ROOT NUMBERS AND SELMER GROUPS FOR THE JACOBIAN VARIETIES OF FERMAT CURVES
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Abstract. Let p be an odd prime number. Let K be the p-th cyclotomic field and F its maximal real subfield. We give general formulae of the root numbers of the Jacobian varieties of the Fermat curves \( X^p + Y^p = \delta \) where \( \delta \) is an integer. As an application of these general formulae, we derive the equidistribution of the root numbers for the families of Jacobian varieties of the Fermat curves. When \( p \nmid \delta \) and all prime ideals of K dividing \( \delta \) are principal, the Selmer groups of these Jacobian varieties are also bounded. If \( p \) satisfies Vandiver’s conjecture, i.e. \( \text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0 \), and each rational prime factor of \( \delta \) is inert in K, sharp bounds of the Selmer groups are given. Moreover, if \( p \) is regular, the Selmer groups are explicitly determined and the parity conjectures of these Jacobian varieties are verified.

Notations. Let \( \mu_p \) be the group of p-th root of unity with a generator \( \omega \). Let \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\omega) \) be the p-th cyclotomic field and \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\omega + \omega^{-1}) \) the maximal real subfield of \( K \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_K \) resp. \( \mathcal{O} \) be the ring of integers of the field \( K \) resp. \( F \). Let \( \mathbb{A} \) be the adéle ring of \( F \) and \( \mathbb{A}_f \) its finite part. For any \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( M \), we denote by \( \hat{M} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p \). For example, \( \hat{F} = \mathbb{A}_f \). Let \( \cdot \mid \cdot : \mathbb{A}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\times \) denote the standard adélic absolute value so that \( d(ab) = |a|_\mathbb{A} db \) for any Haar measure \( db \) on \( \mathbb{A} \). Let \( \cdot \mid \cdot_v \) denote the absolute value on \( F_v^\times \) for...
each place $v$ of $F$ such that $|x|_v = \prod_v |x_v|_v$ for any $x = (x_v) \in \mathbb{A}^\times$. For a finite place $v$, sometimes we also denote by $v$ its corresponding prime ideal and $q_v = \#\mathcal{O}/v$. For $x \in F^\times$, denote by $\text{ord}_{v}(x)$ the additive valuation of $x$ at $v$ so that $\text{ord}_{v}(v) = 1$. We denote by $\infty$ the set of infinite places of $F$. Let $\mathbb{A}_F = \mathbb{A} \otimes_F K$ be the adéle ring of $K$. The notations $| \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}_K}, | \cdot |_V, q_v,$ and $\text{ord}_V(\cdot)$ are similarly defined for $K$ where $V$ is a finite place of $K$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $p$ be an odd prime number. Let $\mu_p$ be the group of $p$-th root of unity, and let $\omega$ be a primitive $p$-th root of unity. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ be the $p$-th cyclotomic field. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^{\times p}$ be an integer and let $F_\delta$ be the Fermat curve defined by

$$X^p + Y^p = \delta.$$ 

We have two algebraic automorphisms $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ of $F_\delta$ as follows:

$$\tau_1(X,Y) \mapsto (\omega X,Y), \quad \tau_2(X,Y) \mapsto (X,\omega Y).$$

The group $\text{Aut}(F_\delta)$ of algebraic automorphisms of $F_\delta$ is isomorphism to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ and is generated by $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$.

For integers $r,s,t > 0$ satisfying $r+s+t = p$, let $C_{r,s,t;\delta}$ be the quotient of $F_\delta$ by the group $\langle \tau_1^r \cdot \tau_2^{-t} \rangle$. Then $C_{r,s,t;\delta}$ is a projective curve of genus $g = (p-1)/2$, which is defined by the affine equation

$$y^p = x^r(\delta - x)^s,$$

and, in the projective coordinates, the quotient map is given by

$$\varphi_{r,s,t} : F_\delta \rightarrow C_{r,s,t;\delta}, \quad (X,Y,Z) \mapsto (X^p, X^{r+s}Y^sZ^t, Z^p).$$

Denote by $J_{r,s,t;\delta}$ the Jacobian variety of the curve $C_{r,s,t;\delta}$. We have a complex multiplication of $\mu_p$ on $C_{r,s,t;\delta}$:

$$[\omega](x,y) = (x,\omega y).$$

This induces a complex multiplication $[\cdot] : \mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow \text{End}(J_{r,s,t;\delta})$.

Let $\varphi$ be the product morphism

$$\varphi = (\varphi_{1,p-r-1})_{r=1,2,\ldots,p-2} : F_\delta \rightarrow \prod_{r=1}^{p-2} C_{r,1,p-r-1;\delta}.$$

It is known from [Fad61a, Fad61b, Roh78] that the push-forward map

$$\varphi_* : \text{Jac}(F_\delta) \rightarrow \prod_{r=1}^{p-2} J_{r,1,p-r-1;\delta}$$


gives an isogeny of abelian varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$. This isogeny gives a rough decomposition of the Jacobian variety $\text{Jac}(F_\delta)$ and the abelian varieties $J_{r,s,t;\delta}$ will be referred as the Jacobian varieties of the Fermat curves.

The curve

$$C_{r,s,t;\delta} : y^p = x^r(\delta - x)^s$$

is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\Lambda(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q})$ be the complete global $L$-function of the Jacobian variety $J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q}$. Weil [Wei52] proved this $L$-function is modular and can be identified with
the $L$-function of a Hecke character over $K$. Therefore $L(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q})$ is entire and satisfies Hecke’s functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q}) = \epsilon_{r,s,t;\delta} \Lambda(2 - s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q})$$

where $\epsilon_{r,s,t;\delta} = \pm 1$ is the root number (or epsilon factor). Combined with the Taylor expansion at $s = 1$, the functional equation implies

$$\epsilon_{r,s,t;\delta} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_{s=1} \Lambda(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q})}.$$ 

By the theorem of Mordell-Weil,

$$J_{r,s,t;\delta} (\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{R_{r,s,t;\delta}} \times J_{r,s,t;\delta} (\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}},$$

where $R_{r,s,t;\delta} \geq 0$ is an integer. Then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture predicts that the sign of the epsilon factor $\epsilon_{r,s,t;\delta}$ is compatible with the parity of the Mordell-Weil rank $R_{r,s,t;\delta}$, i.e.

$$(-1)^{R_{r,s,t;\delta}} = \epsilon_{r,s,t;\delta}.$$ 

The field extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is totally ramified at $p$ and let $\Pi = \omega - \overline{\omega}$ be a primitive element of $K$ above $p$. Denote by $\text{Sel} \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K, \Pi \right)$ the Selmer group associated to the $K$-morphism $[\Pi] : J_{r,s,t;\delta} \to J_{r,s,t;\delta}$. Then $\text{Sel} \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K, \Pi \right)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathcal{O}_K/(\Pi)$. Denote

$$S_{r,s,t;\delta} = \dim_K J_{r,s,t;\delta}(K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K + \rank_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Sha \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K \right)[\Pi],$$

where $\Sha \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K \right)$ denotes the Shafarevich-Tate group of the abelian variety $J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K$. Then $S_{r,s,t;\delta}$ is essentially the dimension of the Selmer group. Precisely, it is known from Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 4.13 that

$$S_{r,s,t;\delta} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K, \Pi \right) - 1.$$ 

As one easily show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} J_{r,s,t;\delta}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \dim_K J_{r,s,t;\delta}(K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K,$$

and there is conjecturally a non-degenerate alternating pairing on $\Sha \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K \right)$, one should have

$$R_{r,s,t;\delta} \equiv S_{r,s,t;\delta} \mod 2.$$ 

Hence by the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, we should have the parity:

$$(1.1) \quad \epsilon_{r,s,t;\delta} = (-1)^{S_{r,s,t;\delta}}.$$ 

When $p = 3$ and $r = s = t = 1$, both $F_\delta$ and $C_{1,1,1;\delta}$ are elliptic curves. The parity conjecture $(1.1)$ in this case is extensively verified by the works of Birch, Stephens, Liverance and Satgé [BS66, Ste68, Liv95, Sat86]. Indeed, Birch, Stephens and Liverance [BS66, Ste68, Liv95] calculated the general formulae of the root numbers of these elliptic curves and Satgé [Sat86] calculated the Selmer groups of these elliptic curves by descent method via 3-isogenies. For the cases of $\delta = 1$ and regular primes $p \geq 5$, the parity conjecture $(1.1)$ is verified by the works of Gross, Rohrlich and Faddeev [GR78, Roh92, Fad61a]. Gross and Rohrlich [GR78, Roh92] calculated the root numbers of the Jacobian varieties of the Fermat curves
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$X^p + Y^p = 1$ for odd primes $p$, while Faddeev [Fad61a] calculated the Selmer groups of these Jacobian varieties when $p \geq 5$ is regular. We shall prove the following

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose $p \geq 5$ is regular, i.e. $\text{Cl}(K)[p] = 0$, and $(r, s, t)$ is a triple of integers with $r, s, t > 0$ and $r + s + t = p$. Suppose $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times /\mathbb{Q}^{xp}$ is an integer such that $p \nmid \delta$ and that each rational prime factor of $\delta$ is inert in $K$. Then

$$\epsilon_{r, s, t; \delta} = (-1)^{S_{r, s, t; \delta}}.$$  

The above theorem is Theorem 5.1 where the root number is given in terms of the root number $\epsilon(\pi_{r, s, t; \delta})$ of the automorphic representation $\pi_{r, s, t; \delta}$ associated to the Jacobian variety $J_{r, s, t; \delta}$ via the Eichler-Shimura construction, and it is known that $\epsilon_{r, s, t; \delta} = \epsilon(\pi_{r, s, t; \delta})$. In order to prove this theorem, we shall calculate the root numbers and bound the Selmer groups respectively.

In §2 we sketch the basics on Jacobi sums and algebraic Hecke characters and L-functions associated to the Jacobian varieties of Fermat curves. We also roughly determine the $p$-torsion groups of the Mordell-Weil groups of the Jacobian varieties over the cyclotomic field $K$.

In §3 we calculate the general formulae for the root numbers $\epsilon(\pi_{r, s, t; \delta})$ and the results are summarized in Theorem 3.13. The formulae given here are unconditional, that is, we do not require the hypothesis for $\delta$ as in Theorem 1.1. These results are compatible with the works of Birch, Stephens and Liverance [BS66, Ste68, Liv95] for the case $p = 3$ and Gross-Rohrlich [GR78, Roh92] for the case $\delta = 1$.

As an application of these general formulae, following the strategy and results in [Mai93, Jed08], we obtain the following density of root numbers of the families $J_{r, s, t; \delta}$ with $\delta$ varying in the set of $p$-th power-free integers. Let $\mathbb{N}^{(p)}$ be the set of $p$-th power-free integers.

**Theorem 1.2.**

$$\lim_{X \to +\infty} \frac{\#\{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{(p)} : \delta \leq X \text{ and } \epsilon_{r, s, t, \delta} = +1\}}{\#\{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{(p)} : \delta \leq X\}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$  

In §4 we bound the Selmer groups $\text{Sel} \left( J_{r, s, t; \delta/K}, \Pi \right)$. The Selmer group $\text{Sel}\left( J_{r, s, t; \delta/K}, \Pi \right)$ consists of 1-cocycles in $H^1(K, J_{r, s, t; \delta}[\Pi])$ which lie in the image of the local Kummer maps

$$\kappa_V : J_{r, s, t; \delta}(K_V)/\Pi J_{r, s, t; \delta}(K_V) \hookrightarrow H^1(K_V, J_{r, s, t; \delta}[\Pi])$$

for each place $V$ of $K$. In order to bound the Selmer gorups, we compute the images of the local Kummer maps via Weil’s point of view of the Kummer maps. This computation of local Kummer images is relatively easy to carry out for the places not above $p$ (Theorem 4.4). But for the place above $p$, it is complicated and we follow the arguments in Faddeev [Fad61a] with appropriate modifications (Theorem 4.6).

Suppose that each prime ideal of $K$ dividing $\delta$ is a principal ideal. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_K \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} \right]$. Then $R^\times$ is the groups of $p\delta$-units in $K$. By (4.6), we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Ker}(\alpha) \to \text{Sel} \left( J_{r, s, t; \delta/K}, \Pi \right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Cl}(K)[p],$$

where

$$\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \{ x \in R^\times / R^{xp} : x_V \in \text{Im}(\delta_V) \text{ for all } V \}.$$  

Our investigation of the Selmer groups relies heavily on the $\mathbb{F}_p$-space $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$.  
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Let \( i(p) \) denote the irregularity of \( p \), i.e. the number of Bernoulli numbers \( B_{2k} \), \( 2 \leq 2k \leq p - 1 \), such that \( \text{ord}_p(B_{2k}) > 0 \). Note we have explicitly computed the local Kummer images \( \text{Im}(\delta_V) \). A similar investigation of the space \( \text{Ker}(\alpha) \) as in McCallum [McC92] enables us to bound the Selmer groups using the number \( k(\delta) \) of distinct prime ideals of \( K \) dividing \( \delta \), the irregularity \( i(p) \) and the class group \( \text{Cl}(K)[p] \) (Theorem 4.7) as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose \( p \geq 5 \) and \((r, s, t)\) is a triple of integers with \( r, s, t > 0 \) and \( r + s + t = p \), and \( \delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times_p} \) is an integer such that \( p \nmid \delta \) and that each prime ideal of \( K \) dividing \( \delta \) is principal. Let \( k(\delta) \) be the number of distinct prime ideals of \( K \) dividing \( \delta \). Then

\[
\dim_{F_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}, \Pi \right) \leq k(\delta) + \frac{p-3}{4} + i(p) + \dim_{F_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p].
\]

By Ribet’s converse to Herbrand’s theorem [Rib76],

\[
i(p) \leq \dim_{F_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p].
\]

Therefore, we have

**Corollary 1.4.** Let the notations be as in Theorem 1.3. Then

\[
\dim_{F_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}, \Pi \right) \leq k(\delta) + \frac{p-3}{4} + 2 \dim_{F_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p].
\]

If the prime \( p \) satisfies the Vandiver’s conjecture, i.e. \( \text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0 \), then the \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})] \)-module structure of the quotient \( R^\times / R^{\times_p} \) can be explicitly determined by Proposition 4.9. If each rational prime factor of \( \delta \) is inert in \( K \), the explicit structure of \( R^\times / R^{\times_p} \), in turn, can be used to determine the explicit structure of the space \( \text{Ker}(\alpha) \) (Proposition 4.10), and hence, give a sharp bound of the Selmer groups.

