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Abstract

We develop an algorithm for computing the weight distribution of a linear \([n, k]\) code over a finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\). We represent the codes by their characteristic vector with respect to a given generator matrix and a special type of a generator matrix of the \(k\)-dimensional simplex code. This characteristic vector is the input data of our algorithms. The complexity of the presented algorithms is \(O(kq^k)\).
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1. Introduction

Many problems in coding theory require efficient computing of the weight distribution of a given linear code. Some sufficient conditions for a linear code to be good or proper for error detection are expressed in terms of the weight distribution \(\textbf{[11]}\). The weight distribution of the hull of a code provides a signature and the same signature computed for any permutation-equivalent code will allow the reconstruction of the permutation \(\textbf{[25]}\). The weight distributions of codes can be used to compute some characteristics of the boolean and vectorial boolean functions \(\textbf{[10]}\).

Let \(\mathbb{F}_q^n\) be the \(n\)-dimensional vector space over the finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\) with \(q\) elements. Every \(k\)-dimensional subspace \(C\) of \(\mathbb{F}_q^n\) is called a \(q\)-ary \([n, k]\) code (or an \([n, k; q]\)-code). The parameters \(n\) and \(k\) are called length and dimension of \(C\), respectively, and the vectors in \(C\) are called codewords. The (Hamming) weight \(w_t(v)\) of a vector \(v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\) is the number of its non-zero coordinates. The smallest weight of a non-zero codeword is called the minimum weight of the code. If \(A_i\) is the number of codewords of weight \(i\) in \(C\), \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n\), then the sequence \((A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n)\) is called the weight distribution of \(C\), and the polynomial \(W_C(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i y^i\) is the weight enumerator of the code. Obviously, for any linear code \(A_0 = 1\) and \(A_i = 0\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, d - 1\), where \(d\) is the minimum weight. Any \(k \times n\) matrix \(G\), whose rows form a basis of \(C\), is called a generator matrix of the code. For more information about linear codes and their parameters we refer to \(\textbf{[16, 18, 21]}\).

The computation of the minimum weight and the weight enumerator of a code is NP-hard \(\textbf{[2, 5, 20]}\). Many algorithms for calculating the weight distribution have been developed. Some of the algorithms are implemented in the software systems related to Coding theory, such as MAGMA, GUAVA, Q-EXTENSION, etc. \(\textbf{[1, 7, 8]}\). The main idea in the common algorithms for finding the weight distribution of linear codes is to obtain all linear combinations of the basis vectors and to calculate their weights. The efficient algorithms generate all codewords in a sequence, where any codeword is obtained from the previous one by adding only one codeword. They usually use \(q\)-ary Gray codes (for example, such algorithms are developed in \(\textbf{[15]}\)) or
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an additional matrix (see [9]). The complexity of these algorithms is $O(nq^k)$ for a fixed $q$. Other more theoretical methods are given in [18]. Katsman and Tsfasman in [20] proposed a geometric method based on algebraic-geometric codes. Some methods use matroids and Tutte polynomials, geometric lattices [18], or Gröbner bases [4, 5, 22, 23]. The algorithm in [6] is based on the idea of an ideal associated to a binary code, and its main aim is to compute the set of coset leaders and the set of leader codewords, but the algorithms in that paper can be easily reformulated for the non-binary case and to compute the weight distribution. Indeed, since Gröbner bases are involved, the complexity is $O(n^22^{n-k})$ in the binary case [24]. Another approach is to consider all linear codes as a generalization of cyclic codes and use some well known ideas of Cooper for cyclic codes (see [13]).

In this paper we propose an unusual algorithm for computing the weight distribution without listing all codewords. The linear codes here are represented by their characteristic vector $\chi$. We obtain a vector whose coordinates are all non-zero weights in the code, by multiplying a special (recursively constructed) integer matrix by $\chi^T$. The complexity for this multiplication is $O(kq^k)$. The multiplication can be realized by a butterfly algorithm which is very fast in a parallel realization. The proposed algorithm is effective especially for codes with large length.

In the binary case, our approach is related to the Walsh-Hadamard transform [12], and so one can compute the weight distribution by using algorithms for fast Walsh transform which are easy for implementation. Walsh transform was developed as square wave analog of Fourier transform. Walsh transform has applications in many areas like signal processing, image coding, electrocardiography, speech recognition, etc. Karpovsky [19] used the Walsh transform to compute the number of codewords with small weights when the code is represented by its parity check matrix. Joux [17] presented a generalization of the Walsh transform over a finite field with more than two elements. The Joux’s algorithm has complexity $O(kq^{k+2})$ when $q$ varies.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define a characteristic vector of a linear $[n,k;q]$ code $C$ represented by its generator matrix $G$. For our purpose, we use a specially chosen generator matrix of the $k$-dimensional $q$-ary simplex code. In Section 3 we introduce the concept of weighted distribution of a vector and a matrix with respect to an integer vector, and describe an algorithm for computing the weight distribution of a linear code. Section 4 gives some modifications of the considered algorithm which use less memory. In this section we explain the connection between reduced weighted distribution and Walsh spectrum. Section 5 is devoted to the complexity of the algorithms and experimental results.

In all expressions, if some number (or element) is written in bold it means that this is a matrix or vector. Without loss of generality $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ generate equivalent (sometimes the same) codes $[\theta(q,k)]$ for codes with large length.

In this section, we introduce a characteristic vector of a linear code with respect to its generator matrix and use it to calculate the weight distribution of the code.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q = \{0, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\}$ be a field with $q$ elements, and $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ be the $k$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The maximum number of pairwise linearly independent vectors of this space is $\theta(q,k) = \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$. A $k \times \theta(q,k)$-matrix whose columns are pairwise linearly independent vectors from $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ generates a $[\theta(q,k)]$ linear code called simplex code and denoted by $S_{q,k}$. Two $k \times \theta(q,k)$-matrices with the same property (whose columns are pairwise linearly independent vectors from $\mathbb{F}_q^k$) generate equivalent (sometimes the same) codes and we use both as simplex codes with the same notation $S_{q,k}$.

We consider a special type of generator matrices of $S_{q,k}$ as follows

$$G_1 = (1), \quad G_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_{q-1} & 1 \\ G_k & G_k & G_k & \cdots & G_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

Note that by $\alpha_i$ (in bold) we denote the vector $(\alpha_1, \alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_i)$ of a suitable length.

Let $C$ be a $k$-dimensional linear code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $G$ be a generator matrix of $C$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $G$ doesn’t contain zero columns (otherwise we will remove the zero columns).
Definition 2.1. The characteristic vector of the \([n, k; q]\)-code \(C\) with respect to its generator matrix \(G\) is

\[
\chi(C, G) = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_{\theta(q,k)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\theta(q,k)}
\]

where \(\chi_i\) is the number of the columns of \(G\) that are equal or proportional (with nonzero coefficients) to the \(i\)-th column of the matrix \(G_k\).

We will denote a characteristic vector by \(\chi\) for short if it doesn’t lead to any confusion. Note that \(\sum_{i=1}^{\theta(q,k)} \chi_i\) is the number of nonzero columns of \(G\) which is equal to the length of \(C\).

A code \(C\) can have different characteristic vectors depending on the chosen generator matrices. If we permute the columns of the matrix \(G\) we will obtain an permutation equivalent code to \(C\) having the same characteristic vector. Moreover, from a characteristic vector one can restore the columns of the generator matrix \(G\) but eventually at different order and/or multiplied by nonzero elements of the field. This is not a problem for us because the equivalent codes have the same weight distributions.

All codewords of the code are the linear combinations of the rows of a given generator matrix \(G\). We can easily obtain all nonzero codewords of \(C\) using the multiplication

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
G_k^T \\
\alpha_2 G_k^T \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{q-1} G_k^T
\end{pmatrix} \cdot G = \begin{pmatrix}
G_k^T \cdot G \\
\alpha_2 G_k^T \cdot G \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{q-1} G_k^T \cdot G
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(F_q = \{0, 1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\}\). To know the weight distribution of the code \(C\), it is enough to compute the weights of the rows of the matrix \(G_k^T \cdot G\).

Further, we consider the matrices \(M_k = G_k^T \cdot G_k\), \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), where the multiplication is over \(F_q\). We denote by \(N(M_k)\) the matrix obtained from \(M_k\) by replacing all nonzero elements by 1.

Lemma 2.2. Let \(C\) be an \([n, k; q]\)-code, \(G\) be its generator matrix and \(\chi\) be the characteristic vector of \(C\) with respect to \(G\). Then the Hamming weight of the \(i\)-th row of the matrix \(G_k^T \cdot G\) (multiplication over \(F_q\)) is the \(i\)-th element of the column vector \(\chi^T\) (multiplication over \(\mathbb{Z}\)), \(i = 1, \ldots, \theta(q,k)\).

Proof. Let \(\theta = \theta(q,k)\) for short. We denote the \(i\)-th row of \(G_k^T\) by \(r_i\), \(i = 1, \ldots, \theta\), the columns of \(G\) by \(b_1, \ldots, b_n\), and the columns of \(G_k\) by \(c_1, \ldots, c_q\). So \(r_i \cdot G = (r_i \cdot b_1, \ldots, r_i \cdot b_n)\) is the \(i\)-th row of \(G_k^T \cdot G\) and \(r_i \cdot G_k = (r_i \cdot c_1, \ldots, r_i \cdot c_q)\) is the \(i\)-th row of \(M_k\), where \(x \cdot y = x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ky_k\) is the Euclidean inner product of the vectors \(x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\) over \(\mathbb{F}_q\). From Definition 2.1 we have that \(\chi_j\) of the columns of \(G\) are proportional to \(c_j\), \(j = 1, \ldots, q\). It follows that \(\mathrm{wt}(r_i \cdot G) = \sum_{j=1}^{\theta} \chi_j N(r_i \cdot c_j)\) (we summarize integers here), where \(N(r_i \cdot c_j) = 0\) if \(r_i \cdot c_j = 0\) and \(N(r_i \cdot c_j) = 1\) otherwise. Looking at the definition of the matrix \(N(M_k)\), we see that \(N(r_i \cdot c_j)\) is the element in the \(i\)-th row and \(j\)-th column. Hence \(N(M_k) \cdot \chi^T = (\sum_{j=1}^{\theta} \chi_j N(r_1 \cdot c_j), \sum_{j=1}^{\theta} \chi_j N(r_2 \cdot c_j), \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{\theta} \chi_j N(r_{\theta} \cdot c_j))^T\) and so \(\mathrm{wt}(r_i \cdot G)\) is the \(i\)-th element of this vector.

