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#### Abstract

We develop a Green's function approach to compute Rényi entanglement entropy on lattices and fuzzy spaces. The Rényi entropy resulting from tracing out an arbitrary collection of subsets of coupled harmonic oscillators is written as zero temperature partition function generated by an Euclidean action with $n$-fold step potential. The associated Green's function is explicitly constructed and an alternative new formula for the Rényi entropy is obtained. Finally it is outlined how this approach can be used to go beyond the gaussian state and include interaction by writing a perturbative expansion for the entanglement entropy .


Entanglement is undoubtedly one of the most fundamental concepts that distinguishes the quantum world from the classical world. The role that quantum entanglement is playing in different areas of physics is impressive. Many measures have been developed to account for the degree of entanglement between different parts of a quantum system [1,2]. One of the most important and fruitful measures is entanglement entropy. Several techniques have been developed to compute this entropy depending on the area of research field [15]. In connection with black hole entropy and quantum field theory a powerful approach was developed in [6, 7] based on the Euclidean heat kernel and Green's function. This approach allows one to make connection with string theory [6] 8], extract finite mass dependent but cuttoff independent contribution to the area law [9, and go beyond the free case to investigate the dependence of entanglement entropy on the renormalized mass 10 .

Although the heat kernel and the Green' function approaches offer a powerful tool to compute and investigate the entanglement entropy they seem to be limited to some special cases of continuum geometries. For example when one traces out half of flat $d+1$ spacetime Rényi entropy turns out to be governed by a simple geometry, namely a cone with deficit angle $2 \pi(1-n)$, for which the Green's function is known or the heat kernel expansion can be made. However, if one traces out a finite region it becomes almost untractable problem to construct the corresponding Green's function or to recognize the resulting $n$-sheeted geometry.

For field theories on lattice or on fuzzy spaces a Green's function or heat kernel approach to entanglement entropy has to our knowledge not yet been developed and the real time formalism is so far the only available technique with its limitation to Guassian states.

The aim of this work is to develop a Green's function approach, a heat kernel as well, to compute entanglement entropy for a set of $N$-coupled harmonic oscillators (H.Os); for Fermionic osilators we beleive a similar approach could be developed along the same line. Considering $N$ coupled H.O covers indeed a wide class of field theories on lattices and fuzzy spaces due to the fact that many physical situations are modeled by a chain of coupled H.O's ( Fermionic and Bosonic), whereas in high energy physics it turns out that for most theories regularized on lattices and fuzzy spaces computing the entanglement

[^0]entropy reduces to considering independent sectors made of coupled H.Os, and this is independently of the dimension of the spacetime considered and the geometry of the region traced out [2, 11-14. More importantly, developing a Green's function technique for field theory on lattices and fuzzy spaces would furnish a mathematical framework on which one can systematically study interacting theory using perturbation theory and go beyond the Gaussian state with all associated issues, like UV-IR mixing and effect of mass renormalization, both numerically and analytically using large $N$ expansion.

Our main result can be summarized in the following.
Consider a system of $N$-coupled H.O's . The corresponding Largarangian has the standard form

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{Q}^{T} \dot{Q}-Q^{T} V Q\right) \quad Q=\left(\begin{array}{c}
q_{1}  \tag{1}\\
q_{2} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
q_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$V$ is a symmetric positive definite $N \times N$ matrix.
Suppose the whole system is in the pure ground state $\rho=|0><0|$ and consider the density operator $\rho_{A}$ resulting from tracing out subset $A$, which could be a union of collection of disjoint subsets, $\rho_{A}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \rho$, then we have the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}+\mathbb{V}(\tau)\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}+V\right)^{n}}, \quad-\infty<\tau<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{V}(\tau)$ is a $n$-fold step-potential given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}(\tau)=\theta(-\tau) \mathbb{V}+\theta(\tau) \mathbb{V}_{p} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbb{V}$ and its image $\mathbb{V}_{p}$ are $N n \times N n$ matrices defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}=V \otimes \mathbb{I}_{n \times n} \text { and } \mathbb{V}_{p}=\mathbb{P}_{\pi} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}_{\pi}^{T} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbb{P}_{\pi}$ is $N n \times N n$ permutation matrix, its explicit form depends only on the choice of the subset $A$ and all we need is its action on $\mathbb{V}$ or the image potential $\mathbb{V}_{p}$. Each tracing operation is characterized by a permutation matrix $\mathbb{P}_{\pi}(A)$, however different permutation matrices may lead to the same image potential and therefore to the same entanglement entropy. For the important case $A=\left\{q_{p+1}, q_{p+2}, \ldots . . q_{N}\right\}$ and if we write $V$ as

