

A DISTRIBUTIONAL APPROACH TO FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES AND FRACTIONAL VARIATION: EXISTENCE OF BLOW-UP

GIOVANNI E. COMI AND GIORGIO STEFANI

ABSTRACT. We introduce the new space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions with bounded fractional variation in \mathbb{R}^n of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ via a new distributional approach exploiting suitable notions of fractional gradient and fractional divergence already existing in the literature. In analogy with the classical BV theory, we give a new notion of set E of (locally) finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter and we define its fractional reduced boundary $\mathcal{F}^\alpha E$. We are able to show that $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ continuously and, similarly, that sets with (locally) finite standard fractional α -perimeter have (locally) finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter, so that our theory provides a natural extension of the known fractional framework. Our main result partially extends De Giorgi's Blow-up Theorem to sets of locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter, proving existence of blow-ups and giving a first characterisation of these (possibly non-unique) limit sets.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Fractional Sobolev spaces and the quest for a fractional gradient	2
1.2. De Giorgi's distributional approach to perimeter	4
1.3. Fractional variation and perimeter: a new distributional approach	5
1.4. Future developments	6
1.5. Organisation of the paper	7
2. Šilhavý's fractional calculus	7
2.1. General notation	7
2.2. Definition of ∇^α and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$	8
2.3. Equivalent definition of ∇^α and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ via Riesz potential	10
2.4. Duality and Leibniz's rules	12
3. Fractional BV functions	14
3.1. Definition of $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Structure Theorem	14
3.2. Lower semicontinuity of fractional variation	15
3.3. Approximation by smooth functions	16
3.4. Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality	18

Date: March 17, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A33, 35B44, 47B38, 26B30.

Key words and phrases. Function with bounded fractional variation, fractional perimeter, blow-up, fractional Sobolev spaces, fractional calculus, fractional derivative, fractional gradient, fractional divergence.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Luigi Ambrosio for valuable comments and suggestions during the realisation of this work. The authors also thank Quoc-Hung Nguyen for having shared with them many useful insights on Bessel potential spaces. This research was partially supported by the PRIN2015 MIUR Project “Calcolo delle Variazioni”.

3.5.	Coarea inequality	19
3.6.	A fractional version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus	19
3.7.	Compactness	20
3.8.	The inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$	23
3.9.	The space $S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$	24
3.10.	The inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict	27
3.11.	The inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict	29
3.12.	The inclusion $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\beta < \alpha$	30
4.	Fractional Caccioppoli sets	31
4.1.	Definition of fractional Caccioppoli sets and the Gauss–Green formula	31
4.2.	Lower semicontinuity of fractional variation	32
4.3.	Fractional isoperimetric inequality	32
4.4.	Compactness	32
4.5.	Fractional reduced boundary	34
4.6.	Sets of finite fractional perimeter are fractional Caccioppoli sets	34
5.	Existence of blow-ups for fractional Caccioppoli sets	38
Appendix A.	$C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$	45
References		45

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Fractional Sobolev spaces and the quest for a fractional gradient. In the last decades, fractional Sobolev spaces have been given an increasing attention, see [7, Section 1] for a detailed list of references in many directions. If $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the fractional Sobolev space $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space

$$W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : [u]_{W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+p\alpha}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \right\}$$

endowed with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + [u]_{W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Differently from the standard Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not have an evident distributional nature, in the sense that the seminorm $[\cdot]_{W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ does not seem to be the L^p -norm of some kind of weakly-defined gradient of fractional order.

Recently, the search for a good notion of differential operator in this fractional setting has led several authors to consider the following *fractional gradient*

$$\nabla^\alpha u(x) := \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(u(y) - u(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mu_{n,\alpha}$ is a multiplicative normalising constant controlling the behaviour of ∇^α as $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$. For a detailed account on the existing literature on this operator, see [18, Section 1]. Here we only refer to [14–19] for the articles tightly connected to the present work. According to [18, Section 1], it is interesting to notice that [9] seems to be the earliest reference for the operator defined in (1.1).

From its very definition, it is not difficult to see that the fractional gradient ∇^α is well defined in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for functions in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since we have the simple estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^\alpha u| dx \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} [u]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (1.2)$$

In analogy with the standard Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, this observation leads to consider the space

$$S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \overline{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is essentially the line followed in [17], where the space $S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has been introduced (with a different, but equivalent, norm). By [17, Theorem 2.2], it is known that

$$S_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_0^{\alpha-\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.3)$$

with continuous embeddings for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\}$. In the particular case $p = 2$, by [17, Theorem 2.2] we actually have that

$$S_0^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.4)$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. In addition, as observed in [20, Chapter V, Section 5.3], we have

$$W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.5)$$

with continuous embedding for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, 2]$. For further properties of the space $S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we refer to Section 3.9 below.

The inclusions (1.3) and (1.5), and the identification (1.4) may suggest that the spaces $S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be considered as an interesting distributional-type alternative of the usual fractional Sobolev spaces $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus as a natural setting for the development of a general theory for solutions to PDEs involving the fractional gradient in (1.1), proceeding similarly as in the classical Sobolev framework. This is the point of view pursued in [17, 18].

Another important aspect of the fractional gradient in (1.1) is that it satisfies three natural ‘qualitative’ requirements as a fractional operator: *invariance* under translations and rotations, *homogeneity* of order α under dilations and some *continuity* properties in an appropriate functional space, e.g. Schwartz’s space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A fundamental result of [19] is that these three requirements actually characterise the fractional gradient in (1.1) (up to multiplicative constants), see [19, Theorem 2.2]. This shows that the definition in (1.1) is well posed not only from a *mathematical* point of view, but also from a *physical* point of view.

Besides, the same characterisation holds for the following *fractional divergence*

$$\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) := \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad (1.6)$$

see [19, Theorem 2.4]. Moreover, as it is observed in [19, Section 6], the operators ∇^α and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ are *dual*, in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha u dx \quad (1.7)$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. The fractional integration by parts formula in (1.7) can be thus taken as the starting point for the development of a general theory

of fractional differential operators on the space of Schwartz's distributions. This is the direction of research pursued in [19].

1.2. De Giorgi's distributional approach to perimeter. In the classical framework, the Sobolev space $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is naturally continuously embedded in $BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of functions with *bounded variation*, i.e.

$$BV(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : |Du|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{BV(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |Du|(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$|Du|(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\}$$

is the *total variation* of the function $u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thanks to Riesz's Representation Theorem, one can see that a function $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to $BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exists a finite vector valued Radon measure $Du \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dDu$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Functions of bounded variation have revealed to be the perfect tool for the development of a deep geometric analysis of sets with finite perimeter, starting directly from the seminal and profound works of R. Caccioppoli and E. De Giorgi. For a modern exposition of this vast subject and a detailed list of references, see [2, 8, 11].

A measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has finite Caccioppoli perimeter if

$$P(E) := |D\chi_E|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty. \quad (1.8)$$

The perimeter functional in (1.8) coincides with the classical surface measure when E has a sufficiently smooth (topological) boundary and, precisely, one can prove that

$$P(E) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E) \quad (1.9)$$

for all sets E with Lipschitz boundary, where \mathcal{H}^s denotes the s -dimensional Hausdorff measure for all $s \geq 0$.

One of the finest De Giorgi's intuitions is that, for a finite perimeter set E with non-smooth boundary, the right 'boundary object' to keep the validity of (1.9) is a special subset of the topological boundary, the so-called *reduced boundary* $\mathcal{F}E$. With this notion in hand, a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has finite Caccioppoli perimeter if (and only if) $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}E) < +\infty$, in which case we have

$$P(E) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}E). \quad (1.10)$$

Besides the validity of (1.10), an essential feature of De Giorgi's reduced boundary is the following *blow-up property*: if $x \in \mathcal{F}E$, then

$$\chi_{\frac{E-x}{r}} \rightarrow \chi_{H_{\nu_E(x)}} \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.11)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$, where

$$H_{\nu_E(x)} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y \cdot \nu_E(x) \geq 0\}, \quad \nu_E(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D\chi_E(B_r(x))}{|D\chi_E|(B_r(x))}.$$

The function $\nu_E: \mathcal{F}E \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ denotes the so-called *measure theoretic inner unit normal* of E and coincides with the usual inner unit normal of E when the boundary of E is sufficiently smooth. In other words, the blow-up property in (1.11) shows that, in a neighbourhood of a point $x \in \mathcal{F}E$, the finite perimeter set E is infinitesimally close to $x + H_{\nu_E(x)} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (y - x) \cdot \nu_E(x) \geq 0\}$.

1.3. Fractional variation and perimeter: a new distributional approach. In the fractional framework, an analogue of the space $BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is completely missing, since no distributional definition of the space $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is available.

Nevertheless, a theory for sets with finite fractional perimeter has been developed in recent years, with a strong interest on minimal fractional surfaces. We refer to [5, Section 7] for a detailed exposition of the most recent results in this direction.

A measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has finite fractional perimeter if

$$P_\alpha(E) := [\chi_E]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} \int_E \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dx dy < +\infty. \quad (1.12)$$

The fractional perimeter functional in (1.12) has a strong *non-local nature* in the sense that its value depends also on points which are very far from the boundary of the set E . For this reason, it is not clear if such a perimeter measure may be linked with some kind of fractional analogue of De Giorgi's reduced boundary (which, a posteriori, cannot be expected to be a special subset of the topological boundary of E).

In this paper, we want to combine the functional approach of [17, 18] with the distributional point of view of [19] to develop a satisfactory theory extending De Giorgi's approach to variation and perimeter in the fractional setting.

The natural starting point is the duality relation (1.7), which motivates the definition of the space

$$BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : |D^\alpha u|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty\}, \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$|D^\alpha u|(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} \quad (1.14)$$

stands for the *fractional variation* of the function $u \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that the fractional variation in (1.14) is well defined, since one can show that $\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Corollary 2.3).

A different approach to fractional variation was developed in [21]. We do not know if the fractional variation defined in (1.14) is linked to the one introduced in [21] and it would be very interesting to establish a connection between the two.

With definition (1.13), we are able to show that

$$W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with continuous embedding, in perfect analogy with the classical framework.

Thus, emulating the classical definition in (1.8), it is very natural to define the fractional analogue of the Caccioppoli perimeter using the total variation in (1.14). Note that this definition is well posed, since $\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\varphi \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ arguing similarly as in (1.2). With this notion, we are able to show that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(E) \quad (1.15)$$

for all measurable sets E with finite fractional perimeter, so that our approach naturally incorporates the current notion of fractional perimeter.

Following the classical framework, the main results concerning the space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we are able to prove are the following:

- $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space and its norm is lower semicontinuous with respect to L^1 -convergence;
- the inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous and strict;
- the sets $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are dense in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the distance

$$d(u, v) := \|u - v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||D^\alpha u|(\mathbb{R}^n) - |D^\alpha v|(\mathbb{R}^n)|;$$

- a fractional analogue of Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality holds, i.e. for all $n \geq 2$ the embedding

$$BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous;

- the natural analogue of the coarea formula does not hold in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since there are functions $u \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{u>t\}}|(\mathbb{R}^n) dt = +\infty$;
- any uniformly bounded sequence in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits limit points in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the L^1_{loc} -convergence.