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose \( p \geq 5 \) satisfies \( \text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0 \). Suppose the triple \((r, s, t) = (r, 1, p - r - 1)\) with \( 1 \leq r \leq p - 2 \) and \( \delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times_p} \) is an integer such that \( p \nmid \delta \) and that each rational prime factor of \( \delta \) is inert in \( K \). Let \( k(\delta) \) be the number of distinct rational primes dividing \( \delta \). Then

\[
\dim_{F_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}, \Pi \right) \leq \dim_{F_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p] + k(\delta) + \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 3 + 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4; \\
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 5 - 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4;
\end{cases}
\]

where we accept the convention that \( \left( \frac{a}{p} \right) = -1 \) and

\[
B = p^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{r^p \delta^{r+1}}{(r+1)^{r+1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{2r \delta^2}{(r+1)^3}.
\]

**Remark 1.1.** We recall that the Vandiver’s conjecture asserts that \( \text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0 \) for all odd primes \( p \). The Vandiver’s conjecture is probably true for all odd primes. It has been verified numerically for all the first primes to a large number [HHO16, Gra18]. The probabilistic heuristic in [Was97, p158-159] gives the number of exceptions of Vandiver’s conjecture less than \( x \) is \( \log \log(x) \). The probabilistic heuristic in [Gra18] leads to that the probability of a counterexample for a prime \( p \) is \( \frac{O(1)}{p^2} \).
Moreover, if the prime $p$ is regular, i.e. $\text{Cl}(K)[p] = 0$, then, by the exact sequence (1.2), the Selmer groups are exactly the spaces $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$, and the structure of the Selmer groups can be explicitly determined.

**Theorem 1.6.** Suppose $p \geq 5$ is regular, i.e. $\text{Cl}(K)[p] = 0$, Suppose the triple $(r, s, t) = (r, 1, p - r - 1)$ with $1 \leq r \leq p - 2$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times p$ is an integer such that $p \nmid \delta$ and that each rational prime factor of $\delta$ is inert in $K$. Let $k(\delta)$ be the number of distinct rational primes dividing $\delta$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel}(J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}, \Pi) = k(\delta) + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \left( p - 3 + 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4; \\ \frac{1}{4} \left( p - 5 - 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4. \end{cases}$$

This is the dimension part of Theorem 4.12 where the explicit structure of the Selmer groups $\text{Sel}(J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}, \Pi)$ are given in terms of generators of $R^x / R^x p$. These dimension formulae are compatible with those in [GR78, Proposition 4.1] for the case $\delta = 1$ as expected (although there is a sign error in the second formula of [GR78, Proposition 4.1]).

In the final section, we note that under the condition of Theorem 1.1, the explicit structures of the Selmer group $\text{Sel}(J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}, \Pi)$ are determined. Comparing the dimension formulae of Selmer groups in Theorem 1.6 with the formulae for root numbers in Theorem 3.13 and noting Corollary 4.13 that $S_{r,s,t;\delta} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel}(J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}, \Pi) - 1$, it is straight-forward to verify the parity conjecture Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Professor Georges Gras, Benedict Gross for helpful communications. Professor Georges Gras pointed the author to the Vandiver’s conjecture and kindly send his article [Gra18] on this subject, and his communication brought the author to improve the bound of the Selmer groups under the Vandiver’s conjecture.

2. The Jacobian Varieties of Fermat Curves

### 2.1. Jacobi sums and algebraic Hecke characters.

The readers can refer to [Wei52, CM88] for the basics of the Jacobi sums. Let $r, s, t > 0$ be integers satisfying $r + s + t = p$. Let $V$ be any finite place of $K$ not dividing $p$. The $p$-th power residue character $\chi_V : (\mathcal{O}_K / V)^\times \to \mu_p$ modulo $V$ is given by

$$\chi_V(x) \equiv x^{q_V - 1} \mod V.$$

The Jacobi sum

$$j_{r,s,t}(V) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_K / V \atop x \neq 0, 1} \chi_V(x)^r \chi_V(1 - x)^s$$

is an integer in $\mathcal{O}_K$. The set

$$\Phi_{r,s,t} = \left\{ h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \mid \left\langle \frac{hr}{6} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{hs}{p} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{ht}{p} \right\rangle = 1 \right\}$$
can be identified with the CM-type of \( J_{r,s,t;1} \) through the Artin isomorphism
\[
\sigma : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}), \quad \sigma_h(\omega) = \omega^h.
\]
If we put
\[
\Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1} = \{ h^{-1} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times | h \in \Phi_{r,s,t} \},
\]
then the CM type \((K, \Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1})\) is the reflex of the CM type \((K, \Phi_{r,s,t})\). The Stickelberger relation holds
\[
(j_{r,s,t}(V)) = \prod_{h \in \Phi_{r,s,t}} V_{\sigma_h^{-1}},
\]
and it follows that \(j_{r,s,t}(V)\) has absolute value \(q_V^{1/2}\) for any complex embedding of \(K\) in \(\mathbb{C}\).

We extend the definition of \(j_{r,s,t}(V)\) to all ideals prime to \(p\) in \(K\) by the condition
\[
j_{r,s,t}(ab) = j_{r,s,t}(a)j_{r,s,t}(b).
\]
Weil proved in [Wei52] that the resulting function \(j_{r,s,t}(a)\) is indeed an algebraic Hecke character on \(K\) with values in \(K\) of conductor dividing \(\Pi^2\) and infinity type \(\Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1}\), i.e., for any \(x \in K^\times\) such that \(x \equiv 1 \mod \Pi^2\),
\[
j_{r,s,t}((x)) = x^{\Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1}} = \prod_{\sigma \in \Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1}} x^\sigma.
\]
Here \(\Pi = \omega - \overline{\omega}\) is a primitive element of \(K\) at the place above \(p\). The infinity type
\[
\Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1} : K^\times \rightarrow K^\times, \quad x \mapsto \prod_{\sigma \in \Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1}} x^\sigma,
\]
induces a homomorphism, by linearity, on idèles:
\[
\Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1} : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^\times.
\]
Let \(\tau_0 \in \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C})\) be a fixed element and we identify \(K\) as a subfield of \(\mathbb{C}\) via \(\tau_0\). Let
\[
\Phi_{r,s,t;\tau_0}^{-1} : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]
be the composition of \(\Phi_{r,s,t}^{-1}\) with the projection through \(\tau_0\). It is well known [Wei52] that \(\phi_{r,s,t} = j_{r,s,t} \cdot (\Phi_{r,s,t;\tau_0}^{-1})^{-1} : K^\times \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\) is the Hecke character associated to the CM abelian variety \(J_{r,s,t;1/K}\) in the sense of [Shi96, Proposition 19.9]. Generally, if \(\delta \in K^\times/K^\times_p\), then according to Weil [Wei52] the abelian variety \(J_{r,s,t;\delta/K}\) also has CM type \((K, \Phi_{r,s,t})\) and the associated Hecke character is \(\phi_{r,s,t;\delta} = \chi_{\delta}^{r+s} j_{r,s,t} \cdot (\Phi_{r,s,t;\tau_0}^{-1})^{-1}\) where \(\chi_{\delta}\) is the character on ideals prime to \(\delta\) such that \(\chi_{\delta}(V) = \chi_V(\delta)\).

It follows from the Stickelberger relation (2.1) that the Hecke characters
\[
\phi_{r,s,t;\delta} = (\chi_{\delta}^{r+s} j_{r,s,t} \cdot (\Phi_{r,s,t;\tau_0}^{-1}))_{\tau_0} |_{\mathbb{A}_K^\times} : K^\times \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times
\]
are all unitary.
2.2. The automorphic representations and L-functions. Fix the triple \((r, s, t)\) with \(r, s, t > 0\) and \(r + s + t = p\). Suppose \(\delta \in F^\times /F^\times p\). Then both \(C_{r,s,t;\delta}\) and \(J_{r,s,t;\delta}\) are defined over \(F\). Let \(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}\) be the irreducible automorphic representation associated to \(J_{r,s,t;\delta}\) by the Eichler-Shimura theory. Explicitly \(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}\) is the representation on \(GL_2(A)\) locally constructed from \(\phi_{r,s,t;\delta}\) using Weil representation. The L-function \(L(s, \pi_{r,s,t;\delta})\) is entire and satisfies a functional equation

\[
L(s, \pi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = \epsilon(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}) L(1 - s, \pi_{r,s,t;\delta}),
\]

where the epsilon factor \(\epsilon(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = \pm 1\). By [JL70, Theorem 4.7], we know

\[
L(s, \pi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = L(s, \phi_{r,s,t;\delta}).
\]

Furthermore, suppose \(\delta \in Q^\times /Q^\times p\) is an integer. The curve

\[
C_{r,s,t;\delta} : y^p = x^r (\delta - x)^s
\]

is defined over \(Q\) and has good reduction at all rational primes \(\ell \nmid p\delta\). Let

\[
Z(C_{r,s,t;\delta}/F, T) = \frac{P_{\ell}(T)}{(1 - T)(1 - \ell T)}
\]

be the Zeta function of the reduced curve \(C_{r,s,t;\delta}/F\). Then \(P_{\ell}(T)\) is a polynomial with integral coefficients of degree \(2g = p - 1\).

The Jacobian variety \(J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\) has a global L-function

\[
L(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q) = \prod_{\ell \nmid p\delta} P_{\ell}(\ell^{-s}).
\]

This Euler product converges for \(\text{Re}(s) > 3/2\). Weil [Wei52] proved in this region

\[
L(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q) = L(\infty)(s - 1/2, \phi_{r,s,t;\delta}),
\]

where \(\phi_{r,s,t;\delta} = \chi_{\delta}^{r+s}\phi_{r,s,t}\) is the Hecke character associated to the CM abelian variety \(J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K\) in the sense of [Shi96, Proposition 19.9] (see §1.1) and \(L(\infty)\) means the L-function with the infinite factors removed. Therefore

\[
L\left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\right) = L^{\infty}\left(s - 1/2, \phi_{r,s,t;\delta}\right) = L^{\infty}\left(s - 1/2, \pi_{r,s,t;\delta}\right).
\]

Set the complete L-function as

\[
\Lambda\left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\right) = N_{r,s,t;\delta}^{s/2}((2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s))^{(p-1)/2}L\left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\right),
\]

where \(N_{r,s,t;\delta}\) is the conductor of the curve \(C_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\). Then \(\Lambda\left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\right)\) satisfies Hecke’s functional equation

\[
\Lambda\left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\right) = \epsilon(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta})\Lambda\left(2 - s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q\right).
\]

It follows immediately that

\[
\epsilon(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = (-1)^{\text{ord}_s \Lambda(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q)}.
\]

By the theorem of Mordell-Weil,

\[
J_{r,s,t;\delta}(Q) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{R_{r,s,t;\delta}} \times J_{r,s,t;\delta}(Q)_{\text{tor}},
\]

where

\[
R_{r,s,t;\delta} = \text{ord}_s \Lambda(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/Q).
\]
where $R_{r,s,t,\delta} \geq 0$ is an integer. Then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture predicts that the sign of the epsilon factor $\epsilon(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta})$ is compatible with the parity of the Mordell-Weil rank $R_{r,s,t,\delta}$, and we will discuss this issue in the final section.

2.3. $p$-torsion points. We determine the $p$-torsion points of the Jacobian varieties of Fermat curves over the cyclotomic field $K$ following the strategy presented in [Gre80]. The field extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is totally ramified at $p$. Put

$$\Pi = \omega - \omega.$$ 

Then the ideal $\mathfrak{P} = (\Pi)$ is the unique prime ideal of $K$ above $p$.

Suppose $\delta \in K^\times/K^{\times p}$. For fixed $r, s, t$, we simply write $C_\delta$ for the curve $C_{r,s,t,\delta}$:

$$y^p = x^r(\delta - x)^s,$$

and $J_\delta$ for the Jacobian variety $J_{r,s,t,\delta}$. Consider the natural projection morphism of $K$-curves

$$\text{pr} : C_\delta \to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (x_0, y_0) \mapsto x_0,$$

which is associated to the natural embedding $K(x) \hookrightarrow K(x, y)$. Denote by $p_0 = (0, 1), \ p_\delta = (\delta, 1)$ and $p_\infty = (1, 0)$ the points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to the primes

$$(x), \ (\delta - x) \text{ and } (1/x)$$

of $K(x)$ respectively. The morphism $\text{pr}$ is totally ramified at these points $p_0, p_1$ and $p_\infty$. The corresponding fibers on $C_\delta$ are

$$P_0 = (0, 0, 1), \ P_\delta = (\delta, 0, 1) \text{ and } P_\infty = (1, 0, 0)$$

respectively, which correspond to the primes

$$(x, y), \ (\delta - x, y) \text{ and } (1/x, y)$$

of $K(x, y)$ respectively. We also denote by $p_0, p_\delta, p_\infty, P_0, P_\delta, P_\infty$, the corresponding prime ideals.

The rational divisor

$$D_0 = P_0 - P_\infty$$

is not principal and satisfies

$$\text{div}(x) = pD_0.$$ 

For any field $L \supset K$, denote by $\text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)$ the group of $L$-rational divisor of degree zero on the curve $C_\delta$. Then the Mordell-Weil group $J_\delta(L)$ is identified with the quotient group of $\text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)$ by the principal divisors. Denote by $[D]$ the divisor class of $D$. So the divisor class $[D_0]$ gives rise to a $p$-torsion point in $J_\delta(K)$. It is clear from the complex multiplication that $[D_0] \in J_\delta[\Pi]$. Since $J_\delta$ has complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K$, we have

$$J_\delta[\Pi'] = \mathcal{O}_K/(\Pi').$$

In particular,

$$J_\delta[\Pi] = \langle [D_0] \rangle.$$ 

The Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(K(x, y)/K(x))$ is generated by the $K(x)$-automorphism

$$\iota : K(x, y) \to K(x, y), \quad \iota(y) = \omega y.$$
Then \( \iota \) gives the automorphism \( \tau_2 \) on \( C_\delta \) and then \( G \) acts on the group of divisors on \( C_\delta \). Denote

\[
N = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \iota^i \quad \text{and} \quad D = 1 - \iota.
\]

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( L \) be a finite extension of \( K \). Then

\[
H^1(G, \text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( G \) is a cyclic group of order \( p \), we have

\[
H^1(G, \text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)) = \text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)[N]/\text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)).
\]

In order to prove the proposition, we shall prove that if \( D \) is an \( L \)-rational divisor with norm zero, i.e. \( N(D) = 0 \), then \( D \in \text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)) \).

