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Abstract:

Importance of computed tomography (CT) images lies in imaging speed, image contrast & resolution and clinical cost. It has found wide use in detection and diagnosis of brain diseases. Unfortunately reported works on CT segmentation is not very significant. In this paper the gaps in automatic brain segmentation are identified and addressed with possible solutions which results into a robust, automatic computer aided diagnosis (CAD) system. Adaptive thresholding, automatic seed point finding, knowledge driven region growing and multilevel masking are key potentials of this work. Two types of masks are created. One mask is used to segment brain matter and another one restricts inclusion of non-intracranial area of nasal image slices. This second mask is a global reference mask for all slices in a dataset whereas the brain intensity mask is implemented on adjacent slices and automatically updated for next slice. Mask propagates independently in two different directions keeping reference slice at centre. Successive propagation and adaptive modification of brain mask have demonstrated very high potential in brain segmentation. Presented result shows highest sensitivity i.e. 1 and more than 96% accuracy in all cases. These segmented images can be used for any brain disease diagnosis or further image analysis as no information within brain is altered or removed.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Background
Supports from medical practitioners can be divided into two basic types – diagnosis and treatment. For diagnosis, several instruments are designed starting from a stethoscope, medical weighing machine, blood pressure measuring kit, ECG (ElectroCardioGram) electrodes to sophisticated X-ray, CT, MRI etc. Working principle of basic instruments like a stethoscope, blood pressure measuring kit, ECG kit is based on analysis of signals acquired from a body, whereas latter work on imaging technology. Internal images of the human body are taken for observation, inspection and understanding for diagnosis purpose. The image contains more information and it is easy to understand. That is why nowadays, for complex or serious problems, doctors depend on scanning i.e. imaging to avoid any misunderstanding of symptoms and wrong diagnosis.

When any body part is scanned, several layers are imaged sequentially and stored as 2D images. Special training is required to understand such images properly. When brain, the only spherical part of a body, is imaged the result turns into very complex one. To get information of entire brain, several cross-sectional images are taken one after another at a particular predefined gap. Even after taking several images the complexity is not nullified as facial parts also get scanned in few images and change the pattern of information in an image. As brain contains soft tissues, MRI and CT scan instead of traditional X-ray, offer very good results. In this article reported work is limited to CT brain images only.

The reasons of selecting CT over any other modality are its low cost [1], fast scanning procedure and wider availability in hospitals even if in rural areas. CT also has advantages over MRI for patients with claustrophobia and/or metal implants in a body and it is less sensitive to movements [2]. This painless imaging offers information about soft tissue, blood vessels, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) as well as the bone with clear distinguishable boundaries. Sufficiently accurate and detailed information of soft tissues in CT images supports in-depth investigation of diseases.

Each patient data is a collection of 2D CT scan images taken in a sequence. These clinical 2D images are further converted into digital numeric values for mathematical computation purpose and stored in a 2D array. The array contains intensity information about each pixel of all possible locations. Array size depends on the size of acquired image and its resolution. The image and hence the array can be square or rectangle. For any other shape, left out parts of the best fit rectangle are considered as background. For an image of nXm size, the resolution is n*m. For mathematical analysis of an image, several array operations are performed. Any image array contains two significant types of data – background and information. For medical analysis the information part is important, but background also supports the computation process indirectly. Scan images often contain some unwanted information which can introduce errors during computation and analysis through a computer. So, images are pre-processed to reduce error in final analysis.

In brain CT scan images, other than the brain matter, skull, soft tissue edema, and headrest also get scanned and add visible information. Advance CT machines store images in Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM) format which is an international standard for medical images [3]. When images saved from DICOM to other regular formats like JGP, TIF etc for further analysis, some machines print patient’s information in the background area of the scanned image. This information is undesirable as can introduce error in computerized analysis. Such unwanted parts in the scan must be removed before processing the image for analysis and diagnosis. Removal can be done by using appropriate segmentation method.

