Enhanced molecular chiral signals via molecular coherence
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One of the most widely used chiroptical spectroscopic methods for studying chiral molecules is Raman optical activity; however, the chiral Raman optical activity signal is extremely weak. Here, we propose a new chiroptical spectroscopic technique that provides enhanced chiral signals via molecular coherence and scattering. We show that the enhanced chiral signal due to strong molecular coherence is up to four orders of magnitude higher than that of the conventional technique. We discuss several advantages of studying the heterodyned signal obtained by combining the anti-Stokes signal with a local oscillator. The heterodyning allows direct measurement of the ratio of the chiral and achiral parameters. Besides heterodyning, we can use different settings of the input and measured polarizations to obtain all the chirality and achirality parameters. We present a detailed calculation of the chiral signals initiated by the molecular coherence. Taking advantage of the molecular coherence and heterodyne detection, the proposed technique opens up a new potential application for investigation of biomolecular chirality.

I. INTRODUCTION

Raman scattering from chiral molecules results in different scattered intensities for right- and left-circularly polarized incident light. This is the fundamental concept of the chiroptical spectroscopic tool called Raman optical activity (ROA) [1-2]. Since the pioneering works by L. D. Barron et al. in the early 1970s, ROA has been of great interest due to its potential applications in the study of biomolecules. Applications of ROA are widespread since it allows us to retrieve molecular structural and conformational information through spectral analysis of vibrational modes of chiral biomolecules that is sometimes obtainable by other methods. Nowadays, the ROA method is advanced enough and commercialized [3]. However, the intensity of the chiral signal provided by ROA is not strong enough due to weak magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole interactions. In spite of extensive studies on chirality via ROA, enhancing the chiral signal is still a challenge. Thus one is constantly examining newer methods for the study of chiral signals [4-11].

Coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS) is known to be extremely useful in studying molecular vibrations and has several advantages over spontaneous Raman spectroscopy [12]. Thus, it has been argued that it is better to study chirality by using CARS [13-14] and we might refer to this as CARS-ROA. The first experimental realization of infrared- as well as visible-excited CARS-ROA was done by K. Hiramatsu et al., [15-17] and they reported more than two orders higher contrast of the visible-excited CARS-ROA spectrum of $(-)-\beta$-pinene compared with spontaneous ROA measurement [17]. However, CARS has issues due to unwanted four-wave mixing background and the weak signal-to-noise ratio. M. O. Scully and his collaborators [18, 19] have made a significant advance in CARS spectroscopy by using short femtosecond pump and Stokes pulses to produce molecular coherence and then produce the enhanced anti-Stokes signal by scattering a properly shaped laser pulse from this molecular coherence. They showed that maximum molecular coherence can be reached by optimizing the laser pulse configuration. This technique is termed FAST-CARS (femtosecond adaptive spectroscopic techniques for coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy), and it has the advantage of getting rid of four-wave mixing background contributions. FAST-CARS is known to have unprecedented sensitivity [20]. Moreover, maximizing molecular coherence for enhanced signal can be also reached by chirped-pulse adiabatic control [21]. More recently molecular coherence has been created by two-photon mid-infrared excitation [22]. Clearly the techniques for the creation of molecular coherence are now fairly well advanced and can be utilized for other applications.

In this work we show how ROA measurements can be significantly enhanced by first preparing the molecular system with well-defined coherence and then using a laser field to scatter from molecular coherence to produce a chiral anti-Stokes signal. We will call such signals molecular coherence-initiated ROA signals, abbreviated as MCIROA (see Fig. 1). It is estimated that the MCIROA signal is four orders of magnitude bigger than that produced by more conventional techniques. We also discuss many advantages of heterodyning the coherence-
II. MOLECULAR COHERENCE-INITIATED ROA (MCIROA)

In this section we present our model for the enhancement of ROA signals. The generation of the spontaneous ROA signal is depicted in Fig. 2. The system is excited by a pump beam of frequency $\omega_1$ and the scattered beam (or the spontaneously generated radiation) carries the information about the chirality of the molecule. The chiral contributions arising from the magnetic dipole and the quadrupole contributions have been extensively evaluated [1]. On the other hand, K. Hiramatsu et al. [15–17] reported the observation of ROA signals via coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (see Fig. 2b). The generated signal at $2\omega_1 - \omega_s$ is coherent and carries signatures of the Raman optical activity.

