Turbulent windprint on a liquid surface
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We investigate the effect of a light turbulent wind on a liquid surface, below the onset of wave generation. In that regime, the liquid surface is populated by small disorganised deformations elongated in the streamwise direction. Formally identified recently by Paquier et al. (2015), the deformations that occur below the wave onset were named wrinkles. We provide here a theoretical framework for this wrinkle regime, using the viscous response of a free surface liquid submitted to arbitrary normal and tangential interfacial stresses at its upper boundary. We relate the spatio-temporal spectrum of the surface deformations to that of the applied interfacial pressure and shear stress fluctuations. For that, we evaluate the spatio-temporal statistics of the turbulent forcing using Direct Numerical Simulation of a turbulent air channel flow, assuming no coupling between the air and the liquid flows. Combining theory and numerical simulation, we thus obtain synthetic wrinkles that reproduce previous experimental investigations. We show that the wrinkles are a multi-scale superposition of random wakes generated by the turbulent fluctuations. They result mainly from the nearly isotropic pressure fluctuations generated in the boundary layer, rather than from the elongated shear stress fluctuations. The wrinkle regime described in this paper naturally arises as the viscous-saturated asymptotic of the inviscid growth theory of Phillips (1957). Experiments indicate that the onset of exponential wave growth depends on the liquid viscosity. Our theory suggests that the empirical criterion for the onset is satisfied when the wrinkle amplitude reaches a given fraction of the viscous sublayer thickness. It indicates that the turbulent fluctuations near the onset may play a role in the triggering of exponential wave growth.
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1. Introduction

A mirror like liquid surface is quite rare to observe in outdoor conditions. The smallest breeze already perturbs the surface of water well below the onset of wave formation, as first described by J.S. Russell (1844). Since Russell’s work, this weak deformation regime below the wave onset has been often reported (Keulegan 1951; Gottifredi & Jameson 1970; Kahma & Donelan 1988; Caulliez et al. 2008) but its precise spatio-temporal properties have been measured only recently by Paquier et al. (2015) who named these deformations wrinkles. Characterised by randomly distributed, elongated structures in the streamwise direction, the apparent disordered aspect of the wrinkles were interpreted qualitatively as a signature of the air turbulence on the liquid surface. In particular, the liquid viscosity was found to influence the wrinkle amplitude. An empirical relation was inferred for the
mean square amplitude of the wrinkles (Paquier et al. 2016),
\[
\langle \zeta^2 \rangle \propto \nu^{-1}_t u^3_* ,
\]
(1.1)
where \( \nu_t \) is the kinematic viscosity of the liquid, \( u_* \) is the friction velocity of the air (Schlichting 2000) and \( \langle \zeta^2 \rangle \) is the squared displacement amplitude averaged over space and time. To the best of our knowledge, this empirical expression has not been explained theoretically.

Since wrinkles are systematically found even at moderate wind, they naturally represent a base state on which regular (quasi-monochromatic) waves may grow. The influence of this initial perturbed surface state on the wave onset can be indirectly analysed by varying the liquid viscosity. Indeed, in the general understanding of the physical origin of the wind wave onset, the dependency in liquid viscosity is still lacking (Sullivan & McWilliams 2010). While it has been observed experimentally that the liquid viscosity influences the wave onset (Francis 1956; Kahma & Donelan 1988; Paquier et al. 2016), the explicit dependency has not been captured by models that include viscous effects (Lindsay 1984; Funada & Joseph 2001; Kim et al. 2011).

In the literature of wind wave generation, two families of models can be identified. They involve either stability analysis of the mean wind profile or turbulent fluctuations. The branching goes back to the two seminal papers of Miles (1957) and Phillips (1957) who proposed each a mechanism for wind wave generation. On the one hand, the stability analysis based on the mean wind profile in Miles models (Miles 1993) or on the general Orr-Sommerfeld equation (see, e.g., Manneville 2010) ignores the turbulent fluctuations. Linear stability analysis predicts an onset above which the wave amplitude grows exponentially in time. A qualitative agreement with Miles theory has been obtained in laboratory experiments (Kawai 1979; Veron & Melville 2001). However, a quantitative agreement of refined Miles models (Janssen 2004) with experimental data is still lacking both in laboratory conditions (Plant 1982; Liberzon & Shemer 2011) and in outdoor conditions (Sullivan & McWilliams 2010). On the other hand, Phillips, following Eckart (1953), analysed the effect of random pressure fluctuations at the surface of an inviscid liquid. He considered a resonance mechanism between the surface displacement \( \zeta \) and the pressure fluctuations \( p \). He derived an expression for the mean square surface displacement of the form
\[
\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{\rho_t} \frac{\langle p^2 \rangle t}{2\sqrt{2}U_c g} ,
\]
(1.2)
where \( \langle p^2 \rangle \) is the mean square pressure fluctuation averaged over space, \( \rho_t \) the liquid density, \( U_c \) the typical convection speed of the turbulent structures and \( g \) the acceleration of gravity. Phillips theory yields a linear growth for the wave energy. Contrary to Miles theory, Phillips theory has not been extensively tested. Experimentally, algebraic temporal growth for the surface deformation has been observed recently by Zavadasky & Shemer (2017). Recent direct numerical simulations of two phase shear flows have also observed a regime of algebraic growth in time (Lin et al. 2008; Zonta et al. 2015) that may be attributed to Phillips mechanism. However, a quantitative agreement with Phillips theory has not been achieved.

Phillips formalism may apply to the wrinkle regime, as the air flow is already turbulent for wind below the wave threshold, so that a resonance between pressure fluctuations and surface waves could already occur at low wind speed. Equation (1.2) provides a theoretical expression for \( \zeta \) that depends on time and is independent of viscosity, whereas Eq. (1.1) provides an empirical relation for \( \zeta \) that depends on viscosity and is independent of time. These two equations are therefore far apart elements of the wrinkle puzzle. The aim of
A quantitative description of the wrinkle regime, even though it does not involve any instability mechanism, is challenging for mainly two reasons. First, the formalism must describe the response of a viscous liquid to an arbitrary forcing both in time and in space. For an impulsive forcing of arbitrary shape one can follow the approach of Miles (1968), who revisited the Cauchy-Poisson problem (Lamb 1995) for a viscous liquid. The response to a continuous perturbation in time can also be computed using the same formalism by linear superposition. However, the Fourier-Laplace transform formalism used by Miles limits the analytical feasibility to asymptotic solutions. For the specific case of a pressure perturbation travelling at constant velocity the problem could also be traced out using Havelock’s hypothesis who computed the wave pattern behind a ship (Havelock 1908). This problem has been revisited by numerous authors, without viscosity (Raphaël & de Gennes 1996; Rabaud & Moisy 2013; Darmon et al. 2014) or with viscosity (Richard & Raphaël 1999). However, to the best of our knowledge, no such Havelock-like formulation is available for an arbitrary forcing pattern both in time and in space. Moreover, the Havelock formulation applies only for a pressure fluctuation, whereas both pressure and shear stress fluctuations must act on the surface of a viscous liquid.

The second main difficulty arises from the modelling of the turbulence in the air boundary layer. Of particular interest for the wave generation problem is the slow dynamics and the long-range correlations in the boundary layer, which are very difficult to access experimentally. In recent years, in-depth knowledge has been gained from Direct Numerical Simulations (DNS), both for developing turbulent boundary layers and for fully developed turbulent channel flows (Moser et al. 1999; Jimenez et al. 2004; Jimenez & Hoyas 2008). The maximum turbulent Reynolds number reached in the most advanced simulations, $Re_\tau \approx 4000 – 8000$ (Lozano-Durán & Jiménez 2014; Lee & Moser 2015; Yamamoto & Tsuji 2018), is comfortably larger than the relevant values for the wind-wave generation problem ($Re_\tau \approx 100 – 1000$). Such data are highly valuable for the study of the wrinkle regime, as the air turbulence can be considered as essentially unaffected by the wave motion. Indeed, the typical surface displacement amplitude is much smaller than the viscous sublayer thickness in the air flow. This is precisely the regime explored in this paper. Moreover, the surface drift velocity remains small compared to air velocity, i.e. the condition of continuity of the shear stress is close to a no-slip condition. The situation is different for larger amplitude, close to the wave onset, for which a feedback of the wave motion on the air turbulence is expected, requiring a full two-phase flow approach. This approach, much more demanding computationally speaking, has been investigated recently (Belcher & Hunt 1998; Kudryavtsev & Makin 2002; Lin et al. 2008; Druzhinin et al. 2012; Kudryavtsev et al. 2014; Sajjadi et al. 2017). Because of the high computational cost, however, the range of physical parameters covered by these studies remains limited, and no scaling relation has yet been obtained for the wave onset.

Here we focus on a quantitative description of the wrinkle regime, below the wind wave onset. For that purpose, we combine analytical calculations of the viscous response of the liquid to an arbitrary forcing in a statistically stationary state with direct numerical simulations (DNS) of a turbulent air channel flow. Based on careful dimensional analysis and experimental data of the wrinkle regime, we show that below the wave threshold the evolution of the turbulent air boundary layer can be decoupled from the liquid response. We thus circumvent the difficulty to simulate a full turbulent two-phase flow by considering a turbulent channel flow with rigid walls and no-slip boundary conditions. Doing so, we greatly simplify the numerical difficulty to focus on the linear, passive response.
of the liquid phase. We show that the wrinkle statistics computed from our model are in good agreement with the experimental data of Paquier et al. (2015, 2016). Finally, we show that the onset of regular waves may correspond to the breakdown of the regime of linear passive response of the liquid surface, and propose an empirical criterion based on surface roughness originating from the wrinkle amplitude.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a general dimensional analysis of the surface deformation generated by a turbulent boundary layer. In section 3 we derive the key equation of the paper, that establishes the link between the Fourier components of the normal and tangential shear stresses applied at the air-liquid interface and the Fourier components of the surface displacement. Section 4 presents the direct numerical simulations used to compute the normal and tangential stresses. Section 5 combines the equation for the surface displacement in Fourier space and the output of the DNS to compute the wrinkle properties. It provides a quantitative comparison with the experiments of Paquier et al. (2016). Section 6 finally bridges the gap between the experimental characterisation of the wrinkle regime and the inviscid resonant model of Phillips (1957).