1.2 Literature review
As discussed above, in CT scan soft tissue, blood vessels, cerebrospinal fluid, skull, soft tissue edema if any, air and most of the time headrest also get included. Skull and headrest show highest intensity whereas CSF and air have lowest. Blood vessels, soft tissue, edema, skin etc. has an overlapping intensity and so not distinguishable easily. For accurate, errorless computation through a computer, removal of artifacts having no clinical information is very important. Higher intensity skull and headrest is removed to reduce computational complexity and increase efficiency.

Though brain CT images are very important clinically, number of reported works on CT segmentation is not very large [4]. Moreover, those reported segmentation works are majorly focused on some particular abnormality like hemorrhage [4-6], tumor [7], or have proposed segmentation of different parts of the brain [8].
Segmentation of tumor is mainly done on MR images [9]. MR images are also given importance in complete brain segmentation. Very few works reported brain parts segmentation [10] using CT images. In some reported works skull removal and brain matter (BM) extraction from CT images is done as part of disease identification [11-13] or disease patch segmentation [4, 5, 14].

Some works have reported extraction of BM from CT images using thresholding, region growing, midsagittal plane (MSP) finding techniques, supervised and unsupervised methods, shape guided segmentation and atlas-guided method. Most of the MSP finding techniques depend on brain symmetry [15-18] and thus not applicable for patients having visible brain abnormality. Fewer works are reported on segmentation of asymmetric brain using MSP finding. Segmentation of asymmetric brain using MSP finding technique suffers from higher error when midline shift and volume of abnormality is large. This method requires user interpretation and larger time too [19].

Supervised techniques are basically classification based image segmentation in which training data are required to train the system for automatic segmentation. These training data are prepared by the expert through manual segmentation. The requirement of interpreting training data makes the process bulky. Low versatility in the training dataset, due to limited resource, introduces errors in classification and thus in final segmentation too [20]. Paying the cost of the complex algorithm, unsupervised segmentation techniques like k-mean segmentation [21], expectation maximization [22], fuzzy C-means algorithms [23] are developed. For unsupervised method parameter initialization instead of training data set is required. Shape guided segmentation [24] or atlas-guided methods are not much explored for CT BM extraction.

Thresholding and region growing are old, easy, simple and fast methods but not a very potential for segmentation of complex regions with overlapping or very close intensity level regions. Thresholding can be done to segment entire image into two segments, one is above the threshold and another is below the threshold and the threshold value pixels can be combined with any of these two. A modification can be done by multithresholding [25] approach in which more than two segments can be extracted. The threshold can be user defined [5, 11] or automatically adapted from the image [4].

Region growing method is fast and offers higher accuracy for abnormalities with significant intensity difference from surroundings. Satisfactory results are reported in different CT segmentation works using region growing technique [26-28]. Region growing technique works based on pixel intensity or edge information. The major disadvantage of this method is the requirement of initial point or seed point definition by an end user.

1.3 Challenges and Research framework

After discussing with medical practitioners, gaps between available technology and clinical requirements are identified. These gaps are the motivation of the work presented here. Highly accurate automatic segmentation of brain is an important clinical requirement because of its involvement in disease detection, diagnosis and treatment. There are some reported works on interactive or adaptive brain segmentation. In most of the cases, there is always a requirement of radiology expert to initiate the process. Work reported by Chan, 2007 [11], proposed removing non-intracranial part by deleting everything not connected with largest brain part. This method will introduce error in nasal slices by deleting parts of the brain not connected to largest segment and considering non-intracranial parts which are connected to the brain through paranasal sinuses. Bardera et al. (2009) [5] proposed segmentation by interactive region growing technique. Their proposed Computer Aided Diagnosis (CAD) needs manual feeding of seed point, threshold and tuning to improve segmentation accuracy. Threshold finding from histogram minimal is proposed by Liao et al., 2010 [29]; though the automation algorithm is not proposed. In this proposal, segmentation is done separately on each 2D image following the concept of Chan, 2007 [11]. The negativities of this method are high computational load and lower accuracy in the segmentation of nasal slices.

