Second-harmonic generation in Mie-resonant dielectric nanoparticles made of noncentrosymmetric materials
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We develop a multipolar theory of second-harmonic generation (SHG) by dielectric nanoparticles made of noncentrosymmetric materials with bulk quadratic nonlinearity. We specifically analyze two regimes of optical excitation: illumination by a plane wave and single-mode excitation, when the laser pump drives the magnetic dipole mode only. Considering two classes of nonlinear crystalline solids (dielectric perovskite material and III-V semiconductor), we apply a symmetry approach to derive selection rules for the multipolar composition of the nonlinear radiation. The developed description can be used for design of efficient nonlinear optical nanoantennas with reconfigurable radiation characteristics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The resonant response is one of the main routes to increase the efficiency of nonlinear signal generation at the subwavelength scales in the absence of phase matching effects. That is why optical nonlinearity at the nanoscale is usually associated with the enhancement of electric fields in plasmonic nanostructures due to geometric plasmon resonances [1, 2]. Despite the significant progress in this area [3], there exist fundamental drawbacks that limit the efficiency of nonlinear generation with metallic structures. Besides the evident problem of high ohmic losses, typical metals have cubic lattice with inversion symmetry which restricts second-order nonlinear effects, such as the second-harmonic generation (SHG) [4]. It can be observed only due to the surface effects or the field gradients in the bulk of nanoparticles [3], which are relatively weak. Recently, a novel nanophotonic platform based on high-index dielectric nanoparticles has emerged [5]. All-dielectric nanostructures are free from high ohmic losses, and offer wide variety of dielectric and semiconductor materials including those with nonzero bulk second-order susceptibility tensor. Excitation of Mie resonances in such nanoparticles provides novel opportunities for nonlinear all-dielectric nanophotonics [8, 10, 19–21]. We systematically describe the SHG in nanoparticles and mechanisms of its resonant enhancement, depending on the symmetry of the crystalline structure and polarization of the incident light. We employ methods of multipolar electrodynamics providing a transparent interpretation for the measurable far-field characteristics, such as radiation efficiency and radiation patterns [6, 18, 22].

Using analytical techniques, we demonstrate the ability to manipulate the nonlinear radiation of a spherical nanoparticle by varying illumination properties. By means of symmetry analysis of the SHG process we obtain the selection rules for the nonlinear generation, and identify which channels of multipole composition are active in SHG. These rules previously were known only for nanoparticles of a spherical [15] and arbitrary shape [16] made of centrosymmetric materials. The knowledge of these basic mechanisms of nonlinear generation in a single spherical nanoparticle can be extended in application to complex nonlinear structures, such as nanoparticle oligomers [23, 24] or nanoparticle arrays in metasurfaces [25].

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II we discuss the problem of nonlinear light scattering of a plane wave by a dielectric nanoparticle made of BaTiO3 or AlGaAs materials. Applying Green’s function approach, we calculate the efficiency of SHG and multipolar content of the second-harmonic (SH) field. We also consider the particular case of SHG through excitation of a single magnetic dipole mode. We discuss how the intensity and the far-field properties of the SH field vary while direction of the excited dipole moment changes relatively to the crystalline structure of material. By explicit calculations we show how the mode content of SH field varies. In Sec. III we derive selection rules which govern the channels of mode coupling at fundamental and SH wavelengths based on the symmetry of vector spherical harmonics and the crystalline structure. In Sec. IV we apply the formulated selection rules to explain the results obtained in Sec. II and build the complete table of possible generated multipoles for SHG process driven by dipole
modes.

II. SECOND-HARMONIC GENERATION FORMALISM

A. Green’s function approach. Plane-wave excitation

We consider a spherical dielectric particle of the radius $a$ characterized by a frequency-dependent dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, embedded in a homogeneous host medium with $\varepsilon_1 = 1$. The nanoparticle is made of the material with a noncentrosymmetric crystalline structure, and its nonlinear electromagnetic properties are captured by the quadratic susceptibility tensor $\chi^{(2)}$.

The problem of linear light scattering by a sphere is solved using the multipolar expansion following the Mie theory [26, 27]. In our work we consider time dependence of the fields in the form $e^{-i\omega t}$. For the illumination by the $x$-polarized plane wave $E_0 e^{i\omega k_1 z}$ incident along the $z$ direction, the field inside the spherical nanoparticle ($r < a$) is expanded in vector spherical harmonics as follows:

$$E^\omega(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \left[ c_n M^{(1)}_{\ell 0 n}(k_2(\omega), r) - i d_n N^{(1)}_{\ell 1 n}(k_2(\omega), r) \right] \phi_n(k_1(\omega), r), \quad (1)$$

where the wavenumbers $k_1(\omega) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 / \varepsilon_2(\omega)}$, $k_2(\omega) = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_2(\omega) / c}$. Magnetic $M_{\ell 0 n}$ and electric $N_{\ell 1 n}$ spherical harmonics with the total angular momentum $n$ and the momentum projection $\pm 1$, the indexes $c, d$ describing their parity with respect to the reflection along $y$ axis (or $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$ transformation), and the coefficients $c_n, d_n$ are given in Appendix A, the superscript $(1)$ is used to define spherical Bessel functions.

The induced nonlinear polarization at the second-harmonic frequency is defined by the second-order polarizability tensor:

$$P_{\alpha\omega}(r) = \varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\omega) E^\alpha(r) E^\beta(r), \quad (2)$$

where $E^\alpha(r)$ are the Cartesian components of the fundamental field inside the nanoparticle. We take into account only the bulk nonlinear response leaving outside the consideration potential source surfaces of SHG. The SH field outside the particle at $r > a$ can be found using dyadic Green’s function $\tilde{G}$ of a sphere:

$$E^{2\omega}(r) = (2\omega)^2 \mu_0 \int dV' \tilde{G}(2\omega, r, r') P^{2\omega}(r') \phi_n(k_1(\omega), r), \quad (3)$$

satisfying the following equation $\text{rot} \phi \text{rot} \tilde{G}(\omega, r, r') = \phi(r, \omega) \tilde{G}(\omega, r, r') + i \delta(r - r')$, where $\hat{1}$ is the unit dyad, $\varepsilon(r, \omega) = \varepsilon_2(\omega)$ for $r < a$, and $\varepsilon(r) = 1$ for $r > a$.

The explicit multipole decomposition of the Green function is given in Ref. 28, and also in Appendix A. Substituting the expansion of the Green function in the form of the spherical waves into Eqs. (2),(3) we obtain the multipolar decomposition of the second harmonic field

$$E^{2\omega}(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{W=M,N} E_0 (D_{Wmnn} W^{(3)}_{mnn}[k_1(2\omega), r] + D_{Wmnn} W^{(3)}_{mnn}[k_1(2\omega), r]) . \quad (4)$$

Here, the denotation $W = M, N$ distinguishes between electric and magnetic harmonics, the superscript $(3)$ is used to define spherical Hankel functions of the first kind. The expansion coefficients $D_{Wmnn}$ are readily evaluated as a sum of overlap integrals between the two vector spherical harmonics at the $\omega$ frequency and one harmonic at the $2\omega$ frequency, weighted by the $\chi^{(2)}$ tensor:

$$D_{Wmnn} \sim \int dV W^{(1)}_{mnn}[k_2(2\omega), r] \chi^{(2)} E^{2\omega}(r) E^{\omega}(r) dV . \quad (5)$$

The explicit form of these coefficients is given in Appendix A.