2. Wrinkle regime: dimensional analysis and experimental set up

2.1. Dimensional Analysis

We first discuss here the dependence of the surface displacement $\zeta$ on the relevant physical parameters using dimensional analysis. In the statistically steady state, the characteristic amplitude of the surface displacement averaged over space and time $\zeta_{\text{rms}} = \left\langle \zeta^2 \right\rangle^{1/2}$ in response to a turbulent wind is expected to depend on numerous parameters that characterise both the turbulent flow in the air and the liquid properties. The geometry and the relevant parameters of both phases are sketched in figure 1. The turbulent air boundary layer is characterised by the air density $\rho_a$, the kinematic viscosity $\nu_a$, the friction velocity $u^*$, where $u^* = \sqrt{\tau_a / \rho_a}$ (where $\tau_a$ is the mean shear stress at the interface), and the boundary layer thickness $\delta$. In a developing boundary layer, the thickness $\delta$ is function of the streamwise distance $x$, usually called fetch in the wind-wave generation problem, whereas it is constant in a fully developed channel flow. The spatial variation of a developing boundary layer is usually small ($d\delta/dx \ll 1$), so we can simply consider the boundary layer thickness $\delta$ as constant. The liquid flow is characterised by the liquid density $\rho_L$, kinematic viscosity $\nu_L$, acceleration of gravity $g$, surface tension $\gamma$, and liquid depth $h$. 
Under these hypotheses, the amplitude of the surface displacement writes $\zeta_{\text{rms}} = f(\rho_\alpha, \rho_\ell, \nu_\alpha, \nu_\ell, \delta, u^*, g, \gamma, h)$. According to Buckingham’s $\pi$ theorem, the dimensionless surface displacement $\zeta_{\text{rms}}/\delta$ can be expressed as a function of five independent dimensionless numbers:

$$\frac{\zeta_{\text{rms}}}{\delta} = f_1 \left( \frac{\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\ell}, \frac{g \delta^3}{\nu_\ell^2}, \frac{u^*}{g \nu_\ell}, \text{Re}_\delta, \text{Bo}, \frac{h}{\delta} \right),$$

(2.1)

where $f_1$ is a dimensionless function, $\text{Re}_\delta = u^* \delta/\nu_\alpha$ is the turbulent Reynolds number characterising the boundary layer, and $\text{Bo} = \delta/\ell_\nu$ is the Bond number (with $\ell_\nu = \sqrt{\gamma/\rho g}$ the capillary length). We chose a set of dimensionless numbers that decouple the influence of the friction velocity $u^*$ and of the length scale $\delta$ on the surface displacement. The function $f_1$ can be further specified using additional physical arguments.

In the static case and without surface tension, the density ratio $\rho_\alpha/\rho_\ell$ sets the surface displacement amplitude as can be seen from a simple pressure balance: the gravity pressure scales as $\rho g \delta$ and the pressure fluctuations in the air phase scales as $\rho_\alpha u^*$. Adding the surface tension introduces a dependency in Bond number but it does not modify the scaling of $\zeta_{\text{rms}}$ in $\rho_\alpha/\rho_\ell$. In the dynamical case with negligible effect of gravity in the air phase, and in the limit of linear equation of motion, the displacement still scales as $\rho_\alpha/\rho_\ell$ as can be seen from the continuity of normal stresses at liquid-air interface (a proper justification is given in Section 3). It implies

$$\frac{\zeta_{\text{rms}}}{\delta} = \frac{\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\ell} f_2 \left( \frac{g \delta^3}{\nu_\ell^2}, \frac{u^*}{g \nu_\ell}, \text{Re}_\delta, \text{Bo}, \frac{h}{\delta} \right).$$

(2.2)

The dimensionless number $g \delta^3/\nu_\ell^2$ characterises the surface response of a viscous liquids to an initial perturbation, as analysed by Miles (1968). The dependency in $g \delta^3/\nu_\ell^2$ can be neglected for the following reason. We first rewrite $g \delta^3/\nu_\ell^2$ as $(\delta/\ell_\nu)^3$, with $\ell_\nu = g^{-1/3} \nu_\ell^{2/3}$ the viscous length. This length $\ell_\nu$ was identified by Miles (1968) as the relevant length scale to classify the surface deformation regimes. For a gravity wave of wavelength $2\pi \delta$ and angular frequency $\omega = \sqrt{g/\delta}$, the dimensionless damping factor defined as $\theta = \nu_\ell/(g \delta^2)$ is given by $\theta = (\ell_\nu/\delta)^3/2$. The separation between the propagating wave regime and the over-damped regime occurs at a finite value of $\theta$, namely $\theta_\nu = 1.31$ (LeBlond & Mainardi 1987). Hence for gravity waves $\ell_\nu$ separates the regime of propagating waves ($\theta < \theta_\nu$) from the over-damped regime ($\theta > \theta_\nu$). In the range of liquid viscosity for which wrinkles are observed, $\nu_\ell \simeq 1 - 10^5 \nu_{\text{water}}$, the viscous length $\ell_\nu$ is in the range 50 $\mu$m $-$ 5 mm. Natural and laboratory flows characterised by a forcing scale $\delta > 1$ cm and for moderate viscosity $\nu_\ell < 10^5 \nu_{\text{water}}$ therefore fall in the regime $\delta > \ell_\nu$ in which gravity dominates over viscous diffusion. Surface deformations will therefore not be significantly diffused horizontally by viscous effects, and we may thus neglect the influence of $\delta/\ell_\nu$ thus of $g \delta^3/\nu_\ell^2$ on the surface deformation.

Even though the dimensionless number $g \delta^3/\nu_\ell^2$ is non relevant in the propagating regime, viscosity cannot be fully neglected because its cumulative effect eventually balances the input forcing (Miles 1968). The displacement $\zeta_{\text{rms}}$ may therefore depend on $u^*/(g \nu_\ell)$, and one is left with

$$\frac{\zeta_{\text{rms}}}{\delta} = \frac{\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\ell} f_3 \left( \frac{u^*}{g \nu_\ell}, \text{Re}_\delta, \text{Bo}, \frac{h}{\delta} \right).$$

(2.3)

Determining the function $f_3$ in the wrinkle regime, below the wind wave generation threshold, is the main question of this paper. We will show that the dependency in liquid viscosity $\nu_\ell$ can be traced out using viscous surface waves theory and an appropriate evaluation of the surface response in Fourier space following a route similar to Phillips...
The key result of the paper is the dominant scaling

\[
\frac{\zeta_{\text{rms}}}{\delta} = \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_t} \left( \frac{u_s^3}{g\nu_t} \right)^{1/2} f_4 \left( \text{Re}_\delta, \text{Bo}, \frac{h}{\delta} \right).
\]

(2.4)

Although the finite values of Bo and \( h/\delta \) will be taken into account in the following, the scaling of \( \zeta/\delta \) with respect to these two parameters is not explored here: the experimental data on the wrinkle regime are available only for one Bond number and one liquid depth \( h/\delta \) (see section 2.2 for experimental details). The remaining dependency of \( \zeta/\delta \) in \( \text{Re}_\delta \) is subtle and rises the question of the relevant forcing scale in this problem. The deformation may be governed either by the boundary layer thickness (outer scale) \( \delta \) or by the viscous sublayer thickness (inner scale) \( \delta_v = \delta/\text{Re}_\delta \). This non-trivial dependence therefore depends on the details of the turbulence forcing spectrum, and will be characterised in section 5 using Direct Numerical Simulation of a turbulent channel flow.

2.2. Experimental details

Although the theory derived in this paper is general, quantitative comparison in the following is provided with the only available experimental data of Paquier et al. (2015, 2016). We briefly provide here some details about the experimental set up and we characterise the relevant non-dimensional numbers.

The experimental set up consists in a rectangular tank filled with liquid, fitted to the bottom of a wind-tunnel of rectangular cross-section. The tank is of length \( L = 1.5 \) m, width 296 mm, and depth \( h = 35 \) mm, and the channel height is 105 mm. Air (density \( \rho_a \simeq 1.2 \) kg m\(^{-3}\), kinematic viscosity \( \nu_a \simeq 15 \times 10^{-6} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) is injected at a mean velocity \( U_a \) in the range 1 – 10 m s\(^{-1}\). The velocity profile in the air is close to that of a classical turbulent boundary layer developing over a no-slip flat wall, at least in the wrinkle regime. The boundary-layer thickness \( \delta \), defined as the distance from the surface at which the mean velocity is 0.99\( U_a \), is \( \delta \simeq 13 \) mm at \( x = 0 \), and increases linearly along the tank, with \( d\delta/dx \simeq 0.02 \). At the fetch \( x \) at which the measurements are performed, the local boundary layer thickness is \( \delta \simeq 30 \) mm. The friction velocity \( u^* \), determined from measurement of the surface drift velocity using stress continuity, is approximately \( u^* \simeq 0.05U_a \).

The liquid viscosity is varied in a wide range, \( \nu_t = 0.9 - 560 \times 10^{-6} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), using mixtures of glycerol and water (for low \( \nu_t \)) or glucose syrup and water (for large \( \nu_t \)). The liquid density \( \rho_t \) of the mixtures is in the range \( 1.0 - 1.36 \times 10^3 \) kg m\(^{-3}\). The surface tension is \( \gamma \simeq 60 \) mN m\(^{-1}\), and the capillary length \( \ell_c = \sqrt{\gamma/\rho_t g} \) is approximately 2.2 mm.

For a laminar flow in the liquid, the drift velocity at the surface is given by the continuity of the shear stress, namely \( U_s = \rho_a u^* s^2 h/(4\rho_t \nu_t) \). For liquid viscosity \( \nu_t > 4\nu_{\text{water}} \), the maximum drift velocity in the wrinkle regime is \( U_s \simeq 10 \) cm/s (for a wind velocity \( U_a \simeq 4.5 \) m/s), for which the flow in the liquid remains essentially laminar (\( U_s h/\nu_t < 10^3 \)). For the largest liquid viscosity, \( \nu_t \simeq 600\nu_{\text{water}} \), the surface drift does not exceed 1 mm/s even at the largest wind velocity, and can be safely neglected. However, for water and liquids of viscosity up to \( \simeq 4\nu_{\text{water}} \), the drift velocity is significant and the flow in the liquid is no longer laminar. For the sake of simplicity, the effects of the drift velocity or of a turbulent liquid flow on the wrinkle generation is not taken into account in the present paper.

The instantaneous surface deformation fields \( \zeta(r,t) \) are measured using Free-Surface Synthetic Schlieren (Moisy et al. 2009). This optical method is based on the analysis of the refracted image of a pattern visualized through the interface. The field of view is
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390 × 280 mm. The horizontal resolution is 3 mm, and the vertical resolution is 0.6 µm. The rms wrinkle amplitude is in the range 1-10 µm ($\zeta_{rms}/\delta \simeq 3 \times 10^{-5} - 3 \times 10^{-4}$).

In summary, the full set of non-dimensional numbers characterizing this experiment is

$$\frac{\rho_a}{\rho_l} \simeq (1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}, \quad \frac{g\delta^3}{\nu_l^2} \simeq 8 \times 10^2 - 3 \times 10^8,$$

$$\frac{u^*}{g\nu} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-2} - 2 \times 10^2, \quad Re_\delta = \frac{u^*\delta}{\nu} \simeq 10^2 - 10^3,$$

$$Bo = \frac{\delta}{\ell_c} \simeq 14, \quad \frac{h}{\delta} \simeq 1.2. \quad (2.5)$$

3. Derivation of the spectral theory

In this section we establish an expression in Fourier space relating the surface displacement to an arbitrary pressure and shear stress fields applied at a liquid interface from the upper gas phase.

3.1. Assumptions

The calculation is made under the following assumptions:

(a) The gas density is small compared to the liquid density, $\rho_a \ll \rho_l$.

(b) The slope of the surface displacement stays small at all time ($|\nabla \zeta| \ll 1$).

(c) The flow in the liquid is laminar.

(d) The drift velocity in the liquid is negligible compared to the typical velocity in the turbulent boundary layer and the convection speed of the turbulent structures.