Typically, both for Figs. 2a and 2b, the population is mostly in the level $|1\rangle$ (vibrational mode in ground state) except for a thermal excitation in the level $|2\rangle$. The coherence between the levels $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ is significantly small. Guided by the advantages of molecular coherence [23] we consider that the molecular system has been prepared in a coherent superposition of the levels $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. This can be done by using ultrashort pulses (much shorter than the transverse relaxation time $T_2$ for the molecule). This initial preparation has the advantage of preparing all the molecules vibrating in unison. Thus, the situation we consider is shown in Fig. 2 where we propose the molecular system with moderate amount of molecular coherence. Note that the maximum allowed value of coherence is $1/2$. The molecular coherence has a frequency close to the vibrational frequency $\omega_v$, and it will decay as $\exp\{-t/T_2\}$. We next scatter the laser field of frequency $\omega_1$ for molecular coherence to produce a coherent scattered signal at the anti-Stokes frequency $\omega_{as} = \omega_1 + \omega_s$. Advantages of using molecular coherence in ROA measurement are discussed after we present expressions for the signals. For the model of Fig. 2c we need to calculate the induced polarization and magnetization to first order in the field $E_l$. It is necessary to include both dipole and quadrupole contributions. The signal at frequency $\omega_{as}$ can then be obtained using the Maxwell equations.

The semiclassical Hamiltonian of the molecule–field system is written as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{\text{int}},$$

where

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_r \hbar \omega_r |r\rangle \langle r|,$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = -\hat{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{E}_l(t) - \hat{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}_l(t) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{q}_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \mathbf{E}_{i,\beta}(t).$$

The free Hamiltonian of a molecule with transition frequency $\omega_r$ is denoted by $\hat{H}_0$ and $\{|r\rangle\}$ are molecular electronic and vibrational states. The interaction Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{int}}$ consists of three terms, namely electric dipole $\hat{\mu}$, magnetic dipole $\hat{m}$ and electric quadrupole moment $\tilde{q}_{\alpha\beta}$. The electric and magnetic fields of the incident laser pulse at time $t$ are denoted by $\mathbf{E}_l(t)$ and $\mathbf{B}_l(t)$, respectively. Without loss of generality, we choose the $z$–axis as propagation direction of the incident laser pulse throughout this paper. First, let us assume the incident laser pulse to be $x$–polarized and denote it by $E_{i,x}(t)$. We choose this electric field of incident laser pulse to have center frequency $\omega_1^{(0)}$ and delay time $\tau$ as $E_{i,x}(t) = \mathcal{E}_{i,x}(t - \tau) e^{-i\omega_1^{(0)} t}$ in the time domain and $E_{i,x}(\omega_1) = \mathcal{E}_{i,x}(\omega_1 - \omega_1^{(0)}) e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_1^{(0)}) \tau}$ in the frequency domain.

Using first-order perturbation theory, the $\beta$th components of induced dipole and quadrupole moments are found to

\[\]
be

\[ \mu_{\beta}^{(c)}(\omega_{as}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega l \tilde{A}_{\beta \alpha}(\omega_{as} - \omega_l) \frac{\mathcal{E}_{l,\alpha}(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})\tau}}{\Gamma^2 + (\omega_{as} - \omega_{l} + \omega^l)^2} \Gamma \rho_{21}(0), \]

\[ \mu_{\beta}^{(m)}(\omega_{as}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega l \tilde{G}_{\beta \alpha}(\omega_{as} - \omega_l) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{l,\alpha}(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})\tau}}{\Gamma^2 + (\omega_{as} - \omega_{l} - \omega^l)^2} \Gamma \rho_{21}(0), \]

\[ m_{\beta}(\omega_{as}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega l \tilde{G}_{\beta \alpha}(\omega_{as} - \omega_l) \frac{\mathcal{E}_{l,\alpha}(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})\tau}}{\Gamma^2 + (\omega_{as} - \omega_{l} - \omega^l)^2} \Gamma \rho_{21}(0), \]

\[ \mu_{\beta}^{(q)}(\omega_{as}) = \frac{i k_{l}}{3\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega l \tilde{A}_{\beta,\gamma \alpha}(\omega_{as} - \omega_l) \frac{\mathcal{E}_{l,\alpha}(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})\tau}}{\Gamma^2 + (\omega_{as} - \omega_{l} - \omega^l)^2} \Gamma \rho_{21}(0), \]

\[ q_{\gamma \beta}(\omega_{as}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega l \tilde{G}_{\alpha,\gamma \beta}(\omega_{as} - \omega_l) \frac{\mathcal{E}_{l,\alpha}(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})\tau}}{\Gamma^2 + (\omega_{as} - \omega_{l} - \omega^l)^2} \Gamma \rho_{21}(0), \]

where \( \rho_{21}(0) \) is the off-diagonal term of the molecular density matrix at initial time 0 and \( k, \gamma \) is the \( \gamma \)th component of the wavevector of the incident laser pulse. Explicit forms of the electric dipole polarizability tensor \( \tilde{\alpha} \), electric dipole–magnetic dipole optical activity tensors \( \tilde{G} \), and electric dipole–electric quadrupole optical activity tensors \( \tilde{A}, \tilde{G} \) are given in Appendix B. The tilde in these expressions indicates that the tensors are complex valued. The superscripts \( c, m \) and \( q \) in Eq. (3) represent the perturbation due to electric dipole, magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole interactions, respectively. Here, we focus on the contribution coming from the molecular coherence \( \rho_{21} \) as the contributions from \( \rho_{22} \) and \( \rho_{11} \) will be small. It should be noted that the conventional calculation of the ROA involves the ground state population \( \rho_{11} \) and hence the tensors arising from the use of the molecular coherence are somewhat different due to the use of the initial conditions.

