Abstract—In this paper, an achievable \(\eta\)-Nash equilibrium (\(\eta\)-NE) region for the two-user Gaussian interference channel with noisy channel-output feedback is presented for all \(\eta \geq 1\). This result is obtained in the scenario in which each transmitter-receiver pair chooses its own transmit-receive configuration in order to maximize its own individual information transmission rate. At an \(\eta\)-NE, any unilateral deviation by either of the pairs does not increase the corresponding individual rate by more than \(\eta\) bits per channel use.

Index Terms—Gaussian Interference Channel, Noisy channel-output feedback, \(\eta\)-Nash equilibrium region.

I. INTRODUCTION

The interference channel (IC) is one of the simplest yet insightful multi-user channels in network information theory. An important class of ICs is the two-user Gaussian interference channel (GIC) in which there exist two point-to-point links subject to mutual interference and independent Gaussian noise sources. In this model, each output signal is a noisy version of the sum of the two transmitted signals affected by the corresponding channel gains. The analysis of this channel can be made considering two general scenarios: (1) a centralized scenario in which the entire network is controlled by a central entity that configures both transmitter-receiver pairs; and (2) a decentralized scenario in which each transmitter-receiver pair autonomously configures its transmission-reception parameters. In the former, the fundamental limits are characterized by the capacity region, which is approximated to within a fixed number of bits in [1] for the case without feedback; in [2] for the case with perfect channel-output feedback; and in [3] and [4] for the case with noisy channel-output feedback. In the latter, the fundamental limits are characterized by the \(\eta\)-Nash equilibrium (\(\eta\)-NE) region. The \(\eta\)-NE of the GIC is approximated in the cases without feedback and with perfect channel-output feedback in [5] and [6], respectively.
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II. DECENTRALIZED GAUSSIAN INTERFERENCE CHANNELS WITH NOISY CHANNEL-OUTPUT FEEDBACK

In this paper the \(\eta\)-NE region of the GIC is studied assuming that there exists a noisy feedback link from each receiver to its corresponding transmitter. The \(\eta\)-NE region is approximated by two regions for all \(\eta \geq 1\): a region for which an equilibrium transmit-receive configuration is presented for each of the information rate pairs (an achievable region); and a region for which any information rate pair that is outside of this region cannot be an \(\eta\)-NE (impossibility region). The focus of this paper is on the achievable region. The results presented in this paper are a generalization of the results presented in [5] and [6], and they are obtained thanks to the analysis of linear deterministic approximations in [7] and [8].

In this paper the \(\eta\)-NE region of the GIC is studied assuming that there exists a noisy feedback link from each receiver to its corresponding transmitter. The \(\eta\)-NE region is approximated by two regions for all \(\eta \geq 1\): a region for which an equilibrium transmit-receive configuration is presented for each of the information rate pairs (an achievable region); and a region for which any information rate pair that is outside of this region cannot be an \(\eta\)-NE (impossibility region). The focus of this paper is on the achievable region. The results presented in this paper are a generalization of the results presented in [5] and [6], and they are obtained thanks to the analysis of linear deterministic approximations in [7] and [8].
use $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, with
\begin{equation}
N = \max(N_1, N_2),
\end{equation}
the channel output at receiver $i$ is denoted by $\mathbf{Y}_{i,n}$. During channel use $n$, the input-output relation of the channel model is given by
\begin{equation}
\mathbf{Y}_{i,n} = h_{i,n} X_{i,n} + Z_{i,n},
\end{equation}
where $X_{i,n} = 0$ for all $n$ such that $N > n > N_i$ and $Z_{i,n}$ is a real Gaussian random variable with zero mean and unit variance that represents the noise at the input of receiver $i$. Let $d > 0$ be the finite feedback delay measured in channel uses. At the end of channel use $n$, transmitter $i$ observes $\mathbf{Y}_{i,n}$, which consists of a scaled and noisy version of $\mathbf{Y}_{i,n-d}$. More specifically,
\begin{equation}
\mathbf{Y}_{i,n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
Z_{i,n} & \text{for } n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}, \\
h_{i,n} Y_{i,n-d} + Z_{i,n} & \text{for } n \in \{d+1, d+2, \ldots, N\},
\end{array} \right.
\end{equation}
where $Z_{i,n}$ is a real Gaussian random variable with zero mean and unit variance that represents the noise in the feedback link of transmitter-receiver pair $i$. The random variables $Z_{i,n}$ and $Z_{i,n}$ are assumed to be independent. In the following, without loss of generality, the feedback delay is assumed to be one channel use, i.e., $d = 1$. The encoder of transmitter $i$ is defined by a set of deterministic functions $f_{i,1}(N), f_{i,2}(N), \ldots, f_{i,N_i}(N)$, with $f_{i,1}(N) : \mathcal{W}_i \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X_i$, and for all $n \in \{2, 3, \ldots, N_i\}$, $f_{i,n}(N) : \mathcal{W}_i \times \mathcal{W}_i \rightarrow X_i$, such that
\begin{equation}
X_{i,1} = f_{i,1}(N) \left( W_i, \Omega_i \right), \quad \text{and} \quad X_{i,n} = f_{i,n}(N) \left( W_i, \Omega_i, \mathbf{Y}_{i,n-1} \right),
\end{equation}
where $\Omega_i$ is an additional index randomly generated. The index $\Omega_i$ is assumed to be known by both transmitter $i$ and receiver $i$, while unknown by transmitter $j$ and receiver $j$.

