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Abstract

We find the formulas of the transition probabilities of the \(N\)-particle multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion processes (ASEP), and show that the transition probabilities are written as a determinant when the order of particles in the final state is the same as the order of particles in the initial state.

1 Introduction

The exact formulas of the transition probabilities of the *exactly solvable* models may be a good starting point to study interesting distributions and their asymptotic behaviours \[2, 5, 6, 9–11, 14\]. In the multi-species versions of these models, particles in the system may belong to different classes and there is a hierarchy of these classes. In this paper, we consider the multi-species version of the asymmetric simple exclusion processes (ASEP). (See \[3, 4\] for the multi-species version of the totally asymmetric zero range processes.) We consider \(N\)-particle systems which may consist of up to \(N\) species, labelled \(1, \cdots, N\). The rules for the multi-species ASEP are as follows: a particle at \(x \in \mathbb{Z}\) waits an exponential random time with rate 1 and then chooses \(x + 1\) with probability \(p\) or \(x - 1\) with probability \(q = 1 - p\) to jump. If the particle at \(x\) belongs to species \(l\) and the chosen site to jump is already occupied by another particle belonging to species \(l' \geq l\), then the jump is prohibited, but if \(l' < l\), the particle belonging to \(l\) jumps to the chosen site by interchanging sites with the particle belonging to \(l'\). According to this rule, we may view an empty site as a “particle” labelled 0.

A state of the process is denoted by a pair \((X, \pi)\) where \(X = (x_1, \cdots, x_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N\) with \(x_1 < \cdots < x_N\) and \(\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_N\) is a permutation of a multi-set \(\mathcal{M} = [i_1, \cdots, i_N]\) with elements taken from \(\{1, \cdots, N\}\). Each \(x_i\) represents the position of the \(i\)th leftmost particle and \(\pi_i\) represents the species the \(i\)th leftmost particle belongs to.
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The purposes of this paper are to provide the explicit formula of the probability $P_{(Y,\nu)}(X,\pi;t)$ that the system is in $(X,\pi)$ at time $t$, given an initial state $(Y,\nu)$ for each $M$, and to show that the formula of $P_{(Y,\nu)}(X,\pi;t)$ is in the form of a determinant in the case that all particles move only in one direction and $\nu = \pi$. If $M = [i, i, \ldots, i]$, then the permutation of $[i, i, \ldots, i]$ is uniquely $ii \cdots i$, so the system is the ASEP. If $M = [1, 2, \ldots, N]$, then all $N$ particles in the system belong to all different species. The exact formulas of the transition probabilities of the ASEP, written $P_{(Y,\nu)}(X,\pi;t)$, were obtained by Tracy and Widom [11], generalizing Schütz’s formulas [10] for the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP). Tracy and Widom’s formula for the transition probabilities of the ASEP is an $N$-fold contour integral

$$P_Y(X;t) = \int_c \cdots \int_c \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_\sigma \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right) e^{\varepsilon(\xi)} dt_1 \cdots dt_N,$$

(1)

where the notation $\int_c$ implies $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c$ and the contour $c$ is a circle centered at the origin with sufficiently small radius. The sum inside the integrals in (1) is over all permutations $\sigma$ in the symmetric group $S_N$ and

$$A_\sigma = \prod_{(\beta, \alpha)} S_{\beta, \alpha}$$

(2)

where

$$S_{\beta, \alpha} = \frac{p + q \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \xi_\beta}{p + q \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \xi_\alpha}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\xi) = \frac{p}{\xi_i} + q \xi_i - 1.$$

The product in (2) is over all inversions $(\beta, \alpha)$ with $\beta > \alpha$ in $\sigma$, and if $\sigma$ is the identity permutation, written $Id$, then we define $A_\sigma = 1$. Tracy and Widom have shown that there is a formula analogous to (1) for the transition probabilities of the multi-species ASEP, written as

$$P_{(Y,\nu)}(X,\pi;t) = \int_c \cdots \int_c \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A^\nu_\sigma \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right) e^{\varepsilon(\xi)} dt_1 \cdots dt_N,$$

(3)

in our notation [13]. But, the formula of $A^\nu_\sigma$ was not given explicitly except a special case in [13 p. 458]. In this paper, we provide a method to find the formula of $A^\nu_\sigma$. This is elaborated in Section 2. In Section 3 we find a determinantal expression for transition probabilities.