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B  \tag{5}\\
B^{T} & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

$A$ and $C$ are respectivelly $(N-p) \times(N-p)$ and $p \times p$ matrices, the matrix $\mathbb{P}_{\pi}$ leads to the following image potentia * ${ }^{*}$

$$
\mathbb{V}_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & B  \tag{6}\\
0 & C & B^{T} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & B & A & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C & B^{T} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & B & A & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & A & 0 \\
B^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

Before proceeding to prove identity (2) we express $\operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}$ using the Green's function associated with $-\frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}+\mathbb{V}(\tau)$.

Using the heat kernel associated with the operators appearing in (2) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{K}_{n}(\tau, \tau, s)-n \operatorname{Tr} K(\tau, \tau, s)\right) d s, \quad K(\tau, \tau, s)=\mathbb{K}_{1}(\tau, \tau, s) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

*The form of this supermatrix is typical in the calcualtion of the entanglment entropy using Euclidean formalism [6, 15], and here it is understood as arising from the action of a special permutation matrix.

Define now the Laplace transform of the heat kernel $\mathbb{K}_{n}, \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}, E\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-E s} \mathbb{K}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}, s\right) d s$. The $N n \times N n$ matrix function $\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}, E\right)$ is just the Green's function associated with $-\frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}+\mathbb{V}(\tau)+E$ satisfying the following differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}+\mathbb{V}(\tau)+E\right) \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right), \quad G\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{G}_{1}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is then straightforward to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{G}_{n}(\tau, \tau, E)-n \operatorname{Tr} G(\tau, \tau, E)\right) d E \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trace Tr is understood to include integration over $\tau$.
The above identity is indeed equivalent to the evaluation of $\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}$ for massive scalar theory by first evaluating the derivative of $\ln \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with respect to $m^{2}$, with the role of $m^{2}$ is here played by $E$, expressing it in terms of the coincident Green's function $\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau, m^{2}\right)$ on the $n$-fold cover space and then integrating with respect to $m^{2}$ [3].

It remains now to find the explicit form of $\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$. In view of the fact that $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{p}$ do not generally commute, the construction of the Green's matrix is not straightforward. Actually it is the nonvanishing of the commutator $\left[\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{P}_{\pi}\right]$ which will lead to non zero entanglement entropy, therefore $\left\|\left[\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{P}_{\pi}\right]\right\| /\|\mathbb{V}\|$ can be considered in a sense a measure of the degree of entanglement ${ }^{\dagger}$.

To construct $\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ we followed the method developed in [16] and wrote down a perturbation series for it and used Laplace transform method to turn it into Weiner-Hopf problem, then solving the perturbation series order by order the exact form of $\mathbb{G}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ could be constructed. In this letter we only give the final result and the detail will be reported elsewhere [17].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{G}_{\mp \mp}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \mathbb{W}_{\mp}} e^{-\mathbb{W}_{\mp}\left|\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right|}+\frac{1}{2 \mathbb{W}_{\mp}} e^{ \pm \mathbb{W}_{\mp} \tau}\left[\frac{\mathbb{W}_{\mp}-\mathbb{W}_{ \pm}}{\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}}\right] e^{ \pm \mathbb{W}_{\mp} \tau^{\prime}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{G}_{ \pm \mp}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=e^{\mp \mathbb{W}_{ \pm} \tau} \frac{1}{\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}} e^{ \pm \mathbb{W}_{\mp} \tau^{\prime}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the standard notation $\mathbb{G}_{+-}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ for $\tau>0, \tau^{\prime}<0$..etc and with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{W}_{ \pm}=\sqrt{\mathbb{V}_{ \pm}}, \quad \mathbb{V}_{-}=\mathbb{V}+E, \quad \mathbb{V}_{+}=\mathbb{V}_{p}+E \\
\frac{1}{W_{ \pm}}=W_{ \pm}^{-1}, \quad \text { and } \frac{\mathbb{W}_{\mp}-\mathbb{W}_{ \pm}}{\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}} \equiv\left(\mathbb{W}_{\mp}-\mathbb{W}_{ \pm}\right)\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}\right)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is not difficult to check that above constructed $\mathbb{G}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ is a solution of eqt (8) and satisfies along with its first derivatives all the continuity conditions at the cut. When $\left[\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}_{p}\right]=0$ or $\mathbb{V}=0, \mathbb{V}_{p}=V, V$ a real number and with the appropriate redefinitions of the parameters, $\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ reduces to the Green's function for a one dimensional step potential [16, 18.