Unlike traditional ROA signals which are due to spontaneous Raman processes, we focus here on the MCIROA signals. This is possible as the system in prepared with significant molecular coherence and all the molecules contribute coherently to the signal. Thus the MCIROA signal is proportional to \( N^2 \), where \( N \) is the number of molecules in the laser beam:

\[ I(MCIROA) = N^2 |E(\omega_{as})|^2, \] (4)

where \( E(\omega_{as}) \) is electric field of scattered anti-Stokes light at frequency \( \omega_{as} \). The signal (4) is to be compared with the incoherent ROA signal

\[ \frac{I(MCIROA)}{I(ROA)} \cong N \frac{\rho_{21}}{\rho_{11}}, \] (5)

and clearly MCIROA can be many orders larger than \( I(ROA) \). Here, we have assumed that the laser pulse is applied immediately after molecular coherence has been created. A more flexible scenario would be to apply the laser pulse after a delay \( \tau \). Then one needs to take into account the decay of the molecular coherence \( \rho_{21}(t) \rightarrow \rho_{21}(0) \exp(-i\omega_{as}t - \Gamma t) \), where \( \Gamma = 1/T_2 \) is the molecular dephasing constant. For this scenario, it is more convenient to work in the frequency domain where the molecular coherence has the form \( (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \theta(t) \rho_{21}(t)e^{i\omega t} \).

Thus the MCIROA signal at \( \omega_{as} \) will be produced from the laser pulse at frequency \( \omega_{as} - \omega_{l} \) and the molecular coherence at \( \omega_{l} \).

Scattered anti-Stokes field only at forward direction \( z \) is under consideration. In this case, right- and left-circularly polarized components \( E_{R/L}^x \) of scattered anti-Stokes field \( E^x \) are found to be proportional to the molecular coherence \( \rho_{21} \) between ground \( |1\) and excited \( |2 \) states when we omit frequency dependence of polarizability and optical activity tensors. Clearly, the net signal will be given by

\[ E_{R/L}^x(\omega_{as}, \tau) \propto \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} \left( a_{xx} \pm i a_{yy} + \frac{i k_l}{3} A_{xx,xx} - \frac{i k_{as}}{3} A_{xx,xx} \right) + \frac{1}{c} G_{yy}^{‘} \pm \frac{k_l}{3} A_{yy,xx} \pm \frac{i k_{as}}{3} A_{yy,xx} \right) F(\omega_{as}, \tau), \] (6)

where

\[ F(\omega_{as}, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega l \frac{\mathcal{E}_{l,\alpha}(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_{l}^{(0)})\tau}}{\Gamma^2 + (\omega_{as} - \omega_{l} - \omega^l)^2} \Gamma \rho_{21}(0). \] (7)

Here, the sign at the top (bottom) refers to right- (left-) circularly polarized component of the scattered field. The tensors \( a, G^{‘} \) and \( A \) are now real-valued (see Appendix B for details) and their values are replaced by the values at the central value \( \omega_{l}^{(0)} \) of the incident laser pulse. We close this section by giving a brief discussion of some methods for producing molecular coherence.

One method, as shown in Fig. 3a, uses a broadband femtosecond pulse which causes off-resonant Raman transition between the levels \( |1 \) and \( |2 \) as say in time-resolved CARS [24]. However, much stronger pulses need
to be used to produce strong molecular coherence between the levels [1] and [2], and we suggest use of FAST-CARS technique. The latter uses shaped pulses for obtaining better signal strength and removing four-wave mixing background. As discussed by M. O. Scully et al. [18], these pulses can produce a coherence of the order of $10^{-3}$, which is hundreds of times larger than that of conventional techniques.

Another way to produce maximum molecular coherence could be chirped-pulse adiabatic control where molecular coherence is efficiently controlled by linearly chirped pump and Stokes pulses or constant chirp in the pump and sign flipped chirp in the Stokes pulse [21]. This is a robust and efficient control on molecular coherence.

The alternative method uses mid-infrared fields to create a two-photon transition between the levels [1] and [2] (see Fig. 3b). The two-photon transition-created molecular coherence survives even after averaging over the orientations. This method can produce one to two orders stronger molecular coherence in comparison with conventional technique [22]. In addition, this method uses a low-power infrared laser, and therefore it may be considered a safe method for biological samples.