Concerning sets with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter, the main results we are able to prove are the following:

- fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter is lower semicontinuous with respect to L^1_{loc} -convergence;
- a fractional isoperimetric inequality holds, i.e.

$$|E|^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n}} \leq c_{n,\alpha} |D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

- any sequence of sets with uniformly bounded fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter confined in a fixed ball admits limit points with respect to L^1 -convergence;
- a natural analogue of De Giorgi's reduced boundary, that we call *fractional reduced boundary* $\mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, is well posed for any set E with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter;
- if E has finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter, then its fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter measure satisfies $|D^\alpha \chi_E| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha} \llcorner \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$;
- if E has finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter and $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, then the family $(\frac{E-x}{r})_{r>0}$ admits limit points in the L^1_{loc} -topology and any such limit point satisfies a rigidity condition.

Some of the results listed above are proved similarly as in the classical framework. Since we believe that our approach might be interesting also to researchers that may be not familiar with the theory of functions of bounded variation, we tried to keep the exposition the most self-contained as possible.

1.4. Future developments. Thanks to this new approach, a large variety of classical results might be extended to the context of functions with bounded fractional variation. Here we just list some of the most intriguing open problems:

- investigate the case of equality in (1.15);

- achieve a better characterisation of the blow-ups (possibly, their uniqueness);
- prove a Structure Theorem for $\mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ in the spirit of De Giorgi's Theorem;
- study the fractional isoperimetric inequality and its stability, possibly also for its relative version;
- develop a *calibration theory* for sets of finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter as a useful tool for the study of fractional minimal surfaces;
- consider the asymptotics as $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ and investigate the Γ -convergence to the classical perimeter;
- extend the Gauss–Green and integration by parts formulas to sets of finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter;
- give a good definition of BV^α functions on a general open set.

Some of these open problems will be the subject of a forthcoming paper, see [4].

1.5. Organisation of the paper. The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we introduce and study the fractional gradient and divergence, proving generalised Leibniz's rules and representation formulas. In Section 3, we define the space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we study approximation by smooth functions, embeddings and compactness exploiting a fractional version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. In Section 4, we define sets of (locally) finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter, we prove some compactness results and we introduce the notion of fractional reduced boundary. Finally, in Section 5, we prove existence of blow-ups of sets with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter.

2. ŠILHAVÝ'S FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

2.1. General notation. We start with a brief description of the main notation used in this paper.

Given an open set Ω , we say that a set E is compactly contained in Ω , and we write $E \Subset \Omega$, if the \bar{E} is compact and contained in Ω . We denote by \mathcal{L}^n and \mathcal{H}^α the Lebesgue measure and the α -dimensional Hausdorff measure on \mathbb{R}^n respectively, with $\alpha \geq 0$. Unless otherwise stated, a measurable set is a \mathcal{L}^n -measurable set. We also use the notation $|E| = \mathcal{L}^n(E)$. All functions we consider in this paper are Lebesgue measurable, unless otherwise stated. We denote by $B_r(x)$ the standard open Euclidean ball with center $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and radius $r > 0$. We let $B_r = B_r(0)$. Recall that $\omega_n := |B_1| = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}/\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial B_1) = n\omega_n$, where Γ is Euler's *Gamma function*, see [3].

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $C_c^k(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and, respectively, by $\text{Lip}_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, the space of C^k -regular, respectively, Lipschitz regular, m -vector valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support in Ω .

For any exponent $p \in [1, +\infty]$, we denote by

$$L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m : \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} < +\infty \right\}$$

the space of m -vector valued Lebesgue p -integrable functions on Ω . We denote by

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} := \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n+m})} < +\infty \right\}$$

the space of m -vector valued Sobolev functions on Ω , see for instance [10, Chapter 10] for its precise definition and main properties. We also let

$$w^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} < +\infty \right\}.$$

We denote by

$$BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} := |Du|(\Omega) < +\infty \right\}$$

the space of m -vector valued functions of bounded variation on Ω , see for instance [2, Chapter 3] or [8, Chapter 5] for its precise definition and main properties. We also let

$$bv(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} < +\infty \right\}.$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, +\infty)$, we denote by

$$W^{\alpha, p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{W^{\alpha, p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+p\alpha}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \right\}$$

the space of m -vector valued fractional Sobolev functions on Ω , see [7] for its precise definition and main properties. We also let

$$w^{\alpha, p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{W^{\alpha, p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} < +\infty \right\}.$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p = +\infty$, we simply let

$$W^{\alpha, \infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \left\{ u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : \sup_{x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}} < +\infty \right\},$$

so that $W^{\alpha, \infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = C_b^{0, \alpha}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, the space of m -vector valued bounded α -Hölder continuous functions on Ω .

2.2. Definition of ∇^{α} and div^{α} . We now recall and study the non-local operators ∇^{α} and div^{α} introduced by Šilhavý in [19].

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and set

$$\mu_{n, \alpha} := 2^{\alpha} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}. \quad (2.1)$$

We let

$$\nabla^{\alpha} f(x) := \mu_{n, \alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|z| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{zf(x+z)}{|z|^{n+\alpha+1}} dz \quad (2.2)$$

be the α -gradient of $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We also let

$$\text{div}^{\alpha} \varphi(x) := \mu_{n, \alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|z| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{z \cdot \varphi(x+z)}{|z|^{n+\alpha+1}} dz \quad (2.3)$$

be the α -divergence of $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The non-local operators ∇^{α} and div^{α} are well defined in the sense that the involved integrals converge and the limits exist, see [19, Section 7].

Since

$$\int_{\{|z| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{z}{|z|^{n+\alpha+1}} dz = 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

it is immediate to check that $\nabla^{\alpha} c = 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the cancellation in (2.4) yields

$$\nabla^{\alpha} f(x) = \mu_{n, \alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|y-x| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{(y-x)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} f(y) dy \quad (2.5a)$$

$$= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy \quad (2.5b)$$

$$= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.5c)$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, (2.5a) follows by a simple change of variables and (2.5b) is a consequence of (2.4). To prove (2.5c) it is enough to apply Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. Indeed, we can estimate

$$\int_{\{|y-x|\leq 1\}} \left| \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \right| dy \leq \text{Lip}(f) \int_0^1 r^{-\alpha} dr \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\int_{\{|y-x|>1\}} \left| \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \right| dy \leq 2\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-(1+\alpha)} dr. \quad (2.7)$$

As a consequence, the operator $\nabla^\alpha f$ defined by (2.5c) is well defined for all $f \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies (2.2), (2.5a) and (2.5b).

By [19, Theorem 4.3], ∇^α is invariant by translations and rotations and is α -homogeneous. Moreover, for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(\nabla^\alpha f(\lambda \cdot))(x) = |\lambda|^\alpha \text{sgn}(\lambda) (\nabla^\alpha f)(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.8)$$

Arguing similarly as above, we can write

$$\text{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{(y-x) \cdot \varphi(y)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad (2.9b)$$

$$= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.9c)$$

for all $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Exploiting (2.5c) and (2.9c), we can extend the operators ∇^α and div^α to functions with $w^{\alpha,1}$ -regularity.

Lemma 2.1 (Extension of ∇^α and div^α to $w^{\alpha,1}$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in w^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in w^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, then the functions $\nabla^\alpha f(x)$ and $\text{div}^\alpha f(x)$ given by (2.5c) and (2.9c) respectively are well defined for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. As a consequence, $\nabla^\alpha f(x)$ and $\text{div}^\alpha f(x)$ satisfy (2.2), (2.5a), (2.5b) and (2.3), (2.9a), (2.9b) respectively for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Let $f \in w^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \right| dy dx \leq [f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and thus the function $\nabla^\alpha f(x)$ given by (2.5c) is well defined for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and satisfies (2.2), (2.5a) and (2.5b) by (2.4) and by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. A similar argument proves the result for any $\varphi \in w^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

2.3. Equivalent definition of ∇^α and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ via Riesz potential. We let

$$I_\alpha f(x) := \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.10)$$

be the *Riesz potential* of order $\alpha \in (0, n)$ of a function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Note that $I_{1-\alpha}f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Recalling (2.1), one easily sees that

$$I_{1-\alpha}f(x) = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n+\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy$$

and

$$\nabla I_{1-\alpha}f(x) = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n+\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\nabla_x f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n+\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\nabla_y f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy,$$

so that

$$\nabla I_{1-\alpha}f = I_{1-\alpha}\nabla f$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A similar argument proves that

$$\operatorname{div} I_{1-\alpha}\varphi = I_{1-\alpha}\operatorname{div}\varphi$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Thus, accordingly to the approach developed in [9, 14–18], we can consider the operators

$$\widetilde{\nabla}^\alpha := \nabla I_{1-\alpha}: C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}^\alpha := \operatorname{div} I_{1-\alpha}: C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We can prove that these two operators coincide with the operators defined in (2.2) and (2.3). See also [17, Theorem 1.2].

Proposition 2.2 (Equivalence). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We have $\widetilde{\nabla}^\alpha = \nabla^\alpha$ on $\operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}^\alpha = \operatorname{div}^\alpha$ on $\operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Integrating by parts, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}^\alpha f(x) &= \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n+\alpha-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{\nabla_y f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{y f(y+x)}{|y|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy = \nabla^\alpha f(x), \end{aligned}$$

since we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\{|y|=\varepsilon\}} \frac{f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} \frac{y}{|y|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \right| &= \left| \int_{\{|y|=\varepsilon\}} \frac{(f(x+y) - f(x))}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} \frac{y}{|y|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \right| \\ &\leq n\omega_n \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of $\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}^\alpha \varphi = \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ follows similarly. \square

A useful consequence of the equivalence proved in Proposition 2.2 above is the following result.

Corollary 2.3 (Representation formula for $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ and ∇^α). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\operatorname{div} \varphi(y)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy \quad (2.11)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} [\varphi]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\|\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,\alpha,U} \|\operatorname{div} \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.13)$$

for any bounded open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset U$, where

$$C_{n,\alpha,U} := \frac{n\mu_{n,\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)(n+\alpha-1)} \left(\omega_n \operatorname{diam}(U)^{1-\alpha} + \left(\frac{n\omega_n}{n+\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{n+\alpha-1}{n}} |U|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{n}} \right). \quad (2.14)$$

Analogously, if $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\nabla^\alpha f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\nabla^\alpha f(x) = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\nabla f(y)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy \quad (2.15)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,\alpha,U} \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.17)$$

for any bounded open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset U$, where $C_{n,\alpha,U}$ is as in (2.14).

Proof. The representation formula (2.11) follows directly from Proposition 2.2. The estimate in (2.12) is a consequence of Lemma 2.1. Finally, if $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset U$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x)| &\leq \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y - x|^{1-n-\alpha} |\operatorname{div} \varphi(y)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha} \|\operatorname{div} \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_U |y - x|^{1-n-\alpha} dy \end{aligned}$$

and (2.13) follows by Lemma 2.4 below. The proof of (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17) is similar and is left to the reader. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$\int_U |y - x|^{1-n-\alpha} dy \leq \frac{n}{1-\alpha} \left(\omega_n \operatorname{diam}(U)^{1-\alpha} + \left(\frac{n\omega_n}{n+\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{n+\alpha-1}{n}} |U|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{n}} \right). \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, set $U^\delta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \operatorname{dist}(x, U) < \delta\}$. Since clearly

$$x \in U^\delta \implies B_{(\operatorname{diam}(U)+\delta)}(x) \supset U,$$

for all $x \in U^\delta$ we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U |y - x|^{1-n-\alpha} dy &\leq \int_{B_{(\operatorname{diam}(U)+\delta)}(x)} |y - x|^{1-n-\alpha} dy \\ &= n\omega_n \int_0^{\operatorname{diam}(U)+\delta} r^{-\alpha} dr \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{n\omega_n}{1-\alpha} (\text{diam}(U) + \delta)^{1-\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, it is plain that

$$x \notin U^\delta, y \in U \implies |y - x| > \delta,$$

so that for all $x \notin U^\delta$ we can estimate

$$\int_U |y - x|^{1-n-\alpha} dy \leq \delta^{1-n-\alpha} |U|.$$

Thus, for all $\delta > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U |y - x|^{1-n-\alpha} dy &\leq \frac{n\omega_n}{1-\alpha} (\text{diam}(U) + \delta)^{1-\alpha} + \delta^{1-n-\alpha} |U| \\ &\leq \frac{n\omega_n}{1-\alpha} (\text{diam}(U)^{1-\alpha} + \delta^{1-\alpha}) + \delta^{1-n-\alpha} |U| \end{aligned}$$

since the function $s \mapsto s^{1-\alpha}$ is subadditive for all $s > 0$. Thus (2.18) follows minimising in $\delta > 0$ the right-hand side. \square

2.4. Duality and Leibniz's rules. We now study the properties of the operators ∇^α and div^α . We begin with the following duality relation, see [19, Section 6].

