arXiv:1809.05907v2 [gr-qc] 8 Jul 2019

Effective delta sources and regularity in higher-derivative and ghost-free gravity

Breno L. Giacchini[a] and Tibério de Paula Netto[b]

(a) *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas*
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

(b) *Departamento de Física, ICE, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora,*
Campus Universitário, 36036-330 Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil

E-mails: *breno@cbpf.br*, *tiberiop@fisica.ufjf.br*

Abstract. It is shown that polynomial gravity theories with more than four derivatives in each scalar and tensor sectors have a regular weak-field limit, without curvature singularities. This is achieved by proving that in these models the effect of the higher derivatives can be regarded as a complete regularization of the delta-source. We also show how this result implies that a wide class of non-local ghost-free gravities has a regular Newtonian limit too, and discuss the applicability of this approach to the case of weakly non-local models.

PACS: 04.20.-q, 04.50.Kd

Keywords: higher-derivative gravity, Lee-Wick gravity, nonlocal gravity, spacetime singularities

1 Introduction

The inclusion of higher-derivative curvature-squared terms into the Einstein-Hilbert action has been proved to smooth the quantum and classical divergences which usually stem in the ultraviolet (UV) regime. On the one hand, since the 1970s it is known that general relativity (GR) is not perturbatively renormalizable [1,2], while its counterpart with four derivatives is [3] — and local models with at least six derivatives become superrenormalizable [4]. On the other hand, from the classical perspective, whereas Newton's potential diverges at the origin, Stelle's fourth-order gravity has a finite non-relativistic potential [3] (but still has curvature singularities when coupled to a δ-function source [5–7]). Recently it was shown that polynomial-derivative theories of order higher than four have a regular Newtonian limit. Indeed, in addition to having a finite potential [8, 9] they also have regular curvature invariants [10].

In this work we discuss how this increasing regularity of the Newtonian-limit solutions can be viewed as a regularization of the δ-source, as one includes higher derivatives. Such analogy is frequent in the framework of non-local gravity theories and can be tracked back to [11] (see also [12–17] and references therein for more recent considerations). Even though this analogy also holds in the case of local higher-derivative gravity (HDG), it is seldom discussed in the literature. In fact, to our knowledge the only examples of this kind are [17, 18], where particular cases of Lee-Wick gravity were analysed. Therefore, it is instructive to extend considerations to general higher-derivative polynomial theories, including the cases in which the propagator has complex and/or degenerate poles.

Most of our discussion concerns the gravity model defined by the action[1]

$$S_{\rm grav} = \frac{1}{4\kappa}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{2R + R_{\mu\nu}\,F_1(\Box)\,R^{\mu\nu} + \,R\,F_2(\Box)\,R\right\}, \tag{1}$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$ and F_1 and F_2 are polynomial functions of the d'Alembert operator, not necessarily of the same degree. We shall also consider non-local higher-derivative theories [12, 19–23], in which F_1 and/or F_2 are non-polynomial functions with improved UV behaviour[2]. We recall that at the linear regime a term of the type $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_3(\Box)R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ can be recast as a combination of Ricci- and R-squared terms (see, e.g., [4]). Hence, to our purposes the action (1) is the most general one with higher derivatives.

The propagator associated to the model (1) can be written in the momentum-space representation as

$$D_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(k) = \frac{P^{(2)}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}}{k^2 f_2(-k^2)} - \frac{P^{(0-s)}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}}{2k^2 f_0(-k^2)}\,, \tag{2}$$

where $P^{(2)}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$ and $P^{(0-s)}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$ are the spin-2 and spin-0 projectors (see, e.g., [32]); the other terms which are gauge-dependent have been omitted. The functions f_0 and f_2 are related to F_1 and F_2 through

$$f_0(\Box) \;=\; 1 - F_1(\Box)\Box - 3F_2(\Box)\Box\,, \tag{3}$$

$$f_2(\Box) \;=\; 1 + \frac{1}{2}\,F_1(\Box)\,\Box\,. \tag{4}$$

Therefore, the roots $-m^2_{(s)i}$ of the equation $f_s(-k^2) = 0$ define the massive poles of the propagator. The index $s = 0, 2$ indicates the spin of the particle, while i enumerates the particles of the same spin. If the functions f_s are polynomials, then many of these massive excitations correspond to ghost modes [4].

[1] We use the same sign conventions as [10]. Also, we set $c = \hbar = 1$.

[2] That is, we shall consider non-local gravity models which are extensions of GR in the UV-limit, which means that for large momentum the propagator decays faster than in GR. Specifically, we require that $f_0(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ (defined in (3) and (4)) are constant or diverge at least linearly as $z \longrightarrow \infty$, and that $f_s(0) = 1$. Owed to this improved behaviour in the UV, sometimes these models are called non-local HDG. The situation is quite different from non-local IR modifications of GR, such as those defined by form factors of the type $F_i \propto \Box^{-1}$ and $F_i \propto \Box^{-2}$ [24–26], or the logarithmic ones, $F_i \propto \ln\Box$, which come from the integration of quantum matter fields in curved space-time [27–31].

Two proposals for dealing with the ghosts (or avoiding them) in the framework of HDG have been the subject of intensive investigation in the recent years. The first possibility we mention is accomplished by requiring that the massive poles in the propagator which are associated to ghost modes are complex. Then, from the quantum gravity perspective, the theory has a unitary S-matrix in the Lee-Wick sense [33,34]. Different aspects of such Lee-Wick gravity theories have been considered in, e.g., [9,17,18,33–37]. The second possibility consists in avoiding ghosts by choosing functions F_i such that f_s is the exponential of an entire function [12, 19–23]. Consequently, the equation $f_s(-k^2) = 0$ has no roots in the complex plane, and no other excitations besides the graviton are present. The most simple example of non-local ghost-free gravity is to set f_s to be the exponential of a monomial. Other interesting choices for f_s are the so-called weakly non-local form factors, which have the same behaviour as a polynomial in the UV domain [12, 20, 21].