Lemma 2.2 and 3 show that the coordinates of the vector \(N(M_k) \cdot \chi^T\) are all weights in a maximal set of codewords in the code \(C\) with the following properties: (1) no two codewords in the set are proportional, and (2) any codeword outside this set is proportional to a codeword belonging to the set. Hence using this matrix by vector multiplication we can obtain the weight distribution of \(C\) without calculating all codewords.

Using (1) we obtain a recurrence relation for the matrices \(M_k\) as follows:

\[
M_1 = (1), \quad M_k = \begin{pmatrix}
M_k-1 & M_k-1 & \cdots & M_k-1 \\
M_k-1 & M_k-1 + J & \cdots & M_k-1 + \alpha_{q-1}J \\
M_k-1 & M_k-1 + \alpha_2J & \cdots & M_k-1 + \alpha_2\alpha_{q-1}J \\
\vdots \\
M_k-1 & M_k-1 + \alpha_{q-1}J & \cdots & M_k-1 + \alpha_{q-1}^2J \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & \alpha_{q-1} \\
\end{pmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 2.
\]
The matrix $J$ in the above formula is the $\theta(q,k-1) \times \theta(q,k-1)$ matrix with all elements equal to 1.

The form of the matrix $G_k$ is especially chosen. It enables the possibility to have only additions of matrices in the recurrence relation \((4)\).

Unfortunately, there is no comfortable recurrence relation for the matrices $N(M_k)$. To overcome this we introduce the notion of weighted distribution in the next section.

3. Weighted distribution of a vector and a matrix

As in the previous section, we consider the finite field $F_q = \{\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\}$ where $q$ is a prime power. If $q$ is prime then $F_q = \mathbb{Z}_q = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q-1\}$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_t) \in F_q^t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. The *weighted distribution* of the vector $b$ with respect to $\chi$ is the vector

$$b[\chi] = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{q-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^q,$$

where $\omega_j$ is equal to the sum of the coordinates $\chi_i$ of the vector $\chi$ such that $b_i = \alpha_j$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, q-1$. If no coordinate of $b$ is equal to $\alpha_j$ then $\omega_j = 0$.

**Example 1.** If $q = 3$, $\chi = (3, 4, 5, 1, 3)$ and $b = (1, 2, 2, 0, 0)$, then $b[\chi] = (1 + 3, 3, 4 + 5) = (4, 3, 9)$.

**Example 2.** If $q = 5$, $\chi = (8, 5, 3, 1)$ and $b = (3, 0, 1, 1)$, then $b[\chi] = (5, 4, 0, 8, 0)$.

We can explain the concept of weighted distribution of a vector using some replacements. Let $b_{0\uparrow}$ denotes the vector obtained from $b$ by replacing all zero elements by 1 and all other elements by 0. Analogously $b_{r\uparrow}$ denotes the vector obtained from $b$ by replacing all coordinates, equal to $\alpha_r$, by 1’s and all other coordinates by 0’s. Then the weighted distribution consists of the inner products of $b_{r\uparrow}$ and $\chi^T$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let us denote by $b_{\uparrow}$ the matrix whose rows are $b_{r\uparrow}$, $r = 0, \ldots, q-1$. Then

$$b[\chi] = (b_{0\uparrow} \cdot \chi^T, b_{1\uparrow} \cdot \chi^T, \ldots, b_{\alpha_{q-1}\uparrow} \cdot \chi^T) = (\begin{pmatrix} b_{0\uparrow} & b_{1\uparrow} & \ldots & b_{(q-1)\uparrow} \end{pmatrix}) \cdot \chi^T = (\begin{pmatrix} b_{\uparrow} \end{pmatrix})^T = \chi \cdot b_{\uparrow}^T.$$

**Example 3.** Let $q = 3$, $\chi = (6, 4, 2, 10)$ and $b = (0, 1, 1, 2)$. Then

$$b_{0\uparrow} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$$
$$b_{1\uparrow} = (0, 1, 1, 0)$$
$$b_{2\uparrow} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow b[\chi] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}^T = (6, 6, 10).$$

We give some elementary properties of the weighted distribution in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_t) \in F_q^t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the weighted distribution $b[\chi]$ of the vector $b$ with respect to $\chi$ has the following properties:

1. $\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \omega_j = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i$. This property explains that the weighted distribution is a specific distribution of the coordinates of $\chi$. 


2. If all coordinates of $b$ are equal to $\alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ then the weighted distribution $b^{[x]}$ consists of zeros except the $r$-th element that is equal to the sum of all coordinates of $\chi$, so $1^{[x]} = (0, \sum_{i=1}^t \chi_i, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $0^{[x]} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^t \chi_i, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$.

3. If $\mathcal{N}(b)$ is obtained from $b$ by replacing all non-zero coordinates by 1 then

$$\mathcal{N}(b)^{[x]} = \left(\omega_0, \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \omega_j, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \quad \mathcal{N}(b) \cdot \chi^T = \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \omega_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^t \chi_i\right) - \omega_0$$

(the summation is over $\mathbb{Z}$).

4. Let $q$ be a prime. If we add 1 to all coordinates of $b$ (over $\mathbb{F}_q$), the weighted distribution will be changed as circular shift right operation (we denote it by $SR$), or

$$(b + 1)^{[x]} = SR(b^{[x]}).$$

If $q$ is not a prime then $(b + 1)^{[x]}$ can be obtained from $b^{[x]}$ by a suitable permutation which is not a circular shift right in general but we will denote it also by $SR$.

5. Let $q$ be a prime. If we add the element $\alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ to each coordinate of $b$ then the new weighted distribution can be obtained by applying the operation $SR \cdot r$ times, or

$$(b + \alpha_r)^{[x]} = SR(\ldots SR(b^{[x]})) = SR_r(b^{[x]})$$

If $q$ is not a prime then $(b + \alpha_r)^{[x]}$ can be obtained from $b^{[x]}$ by a suitable permutation which we denote by $SR_{\alpha_r}$.

6. If $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi' \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, $\chi'' \in \mathbb{Z}^t$, $b' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}$, $b'' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, $\chi = (\chi' | \chi'')$, $b = (b' | b'')$ then

$$b^{[x]} = b'^{[x']} + b''^{[x'']}. $$

As the properties follow directly from the definition of weighted distribution, we omit the proof, but we give some remarks on them. The third property is important because it shows the connection between the weighted distribution and the product $\mathcal{N}(M_k) \cdot \chi^T$. For the fifth property, if $q$ is a prime then $\alpha_r = r = 1 + 1 + \cdots + 1$ and therefore $(b + r)^{[x]} = SR_r(b^{[x]})$. The following examples illustrate these properties.

**Example 4.** Let $q = 5$, $\chi = (9, 1, 4, 2, 6)$ and $b = (1, 0, 3, 2, 1)$. Then $b^{[x]} = (1, 15, 2, 4, 0)$,

$$\mathcal{N}(b) = (1, 0, 1, 1, 1), \quad \mathcal{N}(b)^{[x]} = (1, 21, 0, 0, 0), \quad \mathcal{N}(b) \cdot \chi^T = 21.$$ 

Moreover,

$$b + 1 = (2, 1, 4, 3, 2), \quad (b + 1)^{[x]} = (0, 1, 15, 2, 4),$$

$$b + 2 = (3, 2, 0, 4, 3), \quad (b + 2)^{[x]} = (4, 0, 1, 15, 2),$$

$$b + 3 = (4, 3, 1, 0, 4), \quad (b + 3)^{[x]} = (2, 4, 0, 1, 15).$$

**Example 5.** Let $q = 4$, $\chi = (3, 8, 10, 11)$ and $b = (1, 0, 1, \alpha_2)$. Then

$$b + 1 = (0, 1, 0, \alpha_3), \quad b^{[x]} = (8, 13, 11, 0), \quad (b + 1)^{[x]} = (13, 8, 0, 11).$$

The permutation here is $SR = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$. This permutation exchanges the elements 0 and 1, and $\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$, so it acts on the field in the same way as adding 1 to all elements. Furthermore,

$$b + \alpha_2 = (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 0), \quad b^{[x]} = (8, 13, 11, 0), \quad (b + \alpha_2)^{[x]} = (11, 0, 8, 13).$$

The permutation here is $SR_{\alpha_2} = (1 \ 3)(2 \ 4)$. It is easy to check that $SR_{\alpha_3} = (1 \ 4)(2 \ 3)$. 
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Example 6. Let \( q = 3 \),

\[
\chi = (3, 4, 5, 1, 3, 6, 4, 2, 10), \quad b = (1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2),
\]

\[
\theta^q(b) = (4, 3, 9), \quad \theta^q(b) = (6, 6, 10) \implies b[x] = (10, 9, 19)
\]

The following corollary presents the above properties in regard to the matrix representation.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( \chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t \) and \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^t, t \in \mathbb{N} \). Then

1. Each column of the matrix \( b \) consists of exactly one 1 and \( q - 1 \) 0’s.
2. If all coordinates of \( b \) are equal to the same element \( \alpha_r \), then the \( r \)-th row of the matrix \( b \) is the all-ones vector, and all other rows are zero vectors.
3. \( b = (0, 1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}) \cdot b_r, \mathcal{N}(b) = (0, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \cdot b_r \).
4. There exists a permutation matrix \( P_1 \) such that \( (b + 1)^{[x]} = b[x] \cdot P_1^T \). We will say that \( P_1 \) realizes the SR operation.
5. There exists a permutation matrix \( P_{\alpha_r} \) such that \( (b + \alpha_r)^{[x]} = b[x] \cdot P_{\alpha_r}^T, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}_q, r \geq 1 \). If \( q \) is a prime then \( P_{\alpha_r} = P_1^T \). In both cases we will say that \( P_{\alpha_r} \) realizes the SR operation.
6. \( b[x] = b[x'] + b[x''] = (b[x']b[x'']) \cdot (I_q), \) where \( I_q \) is the identity matrix of order \( q \).