Finally, the second-harmonic conversion efficiency $\sigma_{SH}$, defined as the ratio of the total SH radiated power $P_{ZH}$ to the energy flux of the fundamental wave $I_0$ through the geometrical cross section $\pi a^2$ of the particle, can be
expressed through the coefficients $D_{W_{mn}}$ as follows [29]:

$$\sigma_{SH} = \frac{P_{SH}}{\pi a^2 I_0} = \frac{2\pi}{\pi a^2 |k_1(2\omega)|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{W=M,N} (n(n+1))(D_{W_{emn}}|^2 + D_{W_{omm}}|^2 + 2D_{W_{enm}}|^2) \times \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \right].$$

(6)

Using Eq. (6), we calculate the conversion efficiency $\sigma_{SH}$ for BaTiO$_3$ and AlGaAs particles of sub-wavelength sizes under the plane-wave excitation. In this section, we assume that the main axes of crystalline lattice are oriented along the coordinate system: [100]$||e_z$, [010]$||e_x$, [001]$||e_y$ (see Fig. 1). In Section IV we will discuss other crystalline orientations. In the chosen coordinate system the second-order polarization of the BaTiO$_3$ crystal has the following form:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} P_{x}^{2\omega} \\ P_{y}^{2\omega} \\ P_{z}^{2\omega} \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon_0 \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_{15} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} E_x^{\omega} & E_y^{\omega} & E_z^{\omega} \\ E_y^{\omega} & E_z^{\omega} & E_x^{\omega} \\ 2E_y^{\omega} & 2E_z^{\omega} & 2E_x^{\omega} \end{array} \right).$$

(7)

where $\chi_{zzz} = d_{33} = 6.8$ pm/V, $\chi_{xxx} = \chi_{xxy} = d_{15} = 15.7$ pm/V, $\chi_{xyy} = d_{15} = 17$ pm/V [30]. In the principal axis system of the AlGaAs crystal, the tensor of the second-order nonlinear susceptibility contains only off-diagonal elements $\chi_{ijk}^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} = 100$ pm/V being non-zero if any of two indices $i, j, k$ do not coincide:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} P_{x}^{2\omega} \\ P_{y}^{2\omega} \\ P_{z}^{2\omega} \end{array} \right) = 2\varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} E_x^{\omega} & E_y^{\omega} & E_z^{\omega} \\ E_y^{\omega} & E_z^{\omega} & E_x^{\omega} \\ E_y^{\omega} & E_z^{\omega} & E_x^{\omega} \end{array} \right).$$

(8)

The fundamental wavelengths are fixed for BaTiO$_3$ and AlGaAs to 1050 nm and 1550 nm respectively. These values were chosen in accordance with the typical experimental frequencies used for observation of SHG from these materials and correspond to the Yb$^{+3}$ laser (1050 nm) [20] or the Er$^{+3}$ doped fiber laser (1550 nm) [8]. Since AlGaAs has a higher refractive index ($\sim 3.5$) compared to BaTiO$_3$ ($\sim 2.4$), the particle sizes are within the same range.

The calculated dependences of SHG on the nanoparticle radius are shown in Figs. 2(a), and 3(a) demonstrating pronounced resonant structure. In order to distinguish between different multipolar resonances, we have separately calculated the contribution from each multipolar channel in Eq. (6) [see the colored curves in Fig. 2(a), and Fig. 3(a)]. The contributions of the harmonics with the same total angular momentum $n$ and different momentum projections $m$ are combined together. Identification of the harmonics with particular momentum projection contributing to the SH emission will be discussed in detail in Sec. IV (see Tables III, IV, V). We also support the SH spectra with the plot of the linear scattering spectra of a plane wave at the fundamental and SH wavelengths in Figs. 2,3 b), clearly showing individual Mie resonances.

One can see that the peaks at the SH wavelength are modulated with the broad resonance at the fundamental wavelength, which is clearly seen by comparing the panels (a) and (b) in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. As a result, the SHG efficiency increases by several orders of magnitude when approaching the magnetic dipole (MD) resonance at the fundamental wavelength [31, 32]. The dramatic enhancement is observed when the double-resonance condition is fulfilled [33–35] for instance at electrical octupole (EO) resonance [see Figs. 2, and 3 (a)]. Results of our analytical calculations are confirmed by full-wave numerical modeling performed with the finite-element solver COMSOL Multiphysics, following the procedure applied in Refs. [8, 18, 19, 22]. The multipolar amplitude coefficients are then numerically retrieved and reproduce Figs. 2,3.
Another important feature is the particular multipolar content of the SH field. For instance one can notice that the MD is absent in the SH field generated in the AlGaAs nanoparticle. This cancellation is dictated by the symmetry of the $\zeta^{(2)}$ tensor and direction and polarization of the fundamental wave. It will be further illustrated in Sec. II B, studied in detail from the symmetry point of view in Sec. III, and discussed in Sec. IV.

Figure 3. Second harmonic generation spectra for AlGaAs at the normal incidence, according to Fig. 1. Incident wavelength is 1550 nm. (a) Solid black line shows the total SH intensity, normalized to the incident power $I_0 = 10^{13}$ W/m$^2$ and the geometric cross section $\pi a^2$. Colored lines are multipole contributions into the SH intensity. (b) Scattering cross section, normalized to the geometric cross section for the two wavelengths: 1550 nm (dashed line) and 775 nm (solid line), to show the positions of multipole resonances.

Photon process. Specifically, for a given intensity of the incident wave of $I_0 = 1$ GW/cm$^2$ the conversion efficiency reaches the value of $10^{-5}$ for BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticle and $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for AlGaAs nanoparticles in the same radius range of around 200 nm. These values are about one order of magnitude higher than the experimental values measured for nanodisks in similar experimental conditions [8, 11]. This discrepancy can be related to the lower SHG efficiency from disk resonators studied in the experiments [8, 11]. This discrepancy can be related to the lower SHG efficiency from disk resonators studied in the experiments due to the substrate effects and the uncertainty of retrieving of the efficiency value from experimental data.

Here, we specifically focus on the SHG driven by the MD mode only. In the vicinity of pronounced resonances, the field distribution inside the particle excited by the fundamental wave can be approximated by the corresponding eigenmode [18, 22]. Selective and enhanced coupling to specific multipole modes can be facilitated by the beam engineering [36, 37]. If the refractive index is high enough, the MD resonance is the fundamental resonance of a spherical nanoparticle, and it dominates in the fundamental field in particular spectral region (around 220 nm radius for the fundamental wavelengths in Fig. 2, and Fig. 3). The case of SHG driven by MD excitation represents an instructive example for understanding the multipolar nature of the generated electromagnetic fields in Mie-resonant dielectric nanoparticles.

We employ a single-mode approximation and assume that the field inside the AlGaAs nanoparticle at $r < a$ is given by a MD mode profile with the $y$-aligned magnetic moment:

$$ E^\omega_m(r) = E_0 \frac{3i e_1}{2} M^{(1)}_{o11}(k_1(\omega), r). \quad (9) $$

This geometry corresponds to $\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{e}_y$, or $\theta = \pi/2$, $\varphi = \pi/2$ in Eq. (5) over the angles shows that within the framework of single-mode MD approximation (9) and crystalline axes of material oriented according to Fig. 1, the multipolar composition features electric octupole and magnetic quadrupole for the AlGaAs nanoparticle, allowing us to write down the expression for the field:

$$ E^{2\omega}(r > a) = E_0 \left[ D_{N\omega z} N_{o23}^{(3)}(k_1(2\omega), r) 
+ D_{M\omega z} M_{o23}^{(3)}(k_1(2\omega), r) + D_{M\omega z} M_{z22}^{(3)}(k_1(2\omega), r) \right]. \quad (10) $$

Figure 4. The geometry of the magnetic dipole mode excitation in the nanoparticle and corresponding angles of dipole moment rotation.