Let \( D \) be an \( L \)-rational divisor with norm zero, i.e. \( N(D) = 0 \). Suppose

\[
D = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{P}^1} D_s
\]

where \( D_s \) is a divisor supported on the fiber \( \text{pr}^{-1}(s) \). Then each \( D_s \) has norm zero and hence degree zero. Therefore, we may assume that \( D = D_s \) is supported on a single fibre \( \text{pr}^{-1}(s) \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{P}^1(L) \). Note \( G \) acts transitively on the fiber \( \text{pr}^{-1}(s) \). Suppose \( S_0 \in \text{pr}^{-1}(s) \). Then

\[
D = \sum_{S_i \in \text{pr}^{-1}(s)} a_i S_i = \sum a_i (S_i - S_0) = \sum a_i (\iota^i - 1) S_0 = (1 - \iota) D_1
\]

where \( \sum a_i = 0 \) and \( S_i = \iota^i (S_0) \). Next we claim \( D_1 \) is \( L \)-rational. Since \( D \) is \( L \)-rational, for any \( \sigma \in G_L \),

\[
(1 - \iota)(\sigma(D_1) - D_1) = 0.
\]

Since the only fixed points of \( \iota \) on \( C_\delta \) are \( P_0, P_\delta \) and \( P_\infty \), there exist integers \( l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( l + m + n = 0 \) such that

\[
\sigma(D_1) - D_1 = lP_0 + mP_\delta + nP_\infty.
\]

Suppose

\[
D_1 = rP_0 + sP_\delta + tP_\infty + D_2
\]

where \( D_2 \) is not supported on \( P_1, P_\delta, P_\infty \). Then

\[
\sigma(D_1) - D_1 = \sigma(D_2) - D_2 = lP_0 + mP_\delta + nP_\infty.
\]

We conclude that \( l = m = n = 0 \). Thus \( D_1 \) is \( L \)-rational and \( D \in \text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)) \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.2.** If \( \delta \in K^{\times p} \), then \( J_{r,s,t,\delta}(K)_{\text{tor}} \) contains \( J_{r,s,t,\delta}[\mathbb{P}^2] \). Otherwise, the \( \Pi \)-primary component of \( J_{r,s,t,\delta}(K)_{\text{tor}} \) is \( J_{r,s,t,\delta}[\Pi] \).

**Proof.** It suffices to determine whether there is a divisor class \( c_1 \) such that

\[
(\iota - 1)c_1 = [D_0], \quad D_0 = P_0 - P_\infty.
\]

Such a divisor class \( c_1 \) exists if and only if there exists \( z \in K(x,y) \) such that \( x/\delta = N(z) \). By Proposition 2.1, the existence of \( c_1 \) amounts to the existence of a divisor \( D'_0 \in [D_0] \) such that \( N(D'_0) = 0 \). If \( N(z) = x/\delta \) and take \( D'_0 = D_0 - \text{div}(z) \), then

\[
N(D'_0) = N(D_0) - \text{div}(x) = 0.
\]
Conversely, if such a $D'_0$ exists, then there exists $z' \in K(x, y)$ with $N(z') = ax$ with $a \in K^\times$. By considering the residue classes mod $P_\delta$, we see $a\delta = b^p$ for some $b \in K^\times$ and $z = z'/b$ has the required property.

Now by [Gre80, Lemma 1], $x/\delta$ is a norm for the extension $K(x, y)/K(x)$ if and only if $x^r(\delta - x)^s$ is a norm for the extension $K(\sqrt{x/\delta})/K(x)$. Note both $x/\delta$ and $1 - x/\delta$ are norms for the extension $K(\sqrt{x/\delta})/K(x)$. Hence

$$\delta^{-p}x^r(\delta - x) = \delta^{r+s-p}(x/\delta)^r(1 - x/\delta)^s$$

is a norm if and only if $\delta$ is a norm, i.e. $\delta \in K^{xp}$.

\[\square\]

Remark 2.1. If $\delta = 1$ and $p \geq 5$, Greenberg [Gre80] even proves $J_{r,s,t;1}[\Pi^3] \subset J_{r,s,t;1}(K)_{\text{tor}}$.

3. Root Numbers

Suppose $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^{xp}$ is an integer. Fix the triple $(r, s, t)$ of positive integers satisfying $r + s + t = p$. Simply denote $J_\delta = J_{r,s,t;\delta}$, and denote $\phi = \phi_{r,s,t}$ and $\phi_\delta = \phi_{r,s,t;\delta} = \phi_{\chi^r+s}$ the Hecke characters associated to $J$ and $J_\delta$. We shall compute the local root numbers of the Hecke character $\phi_\delta$.

3.1. Local root numbers. We shall recall several formulae for the local root numbers. The basic references to this subject are [Tat68, JL70, Del73, Tat79]. Let $L$ be a nonarchimedean local field. Fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi$ of $L$, and denote by $dx$ the self-dual Haar measure on $L$ with respect to $\psi$. Let $\chi$ be a unitary character of $F^\times$. The local epsilon factor $\epsilon(\chi, \psi) = \epsilon(\chi, \psi, dx)$ associated to $\chi$ with respect to $\psi$ is given by [Del73, (3.3.1)], and define

$$\epsilon(s, \chi, \psi) = \epsilon(\chi |^s, \psi).$$

The local root number associated to $\chi$ with respect to $\psi$ is

$$\frac{\epsilon(\chi, \psi)}{\epsilon(\chi, \psi)} = \epsilon(1/2, \chi, \psi).$$

If $L$ is archimedean, the formulae for the local epsilon factors can be found in [Del73, (3.4.1)-(3.4.2)] or [JL70, p. 97]. Now suppose $L$ is nonarchimedean with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$, and a uniformizer $\varpi$. Define the conductors:

- $n(\psi) =$ the largest integer $n$ such that $\psi|_{\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}} = 1$;
- $c(\chi) =$ the smallest positive integer $m$ such that $\chi|_{1 + \varpi^m\mathcal{O}} = 1$ if $\chi$ is ramified, and $0$ if $\chi$ is unramified.

We also may refer to $\varpi^{-n}\mathcal{O}$ resp. $\varpi^m\mathcal{O}$ as the conductor of $\psi$ resp. $\chi$.

If $\chi$ is unramified, then

$$\epsilon(s, \chi, \psi) = \chi(\varpi^{n(\psi)})|\varpi|^{n(\psi)(s-\frac{1}{2})}.$$  

So if $n(\psi) = 0$, then the root number

$$\epsilon(1/2, \chi, \psi) = 1.$$  

If $\chi$ is ramified, then from [Del73, (3.4.3.2)] we have

$$\epsilon(s, \chi, \psi) = \int_{\varpi^{-n(\psi)+c(\chi)}\mathcal{O}^\times} \chi^{-1}(x)|x|^{-s}\psi(x)dx.$$
Suppose $M$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, and let $L$ be a separable quadratic extension of $M$. This determines uniquely an element $\Delta$ in $L^\times$ modulo $M^\times$ such that

$$L = M[\Delta], \quad \Delta^2 \in M^\times.$$ 

Let $\psi_\ell : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the additive character given by

$$\psi_\ell(x) = e^{2\pi i \iota(x)},$$

where $\iota : \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the natural embedding. Then define $\psi_L : L \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\psi_L(x) = \psi_\ell(\text{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(x)).$$

**Proposition 3.1** (Fröhlich-Queyrut [FQ73, Theorem 3]). Let $L$ be a separable quadratic extension of $M$, with $\Delta$ satisfying (3.3), and let $\chi$ be a character of $L^\times$ so that $\chi|_{M^\times} = 1$. Then

$$\epsilon(1/2, \chi, \psi_L) = \chi(\Delta).$$

### 3.2. Kummer extensions

The field extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is totally ramified at $p$. Put

$$\Pi = \omega - \overline{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \Pi^2 = \omega^2 + \overline{\omega}^2 - 2.$$ 

Then the ideal $\mathfrak{P} = (\Pi)$ resp. $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$ is the unique prime ideal of $K$ resp. $F$ above $p$.

Note under the class field theory, the character $\chi_\delta$ can be viewed as a character on the Galois group $\chi_\delta : G_K \to \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ which is characterized by $\chi_\delta(\sigma) = (\sqrt{\delta})^{\sigma - 1}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $\mathfrak{D}_0$ be the product of all distinct prime factors of $(\delta)$ in $K$ not dividing $p$. Then

$$\chi_\delta|_{\mathfrak{A}_K^\times} = 1,$$

and the conductor $c(\chi_\delta)$ divides $\mathfrak{D}_0^{p+1}\mathfrak{D}_0$.

**Proof.** Denote $L = K(\sqrt[p]{\delta})$. We first note that the extension $L/K$ is unramified outside the places dividing $p\delta$. Under the Artin map, we have the isomorphism

$$\sigma : K^\times N_{L/K}(\mathfrak{A}_L^\times) \backslash \mathfrak{A}_K^\times \simeq \text{Gal}(L/K).$$

Let $c \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ be the non-trivial element. Then for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, we have

$$\sigma c \sigma^{-1} = \sigma_{c_\mathfrak{v}} = \sigma_{c_\mathfrak{v}}^{-1}.$$ 

Let $v$ be a finite place of $F$. For any $t \in K_v^\times$,

$$\sigma_{N(t)} = \sigma_t \sigma_{c_\mathfrak{v}}$$

acts trivially on $\sqrt[p]{\delta}$, i.e. $\chi_\delta|_{N(K_v^\times)} = 1$. If $v$ is split in $K$, then $N(K_v^\times) = F_v^\times$. If $v$ is inert in $K$, then by class-field theory, $N(K_v^\times)$ is a subgroup of $F_v^\times$ of index 2. Since $\chi_\delta$ is a character of order $p$, in both cases, $\chi_\delta$ is trivial when restricted on $F_v^\times$. By noting that $\chi_\delta$ has trivial local factors at infinite places, we have $\chi_\delta|_{\mathfrak{A}_\infty} = 1$.

For any $V \neq \Pi$ dividing $\delta$ and $t \in (1 + \mathfrak{D}_0\mathcal{O}_{K,v})$,

$$\chi_\delta(t) = \frac{t, \delta}{K^*_V; p} \equiv t^{-\text{ord}_V(\delta)(q_V - 1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{D}_0\mathcal{O}_{K,V},$$

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{K^*_V}\right)$ denotes the $p$-th Hilbert symbol over $K_V$. 


For any \( t = 1 + x \in K^\times_\Pi \) with \( \text{ord}_\Pi(x) > p \), it is easy to see that the binomial expansion

\[
(1 + x)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)^i x^i
\]

converges, and hence \( t \) is a \( p \)-th power in \( K^\times_\Pi \). Then

\[
\chi_\delta(t) = \left( \frac{t, \delta}{K_\Pi; p} \right) = \left( \frac{\delta, t}{K_\Pi; p} \right)^{-1} = 1.
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( \ell \neq p \) be a rational prime number. Let \( V \mid \ell \) be a place of \( K \). Then \( V \) is fixed by the complex conjugation, or equivalently, \( V \) is inert in the extension \( K/F \), if and only if \( \ell \) has even order in \( F^\times \). In particular, the places of \( F \) above \( \ell \) have the same inertia degree in the quadratic extension \( K/F \).

**Proof.** We identify the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(K/Q) \) with \( (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \) by the Artin reciprocity map, via which the complex conjugation is identified with \( -1 \). Let \( D_V \) be the decomposition group of \( V \) with respect to the extension \( K/Q \). Since \( V \) is unramified,

\[
D_V \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^V}/\mathbb{F}_\ell).
\]

Then \( V \) is fixed by the complex conjugation if and only if \( D_V \) contains \( -1 \). This is equivalent to that the inertia degree \( [q^V : \ell] \) is even. It is well-known that the inertia degree \( [q^V : \ell] \) is the order of \( \ell \) in \( F^\times \).

Since \( K/Q \) is abelian, if any \( D_V \) contains \( -1 \), so do the others, and the last assertion follows. \[\square\]

We write, in \( \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \), that

\[
\delta = \epsilon p^a(1 - p)^b
\]

with \( \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \), \( a \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\} \), and \( b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Note such \( a \) and \( b \) are uniquely determined by

\[
a = \text{ord}_p(\delta), \quad b = \frac{\log(\delta)}{\log(1 - p)},
\]

where \( \log \) denotes the \( p \)-adic Iwasawa logarithm (i.e. \( \log(p) = 0 \)). If \( a = 0 \), we also have

\[
\text{ord}_p(b) = \text{ord}_p\left( \frac{\delta^{p-1} - 1}{p} \right).
\]

Put

\[(3.5) \quad u(\delta) = \min(\text{ord}_p(a), \text{ord}_p(b) + 1). \]

We note that \( u(\delta) = \text{ord}_p(b) + 1 \) if \( a = 0 \), and \( u(\delta) = 0 \) otherwise.

**Proposition 3.4.** The conductor of the Hecke character \( \chi_\delta \) is given as

\[
c(\chi_\delta) = \begin{cases} 
\mathfrak{q}^{p+1} \mathcal{D}_0, & \text{if } u(\delta) = 0; \\
\mathfrak{q}^2 \mathcal{D}_0, & \text{if } u(\delta) = 1; \\
\mathcal{D}_0, & \text{if } u(\delta) \geq 2;
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 3.2 and [CM88, Theorem 6.1] or [Sha01, Theorem 8]. \[\square\]
Put
\[ \Pi' = 1 - \omega. \]
We have
\[ \Pi = -2\Pi' + \Pi \Pi', \quad \omega = 1 - \Pi', \]
and note
(3.6) \[ K = F[\Pi], \quad \Pi^2 \in F^\times. \]
For any place \( V \) of \( K \), denote by \( \chi_{\delta,V} \) the \( V \)-adic component of \( \chi \). By Proposition 3.4, if \( u(\delta) \geq 2 \), then the local character \( \chi_{\delta,\Pi} \) is unramified.

