8)	391.9	91(1)	471.65(1)
222	283.	99(4)	386.9	7(1)	467.9	1(1)	263.5	52(13)	287.8	0(10)	391.6	52(1)	471.37(1)
312	280.	46(3)	384.6	8(1)	465.3	2(1)	260.8	87(11)	286.1	1(11)	389.3	37(1)	468.54(1)

TABLE II. Structural parameters extracted from $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ powder x-ray data at 298 K and 12 K for a wide range of representative dopings ($Pa\bar{3}$ space group, No. 205, Z = 4). B_{iso} is the isotropic thermal displacement. Note that 292 K was used for x = 0.24, 0.47 and 0.49.

$T=298~{\rm K}$	x = 0.24	x = 0.43	x = 0.47	x = 0.49	x = 0.52	x = 0.60	x = 0.68
R_{p}	23.3	19.7	23.8	18.9	17.7	17.1	18.9
R_{wp}	11.2	11.2	12	10	9.72	9.35	10.5
R_{exp}	9.42	8.41	9.68	8.31	7.55	7.97	7.83
a (Å)	5.71947(2)	5.74607(2)	5.75279(2)	5.75434(2)	5.75829(2)	5.76976(2)	5.78065(2)
x (S, Se)	0.39376(6)	0.39193(5)	0.39207(6)	0.39153(4)	0.39108(4)	0.39071(5)	0.38961(5)
B_{iso} (Ni)	0.742(18)	0.419(17)	0.382(20)	0.593(17)	0.832(15)	0.726(16)	0.936(19)
B_{iso} (X)	0.704(16)	0.378(14)	0.582(17)	0.564(13)	0.788(12)	0.440(12)	0.349(13)
d_{Ni-X}	2.4105(4)	2.4172(3)	2.4204(4)	2.4197(3)	2.4203(3)	2.4243(3)	2.4263(3)
d_{X-X}	2.1049(5)	2.1511(5)	2.1509(5)	2.1622(5)	2.1727(5)	2.1844(5)	2.2105(5)
T = 10 K	r = 0.24	r = 0.43	r = 0.47	r = 0.40	r = 0.52	x = 0.60	x = 0.68
$\frac{T = 12 \text{ K}}{R}$	x = 0.24	x = 0.43	x = 0.47	x = 0.49	x = 0.52	x = 0.60	x = 0.68
$\frac{T = 12 \text{ K}}{R_{p}}$	x = 0.24 22.4	x = 0.43 19.3	x = 0.47 24.5	x = 0.49 17.8 0.65	x = 0.52 16.8 0.30	x = 0.60 16.4 0.2	x = 0.68 18.1 10.6
$\frac{T = 12 \text{ K}}{R_{p}}$ R_{wp} R	$ \begin{array}{r} x = 0.24 \\ 22.4 \\ 11 \\ 9.13 \\ \end{array} $	x = 0.43 19.3 11 8 23	x = 0.47 24.5 13 9.41	x = 0.49 17.8 9.65 8.06	x = 0.52 16.8 9.39 7.31	x = 0.60 16.4 9.2 7.70	x = 0.68 18.1 10.6 7.50
$\frac{T = 12 \text{ K}}{R_{p}}$ R_{wp} R_{exp} $2 (Å)$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.24 \\ \hline 22.4 \\ 11 \\ 9.13 \\ 5.70709(2) \end{array}$	x = 0.43 19.3 11 8.23 5.73287(2)	x = 0.47 24.5 13 9.41 5 72026(2)	x = 0.49 17.8 9.65 8.06 5 73077(1)	x = 0.52 16.8 9.39 7.31 5.73446(1)	x = 0.60 16.4 9.2 7.79 5.74857(2)	x = 0.68 18.1 10.6 7.59 5.76165(2)
$\frac{T = 12 \text{ K}}{R_{p}}$ R_{wp} R_{exp} $a (Å)$ $r (S, S_{0})$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.24 \\ 22.4 \\ 11 \\ 9.13 \\ 5.70709(2) \\ 0.20262(6) \end{array}$	x = 0.43 19.3 11 8.23 5.73287(2) 0.20201(5)	x = 0.47 24.5 13 9.41 5.72926(2) 0.20165(7)	x = 0.49 17.8 9.65 8.06 5.73077(1) 0.20120(4)	x = 0.52 16.8 9.39 7.31 5.73446(1) 0.20122(4)	x = 0.60 16.4 9.2 7.79 5.74857(2) 0.20017(4)	x = 0.68 18.1 10.6 7.59 5.76165(2) 0.38017(5)