Lemma 2.5 (Duality). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For all $f \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ it holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f dx. \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Recalling Lemma 2.1 and exploiting (2.5a) and (2.9a), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha \varphi dx &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{(y-x) \cdot \varphi(y)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} f(x) \frac{(y-x) \cdot \varphi(y)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx \\ &= -\mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \varphi(y) \cdot \frac{(x-y) f(x)}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha+1}} dx dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \cdot \nabla^\alpha f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

by the Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem and Fubini's Theorem. \square

We now prove two Leibniz-type rules for the operators ∇^α and div^α , which in particular show the strong non-local nature of these two operators.

Lemma 2.6 (Leibniz's rule for ∇^α). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For all $f, g \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it holds*

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = f \nabla^\alpha g + g \nabla^\alpha f + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g),$$

where

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x) := \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with $\mu_{n,\alpha}$ as in (2.1). Moreover, it holds

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{p}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{q}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

with $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and similarly

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\mu_{n, \alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{W^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Given $f, g \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lemma 2.1 and by (2.5c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\alpha(fg)(x) &= \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)g(y) - f(x)g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy \\ &= \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)g(y) - f(y)g(x) + f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy \\ &= \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)f(y)(g(y) - g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy + g(x)\nabla^\alpha f(x) \\ &= \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy + f(x)\nabla^\alpha g(x) + g(x)\nabla^\alpha f(x). \end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|x-y|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}} \frac{|g(y) - g(x)|}{|y-x|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{q}}} dy dx, \\ &\leq \mu_{n, \alpha} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(y) - g(x)|^q}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The case $p = \infty, q = 1$ follows similarly. \square

Lemma 2.7 (Leibniz's rule for div^α). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For all $f \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ it holds*

$$\text{div}^\alpha(f\varphi) = f \text{div}^\alpha \varphi + \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f + \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi),$$

where

$$\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)(x) := \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))(f(y) - f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.20)$$

with $\mu_{n, \alpha}$ as in (2.1). Moreover, it holds

$$\|\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mu_{n, \alpha} [f]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{p}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [\varphi]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{q}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

with $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq 2\mu_{n, \alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} [\varphi]_{W^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \|\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq 2\mu_{n, \alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} [f]_{W^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Given $f \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lemma 2.1 and by (2.5c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}^\alpha(f\varphi)(x) &= \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (f(y)\varphi(y) - f(x)\varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy \\ &= \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (f(y)\varphi(y) - f(y)\varphi(x) + f(y)\varphi(x) - f(x)\varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy \\ &= \mu_{n, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))f(y)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy + \varphi(x) \cdot \nabla^\alpha f(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))(f(y) - f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy + f(x) \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) + \\
&\quad + \varphi(x) \cdot \nabla^\alpha f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|x-y|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}} \frac{|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|}{|y-x|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{q}}} dy dx, \\
&\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^q}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The case $p = \infty, q = 1$ follows similarly. \square

Remark 2.8 (Extension of $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ to fractional Sobolev spaces). Thanks to the estimates in Lemma 2.6, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ the bilinear operator

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha : \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

can be continuously extended to a bilinear operator

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha : w^{\frac{\alpha}{p}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times w^{\frac{\alpha}{q}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

for any $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, for which we retain the same notation (we tacitly adopt the convention $w^{\frac{\alpha}{\infty}, \infty} = L^\infty$). Analogously, because of the estimates in Lemma 2.7, the bilinear operator

$$\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha : \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

can be continuously extended to a bilinear operator

$$\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha : w^{\frac{\alpha}{p}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times w^{\frac{\alpha}{q}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for any $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, for which we retain the same notation.

3. FRACTIONAL BV FUNCTIONS

In this section we introduce and study the fractional BV space naturally induced by the operators ∇^α and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ defined in Section 2 following De Giorgi's distributional approach. In the presentation of the results, we will frequently refer to [8, Chapter 5].

3.1. Definition of $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Structure Theorem. In analogy with the classical case (see [8, Definition 5.1] for instance), we start with the following definition.

Definition 3.1 ($BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. A function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} < +\infty.$$

We can now state the following fundamental result relating non-local distributional gradients of BV^α functions to vector valued Radon measures.

Theorem 3.2 (Structure Theorem for BV^α functions). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exists a finite vector valued Radon measure $D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition, for any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds

$$|D^\alpha f|(U) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and if there exists a finite vector valued Radon measure $D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that (3.1) holds, then $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Definition 3.1.

If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the proof is identical to the one of [8, Theorem 5.1], with minor modifications. Define the linear functional $L: C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ setting

$$L(\varphi) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Note that L is well defined thanks to Corollary 2.3. Since $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$C(U) := \sup \left\{ L(\varphi) : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} < +\infty$$

for each open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, so that

$$|L(\varphi)| \leq C(U) \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Thus, by the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ in $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, the functional L can be uniquely extended to a continuous linear functional $\tilde{L}: C_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the conclusion follows by Riesz's Representation Theorem. \square

3.2. Lower semicontinuity of fractional variation. Similarly to the classical case, the *fractional variation measure* given by Theorem 3.2 in (3.2) is lower semicontinuous with respect to L^1 -convergence.

Proposition 3.3 (Lower semicontinuity of fractional variation measure). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, then $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$|D^\alpha f|(U) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha f_k|(U)$$

for any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$. Then $\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Corollary 2.3 and so we can estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f_k \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha f_k|(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This shows that

$$|D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha f_k|(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

thanks to Theorem 3.2. Finally, if U is an open set in \mathbb{R}^n , it is enough to take $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and to argue as above, applying (3.2). \square

From Proposition 3.3 we immediately deduce the following result, whose standard proof is left to the reader.

Corollary 3.4 (BV^α is a Banach space). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The linear space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equipped with the norm*

$$\|f\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $D^\alpha f$ is given by Theorem 3.2, is a Banach space.

3.3. Approximation by smooth functions. Here and in the following, we let $\varrho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function such that

$$\text{supp } \varrho \subset B_1, \quad \varrho \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho(x) \, dx = 1, \quad (3.3)$$

see [8, Section 4.2.1] for an example. We thus let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be defined as

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \varrho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.4)$$

We call $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ a family of *standard mollifiers*. We have the following result.

Lemma 3.5 (Convolution with standard mollifiers). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ as in (3.4). If $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\text{div}^\alpha(\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi) = \varrho_\varepsilon * \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \quad (3.5)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, if $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$D^\alpha(\varrho_\varepsilon * f) = (\varrho_\varepsilon * D^\alpha f) \mathcal{L}^n \quad (3.6)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$D^\alpha(\varrho_\varepsilon * f) \rightharpoonup D^\alpha f \quad (3.7)$$

in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Recalling (2.11), we can write

$$\text{div}^\alpha \varphi = K_{n,\alpha} * \text{div} \varphi,$$

where

$$K_{n,\alpha}(x) = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} |x|^{1-n-\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since $\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}^\alpha(\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi) &= K_{n,\alpha} * \text{div}(\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi) \\ &= K_{n,\alpha} * (\varrho_\varepsilon * \text{div} \varphi) \\ &= \varrho_\varepsilon * (K_{n,\alpha} * \text{div} \varphi) \\ &= \varrho_\varepsilon * \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \end{aligned}$$

and (3.5) follows. Now let $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. By (3.1) and (3.5), for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varrho_\varepsilon * f) \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f (\varrho_\varepsilon * \text{div}^\alpha \varphi) \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha(\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi) \, dD^\alpha f \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot (\varrho_\varepsilon * D^\alpha f) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

proving (3.6). The convergence in (3.7) thus follows from standard properties of the mollification of Radon measures, see [2, Theorem 2.2] for instance. \square

As an immediate application of Lemma 3.5, we can prove that a function in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be tested against the fractional divergence of any Lip_c -regular vector field.

Proposition 3.6 (Lip_c-regular test). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then (3.1) holds for all $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Fix $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in (3.4). Then $\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and so, by Lemma 3.5 and (3.1), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varrho_\varepsilon * f) \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha (\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi) \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi) \cdot dD^\alpha f. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly and $\varrho_\varepsilon * f \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Corollary 2.3, we can pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.8) getting

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f$$

for any $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

As in the classical case, we can prove the density of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.7 (Approximation by $C^\infty \cap BV^\alpha$ functions). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

- (i) $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) $|D^\alpha f_k|(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in (3.4). Fix $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider $f_\varepsilon := f * \varrho_\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Proposition 3.3 we get that

$$|D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |D^\alpha f_\varepsilon|(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

By Lemma 3.5 we also have that

$$|D^\alpha f_\varepsilon|(\mathbb{R}^n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varrho_\varepsilon * D^\alpha f| \, dx \leq |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Let $(\eta_R)_{R>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$0 \leq \eta_R \leq 1, \quad \eta_R = 1 \text{ on } B_R, \quad \operatorname{supp}(\eta_R) \subset B_{R+1}, \quad \operatorname{Lip}(\eta_R) \leq 2. \quad (3.9)$$

We call η_R a *cut-off function*. As in the classical case, we can prove the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.8 (Approximation by C_c^∞ functions). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

- (i) $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) $|D^\alpha f_k|(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $(\eta_R)_{R>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in (3.9). Thanks to Theorem 3.7, it is enough to prove that $f\eta_R \rightarrow f$ in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Clearly, $f\eta_R \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus, by Proposition 3.3, we just need to prove that

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha(f\eta_R)|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.10)$$

Fix $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\eta_R \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha(\eta_R \varphi) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \eta_R \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\eta_R, \varphi) \, dx.$$

Since $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 \leq \eta_R \leq 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha(\eta_R \varphi) \, dx \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \eta_R \, dx \right| \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx$$

and, similarly,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\eta_R, \varphi) \, dx \right| \leq 2\mu_{n,\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx.$$

Combining these three estimates, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\eta_R \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx \right| &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ &\quad + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and (3.10) follows by Theorem 3.2. Indeed, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx = 0$$

combining (2.6), (2.7) and (3.9) with Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. \square

3.4. Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality. Thanks to Theorem 3.8, we are able to prove the analogous of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality for the space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.9 (Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $n \geq 2$. There exists a constant $c_{n,\alpha} > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha} |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.11)$$

for any $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As a consequence, $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $q \in [1, \frac{n}{n-\alpha}]$.