**Proposition 3.5.** 1. Suppose \( u(\delta) = 1 \). The local character \( \chi_{\delta,\Pi} \) has conductor \( (\Pi^2) \), and
\[ \chi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi) = \omega^2 \left( \frac{d(1 + \Pi)}{d\Pi'} \log(1 - p) \right). \]
2. Suppose \( u(\delta) = 0 \). The local character \( \chi_{\delta,\Pi} \) has conductor \( (\Pi p + 1) \), and
\[ \chi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi p) = \omega^{\text{ord}_p(\delta)}. \]

**Proof.** First suppose \( u(\delta) = 1 \). In this case, \( a = 0 \) and \( \chi_{\delta,\Pi} \) has conductor \( (\Pi^2) \). Since \( \epsilon \) is a \( p \)-th power in \( K_{\Pi}^\times \), we have
\[ \chi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi) = \left( \frac{1 + \Pi}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right) = \left( \frac{1 + \Pi, (1 - p)^b}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right). \]
By an explicit reciprocity formula of Artin-Hasse-Iwaswa [Iwa68], we have
\[ \left( \frac{1 + \Pi, (1 - p)^b}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right) = \omega^{[1 + \Pi, (1 - p)^b]} \]
where \[ [1 + \Pi, (1 - p)^b] = -\frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/Q_p} \left( \frac{\omega}{1 + \Pi} \frac{d(1 + \Pi)}{d\Pi'} \log(1 - p)^b \right). \]
Note
\[ \log(1 - p)^b = -bp \left( 1 + \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{p^3}{3} + \cdots \right). \]
And
\[ \frac{\omega}{1 + \Pi} \frac{d(1 + \Pi)}{d\Pi'} = \frac{1 - \Pi'}{1 - 2\Pi' + \Pi \Pi'} \cdot \frac{d(1 - 2\Pi' + \Pi \Pi')}{d\Pi'} \equiv -2 \mod \Pi'. \]
Hence
\[ -\frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/Q_p} \left( \frac{\omega}{1 + \Pi} \frac{d(1 + \Pi)}{d\Pi'} \log(1 - p)^b \right) \equiv -\text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/Q_p}(2b) \equiv 2b \mod p. \]
On the other hand,
\[ \delta^{p-1} = (\epsilon(1 - p)^b)^{p-1} \equiv 1 + bp \mod p^2. \]
So
\[ -\frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/Q_p} \left( \frac{\omega}{1 + \Pi} \frac{d(1 + \Pi)}{d\Pi'} \log(1 - p)^b \right) \equiv 2 \left( \frac{\delta^{p-1} - 1}{p} \right) \mod p. \]
The first assertion follows. 
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Next suppose \( u(\delta) = 0 \). In this case, \( a \neq 0 \), and the local character \( \chi_{\delta,\Pi} \) has conductor \((\Pi^{p+1})\). We have

\[
\chi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi^p) = \left( \frac{1 + \Pi^p, p^a(1 - p)^b}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right).
\]

By Proposition 3.4, the local character \( \chi_{(1-p)^a,\Pi} \) has conductor dividing \((\Pi^2)\). Hence

\[
\chi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi^p) = \left( \frac{1 + \Pi^p, p^a}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right).
\]

Since

\[
1 + \Pi^p = 1 + (-2\Pi' + \Pi\Pi')^p \equiv 1 + (-2)^p\Pi' \equiv (1 + \Pi')^{-2} \mod \Pi^{p+1},
\]

\[
\chi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi^p) = \left( \frac{1 + \Pi^p, p}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right)^{-2a}.
\]

It suffices to compute

\[
\left( \frac{1 + \Pi^p, p}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right),
\]

and we will apply Coleman’s explicit reciprocity law [Col81, Theorem 1] and [CM88, p. 89] to do this calculation. Let \( g_1(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \) be as in p. 90 of [CM88]:

\[
g_1(T) = \prod_{a=1}^{p-1} [a](T),
\]

where \([a](T) = 1 - (1 - T)^a\) be the \( a \)-th power homomorphism of the multiplicative formal group \( \widehat{G}_m \) with group law \( \widehat{G}_m(X,Y) = X + Y - XY \). Then

\[
g_1(\Pi') = p.
\]

Define

\[
\Lambda : 1 + T\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \longrightarrow T\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]], \quad \Lambda(f) = \log(f) - \frac{1}{p}\log(f([p])).
\]

By Coleman’s explicit reciprocity law

\[
\left( \frac{1 + \Pi^p, p}{K_{\Pi}; p} \right) = \omega^{-n},
\]

where

\[
n = \int_1 \Lambda(1 + T^p) \frac{Dg_1}{g_1},
\]

and we note the Hilbert symbol is the inverse of that in Coleman’s paper [CM88, p. 43]. It is straight-forward to verify

\[
\Lambda(1 + T^p) \equiv (1 - p^{p-1})T^p \mod T^{p+1}\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]].
\]

Since \( \frac{Dg_1}{g_1} \) has at most a single pole at \( T = 0 \), we obtain

\[
n = \frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/\mathbb{Q}_p} \left( \left( \Lambda(1 + T^p) \frac{Dg_1}{g_1} \right) \bigg|_{T=\Pi'} \right).
\]

Since \( \chi_{p,\Pi} \) has conductor \( p + 1 \), by [CM88, Theorem 6.3], we have

\[
n \equiv \frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/\mathbb{Q}_p} \left( \left( (1 - p^{p-1})T^p \frac{Dg_1}{g_1} \right) \bigg|_{T=\Pi'} \right) \equiv \frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/\mathbb{Q}_p} \left( \left( T^p \frac{Dg_1}{g_1} \right) \bigg|_{T=\Pi'} \right) \mod p.
\]
It follows from [CM88, Lemma 6.5] that (There is a sign error in this lemma: indeed, the expression for $D[a]/[a]$ in [CM88, p. 91] has a sign error.)

$$n \equiv \frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/Q_p}(\Pi^{p-1}) \mod p.$$  

On the other hand,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tr}_{K_{\Pi}/Q_p}(\Pi^{p-1}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (1 - \omega^j)^{p-1} \\
&= p - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{i} (-1)^{j}(\omega^{i} + \omega^{2i} + \cdots + \omega^{(p-1)i}) \\
&= p - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{i} (-1)^{i+1} \\
&= p - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{i} (-1)^{i} = p.
\end{align*}
\]

So

$$n \equiv 1 \mod p,$$

and hence

$$\chi_{\delta, \Pi}(1 + \Pi^p) = \omega^{2a}.$$

\[\square\]

For any prime $\ell$, denote

$$\chi_{\delta, \ell} = \prod_{V \mid \ell} \chi_{\delta, V}$$

where $V$ runs through the places of $K$ above $\ell$.

**Proposition 3.6.** For any prime $\ell$, we have $\chi_{\delta, \ell} (\Pi) = 1$.

**Proof.** If $\ell = \infty$, this is clear because the infinite factor $\chi_{\delta, \infty} = 1$. Next we assume $\ell$ is finite. By our choice of $\Pi$, we have $\Pi^2 \in F$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\chi_{\delta, \ell}(\Pi^2) = 1,$$

i.e. $\chi_{\delta, \ell}(\Pi) = \pm 1$.

On the other hand, by definition, we know $\chi_{\delta}(\Pi)$ is a $p$-th root of unity, and we conclude

$$\chi_{\delta, \ell}(\Pi) = 1.$$

\[\square\]

3.3. **Local root numbers outside** $p$. For any finite place $v$ resp. $V$ of $F$ resp. $K$ outside $p$, denote by $\varpi_v$ resp. $\Pi_V$ the corresponding uniformizers of $F_v$ resp. $K_V$.

We fix an additive character $\psi_{K_V}$ of $K_V$ for each place $V$ of $K$ as follows: If $V$ is archimedean, then

$$\psi_{K_V}(x) = e^{-2\pi \text{Tr}_{K_V/Q}(x)};$$

If $V$ is non-archimedean and dividing $\ell$, then

$$\psi_{K_V}(x) = \psi_{\ell} \left( \text{Tr}_{K_V/Q_\ell}(x) \right).$$
where $\psi_\ell$ is as in (3.4). Then the product $\psi = \prod_V \psi_{K_V}$ is an additive character on $K \setminus \mathbb{A}_K$. The L-function $L(s, \phi_\delta)$ satisfies a functional equation

$$L(s, \phi_\delta) = \epsilon(s, \phi_\delta) L(1 - s, \phi_\delta^{-1}).$$

The epsilon-factor admits a local product

$$\epsilon(s, \phi_\delta) = \prod_V \epsilon(s, \phi_\delta, \psi_{K_V}),$$

and the epsilon-factor $\epsilon(s, \phi_\delta)$ is independent of the choices of $\psi_{K_V}$.

Let $V$ be an infinite place of $K$. Then

$$K_V \simeq \mathbb{C}, \quad \phi_{\delta,V}(z) = z^{-1} |z|_\mathbb{C}^{1/2}.$$ 

and, from [Del73, (3.3.3), (3.4.2)] or [JL70, p. 97], we have

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,V}, \psi_{K_V}) = i^{-1}. \tag{3.7}$$

For a place $V \nmid p\delta$ of $K$, $\phi_{\delta,V}$ is unramified, and we have by (3.1) that

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,V}, \psi_{K_V}) = 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $V$ be a place where $\phi_{\delta,V}$ is ramified. Simply denote $c_V = c(\phi_{\delta,V})$ and $n_V = n(\psi_{K_V})$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,V}, \psi_{K_V}) = \phi_{\delta,V}(\Pi_V) = -1. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\phi$ is unramified outside $p$, it follows immediately from the above formula that

**Lemma 3.7.** For any place $V \nmid p$, we have

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,V}, \psi_{K_V}) = \epsilon(1/2, \chi_{\delta,V}, \psi_{K_V}) \phi_{\delta,V}(\Pi_V)^{n_V + c_V}. \tag{3.10}$$

**Proof.** This lemma follows from (3.9) by noting that $\phi_\delta = \phi \chi_\delta^{r+s}$ and that $\phi$ is unramified at the place $V$. \hfill \Box

In order to compute the local root numbers for $\phi_{\delta,V}$ for $V \nmid p$ and $V | \delta$, it suffices to compute $\phi_V(\Pi_V)$ and $\epsilon(1/2, \chi_{\delta,V}, \psi_{K_V})$ for these places. Since our choice of $\Pi$ satisfies (3.3), by Proposition 3.1, for any finite place $V$ of $K$,

$$\epsilon(1/2, \chi_{\delta,V}, \psi_{K_V}) = \chi_{\delta,V}(\Pi) = 1, \tag{3.10}$$

which is given by Proposition 3.6. So it remains to compute the values of $\phi_V(\Pi_V)$ for $V \neq \Pi$.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let $v \neq \pi$ be a finite place of $F$. If $v$ is inert in $K$, let $V$ be the unique place above $v$. Then

$$\phi_V(\Pi_V) = -1.$$ 

If $v$ is split in $K$, let $V$ and $\nabla$ be two distinct places above $v$. Then

$$\phi_V(\Pi_V)\phi_{\nabla}(\Pi_{\nabla}) = +1.$$
Proof. First suppose \( v \) is inert in \( K \). The prime ideal \( V \) of \( K \) is fixed by the complex conjugation. By the Stickelberger relation (2.1) and the fact that \( \Phi_{r,s,t} \) is a CM-type, i.e. a set of coset representatives of \((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \) modulo \( \{\pm 1\} \), \( j_{r,s,t}(V) \) generates the same ideal as \( q_v = q_V^{1/2} \). Hence

\[
j_{r,s,t}(V) = uq_v
\]

for some unit \( u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \). Since \( u \) has absolute value 1 for any complex embedding, it must be a root of unity. Note \( q_v \equiv -1 \mod p \). Then the congruence

\[
j_{r,s,t}(V) \equiv uq_v \mod \Pi^2
\]

forces \( u = -1 \). Then

\[
\phi_V(\Pi_V) = j_{r,s,t}(V) \cdot q_V^{-1/2} = -1.
\]

Next, we suppose \( v \) is split in \( K \). Then by definition we have

\[
\phi_V(\Pi_V) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_K/V, x \neq 0,1} (x^r(1-x)^s) \phi^{-1}_V \mod V,
\]

and

\[
\phi_V(\Pi_V) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_K/V, x \neq 0,1} (x^r(1-x)^s) \phi^{-1}_V \mod \nabla.
\]

Since \( v \) is split in \( K \), we have natural identities

\[
\mathcal{O}/v = \mathcal{O}_K/V = \mathcal{O}_K/\nabla,
\]

and we may take the representatives in \( \mathcal{O} \) for each \( x \). Taking complex conjugation, we have then

\[
\overline{\phi_V(\Pi_V)} = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_K/V, x \neq 0,1} (x^r(1-x)^s) \phi^{-1}_V \mod V.
\]

So we have

\[
\overline{\phi_V(\Pi_V)} = \phi_V(\Pi_V),
\]

or equivalently

\[
\phi_V(\Pi_V)\overline{\phi_V(\Pi_V)} = +1
\]

\[\square\]

Corollary 3.9. Denote

\[
\phi_\ell = \prod_{V|\ell} \phi_V(\Pi_V)
\]

for each prime \( \ell \neq p \). Then

\[
\phi_\ell = \left( \frac{\ell}{p} \right).
\]

Proof. Let \( f \) be the order of \( \ell \) in \( \mathbb{F}_p^\times \). Then \( f \) is the inertia degree of the places of \( K \) above \( \ell \). If \( \left( \frac{\ell}{p} \right) = +1 \), then \((p-1)/f\) is even, i.e. there are even places of \( K \) above \( \ell \). Then it follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.8 that if all the places of \( F \) above \( \ell \) are split in \( K \),

\[
\phi_\ell = (+1)^{(p-1)/2f} = +1,
\]
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and if all the places of $F$ above $\ell$ are inert in $K$,

$$\phi_\ell = (-1)^{(p-1)/f} = +1.$$ 

If $\left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) = -1$, then $(p-1)/f$ is odd. By Lemma 3.3, the places of $K$ above $\ell$ must be inert in the quadratic extension $K/F$. Then in this case we have

$$\phi_\ell = (-1)^{(p-1)/f} = -1.$$  

Recall we simply denote $c_V = c(\phi_{\delta,V})$ and $n_V = n(\psi_{K,V})$ for any place $V$ of $K$.