$\frac{T = 12 \text{ K}}{R_{p}}$ $\frac{R_{wp}}{R_{exp}}$ $a (Å)$ $x (S, Se)$ $R_{wp} (M)$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.24 \\ \hline 22.4 \\ 11 \\ 9.13 \\ 5.70709(2) \\ 0.39362(6) \\ 0.458(17) \end{array}$	x = 0.43 19.3 11 8.23 5.73287(2) 0.39201(5) 0.110(12)	x = 0.47 24.5 13 9.41 5.72926(2) 0.39165(7) 0.122(20)	x = 0.49 17.8 9.65 8.06 5.73077(1) 0.39120(4) 0.282(15)	x = 0.52 16.8 9.39 7.31 5.73446(1) 0.39122(4) 0.544(14)	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.60 \\ \hline 16.4 \\ 9.2 \\ 7.79 \\ 5.74857(2) \\ 0.39017(4) \\ 0.224(15) \end{array}$	x = 0.68 18.1 10.6 7.59 5.76165(2) 0.38917(5) 0.577(18)
$T = 12 \text{ K}$ R_{p} R_{wp} R_{exp} $a (Å)$ $x (S, Se)$ $B_{iso} (Ni)$ $D = (W)$	x = 0.24 22.4 11 9.13 5.70709(2) 0.39362(6) 0.458(17) 0.458(17)	x = 0.43 19.3 11 8.23 5.73287(2) 0.39201(5) 0.110(16) 0.152(10)	x = 0.47 24.5 13 9.41 5.72926(2) 0.39165(7) 0.132(20) 2.244(17)	x = 0.49 17.8 9.65 8.06 5.73077(1) 0.39120(4) 0.283(15) 2.210(42)	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.52 \\ \hline 16.8 \\ 9.39 \\ 7.31 \\ 5.73446(1) \\ 0.39122(4) \\ 0.544(14) \\ 0.544(14) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.60 \\ \hline 16.4 \\ 9.2 \\ 7.79 \\ 5.74857(2) \\ 0.39017(4) \\ 0.334(15) \\ 0.100(11) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.68 \\ \hline 18.1 \\ 10.6 \\ 7.59 \\ 5.76165(2) \\ 0.38917(5) \\ 0.577(18) \\ 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$
$T = 12 \text{ K}$ R_{p} R_{exp} $a (Å)$ $x (S, Se)$ $B_{iso} (Ni)$ $B_{iso} (X)$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.24 \\ \hline 22.4 \\ 11 \\ 9.13 \\ 5.70709(2) \\ 0.39362(6) \\ 0.458(17) \\ 0.488(15) \end{array}$	x = 0.43 19.3 11 8.23 5.73287(2) 0.39201(5) 0.110(16) 0.173(13)	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.47 \\ 24.5 \\ 13 \\ 9.41 \\ 5.72926(2) \\ 0.39165(7) \\ 0.132(20) \\ 0.344(17) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.49 \\ 17.8 \\ 9.65 \\ 8.06 \\ 5.73077(1) \\ 0.39120(4) \\ 0.283(15) \\ 0.310(12) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.52 \\ \hline 16.8 \\ 9.39 \\ 7.31 \\ 5.73446(1) \\ 0.39122(4) \\ 0.544(14) \\ 0.503(11) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.60 \\ \hline 16.4 \\ 9.2 \\ 7.79 \\ 5.74857(2) \\ 0.39017(4) \\ 0.334(15) \\ 