It has been observed that region growing technique is mostly used because of its ease and accuracy but automatic seed point finding is a challenge. To define the region to grow, thresholds are either given interactively or computationally adapted from the histogram with some user defined constant cost factor [4, 30]. Segmentation of nasal slices is addressed in a very limited number of works and the establishment of the potential of algorithms is missing.
The work proposed here was framed to address above mentioned challenges to roll out completely automatic, highly accurate brain matter segmentation system for clinical assistance to diagnose and treat brain disorder issues. This article has presented a comparatively robust and fast method of segmentation of BM from head CT scan dataset. The proposed method can batch process multi-slice head CT dataset of a patient. One master image is selected automatically for mask creation. A modified thresholding and region growing technique is used to create the mask. Mask propagation is used, other than creating a mask for each and every image, to extract BM from 2D slices in the same dataset to reduce computational weight. Any information outside the skull and the skull itself is removed completely to get final segmented image set. Proposed modified region growing technique is completely automatic. There is no need of seed point or Region of Interest (ROI) selection by a user. Development of such a CAD system will offer fast diagnosis with higher accuracy, lower subjectivity with no tiredness. Use of this system in hospitals which have CT machines but no field expert or radiologist will offer a quick initial diagnosis to fasten treatment. Different hospitals using the same CAD will have homogeneous reports.

In the succeeding part of this article details methodology is described followed by results and its analysis. CAD is applied on several datasets and the results are presented with graph and images. Analysis of achievement is calculated and the potential of the work is discussed at the end.

2. Database and CAD outline

Multiple slice head CT scan of different subjects is taken for segmentation of BM. Both diseased and normal scans are included in the database. Total 28 patients’ dataset, having more than 700 slices, are considered for this work. The number of slices varies from 12 to 34 for different subjects depending on practitioner’s decision and machine capability. DICOM images are converted into 8-bit grayscale Tag Image File Format (TIFF) and stored into local computer hard disk for further analysis. The program is designed for complete automation. Extracted BM are named after their original name and saved automatically in a new folder in the same location of the source folder. In this article, the word ‘dataset’ presents all scanned CT slices of a patient in one sitting. And the entire data used in this work is referred as ‘database’. From here onwards, each 2D CT scan slice of a dataset will be referred as a 2D image.

The complete CAD system’s sequential operational steps are described in the flowchart given in figure 1. An entire dataset of multiple 2D scans is read followed by preprocessing steps like thresholding, master image selection, arrange images in required sequence and computation of masks definition. Masking is done in two stages having an in-between step where the complete dataset is split into two parts with respect to master image selected automatically by CAD. At final stage holes within the segmented brain are filled for information restoration.
3. Methodology

For each dataset, one image, which has referred as the master image in this article, is selected from that dataset only. This master image is used as a reference to define the mask for that dataset. Use of single global reference image for all dataset is avoided to make the system tolerant to artifacts like ring artifacts, noise, motion artifacts etc. It will also help neutralize error due to patient’s alignment [31]. Rather than a manual selection of reference image [30], an automatic selection method is designed.

3.1 Skull thresholding

Each 2D image is read separately as an independent image. To remove the skull from it, threshold intensity value is calculated from image histogram. In clinical CT, skull lays in very high-intensity level where no disease other than calcification is present and the maximum available intensity is guaranteed to belong to the skull. In a histogram where the highest peak belongs to the skull, the second highest peak presents the brain as shown in figure2(a), when the background is neglected. Using a newly developed algorithm [32] a cutoff point is selected automatically between these two peaks. A skull removed by thresholding is shown in figure2(b) and the flowchart of automatic threshold selection process is presented in figure2(c). Images after skull removal which will be referred as TIs now onwards are saved for further analysis.
3.2 Master image selection