Further, we define weighted distribution of a matrix.

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( s, t \in \mathbb{N}, \chi \in \mathbb{Z}^t, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{s \times t} \) and \( B_1, \ldots, B_s \) be the rows of the matrix \( B \). The weighted distribution of the matrix \( B \) with respect to the vector \( \chi \) is the matrix \( B^{[x]} \in \mathbb{Z}^{s \times q} \) whose rows are \( B_1^{[x]}, \ldots, B_s^{[x]} \).

Note that if \( B' \) and \( B'' \) are matrices with \( t \) columns then

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
B' \\
B''
\end{pmatrix}^{[x]} = \begin{pmatrix}
B'[x] \\
B''[x]
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Example 7. Let \( q = 3 \) and \( \chi = (1, 4, 3, 2) \). Then we have

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad \implies B[x] = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 8 & 0 \\
3 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 1 & 5
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We may naturally generalize Proposition 3.2 in regard to the weighted distribution of matrices.

From now on, let \( \chi \) be the characteristic vector of the \([n, k; q]\) code \( C \) with respect to its generator matrix \( G \). To calculate the weight distribution of the code we should calculate \( \mathcal{N}(M_k) \cdot \chi^T \).

**Theorem 3.5.** The \( i \)-th coordinate \( w_i \) of \( \mathcal{N}(M_k) \cdot \chi^T \) is equal to \( n - \omega_k^{(i)} \), where \( n \) is the length of the code, \( q \)
and \( m[x] = (\omega_0^{(i)}, \omega_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \omega_{q-1}^{(i)}) \) is the weighted distribution of the \( i \)-th row \( m_i \) of the matrix \( M_k \) with respect to \( \chi \).

**Proof.** According to the third property in Proposition 3.2

\[
\mathcal{N}(m_i) \cdot \chi^T = \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \omega_j = (\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \chi_i) - \omega_0.
\]

The definition of the characteristic vector gives us that \( \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \chi_i = n \) and therefore \( w_i = \mathcal{N}(m_i) \cdot \chi^T = n - \omega_0 \).
According to Lemma \ref{lemma:coordinates}, the coordinates of the vector \( \mathcal{N}(M_k) \cdot \chi^T = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_q) \) are the weights of all codewords from a maximal subset of the code, where the maximal subset has the following properties: (1) no two codewords in the set are proportional, and (2) any codeword outside this set is proportional to a codeword belonging to the set. Hence if \( N_j = \sharp \{ i : w_i = j \} \), then the number of codewords of weight \( j \) in the code is \( A_j = (q - 1)N_j \). According to Theorem \ref{th:recurrence}, \( w_i = n - \omega_0^{(i)} \) and so \( N_j = \sharp \{ i : \omega_0^{(i)} = n - j \} \).

**Example 8.** Let \( C \) be a ternary code with characteristic vector \( \chi = (1, 2, 0, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 3) \), so its length is \( n = 20 \) and its dimension \( k = 3 \). Then \( \mathcal{N}(M_3) \cdot \chi^T = (11, 14, 13, 15, 17, 15, 16, 9, 16, 13, 15, 13) \). Hence the weight enumerator of \( C \) is \( W(y) = 1 + 2(y^9 + y^{11} + 4y^{13} + y^{14} + 3y^{15} + 2y^{16} + y^{17}) \). The weighted distribution of the matrix \( M_3 \) with respect to the characteristic vector \( \chi \) is

\[
M_3^{(\chi)} = \begin{pmatrix}
9 & 11 & 0 \\
6 & 10 & 4 \\
7 & 4 & 9 \\
7 & 10 & 3 \\
5 & 7 & 8 \\
3 & 10 & 7 \\
5 & 8 & 7 \\
4 & 13 & 3 \\
11 & 4 & 5 \\
4 & 8 & 8 \\
7 & 4 & 9 \\
5 & 8 & 7 \\
7 & 11 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let’s split the characteristic vector \( \chi \) of the \([n, k; q]\) code \( C \) into \( q + 1 \) parts as follows

\[
\chi = (\chi^{(0)}|\chi^{(1)}| \cdots |\chi^{(q-1)}|\chi^{(q)})
\]

where \( \chi^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^q, j = 0, \ldots, q - 1, \) and \( \chi^{(q)} \in \mathbb{Z} \). Note that \( \theta(q, k) = q\theta(q, k - 1) + 1 \). Then the following recurrence relation holds

\[
M_k^{(\chi)} = \begin{pmatrix}
M_0^{(\chi^{(0)})} + M_1^{(\chi^{(1)})} + \cdots + M_k^{(\chi^{(k-1)})} + 0^{(\chi^{(q)})} \\
M_0^{(\chi^{(0)})} + (M_0 + J)^{\chi^{(1)}} + \cdots + (M_0 + \alpha q - 1 J)^{\chi^{(k-1)}} + \alpha_2^{(\chi^{(q)})} \\
M_0^{(\chi^{(0)})} + (M_0 + \alpha q - 1 J)^{\chi^{(1)}} + \cdots + (M_0 + \alpha q - 1 J)^{\chi^{(k-1)}} + \alpha_2^{(\chi^{(q)})} \\
M_0^{(\chi^{(0)})} + (M_0 + \alpha q - 1 J)^{\chi^{(1)}} + \cdots + (M_0 + \alpha q - 1 J)^{\chi^{(k-1)}} + \alpha_2^{(\chi^{(q)})} \\
0^{(\chi^{(0)})} + 1^{(\chi^{(1)})} + \cdots + 0^{(\chi^{(q)})} + 1^{(\chi^{(q)})}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

So we can use permutations and additions to compute \( M_k^{(\chi)} \) from \( M_0^{(\chi^{(0)})}, M_1^{(\chi^{(1)})}, \ldots, M_k^{(\chi^{(k-1)})} \) and \( \chi^{(q)} \). Moreover, \( 1^{(\chi^{(0)})}, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}^{(\chi^{(q)})} \) can be obtained from \( 0^{(\chi)} \) by SR operation. Note that all coordinates of \( 0^{(\chi)} \) are 0’s except the first column whose elements are equal to the sum of all coordinates of \( \chi \).
Example 9. If \( q = 3 \) the recurrence relation \((5)\) is

\[
M_k^{[\chi]} = \begin{pmatrix}
M_k^{[\chi^{(0)}]} + M_k^{[\chi^{(1)}]} + M_k^{[\chi^{(2)}]} + 0^{[\chi^{(3)}]}
M_k^{-1}^{[\chi^{(0)}]} + \text{SR}(M_k^{[\chi^{(1)}]}) + \text{SR}_2(M_k^{[\chi^{(2)}]}) + 1^{[\chi^{(3)}]}
M_k^{-1}^{[\chi^{(0)}]} + \text{SR}_2(M_k^{[\chi^{(1)}]}) + \text{SR}(M_k^{[\chi^{(2)}]}) + 2^{[\chi^{(3)}]}
0^{[\chi^{(0)}]} + 1^{[\chi^{(1)}]} + 2^{[\chi^{(2)}]} + 1^{[\chi^{(3)}]}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(7)

Let \( k = 3 \) and \( \chi = (0, 4, 3, 2, 0, 8, 5, 1, 1, 4, 3, 2, 3) \). Note that \( \theta(3, 3) = 13 \). We split \( \chi \) into 4 parts

\[
\chi^{(0)} = (0, 4, 3, 2), \quad \chi^{(1)} = (0, 8, 5, 1), \quad \chi^{(2)} = (1, 4, 3, 2), \quad \chi^{(3)} = 3.
\]

To obtain \( M_3^{[\chi]} \), we have to calculate first \( M_2^{[\chi^{(0)}]}, M_2^{[\chi^{(1)}]} \) and \( M_2^{[\chi^{(2)}]} \) where

\[
M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

By definitions \((3,1)\) and \((3,3)\) we obtain

\[
M_2^{[\chi^{(0)}]} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 7 & 0 \\
3 & 2 & 4 \\
4 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 6 & 3
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
M_2^{[\chi^{(1)}]} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 13 & 0 \\
5 & 1 & 8 \\
8 & 0 & 6 \\
0 & 9 & 5
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
M_2^{[\chi^{(2)}]} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 8 & 0 \\
3 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 1 & 5 \\
1 & 6 & 3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now we are ready to calculate \( M_3^{[\chi]} \) from \( M_2^{[\chi^{(0)}]}, M_2^{[\chi^{(1)}]}, M_2^{[\chi^{(2)}]} \) and \( \chi^{(3)} \) by \((7)\):

\[
M_3^{[\chi]} = \begin{pmatrix}
4 & 21 & 11 & (8 & 28 & 0) & 14 & 6 & 16 & 19 & 1 & 16 \\
15 & 9 & 12 & 10 & 11 & 15 & 14 & 14 & 8 & 11 & 16 & 9 \\
8 & 13 & 15 & 12 & 12 & 12 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 9 & 10 & 17 \\
9 & 17 & 10 & (9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Example 10. Let \( q = 4, k = 2 \) and \( \chi = (0, 3, 1, 4, 2) \). Note that \( \theta(4, 2) = 5 \) and

\[
M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 & 1 \\
1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 & 0 & \alpha_2 \\
1 & \alpha_2 & 0 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 \\
0 & 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then by Definition \((3,3)\)

\[
M_2^{[\chi]} = \begin{pmatrix}
(1, 1, 1, 1, 0)^{[\chi]} \\
(1, 0, \alpha_3, \alpha_2, 1)^{[\chi]} \\
(1, \alpha_3, \alpha_2, 0, \alpha_2)^{[\chi]} \\
(1, \alpha_2, 0, \alpha_3, \alpha_3)^{[\chi]} \\
(0, 1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 1)^{[\chi]}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\
4 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
1 & 0 & 3 & 6 \\
0 & 5 & 1 & 4
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
On the other hand, we may split the characteristic vector $\chi$ into 5 parts of length 1, and then by Definition 3.6.