In the following sections we investigate the Newtonian limit of polynomial HDG coupled to a δ-source and explicitly show that the occurrence of regular solutions can be viewed as a regularization of an effective source. The considerations are quite general, comprising the models with complex poles (Lee-Wick gravity) and/or higher-order poles in the propagator. In section 5 we discuss the case of non-local ghost-free HDG. It is shown that for a wide class of theories the corresponding effective sources can be obtained as the uniform limit of a sequence of sources associated to polynomial HDG, and hence the aforementioned regularity properties also hold.

2 Newtonian limit

In the static weak-field regime we consider the metric to be a fluctuation around the flat Minkowski space-time, $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, and restrict considerations to the linearised equations of motion. Applying the variational principle to the action (1) supplemented by a matter action, one gets the equations for the field $h_{\mu\nu}$:

$$f_2(\Box)\left(\Box h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\rho\partial_\mu h^\rho_\nu - \partial_\rho\partial_\nu h^\rho_\mu\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left[2f_0(\Box) + f_2(\Box)\right]\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\partial_\omega h^{\rho\omega} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\Box h + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu h\right)$$
$$+\frac{2}{3}\left[f_2(\Box) - f_0(\Box)\right]\frac{1}{\Box}\,\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\rho\partial_\omega h^{\rho\omega} = -2\kappa\, T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor sourcing the field. In the non-relativistic limit, for a static and spherically symmetric mass distribution $\rho(r)$ one has $T_{\mu\nu} = \rho\,\delta^0_\mu\,\delta^0_\nu$ and the metric can be written in isotropic coordinates,

$$ds^2 \;=\; -(1+2\varphi)dt^2 + (1-2\psi)(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2)\,, \tag{6}$$

where $\varphi(r)$ and $\psi(r)$ are the Newtonian-limit potentials and $r \,=\, \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}$. These two independent potentials are obtained by solving the 00-component and the trace of the equations of motion (5),

$$2f_2(\Delta)\Delta(\varphi+\psi) - 2f_0(\Delta)\Delta(\varphi-2\psi) = 3\kappa\rho\,, \tag{7}$$
$$2f_0(\Delta)\Delta(\varphi-2\psi) \;\; = -\kappa\rho\,. \tag{8}$$

Higher-derivative gravity models generally contain scalar and tensor massive excitations, and the potentials φ and ψ depend on these masses. It is possible to separate the contribution of each spin sector by splitting the original potentials into auxiliary ones, χ_0 and χ_2, via [10]

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{3}(2\chi_2 + \chi_0)\,, \qquad \psi = \frac{1}{3}(\chi_2 - \chi_0)\,. \tag{9}$$

With these definitions eqs. (7) and (8) simplify to

$$f_s(\Delta)\Delta\chi_s = \kappa_s\,\rho\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\kappa_0 = -\kappa/2$, $\kappa_2 = \kappa$, and the functions f_s (with $s = 0, 2$) on the *l.h.s.* are precisely the ones which define the poles of the propagator (2). It is clear that the potential χ_s only depends on the spin-s massive modes of the theory, as claimed. It is also useful to notice that the particular case in which $f_0 = f_2$, which is equivalent to having $F_1 = -2F_2$, yields $\varphi = \psi = \chi_2/2$ and in this sense only one equation in (10) has to be solved.

Once the potentials χ_0 and χ_2 are known, it is possible to evaluate the curvature invariants associated to the metric (6). It turns out that the finiteness of the potentials is not enough to guarantee a regular Newtonian limit, as there can still be curvature singularities. The curvature invariants are finite at $r = 0$ if and only if $\chi_0'(0) = \chi_2'(0) = 0$ (see, e.g., [10, 38]). In this spirit, a finite potential χ_s is said to be regular if $\chi_s'(0) = 0$. Note that if χ_0 is regular, then R is also regular. Similarly, the regularity of χ_2 implies in the one of $C^2_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ (here $C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the Weyl tensor), as it depends only on the tensor sector [10]. In order to have regular curvature invariants $R^2_{\mu\nu}$ and $R^2_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ it is necessary that both χ_0 and χ_2 be regular.

3 Effective smeared sources in polynomial HDG

Each one of the eqs. (10) can be viewed as a Poisson equation with a modified source,

$$\Delta\chi_s = \kappa_s\,\varrho_s\,, \tag{11}$$

where the "new" sources ϱ_s satisfy

$$\rho(r) = f_s(\Delta)\,\varrho_s(r). \tag{12}$$

Particularizing the consideration for a delta source $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = M\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})$, one gets

$$\varrho_s(r) = \frac{M}{2\pi^2 r}\int_0^\infty \frac{k\sin(kr)}{f_s(-k^2)}dk\,. \tag{13}$$

Note that the non-constant term $f_s(-k^2)$ in the integrand induces a smearing of the original delta source.

It is important to notice that the definition of ϱ_s through (12) depends on the shape of the original source ρ and involves the inversion of the operator $f_s(\Delta)$, which in general

is not direct. In the present work we restrict considerations to the Dirac delta source, associated to a point-like mass in rest, which admits a Fourier representation. Moreover, as mentioned before, here we assume that $f_s > 0$ on the real line, $f_s(0) = 1$ and that $f_s(z)$ (if not trivial) diverges at least linearly as $z \longrightarrow \infty$. The last hypothesis is certainly verified for all polynomial functions, while for non-polynomial ones it acts as a constraint on the type of non-locality of the theory. Under these assumptions the Fourier kernel associated to the function $1/f_s(-k^2)$ is well-defined on the space of square-integrable functions and allows one to define the source ϱ_s through its Fourier transform, a standard procedure in the field of local and non-local HDG (see, e.g. [11–18]). Still, we point out that for static solutions the original d'Alembert operator $\Box$ is substituted by the Laplacian Δ, which avoids all the issues related to the choice of the appropriate Green function of the inverse operator (see, e.g., the discussion on [25] for the case of IR-modified theories, and on [39] for the case of non-perturbative solutions).