### 2 Transition Probabilities

We first review the basic concepts used in [1,7,8] to extend the results for the TASEP with second class particles there to the multi-species ASEP. The state space of the multi-species ASEP with $N$ particles is countable, so we may view $P_{(Y,\nu)}(X,\pi;t)$ as a matrix element of an infinite matrix, denoted $P(t)$, which is a member of a probability semigroup $\{P(t) : t \geq 0\}$. We assume that the
rows are labelled by $(X, \pi)$ and the columns are labelled by $(Y, \nu)$, following a rule that if $\pi < \pi'$ where $<$ is the lexicographical order, then the row $(X, \pi')$ is below the row $(X, \pi)$ for a given $X$, and similarly, the column $(Y, \nu')$ is to the right of the column $(Y, \nu)$ if $\nu < \nu'$ for a given $Y$. For fixed $X$ and $Y$, let $P_Y(X; t)$ be a sub-matrix of $P(t)$, consisting of the rows labelled $(X, \cdot)$ and the columns labelled $(Y, \cdot)$ of $P(t)$. Then, $P_Y(X; t)$ is the $N^N \times N^N$ matrix in the form of

$$P_Y(X; t) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{(Y,1-1)}(X,1\ldots1; t) & P_{(Y,1-12)}(X,1\ldots1; t) & \cdots & P_{(Y,1-1N)}(X,1\ldots1; t) \\ P_{(Y,1-1)}(X,1\ldots12; t) & P_{(Y,1-12)}(X,1\ldots12; t) & \cdots & P_{(Y,1-1N)}(X,1\ldots12; t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{(Y,1-1)}(X,N\ldotsN; t) & P_{(Y,1-12)}(X,N\ldotsN; t) & \cdots & P_{(Y,N\ldotsN)}(X,N\ldotsN; t) \end{bmatrix}.$$  

The labels of the rows and the columns of this matrix are the permutations of the multi-sets of cardinality $N$ with elements taken from $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ in the lexicographical order from the top to the bottom and from the left to the right, respectively.

The semigroup $\{P(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is uniform, and $P(t)$ is the unique solution to the forward equation which is an element-wise matrix differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}P(t) = GP(t)$$ \tag{4}$$

subject to the initial condition $P(0) = I_\infty$ where $I_\infty$ is the infinite identity matrix and $G$ is the generator. (We use the notation $I_n$ for $n \times n$ identity matrix and $0_n$ for $n \times n$ zero matrix.) The initial condition $P(0) = I_\infty$ implies that the sub-matrices $P_Y(X; t)$ satisfy

$$P_Y(X; 0) = \begin{cases} I_{N^N} & \text{if } X = Y \\ 0_{N^N} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$ \tag{5}$$

**Two-particle systems**

In the system of two particles, either both particles belong to the same species or particles belong to different species. Now, we hope to find the formulas of the entries of

$$P_Y(X; t) = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 12 & 21 & 22 \\ P_{(Y,11)}(X,11; t) & P_{(Y,12)}(X,11; t) & P_{(Y,21)}(X,11; t) & P_{(Y,22)}(X,11; t) \\ P_{(Y,11)}(X,12; t) & P_{(Y,12)}(X,12; t) & P_{(Y,21)}(X,12; t) & P_{(Y,22)}(X,12; t) \\ P_{(Y,11)}(X,21; t) & P_{(Y,12)}(X,21; t) & P_{(Y,21)}(X,21; t) & P_{(Y,22)}(X,21; t) \\ P_{(Y,11)}(X,22; t) & P_{(Y,12)}(X,22; t) & P_{(Y,21)}(X,22; t) & P_{(Y,22)}(X,22; t) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The element-wise derivative of $P_Y(X; t) = P_Y(x_1,x_2; t)$, which is a sub-matrix of the left-hand
Suppose that for each $(x_1, x_2)$, whose entries are functions on $(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, is written as either
\[
\frac{d}{dt} P_Y(x_1, x_2; t) = pP_Y(x_1 - 1, x_2; t) + pP_Y(x_1, x_2 - 1; t) + qP_Y(x_1 + 1, x_2; t) + qP_Y(x_1, x_2 + 1; t) - 2P_Y(x_1, x_2; t) \quad \text{for } x_1 < x_2 - 1
\] (7)
or
\[
\frac{d}{dt} P_Y(x_1, x_2; t) = pP_Y(x_1 - 1, x_2; t) + qP_Y(x_1, x_2 + 1; t)
\] (8)

