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#### Abstract

Ihara, Kaneko, and Zagier proved the derivation relation for multiple zeta values. The first named author obtained its counterpart for finite multiple zeta values in $\mathcal{A}$. In this paper, we present its generalization in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.


## 1. Introduction

For $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $k_{r} \geq 2$, the multiple zeta values (MZVs) is defined by

$$
\zeta\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq n_{1}<\cdots<n_{r}} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots n_{r}^{k_{r}}} .
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ by

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}:=\left(\prod_{p} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right) /\left(\bigoplus_{p} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\left\{\left(a_{p}\right)_{p} \mid a_{p} \in \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right\} / \sim
$$

where $\left(a_{p}\right)_{p} \sim\left(b_{p}\right)_{p}$ are identified if and only if $a_{p}=b_{p}$ for all but finitely many primes $p$. For $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the finite multiple zeta values (FMZVs) in $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ is defined by

$$
\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right):=\left(\sum_{1 \leq n_{1}<\cdots<n_{r} \leq p-1} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots n_{r}^{k_{r}}} \bmod p^{n}\right)_{p} \in \mathcal{A}_{n} .
$$

Recently, Rosen [8] introduced the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. By natural projections $\mathcal{A}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$, we define $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}:=\lim _{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{A}_{n}$, where we put the discrete topology on each $\mathcal{A}_{n}$. We also define the natural projections $\pi: \prod_{p} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_{n}: \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n}$ for each $n$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is the ring of $p$-adic integers. Then FMZVs in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by

$$
\zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right):=\pi\left(\left(\sum_{1 \leq n_{1}<\cdots<n_{r} \leq p-1} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots n_{r}^{k_{r}}}\right)_{p}\right) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}} .
$$

We can easily check that $\pi_{n}\left(\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}(\boldsymbol{k})\right)=\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ for $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{r}$. Furthermore, we define $\boldsymbol{p}:=\pi\left((p)_{p}\right) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and we also use the notation $\boldsymbol{p}:=\pi_{n} \circ \pi\left((p)_{p}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ (for details, see Rosen [8] and Seki [10]).

[^0]We recall Hoffman's algebraic setup with a slightly different convention (see Hoffman [2]). Let $\mathfrak{H}:=\mathbb{Q}\langle x, y\rangle$ be the noncommutative polynomial ring in two indeterminates $x, y$, and $\mathfrak{H}^{1}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}^{0}$ ) its subring $\mathbb{Q}+y \mathfrak{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}+y \mathfrak{H} x)$. Set $z_{k}:=y x^{k-1}\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\right)$. We define the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $Z: \mathfrak{H}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Z(1):=1, Z\left(z_{k_{1}} \cdots z_{k_{r}}\right):=\zeta\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$.

A derivation $\partial$ on $\mathfrak{H}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\partial: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ satisfying Leibniz's rule $\partial\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\partial(w) w^{\prime}+w \partial\left(w^{\prime}\right)$. Such a derivation is uniquely determined by its images of generators $x$ and $y$. Set $z:=x+y$. For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the derivation $\partial_{l}$ on $\mathfrak{H}$ is defined by $\partial_{l}(x):=y z^{l-1} x$ and $\partial_{l}(y):=-y z^{l-1} x$. We note that $\partial_{l}(1)=0$ and $\partial_{l}(z)=0$. In addition, $R_{x}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map given by $R_{x}(w):=w x$ for any $w \in \mathfrak{H}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Derivation relation for MZVs; Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier (5, Theorem 3]). For $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{H}^{0}$, we have

$$
Z\left(\partial_{l}(w)\right)=0 .
$$

Similar to the definition of $Z$, we define two $\mathbb{Q}$-linear maps $Z_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}: \mathfrak{H}^{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ and $Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}: \mathfrak{H}^{1} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ by $Z_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}(1)=Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(1):=1, Z_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}\left(z_{k_{1}} \cdots z_{k_{r}}\right):=$ $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$, and $Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(z_{k_{1}} \cdots z_{k_{r}}\right):=\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$. We write $\mathcal{A}:=$ $\mathcal{A}_{1}$. The derivation relation for FMZVs in $\mathcal{A}$ was conjectured by Oyama and proved by the first named author.