In what concerns locality, up to this point we did not make any *a priori* restriction on the nature of the functions f_s (or, equivalently, on F_1 and F_2 in the action). Let us now assume that f_s are polynomials; in other words, let us consider the case of polynomial HDG [4]. Owed to the fundamental theorem of algebra, if f_s has degree $\mathcal{N}_s$ and it is such that $f_s(0) = 1$ (see eqs. (3) and (4)), then it can be written as

$$f_s(-k^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_s} \left(\frac{k^2 + m_{(s)i}^2}{m_{(s)i}^2} \right)^{n_{(s)i}} . \tag{14}$$

Here we assume that the equation $f_s(-k^2) = 0$ has N_s roots $-m_{(s)i}^2$ (with $i = 1, ..., N_s$), each of them with multiplicity $n_{(s)i}$. It is clear that $\sum_i n_{(s)i} = \mathcal{N}_s$. Accordingly, we can expand the term $1/f_s(-k^2)$ in (13) in partial fractions,

$$\frac{1}{f_s(-k^2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{(s)i}} \frac{\alpha_{(s)i,j}}{(k^2 + m_{(s)i}^2)^j} , \tag{15}$$

where $\alpha_{(s)i,j}$ are coefficients which can be easily calculated, e.g., by means of Heaviside's residue method. It is possible, however, to obtain useful results without the need of explicitly writing down the expression for them, as we show in the next sections (see also [10]).

Inserting (15) into (13) one obtains the effective source for a polynomial HDG,

$$\varrho_s(r) = \frac{M\sqrt{\pi}}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{(s)i}} \frac{\alpha_{(s)i,j}}{(j-1)!} \left(\frac{r}{2m_{(s)i}} \right)^{j-\frac{3}{2}} K_{j-\frac{3}{2}}(m_{(s)i} r) , \tag{16}$$

where K_ν is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. As we are considering a general polynomial model, the expression above holds for degenerate modes — which are explicitly taken into account through the summation over $j \in \{1, ..., n_{(s),i}\}$ — and also for complex modes. In what concerns the latter ones, their masses were chosen with positive real part so that $\varrho_s(r)$ decreases to zero for large distances (see [9, 10, 36] for further discussion).

From (16) it follows that the presence of complex poles in the propagator yields oscillatory contributions to the effective source. For example, for the sixth-order gravity with conjugate poles $m_{(s)1} = \overline{m}_{(s)2} = a + ib$,

$$\varrho_s(r) = \frac{M(a^2+b^2)^2 \sin(br)}{8\pi abr} e^{-ar} \,. \tag{17}$$

Such oscillations of the source have been noticed for particular theories in [17, 18]; and before that it was shown to occur in the potentials of general theories with complex poles [9] (see also [34, 36]).

3.1 Regular effective sources

The smearing of the δ-source does not necessarily imply that the resultant effective source is free of singularities. However, we shall prove that for HDG the effective source is regular in most of the cases. Namely, we show that ϱ_s is finite if $\mathcal{N}_s > 1$, i.e., for models with more than four derivatives in the spin-s sector of the action.

To this end, let us expand the general expression (16) for the effective source around $r = 0$. Taking into account the corresponding formulas for the modified Bessel functions [40] it follows

$$\varrho_s(r) = \frac{M}{4\pi r} A_{(s)1} \, + \, w_s \, + \, O(r) \,, \tag{18}$$

where $A_{(s)1} \equiv \sum_i \alpha_{(s)i,1}$ and w_s is a constant.[3] To show that the source is regular it then suffices to verify whether the coefficient $A_{(s)1}$, multiplying the divergent term r^{-1}, vanishes. We claim that

$$A_{(s)1} = \begin{cases} m^2_{(s)1} \,, & \text{if } \mathcal{N}_s = 1, \\ 0 \,, & \text{if } \mathcal{N}_s > 1. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

As mentioned before, this claim can be demonstrated for the general case without the need of explicitly calculating all the quantities $\alpha_{(s)i,j}$, but only considering relations between them. In fact, regrouping the *r.h.s.* of eq. (15) into a single fraction one gets

$$\frac{\sum_i \sum_j \alpha_{(s)i,j} \left(k^2 + m^2_{(s)i}\right)^{n_{(s)i}-j} \prod_{\ell \neq i} \left(k^2 + m^2_{(s)\ell}\right)^{n_{(s)\ell}}}{\prod_i \left(k^2 + m^2_{(s)i}\right)^{n_{(s)i}}} \,. \tag{20}$$

Then, by comparing the numerators of both sides of (15) and setting to zero the coefficients of the terms which depend on k^2, one obtains $\mathcal{N}_s$ relations between the quantities $\alpha_{(s)i,j}$ and the masses $m_{(s)i}$. In particular, for the term of highest order, proportional to $k^{2(\mathcal{N}_s-1)}$, it follows $\sum_i \alpha_{(s)i,1} = A_{(s)1} = 0$. Of course, the case $\mathcal{N}_s = 1$ is trivial as there is no term depending on k in the numerator of (20), so $\alpha_{(s)i,1} = m^2_{(s)1}$. This proves eq. (19).

[3] It is possible to prove that $w_s \neq 0$; however, we postpone this demonstration to section 5.

We say that the delta source is completely regularised if both ϱ_0 and ϱ_2 are finite. According to what was just proved, this occurs provided that F_1 and F_2 are polynomials of degree at least one (i.e., they are non-trivial polynomials) and[4] $F_1 \neq -3F_2$.

As an explicit example, the effective sources for Stelle's fourth-order gravity,

$$\varrho_s(r) = \frac{Mm_{(s)1}^2}{4\pi r} e^{-rm_{(s)1}} \,, \tag{21}$$

diverge as $r \to 0$. On the other hand, for a sixth-order gravity with a spin-s pole of multiplicity two one gets

$$\varrho_s(r) = \frac{Mm_{(s)1}^3}{8\pi} e^{-rm_{(s)1}} \,, \tag{22}$$

which is regular. It is immediate to verify that (17) is also regular.