and $P_Y(x_1, x_2; t)$ satisfies the initial condition [5] with $N = 2$. Let $U(x_1, x_2; t)$ be a $4 \times 4$ matrix whose entries are functions on $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\} \times [0, \infty)$ which satisfies
\[
pU(x, x; t) + qU(x + 1, x + 1; t) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
p & p & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q & q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} U(x, x + 1; t) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.
\] (9)

Suppose that for each $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $x_1 < x_2$, $U(x_1, x_2; t)$ satisfies
\[
\frac{d}{dt} U(x_1, x_2; t) = pU(x_1 - 1, x_2; t) + pU(x_1, x_2 - 1; t) + qU(x_1 + 1, x_2; t) + qU(x_1, x_2 + 1; t) - 2U(x_1, x_2; t). \quad \text{(10)}
\]

Then, (9) and (10) imply that $U(x_1, x_2; t)$ satisfies (7) when $x_1 < x_2 - 1$ and (8) when $x_1 = x_2 - 1$. Moreover, if $U(x_1, x_2; t)$ satisfies
\[
U(x_1, x_2; 0) = \begin{cases}
I_4 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2) = (y_1, y_2), \; x_1 < x_2 \text{ and } y_1 < y_2; \\
0_4 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \neq (y_1, y_2), \; x_1 < x_2 \text{ and } y_1 < y_2
\end{cases}
\] (11)
for given $(y_1, y_2)$ with $y_1 < y_2$, then we may assert that $U(x_1, x_2; t)$ restricted on $\{(x_1, x_2): x_1 < x_2\}$ is $P_Y(X; t)$. By the Bethe Ansatz, a solution of (10) is, for each $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,
\[
(A_{12} \xi_1^{x_1 - y_1 - 1} \xi_2^{x_2 - y_2 - 1} + A_{21} \xi_2^{x_1 - y_1 - 1} \xi_1^{x_2 - y_2 - 1}) e^{\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2)t}
\] (12)
where
\[
\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{p}{\xi_1} + \frac{p}{\xi_2} + q\xi_1 + q\xi_2 - 2
\] (13)
and, $A_{12}$ and $A_{21}$ are $4 \times 4$ matrices whose entries are independent of $x_1, x_2$ and $t$. If we apply (9) to (12), we obtain
\[
[(p + q\xi_1\xi_2)I_4 - \xi_2 \tilde{B}] A_{12} = -[(p + q\xi_1\xi_2)I_4 - \xi_1 \tilde{B}] A_{21}
\]
where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p & 0 \\
0 & q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(14)

and

\[
A_{21} = -[(p + q \xi_1 \xi_2)I_4 - \xi_1 \bar{B}]^{-1}[(p + q \xi_1 \xi_2)I_4 - \xi_2 \bar{B}]A_{12}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_1}{p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{(p-q \xi_1)(\xi_2-1)}{p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_1} & \frac{p(\xi_2-\xi_1)}{p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_1} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{q(\xi_2-\xi_1)}{p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_1} & \frac{(p-q \xi_2)(\xi_1-1)}{p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_2}{p+q \xi_1 \xi_2 - \xi_1}
\end{bmatrix} A_{12}.
\]

(15)

For later use, we let

\[
S_{\beta \alpha} = -\frac{p+q \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \xi_\beta}{p+q \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \xi_\alpha}, \quad P_{\beta \alpha} = \frac{(p-q \xi_\alpha)(\xi_\beta-1)}{p+q \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \xi_\alpha}
\]

\[
T_{\beta \alpha} = \frac{\xi_\beta - \xi_\alpha}{p+q \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \xi_\alpha}, \quad Q_{\beta \alpha} = \frac{(p-q \xi_\beta)(\xi_\alpha-1)}{p+q \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \xi_\alpha}
\]