Skull image of each slice is generated as byproduct in the previous step of thresholding. These skull images have great potential in master image identification. These images mainly contain the skull part surrounding the brain matter along with some low volume higher intensity information located outside the brain. To create a mask for segmentation, the objective is selecting the image with maximum brain area as the master image. Anatomically BM is the highly compact largest connected part in brain. In a multi-slice dataset, produced by end to end brain scan, nasal information gets included in some images where brain area is low and divided into lobes. To select master image the slice having largest compact brain area in the dataset needs to be identified.
Skull images created from an end to end brain scan are considered to study the BM availability pattern in CT images. At ventricle level, CT slices offers largest continuous brain area for inspection. Skull pattern changes from nasal to ventricle level significantly. Around nasal area, bony structures other than skull are also imaged during scan. Those bones create several porous regions in the image dividing the brain into more than one part as shown in figure 2(b). The total brain area is also low here. On contrary, a single large brain area is available inside the elliptical skull near brain ventricular region. A query runs to find largest pore area from each image. The image having highest pore area is selected as master image of the dataset under test.

### 3.3 Arranging images

The sequence of images in the dataset under test is unknown. For an end to end sequential scan, information pattern in images changes gradually from low to high and back to low. Prior knowledge of this pattern is very helpful for high accuracy segmentation. But many datasets includes one or more images which are rescanned, after the end to end sequential scan, to satisfy diagnosis requirement. So the saved images may or may not have named and saved as per their changing information pattern. On contrast, the method, proposed in this article, offers the best result when the images in a dataset are in the expected scan sequence. To overcome this problem of unexpected scan sequence, all the images are rearranged automatically using intensity stereo matching method. The complete algorithm using that method is described in figure 3. The smallest image from TIs is selected first. Then the best match of it is found as the next image. This checking runs for each selected image until all the images are arranged.

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 3: arranging images in expected sequence**
3.4 Automatic Seed point definition

The selected master image is taken as the reference to create the mask for BM segmentation. Significantly higher proportion of non-zero pixels is occupied by BM in this TI as it has the largest area of brain matter. For simplification of operation, using its skull threshold value, a binary image (Bref) is created from this master TI. Two distinct levels of the resultant binary image are - below threshold and equal to or above the threshold. All pixels having intensity below the threshold are suppressed to 0 to create level 0 and others promoted to 255 to create level 1. Figure 4 is showing that brain is completely encircled by the white thick boundary represented by the skull of the original image. Region growing technique with a seed point anywhere within the skull boundary can accurately identify the area required to create the mask for BM segmentation.

To make the proposed system completely automatic, instead of interactive seed point selection, a computational seed point finding method is used. The algorithm is developed to locate the center of pixel distribution of the master image through distribution midlines across rows and columns. The crossing point of two midlines is considered as seed point when it has non-zero intensity level. Otherwise, the closest non-zero pixel location is considered as the seed point.

Coordinates of Seed point S(i,j) are
\[
[i,j] = \begin{cases} 
(i_{\text{min}}+i_{\text{max}})/2, (j_{\text{min}}+j_{\text{max}})/2, & \text{when } S(i,j) >0 \\
\min\{(i_{\text{min}}+i_{\text{max}})/2+\alpha, (j_{\text{min}}+j_{\text{max}})/2+\beta\}, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

when \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) can be any integer values starting 0.

![Figure 4: binary images of different reference slices](image)

3.5 Mask Area Definition

Region growing technique is applied on Bref to define the mask. High pixel intensity i.e. 255 is taken as stop criteria. To define the mask, the complete image must be divided into two regions – BM and the rest. Two different algorithms are attempted to grow the region around seed point. In one algorithm, all similar intensity neighbors of seed point are located and selected. Then for each selected point the search repeats. The algorithm offers 100% accuracy in segmentation at a cost of long execution time.