0.162(11) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.68 \\ 18.1 \\ 10.6 \\ 7.59 \\ 5.76165(2) \\ 0.38917(5) \\ 0.577(18) \\ 0.094(12) \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} T = 12 \ \mathrm{K} \\ \hline \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{wp}} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{exp}} \\ \mathbf{a} \ (\mathrm{\AA}) \\ \mathbf{x} \ (\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}) \\ \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{iso}} \ (\mathrm{Ni}) \\ \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{iso}} \ (\mathrm{X}) \\ \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{Ni}-X} \end{array}$	x = 0.24 11 9.13 5.70709(2) 0.39362(6) 0.458(17) 0.488(15) 2.4049(4)	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.43 \\ 19.3 \\ 11 \\ 8.23 \\ 5.73287(2) \\ 0.39201(5) \\ 0.110(16) \\ 0.173(13) \\ 2.4119(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.47\\ 24.5\\ 13\\ 9.41\\ 5.72926(2)\\ 0.39165(7)\\ 0.132(20)\\ 0.344(17)\\ 2.4095(5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.49 \\ 17.8 \\ 9.65 \\ 8.06 \\ 5.73077(1) \\ 0.39120(4) \\ 0.283(15) \\ 0.310(12) \\ 2.4091(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.52 \\ \hline 16.8 \\ 9.39 \\ 7.31 \\ 5.73446(1) \\ 0.39122(4) \\ 0.544(14) \\ 0.503(11) \\ 2.4107(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.60 \\ \hline 16.4 \\ 9.2 \\ 7.79 \\ 5.74857(2) \\ 0.39017(4) \\ 0.334(15) \\ 0.162(11) \\ 2.4141(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x = 0.68 \\ 18.1 \\ 10.6 \\ 7.59 \\ 5.76165(2) \\ 0.38917(5) \\ 0.577(18) \\ 0.094(12) \\ 2.4173(3) \end{array}$

	x = 0.47	12 K	32 K	62 K	92 K	152 K	292 K		
	R_{p}	24.5	24.7	22.1	21.9	22.6	23.8	-	
	R_{wp}	13	13.8	11.1	11.1	11.5	12		
	R_{exp}	9.41	9.4	9.36	9.38	9.44	9.68		
	a (Å)	5.72926(2) 5.	72955(2) 5.7	74190(2) 5	5.74285(2)	5.74541(2)	5.75279(2)	
	x (S, Se)	0.39165(7) 0.	39165(6) 0.3	39171(6) 0	0.39179(6)	0.39179(6)	0.39207(6)	
	B_{iso} (Ni)	0.132(20) 0	.142(22) 0.	153(18) (0.123(17)	0.211(18)	0.382(20))	
	B_{iso} (X)	0.344(17) 0	.336(18) 0.	338(15) (0.321(15)	0.385(16)	0.582(17)	7)	
	d_{Ni-X}	2.4095(5) 2	.4096(5) 2.	4149(4)	2.4155(4)	2.4166(4)	2.4204(4	4)	
	d_{X-X}	2.1504(6) 2	.1505(6) 2.	1539(5) 5	2.1527(5)	2.1537(5)	2.1509(5	5)	
x = 0.49 12	K 32 K	52 K	72 K	92 K	112	2 K 15	52 K	202 K	292 K
R _p 17.	8 18	18	20.1	19.1	18	.8 1	8.4	18.8	18.9
R _{wp} 9.6	5 9.8	9.96	11.6	10.4	10.	3 10).1	10.2	10