(16)

and

\[
\bar{R}_{\beta \alpha} = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{\beta \alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & P_{\beta \alpha} & pT_{\beta \alpha} & 0 \\
0 & qT_{\beta \alpha} & Q_{\beta \alpha} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & S_{\beta \alpha}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(17)

so we have \(A_{21} = \bar{R}_{21}A_{12}\). We choose \(A_{12} = I_4\) and take a two-fold contour integral of each element of the matrix \(A_{12}\) with respect to \(\xi_1, \xi_2\) over sufficiently small circles centered at the origin, written

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (I_4 \epsilon_1 x_1^{-1} y_1^{-1} \epsilon_2 x_2^{-1} y_2^{-1} + \bar{R}_{21} \epsilon_1 x_1^{-1} y_1^{-1} \epsilon_2 x_2^{-1} y_2^{-1}) e^{\epsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2.
\]

(18)

Then, it is easy to see that the matrix \(\bar{R}_{\beta \alpha}\) satisfies the initial condition \(\text{(11)}\) because all nonzero elements in \(\bar{R}_{\beta \alpha}\) have the same poles as in the formula of the ASEP \(\text{(11,12)}\), so the proof of the initial condition is essentially the same as the proof for the ASEP in \(\text{(11,12)}\). Hence, \(\text{(18)}\) is \(P_Y(X;t)\).

\[\text{N-particle systems}\]

The two-particle system in the above is a building block for \(N\)-particle system. Corresponding to the \(2^2 \times 2^2\) matrix, \(\bar{B}\) in \(\text{(13)}\) for the two-particle system, we define \(N^2 \times N^2\) matrix \(B\) for
\[ N \text{-particle system by} \]
\[
[B]_{ij,kl} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } ij = kl \text{ with } i = j; \\
p & \text{if either } ij = kl \text{ or } ij = lk \text{ with } i < j; \\
q & \text{if either } ij = kl \text{ or } ij = lk \text{ with } i > j; \\
0 & \text{for all other cases} 
\end{cases}
\tag{19}
\]

where \( ij \) and \( kl \) are labels for rows and columns, represented by \( 11, 12, \ldots, NN \). As \( \tilde{R}_{\alpha} \) is obtained from \( \tilde{B} \) via (15), we define a \( N^2 \times N^2 \) matrix \( R_{\beta\alpha} \) by
\[
R_{\beta\alpha} = -[(p + q\xi_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta})I_{N^2} - \xi_{\alpha}B]^{-1}[(p + q\xi_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta})I_{N^2} - \xi_{\beta}B],
\tag{20}
\]
where \( \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, N \) and \( \alpha \neq \beta \). The entries of \( R_{\beta\alpha} \) are given by
\[
[R_{\beta\alpha}]_{ij,kl} = \begin{cases} 
S_{\beta\alpha} & \text{if } ij = kl \text{ with } i = j; \\
P_{\beta\alpha} & \text{if } ij = kl \text{ with } i < j; \\
Q_{\beta\alpha} & \text{if } ij = kl \text{ with } i > j; \\
pt_{\beta\alpha} & \text{if } ij = lk \text{ with } i < j; \\
qt_{\beta\alpha} & \text{if } ij = lk \text{ with } i > j; \\
0 & \text{for all other cases.} 
\end{cases}
\tag{21}
\]