(e) The liquid layer is of infinite depth.

Assumptions (a)-(c) are fundamental hypotheses of our theoretical approach, while assumptions (d) and (e) may be relaxed using refined calculations performed within the same theoretical framework. The mean drift velocity (assumption (d)) could be taken into account using wave theory over varying drift current (see e.g. Benzaquen & Raphael (2012); Ellingsen & Li (2017) for approximate solutions). The finite depth correction (e) will be included in the limit of bulk-dominated dissipation in section 3.4.

Two other assumptions shall also be used later but are not required for the following derivations:

(f) The surface displacement falls into the propagative wave regime, i.e. the horizontal sizes of the surface displacement are larger than the viscous length $\ell_\nu = g^{-1/3}\nu_l^{2/3}$.

(g) The surface displacement $\zeta$ is small compared to the viscous sublayer $\delta_\nu$ of the turbulent boundary layer in the air.

Assumption (f) is comfortably satisfied experimentally in the wrinkle regime for usual values of liquid viscosity, $\nu_l < 1000\nu_{\text{water}}$. Assumption (g) will be useful in section 4 to model the pressure and shear applied at the liquid interface by the gas layer.

3.2. Formulation

We consider a liquid layer submitted to a normal stress field $N(x, y, t)$ and a shear stress $T(x, y, t) = T_x e_x + T_y e_y$ applied at its upper surface in $z = \zeta(x, y, t)$. The linearized Navier-Stokes equation for the velocity $\mathbf{v} = v_x e_x + v_y e_y + v_z e_z$ in the liquid reads

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho_l} \nabla p + \mathbf{g} + \nu_l \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}, \quad (3.1)$$
where \( p_v \) is the pressure in the liquid phase. We have \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \) and the boundary condition for an infinite liquid depth reads
\[
\lim_{z \to -\infty} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{3.2}
\]
At the interface in \( z = \zeta \), the continuity condition of the normal stress can be approximated by the pressure in \( z = 0 \) using \( p_v(x, y, z = \zeta) = p_0 - \rho g \zeta \), where \( p_0 = p_v(x, y, z = 0) \). The continuity condition for the normal stress in \( z = 0 \) yields
\[
p_0 - \rho g \zeta - 2 \rho \nu_k (\partial_z v_z)_{z=0} + \gamma \Delta_{(x,y)} \zeta = N, \tag{3.3}
\]
where \( \Delta_{(x,y)} \) is the 2D Laplacian. The continuity condition of the tangential stress writes
\[
\rho \nu_k (\partial_x v_x + \partial_y v_y)_{z=0} = T_x \tag{3.4a}
\]
\[
\rho \nu_k (\partial_x v_y + \partial_y v_x)_{z=0} = T_y, \tag{3.4b}
\]

### 3.3. Statistically steady and homogeneous regime

We now look for statistically homogeneous and stationary solutions. We introduce the space-time Fourier transform \( \mathcal{F} \),
\[
\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega) = \mathcal{F}\{\zeta(r, t)\} = \int d^2 r \, dt \, \zeta(r, t) e^{-i(k \cdot r - \omega t)} \tag{3.5a}
\]
\[
\zeta(r, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega)\} = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^2 k \, d\omega \, \hat{\zeta}(k, \omega) e^{i(k \cdot r - \omega t)}, \tag{3.5b}
\]
and similarly for \( \hat{N}(k, \omega) \) and \( \hat{T}(k, \omega) \), with \( r = xe_x + ye_y \) the horizontal position, \( k = ke_x + ke_y \) the horizontal wave vector and \( \omega \) the angular frequency. \( k \) and \( \omega \) are real. From Eq. (3.1) we obtain
\[
\Delta p_v = 0 \tag{3.6}
\]
\[
\partial_t \Omega - \nu_k \Delta \Omega = 0, \tag{3.7}
\]
where we have introduced the vorticity \( \Omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v} \). The boundary conditions for \( \Omega \) writes
\[
\Omega(r, z = 0, t) = \Omega_0(r, t) \tag{3.8}
\]
\[
\lim_{z \to -\infty} \Omega(r, z, t) = 0, \tag{3.9}
\]
where \( \Omega_0(r, t) \) is the vorticity at the surface. Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) can be solved in Fourier space,
\[
p_v(r, z, t) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^2 k \, d\omega \, \hat{p}_v(k, \omega) e^{i(k \cdot r - \omega t)} e^{kz} \tag{3.10}
\]
\[
\Omega(r, z, t) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^2 k \, d\omega \, \hat{\Omega}_0(k, \omega) e^{i(k \cdot r - \omega t)} e^{mz}, \tag{3.11}
\]
where
\[
m^2 = k^2 - i\omega/\nu_k, \tag{3.12}
\]
with \( k = (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{1/2} \) and \( \{\hat{p}_v, \hat{\Omega}_0\} = \mathcal{F}\{p_v, \Omega_0\} \) the Fourier transforms of the pressure and vorticity in \( z = 0 \). Eq. (3.10) shows that an applied pressure patch of typical wavenumber \( k \) and frequency \( \omega \) penetrates the liquid layer over a depth \( k^{-1} \), while Eq. (3.11) shows that the applied shear stress and hence vorticity penetrate over a depth \( |m|^{-1} \). These two penetration depths are similar for very viscous fluids, whereas in the limit of low viscosity vorticity remains confined in a thin boundary layer of thickness \( |m|^{-1} \simeq \sqrt{\nu_x/\omega} \).
Rewriting Eq. (3.1) as $\partial_t \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p_e/\rho_e - \nu \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{\Omega}$, and using Eqs. (3.10)-(3.11), we obtain the expression of the velocity

$$\mathbf{v}(r, z, t) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} d\omega \left( \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\rho_e i\omega} \hat{p}_0 e^{ikz} + \frac{\mathbf{\kappa}}{i\omega} \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_0 e^{imz} \right) e^{ikr-\omega t},$$  

(3.13)

where $\mathbf{q} = (ik_x, ik_y, k)$ and $\mathbf{\kappa} = (ik_x, ik_y, m)$. The functions $\hat{p}_0$ and $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_0$ are given by the stress boundary conditions in $z = 0$. Combining Eqs. (3.3), (3.4) and (3.13) yields

$$\left(1 - \frac{2k^2\nu}{i\omega}\right) \frac{k}{\rho_e} \hat{p}_0 - \frac{2\nu mk}{i\omega} \nu_t \hat{B}_z - g' k \hat{\zeta} = \frac{k\hat{N}}{\rho_e},$$  

(3.14)

$$\nu_t \hat{B}_z + 2\nu_t \mathcal{F}\{(\partial_{zz} v_z)_{z=0}\} = -\frac{ik \cdot \hat{\mathbf{T}}}{\rho_e},$$  

(3.15)

where $g' = g + \gamma k^2/\rho_e$ is the modified gravity and $\hat{B}_z = (\mathbf{\kappa} \times \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_0(k, \omega)) \cdot \mathbf{e}_z$ satisfies $\Delta v_z = -B_z$. The component $\hat{B}_z$ is associated to the viscous dissipation of the vertical component $v_z$ of the velocity field. Using the kinematic condition $\partial_t \zeta = (v_z)_{z=\zeta}$ in the small perturbation limit, we obtain the relation between $\hat{\zeta}, \hat{p}_0$ and $\hat{B}_z$,

$$\omega^2 \hat{\zeta} = \frac{k}{\rho_e} \hat{p}_0 + \nu_t \hat{B}_z,$$  

(3.16)

where $\hat{p}_0$ and $\hat{B}_z$ can be expressed using Eqs (3.14) and (3.15). From Eqs. (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) one can obtain by algebraic manipulations (see details in Appendix A) the following expression

$$(\omega^2 - g'k + 4i\nu_t \omega k^2 + 4\nu_t^2 k^4(m - k)) \hat{\zeta} = \frac{k\hat{N}}{\rho_e} + \frac{m - k}{m + k} \frac{ik \cdot \hat{\mathbf{T}}}{\rho_e}.$$  

(3.17)

We obtain a damped wave equation forced by the normal and tangential stresses applied at the interface. It describes the response of a viscous liquid forced by arbitrary normal and tangential stress fields under the assumptions (a) to (e), in statistically steady and homogeneous configurations. Setting $N=0$ and $\mathbf{T} = 0$ yields the usual dispersion relation for gravito-capillary waves with viscosity [Lamb1995]. In a very viscous fluid ($m \approx k$), the effect of the shear stress on the flow vanishes, whereas for a fluid of low viscosity ($m \gg k$), the effects of pressure and shear stress are comparable. Note here the specificity of the limit $\nu_t \to 0$: although $(m - k)/(m + k) \to 1$, only the pressure can generate waves in an inviscid fluid since the inviscid limit implies $\mathbf{T} = 0$ by tangential stress continuity.

### 3.4. Application to the wrinkle generation

We now further simplify Eq. (3.17) by restricting our analysis to the wrinkle regime. First, we discuss the small viscosity approximation (assumption (f)). Second, we define dimensionless quantities to end up with a theoretical prediction for the wrinkle amplitude that is of the form anticipated by the dimensional analysis of section 2.

The boundary layer thickness associated to the vertical diffusion of a shear stress patch applied during a time $\omega^{-1}$ is $\delta_r = \sqrt{\nu_t/\omega}$. For a gravity wave of frequency $\omega = \sqrt{gk}$ we have $\delta_r = \ell_v^{3/4} k^{-1/4}$, where $\ell_v = (\nu_t^2/g)^{1/3}$ is the viscous length introduced in section 2.1. In practice, $\delta_r$ lies in the range 0.2 - 7 mm for a typical wrinkle wavelength $2\pi/k \approx 100$ mm. The thin boundary layer approximation (f) is therefore fulfilled. In this limit,
Figure 2. Representation in the Fourier space of the dispersion relation \( \omega = \sqrt{g'k \tanh(kh)} \) of gravito-capillary waves (blue-green surface) and the forcing (pink plane). The forcing here corresponds to a source traveling at constant velocity in the \( x \) direction, \( \omega = U_k \). The intersection between the two surfaces (black line) is where the maximum wave amplitude is expected.

we have \(|m| \gg k\), and only the first order in \( \nu \ell \) contributes in Eq. (3.17), yielding

\[
\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{\rho_\ell \omega^2 - g'k + 4i \nu \ell \omega k^2}. \tag{3.18}
\]

Equation (3.18) is a corner stone of this paper: it relates the Fourier component of the displacement field \( \hat{\zeta}(k, \omega) \) to the Fourier components of the applied normal and tangential stresses \( \hat{N} \) and \( \hat{T} \). In the specific case of \( \hat{T}(k, \omega) = 0 \) and \( \hat{N}(k, \omega) \) in the form \( \delta(\omega - U_k) \hat{N}(k) \), with \( U_k \) the convection velocity of a rigid pressure source of Fourier transform \( \hat{N}(k) \), we retrieve the Havelock’s ship wake framework (Havelock 1908). This framework has been widely used to compute the wave field generated by a moving disturbance without viscosity (Raphaël & de Gennes 1996) or with viscosity (Richard & Raphaël 1999).