Proof. By [16, Theorem A'], we know that (3.11) holds for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So let $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in Theorem 3.8. By Fatou's Lemma and Proposition 3.3, we thus obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_k\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha f_k|(\mathbb{R}^n) = c_{n,\alpha} |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.10. We stress the fact that Theorem 3.9 does not hold for $n = 1$, as will be shown in Remark 3.27 below. It is worth to notice that an analogous restriction holds for [16, Theorem A], for which the authors provide a counterexample in the case $n = 1$ (see [16, Counterexample 3.2]). The authors then derive [16, Theorem A'] as a consequence of [16, Theorem A], without proving the necessity of the restriction to $n \geq 2$ in this second case, as we do in Remark 3.27.

3.5. Coarea inequality. In analogy with the classical case, we can prove a coarea inequality formula for functions in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.11 (Coarea inequality). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}}|(\mathbb{R}^n) dt < +\infty, \quad (3.12)$$

then

$$D^\alpha f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}} dt \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$|D^\alpha f| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}}| dt. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. By (3.12) and applying Fubini's Theorem twice, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{(-\infty, f(x))}(t) - \chi_{(-\infty, 0)}(t) dt \right) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) \left(\chi_{\{f>t\}}(x) - \chi_{(-\infty, 0)}(t) \right) dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot d \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}} dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

proving (3.13). Thus

$$|D^\alpha f| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}} dt \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}}| dt$$

and the proof is complete. \square

3.6. A fractional version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\mu_{n, -\alpha}$ be given by (2.1) (note that the expression in (2.1) makes sense for all $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$). We let

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : D^a f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all multi-indices } a \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \right\} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) := \{ \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) : \varphi_i \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^n), i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

By [19, Section 5], the operator

$$\operatorname{div}^{-\alpha} \varphi(x) := \mu_{n, -\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{z \cdot \varphi(x+z)}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} dz \quad (3.16)$$

is well defined for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by [19, Theorem 5.3], we have the following *inversion formula*

$$-\operatorname{div}^{-\alpha} \nabla^\alpha = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.17)$$

Exploiting (3.16) and (3.17) we can prove the following fractional version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. See [17, Theorem 2.1] for a similar approach.

Theorem 3.12 (Fractional Fundamental Theorem of Calculus). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$f(y) - f(x) = \mu_{n, -\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{z - x}{|z - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z - y}{|z - y|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right) \cdot \nabla^\alpha f(z) dz \quad (3.18)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Since clearly $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\nabla^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ by [19, Theorem 4.3]. Applying (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - f(x) &= (-\operatorname{div}^{-\alpha} \nabla^\alpha f)(y) - (-\operatorname{div}^{-\alpha} \nabla^\alpha f)(x) \\ &= \mu_{n, -\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} \cdot (\nabla^\alpha f(x + z) - \nabla^\alpha f(y + z)) dz \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then (3.18) follows splitting the integral and changing variables. \square

An easy consequence of Theorem 3.12 is that the distributional α -divergence of the kernel appearing in (3.18) is a difference of Dirac deltas.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then*

$$\mu_{n, -\alpha} \operatorname{div}^\alpha \left(\frac{\cdot - y}{|\cdot - y|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{\cdot - x}{|\cdot - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right) = \delta_y - \delta_x \quad (3.19)$$

in the sense of Radon measures.

Proof. It follows immediately from (3.18). \square

3.7. Compactness. We start with the following Hölder estimate on the L^1 -norm of translations of functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 3.14 (L^1 -estimate on translations). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x + y) - f(x)| dx \leq \gamma_{n, \alpha} |y|^\alpha \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.20)$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$\gamma_{n, \alpha} := \mu_{n, -\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z - e_1}{|z - e_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dz. \quad (3.21)$$

Proof. By (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x + y) - f(x)| dx &\leq \mu_{n, -\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z - y}{|z - y|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| |\nabla^\alpha f(x + z)| dz dx \\ &= \mu_{n, -\alpha} \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z - y}{|z - y|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dz. \end{aligned}$$

Now we notice that the integral appearing in the last term is actually a radial function of y . Indeed, let $R \in \text{SO}(n)$ be such that $Ry = |y|\nu$, for some $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Making the change of variable $z = |y| {}^tRw$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z-y}{|z-y|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dz &= |y|^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{{}^tRw}{|w|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{{}^tR(w-\nu)}{|w-\nu|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dw \\ &= |y|^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{w}{|w|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{(w-\nu)}{|w-\nu|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dw. \end{aligned}$$

Since ν is arbitrary, we may choose $\nu = e_1$. We now prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z-e_1}{|z-e_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dz < +\infty.$$

To this purpose, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_2} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z-e_1}{|z-e_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dz &\leq \int_{B_2} \frac{1}{|z|^{n-\alpha}} dz + \int_{B_2} \frac{1}{|z-e_1|^{n-\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq 2 \int_{B_3} \frac{1}{|z|^{n-\alpha}} dz = 2n\omega_n \frac{3^\alpha}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z-e_1}{|z-e_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{(z-te_1)}{|z-te_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 -\frac{e_1}{|z-te_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} + (n+1-\alpha)(z-t)e_1 \frac{(z-te_1)}{|z-te_1|^{n+3-\alpha}} dt \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z-e_1}{|z-e_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dz &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2} \int_0^1 \frac{|z-te_1| + (n-\alpha+1)|z_1-t|}{|z-te_1|^{n+2-\alpha}} dt dz \\ &\leq (n-\alpha+2) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2} \frac{1}{|z-te_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} dz dt \\ &\leq (n-\alpha+2) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} dz dt \\ &= (n-\alpha+2) \frac{n\omega_n}{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{z}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z-e_1}{|z-e_1|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right| dz \leq n\omega_n \left(2\frac{3^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{(n-\alpha+2)}{1-\alpha} \right) < +\infty.$$

Thus, the proof is complete. \square

Similarly to the classical case, as a consequence of the previous result we can prove the following key estimate of the L^1 -distance of a function in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its convolution with a mollifier.

Corollary 3.15 (L^1 -distance with convolution). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\|\varrho_\varepsilon * f - f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \gamma_{n,\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.22)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, where $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is as in (3.4) and $\gamma_{n,\alpha}$ as in Proposition 3.14.

Proof. By Theorem 3.8, it is enough to prove (3.22) for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varrho_\varepsilon * f - f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho(y) |f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x)| dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x)| dx dy \\ &\leq \gamma_{n,\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{B_1} \varrho(y) |y|^\alpha dy \\ &\leq \gamma_{n,\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

We are now ready to prove following compactness result for the space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.16 (Compactness for $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty,$$

then there exists a subsequence $(f_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$f_{k_j} \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. We follow the line of the proof of [2, Theorem 3.23]. Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in (3.4) and set $f_{k,\varepsilon} := \varrho_\varepsilon * f_k$. Clearly $f_{k,\varepsilon} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f_{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty(U)} \leq \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \|\nabla f_{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\nabla \varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for any open set $U \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus $(f_{k,\varepsilon})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is locally equibounded and locally equicontinuous for each $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed. By a diagonal argument, we can find a sequence $(k_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(f_{k_j, \varepsilon})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $C(U)$ for any open set $U \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\varepsilon = 1/p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. By Corollary 3.15, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{h,j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_U |f_{k_h} - f_{k_j}| dx &= \limsup_{h,j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_U |f_{k_h, 1/p} - f_{k_j, 1/p}| dx \\ &\quad + \limsup_{h,j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_U |f_{k_h} - f_{k_h, 1/p}| + |f_{k_j} - f_{k_j, 1/p}| dx \\ &\leq \frac{2\gamma_{n,\alpha}}{p^\alpha} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |D^\alpha f_k|(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{aligned}$$

for all open set $U \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary and $L^1(U)$ is a Banach space, this shows that $(f_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $L^1(U)$ for all open set $U \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Up to extract a further subsequence (which we do not relabel for simplicity), we also have that $f_{k_j}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By Fatou's Lemma, we can thus infer that

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_{k_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.17 (Improvement of [17, Theorem 2.1]). The argument presented above can be used to extend the validity of [17, Theorem 2.1] to all exponents $p \in [1, \frac{n}{\alpha})$, since our strategy does not rely on the boundedness of Riesz's transform but only on the inversion formula (3.17). We leave the details of the proof of this improvement of [17, Theorem 2.1] to the interested reader.

3.8. The inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As in the classical case, fractional BV functions naturally include fractional Sobolev functions.

Theorem 3.18 ($W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with*

$$|D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \mu_{n,\alpha}[f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f \, dx \quad (3.24)$$

for all $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $D^\alpha f = \nabla^\alpha f \, \mathcal{L}^n$.

Moreover, if $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, with

$$\|f\|_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{BV(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.25)$$

for some $c_{n,\alpha} > 0$ and

$$\nabla^\alpha f(x) = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dDf(y)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha-1}} \quad (3.26)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, Fubini's Theorem and Lemma 2.1, and recalling (2.4), we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} f(x) \frac{(y-x) \cdot \varphi(y)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dy \, dx \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \varphi(y) \cdot \frac{(y-x)f(x)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \varphi(y) \cdot \frac{(y-x)(f(x) - f(y))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \cdot \nabla^\alpha f(y) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.24), so that $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Inequality (3.23) follows as in Lemma 2.1.

Now let $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We claim that $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, take $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\nabla f_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow |Df|(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ (for instance, see [8, Theorem 5.3]). Since $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the proof of this inclusion is similar to the one of [7, Proposition 2.2], for example), by Fatou's Lemma we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_k\|_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq c_{n,\alpha} \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_k\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= c_{n,\alpha} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (\|f_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |Df_k|(\mathbb{R}^n)) \\ &= c_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{BV(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|Df|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty$, by Lemma 2.4 the function in (3.26) is well defined in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. By Corollary 2.3, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) dx = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{\operatorname{div} \varphi(y)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx.$$

Recalling Lemma 2.4, applying Fubini's Theorem twice and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{\operatorname{div} \varphi(y)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{\operatorname{div}_y \varphi(x + y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{\operatorname{div}_x \varphi(x + y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{1-n-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x + y) dx dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{1-n-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y + x) \cdot dDf(x) dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi(y)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy \cdot dDf(x) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dDf(x)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) dx = - \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dDf(x)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy.$$

Recalling (3.24), this proves (3.26) and the proof is complete. \square

3.9. The space $S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is now tempting to approach fractional Sobolev spaces from a distributional point of view. Recalling Corollary 2.3, we can give the following definition.

Definition 3.19 (Weak α -gradient). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *weak α -gradient* of f , and we write $g = \nabla_w^\alpha f$, if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \cdot \varphi dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, +\infty]$, we can thus introduce the *distributional fractional Sobolev space* $(S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)})$ letting

$$S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \exists \nabla_w^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)\} \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla_w^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall f \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.28)$$

We omit the standard proof of the following result.

Proposition 3.20 ($S^{\alpha,p}$ is a Banach space). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, +\infty]$. The space $(S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)})$ is a Banach space.*

We leave the proof of the following interpolation result to the reader.

Lemma 3.21 (Interpolation). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p_1, p_2 \in [1, +\infty]$, with $p_1 \leq p_2$. Then*

$$S^{\alpha, p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap S^{\alpha, p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with continuous embedding for all $q \in [p_1, p_2]$.

Taking advantage of the techniques developed in the study of the space $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ above, we are able to prove the following approximation result.