For simplicity, finite duration of pump and Stokes fields is disregarded in Eq. (7). Its inclusion will modify $\rho_{21}(0)$ to

$$\rho_{21}(0) \simeq \sum_{\{3\}} \frac{i \langle 2| \mu_3 | 3 \rangle \langle 3| \mu_3 | 1 \rangle}{2 \hbar^2} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_p \frac{E_{x, \beta}(\omega_c - \omega_p + \omega_p^{(0)}) E_{p, \alpha}(\omega_p - \omega_p^{(0)})}{\omega_{31} - \omega_p - i\Gamma_3}$$

(8)

where $E_{p, \alpha}$ and $E_{x, \beta}$ are the electric fields of pump and Stokes, respectively and $\Gamma_3$ is decay constant of the levels $\{3\}$. The result Eq. (8) also holds for the scheme of Fig. 3b. Detailed derivation of this expression is given in Appendix A.

In the next sections we discuss various special cases of MCIROA by pre- and post-selecting polarizations.

### III. LIN-CIR AND CIR-LIN MCIROA

When the laser pulse is linearly polarized along the $x$-axis, the circular components of the scattered emission can be measured for studying chiral molecules. We name this configuration of measurement Lin-Cir MCIROA. In this case, using expression (6) for the anti-Stokes electric field, the difference spectrum $I_R^x - I_L^x$ and circular intensity sum $I_R^x + I_L^x$ are found to be

$$I_R^x - I_L^x \propto \left( \frac{180aG' + 4\gamma^2(G')}{45c} \right) \left( \frac{6\gamma^2(A) - 2(\omega_{as}/\omega_1)^2 \gamma^2(A)}{45c} \right) N^2|F|^2$$

(9)

and

$$I_R^x + I_L^x \propto \left( \frac{45a^2 + 7\gamma^2(\alpha)}{45} \right) N^2|F|^2.$$  

(10)

The real-valued tensor invariants $a$, $G'$, $\gamma^2(\alpha)$, $\gamma^2(G')$ and $\gamma^2(A)$ are defined by [2, 23]

$$a^2 = \frac{1}{9} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6,$$

$$\gamma^2(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} (3 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6),$$

$$aG' = \frac{1}{9} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6,$$

$$\gamma^2(G') = \frac{1}{2} (3 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6),$$

$$\gamma^2(A) = \frac{\omega_1}{2} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 A_6.$$  

(11)

Equations (9) and (10) are very similar to the signal terms found by L. D. Barron [1]. The small difference disappears upon making the nonphysical assumption $\omega_1 = \omega_{as}$. However, these equations now have more deep physical meaning since these signals depend on molecular coherence $\rho_{21}$ and most importantly on $N^2$. In addition, note that the difference spectrum (9) and circular intensity sum (10) are $\sigma$-dependent.

Using Eqs. (9) and (10) along with the fact that the generated molecular coherence for FAST-CARS is two orders higher than that of conventional CARS [18], we reach the conclusion that $I_R^x + I_L^x$ for Lin-Cir MCIROA are at most $10^4$ times larger than that for conventional CARS. Here, we should note that not only the difference spectrum is enhanced but also the circular intensity sum. Therefore, the circular intensity difference $\Delta = (I_R^x - I_L^x)/(I_R^x + I_L^x)$ does not change. However, the enhancement in absolute magnitude of difference spectrum allows us to significantly increase the signal-to-noise ratio for the chiral signal.

For circularly polarized laser and linearly polarized scattered light, we call this configuration Cir-Lin MCIROA. The difference spectrum can be found as

$$I_R^x - I_L^x \propto \left( \frac{180aG' + 4\gamma^2(G')}{45c} \right) \left( \frac{6\gamma^2(A) - 2(\omega_{as}/\omega_1)^2 \gamma^2(A)}{45c} \right) N^2|F|^2.$$  

(12)
and the circular intensity sum $I^x_R + I^x_L$ is the same as that of Lin-Cir MCIORA (see Appendix D for details). Since the dependence on molecular coherence remains in Eq. (12), the enhancement factors are the same for Lin-Cir and Cir-Lin MCIORA.

IV. HETERODYNE MEASUREMENTS WITH A LOCAL OSCILLATOR

In the case of heterodyne measurement with a local oscillator $E_{LO}$ of frequency $\omega_{as}$, the heterodyne signal is defined as

$$I^x_R - I^x_L \propto |E^x_R/L + E^x_{LO}|^2,$$

where $E_{LO} = E_{LO,R/L} = e_{L/R} \cdot E_{LO}$, that is, we assume right- and left-circularly polarized components of the local oscillator are equal to each other. The local oscillator can be obtained from the laser pulse used to create MCIORA by modulating it. Then, the difference spectrum and circular sum found are to be

$$I^x_R - I^x_L \propto \left(\frac{180aG^2 + 4\gamma^2(G)}{45c} - \frac{6\gamma^2(A) - 2(\omega_{as}/\omega)\gamma^2(A)}{45c}\right) N^2|F|^2 + \frac{8}{\sqrt{2c}}G^N \text{Re}(FE^x_{LO})$$

and

$$I^x_R + I^x_L \propto \frac{45a^2 + 7\gamma^2(a)}{45} N^2|F|^2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2c}}aN \text{Re}(FE^x_{LO}).$$