**Lemma 3.10.**

1. The conductors $n_\Pi = 1$ and $n_V = 0$ for all $V \neq \Pi$.
2. The conductors $c_V = 0$ if $V \nmid p\delta$, $c_V = 1$ if $V \mid \delta$ but $V \neq \Pi$, and

$$c_\Pi = \begin{cases} 
\leq 2, & \text{if } p \nmid \delta; \\
 p + 1, & \text{if } p \mid \delta. 
\end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Recall the relative different $\partial_{K/F}$ is defined to be the integral ideal of $K$ such that

$$\partial_{K/F}^{-1} = \{ x \in K \mid \text{tr}_{K/F}(xy) \in \mathcal{O}_F \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{O}_F \}.$$ 

It follows from the discriminant formula

$$d_K = d_F^2 \cdot N_{K/Q}(\partial_{K/F})$$ 

that $N_{K/Q}(\partial_{K/F}) = (p)$, and hence $\partial_{K/F} = (\Pi)$. By the definition of $\psi_{K,V}$, $n_V$ is the exponent of $\partial_{K/F}$ at $V$. Then the values for $n_V$ follows. The second assertion follows from Proposition 3.4 and the conductors of $\phi$. □

Let $\ell$ be a prime number, including the infinity place $\infty$. Denote

$$\epsilon_\ell(\phi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = \prod_{V \mid \ell} \epsilon(1/2, \phi_{r,s,t;\delta,V}; \psi_{K,V})$$

where $V$ runs through the places of $K$ above $\ell$.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number.

1. If $\ell = \infty$ is archimedean, then

$$\epsilon_\infty(\phi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = i^{-\frac{p-1}{2}};$$ 

If $\ell$ is a finite prime with $\ell \nmid p\delta$, then

$$\epsilon_\ell(\phi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = 1;$$

2. If $\ell \neq p$ and $\ell \mid \delta$, then

$$\epsilon_\ell(\phi_{r,s,t;\delta}) = \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right).$$

**Proof.** The first assertion are just (3.7) and (3.8). By Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.10,

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,V}; \psi_{K,V}) = \epsilon(1/2, \chi_{\delta,V}; \psi_{K,V}) \phi_V(\Pi_V)$$

for any finite place $V \neq \Pi$ and $V \mid \delta$. Then the remaining follows from (3.10) and Corollary 3.9. □
3.4. Local root number at \( p \). Let \( \eta \) be the quadratic character of \( F^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^* \) determined by the quadratic extension \( K/F \) through class-field theory. Then \( \eta \) is unramified outside \( p \). For any finite place \( v \) of \( F \) not dividing \( p \), we have

\[
\epsilon(1/2, \eta_v) = +1.
\]

For each archimedean place \( \tau : F \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
F_\tau \cong \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } \eta_\tau(x) = \frac{|x|}{x}, x \neq 0.
\]

Then we have by [JL70, p. 97] that

\[
\epsilon(1/2, \eta_\tau, \psi_\mathbb{R}) = i^{-1}.
\]

Finally, for the place \( \pi \), we have

\[
\epsilon(1/2, \eta_\pi, \psi_{F_\pi}) = i^{p-1}.
\]

The character \( \phi \) is equivariant with respect to the complex conjugation. In particular, we have

\[
\phi|_{\mathbb{A}^*} = \eta.
\]

Since

\[
\chi_\delta|_{\mathbb{A}^*} = 1,
\]

we have

\[
\phi_\delta = \phi \chi_\delta^{r+s}|_{\mathbb{A}^*} = \eta.
\]

Recall for any \( \delta \in \mathbb{Q}^* \cap \mathbb{Z}_p \), we have the following decomposition in \( \mathbb{Q}_p^* \):

\[
\delta = \epsilon p^a (1 - p)^b
\]

with \( \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^* \), \( a \in \{0, 1 \cdots, p - 1\} \), and \( b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). We have defined in (3.5) that

\[
u(\delta) = \min(\text{ord}_p(a), \text{ord}_p(b) + 1).
\]

**Proposition 3.12.**

1. If

\[
u(r^s (t-p)^t \delta^{r+s}) \geq 2,
\]

then \( c(\phi_{\delta, \Pi}) = 1 \), and

\[
\epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta, \Pi}, \psi_{K_\Pi}) = \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) i^{p-1}.
\]

2. If

\[
u(r^s (t-p)^t \delta^{r+s}) = 1,
\]

then \( c(\phi_{\delta, \Pi}) = 2 \), and

\[
\epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta, \Pi}, \psi_{K_\Pi}) = -\left( \frac{-rstd}{p} \right) i^{p-1},
\]

where

\[
d \equiv \left( \frac{r^s (t-p)^t \delta^{r+s}}{p} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \mod p.
\]
3. If

\[ u(r^rs^s(t-p)^t)^{\delta^{r+s}} = u(\delta^{r+s}) = 0, \]

then \( c(\phi_{\delta,\Pi}) = p + 1 \), and

\[ \epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,\Pi}, \psi_{K\Pi}) = -\left( \frac{rst}{p} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \]

where

\[ d \equiv (r + s)\text{ord}_p(\delta) \mod p. \]

**Proof.** First we clarify the conditions for the conductors of \( \phi_{\delta,\Pi} \). By [CM88, Theorem 5.3], for any \( x \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Pi}^\times \),

(3.14)

\[ \phi_{\delta,\Pi}(x) = \left( \frac{x, r^rs^s(t-p)^t \delta^{r+s}}{K\Pi; p} \right) \left( \frac{x, \Pi}{K\Pi; 2} \right). \]

Then the statements on the conductors \( c(\phi_{\delta,\Pi}) \) follows from Proposition 3.4 by noting that the character

\[ x \mapsto \left( \frac{x, \Pi}{K\Pi; 2} \right) \]

has conductor 1.

If \( c(\phi_{\delta,\Pi}) = 1 \), then by [Roh92, Proposition 2]

\[ \epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,\Pi}, \psi_{K\Pi}) = \left( \frac{2}{p} \right), \]

and the first assertion follows by (3.13).

Again by [Roh92, Proposition 2], if \( c(\phi_{\delta,\Pi}) = c > 1 \), then

(3.15)

\[ \epsilon(1/2, \phi_{\delta,\Pi}, \psi_{K\Pi}) = \left( \frac{-2l}{p} \right) \phi_{\delta,\Pi}(\Pi)^{c-1} i^u, \]

where \( u \) is given by

\[ u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4; \\ 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4, \end{cases} \]

and \( l \) is given by

\[ \phi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi^{c-1}) = \omega^l. \]

We compute the values of \( \phi_{\delta,\Pi}(\Pi) = \phi_{\Pi}(\Pi) \chi_{\delta,\Pi}^{r+s}(\Pi) \). By Proposition 3.6, it suffices to compute \( \phi_{\Pi}(\Pi) \). Since \( \phi \) is a Hecke character unramified outside \( \Pi \) and infinity, we have

\[ \phi_{\Pi}(\Pi) = \prod_{\mathcal{V} \mid \infty} \phi_{\mathcal{V}}(\Pi)^{-1} = \prod_{h \in \Phi^{-1}} \frac{\Pi^{\sigma_h}}{|\sigma_h|^{1/2}} = \prod_{h \in \Phi^{-1}} i \cdot \text{sgn}(2\pi h/p) = i^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} - k}, \]

where \( k \) denotes the number of \( h \in \Phi^{-1} \) satisfying \( 1 \leq h \leq (p-1)/2 \). By [Roh92, Proposition 4],

\[ (-1)^k = -\left( \frac{-2rst}{p} \right). \]

Next we determine the value of \( l \). Suppose \( c(\phi_{\delta,\Pi}) = 2 \), or equivalently, \( u(r^rs^s(t-p)^t)^{\delta^{r+s}} = 1 \). By (3.14),

\[ \phi_{\delta,\Pi}(1 + \Pi) = \left( \frac{1 + \Pi, r^rs^s(t-p)^t \delta^{r+s}}{K\Pi; p} \right). \]
Then by Proposition 3.5,
\[
\phi_{\delta, \Pi}(1 + \Pi) = \omega \left( \frac{(r^r s^s (t - p)^t \delta^{r+s})^{p-1} - 1}{p} \right).
\]
In this case, we have
\[
l \equiv 2 \frac{(r^r s^s (t - p)^t \delta^{r+s})^{p-1} - 1}{p} \mod p.
\]
Then the formula in the second assertion follows by noting
\[
l \equiv 2(r + s) \text{ord}_p(\delta) \mod p.
\]
Then the formula in the third assertion follows by noting
\[
l \equiv 2 \left( \frac{r^r s^s (t - p)^t \delta^{r+s})^{p-1} - 1}{p} \right) \mod p.
\]

Finally, suppose \( c(\phi_{\delta, \Pi}) = p + 1 \geq 4 \). Since \( \phi_{\Pi} \) has conductor 1 or 2,
\[
\phi_{\delta, \Pi}(1 + \Pi^{c-1}) = \chi_{\delta, \Pi}^{r+s}(1 + \Pi^p).
\]
Then by Proposition 3.5,
\[
\phi_{\delta, \Pi}(1 + \Pi^{c-1}) = \omega^{2(r+s) \text{ord}_p(\delta)},
\]
and in this case,
\[
l \equiv 2(r + s) \text{ord}_p(\delta) \mod p.
\]
Then the formula in the third assertion follows by noting
\[
l \equiv 2 \left( \frac{r^r s^s (t - p)^t \delta^{r+s})^{p-1} - 1}{p} \right) \mod p.
\]

3.5. **Global root numbers.** Fix the triple \((r, s, t)\) of integers with \(r, s, t \geq 0\) and \(r+s+t = p\). For any prime \(\ell\) of \(\mathbb{Q}\), denote
\[
\epsilon_{\ell}(\phi_{r,s,t,\delta}) = \prod_{V | \ell} \epsilon_{(1/2, \phi_{r,s,t,\delta}, V, \psi_K)}(V),
\]
\[
\epsilon_{\ell}(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta}) = \prod_{v | \ell} \epsilon_{(1/2, \pi_{r,s,t,\delta}, v, \psi_F)}(v),
\]
where \(V\) resp. \(v\) runs through all places of \(K\) resp. \(F\) above \(\ell\). Then by [JL70, Lemma 1.2, Theorem 4.7], we have
\[
\epsilon_{\ell}(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta}) = \epsilon_{\ell}(\phi_{r,s,t,\delta}) \epsilon(\eta).
\]
Let \(d \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times\) be such that
\[
d \equiv \begin{cases} 
\frac{(r^r s^s (t - p)^t \delta^{r+s})^{p-1} - 1}{p} \mod p, & \text{if } u(r^r s^s (t - p)^t \delta^{r+s}) = 1; \\
(r + s) \text{ord}_p(\delta) \mod p, & \text{if } u(r^r s^s (t - p)^t \delta^{r+s}) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

**Theorem 3.13.** The global root number of the automorphic representation \(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta}\) is given as follows:
\[
\epsilon(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta}) = \prod_{\ell} \epsilon_{\ell}(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta}),
\]
where

$$\epsilon_{\ell}(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta}) = \begin{cases} 
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right), & \text{if } \ell = \infty; \\
\left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right), & \text{if } \ell \neq p \text{ and } \ell \mid \delta; \\
+1, & \text{if } \ell \nmid p\delta;
\end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{p}(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta}) = \begin{cases} 
-\left(\frac{-rstd}{p}\right), & \text{if } u(r^s t - p)^\ell \delta^{r+s} = 0; \\
-\left(\frac{rstd}{p}\right), & \text{if } u(r^s t - p)^\ell \delta^{r+s} = 1; \\
\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right), & \text{if } u(r^s t - p)^\ell \delta^{r+s} \geq 2.
\end{cases}$$

**Proof.** This is the combination of Propositions 3.11 and 3.12 and the formulae (3.11-3.13) □

If we take $\delta = 1$, then this is the main result of [Roh92] for the case $n = 1$. Next suppose $p = 3$, $r = s = t = 1$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times 3$. The Fermat curve

$$F_\delta : X^3 + Y^3 = \delta$$

and the quotient curve $C_{1,1,1,\delta}$ are both elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\varphi_{1,1,1} : F_\delta \to C_{1,1,1,\delta}$ is an isogeny over $\mathbb{Q}$. The elliptic curve $F_\delta$ has Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 - 2^4 3^3 \delta^2.$$

**Corollary 3.14.** Let $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times 3$ be an integer. The global root number of the elliptic curve $F_\delta$ is given by

$$\epsilon = \prod_{\ell \mid \infty \delta^3} \epsilon_{\ell}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{\infty} = -1;$$

and if $\ell \neq 3$ and $3 \mid \delta$, then

$$\epsilon_{\ell} = \left(\frac{\ell}{3}\right);$$

and

$$\epsilon_{3} = \begin{cases} 
-1, & \text{if } \delta \equiv \pm 1 \text{ mod } 9, \text{ or } \text{ord}_3(\delta) = 1; \\
+1, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

**Proof.** It suffices to translate the expressions of $\epsilon_{3}$ from Theorem 3.13, since the expressions for $\epsilon_{\ell}$ are clear for $\ell \neq 3$. In this case, we have $r = s = t = 1$. First suppose $u(r^s t - p)^\ell \delta^{r+s} = 0$ i.e. $a = \text{ord}_3(\delta) > 0$. Then

$$\epsilon_{3} = -\left(\frac{-2a}{3}\right),$$

and $\epsilon_{3} = -1$ if and only if $a = 1$. Next suppose $u(r^s t - p)^\ell \delta^{r+s} = 1$. Then

$$\epsilon_{3} = -\left(\frac{d}{3}\right),$$

where
and it is straight-forward to verify that $\epsilon_3 = -1$ if and only if $\delta \equiv \pm 1 \mod 9$. Finally, if $u(r^s(t - p)^t \delta^r + s) = 2$, then

$$
\epsilon_3 = \left(\frac{-2}{3}\right) = +1.
$$

Combining all these, the lemma follows. \hfill \Box

This is compatible with the results of Birch-Stephens [BS66, Ste68] and Liverance [Liv95] which gives the formulae for root numbers of the elliptic curves with Weierstrass equation

$$
y^2 = x^3 + D
$$

for $D \in \mathbb{Q}^x / \mathbb{Q}^x 6$.

### 3.6. Equidistribution of root numbers.

Denote by $\epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta}$ the root number of the Jacobian variety $J_{r,s,t,\delta}$, and we know $\epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta} = \epsilon(\pi_{r,s,t,\delta})$. When $p = 3$ ($r = s = t = 1$), L. Mai [Mai93] proved that the set $\{\delta \text{ cube-free} : \epsilon_{1,1,1,\delta} = +1\}$ has density 1/2 in the set of cube-free integers. For a general odd prime $p$, the curves $C_{1,1,p-2,\delta}$, $C_{1,(p-1)/2,(p-1)/2,\delta}$ and $C_{1,p-2,1,\delta}$ are hyperelliptic curves and the sign of the functional equation of $L$-functions of these curves can be obtained by [Sto93]. Following the strategy of L. Mai, T. Jedrzejak [Jed08] generalized the result of L. Mai for the families $J_{1,1,p-2,\delta}$, $J_{1,(p-1)/2,(p-1)/2,\delta}$ and $J_{1,p-2,1,\delta}$ with $p \nmid \delta$. The constrain $p \nmid \delta$ comes from the calculations of root numbers in [Sto93].