3.2 Effective mass functions

Following the description of the higher derivatives' effects through Poisson equations with effective sources ϱ_s, we shall define the mass function[5]

$$m_s(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r x^2 \varrho_s(x) dx \tag{23}$$

as the total effective mass inside a sphere of radius r centred in the origin, associated to the potential χ_s. In the general case this function is no longer a constant, insomuch as the effective density functions are smeared and the total mass M now fills the whole space. The function $m_s(r)$ can be written in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions by substituting (16) in the equation above. We omit the result as the expression is not illuminating. Instead, it is more instructive to use the expansion (18) in association to (19) to show that, near the origin,

$$m_s(r) \sim \begin{cases} r^2 \,, & \text{if } \mathcal{N}_s = 1, \\ r^3 \,, & \text{if } \mathcal{N}_s > 1. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

The effective mass m_s above is related to the (modified) Newtonian force exerted on test particles. It is different from the massive quantity $\tilde{m}_s$ which appears in the expression of the potential

$$\chi_s(r) = \frac{\kappa_s \tilde{m}_s(r)}{r} \,. \tag{25}$$

Indeed, with this *Ansatz* the eq. (11) yields

$$\tilde{m}_s''(r) = r\varrho_s(r) \,, \tag{26}$$

[4]The condition $F_1 \neq -3F_2$ ensures that f_0 is a non-trivial polynomial, see (3).

[5]Note that the definitions and the general discussion carried out in this subsection can be applied also to non-local HDG theories.

whence

$$\tilde{m}_s(r) = \tilde{m}_s'(0)\, r + \frac{r}{4\pi}\int_0^r \frac{m_s(x)}{x^2}dx\,. \tag{27}$$

The integration constant $\tilde{m}_s(0) = 0$ is defined by recalling that the total mass M is now delocalised. On the other hand, in view of (24) and (25), $\tilde{m}_s'(0)$ is set by the requirement that $\chi_s \to 0$ for $r \to \infty$, and it gives the value of the potential χ_s at the origin. Therefore, one can say that the power series expansion of $\tilde{m}_s$ around $r = 0$ starts with the linear term, and the next term is of the same order of (24). From the consideration above one concludes that the coefficient of the term r^2 in the series expansion of $\tilde{m}_s$ is non-zero if and only if $\mathcal{N}_s = 1$.

4 Finite and regular potentials in polynomial HDG

The smearing of the δ-source is not a sufficient condition for the cancellation of the curvature singularities. As we show in this section, the smeared effective source in polynomial HDG yields a finite modified Newtonian potential; but to regularise the curvature invariants it is necessary to have regular effective sources.

In fact, the considerations of section 3.2 regarding the effective mass $\tilde{m}_s(r)$ shows that the small-r behaviour of the potential χ_s is, up to a constant (see (25) and (27)),

$$\chi_s(r) \sim \begin{cases} r \,+\, \mathcal{O}(r^2), & \text{if } \mathcal{N}_s = 1, \\ r^2 \,+\, \mathcal{O}(r^3)\,, & \text{if } \mathcal{N}_s > 1. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

In both cases the potential is finite at $r = 0$, with $\chi_s(0) = \kappa_s \tilde{m}_s'(0)$, but in the former there are curvature singularities since $\chi_s'(0) \neq 0$, as discussed in section 2. Therefore, the complete regularization of the δ-source coincides with the regularization of the curvature invariants. This conclusion matches the considerations of [10], where the general expression for χ_s was derived and it was shown that HDG models with at least six derivatives in both spin-0 and spin-2 sectors have regular curvature invariants in the non-relativistic limit. Moreover, the reasoning presented here offers an alternative demonstration of the results of [8,9] on the finiteness of the modified Newtonian potential in polynomial HDG.

In order to close this discussion on local HDG, it may be instructive to present some explicit examples. We start by the fourth-derivative model defined by polynomials $f_s(-k^2) = 1 + m_{(s)1}^{-2}k^2$. In this case the effective delta source is given by (21), which yields the mass function

$$m_s(r) = M\left[1 - e^{-m_{(s)1}r}(1 + m_{(s)1}r)\right] = \frac{1}{2}Mm_{(s)1}^2 r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^3) \tag{29}$$

and the auxiliary potential

$$\chi_s(r) = -\frac{M\kappa_s}{4\pi r}(1 - e^{-m_{(s)1}r}) = -\frac{Mm_{(s)1}\kappa_s}{4\pi} + \frac{Mm_{(s)1}^2\kappa_s}{8\pi}r + \mathcal{O}(r^2). \tag{30}$$

Both of them have the short-distance behaviour presented in eqs. (24) and (28) with $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}_2 = 1$ (complete fourth-derivative gravity). Even though the potentials are finite, as $\chi_s'(0) \neq 0$ the curvature invariants are not regular; indeed, near the origin the Kretschmann scalar associated to the metric (6) with auxiliary potentials (30) behaves like

$$R^2_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \approx \frac{8G^2M^2}{9r^2}\left(m^4_{(0)1} + m^2_{(0)1}m^2_{(2)1} + 7m^4_{(2)1}\right). \tag{31}$$

However, its divergence is less strong than in GR, or in the incomplete fourth-derivative model, for which $R^2_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sim r^{-6}$.

