strongly robust coherent population transfer through state-independent quasi-adiabatic approach
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We theoretically propose a quasi-adiabatic coherent population transfer scheme which is only relied on the energy gap that is an insensitively physical quantity relative to the states of the system. Numerically, we first apply our scheme to the three-level Λ system and find that it can endure the parameter errors that are several times larger than that of stimulated Raman adiabatic passage and shortcut to adiabaticity, which guarantees that error is smaller than $10^{-2}$. Then in the two-level system, we find that the error of our scheme is less than $10^{-5}$, which satisfies the threshold for the fault-tolerant quantum computation, and can endure the parameter errors that are dozens of times larger than that of the Rabi model. Finally, we pointed out that our scheme in the two-level system can realize a faster, more accurate and stronger robust coherent population transfer by the comparison of the two- and three-level systems of our scheme. Moreover, our method can optimize widespread systems because only the information of the eigenvalues of the system needs to know and only one parameter of the system needs to modify by a simple expression. Thus, our work opens a workable way to realize the strongly robust coherent population transfer in a wide variety of systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Coherent control of the quantum state is a major scientific and technological challenge in various areas of physics due to pervasive noise-induced and manipulation errors[1]. In most applications, the basic requirement of the coherent control is to reach a given target state with the high-fidelity as fast as possible to prevent the effects of decay. It is generally agreed that the individual logic gate error in quantum computation must reduce below a certain threshold, which is generally considered about $10^{-4}$[2, 3]. Adiabatic approaches such as rapid adiabatic passage(RAP) and stimulated Raman adiabatic passage(STIRAP)[7, 9], which are high-fidelity, robustness but so time-consuming, have proposed and applied in different quantum two-level or three-level systems. Lately the quantum operations whose the fidelity can approach the threshold of quantum computing have realized in trapped ion[4, 5]. To shorten the operation time, a recent experiment with trapped-ion qubits has been reported very recently[6]. Nevertheless, how to improve the robustness of systems while keeping the fidelity and speed of the coherent transfer is still a challenging question.

To reach this goal, several protocols called ”shortcut to adiabaticity” (STA) have proposed: for example, several works on ”superadiabatic quantum driving” (SQD), including counter-diabatic, inverse engineering, and fast-forward approaches, have been recently proposed theoretically[10–16]. And the relevant experiments in different areas have great developments, for instance optical lattice[17], cold atoms[18], trapped-ion[19], NV-center[20] and Fermi gas[21, 22]. But they are not always easy to implement in mutli-level systems, because of various parameters needed to control. So another set of approaches called quasi-adiabatic dynamics(QAD) that only a single control parameter needs to engineer have proposed[23–25]. Recently, the corresponding experiments have demonstrated in different systems, such as trapped-ion[26, 27], ultracold atom[28] and optical waveguides[29–31]. The QAD in experiments has a greater advantage than that of the SQD because of the fewer control parameters, but now these two theories are still difficult to realize a strongly robust coherent population transfer, because they must depend on the expression of the eigenstates, which are very sensitive to the extrinsic parameters.

In this paper, we propose the state-independent quasi-adiabatic dynamics (SIQAD) that is only related to the eigenvalues of the system, which is less sensitive to the extrinsic parameters than the states of systems. We first rewrite the adiabatic condition in the Landau-Zener Hamiltonian to deduce the relevant theory and then discuss the relation between this and the Landau-Zener transition probability equation. Based on this, we apply our theory to the two- and three-level cold atomic systems and then find that in the three-level system our scheme has more several times ability to endure the parameter errors than that of STIRAP and STA. On the other hands, our scheme in the two-level system can realize a very high fidelity($> 99.999\%$) coherent control exceeding the threshold of quantum computing and has the ability to endure the parameter errors, which is dozens of times larger than that of the rabi model. By compar-
ison between the two- and three-level system, we point out that our scheme in the two-level system can realize a faster, more accurate and stronger robust coherent population transfer. Specially, our scheme only needs the information about the energy gap of systems and can realize through adjusting a control parameter by a simple expression. Thus, our work opens up a new possibility for realizing a strongly robust coherent population transfer in a wider class of systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we rewrite the adiabatic condition in the Landau-Zener model to present SIQAD. Sec. III introduces a cold atomic system for realizing the two- and three-level systems and explores the properties about our scheme. Finally, a brief conclusion are given in Sec. IV.

II. STATE-INDEPENDENT QUASI-ADIABATIC DYNAMICS ONLY DEPENDED ON THE ENERGY GAP

In this section, we first rewrite the adiabatic condition in the Landau-Zener tunneling Hamiltonian to deduce the SIQAD. In the simplest model we assume that the adiabatic process involves a passage through at least one avoided crossing by a monotonous change of one parameter. Although the system is multilevel in general, only the two quasi-crossing levels($E_{\pm}(t)$) in the instantaneous basis($|\phi_{\pm}(t)\rangle$) are considered under the adiabatic condition. Considering the two-level Landau-Zener model (4), (5)($\hbar = 1$):

$$\hat{H}_{LZ} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta(t) & \Omega^* \\ \Omega & -\delta(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\delta(t)$ being a monotonous change parameter and $\Omega$ being a constant. The corresponding eigenvalues and the eigenstates are $E_{\pm}(t) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\delta(t)^2 + \Omega^2}$ and

$$|\phi_{+}(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta/2) \\ \cos(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$|\phi_{-}(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) \\ -\sin(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\theta = \arccos(-\delta(t)/\sqrt{\delta(t)^2 + \Omega^2})$.