![Figure 5: splitting an image into 4 sub-images and region growing seed pint for each sub-image](image)

In the second algorithm, a complete image is divided into four sub-images keeping seed point as the origin of splitting axes as shown in figure 5. Region growing is done in each sub-image separately and the resultant images are merged back into one image. When the image is divided into four, each one is treated as an independent image by software. To implement region growing technique, local seed points are required for each sub-images. A pixel which is nearest to the global seed point is selected through the algorithm to act as a local seed point. Search query starts from seed point, moves towards the extreme end and terminates upon meeting stop criteria. In this algorithm, the query runs in only one direction at a time. It selects all same intensity level pixels until stop criteria satisfied. After region growing, sub-images are merged and converted into the mask. This ‘split and grow’ algorithm works much faster than the above-discussed neighbor search algorithm. Created mask is considered as the inner mask (IM) because it defines the area inside the skull.
Though IM defines largest BM in the dataset, if it is used as the global mask for segmentation of entire dataset, in many images with the low-BM area, unwanted information will be segmented as BM as shown in figure 6 (a) and (b). Images having complex pattern due to the inclusion of nasal area, sinuses, eye, optical canal etc. do not show good result. If nasal images are present in a dataset, BM shape and size changes fast in a non-uniform pattern in those images. BM first gets divided into lobes and then gradually becomes very small. IM works accurately only on images having BM of almost same size and shape of the mask. Some accurate segmentation results are shown in figure 6 (c) and (d). To get rid of this problem a segmentation algorithm is enhanced and modified.

Segmentation by IM starts by segmenting two adjacent images of the master image. After segmentation, a search runs to find and include the additional connected area of similar intensity level. The final segmented area of one image is considered as IM definition for next adjacent image of that segmented image. This mask propagation technique offers significant improvement in segmentation result. Unfortunately, in the case of nasal images, this mask propagation offers inclusion of all connected non-BM sinus areas as shown in figure 6 (e). The algorithm is further modified to reduce this error. Other than IM, an additional mask is proposed. In this mask, the skull area of the master image is included. Same ‘Split and grow’ method described above is used to get the definition of this larger mask which is referred as the outer mask (UM) in this article. Mathematically it can be written as presented as follows,

\[ UM = IM + skull \]  

This mask is used as global UM for all images of the dataset under segmentation. UM is applied on each TI of the dataset to remove any information located outside the skull location of the master image. It reduces the area of the non-BM part of complex nasal images as shown in figure 6 (f). Resultant images are used for further segmentation by IM propagation.

Because of overlapping intensity range with skull, calcification information, if any, and some high intensity scattered pixels within hemorrhage are removed during thresholding. Such areas are represented by holes in the extracted BM. For accurate lossless segmentation, such holes are filled by actual intensity information. To avoid any nasal cavity inclusion, only holes have an area less than 0.1% of segmented image are considered for filling.

4. Result

Proposed algorithms are coded using LabVIEW (Laboratory Virtual Instrumentation Engineering Workbench) programming platform and tested on 28 datasets of CT brain scan. In this complete collection, 16 datasets are of normal subjects, rests are having some abnormalities like calcification or hemorrhage. The above-proposed segmentation method is implemented on each dataset and the result of each step is discussed here following the sequence of the program as shown in the flowchart described in figure 1.

4.1 Skull thresholding

The threshold value is calculated separately for each image of a dataset by CAD to remove skull. Some TIs of the different dataset is shown in figure 7. The result depicts that BM mostly remains unaffected by this thresholding. Only calcification is removed, figure 7 (b), and, in some hemorrhage affected images, small sprinkled holes are introduced in hemorrhage part, figure 7(c), (d).
4.2 Master image selection

Form the TIs, master image is selected by computing largest connected area in each image. Proposed CAD has selected master image irrespective of its location and sequence in the dataset. The result is presented in figure 8. Selected master image is highlighted by a border in the figure.