The inclusion of a sixth-derivative in only one of the spin sectors cannot completely regularise the effective source (and the whole set of curvature invariants [10, 41]). For example, in the incomplete sixth-order model with

$$f_0(-k^2) = 1 + 2m^{-2}_{(0)1}k^2 + m^{-4}_{(0)1}k^4, \qquad f_2(-k^2) = 1 + m^{-2}_{(2)1}k^2, \tag{32}$$

$m_2(r)$ and $\chi_2(r)$ are the same as in (29) and (30) with $s = 2$, but the scalar sector has a pole of order two. Using the effective source (22) with $s = 0$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned} m_0(r) &= M\left[-1 + e^{m_{(0)1}r} - m_{(0)1}r\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}m_{(0)1}r\right)\right]e^{-m_{(0)1}r} = \frac{1}{6}Mm^3_{(0)1}r^3 + \mathcal{O}(r^4), \\ \chi_0(r) &= -\frac{\kappa_0 M}{4\pi r}\left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{m_{(0)1}r}{2}\right)e^{-m_{(0)1}r}\right] = -\frac{\kappa_0 M m_{(0)1}}{8\pi} + \frac{\kappa_0 M m^3_{(0)1}}{48\pi}r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^3), \end{aligned}$$

which, again, agree with (24) and (28) with $\mathcal{N}_0 = 2$. The Kretschmann scalar behaves like

$$R^2_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \approx \frac{56G^2M^2m^4_{(2)1}}{9r^2} \tag{33}$$

for $r \to 0$. As the spin-2 sector is the one with only four derivatives, it is expected that the dominant divergent term near $r = 0$ depends only on $m_{(2)1}$.

Only with the regularization of the source in both sectors the Kretschmann scalar becomes regular. Indeed, in terms of the previous example, if one includes a sixth-derivative in the spin-2 sector too by choosing, e.g.,

$$f_s(-k^2) = 1 + 2m^{-2}_{(s)1}k^2 + m^{-4}_{(s)1}k^4, \qquad s = 0, 2, \tag{34}$$

then

$$R^2_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{G^2M^2}{27}\left(5m^6_{(0)1} + 8m^3_{(0)1}m^3_{(2)1} + 32m^6_{(2)1}\right) + \mathcal{O}(r). \tag{35}$$

5 Effective smeared sources in non-local HDG

As it was mentioned in the Introduction, the description in terms of effective smeared sources is often used in the framework of ghost-free HDG. Therefore, instead of obtaining

the expressions for the source ϱ_s and the effective mass functions for particular theories (see, for example, [12–17]), in this section we focus on more general aspects which follow from the comparison with polynomial HDG.

Let us start by a particular family of models called ghost-free gravity of type N (GF_N), which is defined by choosing the functions $f_0 = f_2$ of the form [23, 42]

$$f_s(-k^2) = \exp\left(\frac{k^2}{\mu^2}\right)^N, \quad N \geqslant 1, \tag{36}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and μ is a massive parameter. It was shown in [42, 43] that all these theories have a regular modified Newtonian potential when coupled to a δ-source. In view of the discussion in the preceding sections, it is reasonable to think that the associated effective source is regular too.[6] In what follows we prove this statement by showing that the effective source for GF_N theories can be obtained as the uniform limit of a sequence of sources of local HDG.

To this end, let us consider the polynomial gravity defined by the particular choice

$$f_s(-k^2) = f_{s,N_s,n}(-k^2) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\ell!}\left(\frac{k^2}{\mu_s^2}\right)^{N_s\ell}, \tag{37}$$

where $N_s \geqslant 1$ and $n \geqslant 2$ are natural numbers and μ_s is a massive parameter. According to eq. (13), the corresponding effective source is given by

$$\varrho_{s,N_s,n}(r) = \frac{M}{2\pi^2}\int_0^\infty g_{s,N_s,r,n}(k)dk\,, \tag{38}$$

with

$$g_{s,N_s,r,n}(k) = \frac{k\sin(kr)}{r f_{s,N_s,n}(-k^2)} \tag{39}$$

for a fixed r. Since the sequence of (integrable) functions $\{g_{s,N_s,r,n}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is tight and converges uniformly to

$$G_{s,N_s,r}(k) = \frac{k\sin(kr)}{r\exp\left(k^2/\mu_s^2\right)^{N_s}} \tag{40}$$

on every compact $K \subset [0, +\infty)$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\varrho_{s,N_s,n}(r) = \frac{M}{2\pi^2}\int_0^\infty G_{s,N_s,r}(k)dk \equiv \varrho_{s,N_s}(r) \tag{41}$$

[6] In [17] the effective sources for some values of N were explicitly calculated. The solution for the smeared sources in a general GF_N theory can be directly obtained by means eq. (13), and it is a combination of generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_0F_{2(N-1)}$ multiplied by powers of r. We omit the explicit (cumbersome) expression as this section aims to more general results. The analogous complete solution for the potential was presented in [43].

for each $r \in (0, +\infty)$. Actually, as the sequence $\{\varrho_{s,N_s,n}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous[7] and uniformly bounded on $[0, +\infty)$, one can show that the limit $\varrho_{s,N_s,n} \to \varrho_{s,N_s}$ is uniform on $[0, +\infty)$. Thence, the limiting source ϱ_{s,N_s} is also continuous and bounded. We stress that in the proof of the regularity of ϱ_{s,N_s} we did not use its specific form, given by (40) and (41).

In view of eq. (13), it is immediate to verify that the source (41) with $G_{s,N_s,r}$ given by (40) is the one associated to the function (36) with $N = N_s$ and $\mu = \mu_s$. Therefore, the effective source $\varrho_N(r)$ of the GF_N models is the uniform limit of an equicontinuous sequence of (non-singular) sources associated to local HDG models; whence $\varrho_N(r)$ is regular too. Since the regularity of the source implies in the one of the potential, the result of [42, 43] on the regularity of GF_N models is verified.

It is worthwhile to notice that the previous consideration applies directly to more general ghost-free theories defined by the functions

$$f_s(-k^2) = e^{P_s(-k^2)}\,, \tag{42}$$

where, here and in what follows, $P_s(z)$ is a real polynomial such that $P_s(0) = 0$ and $P_s > 0$ for large $|z|$ [43].

Nevertheless, this reasoning should be applied with caution to general ghost-free theories defined by an arbitrary entire function with enhanced UV-behaviour. On the one hand, even though the exponential of an entire function can always be written as a power series, which converges uniformly on compact sets, the sequence of functions analogous to (39) might be not tight or integrable, making some sources of the sequence $\{\varrho_{s,n}\}_n$ ill-defined. It may be necessary to pass to a subsequence $\{f_{s,n'}\}_{n'}$ in order to have a well-defined sequence of sources. On the other hand, it is still possible that this sequence converges only pointwise on $(0, +\infty)$, owed to the violation of equicontinuity. Therefore, despite being a sequence of bounded functions defined on $[0, +\infty)$, the convergence at $r = 0$ — and, thus, the regularity of the limiting source — is not guaranteed.