Equation (3.18) can also be seen from the point of view of the linear response theory. We define the source term \( \hat{S} \) as

\[
\hat{S}(k, \omega) = \frac{k \hat{N} + i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho_\ell}, \tag{3.19}
\]

and the dispersion relation \( \hat{D} \) as

\[
\hat{D}(k, \omega) = \omega^2 - g'k \tanh(kh) + 4i \nu \ell \omega k^2, \tag{3.20}
\]

where the expression of \( \hat{D} \) has been extended to arbitrary finite depth \( h \). This generalisation is valid in the limit of bulk-dominated dissipation for small but non vanishing viscosity (assumption (g)). With these notations, Eq. (3.18) simply writes

\[
\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega) = \frac{\hat{S}(k, \omega)}{\hat{D}(k, \omega)}. \tag{3.21}
\]

where \( 1/\hat{D} \) appears as a spectral transfer function. A maximum response may be expected either where \( \hat{D} \) is small (resonant response) or where \( \hat{S} \) is large (non-resonant response).

The physical meaning of Eq. (3.18) is illustrated in figure 2. In the Fourier space
(ω, kx, ky), the inviscid dispersion relation ω² = g′k tanh(kh) is represented by the blue-green surface with rotational invariance around the ω axis. Equation (3.21) predicts a resonant response in the vicinity of this surface, i.e. for Fourier components ˆζ(k, ω) satisfying the dispersion relation. For a more quantitative description, one must now specify the nature of the forcing term ˆS.

In the simple case of a rigid pattern of pressure and stress fluctuations travelling at a constant speed Uc in the x direction, the energy is contained in the plane ω = kxUc (pink plane in figure 2). The intersection between the two surfaces (black line) is a natural candidate for wave amplification. In the more realistic case of turbulent structures travelling at a mean velocity, energy is contained in a volume centered around the pink plane, of width along ω given by the inverse correlation time of the fluctuations. This indicates that, even if the plane ω = kxUc does not intersect the dispersion relation (for Uc smaller than the minimum phase velocity of the waves), the nonzero thickness of the space-time energy spectrum ensures that a finite amount of energy is transferred to the waves: wrinkles always exist as soon as the air flow is turbulent.

3.5. Non dimensional form

For the following analysis, we express the surface response (3.18) in the dimensionless form of Eq. (2.3). Using δ as the characteristic length and δ/υ∗ as the characteristic time, we introduce the dimensionless source term ˆS† as

\[ \hat{S} = \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_e} \hat{S} u^* \delta^2. \] (3.22)

The mean surface displacement ̂ζ² can be related to its representation in Fourier space using Parseval’s theorem,

\[ \int d^2 r dt \hat{\zeta}^2 = \int d^2 k d\omega |\hat{\zeta}|^2. \] (3.23)

Replacing ˆζ in Eq. (3.23) by its expression from Eq. (3.21) and Eq. (3.22) yields

\[ \frac{\zeta^2}{\delta^2} = \left( \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_e} \right)^2 \frac{u^*}{\delta} \int d^2 k d\omega \frac{|\hat{S}^\dagger|^2}{(\omega^2 - \omega_r^2)^2 + \omega_r^4 \omega^2}, \] (3.24)

where \( \omega_r = \sqrt{g'k \tanh(kh)} \) is the surface wave frequency and \( \omega_r = 4\nu_k k^2 \) is the dissipation rate. Equation (3.24) needs further specifications to be written in a dimensionless form. A choice arises for the dimensionless frequency, which can be constructed either from the characteristic time of the source, \( \delta/u^* \), or from the characteristic time of the surface response, \( \omega_r^{-1} \). We chose the dimensionless frequencies \( \tilde{\omega} = \omega/\omega_r, \tilde{\omega}_r = \omega_r/\omega_r \), and the dimensionless wavenumber \( \tilde{k} = k\delta \), yielding

\[ \frac{\zeta^2}{\delta^2} = \left( \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_e} \right)^2 \frac{u^*}{4\nu_e} \int d^2 \tilde{k} d\tilde{\omega} \frac{1}{k^3(1 + \text{Bo}^{-2}k^2) \tanh(kh/\delta)} \frac{|\hat{S}^\dagger|^2}{(\tilde{\omega} - \tilde{\omega}_r)^2 (\tilde{\omega}/\tilde{\omega}_r + 1)^2 + (\tilde{\omega}/\tilde{\omega}_r)^2}. \] (3.25)

This equation provides an analytical expression for the dimensionless function \( f_3 \) introduced in section 2. Eq. (3.25) confirms the role played by the dimensionless combination \( u^*/(g\nu) \). However, the dependency in Reynolds number is hidden in the source term \( \hat{S}^\dagger \). Hence, a quantitative description of the spectral source originating from the turbulent boundary layer in the air phase is now required.
4. Statistics of the applied turbulent stress from direct numerical simulations

We compute the source term $\hat{S}$ using a set of time-resolved pressure and shear stress fields evaluated at $z = 0$, taken from three-dimensional Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) of a developed turbulent flow in a non deformable channel with no-slip condition at the boundary. In order to provide comparison with the experiments of Paquier et al. (2015, 2016), which where performed in a developing boundary layer flow, we assume here that the spatio-temporal statistics of turbulence in a developing boundary layer of thickness $\delta$ at a given $Re_\delta = \delta u^*/\nu_a$ are equivalent to that of a channel flow of half-height $H$ and the same value of $Re = Hu^*/\nu_a$. Previous works have shown that this assumption is reasonably well satisfied for the flow close to the wall, $z < 0.6\delta$ (Jimenez & Hoyas 2008, Jimenez et al. 2010). In the following, we identify $H$ to $\delta$ and we use for simplicity the same notation $Re_\delta$ for the DNS and the experiments.

4.1. Boundary conditions

We first examine to what extent a canonical turbulent flow over a smooth and rigid wall with no slip boundary condition can adequately model the turbulence over a free surface. We base our analysis of the air flow on three assumptions:

- The interface is slightly deformable, $\partial_t \zeta|_{z=0^+} = v_z|_{z=0^+} \ll v_{x,y}|_{z=0^+}$.
- The interface is smooth, $\zeta \ll \delta_u$.
- The surface drift velocity in the liquid is negligible compared to the velocity of the turbulent structures, $v_x|_{z=0^-} \ll U_a$.

These three assumptions are corollary of the assumptions (b), (d) and (g) discussed in section 3.1. The rigid wall approximation is equivalent to the linear approximation (b). The smooth wall approximation is motivated by the experimental value $\zeta_{rms}$ which is at least ten times smaller than the viscous sublayer $\delta_u$ below the wind wave threshold (Paquier et al. 2016). It is therefore a consequence of assumption (g). Finally, the no-slip boundary condition derives from assumption (d).

Under these assumptions, we model the turbulence by a turbulent channel flow over a smooth and rigid wall with no slip boundary condition in $z = 0$ and $z = 2H$ and periodic boundary conditions along $x$ and $y$. The DNS configuration is sketched in figure 3 with $L_x, L_y$ the streamwise and the spanwise lengths. The flow is driven by a mean streamwise pressure gradient $\mathcal{P}u/L_x$. By conservation of the streamwise momentum, the mean tangential stress at the boundaries is $\tau_a = H \mathcal{P}u/L_x$, which defines the friction velocity $u^* = \sqrt{\tau_a/\rho_a}$. We decompose the instantaneous pressure at the wall as the sum of a stationary pressure drop $\mathcal{P}(x) = \mathcal{P}_u(1-x/L_x)$ and turbulent pressure fluctuations $p(x, y, t)$ of zero mean. Similarly, the wall shear stress is the sum of a stationary component $\tau_a e_x$ and fluctuations $\sigma(x, y, t) = \sigma_x e_x + \sigma_y e_y$ of zero mean. As argued before, the steady contributions are responsible for a mean flow generation in the liquid, which is not considered here. In the following, we focus on the fluctuating contributions $(p, \sigma)$ that will be used to compute the source term $S^\dagger$.

To compute the source term $\hat{S} = (kN + ik \cdot \mathbf{T})/\rho_t$, we need to specify the normal and tangential stresses,

\begin{align}
N &= p + 2\rho_a \nu_a (\partial_z v_z)|_{z=0^+} \\
T_x &= \sigma_x + \rho_a \nu_a (\partial_x v_x)|_{z=0^+} \\
T_y &= \sigma_y + \rho_a \nu_a (\partial_y v_y)|_{z=0^+}.
\end{align}

The relative importance of the viscous contributions depend on the surface deformation and the magnitude of the turbulent air flow. For a surface displacement $\zeta$ of characteristic...
\[ \text{Turbulent windprint on a liquid surface} \]

\begin{align*}
\text{Re}_t & \quad \Delta x^+ & \Delta y^+ & \Delta z_{\min}^+ & \Delta z_{\max}^+ & \Delta t^+ & H/u^* & U_a \ (\text{m s}^{-1}) \\
100 & \quad 10.1 & 5.7 & 0.06 & 3.4 & 0.63 & 12.5 & 1 \\
180 & \quad 9.1 & 5.3 & 0.02 & 3.0 & 0.64 & 14.1 & 1.8 \\
250 & \quad 12.1 & 6.8 & 0.03 & 4.0 & 0.61 & 10.1 & 2.5 \\
360 & \quad 13.1 & 6.5 & 0.04 & 5.8 & 3.80 & 21.8 & 3.6 \\
550 & \quad 13.4 & 7.5 & 0.04 & 6.7 & 0.45 & 6.7 & 5.5 \\
\end{align*}

Table 1. Details of the DNS turbulent channel flow. $\Delta x^+$ and $\Delta y^+$ are the spatial resolutions in terms of Fourier modes before dealiasing. $\Delta z_{\min}^+$ and $\Delta z_{\max}^+$ are the finest and coarsest spatial resolutions in the wall-normal direction in dimensionless units. $\Delta t^+$ is the temporal separation between stored flow fields and $H/u^*$ is the total time simulated. $U_a$ is the corresponding wind velocity for a boundary layer thickness $\delta \approx 30 \text{ mm}$ and a kinematic viscosity of air $\nu_a = 15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see section 2.2).

Wavenumber $k$ and convection speed $U_c$, spatial gradients of $v_z$ scale as $\partial x,y,z v_z \approx \zeta U_c k^2$. For a turbulent boundary layer of friction velocity $u^*$, the vertical gradient of horizontal velocity scales as $\partial_z v_{x,y} \approx u^*/\delta_u$. The ratio $\partial_{x,y} v_z / \partial z v_{x,y}$ is thus given by $\zeta \delta_u u^* k^2 / U_c$, where $U_c \approx 10 u^*$. If we consider a wave of wavelength $\Lambda$, using assumption (b), $\zeta \ll \Lambda$, and assumption (g), $\delta_u \ll \Lambda$, we have in practice $\partial_{x,y} v_z / \partial z v_{x,y}$ in the wrinkle regime.

The expressions of $N$ and $T$ thus reduce to

\[ N = p \quad (4.4) \]
\[ T = \sigma \quad (4.5) \]

where $p$ is the air pressure and $\sigma$ is the tangential wall stress in the limit of a non-deformable wall. In the following, we use the conventional wall-unit notation $+$,

\[ \{p, \sigma\} = \rho_a u^* \{p^+, \sigma^+\} \quad (4.6) \]

so the dimensionless source term $S^1$ defined in Eq. (3.25) reads

\[ S^1 = \tilde{k} p^+ + i \tilde{k} \cdot \sigma^+, \quad (4.7) \]

with $\tilde{k} = k \delta$.