Though normally head CT scanning is done in sequence from one end to another end of the head, this dataset has images in abnormal sequence. The selection of middle slice [33] as the master image is not wise here as the middle image i.e. image 13 has no brain information. Proposed largest connected area search offers an effective solution to this problem.

4.3 Arranging images

Images, shown in figure 8(a), are not in the expected order. The first image, as well as the last one, presents mid-section scan of the brain. The change in BM is gradual negative in 1st thirteen images and then gradual positive for rest. But the change between image 13 and 14 has no similarity. And the master image selected by CAD is the last one in the series. If masking is done in this sequence no BM will be extracted from first 12 images as the 13th image has no BM.

After arranging images, using proposed algorithm, images are placed in expected order as shown in figure 8(b). The change of size of images in the dataset before and after arranging is compared in the graph shown in figure 8(c). The master image is also at expected location in the arranged dataset.
Figure 8: (a) Image dataset with master image

Figure 8: (b) Dataset after arranging the images with master image

Figure 8: (c) The graph of change in size before and after arranging the images
4.4 Seed point & Mask

Center of splitting axes i.e. the seed point is the median of row and column of the master image. In figure 9, seed points are marked as ‘black cross marks’ on reference images of two different datasets. In every case, it is successfully placed within the intracranial location. The success rate is 100% for the 28 datasets under examination.

The binary image formed from the reference slice by converting any value below the threshold to zero and rest of the values to 255. Then the binary image is split into four sub-images by the perpendicular axes originated from seed point (Δn, Δm). First, the image is divided into two parts by x-axis at x=Δn i.e. one part contains 0 to Δn rows, another from (Δn+1) to n and then each part divided again by y-axis at Δm, splitting each from 0 to Δm and (Δm+1) to m when the complete image size is nXm. Region growing technique by pixel matching works accurately to define intracranial area (IM) and skull included intracranial area (UM). Created IM and UM from master images shown in figure 9 are presented respectively in figure 10.

![Figure 9: seed point location marked by ‘+’](image)

![Figure 10: IM and UM of fig.9(a) and fig.9(b) dataset respectively](image)

4.5 Segmentation

Figure 11 presents segmented BM, after masking and doing adjacent area search, on the dataset shown in figure 8(a). Complete segmented dataset presents the method’s performance quality. All those slices which have brain parts majorly, offer very clean segmentation. Slices beyond any null BM slice are also segmented accurately. Some nasal slices are offering larger area than actual BM area as lower intensity areas around the skull and facial bones get included. The inclusion of such unwanted parts happens during an adjacent area search. These areas get included due to their connectivity with BM area under scan.

After segmentation, information restoration within BM is done. Restoration results are presented in figure 12. The holes created within hemorrhage during segmentation process are filled with original information automatically by CAD.
4.6 Result analysis

Performance analysis of the proposed method is done in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, error, PPV (positive predictive value), NPV (negative predictive value) using the values of true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN). TP and TN present correct segmentation (CS) as per clinical ground truth. TN is the count of slices in the segmented dataset which contains no BM because of having no intracranial information in the corresponding original slice. The inclusion of extra tissue parts in the nasal area and having non-zero segmented values for original slices having no intracranial information is addressed as FP. In FP, segmented slices having extra tissue parts in the nasal area also contribute to TP count as there are BM parts too. Here thus another term absolute FP (AbsFP) is calculated to identify slices having non-zero value without BM. The conceptual presentation of TP, FP, TN and FN is presented pictorially in table1 where FP basically presents AbsFP. The overall segmentation performance is calculated with respect to the volume of a dataset i.e. the total number of slices. For a dataset of n number of slices the analysis parameter are extracted as follows:

\[ n = \text{AbsFP} + \text{FN} + \text{CS} \]

\[ \%\text{error} = \frac{\text{AbsFP} + \text{FN}}{n} \times 100\% \]

\[ \%\text{accuracy} = \frac{\text{CS}}{n} \times 100\% \]