If \( U(X; t) = U(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) \) be an \( N^N \times N^N \) matrix whose entries are functions on \( \mathbb{Z}^N \times [0, \infty) \) which satisfies the differential equation
\[
\frac{d}{dt} U(X; t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ pU(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i - 1, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N; t) + qU(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i + 1, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N; t) \right] \\
- NU(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t),
\tag{22}
\]
and the initial condition
\[
U(x_1, \ldots, x_N; 0) = \begin{cases} 
I_{NN} & \text{if } (x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (y_1, \ldots, y_N) \text{ and } x_1 < \cdots < x_N \\
0_{NN} & \text{if } (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \neq (y_1, \ldots, y_N) \text{ and } x_1 < \cdots < x_N 
\end{cases}
\tag{23}
\]
for a given initial positions of particles \((y_1, \ldots, y_N)\) with \( y_1 < \cdots < y_N \), and the boundary condition
\[
pU(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_N; t) + qU(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i + 1, x_i + 1, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_N; t) \\
= (I_N^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes B \otimes I_N^{\otimes(N-i-1)})U(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i + 1, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_N; t)
\tag{24}
\]
for all \( i = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \), then we may assert that the restriction of \( U(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) \) on \( \{(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N : x_1 < \cdots < x_N \} \) is \( P_Y(X; t) \). The solution of (22) by the Bethe Ansatz is given
\[
\sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \zeta_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i - y_{\sigma(i)} - 1} e^{\xi_{\sigma(i)} t} \right)
\tag{25}
\]
where
\[ \varepsilon(\xi_i) = \frac{p}{\xi_i} + q\xi_i - 1 \]
for \( \xi_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \), where \( A_\sigma \) is an \( N^N \times N^N \) matrix whose entries are independent of \( x_1, \ldots, x_N \) and \( t \). Next, we will construct the matrix \( A_\sigma \) so that (25) satisfies the boundary condition (24).

**Step 1** Let \( T_i \) be a simple transposition which interchanges the \( i \)th entry and the \( (i+1) \)st entry and leaves the other entries fixed, that is,
\[ T_i\sigma = \sigma' \]
where \( \sigma = \sigma(1)\cdots\sigma(i)\sigma(i+1)\cdots\sigma(N) \) and \( \sigma' = \sigma(1)\cdots\sigma(i-1)\sigma(i+1)\sigma(i)\sigma(i+2)\cdots\sigma(N) \). Since \( T_1, \ldots, T_{N-1} \) generate \( S_N \), there is a finite sequence \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) where each \( a_i \) belongs to \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N - 1\} \) such that
\[ \sigma = T_{a_n}\cdots T_{a_1} \quad (26) \]
for any given \( \sigma \in S_N \).

**Step 2** For each \( 1 \leq l \leq N - 1 \), we define
\[ T_l(\beta, \alpha) := I_N^{\otimes(l-1)} \otimes R_{\beta\alpha} \otimes I_N^{\otimes(N-l-1)}. \quad (27) \]

**Step 3** Let us denote \( T_{a_k}\cdots T_{a_1} \) by \( \sigma^{(k)} \) and let \( \sigma^{(0)} \) be the identity permutation. Define
\[ A_\sigma := T_{a_n}(\sigma^{(n-1)}(a_n+1), \sigma^{(n-1)}(a_n)) \cdots T_{a_1}(\sigma^{(0)}(a_1+1), \sigma^{(0)}(a_1)). \quad (28) \]

**Lemma 2.1.** If \( A_\sigma \) is given as in (28), then (25) satisfies (24) for each \( i \).

**Proof.** Substituting (25) into (24) for \( i \),
\[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \left( pA_\sigma \xi_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(i-1)} \xi_{\sigma(i)} \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(N)} + qA_\sigma \xi_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(i-1)} \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(N)} \right) = 0_{N^N}. \quad (29) \]