$$M_k^{[x]} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M_1^{[x_0]} + M_1^{[x_1]} + M_1^{[x_2]} + M_1^{[x_3]} + (0)^{[x_4]} \\ M_1^{[x_0]} + (M_1 + J)^{[x_1]} + (M_1 + \alpha_2 J)^{[x_2]} + (M_1 + \alpha_3 J)^{[x_3]} + (1)^{[x_4]} \\ M_1^{[x_0]} + (M_1 + \alpha_3 J)^{[x_1]} + (M_1 + J)^{[x_2]} + (M_1 + \alpha_2 J)^{[x_3]} + (1)^{[x_4]} \\ (0)^{[x_0]} + (1)^{[x_1]} + (\alpha_2)^{[x_2]} + (\alpha_3)^{[x_3]} + (1)^{[x_4]} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} M_1^{[x_0]} + M_1^{[x_1]} + M_1^{[x_2]} + M_1^{[x_3]} + (0)^{[x_4]} \\ M_1^{[x_0]} + \text{SR}(M_1^{[x_1]}) + \text{SR}_a_2(M_1^{[x_1]}) + \text{SR}_a_3(M_1^{[x_1]}) + (1)^{[x_4]} \\ M_1^{[x_0]} + \text{SR}_a_2(M_1^{[x_1]}) + \text{SR}_a_3(M_1^{[x_1]}) + \text{SR}(M_1^{[x_1]}) + (\alpha_2)^{[x_4]} \\ (0)^{[x_0]} + (1)^{[x_1]} + (\alpha_2)^{[x_2]} + (\alpha_3)^{[x_3]} + (1)^{[x_4]} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{cccc} (0,0,0,0) + (0,3,0,0) + (0,1,0,0) + (0,4,0,0) + (2,0,0,0) \\ (0,0,0,0) + (3,0,0,0) + (0,0,0,1) + (0,0,4,0) + (0,2,0,0) \\ (0,0,0,0) + (0,0,3,0) + (0,0,1,0) + (4,0,0,0) + (0,2,0,0) \\ (0,0,0,0) + (0,3,0,0) + (0,0,1,0) + (0,0,4,0) + (0,2,0,0) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 2 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 5 & 1 & 4 \end{array} \right).$$

Recall that in this case $M_1 = (1)$, $\text{SR} = (1\,2\,(3\,4))$, $\text{SR}_a_2 = (1\,3\,(2\,4))$, $\text{SR}_a_3 = (1\,4\,(2\,3))$.

Next we define another important concept for the weighted distribution of the matrix $M_k$ which we use in the algorithms.

**Definition 3.6.** Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{\theta(q,k)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\theta(q,k)}$. The **partial weighted distributions** $M_k^{[x]}(l)$, $l = 1, \ldots, k$, is defined recursively as follows

1. $M_k^{[x]}(k) = M_k^{[x]}$.
2. For $1 \leq l < k$, the vector $\chi$ is split into $q + 1$ parts as in (5) and

$$M_k^{[x]}(l) = \left( \begin{array}{c} M_k^{[x_0]}(l) \\ \cdots \\ M_k^{[x_{(q-1)}}(l) \\ M_k^{[x_{(q)}}(l) \end{array} \right).$$

The matrix $M_k^{[x]}(1)$ is a $\theta(q,k) \times q$ matrix with rows $M_1^{[x_i]}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \theta(q,k)$, where $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{\theta(q,k)})$. Since $M_1 = (1)$, the columns of the matrix $M_k^{[x]}(1)$ are zero vectors except the second one which is equal to $\chi$.

Note that the last row of the matrices $M_k^{[x]}(l)$ for $l = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ is the same, namely $M_k^{[x_{(q)}}$. Furthermore, the row before the last one in $M_k^{[x]}(l)$ is the same for $l = 1, \ldots, k - 2$. Actually, for all $l < k$ there are rows equal to $M_1^{[x]}$ in the matrix $M_k^{[x]}(l)$ that are the same as in the previous matrices $M_k^{[x]}(l')$, $l' < l$. We call them **inactive rows**. There are $\theta(q,k - l)$ inactive rows in $M_k^{[x]}(l)$, $l = 2, \ldots, k - 1$. 
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Example 11. Let $q = 3$, $k = 3$ and $\chi = (0, 4, 3, 2, 0, 8, 5, 1, 1, 4, 3, 2, 3)$. Then

$$M_3^{[x]}(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3^{[x]}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 & 5 \\ 1 & 13 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 8 \\ 8 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 9 & 5 \\ 2 & 8 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 6 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3^{[x]}(3) = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 28 & 0 \\ 14 & 6 & 16 \\ 19 & 1 & 16 \\ 4 & 21 & 11 \\ 10 & 11 & 15 \\ 14 & 14 & 8 \\ 11 & 16 & 9 \\ 11 & 12 & 13 \\ 15 & 9 & 12 \\ 8 & 13 & 15 \\ 9 & 10 & 17 \\ 12 & 12 & 12 \\ 9 & 17 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 12. Let $q = 3$, $k = 4$. Using (5) we split a characteristic vector $\chi$ into parts as follows

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(0,0)} | \chi^{(0,1)} | \chi^{(0,2)} | \chi^{(0,3)} | \chi^{(1,0)} | \chi^{(1,1)} | \chi^{(1,2)} | \chi^{(1,3)} | \chi^{(2,0)} | \chi^{(2,1)} | \chi^{(2,2)} | \chi^{(2,3)} | \chi^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}$$

In $M_4^{[x]}(3)$ there is one inactive row, in $M_4^{[x]}(2)$ there are 4 inactive rows:

$$M_4^{[x]}(3) = \begin{pmatrix} M_3^{[x]}(3) \\ M_3^{[x]}(1) \\ M_3^{[x]}(2) \\ M_1^{[x]}(3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_4^{[x]}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} M_3^{[x]}(3) \\ M_3^{[x]}(1) \\ M_3^{[x]}(2) \\ M_1^{[x]}(3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_2^{[x]}(3) \\ M_2^{[x]}(1) \\ M_2^{[x]}(2) \\ M_1^{[x]}(3) \end{pmatrix}$$

Till the end of this section, we present an algorithm for calculating $M_k^{[x]}$ computing successively $M_k^{[x]}(1)$, $M_k^{[x]}(2)$,..., $M_k^{[x]}(k - 1)$, $M_k^{[x]}(k)$. The pseudo code of the main procedure is given in Algorithm 11.

Algorithm 2 shows how to obtain $M_k^{[x]}(l)$ from $M_k^{[x]}(l - 1)$. It consists of three main transformations which we call ADD0, LASTROW and ALLROWS. Let explain them in the case $l = k$. We start with the array

$$M_k^{[x]}(k - 1) = \begin{pmatrix} M_k^{[x]}(0) \\ M_k^{[x]}(1) \\ \vdots \\ M_k^{[x](s - 1)} \\ M_k^{[x]}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_k^{[x]}(0) \\ M_k^{[x]}(1) \\ \vdots \\ M_k^{[x](s - 1)} \\ 0, \chi^{(0)}, 0, \ldots, 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
Algorithm 1 Main Procedure

Input: integers \(q\) and \(k\), and a vector \(\chi\) of length \(\theta(q,k) = \frac{q^k - 1}{q-1}\) with integer coordinates \(// k\) is the dimension of the considered \(q\)-ary code given by its characteristic vector \(\chi\)

Output: the array \(H\) \(// H = M^{[\chi]}_k\)

1: \(H := M^{[\chi]}_k(1)\)
2: \(\theta_1 := 1;\)
3: for \(l = 2\) to \(k\) do
4: Initialize an array \(a\) of length \(k\), \(a := 0\) \(// a\) help array for monitoring the inactive rows
5: \(\theta_0 := \theta_1;\)
6: \(\theta_1 := \theta(q,l) = \frac{q^l - 1}{q-1} = q \cdot \theta_0 + 1;\)
7: \(r := 0;\)
8: while \(r < \theta) do
9: \(r_0 := r \quad // \text{r}_0 + 1\text{ is the index of the first row of the considered submatrix}\)
10: \(r := r + \theta_1 \quad // \text{the index for the last row of the considered submatrix}\)
11: \(\text{NewH}(H, r_0, r, \theta_0) \quad // A\) function for computing the weighted distribution of the matrix \(M_1\) with respect to the current part of \(\chi\)
12: \(s := l;\)
13: \(a[s] := a[s] + 1;\)
14: while \(a[s] = q) do
15: \(r := r + 1 \quad //\) skipping an inactive row
16: \(a[s] := 0;\)
17: \(s := s + 1;\)
18: \(a[s] := a[s] + 1;\)
19: end while
20: end while
21: end for