Theorem 3.22 (Approximation by $C^\infty \cap S^{\alpha, p}$ functions). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, +\infty)$. The set $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap S^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $S^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in (3.4). Fix $f \in S^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider $f_\varepsilon := f * \varrho_\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 3.5, it is easy to check that $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap S^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla_w^\alpha f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * \nabla_w^\alpha f$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, so that the conclusion follows by standard properties of the convolution. \square

Given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, +\infty]$, it is easy to see that, if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then, by Lemma 2.5, $f \in S^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla_w^\alpha f = \nabla^\alpha f$. In the case $p = 1$, we can prove that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is also a dense subset of $S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.23 (Approximation by C_c^∞ functions). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The set $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $(\eta_R)_{R>0} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in (3.9). Thanks to Theorem 3.22, it is enough to prove that $f\eta_R \rightarrow f$ in $S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Clearly, $f\eta_R \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$. We now argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.8. Fix $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\eta_R \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha(\eta_R \varphi) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \eta_R \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\eta_R, \varphi) \, dx.$$

Since $f \in S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha(\eta_R \varphi) \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_R \varphi \cdot \nabla_w^\alpha f \, dx.$$

Since $f\eta_R \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\eta_R \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha(\eta_R f) \, dx.$$

Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla_w^\alpha f - \nabla^\alpha(\eta_R f)) \cdot \varphi \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - \eta_R) \varphi \cdot \nabla_w^\alpha f \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \eta_R \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\eta_R, \varphi) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \eta_R \, dx \right| \leq \mu_{n, \alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx$$

and, similarly,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\eta_R, \varphi) \, dx \right| \leq 2\mu_{n, \alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx.$$

Combining these two estimates, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla_w^\alpha f - \nabla^\alpha(\eta_R f)) \cdot \varphi \, dx \right| &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - \eta_R) |\nabla_w^\alpha f| \, dx \\ &\quad + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We thus conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_w^\alpha f - \nabla^\alpha(\eta_R f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - \eta_R) |\nabla_w^\alpha f| \, dx \\ &\quad + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nabla^\alpha(\eta_R f) \rightarrow \nabla_w^\alpha f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$. Indeed, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - \eta_R) |\nabla_w^\alpha f| \, dx = 0$$

combining (3.9) with Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx = 0$$

combining (2.6), (2.7) and (3.9) with Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. \square

We do not know if $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a dense subset of $S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, +\infty)$. In other words, defining

$$S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \overline{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}},$$

we do not know if the (continuous) inclusion $S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict.

The space $(S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)})$ was introduced in [17] (with a different, but equivalent, norm). Thanks to [17, Theorem 1.7], for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, +\infty)$ we have $S_0^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $L^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the *Bessel potential space*, see [17, Definition 2.1]. It is known that $L^{\alpha+\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\alpha-\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with continuous embeddings for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\}$, see [17, Theorem 2.2]. In the particular case $p = 2$, it holds that $L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, see [17, Theorem 2.2]. In addition, $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with continuous embedding for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, 2]$, see [20, Chapter V, Section 5.3].

Proposition 3.24 (Relation between $W^{\alpha,p}$ and $S^{\alpha,p}$). *The following properties hold.*

- (i) *If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, 2]$, then $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with continuous embedding.*
- (ii) *If $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ and $p \in (2, +\infty]$, then $W^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with continuous embedding.*

Proof. Property (i) follows from the discussion above for the case $p \in (1, 2]$ and from Theorem 3.18 for the case $p = 1$. Property (ii) follows from the discussion above for the case $p \in (2, +\infty)$, while for the case $p = +\infty$ it is enough to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \\ &\leq 2\mu_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\{|y|>1\}} \frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} + \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{W^{\beta,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\{|y|\leq 1\}} \frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\alpha-\beta}} \\ &\leq c_{n,\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_{W^{\beta,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in W^{\beta,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

As in the classical case, we have $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with continuous embedding.

Theorem 3.25 ($S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $|D^\alpha f| \ll \mathcal{L}^n$, in which case*

$$D^\alpha f = \nabla_w^\alpha f \mathcal{L}^n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Let $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and assume that $|D^\alpha f| \ll \mathcal{L}^n$. Then $D^\alpha f = g \mathcal{L}^n$ for some $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. But then, by Theorem 3.2, we must have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \cdot \varphi \, dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $f \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla_w^\alpha f = g$. Viceversa, if $f \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_w^\alpha f \, dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $D^\alpha f = \nabla_w^\alpha f \mathcal{L}^n$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

3.10. The inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict. It seems natural to ask whether the inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict as in the classical case. We start to solve this problem in the case $n = 1$.

Theorem 3.26 ($BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \setminus S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$). *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. The inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ is strict, since for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a \neq b$, the function*

$$f_{a,b,\alpha}(x) := |x - b|^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x - b) - |x - a|^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x - a)$$

satisfies $f_{a,b,\alpha} \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$D^\alpha f_{a,b,\alpha} = \frac{\delta_b - \delta_a}{\mu_{1,-\alpha}} \tag{3.29}$$

in the sense of finite Radon measures.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed with $a \neq b$. One can easily check that $f_{a,b,\alpha} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Since $n = 1$, we have $\nabla^\alpha = \operatorname{div}^\alpha$. Thus, (3.29) follows from (3.19), proving that $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$. But $|D^\alpha f_{a,b,\alpha}| \perp \mathcal{L}^1$, so that $f_{a,b,\alpha} \notin S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R})$ by Theorem 3.25. \square

Remark 3.27. Note that $f_{a,b,\alpha} \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \setminus L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R})$, since

$$|f_{a,b,\alpha}(x)|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \sim \begin{cases} |x - a|^{-1} & \text{as } x \rightarrow a, \\ |x - b|^{-1} & \text{as } x \rightarrow b. \end{cases}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.9 cannot hold for $n = 1$.

For the case $n > 1$, we need to recall the definition of the *fractional Laplacian operator* $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and some of its properties.

Following [19], for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we set

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x) := \begin{cases} \nu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x+h)}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh & \text{if } \alpha \in (-1, 0), \\ f(x) & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \nu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ \nu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|h| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh & \text{if } \alpha \in [1, 2), \end{cases} \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\nu_{n,\alpha} := 2^\alpha \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}. \quad (3.31)$$

We stress the fact that this definition is consistent with the previous definitions of fractional gradient and divergence in the sense that

$$-\operatorname{div}^\alpha \nabla^\beta = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}$$

for any $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ (see [19, Theorem 5.3]), so that, in particular,

$$-\operatorname{div}^\alpha \nabla^\alpha = (-\Delta)^\alpha$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

In the case $\alpha \in (-1, 0)$, we have

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = I_{-\alpha} \quad \text{on } C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where I_α is as in (2.10).

In the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, notice that

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \nu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.32)$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus the linear operator

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

can be continuously extended to a linear operator

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} : W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

for which we retain the same notation.

Given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, for all $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we also set

$$(-\Delta)_\varepsilon^{\alpha/2} f(x) := \nu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\{|h| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh.$$

By Lebesgue's Dominate Convergence Theorem, we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|(-\Delta)_\varepsilon^{\alpha/2} f - (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$$

for all $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, arguing as in the proof of [12, Lemma 2.4] (see also [13, Section 25.1]), for all $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$I_\alpha (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f = f \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.33)$$

Taking advantage of the identity in (3.33), we can prove the following result.

Lemma 3.28 (Relation between $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $bv(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The following properties hold.*

- (i) *If $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u := I_{1-\alpha}f \in bv(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $Du = D^\alpha f$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.*
- (ii) *If $u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}u \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha}\|u\|_{BV(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha f = Du \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

As a consequence, the operator $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}: BV(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous.

Proof. We prove the two properties separately.

Proof of (i). Let $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $I_{1-\alpha}f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Fubini's Theorem, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f I_{1-\alpha} \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx, \quad (3.34)$$

proving that $u := I_{1-\alpha}f \in bv(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $Du = D^\alpha f$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof of (ii). Let $u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 3.18, we know that $u \in W^{1-\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $f := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha}\|u\|_{BV(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ by (3.25) and (3.32). Then, arguing as before, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ we get (3.34), since we have $I_{1-\alpha}f = u$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by (3.33). The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.29 (Integrability issues). Note that the inclusion $I_{1-\alpha}(BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Lemma 3.28 above is sharp. Indeed, by Tonelli's Theorem it is easily seen that $I_{1-\alpha}\chi_E \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $\chi_E \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, when $n \geq 2$, by Theorem 3.9 and by Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality (see [20, Chapter V, Section 1.2] for instance), the map $I_{1-\alpha}: BV^\alpha \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous for each $p \in \left(\frac{n}{n-1+\alpha}, \frac{n}{n-1}\right]$.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.28, we can prove that the inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.30 ($BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq \emptyset$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The inclusion $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict.*

Proof. Let $u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 3.28, we know that $f := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}u \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $Du = D^\alpha f$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. But then $|D^\alpha f|$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to \mathcal{L}^n , so that $f \notin S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Theorem 3.25. \square

3.11. The inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict. By Theorem 3.30, we know that the inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict. In the following result we prove that also the inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict.

Theorem 3.31 ($S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq \emptyset$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. If $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the inclusion map $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a linear and continuous bijection. Thus, by the Inverse Mapping Theorem, there must exist a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|g\|_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|g\|_{S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.35)$$

for all $g \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now let $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be given by Theorem 3.30. By Theorem 3.8, there exists $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|D^\alpha f_k|(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Up to extract a subsequence (which we do not relabel for simplicity), we can assume that $f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By (3.35) and Fatou's Lemma, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} [f_k]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_k\|_{S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_k\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \|f\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in contradiction with Theorem 3.30. We thus must have that the inclusion map $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ cannot be surjective. \square

3.12. The inclusion $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\beta < \alpha$. Even though the inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strict, it is interesting to notice that $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$ with continuous embedding.

Theorem 3.32 ($BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\beta < \alpha$). *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ with $\beta < \alpha$. Then $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with*

$$[f]_{W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (3.36)$$

for all $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$C_{n,\alpha,\beta} := n\omega_n \frac{\alpha 2^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\beta}} \gamma_{n,\alpha}^{\beta/\alpha}}{\beta(\alpha-\beta)} \quad (3.37)$$

and $\gamma_{n,\alpha}$ is as in (3.21).

Proof. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $r > 0$. By (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [f]_{W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x+y) - f(x)|}{|y|^{n+\beta}} dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|y|^{n+\beta}} \left(2\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r}(y) + \gamma_{n,\alpha} |y|^\alpha \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \chi_{B_r}(y) \right) dy \\ &= 2 \frac{n\omega_n}{\beta} r^{-\beta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{n\omega_n}{\alpha-\beta} \gamma_{n,\alpha} r^{\alpha-\beta} \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \left(2 \frac{n\omega_n}{\beta} r^{-\beta} + \frac{n\omega_n}{\alpha-\beta} \gamma_{n,\alpha} r^{\alpha-\beta} \right) \|f\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that both (3.36) and (3.37) are proved by minimising in $r > 0$ for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now let $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 3.8, there exists $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|f_k\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow \|f\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus, by Fatou's Lemma, we get that

$$[f]_{W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} [f_k]_{W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} C_{n,\alpha,\beta} \|f_k\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C_{n,\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_{BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Note that the constant in (3.37) satisfies

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha^-} C_{n,\alpha,\beta} = +\infty,$$

accordingly to the strict inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, the function in Theorem 3.26 is such that $f_{a,b,\alpha} \in W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.32, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.33. *Let $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$. Then $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with continuous embeddings.*

4. FRACTIONAL CACCIOPPOLI SETS

4.1. Definition of fractional Caccioppoli sets and the Gauss–Green formula.

As in the classical case (see [2, Definition 3.3.5] for instance), we start with the following definition.

Definition 4.1 (Fractional Caccioppoli set). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set. For any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the *fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω* is the *fractional variation* of χ_E in Ω , i.e.