If \( \sigma' \) is an even permutation, then \( T_i\sigma' \) is an odd permutation, and vice-versa. So, if we express (29) as a sum over the alternating group \( A_N \),
\[ \sum_{\sigma' \in A_N} \left( I_N^{\otimes(N)} \left( p + q\xi_{\sigma'(i)} \xi_{\sigma'(i+1)} \right) - \left( I_N^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes B \otimes I_N^{\otimes(N-i-1)} \right) \xi_{\sigma'(i+1)} \right) A_{\sigma'} \]
\[ + \left( I_N^{\otimes(N)} \left( p + q\xi_{\sigma'(i+1)} \xi_{\sigma'(i)} \right) - \left( I_N^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes B \otimes I_N^{\otimes(N-i-1)} \right) \xi_{\sigma'(i)} \right) A_{T_i\sigma'} \]
\[ \times \xi_{\sigma'(1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma'(i-1)} \xi_{\sigma'(i+1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma'(N)} \right) = 0_{N^N}. \quad (30) \]
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A sufficient condition for (30) is that for each $\sigma \in S_N$, 
\begin{align*}
A_{T_i, \sigma} &= -\left[ I_{N^2} \left( p + q \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right) - \left( I_N^{(i-1)} \otimes B \otimes I_N^{(N-i-1)} \right) \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right]^{-1} \\
& \quad \times \left[ I_{N^2} \left( p + q \xi_{\sigma(i)} \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \right) - \left( I_N^{(i-1)} \otimes B \otimes I_N^{(N-i-1)} \right) \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \right] A_{\sigma} \\
& = - \left( I_{N(i-1)} \otimes I_{N^2} \left( p + q \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right) - B \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right]^{-1} \otimes I_{N(N-i-1)} \\
& \quad \times \left( I_{N(i-1)} \otimes I_{N^2} \left( p + q \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right) - B \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} \right] \otimes I_{N(N-i-1)} A_{\sigma} \\
& = \left( I_{N(i-1)} \otimes R_{\sigma(i+1)} \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right) \otimes I_{N(N-i-1)} A_{\sigma}.
\end{align*}

Now, it remains to show that if $A_{\sigma}$ is given by (28), then (31) is satisfied. This is immediately obtained because 
\begin{align*}
A_{T_i, \sigma} &= T_i T_{a_1} \cdots T_{a_1} = T_i (\sigma(i+1), \sigma(i)) A_{\sigma} \\
& = \left( I_{N(i-1)} \otimes R_{\sigma(i+1)} \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right) \otimes I_{N(N-i-1)} A_{\sigma}
\end{align*}

by (27). \[\Box\]

**Remark 2.1.** The consistency relations 
\begin{align*}
T_i(\beta, \alpha) T_j(\delta, \gamma) &= T_j(\delta, \gamma) T_i(\beta, \alpha) \quad \text{if } |i - j| \geq 2, \\
T_i(\gamma, \beta) T_j(\gamma, \alpha) T_i(\beta, \alpha) &= T_j(\beta, \alpha) T_i(\gamma, \alpha) T_j(\gamma, \beta) \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\
T_i(\beta, \alpha) T_i(\alpha, \beta) &= I_{N^N}
\end{align*}

can be directly verified by the matrix multiplication. In particular, (32) with $p = 1$ was verified in [1].

Now, we choose $A_{1\ldots N} = I_{N^N}$ and take a multiple-fold contour integral of each element of the matrix (28) with respect to $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N$ over sufficiently small circles centered at the origin, denoting the matrix by 
\begin{equation}
\int_c \cdots \int_c \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right)^{(x_1 - y_\sigma(i-1) - 1) e^{\xi_{\sigma(i)} t}} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_N.
\end{equation}

Finally, it should be proved that (33) satisfies the initial condition (23), but its proof is essentially the same as the proof for the ASEP in [12,13] because the poles arising in the integrands are the same as the poles in the ASEP formulas. So, we omit the proof and conclude that 
\begin{equation}
P_Y(X; t) = \int_c \cdots \int_c \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)} \right)^{(x_1 - y_\sigma(i-1) - 1) e^{\xi_{\sigma(i)} t}} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_N.
\end{equation}

### 3 Determinantal formulas

In this section we focus on the diagonal of the matrix (34) for the totally asymmetric case $p = 1$, that is, the transition probabilities $P_{(Y, \pi)}(X, \pi; t)$. In the case of the totally asymmetric model
(p = 1), if the order of particles at t = 0 is represented by a permutation \( \pi \) of a given multi-set and the order of particles at time \( t = t_0 > 0 \) is also given by \( \pi \), then the order of particles at each time \( 0 \leq t \leq t_0 \) must be \( \pi \). If all particles belong to the same species, then \( P_{(Y_1; \ldots ; Y_p)}(X, i; \ldots; i; t) \) is simply the TASEP’s transition probability. Schütz found its determinantal formula \([10]\). For the TASEP with second class particles (two-species TASEP), Chatterjee and Schütz found the determinantal formulas for the transition probabilities when there is no change in order of particles \([1]\). We will extend Chatterjee and Schütz’s result to the multi-species TASEP. Let