As an example, let us consider the case of weakly non-local gravity theories [12, 20, 21]. These models are defined by form factors $f_s(-k^2) = e^{H_s(-k^2)}$, where $H_s(z)$ is an entire function such that $H_s(0) = 0$ and which behaves like $\ln[P_s(-k^2)]$ when $k \to \infty$. One simple choice is [12, 21]

$$H_s(-k^2) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma + \Gamma(0, P_s^2(-k^2))\right] + \ln P_s(-k^2)\,, \tag{43}$$

where γ is Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\Gamma(0, z)$ is the incomplete gamma function. The issue with these non-polynomial entire functions is the occurrence of an infinite number of sign changes in the coefficients of the power series. In fact, (43) yields

$$f_s = 1 + \frac{P_s^2}{2} - \frac{P_s^6}{72} + \frac{P_s^8}{288} - \frac{P_s^{10}}{4800} - \frac{P_s^{12}}{8100} + \mathcal{O}(P_s^{14})\,. \tag{44}$$

[7] This can be proved by noticing that the sequence $\{\varrho'_{s,N_s,n}\}_n$ of the derivative of the sources is uniformly bounded on $[0, \infty)$.

Hence, if the series is truncated in a term with negative coefficient, the function defined by this partial sum will have a zero on the real line, possibly making the corresponding source ill-defined. Passing to the subsequence $\{f_{s,n}\}_n$ of partial sums truncated on the n-th term with positive coefficient, one gets a well-defined sequence of sources, all of them being regular due to the polynomial nature of $f_{s,n}$. This sequence $\{\varrho_{s,n}\}_n$ converges pointwise on $(0,+\infty)$, but it does not converge uniformly if the sequence of derivatives $\{\varrho'_{s,n}\}_n$ is not uniformly bounded.

This is indeed what happens if $P_s(z)$ is a monomial of degree $N_s = 1$. It is easy to see that in this case $\varrho_s(r)$ diverges in the origin, as for large k one has $f_s(-k^2) \approx e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} k^2$. In this regime

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{k\sin(kr)}{r f_s(-k^2)} \approx e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}, \tag{45}$$

which is not integrable on an unbounded interval. Hence, $N_s = 1$ implies that $\varrho_{s,n} \to \varrho_s$ pointwise on $(0,+\infty)$, but not uniformly, as $\lim_{r\to 0} \varrho_s(r) = \infty$. The same arguments can be used to show that the limit $\varrho_{s,n} \to \varrho_s$ is uniform if the degree of $P_s(z)$ is $N_s \geqslant 2$, and in this case the source is regular (and the potential too).

As a second example, one can consider the more general quasi-local form factor [12,21]

$$H_s(-k^2) = \alpha_s \left[\gamma + \Gamma(0, P_s(-k^2)) + \ln P_s(-k^2)\right], \tag{46}$$

where $P_s(z)$ is a polynomial of degree N_s. Note that the previous example follows from the choice $\alpha_s = 1/2$ and the substitution $P_s \mapsto P_s^2$, while the form factor proposed by Kuz'min [20] corresponds to $\alpha_s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N_s = 1$. For large momentum it holds

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} e^{H_s(-k^2)} \approx e^{\alpha_s \gamma} k^{2N_s\alpha_s}, \tag{47}$$

whence, in this regime,

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{k\sin(kr)}{r f_s(-k^2)} \approx \frac{e^{-\alpha_s\gamma}}{k^{2(N_s\alpha_s - 1)}}. \tag{48}$$

It follows that the condition for having a regular source reads $N_s\alpha_s > 3/2$. In particular, for the Kuz'min form factor ($N_s = 1$) the effective source is regular if $\alpha_s \geq 2$.

More generally, the regularity of the effective sources in local and non-local HDG models follows from the UV behaviour of the functions f_s. This can be understood in light of some observations:

i. f_s does not change its sign because, as we restrict considerations to tachyon-free models, the equation $f_s(-k^2) = 0$ has no root for $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

ii. If for large arguments the function $f_s(z)$ grows faster than $z^{3/2}$, then $G_{s,r}(k) = \frac{k\sin(kr)}{r f_s(-k^2)}$ is integrable for any $r \in [0,\infty)$. So, $\lim_{r\to 0} \varrho_s(r) < \infty$, i.e., the effective source is regular.

iii. Since $r > 0$ implies

$$|G_{s,r}| = \frac{k|\sin(kr)|}{r f_s(-k^2)} < \frac{k^2}{f_s(-k^2)} = G_{s,0}\,, \tag{49}$$

then $\int_0^\infty G_{s,r}(k)dk \leqslant \int_0^\infty |G_{s,r}(k)|dk < \int_0^\infty G_{s,0}(k)dk$, which means that $\varrho_s(r)$ achieves its maximum at $r = 0$. In particular, $\varrho_s(0) \neq 0$.

Recall that a constant term in the power series expansion of ϱ_s around $r = 0$ gives $m_s(r) \sim r^3$ (see section 3.2). Thus, it follows from the last observation above that for any theory with a regular potential, the leading non-constant contribution to χ_s for small distances is of order r^2. Particularizing for polynomial HDG, this yields the conclusion that $w_s \neq 0$ in (18).

6 Conclusions

Local and non-local higher-derivative models have fruitful applications in the field of perturbative quantum gravity, as classical and quantum singularities which stem in GR can be smoothed out. This is ultimately related to the improved behaviour of the propagator in the UV regime and, therefore, it might be reasonable to think that regularity, at least at the linearised level, should be ubiquitous in these theories. In fact, in [10] we showed that all the polynomial gravity models with more than four derivatives in both scalar and tensor sectors are regular in the weak-field limit. In the present work we give an alternative proof of this result, based on the description of the higher-derivative's effects through an effective matter source. In this approach, increasing of the number of derivatives in the action can be viewed as implementing the regularization of the source: the singular point-like δ-source in GR becomes a singular smeared source in fourth-derivative gravity, and it is regularised in theories with six and more derivatives. Furthermore, the considerations in terms of effective sources allow an almost straightforward extension to non-local HDG theories.