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We say that E is a set with *finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω* if $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\Omega) < +\infty$. We say that E is a set with *locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω* if $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(U) < +\infty$ for any $U \Subset \Omega$.

We can now state the following fundamental result relating non-local distributional gradients of characteristic functions of fractional Caccioppoli sets and vector valued Radon measures.

Theorem 4.2 (Gauss–Green formula for fractional Caccioppoli sets). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. A measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω if and only if $D^\alpha \chi_E \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_\Omega \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_E \quad (4.1)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition, for any open set $U \subset \Omega$ it holds

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(U) = \sup \left\{ \int_U \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 3.2. If $D^\alpha \chi_E \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and (4.1) holds, then E has finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω by Definition 4.1.

If E is a set with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω , then define the linear functional $L: C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ setting

$$L(\varphi) := - \int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Note that L is well defined thanks to Corollary 2.3. Since E has finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω , we have

$$C(U) := \sup \left\{ L(\varphi) : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} < +\infty$$

for each open set $U \subset \Omega$, so that

$$|L(\varphi)| \leq C(U) \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Thus, by the density of $C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ in $C_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, the functional L can be uniquely extended to a continuous linear functional $\tilde{L}: C_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the conclusion follows by Riesz's Representation Theorem. \square

4.2. Lower semicontinuity of fractional variation. As in the classical case, the variation measure of a set with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter is lower semicontinuous with respect to the local convergence in measure. We also achieve a weak convergence result.

Proposition 4.3 (Lower semicontinuity of fractional variation measure). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. If $(E_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of sets with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω and $\chi_{E_k} \rightarrow \chi_E$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$D^\alpha \chi_{E_k} \rightharpoonup D^\alpha \chi_E \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\Omega) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha \chi_{E_k}|(\Omega). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Up to extract a further subsequence, we can assume that $\chi_{E_k}(x) \rightarrow \chi_E(x)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Now let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$. Then $\text{div}^\alpha \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Corollary 2.3 and so, by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_E \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{E_k} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_\Omega \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_{E_k} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha \chi_{E_k}|(\Omega).$$

By Theorem 4.2, we get (4.4). The convergence in (4.3) easily follows. \square

4.3. Fractional isoperimetric inequality. As a simple application of Theorem 3.9, we can prove the following fractional isoperimetric inequality.

Theorem 4.4 (Fractional isoperimetric inequality). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $n \geq 2$. There exists a constant $c_{n, \alpha} > 0$ such that*

$$|E|^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n}} \leq c_{n, \alpha} |D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (4.5)$$

for any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|E| < +\infty$ and $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty$.

Proof. Since $\chi_E \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the result follows directly by Theorem 3.9. \square

4.4. Compactness. As an application of Theorem 3.16, we can prove the following compactness result for sets with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n (see for instance [11, Theorem 12.26] for the analogous result in the classical case).

Theorem 4.5 (Compactness for sets with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $R > 0$. If $(E_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of sets with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n such that*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |D^\alpha \chi_{E_k}|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad E_k \subset B_R \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then there exist a subsequence $(E_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a set $E \subset B_R$ with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\chi_{E_{k_j}} \rightarrow \chi_E \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Since $E_k \subset B_R$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we clearly have that $(\chi_{E_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 3.16, there exist a subsequence $(E_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi_{E_{k_j}} \rightarrow f$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Since again $E_{k_j} \subset B_R$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\chi_{E_{k_j}} \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Up to extract a further subsequence (which we do not relabel for simplicity), we can assume that $\chi_{E_{k_j}}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, so that $f = \chi_E$ for some $E \subset B_R$. By Proposition 4.3 we conclude that E has finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Theorem 4.5 can be applied to prove the following compactness result for sets with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter.

Corollary 4.6 (Compactness for locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter sets). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $(E_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of sets with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n such that*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |D^\alpha \chi_{E_k}|(B_R) < +\infty \quad \forall R > 0, \quad (4.6)$$

then there exist a subsequence $(E_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a set E with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\chi_{E_{k_j}} \rightarrow \chi_E \text{ in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps, essentially following the strategy presented in the proof of [11, Corollary 12.27].

Step 1. Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n . We claim that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_{F \cap B_R}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_R) + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_R) \quad \forall R > 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Indeed, let $R' < R$ and, recalling Theorem A.1, let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\text{supp}(u_k) \Subset B_R$ and $0 \leq u_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and also $u_k \rightarrow \chi_{B_{R'}}$ in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. If $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F u_k \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx &= \int_F \operatorname{div}^\alpha(u_k \varphi) \, dx - \int_F \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha u_k \, dx - \int_F \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(u_k, \varphi) \, dx \\ &\leq \int_F \operatorname{div}^\alpha(u_k \varphi) \, dx + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} [u_k]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_{R'}) + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} [u_k]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_R) + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} [u_k]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.7. Passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude that

$$\int_{F \cap B_{R'}} \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx \leq |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_R) + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_{R'})$$

and thus

$$|D^\alpha \chi_{F \cap B_{R'}}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_R) + 3\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_R)$$

by Theorem 4.2. Since $\chi_{F \cap B_{R'}} \rightarrow \chi_{F \cap B_R}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $R' \rightarrow R$, the claim in (4.7) follows by Proposition 4.3.

Step 2. By (4.6) and (4.7), we can apply Theorem 4.5 to $(E_k \cap B_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for each fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By a standard diagonal argument, we find a subsequence $(E_{k_h})_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(F_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter such that $\chi_{E_{k_h} \cap B_j} \rightarrow \chi_{F_j}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $h \rightarrow +\infty$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Up to null sets, we have $F_j \subset F_{j+1}$, so that $\chi_{E_{k_h}} \rightarrow \chi_E$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $E := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j$. The conclusion thus follows by Proposition 4.3. \square

4.5. Fractional reduced boundary. Thanks to the scaling property of the fractional divergence, we have

$$D^\alpha \chi_{\lambda E} = \lambda^{n-\alpha} (\delta_\lambda)_\# D^\alpha \chi_E \quad \text{on } \lambda\Omega, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\delta_\lambda(x) = \lambda x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$. Indeed, we can compute

$$\int_{\lambda E} \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \lambda^n \int_E (\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi) \circ \delta_\lambda \, dx = \lambda^{n-\alpha} \int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha (\varphi \circ \delta_\lambda) \, dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. In analogy with the classical case, we are thus led to the following definition.

Definition 4.7 (Fractional reduced boundary). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω , then we say that a point $x \in \Omega$ belongs to the *fractional reduced boundary* of E (inside Ω), and we write $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, if

$$x \in \operatorname{supp}(D^\alpha \chi_E) \quad \text{and} \quad \exists \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_E(B_r(x))}{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x))} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

We thus let

$$\nu_E^\alpha: \Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \quad \nu_E^\alpha(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_E(B_r(x))}{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x))}, \quad x \in \Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E,$$

be the (*measure theoretic*) *inner unit fractional normal* to E (inside Ω).

As a consequence of Definition 4.7 and arguing similarly as in the proof of Proposition 3.6, if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω , then the following Gauss–Green formula

$$\int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E} \varphi \cdot \nu_E^\alpha \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|, \quad (4.9)$$

holds for any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

4.6. Sets of finite fractional perimeter are fractional Caccioppoli sets. In analogy with the classical case and with the inclusion $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can show that sets with finite fractional α -perimeter have finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter. Recall that the *fractional α -perimeter* of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$P_\alpha(E; \Omega) := \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|\chi_E(x) - \chi_E(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} \, dx \, dy + 2 \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{|\chi_E(x) - \chi_E(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} \, dx \, dy,$$

see [5] for an account on this subject.

Proposition 4.8 (Sets of finite fractional perimeter are fractional Caccioppoli sets). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $P_\alpha(E; \Omega) < +\infty$, then E is a set with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in Ω with*

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\Omega) \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(E; \Omega) \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_\Omega \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \chi_E \, dx \quad (4.11)$$

for all $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $D^\alpha \chi_E = \nu_E^\alpha |D^\alpha \chi_E| = \nabla^\alpha \chi_E \mathcal{L}^n$. Moreover, if E is such that $|E| < +\infty$ and $P(E) < +\infty$, then $\chi_E \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and

$$\nabla^\alpha \chi_E(x) = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\nu_E(y)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha-1}} d|D\chi_E|(y) \quad (4.12)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Note that $\nabla^\alpha \chi_E \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla^\alpha \chi_E| \, dx &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\chi_E(y) - \chi_E(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \\ &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|\chi_E(y) - \chi_E(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx + \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{|\chi_E(y) - \chi_E(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \\ &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(E; \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be fixed. By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, by (2.4) and by Fubini's Theorem (applied for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$), we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{(y-x) \cdot \varphi(y)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dy \, dx \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \varphi(y) \cdot \frac{(y-x) \chi_E(x)}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= -\mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \int_{\{|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \varphi(y) \cdot \frac{(y-x)(\chi_E(y) - \chi_E(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= - \int_\Omega \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \chi_E \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.10) and (4.11) follow by Theorem 4.2 and Definition 4.7. Finally, (4.12) follows from (3.26), since $\chi_E \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

At the present moment, we do not know if $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\Omega) < +\infty$ implies that $P_\alpha(E; \Omega) < +\infty$.

Remark 4.9 ($\mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ is not \mathcal{L}^n -negligible in general). It is important to notice that, by Proposition 4.8, we have

$$P_\alpha(E; \Omega) < +\infty \implies \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E) > 0$$

including even the case $\chi_E \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This shows a substantial difference between the standard *local* De Giorgi's perimeter measure $|D\chi_E|$ and the *non-local* fractional De Giorgi's perimeter measure $|D^\alpha \chi_E|$: the former is supported on a \mathcal{L}^n -negligible set contained in the topological boundary of E , while the latter, in general, can be supported on a set of positive Lebesgue measure and, for this reason, cannot be expected to be contained in the topological boundary of E .

Remark 4.10 (Fractional reduced boundary and precise representative). We let

$$u^*(x) := \begin{cases} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} u(y) dy & \text{if the limit exists and is finite,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

be the *precise representative* of a function $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Note that u^* is well defined at any Lebesgue point of u . By Proposition 4.8, if $P_\alpha(E; \Omega) < +\infty$ then $D^\alpha \chi_E = \nabla^\alpha \chi_E \mathcal{L}^n$ with $\nabla^\alpha \chi_E \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore the set

$$\mathcal{R}_\Omega^\alpha E := \{x \in \Omega : |(\nabla^\alpha \chi_E)^*(x)| = |\nabla^\alpha \chi_E|^*(x) \neq 0\}$$

is such that

$$\mathcal{R}_\Omega^\alpha E \subset \Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\nu_E^\alpha(x) = \frac{(\nabla^\alpha \chi_E)^*(x)}{|\nabla^\alpha \chi_E|^*(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{R}_\Omega^\alpha E.$$

The following simple example shows that the inclusion in (4.13) and the inequality in (4.10) can be strict.