As an application of the general formulae for root numbers of $J_{r,s,t,\delta}$ given in Theorem 3.13, following the strategy and results in [Mai93, Jed08], we obtain the following density of root numbers of the families $J_{r,s,t,\delta}$ with $\delta$ varying in the set of $p$-th power-free integers.

Let $\mathbb{N}^{(p)}$ be the set of $p$-th power-free integer which has positive density in the set of natural numbers (see for example [Jed08, Lemma 4]). Denote by $\mathbb{N}^{(p)}_0 \subset \mathbb{N}^{(p)}$ the subset consisting of integers with $p$-adic valuation 0. Then

$$
\mathbb{N}^{(p)} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} p^i \mathbb{N}^{(p)}_0.
$$

**Theorem 3.15.**

$$
\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{\#\{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{(p)} : \delta \leq X \text{ and } \epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta} = +1\}}{\#\{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{(p)} : \delta \leq X\}} = \frac{1}{2}.
$$

For $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{(p)}$, set

$$
\tau_p(\delta) = \# \left\{ \ell : \delta \mid \ell \neq p \text{ and } \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) = -1 \right\}.
$$

If $\delta = p^i \delta_0$ with $(p, \delta_0) = 1$, then it is clear that $\tau_p(\delta)$ is determined by $\delta_0$. Define $\alpha_p(\delta) = 0, 1$ by the formula

$$
\epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta} = (-1)^{\alpha_p(\delta)+\tau_p(\delta)}.
$$

**Lemma 3.16.** Suppose $\delta = p^i \delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^{(p)}$ is a $p$-th power-free integer with $(p, \delta_0) = 1$. Then $\alpha_p(\delta)$ depends on $(\ord_p(\delta), \delta_0 \mod p^2)$.

**Proof.** By the formulae for the root number $\epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta}$ in Theorem 3.13, if we write

$$
\epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta} = (-1)^{\alpha_p(\delta)+\tau_p(\delta)}.
$$
then

\[
(-1)^{\alpha_p(\delta)} = \begin{cases} 
-\left(\frac{-rs \cdot \text{ord}_p(\delta)}{p}\right), & \text{if ord}_p(\delta) > 0; \\
-\frac{rst}{p} \left(\frac{(r^s s^t s(r+s)(r^{s+t})p^{1-1}}{p}\right), & \text{if } u(r^s s^t(t-p)^{r+s}) = 1; \\
\left(\frac{2}{p}\right), & \text{if } u(r^s s^t(t-p)^{r+s}) \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

It follows from these formulae that \( \alpha_p(\delta) \) depends on \((\text{ord}_p(\delta), \delta_0 \mod p^2)\).

\[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 3.15.** In fact, we will prove for each \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, p-1 \) that

\[
\lim_{X \to +\infty} \frac{\#\{\delta \in p^i N_0^{(p)} : \delta \leq X \text{ and } \epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta} = +1\}}{\#\{\delta \in p^i N_0^{(p)} : \delta \leq X\}} = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

For fixed \( i \), by Lemma 3.16, we can define \( S_i^+ \) resp. \( S_i^- \) to be the subset of \((\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times\) such that \( \alpha_p(p^i \delta_0) = 0 \), resp. 1 for \( \delta_0 \in S_i^+ \) resp. \( S_i^- \). Then \( S_i^+ \cap S_i^- = \emptyset \) and \( S_i^+ \cup S_i^- = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times \). For a sufficiently large integer \( X \), denote

\[
N_{0,X}^{(p)} = \{\delta \in N_0^{(p)} : \delta \leq X\}.
\]

Then we have

\[
\sum_{\substack{\delta \in N_0^{(p)}_{0,X} \\ \epsilon_{r,s,t,\delta} = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in N_0^{(p)}_{0,X} \\ \tau_p(\delta) \text{ even}}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\delta \in N_0^{(p)}_{0,X} \\ \delta \mod p^2 \in S_i^+}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\delta \in N_0^{(p)}_{0,X} \\ \delta \mod p^2 \in S_i^-}} 1.
\]
Let \((\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times\) be the group of characters of the group \((\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times\). Fix \(k \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times\) and we have

\[
\sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)} \\
(-1)^{\tau_p(\delta)} = \pm 1 \\
\delta \equiv k \mod p^2}} \frac{1}{(|(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times| \sum_{\chi \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi(\delta) \chi^{-1}(k))} = \frac{1}{p^2 - p} \sum_{\chi \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi^{-1}(k) \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \chi(\delta) \left( \frac{1 \pm (-1)^{\tau_p(\delta)}}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2(p^2 - p)} \sum_{\chi \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi^{-1}(k) \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \chi(\delta) + O(\sqrt{X} \log^{p-2} X) = \frac{1}{2(p^2 - p)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \sum_{\chi \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi^{-1}(k) \chi(\delta) + O(\sqrt{X} \log^{p-2} X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} 1 + O(\sqrt{X} \log^{p-2} X). \]

Here the 4-th identity follows from [Jed08, Lemma 3]. Then by [Jed08, Lemma 5], we obtain

\[
\sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{r,s,t,p,#=1}} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \frac{1}{\tau_p(\delta) \text{ even} \delta \mod p^2 \in S_i^+ \, \delta \mod p^2 \in S_i^-}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} \frac{1}{\tau_p(\delta) \text{ odd} \delta \mod p^2 \in S_i^+ \, \delta \mod p^2 \in S_i^-}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0,X}^{(p)}} 1 + O(\sqrt{X} \log^{p-2} X). \]

4. Selmer Groups

Suppose \(\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^{xp}\) is an integer. Recall for fixed \(r, s, t\), we simply write \(C_\delta\) for the curve

\[
C_{r,s,t,\delta} : y^p = x^r (\delta - x)^s,
\]

and write \(J_\delta\) for the Jacobian variety of \(C_\delta\).
From the short exact sequence of $G_K$-modules
\[ 0 \to J_\delta[\Pi] \to J_\delta(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to J_\delta(\mathbb{Q}) \to 0, \]
taking Galois cohomologies, we have the Kummer map
\[ J_\delta(K)/\Pi J_\delta(K) \to H^1(K, J_\delta[\Pi]). \]
For each place $V$ of $K$, similarly we have the local Kummer map
\[ J_\delta(K_V)/\Pi J_\delta(K_V) \to H^1(K_V, J_\delta). \]
The Selmer group $\text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi)$ and the Shafarevich-Tate group $X(J_\delta/K)$ are defined as
\[ \text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi) = \{ \xi \in H^1(K, J_\delta) : \xi_V \in \text{Im}(\kappa_V) \text{ for each } V. \} \]
\[ X(J_\delta/K) = \ker(H^1(K, J_\delta) \to \prod_V H^1(K_V, J_\delta)). \]
It is well known that there is an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to J_\delta(K)/\Pi J_\delta(K) \to \text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi) \to X(J_\delta/K) \to 0. \]
We shall bound the Selmer group $\text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi)$, and necessarily we shall compute the local Kummer images $\text{Im}(\kappa_V)$ for each place $V$ of $K$.

4.1. Descent maps via Weil’s idea. Let $L \supset \mu_p$ be a field and $G_L$ its absolute Galois group. Let $\text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)$ be the group of $L$-rational divisor of degree zero on the curve $C_\delta$. The group $J_\delta(L)$ is identified with the class group of $\text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)$ modulo linear equivalence. The rational function $x$ on the curve $C_\delta$ has divisor
\[ \text{div}(x) = p(0,0) - p(\infty) \]
where $(\infty)$ denotes the point on $C_\delta$ at infinity. To each divisor in $\text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)$ away from the $(0,0)$ and the infinity point $(\infty)$, we can associate an invariant as follows
\[ \delta_L \left( \sum a_i P_i \right) = x \left( \sum a_i P_i \right) = \prod x(P_i)^{a_i}. \]
By Weil’s reciprocity (see for example [Col89]), on the principal divisors we find
\[ \delta_L(\text{div}(f)) = \left( \frac{f(0,0)}{f(\infty)} \right)^p \in \mathbb{L}^\times. \]
Hence $\delta_L$ induces a homomorphism
\[ \delta_L : J_\delta(L) \to \mathbb{L}^\times / \mathbb{L}^\times. \]
The kernel of this homomorphism turns out to be the subgroup generated by the classes $[1 - \omega]D$ where $D \in \text{Div}^0(C_\delta)(L)$, and hence we have an injective map
\[ \delta_L : J_\delta(L)/\Pi J_\delta(L) \to \mathbb{L}^\times / \mathbb{L}^\times. \]

From the short exact sequence of $G_L$-modules
\[ 0 \to J_\delta[p] \to J_\delta(L) \to J_\delta(L) \to 0, \]
taking Galois cohomologies, we have the Kummer map
\[ J_\delta(L)/pJ_\delta(L) \to H^1(L, J_\delta[p]). \]
Denote 
\[ D_0 = [(0,0) - (\infty)] \in J_\delta[\Pi]. \]
Composed with the Weil pairing \( e_p(\cdot, D_0) \), we obtain a map
\[ e : H^1(L, J_\delta[p]) \xrightarrow{e_p, D_0} H^1(L, \mu_p), \quad \xi \mapsto (\sigma \mapsto e_p(\xi(\sigma), D_0)). \]
By Hilbert Satz 90, we have an isomorphism
\[ i : H^1(L, \mu_p) \simeq L^\times/L^{\times p}. \]
Finally, we get the descent map via the \( p \)-torsion point \( D_0 \):
\[ i \circ e \circ \kappa_L : J_\delta(L) / pJ_\delta(L) \to L^\times / L^{\times p}. \]

**Proposition 4.1.** The composition \( i \circ e \circ \kappa_L : J_\delta(L) / pJ_\delta(L) \to L^\times / L^{\times p} \) factors through \( \delta_L : J_\delta(L) / \Pi J_\delta(L) \hookrightarrow L^\times / L^{\times p}. \)

**Proof.** Note any point of \( J_\delta \) can be represented by a degree-0 divisor of \( C_\delta \) which is not supported on \( D_0 \). Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be divisors of degree 0 on \( C_\delta \) which are not supported on \( D_0 \). Suppose \( P \) is \( L \)-rational and \( pQ \) is linearly equivalent to \( P \). Then the class \([P]\) represents a point in \( J_\delta(L) \) and \([P] = p[Q]\). If \([D_1]\) and \([D_2]\) are two torsion points of \( J_\delta \) of order \( p \), and suppose \( \text{div}(f_1) = pD_1 \) and \( \text{div}(f_2) = pD_2 \), then the Weil pairing (see [ACGH85, p. 284])
\[ e_p([D_1], [D_2]) = \frac{f_2(D_1)}{f_1(D_2)}. \]
Let \( \text{div}(g) = pQ - P \). For any \( \sigma \in G_L \), \( \text{div}(g^\sigma) = pQ^\sigma - P \) and so \( \text{div}(g^\sigma/g) = pQ^\sigma - pQ \). Therefore
\[ e \circ \kappa_L([P])(\sigma) = e_p([Q^\sigma - Q], D_0) \]
\[ = \frac{x(Q^\sigma - Q)}{g^\sigma/g(D_0)} \]
\[ = \frac{\alpha^p}{\alpha}, \]
where \( \alpha = x(Q)/g(D_0) \). So we have
\[ i \circ e \circ \kappa_L([P]) \equiv \alpha^p \equiv \frac{x(pQ)}{g(pD_0)} \equiv x(P) \mod L^{\times p}. \]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Now in order to compute the images of the Kummer maps \( \kappa_L \), it suffices to compute the ranges of values of \( \delta_L \), which is the group generated by norms of abscissas of points on the curve \( C_\delta \) with coordinates in all possible algebraic extensions of \( L \). However, by the following lemma, it suffices to restrict oneself to extensions whose degree does not exceed the genus \( g = (p - 1)/2 \) of \( C_\delta \).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( C/L \) be a smooth algebraic curve of genus \( g \) with an \( L \)-rational point. In each class of \( L \)-rational divisors of degree zero, there is a divisor of the form \( P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_g - gP_0 \), and consequently, the degree of the field, generated by the coordinates of each \( P_i \), does not exceed \( g \).
Proof. Since \( P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_g - gP_0 \) is \( L \)-rational, for each \( 1 \leq i \leq g \), all the Galois conjugates of \( P_i \) occur in \( P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_g \), and hence the field generated by the coordinates of each \( P_i \) has degree \( \leq g \). Now it suffices to prove there exists a divisor of such form. Let \( D \) be an arbitrary \( L \)-rational point of degree zero, and let \( P_0 \) be an arbitrary point in \( C(L) \neq \emptyset \). By Riemann-Roch theorem,

\[
l(D + gP_0) \geq \deg(D + gP_0) - g + 1 = 1.
\]

Therefore \( D + gP_0 \) is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor of degree \( g \), and the lemma follows. \( \square \)

For each place \( V \) of \( K \), denote \( \delta_K \) simply by \( \delta_V \). Recall the Selmer group \( \text{Sel}(J_{\delta/K}, \Pi) \) is defined to be the subgroup of \( H^1(K, J_{\delta}[\Pi]) \) consists of co-cycles whose localization lies in \( \text{Im}(\delta_V) \) for each place \( V \) of \( K \). The condition at the infinite places are trivial and we shall compute the Kummer images \( \text{Im}(\delta_V) \) for all finite places \( V \).

**Proposition 4.3.** We have

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Im}(\delta_V) = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(K_V, J_{\delta}[\Pi])}{2}.
\]

**Proof.** As the Jacobian variety of a curve, \( J_{\delta} \) is principally polarized. We have the local Tate duality

\[
H^1(K_V, J_{\delta}[\Pi]) \times H^1(K_V, J_{\delta}[\Pi]) \to \mu_p.
\]

Note that the pairing is nondegenerate [Mil06, Theorem 3.2, 6.10] and the Kummer image \( \text{Im}(\delta_V) \) is the orthogonal complement of itself under the local Tate pairing, and the proposition follows. \( \square \)

For our convenience, we denote \( K_V \) by \( k \), \( L \)-an algebraic extension of the field \( k \) of degree \( [L : k] \leq (p - 1)/2 \). The uniformizers of \( k \) and \( L \) are denoted by \( \lambda \) and \( \Lambda \). The valuations of elements \( x \) in \( L \) will be denoted by \( \text{ord}(x) \), such that \( \text{ord}(\lambda) = 1 \). Thus, valuations can be fractional numbers, with denominators not exceeding \( (p - 1)/2 \).