B. Single-mode approximation
The multipolar amplitudes $D_{N_{o23}}$, $D_{M_{e22}}$ and $D_{M_{e22}}$ can be also conveniently found using the Lorentz lemma following the procedure described in Ref. [18], being alternative to the Green’s function integration in Section II A. This allows us to write the expression for $D$-amplitudes through transmission coefficient $t_n^{E,M}(a)$ (see Ref. 18) of the incident spherical wave irradiating the particle. After some algebra, we obtain compact analytical expressions for the multipolar coefficients:

\[
D_{M_{e02}} = \frac{-36i\sqrt{2\pi}\chi^{(2)}t_2^M(k_2a)}{5\varepsilon_2(\omega)^{3/2}} I_2 E_0 c_1(k_2a)^2 O_{02},
\]

\[
D_{M_{e22}} = \frac{-18i\sqrt{2\pi}\chi^{(2)}t_2^M(k_2a)}{5\varepsilon_2(\omega)^{3/2}} I_2 E_0 c_1(k_2a)^2 O_{22},
\]

\[
D_{N_{o23}} = \frac{72\sqrt{70\pi}\chi^{(2)}t_2^N(k_2a)}{35\varepsilon_2(\omega)^2} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2(2\omega)}{\varepsilon_2(\omega)}} I_2 E_0 c_1(k_2a)^2 O_{23},
\]

where

\[
I_2(k_2a) = \int_0^{k_2a} j_2(x) j_2(x) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2(2\omega)}{\varepsilon_2(\omega)} \right) x^2 dx,
\]

\[
O_{mn} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \frac{(2n+1) (n-m)!}{4\pi (n+m)!}.
\]

The relative contribution of different multipoles varies when the SH wavelength is tuned to corresponding Mie resonances. This immediately follows from the expressions for multipolar amplitudes Eq. (11). In Fig. 5 we trace this behaviour by plotting the dependence of the SH intensity on nanoparticle size. When the radius is increased, the contribution of EO mode starts to dominate over the magnetic quadrupole (MQ) changing the radiation pattern from axially-symmetric for smaller particles to the multi-lobed pattern near the EO resonance at $a = 234$ nm.

Rotation of the pump magnetic dipole in the $xy$ plane ($\theta = \pi/2$ in Fig. 4) enables the generation of the ED mode in AlGaAs nanoparticle, which is also illustrated in Table I. The presence of the specific modes in the SH spectrum will be discussed in detail in Sec. IV basing on symmetry reasons. For the smaller nanoparticle of $a = 190$ nm (Fig. 6(a)), being remote from the EO-resonant size, the EO contribution in the SH field is negligible. At $\varphi = 0$ or $\pi/2$, the SH radiation is dominated by the magnetic quadrupole. If the pump magnetic dipole is rotated by $\varphi = \pi/4$, the ED relative contribution exceeds MQ and the radiation pattern significantly changes. For the larger nanoparticle of $a = 230$ nm [Fig. 6(b)], the EO term dominates in the SH emission.

The results of analogous calculations for BaTiO$_3$ particle are shown in Fig. 7. Here, two different orientations of the magnetic dipole ($\varphi = \pi/2$, $\theta = 0$ and $\varphi = \pi/4$, $\theta = 0$) are investigated.

### Table I. Single-mode excitation. Generated nonlinear multipoles in AlGaAs nanoparticle for two orientations of the pump MD moment under the rotation in the $xy$ plane ($\theta = \pi/2$). The shaded region coincides with the shaded region in Table V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pump MD</th>
<th>SHG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi = \pi/2$ ($m_y$)</td>
<td>MQ, EO $M_{e02}$, $M_{e22}$, $N_{o23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi = \pi/4$ ($m_x = m_y$)</td>
<td>ED, MQ, EO $N_{e01}$, $M_{e22}$, $N_{e03}$, $N_{o23}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. Single-mode excitation. Generated nonlinear multipoles in BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticle for two orientations of the pump MD moment under the rotation in the $yz$ plane ($\varphi = \pi/2$). The shaded region coincides with the shaded region in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pump MD</th>
<th>SHG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi = \pi/2$ ($m_y$)</td>
<td>ED, MQ, EO $N_{e01}$, $M_{e22}$, $N_{e03}$, $N_{o23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = \pi/2$ ($m_y$)</td>
<td>ED, EO $N_{e01}$, $N_{e03}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pump MD</th>
<th>SHG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 0$ ($m_z$)</td>
<td>ED, EO $N_{e01}$, $N_{e03}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Dependence of the SH intensity and generated multipoles on the pump magnetic dipole rotation by angle \( \varphi \) in \( xy \) plane (\( \theta = \pi/2 \)) in AlGaAs particles of two radii \( a = 190 \text{ nm} \) (a), 230 nm (b) at the pump wavelength 1550 nm. Insets show the SH radiation patterns at \( \varphi_1 = 0, \varphi_2 = \pi/4 \).

Figure 7. Dependence of the SH intensity in BaTiO\(_3\) particles on the nanoparticle radius decomposed into relative electric dipolar (ED), magnetic quadrupolar (MQ), electric octupolar (EO) contributions at the pump magnetic dipole wavelength of 1050 nm for \( \varphi = \pi/2, \theta = \pi/2 \) (a) and \( \theta = 0 \) (b). The insets show far field pattern of SH radiation for nanoparticle sizes \( a_1 = 180 \text{ nm} \) and \( a_2 = 214 \text{ nm} \). The field distribution inside nanoparticle is shown for fundamental and SH wavelengths for nanoparticle radius \( a_2 \).

\( \varphi = \pi/2, \theta = \pi/2 \) are shown and the resonant switching between dipolar, quadrupolar, and octupolar modes is observed.

In Fig. 8 we illustrate the effect of the MD rotation in the \( yz \)-plane (\( \varphi = \pi/2 \)) for the BaTiO\(_3\) particle of radius \( a = 140 \text{ nm} \), corresponding to the MQ peak in Fig. 3(b). Rotation of MD in the \( xy \)-plane will not give any changes due to symmetry of BaTiO\(_3\) lattice with respect to this rotation. At \( \theta = 0 \) the induced nonlinear source does not contain a MQ component, and, thus, weak SHG is determined by the non-resonant ED. When the angle \( \theta \) is increased, the total SHG intensity grows and the leading contribution to the SH radiation originates from the resonant multipole MQ.