For a given permutation \( \pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_N \) of a multi-set, let \( \#_{ij} \) be the number of pairs of places \((i, i+1)\) between the \( i \)th place and \( j \)th place such that \( \pi_i > \pi_{i+1} \). For example, if \( \pi = 214311 \), then \( \#_{12} = 1 \), \( \#_{14} = 2 \), and \( \#_{15} = \#_{16} = 3 \). Obviously, \( \#_{ij} = \#_{ji} \). Let

\[
n(i, j) = \text{sgn}(i - j) \times (|i - j| - \#_{ij}).
\]

If we denote the diagonal elements of \( U(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) \) by \( U_{\pi, \pi}(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) \) where \( \pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_N \), then the equations for the diagonal of \([22], [23] \) and \([24]\) are

\[
\frac{d}{dt} U_{\pi, \pi}(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_{\pi, \pi}(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i - 1, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N; t) - NU_{\pi, \pi}(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t),
\]

(35)

\[
U_{\pi, \pi}(x_1, \ldots, x_N; 0) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{x_i y_i} \text{ when } x_1 < \cdots < x_N \text{ for given } y_1 < \cdots < y_N
\]

(36)

and

\[
U_{\pi, \pi}(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_i, x_i+1, \ldots, x_N; t) = \begin{cases} 
U_{\pi, \pi}(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_i, x_i+1, \ldots, x_N; t), & \text{if } \pi_i \leq \pi_{i+1}; \\
0, & \text{if } \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}.
\end{cases}
\]

(37)

The following theorem gives the solution to (35), (36), and (37).

**Theorem 3.1.** For given \( Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_N) \) with \( y_1 < \cdots < y_N \) and \( \pi \), define

\[
G(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{n(1,1)}(x_1 - y_1; t) & F_{n(1,2)}(x_1 - y_2; t) & \cdots & F_{n(1,N)}(x_1 - y_N; t) \\
F_{n(2,1)}(x_2 - y_1; t) & F_{n(2,2)}(x_2 - y_2; t) & \cdots & F_{n(2,N)}(x_2 - y_N; t) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
F_{n(N,1)}(x_N - y_1; t) & F_{n(N,2)}(x_N - y_2; t) & \cdots & F_{n(N,N)}(x_N - y_N; t)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Then,

\[
P_{(Y, \pi)}(x_1, \ldots, x_N, \pi; t) = \text{Det} G(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t).
\]

**Proof.** (i) To show that \( \text{Det} G(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) \) satisfies (35): Since

\[
\frac{d}{dt} F_{n(i,j)}(x_i - y_j; t) = -F_{n(i,j)}(x_i - y_j; t) + F_{n(i,j)}(x_i - 1 - y_j; t),
\]
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we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \det G(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) = \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{d}{dt} F_{n(1,1)}(x_1 - y_1; t) & \frac{d}{dt} F_{n(1,2)}(x_1 - y_2; t) & \cdots & \frac{d}{dt} F_{n(1,N)}(x_1 - y_N; t) \\
F_{n(2,1)}(x_2 - y_1; t) & F_{n(2,2)}(x_2 - y_2; t) & \cdots & F_{n(2,N)}(x_2 - y_N; t) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
F_{n(N,1)}(x_N - y_1; t) & F_{n(N,2)}(x_N - y_2; t) & \cdots & F_{n(N,N)}(x_N - y_N; t) \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]
\[+ \quad \cdots \quad +\]
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\frac{d}{dt} F_{n(1,1)}(x_1 - y_1; t) & \frac{d}{dt} F_{n(1,2)}(x_1 - y_2; t) & \cdots & \frac{d}{dt} F_{n(1,N)}(x_1 - y_N; t) \\
F_{n(2,1)}(x_2 - y_1; t) & F_{n(2,2)}(x_2 - y_2; t) & \cdots & F_{n(2,N)}(x_2 - y_N; t) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{d}{dt} F_{n(N,1)}(x_N - y_1; t) & \frac{d}{dt} F_{n(N,2)}(x_N - y_2; t) & \cdots & \frac{d}{dt} F_{n(N,N)}(x_N - y_N; t) \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]
\[= -N \det G(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \det G(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i - 1, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N; t).\]