Theorem 1.2 (Derivation relation for FMZVs in $\mathcal{A}$; Murahara [7, Theorem 2.1]). For $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $w \in y \mathfrak{H} x$, we have

$$
Z_{\mathcal{A}}\left(R_{x}^{-1} \partial_{l}(w)\right)=0
$$

in the $\operatorname{ring} \mathcal{A}$.
In this paper, we prove a generalization of the above theorem in the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. For non-negative integers $m$ and $n$, we define $\beta_{m, n}: \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle[[u, v]] \rightarrow$ $\mathfrak{H}$ by setting $\beta_{m, n}(w)$ to be the coefficients of $u^{m} v^{n}$ in $w$.
Theorem 1.3 (Main theorem). For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $w \in y \mathfrak{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, n} R_{x}^{-1} \Delta_{u} R_{x}\left(w-w y u \frac{1}{1+x u} \cdot \frac{x v}{1-x v}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{n} \\
& =Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(w) Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, 0}\left(\frac{1}{1-y u}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\Delta_{u}$ is an automorphism on $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle[[u, v]]$ given by

$$
\Delta_{u}:=\exp \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial_{l}}{l}(-u)^{l}\right) .
$$

Remark 1.4. Since $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(1, \ldots, 1)=0$ (see, for example, Hoffman [3, eq.(15)]), Theorem [1.3 is a generalization of the equality

$$
Z_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\beta_{m, 0} R_{x}^{-1} \Delta_{u} R_{x}(w)\right)=0
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, which was obtained by Ihara (see Horikawa-MuraharaOyama [4, Section 5.3]). We note that this is equivalent to Theorem 1.2 .

As a corollary of our main theorem, we have Hoffman's relation (see Hoffman [1, Theorem5.1] for original formula) for FMZVs in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Corollary 1.5. For $w \in y \mathfrak{H}$, we have

$$
Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(R_{x}^{-1} \partial_{1}(w x)\right)=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(w y x^{n}\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{n}-Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(w) Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(y)
$$

in the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

## 2. Proof of the main theorem

2.1. Notation. The harmonic product $*$ and the shuffle product $m$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{1}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 * w & =w * 1:=w, \\
z_{k} w_{1} * z_{l} w_{2} & :=z_{k}\left(w_{1} * z_{l} w_{2}\right)+z_{l}\left(z_{k} w_{1} * w_{2}\right)+z_{k+l}\left(w_{1} * w_{2}\right), \\
1 \amalg w & =w \amalg 1:=w, \\
u w_{1} \amalg v w_{2} & :=u\left(w_{1} \amalg v w_{2}\right)+v\left(u w_{1} \amalg w_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, u, v \in\{x, y\}\right.$ and $w, w_{1}, w_{2}$ are words in $\left.\mathfrak{H}^{1}\right)$, together with $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinearity. The harmonic product $*$ and the shuffle product wire commutative and associative, therefore $\mathfrak{H}^{1}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-commutative algebra with respect to $*$ and $m$, respectively (see Hoffman [2]).
2.2. Propositions and lemmas. In this subsection, we prepare some propositions which will be used later.
Proposition 2.1. For $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathfrak{H}^{1}$, we have

$$
Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(w_{1} * w_{2}\right)=Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(w_{1}\right) Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(w_{2}\right)
$$

in the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.
Proof. This is obtained by the definition of the harmonic product.
Proposition 2.2 (Jarossay [6], Seki [9, Theorem 6.4]). For $w_{1}, w_{2} \in$ $\mathfrak{H}^{1}$ with $w_{2}=z_{k_{1}} \cdots z_{k_{r}}$, we have
$Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(w_{1} ш w_{2}\right)$
$=(-1)^{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}} \sum_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{r}\binom{k_{i}+l_{i}-1}{l_{i}}\right] Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(w_{1} z_{k_{r}+l_{r}} \cdots z_{k_{1}+l_{1}}\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{l_{1}+\cdots+l_{r}}$
in the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 2.3 (Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier [5, Corollary 3]). For $w \in \mathfrak{H}^{1}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{1-y u} * w=\frac{1}{1-y u} \amalg \Delta_{u}(w) .
$$