4.2. DNS Configuration

The DNS runs are summarized in table 1. The incompressible flow is integrated in the form of evolution equations for the wall-normal vorticity and for the Laplacian of the wall-normal velocity, as in [Kim et al. (1987)], and the spatial discretisation is desaliased Fourier in the two wall-parallel directions and Chebychev polynomials in $z$. Time stepping is the third-order semi-implicit Runge-Kutta from [Moser et al. (1999)]. The computational box is $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = (8\pi, 3\pi, 2) H$ with periodic boundary conditions along $x$ and $y$ directions. The numerical set up configuration is sketched in figure 3 with the wall pressure and wall shear stress computed at the wall for $\text{Re}_t=250$. Preliminary tests revealed that this large domain size is necessary to ensure the correct convergence of the Fourier integral (3.18), which is dominated by the contributions at small $k$. Similarly, the integration time must be of order of several $H/u^*$ (the characteristic eddy-turnover time of the energy-containing structures), to correctly resolve the low frequencies $\omega$ which also dominate Eq. (3.18). The temporal separation between stored flow fields for case $\text{Re}_t u = 360$ was made coarser in order to collect statistics for a longer time period. Nevertheless, it will be shown in section 5.3 that this time step is still sufficiently small to resolve accurately the temporal displacements of the surface.
Figure 3. Sketch of the numerical setup. The pressure and the shear stress on the wall plane $z = 0$ taken from Direct Numerical Simulation of a turbulent channel flow are applied to the surface of a viscous liquid. The DNS is performed on a domain $(L_x, L_y, L_z) = (8\pi, 3\pi, 2)H$. The snapshot illustrates the turbulent field in $z = 0$ for the case $Re_\delta = 250$. Pressure is shown in color, and longitudinal shear stress $\sigma_x$ as contour lines (lines are separated by increments $\sigma_x^+ = 1$, positive for full lines and negative for dashed lines). A magnification of the snapshot by a factor 4 in each direction is also presented.

The turbulent Reynolds number $Re_\tau$ ranges from 100 to 550, which corresponds in the experiments of Paquier et al. (2015) to wind speeds ranging from 1 to 5.5 m s$^{-1}$ (see Table 1). This correspondence is obtained by equating $Re_\tau$ from the DNS and $Re_\delta$ from the experiments, with $\nu_a = 15 \times 10^{-6}$ m s$^{-2}$ for the kinematic viscosity of air, and $\delta \approx 30$ mm for the local boundary-layer thickness at the $x$-location where measurements are carried out (see section 2.2).

4.3. Pressure and shear stress statistics at the wall

Figure 4 shows snapshots of the instantaneous wall pressure $p^+$ and streamwise wall shear stress $\sigma_x^+$ for Reynolds number $Re_\delta = 100, 250$ and 550. Increasing the Reynolds number naturally decreases the size of the structures. These snapshots show that the shear stress patterns tend to be elongated in the streamwise direction, whereas the pressure patterns are nearly isotropic in the $(x, y)$ plane. These elongated shear stress patterns are a classic signature of the streamwise streaks in the near-wall region of the boundary layer, whereas the nearly isotropic pressure patterns are related to the imprint created at the wall by the cores of the vortices (Jimenez 2013).

The intensities and sizes of the pressure and shear stress fluctuations are quantified in figure 5 as a function of the Reynolds number. The pressure r.m.s. (figure 5(a)) is typically 2 to 5 times larger than the shear stress r.m.s. and increases weakly with $Re_\delta$, while the shear stress r.m.s. remains nearly constant over the range of $Re_\delta$ considered.
Figure 4. Snapshots of the pressure and shear stress fields at the surface, at three values of \(\text{Re}_\delta\). Only a subdomain of area \(1/4\) is shown: \((L_x, L_y)/\delta = (8\pi, 3\pi)/2\). The pressure \(p^+ = p/(\rho_x u^* x^2)\) is shown in color, and the longitudinal shear stress \(\sigma_x^+\) as contour lines, such that \(|\sigma_x^+| = 0.5i\) with integer \(i\) (positive for full lines and negative for dashed lines).

We can therefore anticipate that the surface response will be dominated by the pressure forcing.

To compute the characteristic dimensions of the pressure and shear stress structures, we define for any field \(f(x, y, t)\) the spectral barycenter \(K\),

\[
K = K_x e_x + K_y e_y = \frac{\int_D d^2k d\omega \ k |\hat{f}|}{\int_D d^2k d\omega |\hat{f}|},
\]

(4.8)

where \(\hat{f}(k, \omega)\) is the Fourier transform of \(f\), and \(D = \{(k_x, k_y, \omega)|k_x > 0, k_y > 0\}\) is the domain of integration. The mean structure size in the streamwise and spanwise directions, defined as \(\Lambda_x = 2\pi/K_x\) and \(\Lambda_y = 2\pi/K_y\), are plotted in figure 5(b) for the pressure and the shear stress. \(\Lambda_x\) and \(\Lambda_y\) both decrease as \(\text{Re}_\delta^{-1}\), indicating that they scale as the
Figure 5. Statistics of pressure and shear stress fluctuations as a function of Re_δ. (a) Root-mean-squared pressure _p_rms_ (●) and stress _σ_rms_ = (σ_x^2 + σ_y^2)^(1/2) (⋆). (b) Characteristic streamwise and spanwise lengths _Λ_x/δ_ (●, ▲) and _Λ_y/δ_ (●, ▲) of pressure and shear stress, computed from their Fourier spectrum using Eq. (4.8); for _σ_, the values of _Λ_ are averaged over the two components _σ_x_ and _σ_y_. Structure sizes decrease as _Λ ∝ 1/Re_δ_ (black solid line). (c) Mean convection velocity _U_c/U_a_ for pressure (●) and shear stress (⋆), computed using Eq. (4.10).

We finally define the convection velocity _U_c_ as

\[ U_c = \Omega/K_x. \tag{4.10} \]

The convection velocity for the pressure and the shear stress, plotted in figure 5(c), takes similar values for both fields. It slightly decreases with Re_δ_ and saturates to a constant value, _U_c ≈ 0.6U_a_. This value corresponds to the mean velocity at the wall-normal location z⁺ ≃ 30 where the pressure fluctuations are maximum (Kim 1989). Note however that this convection velocity is an average over Fourier components traveling at different velocities: the largest structures propagate at _U_c ≈ 0.8U_a_ while the small scale structures propagate at a slightly lower value, _U_c ≈ 0.6U_a_ (Choi & Moin 1990).

5. Integrated model of the wrinkle regime

We now combine the analytical results for the surface response (section 3) with the DNS of a turbulent air boundary layer (section 4) to determine the statistical properties of the wrinkles. We first compute in section 5.1 the spatio-temporal fields of synthetic wrinkles from direct integration of Eq. (3.18) using three-dimensional discrete Fourier transform, and compare them to experimental data. Although a good qualitative agreement is obtained, this direct method suffers from discretisation effects at large wavelength. To circumvent this difficulty, we analyse the surface response in the spectral space in section 5.2, and we introduce in section 5.3 a semi-analytical method to evaluate the three-dimensional integral from its dominant contribution in the vicinity of the two-dimensional resonant manifold. This method gives more insight into the physics of the wrinkle generation and their scaling properties.

5.1. Surface displacement computation

We first provide here a direct computation of time series of synthetic wrinkles fields from direct integration of Eq. (3.18). From the space-time Fourier transform of the wall pres-
Figure 6. Comparison between experimental surface displacement field $\zeta$ measured by Paquier et al. (2015) (left) and synthetic wrinkle fields computed from DNS data with Eq. (3.18) (right). Only a subdomain of the synthetic wrinkle field is shown, to match the size of the experimental domain. Experimental data (left) for $U_a = 1$ m/s (a), 2.5 m/s (c), and 5.5 m/s (e), which corresponds to $\text{Re}_\delta = 100$ (b), $\text{Re}_\delta = 250$ (d), $\text{Re}_\delta = 550$ (f).

Sure $\hat{p}^+(k, \omega)$ and wall shear stress $\hat{\sigma}^+(k, \omega)$ extracted from the DNS runs, we compute the source term $\hat{S}^+(k, \omega) = kp^+ + ik \cdot \sigma^+$ from Eq. (4.7) on a discrete three-dimensional Cartesian grid ($\omega, k_x, k_y$). Since the surface response occurs mainly at large scales and low frequency, the space-time Fourier transforms are decimated: only the modes $k_x \delta < 20$ and $\omega \delta / U_a < 25$ are retained. The Fourier components $\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega)$ of the surface displacement are computed from Eq. (3.18), and the displacement field $\zeta(r, t)$ in physical space is finally obtained by computing the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega)$.

Figure 6 shows snapshots of synthetic wrinkle fields computed using this direct method, for $\text{Re}_\delta = 100, 250$ and 550, compared to experimental measurements by Paquier et al. (2015) for a liquid viscosity $\nu = 30$ mm² s⁻¹ and equivalent Reynolds number (the corresponding wind speeds are $U_a = 1, 2$ and 5.5 m/s). These synthetic fields are obtained from the pressure and shear stress snapshots shown in figure 4. The wrinkles...
Figure 7. Analysis of the respective role of pressure and shear stress fluctuations on the wrinkle generation. Synthetic wrinkle field snapshot obtained for $Re_\delta = 250$ from (a) the pressure contribution $p$ only; (b) the shear stress contribution $\sigma$ only; (c) both pressure and shear stress. The full field is almost indistinguishable from the field (a), showing that the main contribution originates from pressure fluctuations.

appear as disordered fluctuations, nearly isotropic at $Re_\delta = 100$, that become elongated in the streamwise direction as $Re_\delta$ increases. We can note the good qualitative agreement between experimental and synthetic wrinkles for $Re_\delta = 100$ and 250 (quantitative comparisons are provided in section 5.3). The width of the wrinkles decreases slightly with $Re_\delta$, but their length remains nearly constant, although they originate from pressure fields that become finer as $Re_\delta$ increases (see figure 4). This shift of the surface response towards larger scales is a fundamental feature of the wrinkle regime. The match between experimental and synthetic wrinkles is not as good at larger Reynolds number, for $Re_\delta = 550$: as the Reynolds number is increased, the DNS resolves smaller scales, while the experimental measurements reaches their limit of resolution at an intermediate spatial scale, smoothing off the small scales. The measured experimental wrinkles at large Reynolds number appear here as a coarse-grained version of the synthetic wrinkles.