1. \text{ADD0:} First we apply the left circular shift operation on the last row of the matrix \(M^{[\chi]}_k(k - 1)\). Then we add the obtained vector \(\text{lcs}(M^{[\chi]}_k(k - 1)) = (\chi^{(q)} - 0, \ldots, 0)\) to all rows of \(M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}(k - 1)\).

\[
M^{[\chi]}_k(k - 1) = \begin{pmatrix}
M^{[\chi]}_k^{(0)}
M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}
M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}^{(1)}
\cdots
M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}^{(q-1)}
0, \chi^{(q)}, 0, \ldots, 0
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}
M^{[\chi]}_k^{(0)}
M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}
M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}^{(1)}
\cdots
M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}^{(q-1)}
0, \chi^{(q)}, 0, \ldots, 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

2. \text{LASTROW:} In this step we calculate the last row of \(M^{[\chi]}_k(k)\) which is equal to

\[
(0^{\chi^{(0)}}, 1^{\chi^{(1)}}, \ldots, \alpha^{q-1}^{\chi^{(q-1)}}, 1^{\chi^{(q)}}) = (\sum_{i=1}^{\theta_0} \chi_i, \sum_{i=0+1}^{2\theta_0} \chi_i + \chi^{(q)}, \ldots, \sum_{i=\theta_1-\theta_0}^{\theta_1-1} \chi_i),
\]

where \(\theta_0 = \theta(q,k - 1)\) and \(\theta_1 = \theta(q,k)\). Recall that \(\theta_1 = q \cdot \theta_0 + 1\). The first property shows that \(\sum_{i=j\theta_0 + 1}^{(j+1)\theta_0} \chi_i\) is equal to the sum of the coordinates of the first row (or any of the next \(\theta_0 - 1\) rows) of the matrix \(M^{[\chi]}_{k-1}(k-1)\). That’s why in \text{LASTROW} we summarize the coordinates of the first rows of the
Algorithm 2 Function NewH(H, r₀, r, θ₀)

Input: The array H and the integers r₀, r, θ₀ // parameters that fix a considered submatrix

Output: an updated array H // in range of the considered submatrix

1: Initialize the auxiliary array TEMP of size q × q

2: for i = 1 to r₀ do
3:  H[r₀ + θ₀ + i] := H[r₀ + θ₀ + i] + lcs(H[r]) // The transformation Add0
4: end for

5: H[r] = (∑_{i=0}^{q-1} H[r + 1, i], ∑_{i=0}^{q-1} H[r + θ₀ + 1, i], ..., ∑_{i=0}^{q-1} H[r + (q − 1) * θ₀ + 1, i]); // LastRow
6: for i = 1 to θ₀ do
7:  for j = 0 to q − 1 do
8:    TEMP[j] := H[r₀ + j * θ₀ + i]
9:   end for
10:  H[r₀ + i] := TEMP[0] + TEMP[1] + ... + TEMP[q − 1]
11: end for
12: for j = 1 to q − 1 do
13:  H[r₀ + j * θ₀ + i] := TEMP[0] + SR_{α,j}(TEMP[1]) + ... + SR_{α,α_q−1}(TEMP[q − 1]) // AllRows
14: end for

matrices M_{k−1}^{(χ)} , j = 0, 1, . . . , q − 1, and put the sums as coordinates in the last row of the new matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 0^{(χ)} \\
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 0^{(χ)} \\
\vdots \\
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 0^{(χ)} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{θ₀} χ_i, \sum_{i=θ₀+1}^{θ₀+q−1} χ_i + χ^{(q)} , ..., \sum_{i=q−θ₀}^{q−1} χ_i
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 0^{(χ)} \\
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 0^{(χ)} \\
\vdots \\
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 0^{(χ)} \\
\sum_{i=0}^{q−1} ω_{0,i}, \sum_{i=1}^{q−1} ω_{1,i}, ..., \sum_{i=q−1}^{q−1} ω_{q−1,i}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where (ω_j0, . . . , ω_jq−1) is the first row of the matrix M_{k−1}^{(χ)}, j = 0, 2, . . . , q − 1, and (ω_1,0, . . . , ω_1,q−1) is the first row of the transformed in Add0 submatrix M_{k−1}^{(χ)}.

3. AllRows: This transformation consists of q similar steps AllRows[j], j = 0, 1, . . . , q − 1, repeated θ₀ = θ(q, k − 1) times. To realize this transformation, we use an auxiliary q × q array TEMP. AllRows[j] acts on TEMP as follows:

AllRows[0](TEMP) = TEMP[0] + TEMP[1] + ... + TEMP[q − 1]
AllRows[j](TEMP) = TEMP[0] + SR_{α,j}(TEMP[1]) + ... + SR_{α,α_q−1}(TEMP[q − 1]), j > 0

In the beginning TEMP consists of the first rows of all submatrices M_{k−1}^{(χ)}, and in the i-th step TEMP consists of the i-th rows of these submatrices. Hence the transformation AllRows gives us

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 1^{(χ)} \\
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 1^{(χ)} \\
\vdots \\
M_{k−1}^{(χ)} + 1^{(χ)} \\
0^{(χ)} + 1^{(χ)} + ... + \alpha_{q−1}^{(χ)}
\end{pmatrix} = M_{k}^{(χ)}.
\]

In the algorithm, in the computation of M_{k}^{(χ)}(l) from M_{k}^{(χ)}(l − 1) we keep the inactive rows unchanged and apply the transformations described above to obtain M_{k}^{(χ′)}(l) from M_{k}^{(χ′)}(l − 1) where χ′ is a suitable part of χ.
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Example 13. Applying Algorithms $I, II$ for $q = 3, k = 3, \chi = (0, 4, 3, 2, 0, 8, 5, 1, 1, 4, 3, 2, 3)$ we have

$$M_{3}^{[x]}(1) \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \text{ADD0} & \text{LASTROW} & \text{ALLROWS} & M_{3}^{[x]}(2) \\ \hline 0,0,0 & 0,0,0 & 0,0,0 & 2,7,0 \\ 0,4,0 & 2,4,0 & 2,4,0 & 3,2,4 \\ 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 & 4,0,5 \\ 0,2,0 & 0,2,0 & 0,6,3 & 0,6,3 \\ 0,0,0 & 0,0,0 & 0,0,0 & 1,1,3,0 \\ 0,8,0 & 1,8,0 & 1,8,0 & 5,1,8 \\ 0,5,0 & 0,5,0 & 0,5,0 & 8,0,6 \\ 0,1,0 & 0,1,0 & 0,9,5 & 0,9,5 \\ 0,1,0 & 0,1,0 & 0,1,0 & 2,8,0 \\ 0,4,0 & 2,4,0 & 2,4,0 & 3,3,4 \\ 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 & 4,1,5 \\ 0,2,0 & 0,2,0 & 1,6,3 & 1,6,3 \\ 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 \end{array}$$

$$M_{3}^{[x]}(2) \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} \text{ADD0} & \text{LASTROW} & \text{ADD0} & \text{LASTROW} & \text{ADD0} & \text{LASTROW} \\ \hline 2,7,0 & 2,7,0 & 2,7,0 & 8,28,0 & 8,28,0 & 8,28,0 \\ 3,2,4 & 3,2,4 & 3,2,4 & 14,6,16 & 14,6,16 & 14,6,16 \\ 4,0,5 & 4,0,5 & 4,0,5 & 4,0,5 & 19,11,16 & 19,11,16 \\ 0,6,3 & 0,6,3 & 0,6,3 & 0,6,3 & 0,6,3 & 0,6,3 \\ 1,13,0 & 4,13,0 & 10,11,15 & 10,11,15 & 10,11,15 & 10,11,15 \\ 5,1,8 & 8,1,8 & 8,1,8 & 14,14,8 & 14,14,8 & 14,14,8 \\ 8,0,6 & 11,0,6 & 11,0,6 & 11,0,6 & 11,16,9 & 11,16,9 \\ 0,9,5 & 3,9,5 & 3,9,5 & 3,9,5 & 3,9,5 & 3,9,5 \\ 2,8,0 & 2,8,0 & 2,8,0 & 15,9,12 & 15,9,12 & 15,9,12 \\ 3,3,4 & 3,3,4 & 3,3,4 & 8,13,15 & 8,13,15 & 8,13,15 \\ 4,1,5 & 4,1,5 & 4,1,5 & 4,1,5 & 9,10,17 & 9,10,17 \\ 1,6,3 & 1,6,3 & 1,6,3 & 1,6,3 & 1,6,3 & 1,6,3 \\ 0,3,0 & 0,3,0 & 9,17,10 & 9,17,10 & 9,17,10 & 9,17,10 \end{array}$$

To explain more formally the main algorithm we introduce a matrix representation of transform steps between the partial weighted distributions.

We put all rows of $M_{k}^{[x]}(l)$ in one row vector of length $q\theta(q, k)$ denoted by $\hat{M}_{k}^{[x]}(l)$, $l = 1, \ldots, k$. We denote $\hat{M}_{k}^{[x]} = \hat{M}_{k}^{[x]}(k)$ and $\hat{\chi} = \hat{M}_{k}^{[x]}(1)$ for short.