If

\[
(r', s', t') = h(r, s, t) + p(i, j, k),
\]

with \( r', s', t' > 0 \), and \( r' + s' + t' = p \). Then we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_\delta & \rightarrow & C_{r', s', t'} \\
\varphi_{r, s, t} & \downarrow & \varphi_{r', s', t'} \\
C_{r, s, t; \delta} & \rightarrow & C_{r', s', t'; \delta}
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal arrow is

\[
(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^h x^i (1 - x)^j).
\]

Since \( h \) is prime to \( p \), this map is a birational equivalence. Without loss of generality, we may fix \( s = 1 \) and take \( 1 \leq r \leq p - 2 \).

We are reduced to investigate the norms from \( L \) to \( k \) of the abscissas of \( L \)-points on the curves

\[
y^p = x^r(\delta - x), \quad 1 \leq r \leq p - 2.
\]
4.2. Local descents outside $p$. Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number, and let $V \mid \ell$ be a place of $K$. Since the extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at $\ell$, we may take the uniformizer $\Pi_V = \ell$ for $K_V$.

$$K_V^\times / K_V^{\times p} = (\ell)^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{F}_V^\times / \mathbb{F}_V^{\times p}$$

has dimension 2 over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then by Proposition 4.3,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Im}(\delta_V) = 1.$$ 

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose $(r, s, t) = (r, 1, p-r-1)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times p}$ is an integer. Let $\ell$ be a prime number not equal to $p$, and let $V \mid \ell$ be a place of $K$. If $\ell \nmid \delta$, then

$$\text{Im}(\delta_V) = \mathbb{F}_V^\times / \mathbb{F}_V^{\times p}.$$ 

If $\ell \mid \delta$, then

$$\text{Im}(\delta_V) = (\delta)^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}.$$ 

**Proof.** If $\ell \nmid \delta$, then $J_\delta$ has good reduction at $V$. By [GP12, Lemma 6], we have

$$\text{Im}(\kappa_V) = H^1_{ur}(K_V, J_\delta[\Pi]),$$

and hence

$$\text{Im}(\delta_V) = \mathbb{F}_V^\times / \mathbb{F}_V^{\times p}.$$ 

Next we assume $\ell \mid \delta$. we shall investigate the norms of abscissas of points on the curve $C_\delta$, and we present two cases:

**Case I.** $\text{ord}(x) < \text{ord}(\delta)$. Substituting by

$$x_1 = \frac{\delta}{x}, \quad y_1 = -\frac{y}{x},$$

in Equation (4.1), we get

$$x_1^{r_1}(1 - x_1) = y_1^{p \cdot r_1}, \quad r_1 = p - r - 1.$$ 

Let $1 \leq r_2 \leq p - 2$ be such that $r_1 r_2 = 1 + pk$. Putting

$$x_2 = x_1, \quad y_2 = y_1^{r_2 \cdot \delta^k x^{-k}},$$

we have

$$x_2(1 - x_2)^{r_2} = y_2^p \delta.$$ 

Then

$$x_2 - r_2 x_2^2 + \frac{r_2(r_2 - 1)}{2} x_2^3 + \cdots = y_2^p \delta.$$ 

Since $\text{ord}(x_2) > 0$ and, consequently, $\text{ord}(y_2^p \delta) > 0$, by [Fad61a, Lemma 1],

$$x_2 = y_2^p \delta(1 + r_2 y_2^p \delta + \cdots).$$ 

Since $\text{ord}(y_2^p \delta) > 0$,

$$N_{L/k}(1 + r_2 y_2^p \delta + \cdots) \in 1 + \ell \mathcal{O}_{K,V} \subset k^{\times p}.$$ 

Then

$$N_{L/k}(x_2) = \delta^{[L:k]} N_{L/k}(x_2) - 1 \equiv 1 \mod k^{\times p}.$$ 

**Case II.** $\text{ord}(x) \geq \text{ord}(\delta)$. Put

$$x_1 = \frac{x}{\delta}, \quad y_1 = y.$$ 


Then Equation (4.1) transforms into

$$x_1^r(1 - x_1) = y_1^p \delta^{-(r+1)}.$$  

First we claim that either \(\text{ord}(x_1) > 0\) or \(\text{ord}(1 - x_1) > 0\). On the contrary, if \(\text{ord}(x) = \text{ord}(1 - x_1) = 0\), then

$$p\text{ord}(y_1) = (r + 1)\text{ord}_\ell(\delta).$$

By our choice of \(\delta\), we have \(1 \leq \text{ord}_\ell(\delta) \leq p - 1\). Since the denominator of \(\text{ord}(y_1)\) doesn’t exceed \((p - 1)/2\), we conclude that \(\text{ord}(y_1)\) is an integer and \(p \mid \text{ord}_\ell(\delta)\), which contradicts with our choice of \(\delta\).

If \(\text{ord}(1 - x_1) > 0\), then

$$N_{L/k}(x_1) = N_{L/k}(1 + (x_1 - 1)) \in 1 + \ell\mathcal{O}_{K,V} \subset k^\times.$$

In this case,

$$N_{L/k}(x) = \delta^{[L:k]}N(L/k)(x_1) \equiv \delta^{[L:k]} \mod k^\times.$$  

Next suppose \(\text{ord}(x_1) > 0\). Let \(1 \leq r_1 \leq p - 2\) be such that \(rr_1 = 1 + pk\) and put

$$x_2 = x_1, \quad y_2 = \frac{y_1^{r_1}}{(\delta x_1)^k}.$$  

The we have

$$x_2(1 - x_2)^{r_1} = y_2^p \delta^{-(r_1 + 1)},$$

and hence

$$x_2 - r_1 x_2^2 + \cdots = y_2^p \delta^{-(r_1 + 1)}.$$  

Since \(\text{ord}(x_2) = \text{ord}(x_1) > 0\), and consequently \(\text{ord}(y_2^p \delta^{-(r_1 + 1)}) > 0\), by [Fad61a, Lemma 1],

$$x_2 = y_2^p \delta^{-(r_1 + 1)}(1 + r_1 y_2^p \delta^{-(r_1 + 1)} + \cdots)$$  

In this case,

$$N_{L/k}(x) = \delta^{[L:k]}N_{L/k}(x_2) \equiv \delta^{-r_1[L:k]} \mod k^\times.$$  

Therefore, we see that, in any case, the norm \(N_{L/k}(x)\) falls into the subgroup \(\langle \delta \rangle \subset k^\times/k^\times\).

Since \(\text{Im}(\delta_V)\) has dimension 1 over \(\mathbb{F}_p\), we conclude that \(\text{Im}(\delta_V) = \langle \delta \rangle \subset k^\times/k^\times\).

In the following, we construct a divisor whose image under \(\delta_V\) is a non-trivial power of \(\delta\) in \(k^\times/k^\times\). First we assume \(\ell \nmid (r + 1)\) and construct points with \(\text{ord}(x) = 0\) which gives a point in Case I. Now take \(x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times\) and put \(x = x_0 + z\). Then the equation determining \(z\) is

$$x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r + 1)x_0)z + \cdots = y^p - x_0^r(\delta - x_0).$$

Note \(x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r + 1)x_0)\) is an \(\ell\)-adic unit in \(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times\). By [Fad61a, Lemma 1], the solvability of \(z\) with \(\text{ord}(z) > 0\) is equivalent to

$$y^p \equiv x_0^r(\delta - x_0) \equiv -x_0^{r+1} \mod \Lambda.$$  

By our choice of \(x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times\), we can take \(y_1 \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times\) such that

$$y_1^p = -x_0^{r+1}.$$  

Then the equation

$$x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r + 1)x_0)z + \cdots = y_1^p - x_0^r(\delta - x_0)$$

has a unique solution

$$z_1 = \frac{y_1^p - x_0^0(\delta - x_0)}{x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r + 1)x_0)} + b_2 \left( \frac{y_1^p - x_0^0(\delta - x_0)}{x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r + 1)x_0)} \right)^2 + \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell,$$
with \( \text{ord}(z_1) > 0 \). If we put \( x_1 = x_0 + z_1 \), then \((x_1, y_1)\) is an \( \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \)-point on the curve 
\[
 x^r(\delta - x) = y^p.
\]
Now
\[
 N_{L/k}(x_1) = x_1 \equiv x_0 \equiv 1 \mod k^\times p.
\]
On the other hand, \((\delta, 0)\) also gives a \( \mathbb{Q}_p\)-point which gives a point in Case II, and
\[
 \delta \cdot ([\delta, 0] - (x_1, y_1)) = \delta \mod k^\times p.
\]
For the primes \( \ell \mid (r + 1) \), we use the following trick. Let \( r_1 > 0 \) be such that
\[
 rr_1 = pk + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \nmid (r_1 + 1).
\]
The substitution \( x_1 = x, y_1 = y^{r_1} x^{-k} \) realizes a birational map from the curve 
\[
 x^r(\delta - x) = y^p
\]
to \( x_1(\delta - x_1)^{r_1} = y_1^{r_1} \). Again, put \( x_1 = x_0 + z \), and the equation determining \( z \) is
\[
 (\delta - x_0)^{r_1-1}(\delta - (r_1 + 1)x_0)z + \cdots = y_1^p - x_0(\delta - x_0)^{r_1}.
\]
Taking \( x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \), \( (\delta - x_0)^{r_1-1}(\delta - (r_1 + 1)x_0) \) is an \( \ell \)-adic unit in \( \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \). By a same construction as above, we see there exists a rational divisor with image equal to \( \delta \mod k^\times p \) under the Kummer map \( \delta \).

\[ \square \]

4.3. **Local descents at** \( p \). In this subsection we suppose \( p \geq 5 \) and impose the following condition
\[
 p \nmid \delta.
\]
We follow [Fad61a] with appropriate modifications. It will be convenient to represent the field \( K_\Pi \) as \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\lambda) \), where \( \lambda^{p-1} + p = 0 \). As generators of \( K_\Pi^\times /K_\Pi^\times p \), we take
\[
 u_0 \quad = \quad \lambda,
 u_1 \quad = \quad \omega,
 u_i \quad = \quad e^\lambda, \quad 2 \leq i \leq p.
\]
Let \( \mu : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) be the Teichmüller character:
\[
 \omega^\sigma = \mu(\sigma)\lambda.
\]
Then \( \mu \) has values in \( \mathbb{F}_p^\times \), and
\[
 \lambda^\sigma = \mu(\sigma)\lambda.
\]
If \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})] \)-module, we denote by \( M(i) \) the submodule of \( M \) consisting of all \( x \in M \) such that \( x^\sigma = \mu(\sigma)x \) for all \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \).

Denote \( U_i \) to be the one-dimensional subspace of \( K_{\Pi}^\times /K_{\Pi}^\times p \) generated by \( u_i \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq p \). Then \( \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \) acts on \( U_i \) as \( \mu^i \), and
\[
 K_{\Pi}^\times /K_{\Pi}^\times p(0) = U_0 \times U_{p-1},
 K_{\Pi}^\times /K_{\Pi}^\times p(1) = U_1 \times U_p,
 K_{\Pi}^\times /K_{\Pi}^\times p(i) = U_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq p-2.
\]
Recall we have denoted by \( k = K_{\Pi} \), \( L \)-an algebraic extension of the field \( k \) of degree \( [L : k] \leq (p - 1)/2 \). Denote \( k_1 \)-the maximal unramified subfield of \( L \) over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), \( L_1 = kk_1 \)-the maximal unramified subfield of \( L \) over \( k \). The uniformizers of \( k \) and \( L \) are denoted by \( \lambda \) and \( \Lambda \). The valuations of elements \( x \) in \( L \) will be denoted by \( \text{ord}(x) \), such that \( \text{ord}(\lambda) = 1 \).
We shall investigate the norms from \(L\) to \(k\) of the abscissas of \(L\)-points on the curves
\[
y^p = x^r(\delta - x), \quad 1 \leq r \leq p - 2.
\]
Here exact five cases can be presented:

I. \(\text{ord}(x) < 0;\)

II. \(\text{ord}(x) > 0;\)

III. \(x \equiv \delta \mod \Lambda;\)

IV. \(\text{ord}(x) = 0, \text{and } x \not\equiv \delta, r\delta/(r + 1) \mod \Lambda;\)

V. \(x \equiv r\delta/(r + 1) \mod \Lambda.\)

**Case I.** The substitutions \(x_1 = x^{-1}\) and \(y_1 = -x^{-1}y\) realize a birational map from the curve \(y^p = x^r(\delta - x)\) onto the curve \(y_1^p = x_1^r(1 - \delta x_1)\), where \(r_1 = p - r - 1\). The latter equation can be transformed into \(y_2^p = x_2(1 - \delta x_2)^{r_2}\) by the substitutions \(x_2 = x_1\) and \(y_2 = y_1^{r_1}x_2^{-k}\), where \(r_1r_2 = 1 + kp, 1 \leq r_2 \leq p - 2\). It is clear from the substitutions that the norms of the abscissas of the corresponding \(L\)-points on \(y^p = x^r(\delta - x)\) and \(y_2^p = x_2(1 - \delta x_2)^{r_2}\) fall into one same subgroup of \(k^\times/k^{\times p}\). Therefore we only need to consider \(L\)-points on
\[
y^p = x(1 - \delta x)^r, \quad 1 \leq r \leq p - 2,
\]
with \(\text{ord}(x) > 0.\)

Expanding in powers of \(x\), we obtain
\[
x - r\delta x^2 + \frac{r(r - 1)}{2}\delta^2 x^3 + \cdots = y^p.
\]
Since \(\text{ord}(y) > 0\), by [Fad61a, Lemma 1],
\[
x = y^p(1 + b_2y^p + b_3y^{3p} + \cdots).
\]
with \(p\)-adic integral rational coefficients \(b_n\) and \(b_2 = r\delta\). Then
\[
N_{L/k}(x) \equiv N_{L/k}(1 + b_2y^p + b_3y^{3p} + \cdots) \mod k^{\times p}.
\]
By [Fad61a, Lemmas 3, 4],
\[
\text{Tr}_{L/k}(y^p) \equiv \text{Tr}_{L/k}(y^{2p}) \equiv \cdots \equiv 0 \mod \lambda^p.
\]
By [Fad61a, Lemma 5], the norm \(N_{L/k}(x)\) falls into the subgroup \(U_p\). For \(K = k, y = \lambda\), we have
\[
N_{L/k}(1 + b_2y^p + b_3y^{3p} + \cdots) = 1 + b_2y^p + b_3y^{3p} + \cdots \equiv 1 + r\delta\lambda^p \equiv u_p^{\delta} \mod \lambda^{2p}.
\]
It follows that there exists \(N_{L/k}(x)\) which is a non-trivial element in \(U_p\).