The first terms in Eqs. (13) and (15) are Lin-Cir MCIORA signals whereas the second terms are heterodyne signals. In order to recover the heterodyne signal we need to remove the first terms in Eqs. (13) and (15). The way to do so is to measure signal Eqs. (13) and (15) with two different phases of $E_{LO}$, namely $\phi$ and $\phi + \pi$ phases, and to subtract the two heterodyne difference spectra. This enables us to measure only the heterodyne chiral signal, that is,

$$(I^x_R - I^x_L)_{\phi} - (I^x_R - I^x_L)_{\phi + \pi} \propto \frac{16}{\sqrt{2c}}G^N \text{Re}(F|E^x_{LO}|\exp(i\phi)),$$

$$(I^x_R + I^x_L)_{\phi} - (I^x_R + I^x_L)_{\phi + \pi} \propto \frac{8}{\sqrt{2c}}aN \text{Re}(F|E^x_{LO}|\exp(i\phi)).$$

Note the striking aspect of Eq. (13): it directly determines the ratio of tensor invariants $G^2$ and $a$. This would be the first direct measurement of this ratio. Furthermore, the expressions in Eq. (13) still depend on the molecular coherence $\rho_{21}$ via $F$. Hence, the heterodyne chiral signal (13) can be enhanced by factor of $10^2$ at most. An estimate of the magnetic and quadrupole contributions is given in the experiments of D. Che and L. A. Nafie [20], where it is reported that such contributions to ROA signals are about $1000$ times smaller than the electric dipole one.

V. LIN-LIN MCIORA

The case of both linearly polarized incident laser pulse and scattered anti-Stokes is another useful configuration for detecting chiral molecules. For this configuration, we choose $x$-polarization for the incident laser pulse and linear polarization along the directions at angles $\theta$ and $-\theta$ relative to the $y$-axis for the scattered anti-Stokes signal. Again using our simple model we calculate the difference spectrum and intensity sum for Lin-Lin MCIORA. They are defined as

$$I^x_\theta - I^x_{-\theta} \propto \frac{4}{c} \left(\frac{45aG^* + \gamma^2(\hat{G})}{45} - \frac{45a\hat{G}^* + \gamma^2(\hat{G})}{45}\right)$$

and

$$I^x_\theta + I^x_{-\theta} \propto \frac{90a^2 + 8\gamma^2(\hat{\alpha})}{45} N^2|F|^2 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{6\gamma^2(\hat{\alpha})}{45} N^2|F|^2 \cos \theta,$$

where tensor invariants of complex valued tensors are defined as [27]

$$\hat{\alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{9} \bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2},$$

$$\gamma^2(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} (3\bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}),$$

$$\hat{\alpha} \hat{G}^* = \frac{1}{9} \text{Re} \left(\bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1} \hat{G}^*_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}\right),$$

$$\hat{\alpha} \hat{G}^* = \frac{1}{9} \text{Re} \left(\bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1} \hat{G}^*_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}\right),$$

$$\gamma^2(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left(3\bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}\right),$$

$$\gamma^2(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left(3\bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}\right),$$

$$\gamma^2(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left(3\bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \bar{\alpha}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1} \bar{\alpha}^*_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}\right),$$

Note that all antisymmetric parts of the electric polarizability and optical activity tensors are omitted in this
calculation for simplicity. For small $\theta$, the ratio of these quantities is given by

$$\frac{I_{G}^{2} - I_{A}^{2}}{I_{G}^{2} + I_{A}^{2}} \cong \frac{2}{45c\tilde{\alpha}^{2}\sin \theta} \left( 45\tilde{\alpha}^2 \tilde{G} + \gamma^2(\tilde{G}) - 45\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{G} - \gamma^2(\tilde{G}) - \gamma^2(\tilde{A}) - \gamma^2(\tilde{A}) \right).$$  \tag{20}

The ratio (20) determines the contrast of chiral signal over achiral one and is a close analogy to the circular intensity difference $\Delta$ defined in the previous section. To obtain this ratio we assume $\gamma^2(\tilde{\alpha}) \ll \tilde{\alpha}^2$, which gives us only the sine function in the denominator of the expression. For small enough angle $\theta$, ratio (20) can be enhanced by several orders. However, this enhancement is only valid within the assumption $\gamma^2(\tilde{\alpha}) \ll \tilde{\alpha}^2$. Obviously, not all molecules satisfy this assumption but some of them do. Indeed, ab-initio calculation \cite{28} shows that mean polarizability $\tilde{\alpha}$ of some molecules like bisphenol compounds is at least 10 times larger than their anisotropy $\gamma(\tilde{\alpha})$.