In the following theorem, we use matrices of three types, namely:

- the $q \times q$ permutation matrices $P_{\alpha}$, which realize the permutations $SR_{\alpha}$, respectively. For example, if $q$ is a prime then $P_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I_{q-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ realizes the circular shift right operation, and $P_{j} = P_{1}^{j}$. In all cases $P_{0} = I_{q}$;
- the $q \times q$ matrices $E_{j}$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, q - 1$, where the $j + 1$-th row of $E_{j}$ is the all-ones vector, and the other rows of the matrix are zero vectors;
- the matrices $T_{k,l}$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \leq l \leq k$. We define these matrices in the following way:

1) If $k = l = 2$, then

$$T_{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{q} & I_{q} & \cdots & I_{q} & P_{1}^{-1} \\ I_{q} & P_{1} & P_{2} & \cdots & P_{q-1} \\ I_{q} & P_{2} & P_{2} & \cdots & P_{q-1}P_{1}^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I_{q} & P_{q-1} & P_{q-1} & \cdots & P_{q-1}P_{1}^{-1} \\ E_{0} & E_{1} & E_{2} & \cdots & E_{q-1} \\ E_{0} & E_{1} & E_{2} & \cdots & E_{q-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
2) If \( k > l \), then
\[
T_{k,l} = \begin{pmatrix}
I_q \otimes T_{k-1,l} & 0 \\
0 & I_q
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(9)

3) If \( k = l > 2 \) then
\[
T_{k,k} = \begin{pmatrix}
I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes I_q & I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes I_q & \ldots & I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes I_q & 1 \otimes P_1^{-1} \\
I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes I_q & I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes P_1 & \ldots & I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes P_{\alpha_{q-1}} & 1 \otimes I_q \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes I_q & I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes P_{\alpha_{q-1}} & \ldots & I_{\theta(q,k-1)} \otimes P_{\alpha_{q-1}^2} & 1 \otimes P_{\alpha_{q-1}}P_1^{-1} \\
E_0 & 0 & \ldots & E_{q-1} & 0 & \ldots & E_{q-1} & 0 & I_q
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(10)

Here \( \otimes \) means Kroneker product.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( \chi \) be a characteristic vector of an \([n,k;q]\)-code. Then
\[
\left( \mathbb{M}_k^{[\chi]}(l) \right)^T = T_{k,l} \cdot \left( \mathbb{M}_k^{[\chi]}(l-1) \right)^T, \quad l = 2, \ldots, k,
\]  

and
\[
\left( \mathbb{M}_k^{[\chi]} \right)^T = T_{k,k} \cdot T_{k,k-1} \cdots T_{k,2} \cdot \chi^T
\]  

**Proof.** Let \( k = 2 \). Then \( \theta(q,2) = q + 1 \), \( M_2 \) is a \((q + 1) \times (q + 1)\) matrix, and the characteristic vector \( \chi \) has length \( q + 1 \), let \( \chi = (\chi_0, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_q) \). To obtain \( M_2^{[\chi]}(2) \), we have to apply the transformations ADD0, LASTROW and ALLROWS to \( M_2^{[\chi]}(1) \) (see Definition 3.6). These three transformations have matrix representations. The transform matrices in this case are square matrices of size \( q(q+1) \). The three transformation matrices corresponding to ADD0, LASTROW and ALLROWS, respectively, are

\[
T_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
I_q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_q & \cdots & 0 & P_1^{-1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & I_q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_q
\end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{\text{last}} = \begin{pmatrix}
I_q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_q & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \vdots & I_q & 0 \\
E_0 & E_1 & \cdots & E_{q-1} & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]  

(13)

\[
T_{\text{all}} = \begin{pmatrix}
I_q & I_q & I_q & \cdots & I_q & 0 \\
I_q & P_1 & I_q & \cdots & I_q & 0 \\
I_q & P_{\alpha_2} & P_{\alpha_{2q-1}} & \cdots & I_q & 0 \\
I_q & P_{\alpha_{q-1}} & \cdots & P_{\alpha_{2q-1}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & I_q & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The matrix \( T_{2,2} \) is the product of the above matrices:
\[
T_{2,2} = T_{\text{all}} \cdot T_{\text{last}} \cdot T_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
I_q & I_q & I_q & \cdots & I_q & P_1^{-1} \\
I_q & P_1 & P_{\alpha_2} & \cdots & P_{\alpha_{q-1}} & I_q \\
I_q & P_{\alpha_2} & P_{\alpha_{2q-1}} & \cdots & P_{\alpha_{q-1}}P_1^{-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
I_q & P_{\alpha_{q-1}} & P_{\alpha_{q-1}a_{2q-1}} & \cdots & P_{\alpha_{2q-1}} & P_{\alpha_{q-1}}P_1^{-1} \\
E_0 & E_1 & E_2 & \cdots & E_{q-1} & E_1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(14)
Thus one can directly check validity of the equality \((\hat{M}_2^{(x)})^T = T_{2,2} \cdot (\hat{M}_2^{(1)})^T\).

Let \(k > 2\). We assume that the theorem holds for every \(k' \in \mathbb{Z}\) where \(2 \leq k' < k\). We split the characteristic vector \(\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^{q(q,k)}\) into \(q + 1\) parts according \([3]\).

If \(k > l\) then

\[
M_k^{[x]}(l) = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{k-1}^{[x(0)]}(l) \\
\ldots \\
M_{k-1}^{[x(q-1)]}(l)
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
M_k^{[x]}(l - 1) = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{k-1}^{[x(0)]}(l - 1) \\
\ldots \\
M_{k-1}^{[x(q-1)]}(l - 1)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Following the induction hypothesis we have

\[
(\hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(0)]}(l))^T = T_{k-1,l} \cdot (\hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(0)]}(l - 1))^T
\]
\[
(\hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(1)]}(l))^T = T_{k-1,l} \cdot (\hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(1)]}(l - 1))^T
\]
\[
\ldots
\]
\[
(\hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(q-1)]}(l))^T = T_{k-1,l} \cdot (\hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(q-1)]}(l - 1))^T
\]

So the assertion follows directly.

If \(k = l\) we have

\[
M_k^{[x]}(k) = M_k^{[x]} \quad \text{and} \quad M_k^{[x]}(k - 1) = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{k-1}^{[x(0)]} \\
M_{k-1}^{[x(1)]} \\
\ldots \\
M_{k-1}^{[x(q-1)]} \\
M_{k-1}^{[x(q)]}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and we have to apply \([4]\). It turns out that

\[
\hat{M}_k^{[x]} = T_{k,k} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(0)]} | \hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(1)]} | \ldots | \hat{M}_{k-1}^{[x(q-1)]} | \hat{M}_{1}^{[x(q)]}
\end{pmatrix}^T = T_{k,k} \cdot \hat{M}_k^{[x]}(k - 1).
\]

The main assertion follows directly.

4. Reduced weighted distribution and Walsh transform

The weighted distribution of a vector \(b\) with respect to a characteristic vector of a linear code is a vector of length \(q\), and the sum of its coordinates is equal to the length of the code. It turns out that knowing only \(q - 1\) of these coordinates we can easily obtain the remaining one. Therefore we introduce a reduced weighted distribution.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \(\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^t\) and \(b \in F_q^t, t \in \mathbb{N}\). The reduced weighted distribution of the vector \(b\) with respect to \(\chi\) is the vector

\[
b^{[x]} = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{q-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{q-1},
\]

where \(b^{[x]} = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{q-1})\) is the weighted distribution of \(b\) with respect to \(\chi\).

**Lemma 4.2.** If \(\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^t\) and \(b \in F_q^t, t \in \mathbb{N}\), then

\[
(b^{[x]})^T = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix} \cdot (b^{[x]})^T.
\]
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The proof follows immediately from the definition.
We have the following properties of the reduced weighted distribution of the vector $b$ with respect to $\chi$.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the reduced weighted distribution $b^{[\chi]}_r$ of the vector $b$ with respect to $\chi$ has the following properties:

1(r) The sum of the coordinates of $b^{[\chi]}_r$ is

$$(q - 1)\omega_0 - \omega_1 - \ldots - \omega_{q-1} = q\omega_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \omega_i = q\omega_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i.$$  

2(r) If $b = \alpha_j$ then the reduced weighted distribution $b^{[\chi]}_r$ consists of zeros except the $j$-th element which is equal to $-\sum_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i$, so $1^{[\chi]}_r = \left( -\sum_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i, 0, \ldots, 0 \right)$, $0^{[\chi]}_r = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i, \sum_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i \right).$

3(r) If $N(b)$ is obtained from $b$ by replacing all non-zero coordinates by 1 then

$$N(b) \cdot \chi^T = (q - 1)\omega_0 - b^{[\chi]}_r \cdot 1.$$  

4(r) Let $q$ be a prime. If we add 1 to all coordinates of $b$ (over $\mathbb{F}_q$), the reduced weighted distribution will be changed as follows

$$b^{[\chi]}_r = (\omega_0 - \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_0 - \omega_{q-1}) \implies (b + 1)^{[\chi]}_r = (\omega_q - 1 - \omega_0, \omega_q - 1 - \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_q - 1 - \omega_{q-2}).$$

It turns out that $((b + 1)^{[\chi]}_r)^T = R_1 \cdot (b^{[\chi]}_r)^T$ where

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

If $q$ is a power of a prime then $((b + 1)^{[\chi]}_r)^T = R_1 \cdot (b^{[\chi]}_r)^T$, where $R_1$ is obtained from the matrix $P_1$ by removing first row and first column and changing all 0’s in the column $(10\ldots0)^T$ in $P_1$ to −1’s. So

If $P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & B_1 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots & B_2 & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$ then $R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & -1 \\ -1 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}.$

5(r) Let $q$ be a prime. If we add the element $j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ to each coordinate of $b$ then the new reduced weighted distribution is $((b + j)^{[\chi]}_r)^T = R_1 \cdot (b^{[\chi]}_r)^T$. For arbitrary $q$ (which is a power of a prime) we have a similar situation like in the previous property.