### III. SYMMETRY ANALYSIS FOR THE SECOND-HARMONIC GENERATION

In this section, we analyze the possibility of SHG through different multipole channels which is determined by the particular symmetry of modes at the fundamental and SH frequencies. The integrals over the nanoparticle volume

\[
I_{\mu_n,\mu'\mu''n''} = \int_0^a dV \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(2)} W_{\alpha,\mu_n}(r) W_{\beta,\mu'n'}(r) W_{\gamma,\mu''n''}(r),
\]

determine the contributions of the multipoles \( \mu', \mu'' \) to the SHG expansion coefficients \( D_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu_n} \) in Eq. (5). Here, the indices \( \mu, \mu', \mu'' \) stand for the parity \( e, o \) and the projection \( m \) of vector spherical harmonics \( W^{(1)}_{\mu_m}(r) \). For each particular value of indices such integrals can be readily calculated analytically, and a large number of them turn out to be zero. Our goal is to reveal the general origin of these cancellations. All our considerations are based on the following general theorem [38, 39]. Let \( \psi_i^{(\alpha)} \)
be one of the basis functions of an irreducible (non-unit) representation \( \alpha \) of a system’s symmetry group. Then the integral of this function over the configuration space of the physical system vanishes identically: \( \int \psi_i^\alpha(q) dq = 0 \). In order for the integral to be non-zero, the integrand must contain a term that is invariant when any of the symmetry operations of the group are applied, otherwise the integral vanishes.

In the considered case, the cancellations stem from both (i) the microscopic crystalline symmetry of the material and (ii) from the macroscopic spherical symmetry of the nanoparticle as a whole. In order to illustrate this distinction, we show in Fig. 9 (a) a tetrahedral nanoparticle cut from a material with tetrahedral crystalline symmetry. Cation and anion atoms are shown by blue and red color, respectively.

Figure 9. Schematic illustration of (a) an atomic cluster with tetrahedral symmetry and (b) a spherical nanoparticle made of material with tetrahedral crystalline symmetry.

A. Restrictions imposed by the spherical symmetry

Using the low-frequency limit \( \omega a/c \to 0 \), when the electric dipole modes have the form \( N^{(1)}_{\alpha} (0, \mathbf{r}) \equiv N_x \propto e_x, \ N^{(1)}_{\alpha1} (0, \mathbf{r}) \equiv N_y \propto e_y, \ N^{(1)}_{\alpha2} (0, \mathbf{r}) \equiv N_z \propto e_z \), we rewrite the integral Eq. (12) as

\[
I_{\mu n, \mu' n'} = \chi^{(2)}_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \int_{r<a} \text{d}V [N_\alpha \cdot W_{\mu n}(\mathbf{r})] \\
\times [N_\beta \cdot W_{\mu' n'}(\mathbf{r})][N_\gamma \cdot W_{\mu'' n''}(\mathbf{r})].
\]

The advantage of the expression Eq. (13) over the original Eq. (12) is that all the spherical harmonics \( W \) are now presented in a vector form. This simplifies the analysis of their transformations under the symmetry operations.

Let us consider the integral (13) in more detail. First of all, it contains a sum of several integrals of three scalar products of vector spherical harmonics, where each term corresponds to one of \( \chi^{(2)} \)-tensor components. We are seeking for the cases when the integrand is invariant under the transformations of the \( O(3) \) symmetry group. We expect the integral to be non-zero, if at least one of the integrand terms contains a function invariant under all rotations and inversion transformation. The scalar products entering Eq. (13) can be readily expanded over the scalar spherical harmonics, see Refs. [41, 42] and Appendix B. Afterwards, the resulting integrals can be analyzed for different \( \chi^{(2)} \)-tensor components and three general Rules A, B, and C, governing whether the integrals are zero or not, can be formulated for each component separately.

Rule A: Parity under inversion and reflection in the plane. The vector spherical harmonics (Appendix A) are transformed in the same way as the real

Figure 8. Dependence of the SH intensity and generated multipoles on the pump magnetic dipole rotation by angle \( \theta \) in the \( yz \) plane (\( \varphi = \pi/2 \)) in BaTiO\(_3\) particle of radius \( a=140 \) nm. Insets show SH radiation patterns at \( \theta_1 = 0 \), \( \theta_2 = \pi/4 \), \( \theta_3 = \pi/2 \).
scalar spherical harmonics $\psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r})$ under the coordinate rotations, while under inversion $\psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $N_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ acquire a sign $(-1)^n$ and $M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ acquires a sign $(-1)^{n+1}$, so the parity of magnetic vector harmonics is inverse to the parity of electric and scalar harmonics. We introduce the parity indices $p_i = (-1)^n$ for $N_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, and $p_i = (-1)^{n+1}$ for $M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, describing the behavior of spherical harmonics under the spatial inversion. Another parity index $p_r$ describes the behavior of the harmonics under the reflection in the $y = 0$ plane, equivalent to the change of the azimuthal angle $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$. The functions $\psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, $N_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are even with respect to such reflection, $p_r = 1$, while the functions $\psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, $N_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are odd, $p_r = -1$. Thus, the inversion and reflection parity selection rules can be summarized as

$$ p_ip_r p_{i'} = -1 \quad \text{(inversion), (14)} $$

$$ p_r^{\alpha\beta\gamma} p_r p_{i'} = 1 \quad \text{(reflection). (15)} $$

The rule Eq. (14) is applied to the right-hand side of Eq. (13) as a whole. The rule Eq. (15) is applied to the individual products of different Cartesian components corresponding to each nonzero element $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ of the nonlinear susceptibility tensor in Eq. (13). The factor $p_r^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ in Eq. (15) is the parity of the product $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ under the reflection, which is illustrated in Fig. 10. In the following, we will also use notation of $p_{i'}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ or $p_{i''}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ for the parity indices corresponding to the fundamental or the SH modes.

**Rule B: Conservation of the angular momentum projection.** Once the scalar products in Eq. (13) are calculated, the matrix element is reduced to the overlap integral of scalar spherical harmonics. The angular momentum projection rule for the tesseral harmonics can be written as

$$ \pm m^\alpha \pm m^\beta \pm m^\gamma \pm m^\omega = 0 . \quad \text{(16)} $$

The matrix element (13) can be non-zero only if there exists a combination of signs when Eq. (16) is satisfied. 

**Rule C: Triangle inequality for the total angular momentum.** This rule can be formulated as

$$ -h + |n^\alpha - n^\omega| \leq n^\alpha \leq |n^\alpha + n^\omega| + h , \quad \text{(17)} $$

where the index $h \in [0,3]$ denotes the number of electric harmonics under the integral (12). The presence of the index $h$ stems from the fact that the Cartesian components of vector electric harmonics with the total angular momentum $n$ include only the scalar harmonics with the momentum $n \pm 1$, while the projections of magnetic harmonics include only the states with the same angular momentum $n$.

Now, let us illustrate the rules obtained above with some specific examples. We start with examining the possibility of the generation of $z$-polarized electric dipolar mode ($N_z = N_{e11}$) in an AlGaAs nanoparticle by combining the $y$-polarized magnetic dipolar mode ($M_y = M_{o11}$) and the $x$-polarized electric dipolar mode ($N_x = N_{e11}$).

Figure 10. Parity indices of the $\chi^{(2)}$-tensor components. The solid and dashed lines show the non-zero tensor components for AlGaAs and BaTiO$_3$ correspondingly for the crystal lattice oriented according to Fig. 1.

Here $p_ip_r p_{i'} = 1$, so such generation is prohibited by the first selection rule. Next, we try to replace $N_z$ by some electric quadrupolar mode, for example, $N_{a12}$. For this mode we have $p_ip_r p_{i'} = -1$, $p_r^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -1$ according to the Fig. 10, and $p_r^{\alpha\beta\gamma} p_r p_{i''} = (-1) \cdot (-1) \cdot 1 = 1$. Hence, such process is not prohibited by the first two rules. But the sum of angular momentum projections (16) for considered three multipoles is always odd, while for the tensor component it is even (Fig. 10). This means that the total sum is never zero, and such generation process is prohibited by the second rule.