An interesting question here is whether the wrinkles originate mostly from the pressure forcing or the shear stress forcing. The relative contribution of the two terms is illustrated in figure 7 obtained at the intermediate Reynolds number $Re_\delta = 250$. Figure 7(a) and (b) show snapshots of surface deformation computed using the pressure contribution only ($S^\dagger = kp^\dagger$) and shear stress contribution only ($S^\dagger = ik \cdot \sigma^\dagger$), while figure 7(c) combines the two contributions. Pressure clearly dominates the wrinkle generation. This important result can be primarily attributed to the larger rms amplitude of pressure (one has $p^\dagger_{rms} \approx 4\sigma^\dagger_{rms}$ here, see figure 5(a)). However, this larger amplitude is not sufficient to explain the factor 10 in amplitude between figure 7(a) and 7(b). The stronger influence of pressure also originates from the particular form of the transfer function $1/D$ which tends to amplify structures of larger size. We can conclude that, although elongated in
Figure 8. (a) Space-time spectrum $\langle |\hat{S}(k,\omega)|^2 \rangle_{k_y}$ of the source term for $Re_\delta = 250$. (b) Space-time spectrum of surface displacement $\langle |\hat{\zeta}(\omega,k_x)|^2 \rangle_{k_y}$, computed from Eq. (3.18) for a liquid viscosity corresponding to $\nu_l = 30$ mm$^2$/s. Black dashed line: $\omega = k_x U_c$, where $U_c$ is the convection velocity. Red dashed line: dispersion relation. The frequency $\omega$ is normalized by $\omega_\delta = \omega (k \delta = 1)$.

the streamwise direction, wrinkles are essentially disordered wakes of nearly isotropic traveling pressure fluctuations.

An important drawback of the direct reconstruction of synthetic wrinkles from the three-dimensional evaluation of Eq. (3.18) is the strong discretisation effects. The most amplified Fourier modes in Eq. (3.25) occur in a narrow range of $(k, \omega)$, where the transfer function $1/\hat{D}$ takes large values, that is difficult to resolve numerically on a discrete grid. Although the overall shape of the wrinkle fields are robust, their amplitude shows a significant dependence on the domain size and duration of the DNS, and hence on the discretisation in $(k, \omega)$. To circumvent this discretisation issue, we perform a finer analysis of $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{\zeta}$ in Fourier space, allowing for a refined and more robust evaluation of the wrinkle properties.

5.2. Analysis in Fourier space

Analysis of the spectral forcing $\hat{S}$ and the resulting spectrum of surface displacement $\hat{\zeta}$ in the Fourier space brings more insight into the selective amplification that generates the wrinkles. Figure 8 shows two-dimensional representations of the three-dimensional spectra $|\hat{S}(k,\omega)|^2$ and $|\hat{\zeta}(k,\omega)|^2$ computed from the DNS run at $Re_\delta = 250$, for a liquid viscosity corresponding to $\nu_l = 30$ mm$^2$/s in the experiment. The spectra are averaged along the spanwise direction, $k_y$, and plotted in the $(k_x, \omega)$ plane (by symmetry, only the two quadrants corresponding to $k_x > 0$ are shown). The energy of the source $\hat{S}$ is spread over a broad band centered around the line $\omega = k_x U_c$ (black dashed line), where the convection velocity $U_c$ corresponds to that measured in figure 5(c). The width of the band is related to the correlation time of the turbulent fluctuations. A rigid pattern traveling at constant speed would correspond to a perfect accumulation of energy along the line $\omega = k_x U_c$. Because of the broad distribution of energy in the spectrum $\hat{S}$, a
significant amount of energy is found near the dispersion relation $\hat{D}(k, \omega) = 0$, shown in red dashed line, where amplification takes place.

Representing the three-dimensional spectrum $\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega)$ in a two-dimensional form is delicate, because of the lack of symmetry of Eq. (3.18) in the plan $(k_x, k_y)$. We first provide in Figure 8(b) a two-dimensional representation of the spectrum, $\langle |\hat{\zeta}^2(k_y) \rangle$, using an averaging along $k_y$ as for the source $\hat{S}$. We see that the energy of the surface response is located at smaller wavenumbers than the forcing, confirming that the wrinkles are of larger size than the pressure and shear stress structures. The energy accumulates around two regions: a first region surrounding the dispersion relation (red dashed line), that corresponds to the resonant response, and a second region surrounding the line $\omega = U_c k_x$ of the forcing (black dashed line). The resonant response is mostly contained in the $\omega > 0$ quadrant, but it also has a significant amount of energy in the $\omega < 0$ quadrant, indicating a small counter-propagating component. The second region along the line $\omega = U_c k_x$ would suggest that a significant amount of energy is away from the resonance. However, this apparent non-resonant response is an artifact of the averaging over $k_y$ which respects the symmetry of the source but not that of the dispersion relation (see sketch of figure 2). This bias is removed in figure 9(a), showing the same spectrum now averaged in the azimuthal direction, $\langle |\hat{\zeta}^2(\theta) \rangle$, as a function of $k = (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{1/2}$. In that representation, all the energy is now located near the dispersion relation (red dashed line). This clearly indicates that the accumulation of energy along the forcing $\omega = U_c k_x$ in figure 8(b) was a contribution of the Fourier components satisfying the dispersion relation with $k_y \neq 0$.

The strong accumulation of energy along the dispersion relation is also present in the experiment: Figure 9(b) shows the azimuthally averaged spectrum $\langle |\hat{\zeta}^2(\theta) \rangle$ computed from the experimental surface deformation fields for the same Reynolds number $Re_3 = 250$. In spite of the lower spatial resolution of the experimental data, a clear accumulation of energy appears in the vicinity of the dispersion relation. We can note a slight shift of energy at frequency larger than the dispersion relation. The shift may be attributed to the surface drift current $U_x$, which yields a Doppler-shifted dispersion relation $\omega \approx g/k \tanh(kh) + U_x k_x$. The observation of the dominant response along the dispersion relation, both experimentally and numerically, confirms that the wrinkles are a superposition of a broad range of propagating surface waves: we can conclude that the surface response is dominated by the resonant response which is located in the vicinity of $\hat{D}(k, \omega) = 0$.

### 5.3. Integration of the resonant response

Since the main surface response occurs along the dispersion relation, we may simplify further the three-dimensional integral (3.18) by considering only the resonant response. Since the spectral forcing does not vary significantly in the vicinity of the dispersion relation, we perform a Taylor expansion of the integrand in Eq. (3.25) for $\hat{\omega}$ around $\hat{\omega}_r = \omega_r/\omega$, yielding

$$\frac{|\hat{s}(\hat{k}, \hat{\omega})|^2}{(\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}_r)^2 (\hat{\omega} / \omega_r + 1)^2 + (\hat{\omega} / \omega_r)^2} \approx \frac{|\hat{s}(\hat{k}, \hat{\omega}_r)|^2}{1 + 2(\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}_r) + (4 + \hat{\omega}_r^2)(\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}_r)^2} + O((\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}_r)^{-2}) \tag{5.1}$$

Note that the odd term $(\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}_r)$ of the expansion does not contribute to the final wrinkle amplitude as it cancels out upon integration along $\omega$. In the limit of narrow resonance ($\omega_r \ll \omega_r$, i.e. $\hat{\omega}_r \gg 1$) and of slow varying source amplitude over the width of the reso-
Figure 9. (a) Space-time spectrum of surface displacement \( \langle |\hat{\zeta}|^2 \rangle_\theta \) averaged over the azimuthal direction for \( \nu_t = 30 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \), computed from Eq. (3.18) with the DNS data at \( Re = 250 \). The three dimensional spectrum is averaged along the direction \( \theta \) to respect the symmetry of the dispersion relation. Insert: Spectrum for \( k\delta = 2.5 \) computed from the DNS (solid white line) and its Taylor expansion (5.2) (red dashed line). (b) Space-time spectrum \( \langle |\hat{\zeta}|^2 \rangle_\theta \) computed from the experimental data from Paquier et al. (2015), for \( U_a = 2.5 \text{ m/s} \) and \( \nu_t = 30 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \).

In that limit, the integral along \( \omega \) can be performed analytically and we obtain

\[
\langle |\hat{\zeta}|^2 \rangle_\omega = \int d\omega |\hat{\zeta}(k, \omega)|^2 = \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_t} \frac{u^*}{16 \nu_t} W(\hat{k}) |\hat{S}^*(\hat{k}, \hat{w}_r)|^2,
\]

where we introduce the weighting factor

\[
W(\hat{k}) = \frac{1}{k^3(1 + Bo^{-2}k^2) \tanh(kh/\delta)}.
\]

This weighting factor originates from the expression of the dispersion relation, and is responsible for the shift towards larger scales of the surface response, as can be seen for instance in figures 4 and 6. This wavelength shift depends on the values of the Bond number \( Bo \) and the dimensionless depth \( h/\delta \). For \( k \ll 1/h \), the waves are in a shallow water regime, and the weighting factor is \( W(\hat{k}) \simeq \delta/(h\hat{k}^2) \). For \( 1/h \ll k \ll 1/\ell_c \), the waves are in the gravity regime and \( W(\hat{k}) \simeq 1/k^3 \). Finally, for \( k \gg 1/\ell_c \), the waves are in the capillary regime and \( W(\hat{k}) \simeq 1/(k^5 Bo^{-2}) \). In all cases, this weighting factor tends to enhance the low-\( k \) content of the forcing. In practice, most of the energy is contained in the deep-water gravity regime, so that \( |\hat{S}^*(k, \omega)|^2/k^3 \) is the most relevant weighted spectrum in the wrinkle problem.

From Eq. (5.2), the surface response can be computed using a linear interpolation of \( \hat{S}^*(k, \omega) \) on the dispersion relation manifold \( \hat{D}(k, \omega) = 0 \). The interpolation is performed...
Figure 10. Spectrum of the surface displacement $|\hat{\zeta}|^2$ evaluated along the dispersion relation for $Re_\delta = 100, 180, 250, 360$ and $550$. The resonant curve, defined as the intersection between the forcing plane $\omega = k_x U_c$ and the surface $\omega_r = g'k\tanh(kh)$, is shown in red line. The spectral barycenter $(K_x, K_y)$ is shown ($\circ$) for each quadrant $k_x > 0$. Along the direction $\omega$ using 20 mesh points in the range $[0.8\omega_r, 1.2\omega_r]$ and the same mesh size in $k$ than the original mesh size, and the value at the resonance is taken as the average over the 20 computed values of $\omega$ for each $k$. This procedure reduces the computational cost by a factor up to 100 for the highest Reynolds number. From this interpolation, we compute the Fourier components $|\hat{S}^l(k, \omega_r)|^2/k^3$ that contribute to the surface displacement in the gravity regime. The main advantage of this procedure is to remove the evaluation of the full three-dimensional integral which presents a highly peaked resonance in the vicinity of $\omega = \omega_r$. As a consequence, the properties of the wrinkles are found to be less sensitive to the spatial and temporal discretisation of the DNS data.

Figure 10 provides a Fourier representation of the wrinkles in the $(k_x, k_y)$ plane, integrated over $\omega$, for increasing values of the Reynolds number $Re_\delta$. The energy is essentially contained in a zone located at relatively small $k$, with a symmetric distribution along $k_y = 0$. It essentially follows the intersection of the dispersion relation manifold and the
Turbulent windprint on a liquid surface

Figure 11. Sizes and convection velocity of the wrinkles as a function of $Re_{\delta}$. Comparison between the synthetic wrinkles (red filled symbols) and the experimental wrinkles of Paquier et al. (2015) (black open symbols). (a) Streamwise length $\Lambda_x/\delta$, (b) spanwise length $\Lambda_y/\delta$, and (c) convection velocity $U_c/U_a$ computed from Eqs. (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10). The blue squares show the convection velocity of the pressure forcing (see figure 5(c)).

forcing plane $\omega = k_x U_c$, given by

$$g k \tanh(k h)(1 + (k x c)^2) = (k x U_c)^2,$$

plotted in red line using for each $Re_{\delta}$ the convection velocity measured in figure 5(c).