The components of the input vector $\mathbf{X}_i$ are real numbers subject to an average power constraint
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=1}^{N_i} \mathbb{E} X_{i,n} \left[ X_{i,n}^2 \right] \leq 1.
\end{equation}

The decoder of receiver $i$ is defined by a deterministic function $\psi_i(N) : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_i$. At the end of the communication, receiver $i$ uses the vector $\left( \mathbf{Y}_{i,1}, \mathbf{Y}_{i,2}, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_{i,N-i} \right)$ and the index $\Omega_i$ to obtain an estimate
\begin{equation}
\hat{W}_i = \psi_i(N) \left( \Omega_i, \mathbf{Y}_{i,1}, \mathbf{Y}_{i,2}, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_{i,N} \right),
\end{equation}
A transmit-receive configuration for transmitter-receiver pair $i$, denoted by $s_i$, can be described in terms of the block-length $N_i$, the rate $R_i$, the codebook $C_i$, the encoding functions $f_{i,1}(N), f_{i,2}(N), \ldots, f_{i,N_i}(N)$, and the decoding function $\psi_i(N)$, etc. The average error probability at decoder $i$ given the configurations $s_1$ and $s_2$, denoted by $p_i(s_1, s_2)$, is given by
\begin{equation}
p_i(s_1, s_2) = \Pr \left[ W_i \neq \hat{W}_i \right].
\end{equation}

Within this context, a rate pair $(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ is said to be achievable if it complies with the following definition.

**Definition 1 (Achievable Rate Pairs):** A rate pair $(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ is achievable if there exists at least one pair of configurations $(s_1, s_2)$ such that the decoding bit error probabilities $p_1(s_1, s_2)$ and $p_2(s_1, s_2)$ can be made arbitrarily small by letting the block-lengths $N_1$ and $N_2$ grow to infinity.

The aim of transmitter $i$ is to autonomously choose its transmit-receive configuration $s_i$ in order to maximize its achievable rate $R_i$. Note that the rate achieved by transmitter-receiver pair $i$ depends on both configurations $s_1$ and $s_2$ due to mutual interference. This reveals the competitive interaction between both links in the decentralized interference channel. The fundamental limits of the two-user D-GIC-NOF in Figure 1 can be described by six parameters: $\text{SNR}_1$, $\text{SNR}_i$, and $\text{INR}_{ij}$, with $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i\}$, which are defined as follows:
\begin{align}
\text{SNR}_1 & = \frac{s_i^2}{\sigma^2}, \\
\text{SNR}_i & = \frac{s_i^2}{\alpha_i^2}, \\
\text{INR}_{ij} & = \frac{s_i^2}{\alpha_i^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_j^2}{\alpha_i^2} \right).
\end{align}

The analysis presented in this paper focuses exclusively on the case in which $\text{INR}_{ij} > 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i\}$. The reason for exclusively considering this case follows from the fact that when $\text{INR}_{ij} \leq 1$, the transmitter-receiver pair $i$ is impaired mainly by noise instead of interference. In this case, feedback does not bring a significant rate improvement. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the capacity region of the two-user GIC-NOF with fixed parameters $\text{SNR}_1$, $\text{SNR}_2$, $\text{INR}_{12}$, $\text{INR}_{21}$, $\text{SNR}_1$, and $\text{SNR}_2$. The achievable region $\mathcal{C}$ in [4] Theorem 2 and the converse region $\mathcal{C}$ in [4] Theorem 3 approximate the capacity region $\mathcal{C}$ to within 4.4 bits [4].

### III. Game Formulation

The competitive interaction between the two transmitter-receiver pairs in the interference channel can be modeled by the following game in normal-form:
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{G} = \{ \mathcal{K}, \{A_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}, \{u_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \}.
\end{equation}

The set $\mathcal{K} = \{1, 2\}$ is the set of players, that is, the set of transmitter-receiver pairs. The sets $A_1$ and $A_2$ are the sets of actions of players 1 and 2, respectively. An action of a player $i \in \mathcal{K}$, which is denoted by $s_i \in A_i$, is basically its transmit-receive configuration as described above. The utility function of player $i$ is $u_i : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and it is defined as the information rate of transmitter $i$,
\begin{equation}
u_i(s_1, s_2) = \begin{cases} 
R_i, & \text{if } p_i(s_1, s_2) < \epsilon \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
where $\epsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small number. This game formulation for the case without feedback was first proposed in [9] and [10].