The angle $\theta$ must be adjusted for linear rotatory dispersion as discussed in Refs. \cite{16,17}. Since this is a linear property determined by the tensor $G'$ (discussed by L. D. Barron Ref. \cite{3}, Eq. 3.4.43) its estimate is known and we do not discuss it further. It can be corrected following Refs. \cite{16,17}. It is worth to note that the tensor $G'$ above is obtained from the tensor described in Appendix \cite{16} by replacing state $|2\rangle$ with $|1\rangle$ (Ref. \cite{3}, Eq. 2.6.27f). The signals \cite{17} and \cite{18} are nonzero only if the tensors $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{G}$ are complex. This requires that the damping of the states be included, and the laser pulse be tuned close to electronic resonance \cite{27}.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We predict that, depending on the type of measurement configuration, a chiral signal $10^2 - 10^4$ times stronger in magnitude can be obtained by creating strong molecular coherence. This is for Lin-Cir and Cir-Lin MCIROA. As shown above, molecular coherence enhances not only the difference spectrum but also the intensity sum too. This is one of the key points of the present paper.

Furthermore, we present a new heterodyne measurement scheme that allows us to experimentally determine the ratio of tensor invariants $G'$ and $a$. A general expression for the MCIROA signal consists of not only the actual heterodyne signal but also the signal coming from interference between chiral and achiral terms which includes the product of $aG'$ and other anisotropic tensor invariants $\gamma^2(G')$ and $\gamma^2(A)$. This interference term makes the heterodyne measurement less accurate. To overcome this difficulty one may measure the heterodyne difference spectrum and circular intensity sum with two different phases of local oscillator and eliminate the interference term coming from chiral and achiral terms by subtracting the measured signals. As a result, the measured signal only depends on $G'$ and $a$, which enables us to obtain the ratio between $G'$ and $a$.

Furthermore, one can find an alternative way to enhance the ROA signal relative to the achiral signal. This is Lin-Lin MCIROA that enhances the chiral signal over the achiral signal by a factor of $10^2$. Such a signal is nonzero only when the laser pulse is close to an electronic resonance, all the optical activity tensors are complex.

For materials that are not heat resistant, such as biological molecules, we suggest either the two-photon mid-infrared excitation method or resonant Raman excitation method for inducing molecular coherence. Both methods use low power of excitation, and consequently, they are expected to be safe for most samples.

In summary, we demonstrated that the chiral signal could be enhanced via molecular coherence in comparison with conventional signals where molecular coherence essentially plays no role. In the case of non-resonant Raman excitation, the enhancement factor is estimated up to $10^4$. Such benefit of the molecular coherence provides a new alternative technique for investigating chiral molecules in stereochemistry and biochemistry. Although we have restricted to the lowest-order optically active processes, we expect molecular coherence to play an equally important role in higher-order optically active processes.

Appendix A: Initial molecular coherence

For completeness and the convenience of the reader, details of derivation of Eq. \cite{8} are presented in this appendix. Problem is to find time dependent molecular coherence $\rho_{21}(t)$ created by pump $E_p(t)$ and Stokes $E_s(t)$ pulses. Using semiclassical light matter Hamiltonian $H^{(\text{I})}(t)$ in the interaction picture we obtain molecular density operator $\hat{\rho}^{(\text{I})}(t)$ and its matrix element $\rho^{(\text{I})}_{21}(t)$ in the interaction picture as follow

$$\rho^{(\text{I})}(t) \cong \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \times \int_{t_0}^{t} dt'' \int_{t_0}^{t''} dt' \left[ \hat{H}^{(\text{I})}(t''), \left[ \hat{H}^{(\text{I})}(t'), \hat{\rho}^{(\text{I})}(t') \right] \right]$$  \tag{A1}

and

$$\rho^{(\text{I})}_{21}(t) \cong \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \langle 2| \hat{\mu}_\beta | 3 \rangle \langle 3| \hat{\mu}_\alpha | 1 \rangle \times \int_{t_0}^{t} dt'' \int_{t_0}^{t''} dt' e^{i2\omega_{21}t'} e^{i\omega_{23}t'} E_{s,\beta}(t'') E_{p,\alpha}(t').$$  \tag{A2}

Here, initial condition for density matrix is $\rho_{11}(t_0) = 1$. New variables $t_1$ and $t_2$ defined in Fig. \cite{4} can simplify double integral in Eq. (A2) to
Eq. (A3) with summation over all possible excited states \{ |3 \} provides us Eq. (8).

**Appendix B: Polarizability and optical activity tensors**

Our starting point is the field-matter interaction Hamiltonian (1). Once we calculate the polarizability tensor with electric dipole interactions, the procedure for derivation of optical activity tensors is straightforward.