(ii) To show that \( \det G(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t) \) satisfies (37): Suppose that \( \pi_i > \pi_{i+1} \). First, we will show that \( n(i, j) = n(i + 1, j) \). If \( j > i + 1 \), then
\[
n(i, j) = (-1)^{i-j} \binom{j}{j-i} = (-1)^{i-j} \binom{j}{i} = n(i + 1, j).
\]
If \( j < i - 1 \), then
\[
n(i, j) = 1 \times i = n(i + 1, j).
\]
If \( i = j \) or \( j = i \pm 1 \), then
\[
n(i, j) = 0 = n(i + 1, j).
\]
Hence, the \( i \)-th row and the \( (i + 1) \)-th row of \( G(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N; t) \) are the same and
\[
\det G(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N; t) = 0.
\]
Next, suppose that \( \pi_i \leq \pi_{i+1} \), so \#ij = \#(i+1)j. We will show that \( n(i, j) = n(i + 1, j) - 1 \). If \( j > i + 1 \), then
\[
n(i + 1, j) = (-1)^{i-j} \binom{j}{j-i} = (-1)^{i-j} \binom{j}{i} + 1 = n(i, j) + 1.
\]
If \( j < i - 1 \), then
\[
n(i + 1, j) = 1 \times (i - j - \#(i+1)j) = 1 \times (i - j - \#ij) + 1 = n(i, j) + 1.
\]
If $i = j$, then $n(i + 1, j) = 1 = n(i, i) + 1$. If $j = i + 1$, then $n(i + 1, j) = 0 = n(i, j) + 1$. If $j = i - 1$, then
\[ n(i + 1, j) = ( + 1) \times (2 - #(i-1)(i+1)) = ( + 1) \times (2 - #(i-1)i) \]
\[ = ( + 1) \times (1 - #(i-1)i) + 1 = n(i, i - 1) + 1 = n(i, j) + 1. \] (38)

Also, using the identity
\[ F_n(x + 1; t) = F_n(x; t) - F_{n-1}(x; t), \]
we obtain
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
F_{n(1,1)}(x_i - y_1; t) & \cdots & F_{n(i,N)}(x_i - y_N; t) \\
F_{n(i+1,1)}(x_i + 1 - y_1; t) & \cdots & F_{n(i+1,N)}(x_i + 1 - y_N; t) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]
\[ = \begin{vmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
F_{n(1,1)}(x_i - y_1; t) & \cdots & F_{n(i,N)}(x_i - y_N; t) \\
F_{n(i+1,1)}(x_i - y_1; t) & \cdots & F_{n(i+1,N)}(x_i - y_N; t) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{vmatrix} \]
(39)
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
F_{n(i,1)}(x_i - y_1; t) & \cdots & F_{n(i,N)}(x_i - y_N; t) \\
F_{n(i+1,1)} - (x_i - y_1; t) & \cdots & F_{n(i+1,N) - 1}(x_i - y_N; t) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

where the second term on the right-hand side of (39) is zero by (38). Hence,
\[ \text{Det } G(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_i + 1, x_i+2, \ldots, x_N; t) = \text{Det } G(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_i+2, \ldots, x_N; t). \]

(iii) To show that Det $G(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ satisfies (38): Since $F_{n(i,1)}(x_i - y_i; 0) = \delta_{x_i,y_i}$ and $F_{n(i,j)}(x_i - y_j; 0) = 0$ for $i > j$ because $x_i - y_j \geq 1$ when $x_1 < \cdots < x_N$ for given $y_1 < \cdots < y_N$,
\[ \text{Det } G(x_1, \ldots, x_N; 0) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{x_i,y_i} \]
when $x_1 < \cdots < x_N$ for given $y_1 < \cdots < y_N$.

\[ \square \]
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