A class of transmit-receive configurations that are particularly important in the analysis of this game is referred to as the set of $\eta$-Nash equilibria ($\eta$-NE), with $\eta > 0$. This type of configurations satisfy the following definition.
Theorem 2] and achievable $\eta$-NE regions $\mathcal{N}_\eta$ (solid lines) in Theorem 1 of the two-user GIC-NOF and two-user D-GIC-NOF with parameters $\text{SNR}_1 = 24$ dB, $\text{SNR}_2 = 3$ dB, $\text{INR}_{12} = 16$ dB, $\text{INR}_{21} = 9$ dB, $\text{SNR}_1 \in \{-100, 18, 50\}$ dB, $\text{SNR}_2 \in \{-100, 8, 50\}$ dB and $\eta = 1$.

**IV. MAIN RESULTS**

**A. Achievable $\eta$-NE Nash Equilibrium Region**

Let the $\eta$-NE region (Definition 3) of the D-GIC-NOF be denoted by $\mathcal{N}_\eta$. This section introduces a region $\mathcal{N}_\eta' \subset \mathcal{N}_\eta$ that is achievable using a coding scheme that combines rate splitting [12], common randomness [5], [6], block Markov superposition coding [13] and backward decoding [14]. In the following, this coding scheme is referred to as randomized Han-Kobayashi scheme with noisy channel-output feedback (RHK-NOF). This coding scheme is presented in [8] and uses the same techniques of the schemes in [5] and [6]. Therefore, the focus of this section is on the results rather than the description of the scheme. A motivated reader is referred to [15]. The RHK-NOF is proved to be an $\eta$-NE action profile with $\eta \geq 1$. That is, any unilateral deviation from the RHK-NOF by any of the transmitter-receiver pairs might lead to an individual rate improvement which is at most one bit per channel use. The description of the achievable $\eta$-NE region $\mathcal{N}_\eta'$ is presented using the constants $a_{1,i}$: the functions $a_{2,i} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $a_{3,i} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, with $i \in \{3, \ldots, 6\}$; and $a_{7,i} : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, which are defined as follows, for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, with $j \in \{1, 2\}$:

\begin{align}
    a_{1,i} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 2 + \frac{\text{SNR}_1}{\text{INR}_{ji}} \right) \frac{1}{2}, \\
    a_{2,i}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left( b_{1,i}(\rho) + 1 \right) \frac{1}{2}, \\
    a_{3,i}(\rho, \mu) &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\text{SNR}_1 \left( b_{2,i}(\rho) + 2 \right) + b_{1,i}(1) + 1}{\text{SNR}_1 \left( (1 - \mu) b_{2,i}(\rho) + 2 \right) + b_{1,i}(1) + 1} \right).
\end{align}

The $\eta$-NE regions of the two-user GIC with and without perfect channel-output feedback have been approximated to within a constant number of bits in [5] and [6], respectively.

The next section introduces a generalization of these results.
...the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region \( \overrightarrow{\eta} \) and the achievable capacity region \( \overrightarrow{C} \) (Theorem 2). This is due to the fact that when one transmitter-receiver pair is in low interference regime (LIR) and the other transmitter-receiver pair is in high interference regime (HIR), feedback is useless on the transmitter-receiver pair in HIR [15], [16].

Figure 3 shows the achievable region \( \overrightarrow{C} \) in [4] Theorem 2] of a two-user centralized GIC-NOF and the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region \( \overrightarrow{\eta} \) in Theorem 1 of a two-user D-GIC-NOF with parameters \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_1 = 24 \text{ dB} \), \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_2 = 18 \text{ dB} \), \( \overrightarrow{\text{INR}}_{12} = 48 \text{ dB} \), \( \overrightarrow{\text{INR}}_{21} = 30 \text{ dB} \), \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_1 \in \{-100, 18, 50\} \text{ dB} \), \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_2 \in \{-100, 12, 50\} \text{ dB} \) and \( \eta = 1 \). In this case, the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region \( \overrightarrow{\eta} \) in Theorem 1 and achievable region \( \overrightarrow{C} \) on the capacity region [4]. Theorem 2 are almost identical, which implies that in the cases in which \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_i < \overrightarrow{\text{INR}}_{ij} \), for both \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), with \( j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i\} \), the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region is almost the same as the achievable capacity region in the centralized case studied in [3].