While the energy remains mostly located between $k_x \delta = 0$ and 2, it significantly broadens in the $k_y$ direction as $Re_{\delta}$ increases, which is the spectral signature of the thinning of the wrinkles in the spanwise direction. More specifically, we can compute the spectral barycenter $K$ of the wrinkles from Eq. (4.8), shown as circles in figure 10. The corresponding streamwise and spanwise sizes, defined as $\Lambda_x = 2\pi/K_x$ and $\Lambda_y = 2\pi/K_y$, are plotted in figure 11(a,b), and compared to the experimental data of Paquier et al. (2015). The almost constant streamwise size $\Lambda_x/\delta = 6.7 \pm 0.7$ and the decreasing spanwise size $\Lambda_y/\delta$ are qualitatively recovered. Last but not least, the convection velocity (figure 11(c)) exhibits the same trend: at small $Re_{\delta}$, the normalized convection velocity $U_c/U_a$ of the wrinkles is close to that of the pressure forcing ($U_c/U_a \simeq 0.7$, blue squares), but it decreases significantly as $Re_{\delta}$ increases. The correct agreement between synthetic and experimental wrinkles can be extended to the range of viscosity $\nu_{water} < \nu < 10^3 \nu_{water}$, since both the experimental measurement and the theory do not exhibit variation in viscosity on sizes and convection speed. At large Reynolds number, $Re_{\delta} > 400$, the sharp decrease in $\Lambda_x$ and increase in $\Lambda_y$ found experimentally is not reproduced by the computation. This sharp evolution is associated to the instability that gives rise to the regular waves, which cannot be captured by the present linear model.

We finally turn to the scaling of the wrinkle amplitude as a function of the friction velocity $u^*$ and liquid viscosity $\nu$. By considering only the resonant contribution, the resonant wrinkle amplitude $\zeta_{rms}$ is obtained from Eq. (5.2) by summing $\langle |\hat{\zeta}|^2 \rangle_\omega$ over $k$,

$$\zeta_{rms}^2 = \left( \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_t} \right)^2 \frac{u^{*3}}{16gu_t} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2k \left| \tilde{S}^\dagger(\hat{k}, \hat{\omega}_r) \right|^2 \frac{|\hat{k}|}{k^3}. \quad (5.5)$$

Figure 12(a) shows the wrinkle amplitude $\zeta_{rms}/\delta$ as a function of $Re_{\delta}$. The experimental scaling in $\zeta_{rms} \propto u^{*3/2}$ is well reproduced by the synthetic wrinkles, but with an amplitude twice larger. This discrepancy probably originates from the high sensitivity to the low-wavenumber content of the forcing. The contribution of the largest scales to the wrinkle amplitude $\zeta_{rms}$ can be different experimentally and numerically for two reasons. First, the measurements were carried out on a window smaller than the channel width, so that the smallest wave numbers may be poorly estimated. Second, the numerical
Figure 12. Scaling of the surface displacement $\zeta_{rms}/\delta$ with respect to the Reynolds number $Re_\delta$ and the viscosity $\nu_\ell$. (a) $\zeta_{rms}/\delta$ as a function of $Re_\delta$ for $\nu_\ell = 30$ mm$^2$/s$^\circ$, experimental data; red circles, synthetic wrinkles. (b) $\zeta_{rms}/\delta$ as a function of the dimensionless number $\nu_\ell g/u^*^3$ for $Re_\delta = 180$ and liquid viscosity in the range $1 - 600$ mm$^2$/s$^\circ$. A best fit with the analytical prediction, $\zeta_{rms}/\delta \simeq C(\nu_\ell g/u^*^3)^{-1/2}$, is shown in black solid line, yielding $C \simeq 0.022$.

Simulations are performed in a box with periodic boundary conditions, so the largest scales could be different from that of a true developing turbulent boundary layer.

Figure 12(b) shows the wrinkle amplitude $\zeta_{rms}$ as a function of the dimensionless liquid viscosity $\nu_\ell g/u^*^3$ for a fixed value of $u^*$ corresponding to $Re_\delta = 180$. The data are in good agreement with the analytical prediction $\zeta_{rms}/\delta \propto (\nu_\ell g/u^*^3)^{-1/2}$. We can conclude that the empirical scaling laws of Paquier et al. (2015), $\zeta_{rms} \propto \nu^{-1/2}_\ell u^{3/2}$, are recovered as well as the order of magnitude of the surface displacement for the whole range of considered liquid viscosity $\nu_\ell$. We can finally identify the expression of the function $f_3$ defined in section 3, which takes the form

$$\frac{\zeta_{rms}}{\delta} = \rho_0 \rho_\ell \left( u^*^3 \frac{g \nu_\ell}{\rho_\ell} \right)^{1/2} f_4(Re_\delta, Bo, h/\delta).$$  \hfill (5.6)

The double scaling $\zeta_{rms} \propto \nu^{-1/2}_\ell u^{3/2}$ demonstrates that $f_4$ is essentially independent of the Reynolds number in the range $Re_\delta \in [100, 550]$. The additional dependencies of $f_4$ in $Bo$ and $h/\delta$, explicitly considered in the derivation, cannot be tested against experiments, which were performed for fixed surface tension and liquid depth ($Bo \simeq 14$ and $\delta/h \simeq 1.2$, see section 2.2). In view of the weighting factor (5.3), we anticipate that such dependencies could be neglected in the limits $Bo \gg 1$ and $h/\delta \gg 1$ (note that the wave dynamics is close to the infinite depth regime even for the moderate value $h/\delta \simeq 1.2$ considered here). Ignoring these dependencies in $Bo$ and $h/\delta$, the function $f_4$ reduces to a constant, and Eq. (5.6) simply writes:

$$\frac{\zeta_{rms}}{\delta} \simeq C \rho_0 \rho_\ell \left( u^*^3 \frac{g \nu_\ell}{\rho_\ell} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The numerical factor, fitted in figure 12(b), is $C \simeq 0.022 \pm 0.004^\dagger$.

\dagger The dimensional form of Eq. (5.7) was anticipated in Paquier et al. (2016), but with a wrong exponent in $(\rho_0/\rho_\ell)$ and a different definition for the relevant forcing scale $\delta$, yielding a different value for the numerical factor.
6. Connection with the inviscid resonant theory of Phillips (1957)

The present theory focuses on the statistically steady wrinkle regime, of amplitude governed by the liquid viscosity. This regime is the asymptotic state of the surface deformation, reached when the energy input by the turbulence forcing is balanced by the viscous dissipation. Before this energy balance is reached, a transient growth regime must take place, where viscous dissipation can be neglected. We show here how this inviscid growth regime, previously investigated by Phillips (1957), naturally asymptotes towards the viscous saturated wrinkle regime described in the present theory, provided that the wrinkle amplitude remains of small amplitude.

6.1. Temporal growth of wrinkles

In the previous sections, all fields were assumed statistically homogeneous and stationary, allowing for a space-time Fourier description. To describe the transient growth that precedes this steady regime, we still assume here homogeneity but we relax the stationary assumption. Only a spatial Fourier transform of the dynamical equation is then performed:

$$\hat{\zeta}(k, t) = \int d^2 r \zeta(r, t) e^{-i k \cdot r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1a)

$$\zeta(r, t) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int d^2 k \hat{\zeta}(k, t) e^{i k \cdot r} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1b)

noting $\hat{\zeta}$ the spatial Fourier transform for $\zeta$ and similarly for the pressure and stress fields. The dynamics is now governed by a Langevin equation for the stochastic wave amplitude $\hat{\zeta}$, which can be derived following an approach similar to the derivation of section 3,

$$\partial_t \hat{\zeta}(k, t) + 4 \nu k^2 \partial_t \hat{\zeta}(k, t) + g' k \hat{\zeta}(k, t) = -k p_0(k, t) - i k \cdot \sigma_0(k, t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

Each Fourier component $k$ describes a linear damped oscillator forced by a stochastic noise given by the corresponding Fourier component of the applied pressure and shear stress fields. Such Langevin equation with short-time temporal correlations in the noise term exhibits three regimes, sketched in figure 13: ballistic motion at short time ($\hat{\zeta} \propto t$), diffusive process at intermediate time ($\hat{\zeta} \propto t^{1/2}$), and asymptotic regime governed by cumulative effect of viscosity at large time ($\hat{\zeta} \propto t^0$). The intermediate-time regime, defined only for liquids of small viscosity, corresponds to the inviscid resonant theory of Phillips (1957), whereas the large-time saturated regime corresponds to the present theory.

More specifically, we can introduce for each Fourier component $k$ a fast correlation time $\tau_c(k) \sim (k \cdot U_k)^{-1}$, characterising the temporal correlation of the turbulent structures, and a slow dissipation time $\tau_\nu(k) \sim (\nu k^2)^{-1}$, associated to viscous dissipation in the bulk. We assume here for simplicity that the convection velocity $U_k$ is the same for all $k$, and given by the global convection velocity $U_c$, $e_x$. In the intermediate time regime $\tau_c \ll t \ll \tau_\nu$, each mode $k$ corresponds to an essentially undamped oscillator forced by an uncorrelated noise, resulting in a linear growth of $|\hat{\zeta}(k, t)|^2$, with a $k$-dependent growth rate governed by the corresponding Fourier component of the pressure forcing (the shear stress forcing may be ignored during this quasi-inviscid growth). The mean square wave amplitude, integrated over all modes, similarly grows linearly in time, resulting in the classical result (1.2) of Phillips (1957). For time larger than the slowest (largest-scale) growing mode $\tau_\nu \sim \delta^2/\nu$, all Fourier components are saturated, and the asymptotic mean square amplitude can be simply estimated by setting $t \simeq \tau_\nu$ in Eq (1.2): with
Figure 13. Sketch of the surface deformation amplitude $\zeta/\delta$ as a function of time for a given $Re_\delta$ and two liquid viscosities $\nu_l$. Starting from a purely flat interface, three regimes follow one another: linear response at short time, for $t \ll \tau_c$, where $\tau_c \approx \delta_v/u^*$ is the correlation time of the turbulent structures; Phillips (1957) regime of quasi-inviscid resonant growth at intermediate time, for $\tau_c \ll t \ll \tau_v$, where $\tau_v \approx \nu_l/\delta^2$ is the viscous timescale; asymptotic viscous-saturated wrinkle regime at long time for $t \gg \tau_v$. If the wrinkle amplitude reaches a given fraction of the viscous sublayer thickness (star symbol, for $\zeta/\delta \approx Re_\delta^{-1}$) before the viscous saturation, the linear assumption of the present theory breaks down, resulting in the possible triggering of a wave instability.