6(r) If $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi' \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, $\chi'' \in \mathbb{Z}^t$, $b' \in \mathbb{F}_q^s$, $b'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$, $\chi = (\chi'|\chi'')$, $b = (b'|b'')$ then

$$b^{[\chi]}_r = b^{[\chi']}_r + b^{[\chi'']}_r.$$  

The properties 1(r) – 6(r) follow immediately from Proposition 4.2 and Definition 4.1. For the property 4(r) in the general case (when $q$ is a prime power), if the permutation corresponding to the matrix $P_1$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & q-1 \\ j & i_1 & \ldots & i_{q-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \{j, i_1, \ldots, i_{q-1}\} = \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}, then

$$P_1 \cdot (b^{[\chi]}_r)^T = P_1 \cdot (\omega_0, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{q-1})^T = (\omega_j, \omega_{i_1}, \ldots, \omega_{i_{q-1}})^T = ((b + 1)^{[\chi]}_r)^T,$$  

and
\[ R_1 \cdot (b^{[x]}_1) \cdot T = R_1 \cdot (\omega_0 - \omega_1 - \omega_\ell - \omega_{\ell-1})^T = (\omega_0 - \omega_1 - \omega_\ell - \omega_{\ell-1} + \omega_0 + \omega_\ell + \omega_{\ell-1})^T = (\omega_0 - \omega_1 - \omega_\ell - \omega_{\ell-1})^T = ((b + 1)^{[x]}_1)^T. \]

Further we naturally introduce a generalization of the reduced weighted distribution of a matrix, the partial reduced weighted distribution, and its vector representation.

The next lemma generalizes the property 1(\(\tau\)).

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \(\chi\) be a characteristic vector of an \([n, k; q]\)-code without zero columns. Then the sum of coordinates of \(\hat{M}^{[x]}_k\) is \(-n\).

**Proof.** Since \(\chi\) is a characteristic vector of an \([n, k; q]\)-code without zero columns, then \(\sum_{i=1}^{\theta(q, k)} \chi_i = n\). Let \(c_i\) be the \(i\)-th row of \(M_k\), \(i = 1, \ldots, \theta(q, k)\), and \(c^{[x]}_i = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{q-1})\). According to the property 1(\(\tau\)) given above, the sum of the coordinates of \(c^{[x]}_i\) is equal to \(q \omega_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{\theta(q, k)} \chi_i = q \omega_0 - n = q(\omega_0 - n) + (q - 1)n = -q \omega_1 + (q - 1)n\), where \(w_i\) is the \(i\)-th element of \(\hat{M}^{[x]}_k\). Hence the sum of coordinates of \(\hat{M}^{[x]}_k\) is equal to \(-q \sum_{i=1}^{\theta(q, k)} w_i + (q - 1)n \theta(q, k)\). So we have to compute the sum \(\sum_{i=1}^{\theta(q, k)} w_i\) of the coordinates of \(\hat{N}(M_k) \cdot \chi^T\). But the coordinates \(w_i\) are all Hamming weights of a maximal subset of codewords of the considered linear code such that any two codewords in the subset are not proportional, and any codeword outside the subset is proportional to a codeword belonging to it. So the sum of these weights is equal to \(\sum_{i=1}^n i A_i = nq^{k-1}\), where \(A_i\) is the number of the codewords of weight \(i\), \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) (see [16] for Pless power moments). It follows that

\[ -q \sum_{i=1}^{\theta(q, k)} w_i + (q - 1)n \theta(q, k) = -nq^{k-1} + (q - 1)n \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} = -nq^k + nq^k - n = -n. \]

Till the end of this section we explain the relation between the reduced weighted distribution and Walsh spectrum in the case \(q = 2\). It gives another way to compute the weight distribution of a binary code.

Walsh transform is applied to a standard characteristic vector with respect to the natural ordering of the columns of the generator matrix of the simplex code over \(\mathbb{F}_2\). More formally, the standard generator matrix of the \(k\)-dimensional binary simplex code is \(G_k = (\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}, \ldots, \mathbf{T})\) where \(\mathbf{T}\) is the column whose coordinates are the ciphers of the binary representation of \(t\), \(1 \leq t \leq 2^k - 1\). For completeness, we consider the \(k \times 2^k\) matrix \(G_k = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T}, \ldots, \mathbf{T})\) that consists of all vectors of \(\mathbb{F}_2^k\) as columns.

**Definition 4.5.** The *standard characteristic vector* of an \([n, k; 2]\)-code \(C\) with respect to its generator matrix \(G\) is

\[ \chi^{(st)}(C, G) = (\chi_0, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{2^k - 1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2^k} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where \(\chi_t\) is the number of the columns of \(G\) that are equal to \(t\), \(t = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^k - 1\).

Note that \(\sum_{t=0}^{2^k - 1} \chi_t = n\) and \(\chi_0\) does not affect the weight distribution of the code. Therefore without loss of generality we can take \(\chi_0 = 0\).

There is a natural relation between the characteristic vector (see Definition 4.4) and the standard characteristic vector of a code (with respect to the same generator matrix \(G\)). Let \(\overline{\chi} = (0, \chi)\), and \(\overline{G}_k = (\mathbf{0}, G_k)\).

**Lemma 4.6.** Let \(\overline{G}^{(st)}_t = \overline{G}_t \cdot U_t\), where \(U_t\) are the corresponding permutation matrices, \(t = 1, 2, \ldots, k\). If \(C\) is an \([n, k; 2]\)-code and \(G\) is its generator matrix, then \(\chi^{(st)}(C, G) = \overline{\chi}(C, G) \cdot U_k\).

**Proof.** Note that \(U_1 = I_2\). We claim that \(U_{t+1} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{U}_t & O \\ \hline -I_{2^{t+1}} & \mathbf{P} \end{array} \right)\), \(l = 1, \ldots, k - 1\), where \(P_l = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{2^{l-1}} \\ \hline 1 & 00 \ldots 0 \end{array} \right)\) realizes the right circular shift operation.
It is easy to check that
\[ U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & O \\ O & P_1 U_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Further, we have
\[ G_{i+1}^{(st)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ G_i^{(st)} & G_i^{(st)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ C_i & G_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad G_{i+1}^{(st)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ G_i & G_i P_i^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \]

It turns out that
\[ G_{i+1}^{(st)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ G_i U_i & G_i U_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ G_i P_i^{-1} P_i U_i \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ G_i \end{pmatrix} \right) U_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ G_i P_i^{-1} P_i U_i \end{pmatrix} = G_{i+1}^{(st)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ O & P_i U_i \end{pmatrix}. \]

It is easy to see the relation between the characteristic vectors with respect to both generator matrices of the simplex code, if we write them as a zero row in the matrices. If \( v_0^{(st)}, \ldots, v_{2^k-1}^{(st)} \), and \( v_0, \ldots, v_{2^k-1} \) are the columns of the matrices \( G_k^{(st)} \) and \( G_k \), respectively, then
\[
\chi(G_k^{(st)}) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \chi_0 & \chi_1 & \cdots & \chi_{2^k-1} \\ v_0^{(st)} & v_1^{(st)} & \cdots & v_{2^k-1}^{(st)} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \chi_0 & \chi_1 & \cdots & \chi_{2^k-1} \\ v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{2^k-1} \end{array} \right) U_k = \frac{\chi}{G_k} \cdot U_k.
\]

Since the integer \( \chi \) is equal to the number of copies of the below column in the generator matrix \( G \) of the code, we have that \( \chi(C, G) = \frac{\chi}{G} \cdot U_k \).

Let \( M_k^{(st)} = \left( G_k^{(st)} \right)^T \cdot \frac{\chi}{G_k} \) where the multiplication is over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \). According the lemma above we have a relation between the matrices \( M_k \) and \( M_k^{(st)} \) as follows
\[
M_k^{(st)} = (U_k)^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot U_k \tag{16}
\]
\[ M_k^{(st)} \cdot \left( \chi(C, G) \right)^T = (U_k)^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left( \frac{\chi}{G} \right)^T \tag{17} \]

Let consider the matrices \( H_k = J - 2M_k^{(st)} \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( J \) is the \( 2^k \times 2^k \) matrix with all elements equal to 1, and the multiplication and subtraction are over \( \mathbb{Z} \). In other words, the matrices \( H_k \) are obtained from \( M_k^{(st)} \) by replacing all 0’s by 1’s and all 1’s by -1’s (or \( a \mapsto (-1)^a \), \( a = 0, 1 \)). We have
\[
M_1^{(st)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_k^{(st)} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1^{(st)} & M_k^{(st)} \\ M_k^{(st)} & M_k^{(st)} + J \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \geq 2 \tag{18}
\]
\[ H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_k = \begin{pmatrix} H_{k-1} & H_{k-1} \\ H_{k-1} & -H_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = H_1 \oplus H_{k-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \geq 2. \tag{19} \]

These matrices are known as Hadamard matrices of Sylvester type \cite{21}, pp. 44–45. Multiplying the matrix \( H_k \) to a vector is the same as applying Walsh transform to this vector. The vector \( H_k \cdot (\chi(C, G))^T \) is the \textit{Walsh spectrum} of the code \( C \).
Theorem 4.7. The weights of all codewords of an $[n,k;2]$-code $C$ are the coordinates of the vector
\[ M_k^{(st)} \cdot (\chi^{(st)}(C,G))^T = \frac{1}{2} \left( n - H_k \cdot (\chi^{(st)}(C,G))^T \right) \]  
(20)

where the multiplications are over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For $q = 2$ we have $\mathcal{N}(M_k) = M_k$. Lemma 2.2 gives us that $M_k \cdot (\chi(C,G))^T$ consists of the weights of all nonzero codewords of the code $C$. So by (17), the vector $M_k^{(st)} \cdot (\chi^{(st)}(C,G))^T$ consists of the weights of all codewords of $C$, too. Moreover,
\[ H_k \cdot (\chi^{(st)}(C,G))^T = (J - 2M_k^{(st)}) \cdot (\chi^{(st)}(C,G))^T = n - 2M_k^{(st)} \cdot (\chi^{(st)}(C,G))^T, \]
which ends the proof.

Thus, the weights of the codewords can be computed through Walsh transform. Such an approach was first proposed by Karpovsky [10].