Regularity properties of non-local ghost-free gravities have been intensively studied in recent years [11–17, 22, 23, 38, 42–48], and it is useful to notice that the key ingredient here seems to be not the non-locality of the interaction or the ghost-free condition, but (again) the behaviour of the propagator in the UV, as we give examples of regular models with ghosts and renormalizable ghost-free models with singularities. The use of effective sources makes this clear, at least in the weak-field limit.

Indeed, we showed that the effective sources for ghost-free theories defined by the exponential of a polynomial can be regarded as the uniform limit of a sequence of regular sources of polynomial theories, being, therefore, regular too. This also holds for quasi-local theories defined by form factors $f_s(-k^2)$ which behave like k^n, $n \geqslant 4$, in the UV. In this sense, the good regularity properties of these ghost-free gravities do not follow from non-locality or from the absence of ghosts; instead, they can be viewed as being inherited from the local polynomial theories. This is in agreement to the point of view that non-local gravities are the limiting theories when the degree of the polynomial goes

to infinity, and therefore, it has an infinite number of (complex) poles hidden in the infinity [49]. Of course, this is different from what concerns the avoidance of ghosts in the propagator [12, 19–23] or extra propagating degrees of freedom [39, 50, 51], which do require non-locality.

The results of the present work, together with [10], motivates further investigations on the static spherically symmetric solutions in the full non-linear regime of polynomial and non-local gravities, and prospective relations between them. In fact, in [5–7] one can see that the singularity associated to the δ-source in the linearised fourth-derivative gravity was preserved in the non-linear scenario. In what concerns local models with more than four derivatives, the numerical calculations presented in [52] give evidence that the spherically symmetric solutions are regular. Similar discussions have been carried out for the non-local models [16, 44, 47, 53–57], which raises the interesting question of to which extent the relation between local and non-local models in the linear regime can be extended to the full non-linear one.

Acknowledgements

B.L.G is grateful to CNPq–Brazil for supporting his Ph.D. project. T.P.N. wishes to acknowledge CAPES for the support through the PNPD program. B.L.G. is grateful to the Department of Physics of the Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora for the kind hospitality during his visit, and to Y. Rodríguez-López for the useful discussions.

References

[1] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, *One loop divergences in the theory of gravitation*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré A **20**, 69 (1974).

[2] M. H. Goroff and A. Sagnotti, *The ultraviolet behavior of Einstein gravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **266**, 709 (1986).

[3] K. S. Stelle, *Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977).

[4] M. Asorey, J. L. López and I. L. Shapiro, *Some remarks on high derivative quantum gravity*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 5711 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9610006.

[5] K. S. Stelle, *Classical Gravity with Higher Derivatives*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **9**, 353 (1978).

[6] H. Lü, A. Perkins, C. N. Pope and K. S. Stelle, *Black Holes in Higher-Derivative Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 171601 (2015), arXiv:1502.01028.

[7] H. Lü, A. Perkins, C. N. Pope and K. S. Stelle, *Spherically Symmetric Solutions in Higher-Derivative Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 124019 (2015), arXiv:1508.00010.

[8] L. Modesto, T. de Paula Netto and I. L. Shapiro, *On Newtonian singularities in higher derivative gravity models*, JHEP **1504**, 098 (2015), arXiv:1412.0740.

[9] B. L. Giacchini, *On the cancellation of Newtonian singularities in higher-derivative gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **766**, 306 (2017), arXiv:1609.05432.

[10] B. L. Giacchini and T. de Paula Netto, *Weak-field limit and regular solutions in polynomial higher-derivative gravities*, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 217 (2019), arXiv:1806.05664.

[11] A. A. Tseytlin, *On singularities of spherically symmetric backgrounds in string theory*, Phys. Lett. B **363**, 223 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9509050.

[12] L. Modesto, *Super-renormalizable quantum gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 044005 (2012), arXiv:1107.2403.

[13] Y. Zhang, Y. Zhu, L. Modesto and C. Bambi, *Can static regular black holes form from gravitational collapse?*, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 96 (2015), arXiv:1404.4770.

[14] Y. D. Li, L. Modesto and L. Rachwał, *Exact solutions and spacetime singularities in nonlocal gravity*, JHEP **1512**, 173 (2015), arXiv:1506.08619.

[15] Y. S. Myung and Y.-J. Park, *The origin of regular Newtonian potential in infinite derivative gravity*, arXiv:1709.04587.

[16] L. Buoninfante, A. S. Koshelev, G. Lambiase, J. Marto and A. Mazumdar, *Conformally-flat, non-singular static metric in infinite derivative gravity*, JCAP **1806**, 014 (2018), arXiv:1804.08195.

[17] J. Boos, *Gravitational Friedel oscillations in higher-derivative and infinite-derivative gravity?*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **27**, 1847022 (2018), arXiv:1804.00225.

[18] C. Bambi, L. Modesto and Y. Wang, *Lee-Wick black holes*, Phys. Lett. B **764**, 306 (2017), arXiv:1611.03650.

[19] N. V. Krasnikov, *Nonlocal Gauge Theories*, Theor. Math. Phys. **73**, 1184 (1987) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **73**, 235 (1987)].

[20] Yu. V. Kuz'min, *Finite nonlocal gravity*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **50**, 1011 (1989) [Yad. Fiz. **50**, 1630 (1989)].

[21] E. T. Tomboulis, *Superrenormalizable gauge and gravitational theories*, arXiv:hep-th/9702146.

[22] T. Biswas, A. Mazumdar and W. Siegel, *Bouncing universes in string-inspired gravity*, JCAP **0603**, 009 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0508194.