A key assumption in our theory, as well as in the inviscid resonant theory of Phillips (1957), is the absence of feedback of the wrinkles deformations on the turbulence in the air. In other words, the growth and saturated regimes sketched in figure 13 hold only provided that the wrinkle amplitude remains small compared to the thickness of the viscous sublayer $\delta_v$ in the turbulent air flow: this is assumption (g), used to derive the linearised surface response. The questions that naturally arise now are what is the maximum wrinkle amplitude before the breakdown of this assumption, and whether this breakdown could be related to the onset of regular waves.

The breakdown of the decoupled dynamics hypothesis (assumption (g)) can be expected when the amplitude of the wrinkles reaches a fraction of the viscous sublayer thickness, $\zeta \approx A\delta_v$, with $\delta_v = \nu_u/u^*$ and $A$ a numerical factor. Using Eq. (5.7), this criterion is satisfied for a friction velocity $u^*$ beyond a critical value,

$$u^* \geq \left( \frac{A C}{\rho_\alpha} \right)^{2/5} \left( \frac{\rho_\alpha}{\rho_a} \right)^{2/5} \left( \frac{g \nu_u \nu_l^2}{\delta^2} \right)^{1/5}.$$  

The dependence of $u^*$ with liquid viscosity turns out to be remarkably close to the empirical law for the onset of regular (quasi-monochromatic) waves found in Paquier...
Identifying the numerical factor in (6.3) from the empirical law (6.4) yields $A \simeq 0.11 \pm 0.02$. Regular waves could be triggered by an instability originating from the feedback of the wrinkles on the air turbulence: once the wrinkle amplitude reaches $A \delta_\nu$, the pressure and shear stress fluctuations in the boundary layer are no longer that of a no-slip flat surface, but acquire a spatio-temporal structure reflecting the shape of the surface. In turn, this spatio-temporal phase coherence between the wave field and the forcing could enhance the energy transfer, leading to the exponentially growing waves found in experiments. In this scenario, wrinkles appear as the natural base state from which regular waves grow. Unfortunately, this scenario cannot be tested by the present theory, which ignores such coupling between the liquid and the air phases.

It may be noted that this tentative criterion for wave onset suggests that the turbulent boundary layer becomes sensitive to the surface roughness for rms amplitude of order of $0.11 \delta_\nu$. Such roughness is surprisingly small: the peak of turbulent kinetic energy in a boundary layer is at $15 \delta_\nu$, and the boundary layer is essentially a laminar shear flow up to $4 \delta_\nu$. Boundary layer turbulence over a wavy no-slip wall is indeed essentially unaffected by rigid wall roughness up to $\simeq 4 \delta_\nu$ (Schlichting 2000; Jimenez et al. 2004). The relatively small wrinkle amplitude found here for the growth of regular waves probably originates from the specific phase coherence of the surface waves and the pressure perturbations they induce: this phase coherence possibly enables an optimal energy transfer, and hence an exponential growth of regular waves even from very fine seeding wrinkles.

7. Conclusion

In this paper a spectral theory is derived to describe the surface deformations of small amplitude under arbitrary normal and tangential stresses applied at the air-liquid interface (wrinkle regime), assuming no feedback of such deformations on the air flow. The key result of the paper is the demonstration of the scaling for the wrinkle amplitude, $\zeta/\delta \simeq (\rho_a/\rho_l)^{1/2} u^3/2 / (g \nu_l)^{1/2}$, in good agreement with the experimental findings of Paquier et al. (2015, 2016). This theory corresponds to the viscous-limited asymptotic steady state of the inviscid resonant mechanism proposed by Phillips (1957), and provides an appropriate description of the surface deformations for wind velocity below the onset of regular waves.

A significant improvement of the present theory is the quantitative description of the fraction of energy supplied by the pressure fluctuations that is located near the resonance. As already pointed out by Phillips (1957), only the pressure fluctuations of space-time correlations matching the dispersion relation contribute to the surface deformations. Detailed knowledge of the space-time Fourier spectrum of the pressure and shear stress fluctuations in a turbulent boundary layer, which was not available at the time of Phillips (1957), was used here to close the problem by determining numerically the dependence of the wrinkle amplitude with the governing parameters. The wrinkle regime therefore provides an interesting configuration where the effect of a turbulent forcing on a dispersive wave system can be exactly computed.

We have shown that the wrinkles below the wave onset correspond to a superposition of uncoherent wakes mostly originating from the pressure fluctuations traveling in the turbulent boundary layer (the shear stress fluctuations are found to provide a negligible contribution to the wrinkles). The thinning of the wrinkles in the spanwise direction as the wind velocity increases is reminiscent of the decrease of the wake angle for a finite-
size moving disturbance found in the classical ship wake problem (Rabaud & Moisy 2013; Darmon et al. 2014; Moisy & Rabaud 2014). A surprising result is that, although the characteristic size of the pressure patches in a turbulent boundary layer scale as the (inner) viscous sublayer thickness, $\delta \nu \approx \delta Re^{-1}$, the characteristic size of the wrinkles remains of order of the (outer) boundary layer thickness $\delta$. This is because the liquid surface response integrates the pressure forcing, resulting in a systematic shift towards the upper bound of the energy-containing range $[\delta_\nu, \delta]$ of the forcing. The wrinkles are therefore essentially governed by the largest scales of the turbulent flow. As a consequence, the detailed statistics of the wrinkles is expected to depend on the geometry of the forcing, making a fine comparison between simulations, laboratory and outdoors experiments difficult.

A limitation of the present work is that it neglects the effect of the surface drift velocity. While acceptable in the case of viscous liquids in finite depth, this assumption is questionable in the case of the air-sea interface. Including the effects of a sheared current at the surface yields significant complication by modifying the dispersion relation. Characterising the effects of the drift current on the properties of the wrinkles represent an interesting direction of research for the future.

In spite of this limitation, the implications of the present work for physical oceanography are important. In particular, the transition towards regular (quasi-monochromatic) waves as the wind velocity is increased raises the question of the role of the wrinkles as a base state for wave amplification. The experiments of Paquier et al. (2016) suggest that the regular waves are triggered when the wrinkle amplitude reaches a fraction of the viscous sublayer thickness. Beyond that amplitude, the feedback of the surface roughness on the turbulent boundary layer can no longer be neglected. This provides a criterion for the wave onset, $u^* \propto \nu^{1/5}$, which is consistent with experiments performed in viscous liquids. Describing the surface deformations for a wind velocity above this threshold is beyond the scope of the present linear theory, in which such coupling between the liquid and the air phases is ignored. This deserves further investigation, as it could renew our understanding on the onset of wave generation.
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Appendix A. Detailed calculation of Eq. (3.17)

We detail here the calculation steps of section 3.1 which establishes the final expression of Eq. (3.17). After introducing the Fourier transforms of $p_t$, $\Omega$ and $v$ and using the boundary conditions in $z = 0$ we obtain the system of equation

$$\left(1 - \frac{2k^2 \nu_t}{i\omega}\right) \frac{k}{\rho_t} \hat{p}_0 - \frac{2\nu_t mk}{i\omega} \nu_t \hat{B}_z - g k \hat{\zeta} = \frac{k \mathcal{N}}{\rho_t}$$

$$\nu_t \hat{B}_z + 2\nu_t \mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{zz} v_z\right)_{z=0} = -\frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho_t},$$

(A1) (A2)
where \( g' = g + \gamma k^2 / \rho \) is the modified gravity and \( \hat{B}_z = (\kappa \times \hat{\Omega}_0(k, \omega)) \cdot \hat{e}_z \) is the non potential flow part of \( \hat{v}_z \), which satisfies \( \Delta v_z = -\hat{B}_z \). In Fourier space, we can evaluate \( \mathcal{F}\{v_z \hat{v}_z v_z \} \) by deriving twice the expression of \( \hat{v}_z \) with respect to \( z \),

\[
\mathcal{F}\{v_z \hat{v}_z v_z \} = \frac{k^3}{\rho \omega} \hat{p}_0 + \frac{i\nu m^2}{i\omega \rho} \hat{B}_z . \tag{A 3}
\]

Using the kinematic condition \( \partial_t \zeta = (v_z)_{z=\zeta} \) in the small perturbation limit, we obtain the relation between \( \hat{\zeta}, \hat{p}_0 \) and \( \hat{B}_z \),

\[
\omega^2 \hat{\zeta} = \frac{k}{\rho \ell} \hat{p}_0 + \nu \hat{B}_z . \tag{A 4}
\]

Replacing \( \mathcal{F}\{v_z \hat{v}_z v_z \} \) by its expression in Eq. (3.15) yields

\[
\nu \hat{B}_z + 2\nu \left( \frac{k^3}{\rho \omega} \hat{p}_0 + \frac{i\nu m^2}{i\omega \rho} \hat{B}_z \right) = -\frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho \ell} . \tag{A 5}
\]

Using the relation \( m^2 = k^2 - i\omega / \nu \ell \), we obtain

\[
-g' k \hat{\zeta} + \frac{m^2 + k^2}{m^2 - k^2} \frac{k}{\rho \ell} \hat{p}_0 + \frac{2mk}{m^2 - k^2} \nu \hat{B}_z = \frac{k \hat{N}}{\rho \ell} . \tag{A 6}
\]

\[
\frac{2k^2}{m^2 - k^2} \frac{k}{\rho \ell} \hat{p}_0 + \frac{m^2 + k^2}{m^2 - k^2} \nu \hat{B}_z = \frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho \ell} . \tag{A 7}
\]

This expression can be simplified by replacing \( \hat{p}_0 \) by its expression from Eq. (A 4)

\[
(\omega^2 - g' k) \hat{\zeta} - \frac{2m}{m + k} \nu \hat{B}_z = \frac{k \hat{N}}{\rho \ell} - \frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho \ell} . \tag{A 8}
\]

\[
\omega^2 \hat{\zeta} + \frac{m^2 - k^2}{2k^2} \nu \hat{B}_z = \frac{m^2 - k^2}{2k^2} \nu \hat{B}_z = \frac{m^2 - k^2}{2k^2} \frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho \ell} . \tag{A 9}
\]

Multiplying Eq. (A 9) by \((m - k)m / k\) gives

\[
\frac{4mk^2}{(m + k)(m^2 - k^2)} \omega^2 \hat{\zeta} + \frac{2m}{m + k} \frac{2m}{m + k} \nu \hat{B}_z = \frac{2m}{m + k} \frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho \ell} . \tag{A 10}
\]

Summing Eqs. (A 8) and (A 10) yields

\[
\frac{4mk^2}{(m + k)(m^2 - k^2)} \omega^2 \hat{\zeta} + (\omega^2 - g' k) \hat{\zeta} = \frac{k \hat{N}}{\rho \ell} + \frac{m - k}{m + k} \frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho \ell} . \tag{A 11}
\]

Factorizing by \( \hat{\zeta} \), we obtain the final expression

\[
(\omega^2 - g' k + 4i\nu \omega k^2 + 4\nu^2 k^3 (m - k)) \hat{\zeta} = \frac{k \hat{N}}{\rho \ell} + \frac{m - k}{m + k} \frac{i k \cdot \hat{T}}{\rho \ell} , \tag{A 12}
\]

which is Eq. (3.17).
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