The induced polarization of a single molecule is given by

$$\mu(t) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\mu}\hat{\rho}(t)] = \sum_{\{3\}} (\mu_{13}\rho_{31}(t) + \mu_{23}\rho_{32}(t) + \mu_{31}\rho_{31}(t) + \mu_{32}\rho_{23}(t)).$$

(B1)

where \(\hat{\rho}(t)\) is a density matrix of a single molecule. The time evolution of \(\hat{\rho}(t)\) is governed by the von Neumann equation \(d\hat{\rho}(t)/dt = (-i/\hbar)[\hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}(t)]\), and exploiting first-order perturbation theory, the density matrix elements \(\rho_{31}(t)\) and \(\rho_{32}(t)\) at time \(t\) found to be

$$\rho_{31}(t) = e^{-i\omega_{31}t} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \int_0^t dt' H_{32}^{(1)}(t')\rho_{21}(0),$$

$$\rho_{23}(t) = e^{-i\omega_{23}t} \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \int_0^t dt' \rho_{23}(0)\rho_{23}(t')H_{13}^{(1)}(t').$$

(B2)

Here, we keep only anti-Stokes Raman terms. Matrix elements of the Hamiltonian in the interaction picture are denoted by \(H_{32}^{(1)}(t')\) and \(H_{13}^{(1)}(t')\) and their explicit form is given by

$$H_{32}^{(1)}(t') = -(3|\hat{\mu}_a|2)E_{l,\alpha}(t')e^{-\Gamma|t'|}e^{i\omega_{23}t'},$$

$$H_{13}^{(1)}(t') = -(1|\hat{\mu}_a|3)E_{l,\alpha}(t')e^{-\Gamma|t'|}e^{i\omega_{13}t'},$$

(B3) (B4)

where dephasing constant \( \Gamma \) is introduced as a part of electric field as \( E_{l,\alpha}(t')\exp(-\Gamma|t'|) \). Then spectral decomposition of electric field is given by

$$E_{l,\alpha}(t')e^{-\Gamma|t'|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega E_{l,\alpha}(\omega') \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi\Gamma}}{\Gamma^2 + (\omega_{l} - \omega')^2} \right) e^{-i\omega_{l}t'} \right].$$

(B5)

Furthermore, Eqs. (B2) yield

$$\rho_{31}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\langle |3\hat{\mu}_a|2 \rangle}{\omega_{32} - \omega - i\Gamma},$$

$$\rho_{23}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\langle |1\hat{\mu}_a|3 \rangle}{\omega_{31} + \omega + i\Gamma},$$

(B6)

Plugging \(\rho_{31}(t)\) and \(\rho_{23}(t)\) into Eq. (B1), we obtain \(\beta\)th component of induced electric dipole moment due to electric

**FIG. 4.** New time variables \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) used in Eq. (A3)
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where $B_{l,\alpha}(\omega - \omega_l^{(0)})$ is a Fourier transform of envelope function of magnetic field.

Here, $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ are ground and excited electro-vibrational states of a molecule. Generally, the tensors $|18\rangle$ and $|10\rangle$–$|13\rangle$ are complex valued (tilda of these tensors means that they are complex), but commonly used assumptions are: (a) the Born–Oppenheimer approximation, where each molecular state is a direct product of electronic and vibrational wavefunctions; and (b) we can always choose real wavefunctions in the absence of external magnetic field, so allowing us to assume the electric dipole and quadrupole moment operators are purely real and the magnetic dipole moment operator is purely imaginary. With these two assumptions, we have only three tensors (without tilde), namely real-valued tensors $(\alpha_{\beta\alpha})_{12}$ and $(A_{\beta\gamma\alpha})_{12} = (\alpha_{\beta\gamma\alpha})_{12}$, and imaginary-valued tensor $(G_{\beta\alpha})_{12} = (\tilde{G}_{\beta\alpha})_{12}$. Moreover, we exclude the imaginary unit $i$ from $(G_{\beta\alpha})_{12}$ by introducing the purely real tensor $(G_{\beta\alpha})_{12} = i(G_{\beta\alpha})_{12}$.

The scattered electric fields $E_\mu$, $E_m$ and $E_q$ at point $r$ in radiation zone due to electric dipole, magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments, respectively, are given by [29]

$$E_\mu = Z_0 \frac{e^{ik_{ar}}}{4\pi} (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{\mu}) \times \mathbf{n},$$

$$E_m = Z_0 \frac{k_{as}}{4\pi} \frac{e^{ik_{ar}}}{r} (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}),$$

$$E_q = Z_0 \frac{ik_{as}}{12\pi} \frac{e^{ik_{ar}}}{r} (\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{n}) \times \mathbf{n},$$

where $Z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$, $(\mathbf{q})_\alpha = q_{\alpha\beta}n_\beta$ and $\mathbf{n}$ is direction of emission. The wave-number for oscillating dipole and quadrupole moments is denoted by $k_{as}$. Next, we calculate the electric fields of scattered emission for several different pulse configurations using Eq. (B16) along with the induced moments Eqs. (B14) and (B15).