Substituting $\mathbb{G}_{n}(\tau, \tau)$ into eqt (9) and performing the integration with respect to $\tau$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=\frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{V}_{-}^{-1}-\mathbb{V}_{+}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}-\mathbb{W}_{+}\right)\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}\right)^{-1} d E \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (12) is our alternative formula which gives Rényi entropy resulting from tracing out an arbitrary subset of H.Os, and it can be used to compute the entanglement entropy via the replica trick. The expression of $\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}$ is invariant under rescaling of the potential and vanishes when $\left[\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{P}_{\pi}\right]=0$ as it should be.

We now return to the proof of our starting identity (2). The proof of (2) is quite easy and makes use of the Euclidean path integral to express $\operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}$.

[^1]We illustrate the proof for the case where we trace out a subset $A=\left\{q_{p+1}, q_{p+2}, \ldots . . q_{N}\right\}$, the general case is similar. Let $\bar{A}$ denote the complimentary subset, $Q_{A} \equiv\left(q_{p+1}, q_{p+2}, \ldots . . q_{N}\right)$ and $Q_{\bar{A}} \equiv$ $\left(q_{1}, q_{1}, \ldots . . q_{p}\right)$.

The ground state density operator can be written using the path integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{\prime}, Q_{A}^{\prime} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{\prime \prime}, Q_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\int \mathcal{D} Q \exp \left(-\int_{-\infty(Q=0)}^{0^{-}\left(Q=\left(Q_{A}^{\prime}, Q_{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)} L_{E} d \tau-\int_{0^{+}\left(Q=\left(Q_{A}^{\prime \prime}, Q_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)}^{\infty(Q=0)} L_{E} d \tau\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduced density matrix is then given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{A}\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{\prime} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\int \rho\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{\prime}, Q_{A} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{\prime \prime}, Q_{A}\right) d Q_{A}, \quad d Q_{A}=\prod_{A} d q_{i} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} \rho_{A}^{n}=\int \rho_{A}\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{1} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{2}\right) \rho_{A}\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{2} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{3}\right) \cdots \rho_{A}\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{n} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{1}\right) d Q_{\bar{A}}^{1} d Q_{\bar{A}}^{2} \cdots d Q_{\bar{A}}^{n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if we define an $n$-fold field variable $\mathbb{Q}$ with $N n$ components as

$$
\mathbb{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
Q_{A}^{1}  \tag{16}\\
Q_{A}^{1} \\
Q_{A}^{2} \\
Q_{A}^{2} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
Q_{A}^{n} \\
Q_{A}^{n}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \quad \mathbb{V}=V \otimes \mathbb{I}_{n \times n}
$$

then it is not difficult to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} \rho_{A}^{n}=\int \mathcal{D} \mathbb{Q} \exp \left(-\int_{-\infty, \mathbb{Q}=0}^{0^{-}, \mathbb{Q}=\left(Q_{A}^{1}, Q_{A}^{1} ; Q_{A}^{2}, Q_{A}^{2} \cdots Q_{A}^{n}, Q_{A}^{n}\right)} \mathbb{L}_{E} d \tau-\int_{0^{+}, \mathbb{Q}=\left(Q_{A}^{2}, Q_{A}^{1} ; Q_{A}^{3}, Q_{A}^{1} \cdots Q_{A}^{n}, Q_{A}^{n-1} ; Q_{A}^{1}, Q_{A}^{n}\right)}^{\infty, \mathbb{Q}=0} \mathbb{L}_{E} d \tau\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{E}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}_{E}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\mathbb{Q}}^{T} \dot{\mathbb{Q}}+\mathbb{Q}^{T} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{Q}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