\[ \text{sensitivity} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}} \]

\[ \text{specificity} = \frac{\text{TN}}{\text{TN} + \text{AbsFP}} \]
\[ \text{positive predictive value} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \]
\[ \text{negative predictive value} = \frac{TN}{TN + FN} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segmentation have BM</th>
<th>Slice with BM</th>
<th>Slice without BM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>FN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmentation without BM</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>TN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: the confusion matrix- pictorial presentation of TP, FP, FN, TN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dataset serial no.</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>AbsFP</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>sensitivity</th>
<th>specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>%error</th>
<th>% accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.941</td>
<td>97.059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.571</td>
<td>96.429</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>96.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>96.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>96.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.692</td>
<td>92.308</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>96.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>96.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.692</td>
<td>92.308</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>96.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>96.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.348</td>
<td>95.652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: analysis of segmentation performance of proposed method

The proposed method has offered zero FN segmentation i.e. the sensitivity turns into 1 for this method. For the dataset having no slice without BM, TN turns into zero. The specificity and NPV are not calculated for such dataset. BM segmentation using proposed method demonstrated very high accuracy. The complete analysis result is presented in table2. Serial no. 24 showing very poor performance due to faulty dataset presented in figure13. Dataset 15 is showing comparatively lower accuracy due to higher AbsFP value contributed by soft
tissues in no-BM slices. To overcome this problem, images which offer skull with no pore [34] after skull thresholding should be neglected in the following steps of brain extraction.

Segmentation results are presented to the practitioners of PGIMER, Chandigarh for feedback. They have confirmed that the proposed work segmentation results are more acceptable because of its higher sensitivity. The sensitivity of this work is also compared with some previously reported works to understand its superiority. The comparison is shown in table 3. For sl. no. 2 and 3, the sensitivity drops due to wrong area selection mainly in nasal area scan images as shown in fig. 14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial no</th>
<th>Work of</th>
<th>Automation</th>
<th>Masking technique</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hu, Q [30]</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Inner mask propagation</td>
<td>&lt;1 [2.03% FN]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chan, T [11]</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Largest area selection</td>
<td>Not Reported [test performed on our database; sensitivity &lt;1; average sensitivity is approx. 0.94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reported work</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Outer mask + inner mask propagation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: comparison of segmentation sensitivity

Figure 14: Segmented brain images from different method
5. Discussion

The proposed method is fast, reliable and robust. It can segment any given dataset of CT images automatically with no false negative. In contrast to manual selection [5], an automatic seed point selection method is proposed. Fast and simple region growing technique is used for segmentation to keep the CAD fast. Largest compact area search methodology offers the creation of a most suitable mask in any dataset. Arranging the images in the expected order significantly increases the accuracy of CAD. Proposed continuous propagation and modification method of an inner mask, results into an adaptive masking of higher efficiency. The outer mask helps to eliminate headrest, embedded patient information and some peripheral parts from nasal slices. During speed test, a complete dataset of 34 slices got segmented in less than 11 seconds in a computer having a 64-bit operating system, 8 GB RAM and processor of Intel® Core™ i7-3770 CPU @ 3.40GHz. Because of having guaranteed ‘0’ FN, there is 0% chance of missing BM in any slice. During segmentation enhancement, averaging, noise reduction operations which can modify pixel value of an image is avoided intentionally to keep the segmented output unaltered with respect to dataset images offering no chance of removal of or change in diagnostic information.

The segmentation result of proposed method supports the identification of any kind of brain disease which is visible in brain CT as the complete BM part is segmented successfully. The only issue is the inclusion of non-intracranial information in few nasal slices. This will have less effect during disease detection. Once disease location will be identified on a slice, a query based on disease location and texture information of that slice will run through adjacent slices. It will narrow down the search area and will not be much affected by the inclusion of small non-intracranial parts. A scope of further work is left for better segmentation of these slices to identify BM precisely.
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