Then we define $V = (|\phi_{+}(t)\rangle, |\phi_{-}(t)\rangle)$ and rewrite the Hamiltonian in the rotating frame:

$$\hat{H} = i \frac{dV^\dagger}{dt} V + V^\dagger \hat{H} V
= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} E_+(t) & i\hbar \theta \\ -i\hbar \theta & E_-(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$  (3)

Mathematically, the adiabatic evolution requires the off-diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian in Eq.(3) to be negligible relative to the diagonal ones, so we have an adiabatic coefficient:

$$s = \frac{|\partial_t \theta|}{\sqrt{\delta(t)^2 + \Omega^2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_t \delta(t) \sqrt{\Omega^2 - \delta(t)^2}}{\delta(t)^2 + \Omega^2} \ll 1.$$  (4)

Comparing to the Landau-Zener tunneling formulation, the physical significance of the adiabatic coefficient $s$ is the exponent part of the tunneling formulation except for the correction term $\sqrt{\Omega^2/|\delta(t)^2 + \Omega^2|}$. Here, due to the value range of $\delta(t)$ and $\Omega$, the range of the correction term is almost 0.1 to 1. So we omit the correction term to deduce a coherent population transfer scheme so as to get a simpler and stricter adiabatic condition:

$$s' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_t \delta(t)}{\delta(t)^2 + \Omega^2}.$$  (5)

Suppose $\delta(0) = -\delta(T) = -\delta_m(\delta_m \gg \Omega)$ and $\delta(T/2) = 0$ with $\delta_m$ being the maximum value of $\delta(t)$ and $T$ being the evolution period, which are the known parameters, we solve the Eq.(5) and eliminate the $s'$:

$$\delta(t) = \Omega \tan \left[ \frac{2t}{T} \left( \frac{1}{2} \arctan(\delta_m/\Omega) \right) \right].$$  (6)

It is easy to find almost all of Hamiltonian can reduce to the Landau-Zener model with $\delta_m \gg \Omega$. In a specific system, $\delta(t)$ is generally depend on an external parameter and $\Omega$ is the energy gap when $\delta(t) = 0$. So this Hamiltonian can be also realized in many different systems. On the other hand, we expect our scheme has stronger robustness in coherent population transfer because of our more stringent adiabatic condition in Eq.(5).

III. REALIZATION IN THE COLD ATOMIC SYSTEM

In this section, we show an experimentally theoretical scheme that realizes the coherent transfer in the two- and three-level systems. We consider that a cold $^{87}$Rb atomic ensemble whose internal energy states are $|1\rangle = |F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$, $|2\rangle = |F = 2, m_F = 0\rangle$ and one excited state $|3\rangle = 5^2P_{3/2}$ are selected as a typical three-level Λ system. Two ground states ($|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$),
Here, we contrast our scheme with STIRAP and STA, or named STIRSAP [18], in their parameter range respectively and mainly focus on the robustness. To be clear, although larger single photon detuning $\Delta$ can result in higher fidelity whether if STIRAP or STIRSAP, it will reduce the robustness of the STIRSAP because this leads to the less difference of the pulse shape between STIRAP and STIRSAP. Oppositely, SIQAD and STIRAP has not this problem, so it can choose a large single photon detuning to realize a high-fidelity population transfer, as shown in Fig. 3. First, we artificially modify the amplitude of the Raman pulses as $\Omega_\mathcal{R}' \in [0.8\Omega_0,1.2\Omega_0]$ and show the result in Fig. 3(a). It is clear that SIQAD in here exhibits powerful robustness as similar as the two-level model. For ensuring a certain fidelity ($>99\%$), SIQAD can endure the maximum Rabi frequency error of the Raman laser is $2\pi \times 0.57 MHz$, which is more than 11 times larger than that of the STIRSAP. STIRAP appears to have a great robustness, but its fidelity is smaller than $99\%$. Secondly, SIQAD can ensure the fidelity is larger than $99\%$ when the remanent two-photon detuning is smaller than $2\pi \times 0.57 kHz$ that is about 3 times larger than that of the STIRAP, as shown in Figs. 3(b). Correspondingly, STIRAP obviously has a poorer fidelity and robustness. Taken together, compared with STIRAP and STIRSAP, SIQAD shows the stronger robustness in all situations.