These rules above provide large number of possible cancellations, however, some exceptions are possible due to the properties of scalar products. To get all cancellations for the specific tensor component, we either should use the rules of how three scalar products are coupled, following the algorithm given in Appendix B, or apply additional symmetry reasons, discussed below.

**B. Restrictions imposed by the crystal point group symmetry**

In the previous Sec. III A, we have separately considered the cancellations of the terms in Eq. (12) corresponding to the individual components of the $\chi^{(2)}$-tensor. However, some of the components $\chi^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are equal due to the crystal point group symmetry, that can result in additional cancellations after the summation over tensor components is performed. Such mutual cancellations are taken care of by the theory of representations of symmetry groups in a universal automatic fashion. The detailed analysis is given below.

a. Transformation of the matrix elements under symmetry operations In order to determine the behavior of the matrix elements Eq. (12) under the application of the
point symmetry group operation, we consider how vector spherical harmonics are transformed. Here, one has to take into account that the transformed harmonic is in general expressed via a sum of the harmonics with different angular momentum projections $m$ and parity $e/o$, but the same polarization (M or N) and the same total angular momentum $n$ [43–46]. The scalar products, entering the integral Eq. (13) are transformed under the symmetry operation $r \rightarrow D^{(1)} r$ as

$$N_\alpha(D^{(1)}r) \cdot W_{\mu n}(D^{(1)}r) = D^{(1)}_{\alpha\alpha}D^{(1)}_{\beta\beta}D^{(1)}_{\gamma\gamma}N_\alpha(r) \cdot W_{\mu n}(r) \quad (18)$$

Here $D^{(n)}_{\mu\mu}(g)$ are the representation matrices of the symmetry operation $g$ with momentum $n$ for tesseral harmonics [47], in case of rotations they reduce to the combinations of the Wigner matrices. As an example we consider the case when the $W$ harmonics are the electric dipole harmonics, $W_{\mu n} \rightarrow N_\beta, \beta = x, y, z$ and $D^{(1)}$ is a rotation around the $z$ axis, e.g. $D^{(1)}_{xz} = D^{(1)}_{zy} = \cos \varphi, D^{(1)}_{xy} = -D^{(1)}_{yz} = -\sin \varphi, D^{(1)}_{zz} = 1$. In this case Eq. (18) simplifies to

$$[N_\alpha \cdot N_\beta](D^{(1)}r) = D^{(1)}_{\alpha\alpha}D^{(1)}_{\beta\beta}D^{(1)}_{\gamma\gamma}N_\alpha(r) \cdot N_\beta(r), \quad (19)$$

i.e. a scalar product of two electric dipole modes is transformed as a second-rank cartesian tensor.

The condition that the integral Eq. (13) remains invariant under the symmetry transformation Eq. (18) is written as

$$I_{\mu n, \mu' n' \rightarrow \mu'' n''} = D^{(n)}_{\mu\mu}D^{(n')}_{\mu'\mu'}D^{(n'')}_{\mu''\mu''}I_{\mu n, \mu' n' \rightarrow \mu'' n''}, \quad (20)$$

where the matrix elements $I$ are given by Eq. (12) with $\chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ being replaced by

$$\tilde{\chi}^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma'} = D^{(1)}_{\alpha\alpha}D^{(1)}_{\beta\beta}D^{(1)}_{\gamma\gamma}\chi^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad (21)$$

b. Application to harmonic generation

The most general consideration would require an expansion of the $\chi^{(2)}$ tensors Eq. (7), Eq. (8) of BaTiO$_3$ and AlGaAs, transforming under the spherical symmetry operations according to Eq. (21), over the irreducible representations of the $O(3)$ symmetry group. However, in practice it turns out that the relevant cancellations of the matrix elements Eq. (13), not captured in the previous Sec. III A, can be explained in a simpler way. Instead of the whole $O(3)$ group it suffices to apply a crystal point subgroup of the $O(3)$ group, i.e. to use a smaller set of symmetry operations. When the crystal point group operation is applied, the tensor $\chi^{(2)}$ stays invariant, which means that $\tilde{\chi} = \chi$, and $I = I$. Hence, the matrix elements $I$ in Eq. (20) are transformed as a direct product of the three representations governing the transformation of the corresponding vector spherical harmonics. In order to stay non-zero, the integrals Eq. (20) should contain a combination, invariant to the symmetry operation of the crystal. More formally, the reducible representation governing the transformation Eq. (13) should contain an identity representation.

The symmetry analysis of the second harmonic generation is then reduced to (i) expanding the vector spherical harmonics over the basis functions of the irreducible representation of the crystal point symmetry group and (ii) using the Clebsh-Gordan coefficients available for all point groups [39]. The classification of the dipole, quadrupole and octupole spherical harmonics for $T_d$ and $C_{4v}$ point groups of AlGaAs and BaTiO$_3$, respectively, is given in Appendix C and Table VI. The expansion has been done using the transformation properties of vector spherical harmonics. For example, we see from Table VI that the electric dipole modes are transformed in the $T_d$ group according to the $F_2$ irreducible representation, i.e. as components of the radius-vector $r$. Conversely, the magnetic dipole modes behave as pseudo-vector components, i.e. according to the $F_1$ representation.

Such approach allows us to find all the selection rules of the nanoparticle with the same or higher symmetry as the material (Fig. 9a). It can be a tetrahedral nanoparticle for $T_d$ or a quadrangular pyramid nanoparticle for $C_{4v}$. This is possible due to the fact that we consider the integrand behavior under such transformations only. The further cancellations can appear due to the scalar product properties, for example, $z$-component of $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta}$ harmonic is zero, so it can provide some extra restrictions (see Appendix B).

IV. DISCUSSIONS

Let us apply the developed selection rules to the cases studied in Sec. II, where we already discussed the absence of particular harmonics in the generated field.

**Plane-wave excitation.** Under the excitation of BaTiO$_3$ (AlGaAs) nanoparticle with a plane wave, we observed the absence MD (ED) modes in the SH field. It is illustrative to start with the restrictions imposed by the spherical symmetry of modes that account for the most of the selection rules.

Here, we will consider only the dipole terms in the excitation, and higher modes can be treated analogously. Applying Rule A to the BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticle in the case of dipole modes ($n = 1$) we do not obtain any restrictions due to the inversion parity, because we both terms $ED \otimes ED$ and $MD \otimes ED$ are contained in the fundamental field. One can find that the reflection parity of the SH mode should be $p_x^2 = 1$. Indeed, according to the Mie theory generated dipole modes at the fundamental frequency can be only $N_{x11}$ and $M_{o11}$, and for both of them $p_x^2 = 1$, while for BaTiO$_3$ $\chi^{(2)}(2)$ tensor $p_x^2 \beta = 1$ (see Fig. 10). From the angular momentum projection conservation Rule B, we find the limits for angular momentum of SH modes. For the tensor components the sum $\pm m^{2\omega} \pm m^{3\omega} \pm m^{4\omega}$ is even according to Fig. 10. Thus, $\pm m^{2\omega} \pm m^{3\omega} \pm m^{4\omega}$ should also be even, and from the Mie theory it follows that $m^{2\omega} = m^{3\omega} = 1$,
Table III. Possible multipoles generated in second harmonic by the dipolar terms products in the incident field. BaTiO$_3$ lattice orientation is $[100]\|\beta_1$, $[010]\|\beta_2$, $[001]\|\beta_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident field</th>
<th>Magnetic</th>
<th>Electric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_{e11} \otimes N_{e11}$</td>
<td>$M_{o22}$</td>
<td>$M_{o24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_y \otimes m_y$</td>
<td>$M_{o22}$</td>
<td>$M_{o24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{m11} \otimes N_{m11}$</td>
<td>$M_{o22}$</td>
<td>$M_{o24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_y \otimes m_y$</td>
<td>$M_{o23}$</td>
<td>$N_{e22}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. Possible multipoles generated in second harmonic by the dipolar terms products in the incident field. BaTiO$_3$ lattice orientation is $[100]\|\beta_1$, $[010]\|\beta_2$, $[001]\|\beta_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident field</th>
<th>Magnetic</th>
<th>Electric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_{e11} \otimes N_{e11}$</td>
<td>$N_{e11}$</td>
<td>$N_{e33}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_y \otimes m_y$</td>
<td>$M_{o12}$</td>
<td>$N_{e11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{m11} \otimes N_{m11}$</td>
<td>$M_{o12}$</td>
<td>$N_{e11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_y \otimes m_y$</td>
<td>$M_{o12}$</td>
<td>$N_{e14}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