Appendix C: Lin-Cir MCIROA

Lin-Cir MCIROA refers to difference between right- and left-circularly polarized components of scattered pulse when the incident probe pulse is linearly polarized. Let us choose $x$-polarized incident laser pulse $E_{l,x}(t)$ propagating along $z$ direction; then after squaring the Eq. (6) and averaging over random orientations of the molecules, we obtain intensities of circularly polarized components of scattered anti-Stokes emission as follows:

$$I_{R/L} \propto (E_{R/L}^e + E_{LO})^* (E_{R/L}^e + E_{LO}) \propto \frac{45a^2 + 7\gamma^2(\alpha)}{90} N^2 |F|^2 \pm \frac{180aG^2 + 4\gamma^2(G')}{90c} N^2 |F|^2$$

$$\pm \frac{6\gamma^2(A)}{90c} N^2 |F|^2 \pm \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \frac{2\gamma^2(A)}{90c} N^2 |F|^2 + \sqrt{2} a N \text{Re}(FE_{LO}^e) \pm \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}c} G' N \text{Re}(FE_{LO}^e),$$

where $E_{LO} = E_{LO,R/L} = (1/\sqrt{2})(e_x \pm ie_y) \cdot E_{LO}$ is circular components of local oscillator at frequency $\omega_{as}$.

Appendix D: Cir-Lin MCIROA

Cir-Lin MCIROA refers to measurement of linearly polarized component of scattered emission provided right- and left-circularly polarized incident pulses are present. Thus, we consider a circularly polarized incident laser pulse propagating along $z$ direction whose field components are

$$E_{l,x}(t) = \frac{E_l(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \quad E_{l,y}(t) = \mp i \frac{E_l(t)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad B_{l,x}(t) = \pm \frac{E_l(t)}{\sqrt{2c}}, \quad B_{l,y}(t) = \frac{E_l(t)}{2\sqrt{2c}},$$

where sign at the top indicates right-circularly polarized incident light whereas sign in the bottom indicates left-circularly polarized incident light. In the same manner as Lin-Cir MCIROA, we obtain $x$ and $y$ components of
scattered emission at forward direction \( \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e}_z \) as follows:

\[
E^{R/L}_x \propto \frac{N}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \alpha_{xx} + i \alpha_{xy} + \frac{ik_l}{3} A_{x,zz} - \frac{ik_{as}}{3} A_{x,zz} + \frac{1}{c} G''_{x} \pm \frac{kl}{3} A_{x,zz} \pm \frac{1}{c} G''_{y} \pm \frac{ik_{as}}{3} A_{y,yz} \right) F,
\]

\[
E^{R/L}_y \propto \frac{N}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \alpha_{yx} + i \alpha_{yy} + \frac{ik_l}{3} A_{y,yz} + \frac{1}{c} G''_{y} \pm \frac{kl}{3} A_{y,yz} - \frac{ik_{as}}{3} A_{x,zz} - \frac{1}{c} G''_{x} \pm \frac{ik_{as}}{3} A_{x,zz} \right) F.
\]  

(D2)

After averaging over random molecular orientations, the intensity is found to be

\[
I^{R/L}_x \propto \left( \frac{45 \tilde{a}^2 + 4 \gamma^2(\alpha)}{90} + \frac{180 \tilde{a} G'' + 4 \gamma^2(\tilde{G})}{90} \pm \frac{\omega_{as}}{\omega_l} \frac{6 \gamma^2(A) + 2 \gamma^2(\tilde{A})}{90} \right) N^2 |F|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2} a N \text{Re}(F E^{*}_L \tilde{E})}{2c} \pm \frac{4}{\sqrt{2c}} G' N \text{Re}(F E^{*}_L),
\]  

where \( E_{LO} = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \mathbf{E}_{LO} \).

**Appendix E: Lin-Lin MCIOA**

Unlike what we did in previous sections, here we do not assume the tensors \( \alpha \) and \( A \) are purely real and \( G \) is purely imaginary. Now, these are complex. The electric field component along the direction \( \theta \) is

\[
E^{(\omega_{as}, \tau)}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{A}) \propto N \left( \tilde{a}_{xx} + \frac{1}{c} \tilde{G}_{xy} + \frac{ik_l}{3} \tilde{A}_{x,zz} + \frac{1}{c} \tilde{G}_{xy} - \frac{ik_{as}}{3} \tilde{A}_{x,zz} \right) F \sin \theta + N \left( \tilde{a}_{yx} + \frac{1}{c} \tilde{G}_{yy} + \frac{ik_l}{3} \tilde{A}_{y,yz} - \frac{1}{c} \tilde{G}_{xy} - \frac{ik_{as}}{3} \tilde{A}_{y,yz} \right) F \cos \theta,
\]  

(E1)

and its intensity can be written as

\[
I^{(\omega_{as}, \tau)} \propto \frac{45 \tilde{a}^2 + 4 \gamma^2(\tilde{a})}{45} N^2 |F|^2 \sin^2 \theta + \frac{3 \gamma^2(\tilde{a})}{45} N^2 |F|^2 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{2}{c} \left( \frac{45 \tilde{a}^2 + \gamma^2(\tilde{G})}{45} - \frac{45 \tilde{a}^2 + \gamma^2(\tilde{G})}{45} \right) N^2 |F|^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta.
\]  

(E2)
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