What prevents us from writing $\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} \rho_{A}^{n}$ as a zero temperature partition function with specified Lagrangian are the boundary conditions on the variables $\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{A}}$ at the cut. This obstacle can be overcome by moving the cut or the discontinuity to the potential by introducing a permutation matrix $\mathbb{P}_{\pi}$ which maps $\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{1}, Q_{A}^{1} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{2}, Q_{A}^{2} \cdots Q_{A}^{n}, Q_{A}^{n}\right)$ into $\left(Q_{\bar{A}}^{2}, Q_{A}^{1} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{3}, Q_{A}^{2} ; \cdots Q_{A}^{n}, Q_{A}^{n-1} ; Q_{\bar{A}}^{1}, Q_{A}^{n}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} \rho_{A}^{n}$ with the correct normatizalion can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} \rho_{A}^{n}=\frac{\mathbb{Z}_{n}}{\mathbb{Z}_{1}^{n}}=\frac{\int \mathcal{D} \mathbb{Q} e^{-\mathbb{S}_{E}}}{\left(\int \mathcal{D} Q e^{-S_{E}}\right)^{n}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}_{E}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[\dot{\mathbb{Q}}^{T} \dot{\mathbb{Q}}+\mathbb{Q}^{T} \mathbb{V}(\tau) \mathbb{Q}\right] d \tau, \quad \mathbb{V}(\tau)=\theta(-\tau) \mathbb{V}+\theta(\tau) \mathbb{V}_{p}\right. \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then indentity (2) follows immediately.
This completes the proof of our starting identity.
Let us now see equation (12) at work by using it to recover the standard formula for the entanglement entropy for 2 coupled H.O's [11, 19]. This turned out be a straightforward exercise and only the main steps are outlined. For realistic models exact results are generally impossible, however we believe that formula (12) will be very suitable for using Discrete Fourier Transform and large $N$ expansion.

We consider the following Hamiltonian [11] $H=\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+k_{0}\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)+k_{1}\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)^{2}\right]$, then the relevant corresponding potential is of the form $母^{母} V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & 1 \\ 1 & a\end{array}\right)$

[^2]It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{V}_{-}^{-1}-\mathbb{V}_{+}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}-\mathbb{W}_{+}\right)=\frac{(\sqrt{x-1}-\sqrt{x+1})}{2\left(x^{2}-1\right)} \mathbb{C} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=a+E, \mathbb{C}$ is a $2 n \times 2 n$ circulant matrix with elements $c_{j}, j=0,1 \cdots 2 n-1, c_{0}=2, c_{2 n-2}=c_{2}=$ $-1 \ldots$ and zeros otherwise. On the other hand $\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}$is in this particular case another circulant matrix $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$ with elements $c_{0}^{\prime}=2 \alpha_{+}, c_{2 n-1}^{\prime}=c_{1}^{\prime}=\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{ \pm}(x)=\sqrt{x+1} \pm \sqrt{x-1}$ and zeros otherwise. Because circulant matrices form a commutative subalgebra $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\prime-1}$ can simultaneously be diagonalized. The eigenvalues of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
\lambda_{j}=2\left(1-\cos 2 \frac{\pi j}{n}\right), \quad \lambda_{j}^{\prime}=\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-} \cos \frac{\pi j}{n}
$$

then it follows from (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{2 n-1}\left(1-\cos \frac{2 \pi j}{n}\right) \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{-}(x)}{\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-} \cos \frac{\pi j}{n}\right)} d x \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrals inside the sum can be exactly evaluated and after some arrangements and simplifications we end up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \ln \frac{\cos \frac{\pi j}{n}+\beta}{\sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=a+\sqrt{a^{2}-1}$.
The sum in (23) can be evaluated exactly using standard products and sum formulas leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=\ln \frac{\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)^{n}}{\left(\alpha^{2 n}-1\right)}, \quad \alpha=\beta+\sqrt{\beta^{2}-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here our $\alpha$ turns out to be related to $\xi$ defined in [11 by $\alpha=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}}$.
Using the replick trick and the definition of $\alpha$ it is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left.\frac{d}{d n} \ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}\right|_{n=1}=-\ln (1-\xi)-\frac{\xi}{1-\xi} \ln \xi \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we turn our attention to an important application of the present approach, namely interacting theories. Here we just outline how the leading order correction to entanglement entropy can be included, higher order and applications to interacting scalar theories on the fuzzy sphere and Moyal plan will be considered in 17.