which makes $m^{2\omega}$ to be even. This immediately rules out all magnetic dipole modes as the only dipole mode with even $m$ and $p_r^{2\omega} = 1$ is $M_{e01}$, which is identical to zero. Electric dipole mode $N_{e01}$ has the same reflection parity and, thus, is allowed in the SHG process (see Table III). These reasons also show that the higher order magnetic and electric modes can also exist. According to Rule C the highest possible harmonic generated from the dipole modes is the electric mode with $n = 5$ as shown in Table III: $ED \otimes ED \rightarrow ET$.

The selection rules for AlGaAs are shown in the Table V. The same arguments as in the case of BaTiO$_3$ can be applied, while considering dipole channels of SHG. The only difference is that the parity of tensor components for AlGaAs $p_r^{2\omega} = -1$ (see Fig. 10). Rule A will be fulfilled if the parity $p_r$ of one of the modes will be changed in sign, allowing $MD \otimes ED \rightarrow MD$ transition. Another possible channels $ED \otimes ED \not\rightarrow ED$ or $MD \otimes MD \not\rightarrow ED$ are forbidden, as it would require generation of $N_{e01}$ mode, which is identical to zero. Moreover, the dipole modes generation in SH field will be still prohibited even if the higher order modes will be excited at fundamental wavelength.

Single magnetic mode excitation. In Sec. II B, we have discussed the excitation of the SH mode with a single magnetic mode at the fundamental frequency. Let us first study in more detail the case of $y$-oriented dipole $M_{e01}$. Applying derived selection rules, one can get from Rule C that the highest possible generated mode is the octupole mode $n \leq 3$. For BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticle from Rule B, we have already established that $m^{2\omega}$ should be even. The inversion and reflection parities from Rule A gives us that $p_r^{2\omega} = -1$ and $p_r^{2\omega} = 1$. For total angular momentum value of $n = 3$ this means that only electric modes should be generated (inversion rule), and they should be even (reflection rule), which gives us for even $m$ only two possible modes: $N_{e03}$ and $N_{e23}$. For $n = 2$ only odd magnetic quadrupole mode possesses required reflection and inversion parity, which gives us only $M_{e22}$ contribution as $M_{e22} \equiv 0$. These selection rules are summarized in the highlighted row of Table II, which also corresponds to highlighted region of the extended Table III.

Until now we have considered only one orientation of the crystalline lattice, shown in Fig. 1. However, the different orientation of the BaTiO$_3$ lattice provides other selection rules. For instance, one can rotate the BaTiO$_3$ lattice by 90° obtaining $[100]\|\beta_3$, $[010]\|\beta_2$, $[001]\|\beta_1$ which changes the parity indices $p_r^{2\omega} = 1$, and $\pm n m^2 \pm m^2 \pm m_3$ should be odd. The resulting selection rules are summarized in Table IV. One can see that harmonics with different projection $m$ can be generated, allowing both MD and ED channels. This provides us an opportunity to control the SH signal by changing the relative orientation between the field polarization and the crystalline lattice.

The same approach allows us to analyze the modes generated at SH by pumping at single MD mode in AlGaAs nanoparticle, as shown in Table I. The shaded region describes excitation of the MD mode corresponding to $y$-oriented magnetic dipole ($m_y$). The same argument as in the case of BaTiO$_3$ allows up to octupole mode generation. We have already discussed in this section that the generation of ED mode is possible in AlGaAs due to $p_r^{2\omega} = 1$ parity. Because of that, the inversion and reflection parity values should be equal to $p_r^{2\omega} = -1$ and $p_r^{2\omega} = 1$. Thus, for $n = 3$ $N_{e23}$ is the only nonzero mode satisfying the parity conditions, while for $n = 2$ the even magnetic modes have proper parity, thus, $M_{o22}$ and $M_{e22}$ are present (shaded rows in Tables I and V).

The selection rules provided by the crystalline lattice symmetry. So far we have discussed the selection rules...
which were governed by the symmetry of the vector spherical harmonics and related conditions \(A\), \(B\), and \(C\). However, there are SHG channels, which are allowed by the mode symmetry, but become restricted due to crystalline symmetry only.

For the SHG by rotated MD in AlGaAs nanoparticle for \(\varphi = \pi/4\) (see Table I) modes \(M_{\theta11}\) and \(M_{\phi11}\) are present in the fundamental field, while the channels of generation of higher quadrupole modes are forbidden \(M_{\theta11}\otimes M_{\phi11} \not\rightarrow M_{\theta12}, M_{\phi12}, M_{\phi22}\), however some of them satisfy the mode symmetry rules. These processes are restricted by the crystalline symmetry rules discussed in Sec. III B: in the \(T_d\) symmetry the magnetic dipole modes are transformed as components of the pseudo-vector \(\mathbf{L}\) (\(F_1\) representation). The modes \(M_{\theta12}, M_{\phi12}, M_{\phi22}\) also behave under the symmetry operations as components of a pseudo-vector \(\mathbf{L}\) (\(F_1\) representation), see the Table VI. Now, the physical question, of whether the SHG process is possible, is reduced to the mathematical question of whether the direct product \(F_1 \otimes F_1\) contains \(F_1\). In a little less formal way, it can be reformulated as the question of whether a direct product of the components of two pseudovectors can yield a pseudovector. The answer is positive, e.g. \(A_1 \times A_2\), where \(A_{1,2}\) are pseudovectors, is a pseudovector. However, in our case \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are equal, since the both modes at the first harmonic belong to the same electric field. As such, the vector product \(A_1 \times A_1\) is zero and the conversion from the magnetic dipole modes to the \(M_{\theta12}, M_{\phi12}, M_{\phi22}\) quadrupolar modes is forbidden by the \(T_d\) crystal symmetry. For the similar reasons, such process is prohibited for the conversion from the electric dipole modes \(N_{\theta11}\) and \(N_{\phi11}\). On the other hand, a sum frequency generation process, when the incident modes have different frequency, can be possible, since \(A_1 \times A_2\) in general is not zero.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have theoretically analyzed the second harmonic generation by spherical dielectric nanoparticles made of materials with non-zero bulk second order nonlinear susceptibility tensor \(\chi^{(2)}\). Considering two typical crystalline solids, \(\text{BaTiO}_3\) and \(\text{AlGaAs}\), we have studied the intensity of SHG under a plane wave illumination and analyzed the contribution of different multipole components into the total SH intensity. We have shown that under the resonant excitation of a single magnetic dipole mode one can achieve control of the directionality of SH emission by rotating the dipole moment with respect to the material’s crystalline lattice. Finally, we have developed a symmetry approach which provides an explanation why only particular modes can be observed in the SH field and defined general selection rules for SHG. Our symmetry analysis fully agrees with numerical and analytical results, and also demonstrates promising predictive power, which can be used for design of efficient nonlinear light sources based on nanoparticle ensembles.
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Appendix A: Vector spherical harmonics