[23] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto and A. Mazumdar, *Towards Singularity- and Ghost-Free Theories of Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** 031101 (2012), arXiv:1110.5249.

[24] S. Deser and R. P. Woodard, *Nonlocal Cosmology,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 111301 (2007), arXiv:0706.2151.

[25] M. Maggiore, *Nonlocal Infrared Modifications of Gravity. A Review*, Fundam. Theor. Phys. **187**, 221 (2017), arXiv:1606.08784.

[26] E. Belgacem, Y. Dirian, S. Foffa and M. Maggiore, *Nonlocal gravity. Conceptual aspects and cosmological predictions,* JCAP **1803**, 002 (2018), arXiv:1712.07066.

[27] E. V. Gorbar and I. L. Shapiro, *Renormalization Group and Decoupling in Curved Space*, JHEP **02**, 021 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0210388.

[28] E. V. Gorbar and I. L. Shapiro, *Renormalization group and decoupling in curved space. 2. The Standard model and beyond*, JHEP **06**, 004 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0303124.

[29] E. V. Gorbar and I. L. Shapiro, *Renormalization group and decoupling in curved space. 3. The Case of spontaneous symmetry breaking*, JHEP **0402**, 060 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0311190.

[30] T. de Paula Netto and I. L. Shapiro, *Non-local form factors for curved-space anti-symmetric fields*, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 024040 (2016), arXiv:1605.06600.

[31] S. A. Franchino-Viñas, T. de Paula Netto, I. L. Shapiro and O. Zanusso, *Form factors and decoupling of matter fields in four-dimensional gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **790**, 229 (2019), arXiv:1812.00460.

[32] I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, *Effective Action in Quantum Gravity*, IOP Publishing, Bristol (1992).

[33] L. Modesto and I. L. Shapiro, *Superrenormalizable quantum gravity with complex ghosts*, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 279 (2016), arXiv:1512.07600.

[34] L. Modesto, *Super-renormalizable or finite Lee-Wick quantum gravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **909**, 584 (2016), arXiv:1602.02421.

[35] A. Accioly, B. L. Giacchini and I. L. Shapiro, *On the gravitational seesaw in higher-derivative gravity*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 540 (2017), arXiv:1604.07348.

[36] A. Accioly, B. L. Giacchini and I. L. Shapiro, *Low-energy effects in a higher-derivative gravity model with real and complex massive poles*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 104004 (2017), arXiv:1610.05260.

[37] S. S. Zhao and Y. Xie, *Strong deflection lensing by a Lee-Wick black hole*, Phys. Lett. B **774**, 357 (2017).

[38] V. P. Frolov, *Mass-gap for black hole formation in higher derivative and ghost free gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 051102 (2015), arXiv:1505.00492.

[39] G. Calcagni, *Taming the beast: diffusion method in nonlocal gravity*, Universe **2018**, 95 (2018), arXiv:1809.04292.

[40] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of integrals, series and products*, 7th ed., edited by A. Jeffrey and D. Zwillinger, Academic Press, San Diego (2007).

[41] B.L. Giacchini, T. de Paula Netto, *Regular Solutions in Higher-Derivative Gravity*, Universe **4**, 140 (2018).

[42] V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, *Head-on collision of ultrarelativistic particles in ghost-free theories of gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 064048 (2016), arXiv:1509.03336.

[43] J. Edholm, A. S. Koshelev and A. Mazumdar, *Behavior of the Newtonian potential for ghost-free gravity and singularity-free gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 104033 (2016), arXiv:1604.01989.

[44] A. Koshelev, J. Marto and A. Mazumdar, *Schwarzschild* $1/r$*-singularity is not permissible in ghost free quadratic curvature infinite derivative gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 064023 (2018), arXiv:1803.00309.

[45] J. Boos, V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, *Gravitational field of static p-branes in linearized ghost-free gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 084021 (2018), arXiv:1802.09573.

[46] V. P. Frolov, A. Zelnikov and T. de Paula Netto, *Spherical collapse of small masses in the ghost-free gravity*, JHEP **1506**, 107 (2015), arXiv:1504.00412.

[47] L. Buoninfante, A. S. Koshelev, G. Lambiase and A. Mazumdar, *Classical properties of non-local, ghost- and singularity-free gravity*, JCAP **1809**, 034 (2018), arXiv:1802.00399.

[48] E. Kilicarslan, *Weak field limit of infinite derivative gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 064048 (2018), arXiv:1808.00266.

[49] I. L. Shapiro, *Counting ghosts in the "ghost-free" non-local gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **744**, 67 (2015), arXiv:1502.00106.

[50] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto and G. Nardelli, *Initial conditions and degrees of freedom of non-local gravity*, JHEP **1805**, 087 (2018) [*Erratum ibid.* **1905**, 095 (2019)], arXiv:1803.00561.

[51] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto and G. Nardelli, *Nonperturbative spectrum of nonlocal gravity*, arXiv:1803.07848.

[52] B. Holdom, *On the fate of singularities and horizons in higher derivative gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 084010 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0206219.

[53] A. S. Koshelev and A. Mazumdar, *Do massive compact objects without event horizon exist in infinite derivative gravity?*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 084069 (2017), arXiv:1707.00273.

[54] L. Buoninfante, A. S. Cornell, G. Harmsen, A. S. Koshelev, G. Lambiase, J. Marto and A. Mazumdar, *Towards nonsingular rotating compact object in ghost-free infinite derivative gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 084041 (2018), arXiv:1807.08896.

[55] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto and Y. S. Myung, *Black-hole stability in non-local gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **783**, 19 (2018), arXiv:1803.08388.

[56] C. Bambi, D. Malafarina and L. Modesto, *Black supernovae and black holes in non-local gravity*, JHEP **1604**, 147 (2016), arXiv:1603.09592.

[57] G. Calcagni and L. Modesto, *Stability of Schwarzschild singularity in non-local gravity* Phys. Lett. B **773**, 596 (2017), arXiv:1707.01119.