At low values of \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_1 \) and \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_2 \), the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region approaches the rectangular region reported in [5] for the case of the two-user decentralized GIC (D-GIC). Alternatively, for high values of \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_1 \) and \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_2 \), the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region approaches the region reported in [6] for the case of the two-user decentralized GIC with perfect channel-output feedback (D-GIC-POF). These observations are formalized by the following corollaries.

Denote by \( \overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{PF}} \) the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region of the two-user D-GIC-POF presented in [6]. The region \( \overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{PF}} \) can be obtained as a special case of Theorem 1 as shown by the following corollary.

**Corollary 1 (\( \eta \)-NE Region with Perfect Output Feedback):** Let \( \overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{PF}} \) denote the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region of the two-user D-GIC-POF with fixed parameters \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_i \) and \( \overrightarrow{\text{INR}}_{ij} \), with \( i \in \{1, 2\} \) and \( j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i\} \). Then, the following holds:

\[
\overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{PF}} = \lim_{\overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_j \to \infty} \overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{IF}}.
\]

Denote by \( \overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{WF}} \) the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region of the two-user D-GIC presented in [5]. The region \( \overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{WF}} \) can be obtained as a special case of Theorem 1 as shown by the following corollary.

**Corollary 2 (\( \eta \)-NE Region without Output Feedback):** Let \( \overrightarrow{\eta}_{\text{WF}} \) denote the achievable \( \eta \)-NE region of the two-user D-GIC, with fixed parameters \( \overrightarrow{\text{SNR}}_i \) and \( \overrightarrow{\text{INR}}_{ij} \), with \( i \in \{1, 2\} \)
Theorem 1: Let $\eta \geq 1$ be fixed. The achievable $\eta$-NE region $\mathcal{N}_\eta$ is given by the closure of all possible achievable rate pairs $(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{C}$ in [4, Theorem 2] that satisfy, for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i\}$, the following conditions:

\begin{align}
R_i &\geq \left(a_{2,i}(\rho) - a_{3,i}(\rho, \mu_j) - a_{4,i}(\rho, \mu_j) - \eta\right)^+, \\
R_i &\leq \min \left(a_{2,i}(\rho) + a_{3,j}(\rho, \mu_i) + a_{5,j}(\rho, \mu_i) - a_{2,j}(\rho) + \eta, \\
& \quad a_{3,i}(\rho, \mu_j) + a_{7,i}(\rho, \mu_1, \mu_2) + 2a_{3,j}(\rho, \mu_i) + a_{5,j}(\rho, \mu_i) - a_{2,j}(\rho) + \eta, \\
& \quad a_{2,i}(\rho) + a_{3,i}(\rho, \mu_j) + 2a_{3,j}(\rho, \mu_i) + a_{5,j}(\rho, \mu_i) + a_{7,j}(\rho, \mu_1, \mu_2) - 2a_{2,j}(\rho) + 2\eta\right),
\end{align}

for all $(\rho, \mu_1, \mu_2) \in \left[0, 1 - \max\left(\frac{1}{\text{INR}_{i1}}, \frac{1}{\text{INR}_{i2}}\right)\right] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

B. Impossibility Region

This section introduces an impossibility region, denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta$. That is, $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta \supseteq \mathcal{N}_\eta$. More specifically, any rate pair $(R_1, R_2) \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta$ is not an $\eta$-NE. This region is described in terms of the convex region $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_G-\text{IC-NOF}$. Here, for the case of the two-user D-GIC-NOF, the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_G-\text{IC-NOF}$ is given by the closure of the rate pairs $(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ that satisfy for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, with $j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i\}$:

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_G-\text{IC-NOF} = \left\{(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : R_i \geq L_i, \text{ for all } i \in \mathcal{K} = \{1, 2\}\right\},$$

where,

$$L_i = \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\text{SNR}_i}{1 + \text{INR}_{i,j}}\right) - \eta\right)^+.\tag{21}$$

Note that $L_i$ is the rate achieved by the transmitter-receiver pair $i$ when it saturates the power constraint in (5) and treats interference as noise. Following this notation, the impossibility region of the two-user GIC-NOF, i.e., $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta$, can be described as follows.

Theorem 2: Let $\eta \geq 1$ be fixed. The impossibility region $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta$ of the two-user D-GIC-NOF is given by the closure of all possible non-negative rate pairs $(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{C} \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_G-\text{IC-NOF}$ for all $\rho \in [0, 1]$. The impossibility region in Theorem 2 has been first presented in [6] and it is very loose in this case. A better impossibility region is presented in [15].

V. Conclusions

In this paper, an achievable $\eta$-Nash equilibrium ($\eta$-NE) region for the two-user Gaussian interference channel with noisy channel-output feedback has been presented for all $\eta \geq 1$. This result generalizes the existing achievable regions of the $\eta$-NE for the cases without feedback and with perfect channel-output feedback.