Vector spherical harmonics used above are defined as

\[
\mathbf{M}_{\ell m n} = \nabla \times (r \psi_{\ell m n}), \\
\mathbf{N}_{\ell m n} = \frac{\nabla \times \mathbf{M}_{\ell m n}}{k},
\]

(A1)

(A2)

where

\[
\psi_{\ell m n} = \cos m\varphi P_m^n(\cos \theta) z_n(\rho), \\
\psi_{omn} = \sin m\varphi P_m^n(\cos \theta) z_n(\rho)
\]

(A3)

(A4)

are the scalar spherical functions, proportional to the tesseral spherical functions. Functions \(z_n(\rho)\) can be replaced by spherical Bessel functions of any type.

\[
\mathbf{M}_{\ell m n}(k, r) = \frac{-m}{\sin(\theta)} \sin(m\varphi) P_m^n(\cos(\theta)) z_n(\rho)e_\theta - \\
- \cos(m\varphi) \frac{dP_m^n(\cos(\theta))}{d\theta} z_n(\rho)e_\varphi,
\]

(A5)

\[
\mathbf{M}_{omn}(k, r) = \frac{m}{\sin(\theta)} \cos(m\varphi) P_m^n(\cos(\theta)) z_n(\rho)e_\theta - \\
- \sin(m\varphi) \frac{dP_m^n(\cos(\theta))}{d\theta} z_n(\rho)e_\varphi,
\]

(A6)

\[
\mathbf{N}_{\ell m n}(k, r) = \frac{z_n(\rho)}{\rho} \cos(m\varphi) n(n+1) P_m^n(\cos(\theta)) e_r + \\
+ \cos(m\varphi) \frac{dP_m^n(\cos(\theta))}{d\theta} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} [\rho z_n(\rho)] e_\theta - \\
- m \sin(m\varphi) \frac{P_m^n(\cos(\theta))}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} [\rho z_n(\rho)] e_\varphi,
\]

(A7)

\[
\mathbf{N}_{omn}(k, r) = \frac{z_n(\rho)}{\rho} \sin(m\varphi) n(n+1) P_m^n(\cos(\theta)) e_r + \\
+ \sin(m\varphi) \frac{dP_m^n(\cos(\theta))}{d\theta} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} [\rho z_n(\rho)] e_\theta + \\
+ m \cos(m\varphi) \frac{P_m^n(\cos(\theta))}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} [\rho z_n(\rho)] e_\varphi.
\]

(A8)
The Mie coefficients for the field inside the nanoparticle can be expressed as follows:

\[
c_n(\omega) = \frac{[\rho_1 j_n(\rho_1)]' h_n(\rho_1) - [\rho_1 j_n(\rho_1)]' h_n(\rho_1)}{[\rho_1 h_n(\rho_1)]' j_n(\rho_2) - [\rho_2 h_n(\rho_1)]' h_n(\rho_1)},
\]

\[
d_n(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2} [\rho_1 h_n(\rho_1)]' j_n(\rho_1) - \sqrt{\varepsilon_2} [\rho_2 j_n(\rho_1)]' h_n(\rho_1)}{\varepsilon_2 [\rho_1 h_n(\rho_1)]' j_n(\rho_2) - [\rho_2 h_n(\rho_1)]' h_n(\rho_1)},
\]

The Green function for a dielectric sphere of radius \(a\) for \(r > a > r'\) at frequency \(2\omega\) is given by

\[
\tilde{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', 2\omega) = \frac{ik_2(2\omega)}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (2 - \delta_0) \frac{2n + 1}{n(n + 1)} \frac{(n - m)!}{(n + m)!} \cdot \left( a_n^{(2)}(2\omega) M_{a mn}^{(3)}[k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r}] \otimes M_{a mn}^{(1)}[k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r}'] + b_n^{(2)}(2\omega) N_{a mn}^{(3)}[k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r}] \otimes N_{a mn}^{(1)}[k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r}'] \right),
\]

where superscripts (1) and (3) appear, when we replace \(z_n(\rho)\) by spherical Bessel functions, and the spherical Hankel functions of the first kind, respectively. Coefficients in the Green function have a similar denominator as the Mie coefficients:

\[
a_n^{(2)}(\omega) = \frac{[\rho_2 j_n(\rho_2)]' h_n(\rho_2) - [\rho_2 j_n(\rho_2)]' h_n(\rho_2)}{[\rho_2 j_n(\rho_2)]' h_n(\rho_1) - \mu_2 [\rho_2 h_n(\rho_1)]' j_n(\rho_2)},
\]

\[
b_n^{(2)}(\omega) = \frac{[\rho_2 j_n(\rho_2)]' h_n(\rho_2) - [\rho_2 j_n(\rho_2)]' h_n(\rho_2)}{\mu_2 / (\sqrt{\varepsilon_2} [\rho_2 j_n(\rho_2)]' h_n(\rho_1) - [\rho_2 h_n(\rho_1)]' j_n(\rho_2)).}
\]

The rigorous expression for the \(D\)-coefficients is as follows:

\[
D_{W_{a mn}}^{(1)}(k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r}) = \left( \frac{2\omega}{c} \right)^2 \frac{ik_2(2\omega)}{4\pi} (2 - \delta_0) \frac{2n + 1}{n(n + 1)} \frac{(n - m)!}{(n + m)!} \left( \frac{a_n^{(2)}(\omega_\delta)}{b_n^{(2)}(\omega_\delta)} \right) \int_V \mathbf{W}_{a mn}^{(1)}(k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r}') \tilde{E}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) \tilde{E}(\omega, \mathbf{r}') dV.
\]

Here, \(\mathbf{W}_{a mn}^{(1)}(k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r})\) is a vector spherical harmonic \(M_{a mn}^{(1)}(k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r})\) or \(N_{a mn}^{(1)}(k_2(2\omega), \mathbf{r})\).
Appendix B: Rigorous approach for the selection rules imposed by the spherical symmetry

Considering three scalar products of vector spherical harmonics in Eq. (13), we find that each of them can be expanded in a finite sum of several specific scalar functions $\psi_{\gamma \mu\nu}$ with the coefficients independent on angle [41, 42]. In turn, the product of three scalar functions can be again represented in the form of a sum over spherical scalar harmonics. We are looking for the cases when the coefficient before the invariant term $\psi_{e00}$ is non-zero, which also provides integral (13) is non-zero.