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 2.1, we have
(1) $Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, 0}\left(\frac{1}{1-y u} * w\right)\right)=Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, 0}\left(\frac{1}{1-y u}\right)\right) Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(w)$.

On the other hand, we have

$$
Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(w ш y^{r}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{0, n}\left(w\left(-y \frac{1}{1-x v}\right)^{r}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{n}
$$

holds by Proposition 2.2. Then we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, 0}\left(\frac{1}{1-y u} \amalg \Delta_{u}(w)\right)\right) & =Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, 0}\left(\Delta_{u}(w) \amalg \frac{1}{1-y u}\right)\right) \\
& =Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, 0}\left(\Delta_{u}(w) \amalg \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y^{i} u^{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, n}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{u}(w)\left(-y u \frac{1}{1-x v}\right)^{i}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, n}\left(\Delta_{u}(w) \frac{1}{1+y u(1-x v)^{-1}}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the direct calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{1+y u(1-x v)^{-1}\right\}^{-1} \\
& =\left\{(1-x v+y u)(1-x v)^{-1}\right\}^{-1} \\
& =(1-x v)(1-x v+y u)^{-1} \\
& =(1-x v)\left\{(1+y u)\left(1-(1+y u)^{-1} x v\right)\right\}^{-1} \\
& =(1-x v)\left(1-(1+y u)^{-1} x v\right)^{-1}(1+y u)^{-1} \\
& =(1-x v) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{1+y u} x v\right)^{i}(1+y u)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Delta_{u}(x)=(1+y u)^{-1} x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{1+y u(1-x v)^{-1}\right\}^{-1} \\
& =(1-x v) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\Delta_{u}(x) v\right)^{i}(1+y u)^{-1} \\
& =(1+y u)^{-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\Delta_{u}(x)-x\right)\left(\Delta_{u}(x)\right)^{i-1} v^{i}(1+y u)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x=\Delta_{u}\left(x+y(1+x u)^{-1} x u\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{1+y u(1-x v)^{-1}\right\}^{-1} \\
& =(1+y u)^{-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{u}\left(y \frac{x u}{1+x u}\right) \Delta_{u}\left(x^{i-1}\right) v^{i}(1+y u)^{-1} \\
& =R_{x}^{-1} \Delta_{u} R_{x}\left(1-y u \frac{1}{1+x u} \cdot \frac{x v}{1-x v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, 0}\left(\frac{1}{1-y u} \amalg \Delta_{u}(w)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{m, n} R_{x}^{-1} \Delta_{u} R_{x}\left(w-w y u \frac{1}{1+x u} \cdot \frac{x v}{1-x v}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{n} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By (11), (21), and Proposition [2.3, we finally obtain the theorem.
2.4. Proof of Corollary 1.5, When $m=1$ in Theorem 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\beta_{1, n} R_{x}^{-1} \Delta_{u} R_{x}\left(w-w y u \frac{1}{1+x u} \cdot \frac{x v}{1-x v}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{n} \\
& =Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(w) Z_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1, n} R_{x}^{-1} \Delta_{u} R_{x}\left(w-w y u \frac{1}{1+x u} \cdot \frac{x v}{1-x v}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}-w y x^{n} & \text { if } n \geq 1, \\
-R_{x}^{-1} \partial_{1} R_{x}(w) & \text { if } n=0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get the result.
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