\section*{B. the two-level system}

Here, we discuss our scheme in the two-level system in order to further eliminate the decay of the excited state. When $\Omega_{p,S} = 0$, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (7) can be simplified to the Landau-Zener Hamiltonian of Eq. (1). Considering a population inversion with Eq. (1), suppose the bare states are $|1\rangle = (1,0)^T$, $|2\rangle = (0,1)^T$, the dressed state is Eq. (2) and the detuning of the $\Omega_M$ is $\delta(t)$. For $\delta(t) = 0$, the Rabi oscillation occurs and the $\pi$-pulse evolutionary time is $\tau_\pi = \pi/\delta_m \approx 3.33 \mu s$. When one drives $\delta(t)$ from $\delta(0) = -\delta_m$ to $\delta(T) = \delta_m$ ($\delta_m = 2\pi \times 20 MHz$, $\Omega_M = 2\pi \times 150 kHz$), the eigenstate is driven from $|\phi_-(0)\rangle = (1,0)^T$ to $|\phi_-(T)\rangle = (0,1)^T$. Thanks to there is not decay of the excited state, the fidelity with the evolutionary time appears some oscillation. And comparing the normal QAD process, which are shown in fig. 7 of ref. [95], our scheme shows a higher-precision oscillation as shown in fig. 2(a). The major reason is our modified adiabatic condition in Eq. (3), which can preferably suppress the destructive interference among two dressed states.

We artificially modify the amplitude of the microwave field $\Omega_M$ as [0.9$\Omega_M$, 1.1$\Omega_M$] to further discuss the details of the fidelity and the robustness of our scheme. Fig. 2(b) shows the transfer efficiency as a function of the variation of $\Omega_M$ for the resonant Raman $\pi$-pulse and SIQAD. The SIQAD with the first and the second peaks, as similar as the resonant Raman $\pi$-pulse, is very sensitive to the amplitude variation of the Rabi frequency, because

\begin{equation}
\hat{H}_A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
\delta & \Omega_M^* & 0 \\
\Omega_M & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2\Delta - 2i\gamma
\end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}


\[ \delta(t) \text{ change too quick so that it is almost zero in all the time. Remarkably, SIQAD with the third (} t = 5.175\tau, \text{ blue line), the fourth (} t = 5.817\tau, \text{ red line) and the fifth (} t = 6.63\tau, \text{ green line) peaks reveal forceful robustness, since } \delta(t) \text{ changes slow enough to suppress the Rabi oscillation but not too slow to allow the system evolves according to the Rabi oscillation when } \delta(t) \to 0. \text{ This effect is starting to appear in the result of SIQAD with the fifth peak. Specifically, SIQAD with the fourth peak shows the very forceful robustness: Under the conditions of other parameters unchanged, it can ensure that the error is less than } 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ with the amplitude of the microwave field in the range of } 2\pi \times (150 \pm 14)kHz, \text{ which is about 46 times larger than that of the Rabi oscillation. On the other hand, the error of SIQAD is smaller than } 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ when the frequency error is no more than } 2\pi \times 8.2kHz, \text{ which is more than 10 times larger than the system of the Rabi oscillation. In short, SIQAD in the two-level cold atomic system is easy to meet the requirements of the quantum computing under the current experimental conditions.} \]

C. 

the contrast of the two-level SIQAD against the three-level schemes

Finally, we compare with above calculated results and show in the table 1. It is easy to find that SIQAD in the two-level system shows a tremendously theoretical advantage. Considering the greater stability of the microwave field, which is relative to the laser field, the advantage of SIQAD in the two-level system is likely to be greater on that experiment. Comparing with STIRAP, STIRSAP and normal QAD, our scheme has an advantage because: 1) its population transfer process also only depends the change of the system parameters as same as that of STIRAP; 2) a improved adiabatic condition can lead to a higher fidelity and stronger robustness. Further, STIRAP, STIRSAP and SIQAD in the three-level system can immune against the decay form the intermediate state and are robust against small variations of parameters, but SIQAD in the two-level system has a lower error, a less operation time and a stronger robustness because of: 1) it has a larger effective Rabi frequency than that of the three-level system so ratio of the parameter errors to the effective Rabi frequency becomes smaller; 2) there is no decay from the excited state need to be suppressed. So it can realize a population transfer satisfying the threshold of quantum computing, which has obviously higher fidelity and stronger robustness than the three-level systems.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have theoretically proposed an improved quasi-adiabatic scheme combined with an improved adiabatic condition, which is inspired by the idea of the Landau-Zener tunnelling. Then we apply SIQAD to the two- and three-level cold atomic system respectively and numerically demonstrate that our scheme can realize a more robustly coherent population transfer without loss of precision. Specifically, without loss of precision, the parameter error that our scheme can endure is several times to dozens of time than those of STIRAP, STIRSAP and the Rabi oscillation. Further, we point out that SIQAD in the two-level system has a lower error, a less operation time and a stronger robustness in comparison with the three-level schemes. Thanks to only the information of the eigenvalues of systems is needed to know and just one control parameter needs to be controlled by a simple expression, this scheme will

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ensuring the error</th>
<th>operation time (ms)</th>
<th>amplitude variation (%)</th>
<th>frequency variation (2\pi \times kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STIRAP</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIQAD (three-level)</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIQAD (two-level)</td>
<td>10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be hopefully applied to an extensive system, e.g. multilevel systems. So it is open a probable way to realize the strongly robust coherent population transfer as well as to structure the scalable quantum computation.
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