Example 4.11. Let $n = 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a < b$. It is easy to see that $\chi_{(a,b)} \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R})$. By (4.12), for any $x \neq a, b$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}(x) &= \frac{\mu_{1,\alpha}}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^\alpha} d(\delta_a - \delta_b)(y) \\ &= \frac{2^\alpha}{\alpha\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{|x-a|^\alpha} - \frac{1}{|x-b|^\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\mathcal{F}^\alpha(a, b) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{a+b}{2} \right\} \quad (4.14)$$

while

$$\mathcal{R}_\mathbb{R}^\alpha(a, b) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ a, \frac{a+b}{2}, b \right\}, \quad (4.15)$$

so that inclusion (4.13) is strict. Finally, we also claim that

$$\|\nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \mu_{1,\alpha} P_\alpha((a, b)). \quad (4.16)$$

Indeed, notice that

$$\nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}(x) \geq 0$$

if and only if $x \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$, so that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{x-r}^{x+r} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}(y) dy}{\int_{x-r}^{x+r} |\nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}(y)| dy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < \frac{a+b}{2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } x > \frac{a+b}{2}. \end{cases}$$

If $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, then

$$\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}-r}^{\frac{a+b}{2}+r} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}(y) dy = 0 \quad \forall r > 0,$$

and claim (4.14) follows. In particular, we have

$$\nu_{(a,b)}^\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < \frac{a+b}{2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } x > \frac{a+b}{2}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{a-r}^{a+r} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}(y) dy = +\infty$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{b-r}^{b+r} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}(y) dy = -\infty,$$

so that claim (4.15) follows. To prove (4.16), note that

$$P_\alpha((a,b)) = \frac{4}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}(b-a)^{1-\alpha} \quad (4.17)$$

since $P_\alpha((a,b)) = (b-a)^{1-\alpha} P_\alpha((0,1))$ by the scaling property of the fractional perimeter and

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha((0,1)) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (0,1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|y-x|^{1+\alpha}} dy dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (0,1)} \left[\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x-y)}{|y-x|^\alpha} \right]_{y=0}^{y=1} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (0,1)} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x-1)}{|1-x|^\alpha} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x)}{|x|^\alpha} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(x-1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{x^\alpha} dx + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{(-x)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha} dx \\ &= \frac{4}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1+x)^\alpha} dx = \frac{4}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|\nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{2^{1+\alpha} \mu_{1,\alpha}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} (b-a)^{1-\alpha}. \quad (4.18)$$

Indeed, $\|\nabla^\alpha \chi_{(a,b)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = (b-a)^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla^\alpha \chi_{(0,1)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ by (4.8) and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{\mu_{1,\alpha}} \|\nabla^\alpha \chi_{(0,1)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} - \frac{1}{|x-1|^\alpha} \right| dx \\ &= \int_1^\infty \left| \frac{1}{x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(x-1)^\alpha} \right| dx + \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha} \right| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 \left| \frac{1}{(-x)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha} \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(x-1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{x^\alpha} dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{x^\alpha} dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha} dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{(-x)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha} dx \\
&= 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1+x)^\alpha} dx + 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^\alpha} dx \\
&= \frac{2}{1-\alpha} (1 + 2^{\alpha-1} + 2^{\alpha-1} - 1) = \frac{2^{1+\alpha}}{1-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.17) and (4.18), we get (4.16).

Thanks to Example 4.11 above, we know that inequality (1.15) is strict for $E = (a, b)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$. We conclude this section proving that this fact holds for all sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi_E \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $\chi_E \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R})$, then $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}) < \mu_{1,\alpha} P_\alpha(E)$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume $\chi_E \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}) = \mu_{1,\alpha} P_\alpha(E)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_E(x, y)| dy dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_E(x, y) \operatorname{sgn}(y - x) dy \right| dx \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$f_E(x, y) := \frac{\chi_E(y) - \chi_E(x)}{|y - x|^{1+\alpha}} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y.$$

From (4.19) we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_E(x, y)| dy = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_E(x, y) \operatorname{sgn}(y - x) dy \right|$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in E$, then $f_E(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \neq x$, and thus

$$\int_x^{+\infty} |f_E(x, y)| dy + \int_{-\infty}^x |f_E(x, y)| dy = \left| \int_x^{+\infty} |f_E(x, y)| dy - \int_{-\infty}^x |f_E(x, y)| dy \right|$$

for a.e. $x \in E$. Squaring both sides and simplifying, we get that

$$\left(\int_x^{+\infty} |f_E(x, y)| dy \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^x |f_E(x, y)| dy \right) = 0,$$

so that either $|E^c \cap (x, +\infty)| = 0$ or $|E^c \cap (-\infty, x)| = 0$ for a.e. $x \in E$, contradicting the fact that $|E| < +\infty$. \square

5. EXISTENCE OF BLOW-UPS FOR FRACTIONAL CACCIOPPOLI SETS

In this section we prove existence of blow-ups for sets with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter. We follow the approach presented in [8, Section 5.7].

We start with the following technical preliminary result.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For all $\varepsilon, r > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define*

$$h_{\varepsilon, r, x}(y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq |y - x| \leq r, \\ \frac{r + \varepsilon - |y - x|}{\varepsilon} & \text{if } r < |y - x| < r + \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } |y - x| \geq r + \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then $\nabla^\alpha h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\nabla^\alpha h_{\varepsilon, r, x}(y) = \frac{\mu_{n, \alpha}}{\varepsilon(n + \alpha - 1)} \int_{B_{r+\varepsilon}(x) \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{x - z}{|x - z|} |z - y|^{1-n-\alpha} dz \quad (5.1)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Clearly $h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\nabla h_{\varepsilon, r, x}(y) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{y - x}{|y - x|} \chi_{B_{r+\varepsilon}(x) \setminus B_r(x)}(y).$$

Therefore by (3.26) we get

$$\nabla^\alpha h_{\varepsilon, r, x}(y) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\mu_{n, \alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|z - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} \frac{z - x}{|z - x|} \chi_{B_{r+\varepsilon}(x) \setminus B_r(x)}(z) dz$$

for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By Theorem 3.18, we get $\nabla^\alpha h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

We now proceed with the following formula for integration by parts on balls, see [8, Lemma 5.2] for the analogous result in the classical setting.

Theorem 5.2 (Integration by parts on balls). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n , then*

$$\int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi dy + \int_E \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_r(x)} dy + \int_E \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi) dy = - \int_{B_r(x)} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_E \quad (5.2)$$

for all $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ and for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r > 0$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon, r > 0$, $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ and $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $h_{\varepsilon, r, x}$ be as in Lemma 5.1. On the one hand, by (4.9) we have

$$\int_E \text{div}^\alpha(\varphi h_{\varepsilon, r, x}) dy = - \int_{\mathcal{F}^\alpha E} (h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \varphi) \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_E. \quad (5.3)$$

Since $h_{\varepsilon, r, x}(y) \rightarrow \chi_{\overline{B_r(x)}}(y)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\partial B_r(x)) = 0$ for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r > 0$, we can compute

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{F}^\alpha E} (h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \varphi) \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_E = \int_{B_r(x)} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_E.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\text{div}^\alpha(\varphi h_{\varepsilon, r, x}) = h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi + \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha h_{\varepsilon, r, x} + \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(h_{\varepsilon, r, x}, \varphi). \quad (5.4)$$

We deal with each term of the right-hand side of (5.4) separately. For the first term, since $0 \leq h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \leq \chi_{B_{r+1}(x)}$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \rightarrow \chi_{B_r(x)}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by Corollary 2.3 and Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem we can compute

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E h_{\varepsilon, r, x} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi dy = \int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi dy. \quad (5.5)$$

For the second term, by (5.1) we have

$$\int_E \varphi(y) \cdot \nabla^\alpha h_{\varepsilon,r,x}(y) dy = \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{\varepsilon(n+\alpha-1)} \int_E \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{B_{r+\varepsilon}(x) \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dz dy.$$

By Fubini's Theorem, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{B_{r+\varepsilon}(x) \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dz dy \\ &= \int_{B_{r+\varepsilon}(x) \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} \cdot \int_E \varphi(y) |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dy dz \\ &= \int_r^{r+\varepsilon} \int_{\partial B_\varrho(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} \cdot \int_E \varphi(y) |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dy d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) d\varrho. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's Differentiation Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_E \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{B_{r+\varepsilon}(x) \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dz dy \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_r^{r+\varepsilon} \int_{\partial B_\varrho(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} \cdot \int_E \varphi(y) |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dy d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) d\varrho \\ &= \int_{\partial B_r(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} \cdot \int_E \varphi(y) |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dy d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) \\ &= \int_E \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{\partial B_r(x)} \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) dy \\ &= \int_E \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dD\chi_{B_r(x)}(z) dy \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r > 0$. Therefore, by (3.26), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha h_{\varepsilon,r,x} dy \\ &= \frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n+\alpha-1} \int_E \varphi(y) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |z-y|^{1-n-\alpha} dD\chi_{B_r(x)}(z) dy \\ &= \int_E \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_r(x)} dy \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r > 0$. Finally, for the third term, note that

$$\left| \frac{(z-y) \cdot (\varphi(z) - \varphi(y))(h_{\varepsilon,r,x}(z) - h_{\varepsilon,r,x}(y))}{|z-y|^{n+\alpha+1}} \right| \leq 2 \frac{|\varphi(z) - \varphi(y)|}{|z-y|^{n+\alpha}} \in L_z^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(h_{\varepsilon,r,x}, \varphi)(y) = \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi)(y)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. Since

$$|\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(h_{\varepsilon,r,x}, \varphi)(y)| \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\varphi(z) - \varphi(y)|}{|z-y|^{n+\alpha}} dz \in L_y^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

again by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem we can compute

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(h_{\varepsilon,r,x}, \varphi) dy = \int_E \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi) dy. \tag{5.7}$$

Combining (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7), we obtain (5.2). \square

We can now deduce the following decay estimates for the fractional De Giorgi's perimeter measure, see [8, Lemma 5.3] for the analogous result in the classical setting.

Theorem 5.3 (Decay estimates). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There exist $A_{n,\alpha}, B_{n,\alpha} > 0$ with the following property. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n . For any $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that*

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x)) \leq A_{n,\alpha} r^{n-\alpha} \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$|D^\alpha \chi_{E \cap B_r(x)}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq B_{n,\alpha} r^{n-\alpha} \quad (5.9)$$

for all $r \in (0, r_x)$.

Proof. We divide the proof in two steps, dealing with the two estimates separately.

Step 1: proof of (5.8). Fix $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ and choose $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi \equiv \nu_E^\alpha(x)$ in $B_1(x)$ and $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$. On the one hand, by Definition 4.7, there exists $r_x \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_E \geq \frac{1}{2} |D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x)) \quad (5.10)$$

for all $r \in (0, r_x)$. On the other hand, by (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x)} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_E &\leq \left| \int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dy \right| + \left| \int_E \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_{B_r(x)} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_E \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi) \, dy \right| \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r \in (0, r_x)$. We now estimate the three terms in the right-hand side separately. For the first one, since $\varphi(y) \equiv \nu_E^\alpha(x)$ in $B_r(x)$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi(y) \, dy \right| &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\varphi(z) - \varphi(y)|}{|z - y|^{n+\alpha}} \, dz \, dy \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{|\varphi(z) - \nu_E^\alpha(x)|}{|z - y|^{n+\alpha}} \, dz \, dy \\ &\leq 2\mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{B_r(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{1}{|z - y|^{n+\alpha}} \, dz \, dy \\ &= 2\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_r(x)) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\left| \int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi(y) \, dy \right| \leq 2\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_1) r^{n-\alpha}. \quad (5.12)$$

For the second term, by Proposition 4.8 we can estimate

$$\left| \int_E \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_{B_r(x)} \right| \leq |D^\alpha \chi_{B_r(x)}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_r(x)) = \mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_1) r^{n-\alpha}. \quad (5.13)$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.7, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_E \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi) \, dy \right| &\leq \|\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq 2\mu_{n,\alpha} [\chi_{B_r(x)}]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= 2\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_r(x)) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\left| \int_E \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi) dy \right| \leq 2\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_1) r^{n-\alpha}. \quad (5.14)$$

Combining (5.10), (5.11), (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14), we conclude that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x)) \leq 10\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_1) r^{n-\alpha} \quad (5.15)$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r \in (0, r_x)$. Hence (5.8) follows with $A_{n,\alpha} = 10\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_1)$ for all $r \in (0, r_x)$ by a simple continuity argument.