R_{exp} 8.0	6 8.08	8.08	8.12	8.11	8.1	3 8	.17	8.2	8.31
a (Å) 5.7307	7(1) 5.73102	(1) 5.73178(1)) 5.74333(2)) 5.74454	(2) 5.745	38(2) 5.74	708(2) 5	.74968(2)	5.75434(2)
x (S, Se) 0.3912	0(5) 0.39115	$(5) \ 0.39119(5)$) 0.39125(5)	0.39133(5) 0.3913	31(5) 0.39	140(5) 0.	.39138(5)	0.39153(5)
$B_{\rm iso}$ (Ni) 0.283(15) 0.298(15	5) 0.287(15)	0.345(18)	0.353(16	0.371	(16) 0.40	5(16) 0	.463(16)	0.593(16)
B_{iso} (X) 0.310(12) 0.314(12)	2) 0.300(12)	0.349(14)	0.348(13	0.378	(13) 0.39	6(13) 0	.458(13)	0.564(13)
$d_{Ni-X} = 2.4092$	1(3) 2.4090(3) 2.4095(3)	2.4145(4)	2.4151(3	3) 2.415	5(3) 2.41	64(3) = 2	2.4174(3)	2.4197(3)
$d_{X-X} = 2.1599$	9(5) 2.1610(5) 2.1605(5)	2.1636(5)	2.1625(3	5) 2.163	2(5) 2.16	21(5) 2	2.1634(5)	2.1622(5)
x = 0.24 1	2 K 32	K 52 K	72 K	92]	K 11:	2 K 15	2 K	202 K	292 K
R _p 2	22.4 22	.4 22.8	22.4	22.	8 22	2.7 2	2.8	22.8	23.3
R_{wp}	11 1	1 11.3	11.1	11.	1 11	l.1 1	1.1	11.1	11.2
R _{exp} 9	9.13 9.1	18 9.15	9.16	9.1	8 9.	19 9	.24	9.32	9.42
a (Å) 5.70	709(2) 5.707	78(2) 5.70828	(2) 5.70861	(2) 5.7091	3(2) 5.709	81(2) 5.711	160(2) 5.7	71419(2)	5.71947(2)
x (S, Se) 0.39	362(6) 0.393	57(6) 0.39357	(6) 0.39364	(6) 0.3936	2(6) 0.393	373(6) 0.393	373(6) 0.3	39371(6)	0.39376(6)
B_{iso} (Ni) 0.48	58(17) 0.457	(16) 0.484(1)	17) 0.469(1)	7) 0.503((17) 0.52	3(17) 0.56	9(17) 0.	627(17)	0.742(18)
B_{iso} (X) 0.48	88(15) 0.492	2(15) 0.477(1)	15) 0.503(1	5) 0.517((15) 0.52	8(15) 0.57	0(15) 0.	603(15)	0.704(16)
d_{Ni-X} 2.40	049(4) 2.405	51(4) 2.4053	(4) 2.4056(4) 2.4058	8(4) 2.40	63(4) 2.40	71(4) 2.	4081(4)	2.4105(4)
d_{X-X} 2.10	031(5) 2.104	4(5) 2.1046	(5) 2.1033 $($	(5) 2.1039	9(5) 2.10	20(5) 2.10	26(5) 2.	1040(5)	2.1049(5)

TABLE III. Extracted structural parameters from temperature-dependent XRD data for x = 0.47, 0.49 and 0.24 $\overline{x = 0.47}$ 12 K32 K62 K92 K152 K292 K

TABLE IV. Fitted positions of the A_g (S - S) phonon with increasing Raman power on two single crystals of x = 0, 0.58. Note that all fitting error bars are smaller than 0.04 for x = 0 and 0.12 for x = 0.58.

	- (1)	(1)
Power (mW)	$x = 0 \ (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$x = 0.58 \ (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$
0.216	477.80	461.98
0.337	477.30	461.76
0.484	477.30	$461.52, \ 462.04$
0.543	477.59	461.59
0.585	477.44	462.06
0.94	477.61	461.86
1.19	477.11	$461.04, \ 461.33$
1.44	477.32	461.25
1.98	477.10	460.74
2.11	476.73	460.38, 460.77
3	476.63	460.37
4.47	475.66, 476.13	458.47, 459.18