Here, we are only interested in the scalar products with Cartesian harmonics $N_\alpha$, which have angular momentum $n = 1$. As a result, we have two different types of scalar products:

1. If $W_{\mu\nu}$ is replaced by $N_{p_r m_m}$, and $N_\alpha$ is replaced by $N_{p_t m_t}$, where $p_r$ is the parity index, introduced in III A, obtaining [48]:

$$ [N_{p_r' m_t' 1} N_{p_t m_m}] \propto \sum_{n'' = n \pm 1, \ n'' \neq n} c(r) \psi_{p_r' p_t' m'' m''}. \quad (B1) $$

For instance:

$$ = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{z_1(\rho)}{\rho} \left( \psi_{e00}(0) + \psi_{e02}(0) \right) + \frac{z_2(\rho)}{3} \left( \psi_{e00}(0) - \psi_{e02}(0) \right) \right). \quad (B2) $$

2. If $W_{\mu\nu}$ is replaced by $M_{p_r m_m}$, and $N_\alpha$ is replaced by $N_{p_t m_t}$, we obtain a similar expression. Since for Cartesian projections of magnetic harmonics $n'' = n$, the summation over full angular momentum is simplified:

$$ [N_{p_r' m_t' 1} M_{p_t m_m}] \propto \sum_{n'' = n \pm 1} c(r) \psi_{p_r' p_t' m'' m''}. \quad (B4) $$

For instance:

$$ [N_{011}(0, \hat{r}) \cdot M_{011}(k, \hat{r})] = [M_{011}](y) \sim \psi_{e01} = 0 \quad (B5) $$

Finally, we obtain the integrand consisting of the sum of products of scalar spherical harmonics $\psi_{e\mu\nu}$, which can be easily expressed via the Clebsh-Gordan coefficients [46, 49]. This means that the product of two of three scalar harmonics must contain a third one:

$$ \psi_{p_r' m_t' n''} \psi_{p_t m_m} \propto \sum_{m'' = m \pm 1} \psi_{p_r' p_t' m'' n''} C_{n_0 n'' 0}. \quad (B7) $$

The Clebsh-Gordan coefficient $C_{n_0 n'' 0}$ is non-zero only when $n''$ has the same parity as $n$ and $n'$. The usual triangle inequality for $n$ must be satisfied as well. It appears in accordance with the fact that product of two functions should have the same inversion behavior as third.

Below we present several examples of computation of the integrals, obtaining selection rules for particular mode channels:

$$ \chi_{\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{z}} \int_V \chi_{\hat{x}\hat{z}} [N_x M_{011}(2\omega)] [N_x M_{011}(\omega)] [N_x N_{e01}(\omega)] dV = \quad (B8) $$

$$ = \int_V \chi_{\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{z}} [N_{e11}(0) M_{011}(2\omega)] [N_{e11}(0) M_{011}(\omega)] [N_{e01}(0) N_{e01}(\omega)] dV \rightarrow \quad (B9) $$

$$ \rightarrow \chi_{\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{z}} \int_V \psi_{e01} \psi_{e01}(c_1 \psi_{e02} + c_2 \psi_{e00}) dV \neq 0, \quad (B10) $$
here $c_1$ and $c_2$ depend on the radius only and are angular-independent. Thus, the coupling is possible with the tensor component $\chi_{xxz}$.

$$\int \chi_{xxz} \left[ N_x(0)N_{e11}(2\omega) \right] \left[ N_x(0)M_{o11}(\omega) \right] \left[ N_z(0)N_{e01}(\omega) \right] dV =$$  \hspace{1cm} (B11)

$$= \int \chi_{xxz} \left[ N_{e11}(0)N_{e11}(2\omega) \right] \left[ N_{e11}(0)M_{o11}(\omega) \right] \left[ N_{e01}(0)N_{e01}(\omega) \right] dV \rightarrow$$  \hspace{1cm} (B12)

$$\rightarrow \chi_{xxz} \int \left( c_1 \psi_{e22} + c_2 \psi_{e00} + c_3 \psi_{e02} \right) \psi_{e01} \psi_{e02} dV = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B13)

so this coupling is prohibited because $C_{1020}^{20}$ is zero. We see that actually, it is prohibited due to Rule A because of the integrand is odd with respect to spatial inversion. The three selection Rules A-C, given in the main text, follow from this procedure, but mix all the harmonics, neglecting properties of specific scalar products.

**Appendix C: Symmetry classification of vector spherical harmonics.**

In order to reveal how the crystalline symmetry affects the possibility of multipolar generation, we need to know the behavior of the vector spherical functions under the transformations from the crystal symmetry group. Here we give the table for two types of crystalline symmetries where we indicate the corresponding irreducible representations and express the spherical functions via the basis functions of these representations, that are transformed via each other in the same way. The numerical coefficients are obtained properly, but their explicit values are not required to derive the selection rules. While the selection rules in spherical BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles can be determined just from the conservation of the angular momentum projection quantum number $m$, the table can be also useful for the nanoparticles of the pyramidal shape.
Table VI. Symmetry classification of vector spherical harmonics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spherical Harmonic</th>
<th>AlGaAs ($T_d$)</th>
<th>BaTiO$<em>3$ ($C</em>{4v}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ED $N_{011}$</td>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>$y$  $E$  $x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{111}$</td>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>$E$  $y$  $x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{001}$</td>
<td>$E$  $y$</td>
<td>$x$  $E$  $y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD $M_{011}$</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>$L_y$  $E$  $x$ or $L_y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{111}$</td>
<td>$L_x$</td>
<td>$E$  $x$  $y$ or $L_x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{01}$</td>
<td>$L_z$</td>
<td>$A_2$  $L_z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ $N_{012}$</td>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>$x$  $E$  $x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{112}$</td>
<td>$y$</td>
<td>$E$  $x$  $y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{022}$</td>
<td>$2z$</td>
<td>$B_2$  $xy$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{022}$</td>
<td>$E$  $6(x^2-y^2)$</td>
<td>$B_1$  $x^2-y^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{02}$</td>
<td>$2z^2-x^2-y^2$</td>
<td>$A_1$  $z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQ $M_{012}$</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>$L_x$  $E$  $x$ or $L_x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{12}$</td>
<td>$L_y$</td>
<td>$E$  $x$  $y$ or $L_y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{022}$</td>
<td>$L_z$</td>
<td>$B_1$  $x^2-y^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{22}$</td>
<td>$E$  $2z^2-x^2-y^2$</td>
<td>$B_2$  $xy$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{02}$</td>
<td>$6(x^2-y^2)$</td>
<td>$A_2$  $L_z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO $N_{013}$</td>
<td>$F_2 + F_1$</td>
<td>$-6y + L_y$  $E$  $y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{113}$</td>
<td>$-6x - L_x$</td>
<td>$E$  $y$  $x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{023}$</td>
<td>$A_1$  $1$ or $xyz$</td>
<td>$B_2$  $xy$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{023}$</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>$4L_z$  $B_1$  $x^2-y^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{033}$</td>
<td>$F_1 + F_2$</td>
<td>$-60y - 6L_y$  $E$  $y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{033}$</td>
<td>$60x - 6L_x$</td>
<td>$E$  $x$  $y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{003}$</td>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>$4z$  $A_1$  $z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO $M_{013}$</td>
<td>$F_2 + F_1$</td>
<td>$-6L_y + y$  $E$  $L_y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{113}$</td>
<td>$-6L_x - x$</td>
<td>$E$  $L_y$  $L_x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{023}$</td>
<td>$A_2$  $1$ or $L_z L_y L_x$</td>
<td>$B_1$  $x^2-y^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{223}$</td>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>$4z$  $B_2$  $xy$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{033}$</td>
<td>$F_1 + F_2$</td>
<td>$-60L_y - 6y$  $E$  $L_y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{033}$</td>
<td>$60L_x - 6x$</td>
<td>$E$  $L_y$  $L_x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{003}$</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>$4L_z$  $A_2$  $L_z$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>