Step 2: proof of (5.9). Fix $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$. Again by (5.2) we can estimate

$$\left| \int_{E \cap B_r(x)} \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dy \right| \leq |D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x)) + |D^\alpha \chi_{B_r(x)}|(\mathbb{R}^n) + \int_E |\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_{B_r(x)}, \varphi)| dy$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r \in (0, r_x)$. Using (5.13), (5.14) and (5.15), we conclude that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_{E \cap B_r(x)}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq 13\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_1) r^{n-\alpha}$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r \in (0, r_x)$. Hence (5.9) follows with $B_{n,\alpha} = 13\mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(B_1)$ for all $r \in (0, r_x)$ by a simple continuity argument. This concludes the proof. \square

As an easy consequence of Theorem 5.3, we can prove that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha} \llcorner \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$$

for any set E with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary 5.4 ($|D^\alpha \chi_E| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha} \llcorner \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If E is a set with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n , then*

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E| \leq 2^{n-\alpha} \frac{A_{n,\alpha}}{\omega_{n-\alpha}} \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha} \llcorner \mathcal{F}^\alpha E, \quad (5.16)$$

where $A_{n,\alpha}$ is as in (5.8).

Proof. By (5.8), we have that

$$\Theta_{n-\alpha}^*(|D^\alpha \chi_E|, x) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x))}{\omega_{n-\alpha} r^{n-\alpha}} \leq \frac{A_{n,\alpha}}{\omega_{n-\alpha}}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$. Therefore, (5.16) is a simple application of [2, Theorem 2.56]. \square

For any set E of locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter, Corollary 5.4 enables us to obtain a lower bound on the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{F}^\alpha E$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If E is a set with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n , then*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}^\alpha E) \geq n - \alpha. \quad (5.17)$$

Proof. Since $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathcal{F}^\alpha E) > 0$ by Definition 4.7, by Corollary 5.4 we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}(\mathcal{F}^\alpha E) > 0$, proving (5.17). \square

As another interesting consequence of Corollary 5.4, we are able to prove that assumption (3.12) in Theorem 3.11 cannot be dropped.

Corollary 5.6 (No coarea formula in $BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There exist $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}}|(\mathbb{R}^n) dt = +\infty. \quad (5.18)$$

Proof. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\chi_E \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider $f := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \chi_E$. By Lemma 3.28, we know that $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $|D^\alpha f| = |D\chi_E| = \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner \mathcal{F}E$. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}}|(\mathbb{R}^n) dt < +\infty$$

then

$$|D^\alpha f| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}}| dt$$

by Theorem 3.11. Thus $|D^\alpha f| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}$ by Corollary 5.4, so that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}E) = 0$, which is clearly absurd. \square

Remark 5.7. If $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \chi_{\{f>t\}}|(\mathbb{R}^n) dt \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_\alpha(\{f > t\}) dt = \mu_{n,\alpha}[f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty$$

by Proposition 4.8 and Tonelli's Theorem, so that (5.18) does not hold for all $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We do not know if (3.14) is an equality for some functions $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We can now prove the existence of blow-ups for sets with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n , see [8, Theorem 5.13] for the analogous result in the classical setting. Here and in the following, given a set E with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter and $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, we let $\text{Tan}(E, x)$ be the set of all *tangent sets of E at x* , i.e. the set of all limit points in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -topology of the family $\left\{ \frac{E-x}{r} : r > 0 \right\}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 5.8 (Existence of blow-up). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let E be a set with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n . For any $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ we have $\text{Tan}(E, x) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$. Up to a translation, we can assume $x = 0$. We set $E_r := E/r = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : ry \in E\}$ for all $r > 0$. We divide the proof in two steps.

Step 1. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $D_r^p := E_r \cap B_p$. By the α -homogeneity of div^α , we have

$$\int_{D_r^p} \text{div}^\alpha \varphi dy = r^{-n} \int_{E \cap B_{rp}} (\text{div}^\alpha \varphi)(r^{-1}z) dz = r^{\alpha-n} \int_{E \cap B_{rp}} \text{div}^\alpha(\varphi(r^{-1}\cdot)) dz$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. By (5.9), we thus get

$$|D^\alpha \chi_{D_r^p}|(\mathbb{R}^n) = r^{\alpha-n} |D^\alpha \chi_{E \cap B_{rp}}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq B_{n,\alpha} p^{n-\alpha}$$

for all $r > 0$ such that $rp < r_0$. Hence, for each fixed $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sup_{r < r_0/p} |D^\alpha \chi_{D_r^p}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq B_{n,\alpha} p^{n-\alpha}.$$

Step 2. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that $r_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and let $E_k := E_{r_k}$ and $D_k^p := D_{r_k}^p$ for simplicity. By *Step 1*, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we know that

$$\sup_{r_k < r_0/p} |D^\alpha \chi_{D_k^p}|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq B_{n,\alpha} p^{n-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad D_k^p \subset B_p \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thanks to Theorem 4.5, by a standard diagonal argument we find a subsequence $(D_{k_j}^p)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(F_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets with finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter such that $\chi_{D_{k_j}^p} \rightarrow \chi_{F_p}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Up to null sets, we have $F_p \subset F_{p+1}$, so that $\chi_{E_{k_j}} \rightarrow \chi_F$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $F := \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} F_p$. We thus conclude that $F \in \text{Tan}(E, x)$. \square

We now give a characterisation of the blow-ups of sets with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n , see Claim #1 in the proof of [8, Theorem 5.13] for the result in the classical setting.

Proposition 5.9 (Characterisation of blow-ups). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let E be a set with locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n and let $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$. If $F \in \text{Tan}(E, x)$, then F is a set of locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter such that $\nu_F^\alpha(y) = \nu_E^\alpha(x)$ for $|D^\alpha \chi_F|$ -a.e. $y \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha F$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5.8, we assume $x = 0$ and we set $E_r = E/r$. By Theorem 5.8, there exists $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $r_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\chi_{E_{r_k}} \rightarrow \chi_F$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Proposition 3.3, it is clear that F has locally finite fractional Caccioppoli α -perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n . By (4.3), we get

$$D^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}} \rightharpoonup D^\alpha \chi_F \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus, for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $L > 0$, we have

$$D^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}}(B_L) \rightarrow D^\alpha \chi_F(B_L) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (5.19)$$

Since

$$D^\alpha \chi_{E_r} = r^{\alpha-n} (\delta_{\frac{1}{r}})_\# D^\alpha \chi_E \quad \forall r > 0,$$

we have that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}}|(B_L) = r_k^{\alpha-n} |D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_{r_k L})$$

and

$$D^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}}(B_L) = r_k^{\alpha-n} D^\alpha \chi_E(B_{r_k L}).$$

Since $0 \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, we thus get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}}(B_L)}{|D^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}}|(B_L)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_E(B_{r_k L})}{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_{r_k L})} = \nu_E^\alpha(0). \quad (5.20)$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.3, (5.19) and (5.20), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_L) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |D^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}}|(B_L) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_L} \nu_E^\alpha(0) \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_{E_{r_k}} \\ &= \int_{B_L} \nu_E^\alpha(0) \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_F \\ &= \int_{B_L} \nu_E^\alpha(0) \cdot \nu_F^\alpha d|D^\alpha \chi_F| \\ &\leq |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_L) \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $L > 0$. We thus get that $\nu_F^\alpha(y) = \nu_E^\alpha(0)$ for $|D^\alpha \chi_F|$ -a.e. $y \in B_L \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha F$ and \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $L > 0$, so that the conclusion follows. \square

APPENDIX A. $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ IS DENSE IN $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

The density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $1 \leq p < +\infty$ is stated without proof in [7, Theorem 2.4]. For the proof of this result, the authors in [7] refer to [1, Theorem 7.38], where unfortunately the case $p = 1$ is not explicitly proved. This result is also stated in [6, Proposition 4.27], but the proof is given for the case $n = 1$. For the sake of clarity, we spend some words on the proof of the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

Theorem A.1 ($C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. If $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. The proof of the density of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via a standard convolution argument is given in full details in [10, Proposition 14.5] (actually, in the more general setting of *Besov spaces*, see [10, Section 14.8] for the relation with fractional Sobolev spaces). Thus, to conclude, we just need to show the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To this aim, let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be fixed. For all $R > 0$, consider a cut-off function $\eta_R \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as in (3.9). Then $f\eta_R \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the conclusion clearly follows if we show that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} [f(1 - \eta_R)]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f(1 - \eta_R)]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} (1 - \eta_R(y)) dy dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term in the right-hand side, we easily get that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} (1 - \eta_R(y)) dy dx = 0$$

by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, since $[f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty$. For the second term in the right-hand side, as in (2.6) and (2.7) we can estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} dy \leq \text{Lip}(\eta_R) \int_0^1 r^{-\alpha} dr + 2 \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-(1+\alpha)} dr$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx = 0$$

again by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus (A.1) follows and the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

- [3] E. Artin, *The Gamma function*, Translated by Michael Butler. Athena Series: Selected Topics in Mathematics, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Toronto-London, 1964.
- [4] G. E. Comi and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics* (2019), in preparation.
- [5] M. Cozzi and A. Figalli, *Regularity theory for local and nonlocal minimal surfaces: an overview*, Nonlocal and nonlinear diffusions and interactions: new methods and directions, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2186, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 117–158.
- [6] F. Demengel and G. Demengel, *Functional spaces for the theory of elliptic partial differential equations*, Universitext, Springer, London; EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2012.
- [7] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [8] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Revised edition, Textbooks in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.
- [9] J. Horváth, *On some composition formulas*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 433–437.
- [10] G. Leoni, *A first course in Sobolev spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 105, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.
- [11] F. Maggi, *Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 135, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [12] S. G. Samko, *A new approach to the inversion of the Riesz potential operator*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **1** (1998), no. 3, 225–245.
- [13] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.
- [14] A. Schikorra, T.-T. Shieh, and D. Spector, *L^p theory for fractional gradient PDE with VMO coefficients*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **26** (2015), no. 4, 433–443.
- [15] A. Schikorra, T.-T. Shieh, and D. E. Spector, *Regularity for a fractional p -Laplace equation*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **20** (2018), no. 1, 1750003, 6.
- [16] A. Schikorra, D. Spector, and J. Van Schaftingen, *An L^1 -type estimate for Riesz potentials*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **33** (2017), no. 1, 291–303.
- [17] T.-T. Shieh and D. E. Spector, *On a new class of fractional partial differential equations*, Adv. Calc. Var. **8** (2015), no. 4, 321–336.
- [18] ———, *On a new class of fractional partial differential equations II*, Adv. Calc. Var. **11** (2018), no. 3, 289–307.
- [19] M. Šilhavý, *Fractional vector analysis based on invariance requirements (Critique of coordinate approaches)*, M. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. (2019), 1–22.
- [20] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [21] R. Züst, *Functions of bounded fractional variation and fractal currents*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **29** (2019), no. 4, 1235–1294.

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA CAVALIERI 7, 56126 PISA, ITALY

E-mail address: giovanni.comi@sns.it

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA CAVALIERI 7, 56126 PISA, ITALY

E-mail address: giorgio.stefani@sns.it