Let $b = aG_k$ be a row of the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_k \end{pmatrix} \), i.e., a codeword of the simplex code, corresponding to the codeword $v = aG$ of the considered code $C$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$. Then $b^{(x_i)} = (\omega_0, \omega_1)$ where $\omega_1 = \text{wt}(v)$ and $\omega_0 = n - \text{wt}(v)$ (see property 3 for the weighted distribution and Theorem 3.5). So the corresponding element of the reduced weighted distribution is equal to $\omega_0 - \omega_1 = n - 2\text{wt}(v)$. Thus, Theorem 4.7 and (17) give us that the Walsh spectrum of the considered characteristic vector of the code is equal to a suitably permuted reduced weighted distribution extended by a zero coordinate with value $n$.

There are some methods for fast computation of the Walsh spectrum with complexity $O(k2^k)$. A convenient factorization of the matrices $H_k$ to sparse matrices is proposed by Good [14]:
\[ H_k = \left( I_{2^k-1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^k \]
(21)
\[ H_k = (H_1 \otimes I_{2^k-1}) \cdot (I_2 \otimes H_1 \otimes I_{2^k-2}) \cdots (I_{2^k-1} \otimes I_1) \]
(22)

Example 14.
These factorizations lead to suitable (butterfly type) algorithms for calculating $H_k \cdot (\chi^{(s)}(C, G))^T$.

5. Complexity of the algorithms and experimental results

In the beginning of this section we would like to mention that usually linear codes are presented by their generator matrix. Let $G_C$ be a generator matrix of a linear code $C$ of dimension $k$ and length $n$. To construct the characteristic vector of the code with respect to $G_C$ and the generator matrix $G_k$ of the simplex code (presented in Section 2), we use Algorithm 3. This algorithm computes the position of a column (or its proportional vector) in the matrix $G_k$. The characteristic vector contains information about all columns of the matrix $G_C$. Algorithm 3 shows how to obtain the needed characteristic vector. As we have to apply it $n$ times, the complexity of this part is $O(nk)$.

Algorithm 3 Generating the characteristic vector

**Input:** integers $q$ and $k$, an array $\theta$ of integers, where $\theta[i] = \theta(q,i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and a $k$-dimensional nonzero vector $A$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ // A is a column in the generator matrix $G_C$ // the elements of the field are ordered so $\mathbb{F}_q = \{\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\}$, $i = \text{ord}(\alpha_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, q-1$.

**Output:** the position $s$ of $A$ or its proportional vector in the matrix $G_k$

1: $i = 0$
2: $j = k$
3: $s = 0$
4: while $j > 0$ do
5:   if $s = 0$ then
6:     $t = A[j]^{-1}$ // over $\mathbb{F}_q$
7:     $s = \theta[i + 1]$
8:   end if
9: else
10:   $a = t \ast A[j]$ // over $\mathbb{F}_q$
11:   $s = \text{ord}(a) + \theta[i] + s$ // over $\mathbb{Z}$
12: end if
13: $i = i + 1$
14: $j = j - 1$
15: end while

We consider codes with length $n < 2^{32}$ and number of codewords $q^k < 2^{64}$, so we need 32-bit integers for the weights of codewords and 64-bit integers for the number of codewords with a given weight. Therefore we use only basic integer types and operations with them. To calculate the weight distribution of a linear code, we use two arrays with 32-bit integers, namely $H$ of size $\theta(q,k) \times q$ and $TEMP$ of size $q \times q$. The total memory we need (without a memory for the generator matrix) is $q\theta(q,k) + q^2 + 2n + C$ 32-bit units, where we add $2n$, because the weight distribution is a vector of length $n$ consisting of 64-bit integers, and a constant $C$ for the other variables in the algorithms. If we use the reduced weighted distribution, we will have one column less in the array $H$, so we have to subtract $\theta(q,k)$ from the above expression.
The main procedure computes the array $H$ in $k - 1$ steps. In the $l$-th step of the procedure, there are $a_l$ active and $b_l$ inactive rows, where $a_l + b_l = \theta(q, k)$, $a_l = q^{k-l}\theta(q, l)$, $b_l = \theta(q, k - l)$, $l = 2, 3, \ldots, k$. The inactive rows remain unchanged. Any element in an active row is calculated in Algorithm 2 as a sum with $q$ summands. There are $a_l$ active rows of length $q$ and so we use $a_lq$ operations for the calculations in this step. Actually, this is the number of calculations of the transformations LastRow and AllRows. The transformation Add0 uses $q^{k-l}\theta(q, l - 1) \leq \theta(q, k - 1)$ operations, and therefore the complexity of the $l$-th step (the body of the for-loop) is

$$a_lq^2 + q^{k-l}\theta(q, l - 1) = q^{k+2-l}\frac{q^l - 1}{q - 1} + q^{-l}\frac{q^{l-1} - 1}{q - 1} = \frac{q^{k+2} - q^{k+2-l} + q^{k-1} - q^{k-l}}{q - 1}.$$ 

Hence the complexity of Algorithm 1 is

$$\sum_{l=2}^{k} \frac{q^{k+2} - q^{k+2-l} + q^{k-1} - q^{k-l}}{q - 1} = (k - 1)\frac{q^{k+2} + q^{k-1}}{q - 1} - \frac{(q^2 + 1)(q^{k-1} - 1)}{(q - 1)^2}.$$ 

It turns out that for a fixed $q$ the complexity of the algorithm is $O(kq^k)$. When accounting for both $k$ and $q$, in terms of arithmetic operations the running time can be written as $O(kq^{k+1})$.

Remark. We compare our algorithm with Algorithm 9.8 (Walsh transform over a prime finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$) in [17]. According to Joux, the complexity of his algorithm when $p$ varies is $O(kp^{k+1})$.

We implement the presented approach, based on Algorithms 1–3, in a C/C++ program. To compare the efficiency, we use C implementation of an algorithm, presented in [9], with the same efficiency as the Gray code algorithms. As a development environment for both algorithms we use MS VISUAL STUDIO 2012. All examples are executed on (Intel Core i7-3770K 350 GHz Processor) in Active solution configuration — Release, and Active solution platform — X64.

Input data are randomly generated linear codes with lengths 30, 300, 3000, 30000 and different dimensions over finite fields with 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 9 elements. All the results with the obtained execution times are given in seconds (Table 1). Any column consists of two subcolumns. The first subcolumn (named ‘NEW’) contains the results obtained by the new algorithm (described in this paper), and the second one gives the execution time for the same code but using the algorithm from [9], implemented in the package Q-EXTENSION. The runtime shown in Table 1 is the full execution time to compute the weight distribution starting with a generator matrix of a code with the given parameters.

In Table 2 we present results for the same parameters as in Table 1 but obtained using Magma V2.23-9 on a Linux system with processor Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-4570 CPU @ 3.20GHz (averaged over 5 runs).

The results given in the table show the following:

- the presented approach is faster for codes with large length;
- the execution time for computing the characteristic vector is negligible.

In conclusion, we can say that this approach is very fast, easy for parallelization, but it needs a lot of memory.
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Table 1: Experimental results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>q</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>(\theta = (q^k - 1)/ (q - 1))</th>
<th>NEW</th>
<th>OLD</th>
<th>NEW</th>
<th>OLD</th>
<th>NEW</th>
<th>OLD</th>
<th>NEW</th>
<th>OLD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3355443</td>
<td>5.613</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>5.735</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>6.090</td>
<td>2.910</td>
<td>5.734</td>
<td>24.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>134217727</td>
<td>51.381</td>
<td>2.616</td>
<td>51.391</td>
<td>4.322</td>
<td>51.395</td>
<td>22.799</td>
<td>51.411</td>
<td>194.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2391484</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>5.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7174453</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>1.082</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>5.632</td>
<td>3.111</td>
<td>46.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21523360</td>
<td>3.012</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>3.035</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>3.100</td>
<td>5.249</td>
<td>3.783</td>
<td>136.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1398101</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>2.997</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>29.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5592405</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>1.325</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>11.637</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>116.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22369621</td>
<td>3.163</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>3.223</td>
<td>5.359</td>
<td>3.447</td>
<td>46.976</td>
<td>3.447</td>
<td>469.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>488281</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>60.843</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>60.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2441406</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>3.062</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>295.691</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>295.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12207031</td>
<td>1.847</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td>1.841</td>
<td>15.197</td>
<td>1.843</td>
<td>1514.924</td>
<td>1.843</td>
<td>1514.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>137257</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>14.554</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>14.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>960800</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>10.084</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>101.280</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>101.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6725601</td>
<td>1.351</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>7.105</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>705.218</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>705.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47079208</td>
<td>10.875</td>
<td>5.121</td>
<td>10.762</td>
<td>49.768</td>
<td>10.803</td>
<td>497.569</td>
<td>10.791</td>
<td>4908.197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>q</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>$\theta = (q^k - 1)/(q - 1)$</th>
<th>n= 30</th>
<th>n= 300</th>
<th>n= 3000</th>
<th>n= 30000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33554431</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>2.652</td>
<td>24.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>67108863</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>5.398</td>
<td>49.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>134217727</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>10.670</td>
<td>98.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>268435455</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.928</td>
<td>21.210</td>
<td>198.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2391484</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>3.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7174453</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>10.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21523360</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>3.682</td>
<td>32.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>64570081</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>1.408</td>
<td>11.040</td>
<td>98.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1398101</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>1.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5592405</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>7.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22369621</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>3.190</td>
<td>30.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>89478485</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>1.644</td>
<td>12.490</td>
<td>120.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>488281</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>1.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2441406</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>5.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12207031</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>3.100</td>
<td>28.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>61035156</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>1.964</td>
<td>15.280</td>
<td>142.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>137257</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>960800</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>2.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6725601</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>1.804</td>
<td>17.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47079208</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>1.568</td>
<td>12.649</td>
<td>119.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Experimental results using Magma V2.23-9 on a Linux system