Let us consider $\lambda \phi^{4}$ type interaction. The lagrangian is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{E}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{Q}^{T} \dot{Q}+Q^{T} V Q\right)+\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(Q^{T} Q\right)^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the fact that the interaction term is invariant under the action of the perumtation matrix the perturbation series for $\ln \mathbb{Z}_{n}(\lambda)$ is straightforward and formally similar to the calculation of [10] in the continuum.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}(\lambda)=\ln \mathbb{Z}_{n}(\lambda)-n \ln Z_{1}(\lambda) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

expanding to the leading order in $\lambda$ and using Wick's theorem we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}(\lambda)=\ln \mathbb{Z}_{n}(0)-n \ln Z_{1}(0)-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int d \tau\left[\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{G}(\tau, \tau)^{2}-n \operatorname{Tr} G(\tau, \tau)^{2}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]using the expression of $\mathbb{G}(\tau, \tau)$ one can show that the leading order correction is formally given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \ln \operatorname{Tr} \rho_{A}^{n}=-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[-\frac{3 n}{8} \operatorname{Tr} V^{-3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{V}_{+}^{-1}+\mathbb{V}_{-}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}\right)^{-1}+F\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}, \mathbb{W}_{+}\right)+F\left(\mathbb{W}_{+}, \mathbb{W}_{-}\right)\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $F$ is defined by

$$
F\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}, \mathbb{W}_{+}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}\right)^{-1} e^{-2 \mathbb{W}_{+} \tau}\left(\mathbb{W}_{-}+\mathbb{W}_{+}\right)^{-1} e^{-2 \mathbb{W}_{+} \tau}\right]
$$

As can be seen at this leading order the tunnelling parts of the Green's function $\mathbb{G}_{ \pm \mp}$ still plays no role, however they start to contribute at the next order.

We conclude by some remarks about the present approach. For a fixed $n>1$ formulas (12) and (28) can be used directly to compute numerically Rényi entropy and include corrections due to interaction, however to carry out analytical study or to consider the important case $n=1$ analytical techniques must be used or developed. Generally the complexity of the study depends on the nature of the region $A$ to be traced out which in turn changes the permutation matrix $\mathbb{P}_{\pi}$ leading to different image matrices, $\mathbb{V}_{p}, \mathbb{W}_{p}$, nevertheless it turns out that for many cases of interest Toeplitz and Block Toeplitz matrices play an essential role within the present approach. For instance it turned out that for scalar theories on fuzzy spaces the dominant contribution to the entanglement entropy comes from regions where the potential is essentially a Toeplitz matrix [13], whereas for $1+1$ scalar theory on lattice the problem could be reduced to computing the trace over product of Toeplitz matrices [17, and we hope that this will make many problems analytically tractable in the large $N$ limit. Actually large $N$ expansion in this approach should be understood as a discrete version of the heat kernel expansion, therefore we expect the mathematical tools and theorems developed for the asymtotic behavior of matrices, in particular for Toeplitz matrices [20], will be indispensable in order to obtain anlytical results.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The matrix norm $\|$.$\| could be the Hilbert-Schmidt norm or whatever matrix norm one prefers.$

[^2]:    $\ddagger$ This form can always be achieved by rescaling the potential without affecting the entropy.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\S}$ Here we are not having in mind a naive lattice discretization for $\phi^{4}$ theory, otherwise we would write the interaction as $\sum q_{i}^{4}$ and the form of the correction would be slightly different for the one given above and more similar to the expansion given in 10 in the continuum.

    『The Green's function is understood here to be avaluated for $E=0$.