**Cases II and III.** It is not necessary to investigate the cases II and III separately. The substitutions \(x_1 = \delta - x\) and \(y_1 = y^r x^{-k}\) realize a birational map from the curve \(y^p = x^r(\delta - x)\) on to the curve \(y_1^p = x_1^r(\delta - x_1)\) where \(rr_1 = 1 + kp, 1 \leq r_1 \leq p - 2\). Now Case II and Case III change places, and the norms of the abscissas of the corresponding points again fall into one same subgroup of \(k^\times/k^{\times p}\), because
\[
N_{L/k}(x_1) = N_{L/k}(\delta - x) = N_{L/k}(y)^p N_{L/k}(x)^{-r}.
\]

Now suppose \(x \equiv \delta \mod \Lambda\). Set \(x = \delta + z, \text{ord}(z) > 0.\) After simple transformations, we have
\[
z + r\delta^{-1}z^2 + \frac{r(r - 1)}{2}\delta^{-2}z^3 + \cdots = -y^p\delta^{-r}.
\]
By [Fad61a, Lemma 1],
\[ z = -y^p\delta^{-r} + b_2y^{2p}\delta^{-2r} + \cdots. \]
Since \( \text{ord}(y) > 0 \), we have, by [Fad61a, Lemmas 3, 4],
\[ \text{Tr}_{L/k}(y^p) \equiv \text{Tr}_{L/k}(y^{2p}) \equiv \cdots \equiv 0 \mod \lambda^p. \]
By [Fad61a, Lemma 5], the norm \( N_{L/k}(x) \) falls into the subgroup generated by \( u_{p-1} \) and \( u_p \).
For \( L = k, y = \lambda \), we have
\[ N_{L/k}(x) = x \equiv \delta(1 - \lambda^p\delta^{-r-1}) \equiv \delta u_p^{-\delta^{-r-1}} \mod \lambda^{2p}. \]
Since \( \delta \) is Galois invariant, it belongs to \( K_0^*/K_0^p(0) = U_0 \times U_{p-1} \). Since \( \delta \) is a \( p \)-adic unit, it is a power of \( u_{p-1} \) in \( K_0^*/K_0^p \). Then there exists some \( N_{L/k}(x) \) which has nontrivial exponents at \( u_{p-1} \) and \( u_p \).

**Case IV.** Suppose \( x \not\equiv \delta, r\delta/(r+1) \mod \Lambda \). Denote by \( x_0 \) the residue of \( x \mod \Lambda \), and put \( x = x_0 + z \). It is known that we can take \( x_0 \in k_1 \). Expanding in powers of \( z \), we get
\[ x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r+1)x_0)z + \cdots = y^p - x_0^r(\delta - x_0). \]
The coefficients on the left are integers in \( k_1 \). The resulting equation will have a solution for \( \text{ord}(z) > 0 \) only if
\[ y^p \equiv x_0^r(\delta - x_0) \mod \Lambda, \]
and, equivalently,
\[ y \equiv (x_0^r(\delta - x_0))^{p^{f-1}} \mod \Lambda, \]
where \( f \) is the degree of \( k_1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \).

Set \( y_1 = (x_0^r(\delta - x_0))^{p^{f-1}} \in k_1 \). Then there exists a unique solution \( z_1 \equiv 0 \mod \Lambda \) of the equation
\[ x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r+1)x_0)z + \cdots = y_1^p - x_0^r(\delta - x_0), \]
and \( z_1 \in k_1 \). Then \( x_1 = x_0 + z_1 \) and \( y_1 \) for a solution of the equation \( x^r(\delta - x) = y^p \) in \( k_1 \).

Now set \( x = x_1 + z \) and perform similar transformations:
\[ x_1^{r-1}(r\delta - (r+1)x_1)z + \cdots = y^p - y_1^p. \]
Put
\[ y = y_1(1 + v), \quad A = \frac{y_1^p}{x_1^{r-1}(r\delta - (r+1)x_1)}. \]
The equation changes into the form
\[ z + c_2z^2 + \cdots = A((1 + v)^p - 1) = Av^p + pw, \]
\[ v, w \in L, \quad \text{ord}(v), \text{ord}(w) > 0. \]
Consequently,
\[ z = Av^p + A_1v^{2p} + \cdots + pw', \]
\[ A, A_1, \cdots \in k_1, \text{ord}(A), \text{ord}(A_1) \cdots \geq 0, w' \in L, \text{ord}(w') > 0. \]
We calculate \( \text{Tr}_{L/L_1}(z) \). Since \( L_1 \) is unramified over \( k \), and \( \text{ord}(v), \text{ord}(w') > 0 \),
\[ \text{Tr}_{L/L_1}(v) \equiv \text{Tr}_{L/L_1}(w') \equiv 0 \mod \lambda. \]
Applying [Fad61a, Lemmas 3, 4], we get
\[ \text{Tr}_{L/L_1}(z) \equiv \text{Tr}_{L/L_1}(z^2) \equiv \cdots \equiv 0 \mod \lambda^p. \]
Therefore
\[ N_{L/L_1}(x) = N_{L/L_1}(x_1)N_{L/L_1}(1 + x_1^{-1}z) \equiv x_1^{[L:L_1]} \mod \lambda p. \]
Consequently,
\[ N_{L/k}(x) \equiv N_{L_1/k}(x)^{[L:L_1]} \mod \lambda p. \]
But \( N_{L_1/k}(x_1) = N_{k_1/Q}(x_1) \in Q_p \), and hence \( N_{L/k}(x) \) is congruent to rational numbers modulo \( \lambda p \). Consequently, \( N_{L/k}(x) \) falls into the subgroup of \( k^\times/k^\times p \) generated by \( u_{p-1} \) and \( u_p \).

Next we show that for \( p \geq 5 \), \( x \) can be taken so that \( N_{L/k}(x) \) is a non-trivial element in \( U_{p-1} \). Let
\[ \varphi(x) = \frac{(1 - x)^p - 1 + x^p}{p} = x \left( x^{p-2} - \cdots + \frac{p-1}{2}x - 1 \right), \]
and define a map:
\[ \varphi: \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p, \quad x \mapsto \varphi(x). \]

**Lemma 4.5.** Suppose \( p \geq 7 \). Then the cardinalities \( |\varphi^{-1}(0)| \leq p - 3 \) and \( |\varphi^{-1}(a)| \leq p - 4 \) for all \( a \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** It is known that \( \varphi(x) \) is divisible by \( x^2 - x + 1 \) if \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \), and \( \varphi(x) \) is divisible by \( (x^2 - x + 1)^2 \) if \( p \equiv 1 \mod 6 \). Therefore the number of distinct solutions of \( \varphi(x) = 0 \) is at most \( p - 3 \). If \( p \equiv 1 \mod 6 \), then \( x^2 - x + 1 \) has two distinct roots which are different from 0, 1. Hence \( |\varphi^{-1}(0)| \geq 4 \), and the lemma holds in this case. In the following we give a uniform proof for prime \( p > 5 \). The lemma is valid for \( p = 7 \), and we suppose \( p > 7 \).

It is easily seen that
\[ \varphi(x) = \varphi(1 - x), \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_p. \]
By direct calculation, we have
\[ \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0, \quad \varphi(2) = \frac{2^p - 2}{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right) = \frac{2^{-(p-1)} - 1}{p}. \]
And \( \varphi(2) = \varphi((p+1)/2) \) if and only if
\[ \frac{2^p - 2}{p} = 0. \]
If \( \varphi(2) = \varphi((p+1)/2) \), then 0, 1, 2, \( p - 1 \), \( (p+1)/2 \) are distinct elements in the fibre \( \varphi^{-1}(0) \), and hence the cardinality of other fibers are \( \leq p - 5 \).

Next suppose \( \varphi(2) \neq \varphi((p+1)/2) \), and hence
\[ \frac{2^p - 2}{p} \neq 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \varphi(2) \neq 0. \]
Since the \( \varphi(0) = \varphi(1), \varphi(2) = \varphi(p - 1) \) and \( \varphi((p+1)/2) \) are all distinct, we see
\[ |\varphi^{-1}(0)| \leq p - 3, \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi^{-1}(a)| \leq p - 4 \quad \text{for any} \quad a \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(2). \]
The inequality
\[ |\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(2))| \leq p - 5 \]
follows from the claim
\[ \varphi(2) \neq \varphi(3), \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(2) \neq \varphi(4). \]
By the assumption $p > 7$, we have $4 < (p - 1)/2$. Suppose the contrary

$$\varphi(2) = \varphi(3) = \varphi(4),$$
equivalently,

$$\frac{2^p - 2}{p} = \frac{-2^p - 1 + 3^p}{p} = \frac{-3^p - 1 + 4^p}{p}.
$$

Then

$$3^p \equiv 2^{p+1} - 1 \mod p^2, \quad 3^p \equiv 2^{p-1}(2^p + 1) \mod p^2.
$$

Suppose

$$2^{p-1} = 1 + pt, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Then

$$2^{p-1}(2^p + 1) \equiv 3 + 5pt \mod p^2, \quad 2^{p+1} - 1 \equiv 3 + 4pt \mod p^2.
$$

It follows that $t \equiv 0 \mod p$. Hence

$$2^{p-1} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p^2 \text{ and } \varphi(2) = 0,
$$

which contradicts with the assumption $\varphi(2) \neq \varphi((p+1)/2)$.

\[\square\]

We choose $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ so that $x_0^p = x_0$, and therefore $y_1 = x_0^r(\delta - x_0)$. The equation for $z_1$ is

$$x_0^{r-1}(r\delta - (r + 1)x_0)z + \cdots = y_1^p - y_1
\quad = x_0^p((\delta - x_0)^p - (\delta - x_0))
\quad = px_0^r\left(\frac{\delta^p - \delta}{p} + \delta^p \varphi\left(\frac{x_0}{\delta}\right)\right).
$$

Note that $\varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0$. We can choose $x_0$ with additional properties:

$$\frac{x_0}{\delta} \not\equiv 0, 1, \frac{r}{r+1} \mod p,
$$

and

$$\frac{\delta^p - \delta}{p} + \delta^p \varphi\left(\frac{x_0}{\delta}\right) \not\equiv 0 \mod p.
$$

When $p \geq 7$, this is achieved by Lemma 4.5. Such a choice of $x_0$ holds for the case $p = 5$

just by noting

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0, \quad \varphi(2) = \varphi(4) = 1, \quad \varphi(3) = 2,
$$

and

$$\frac{\delta^5 - \delta}{5} + \delta^5 \not\equiv 0 \mod 5.
$$

Then by [Fad61a, Lemma 1],

$$z_1 \equiv px_0\left(\frac{\delta^p - \delta}{p} + \delta^p \varphi\left(\frac{x_0}{\delta}\right)\right) \mod p^2,
$$

and

$$x_1 = x_0 + z_1 \equiv x_0\left(1 + \frac{p\left(\frac{\delta^p - \delta}{p} + \delta^p \varphi\left(\frac{x_0}{\delta}\right)\right)}{r\delta - (r + 1)x_0}\right) \mod p^2.
$$
Further, $x_0^p = x_0$, so that
\[ x_1 \equiv u_{p-1}^c \mod k^p, \]
where
\[ c \equiv -\frac{\delta^p - \delta}{r^\delta - (r + 1)x_0} \neq 0 \mod p. \]

**Case V.** In this case,
\[ x \equiv \frac{r\delta}{r + 1} \mod \Lambda. \]
We set $x = r\delta/(r + 1) + z$, and expanding the left-hand side of $x^r(\delta - x) = y^p$ by the Taylor expansion, we obtain
\[ -(\frac{r\delta)^r-1}{2(r + 1)^{r-2}} z^2 + \cdots = y^p - \frac{r^r\delta^{r+1}}{(r + 1)^{r+1}}, \]
where the coefficients with respect to powers of $z$ are $p$-adic integral rational numbers. Equation (4.2) can have a solution for $z \equiv 0 \mod \Lambda$ only when
\[ y \equiv \frac{r^r\delta^{r+1}}{(r + 1)^{r+1}} \mod \Lambda. \]
We set
\[ y = \frac{r^r\delta^{r+1}}{(r + 1)^{r+1}}(1 + v). \]
Then Equation (4.2) transforms into
\[ z^2(1 + a_3z + \cdots) = A((1 + v)^p - 1) - pB, \]
where
\[ A = -\left(\frac{r^r\delta^{r+1}}{(r + 1)^{r+1}}\right)^p \cdot \frac{2(r + 1)^{r-2}}{(r\delta)^{r-1}}, \]
\[ B = p^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{r^r\delta^{r+1}}{(r + 1)^{r+1}}\right)^{p-1} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{2r\delta^2}{(r + 1)^3}. \]
The coefficients $A, B, a_3, \cdots$ are all $p$-adic integral rational numbers. Further investigations should be conducted by distinguishing several subcases.

**V-A.** $\text{ord}(v) < 1$. Then
\[ \text{ord}(v) \leq 1 - \frac{2}{p - 1}, \text{ and } \text{ord}(v^p) \leq p - \frac{2p}{p - 1} < p - 1 = \text{ord}(p), \]
so that the least-valuation term on the right-hand side of Equation (4.3) is $Aw^p$. For the solvability it is necessary and sufficient that the right-hand side of Equation (4.3) is a square, by [Fad61a, Lemma 2], for which, it is necessary and sufficient that $v = Aw^2$, $w \in L$. Then the right-hand side of (4.3) takes the form
\[ A^{p+1}w^{2p} - pB + A^2pw^2 + \cdots = A^{p+1}w^{2p}(1 - pw^{-2p}B_1 + pw^{2-2p}B_2 + \cdots), \]
with $p$-adic integral $B_1, B_2, \cdots$. The square root of the right-hand side will be look like:
\[ A^{(p+1)/2}w^p(1 - pw^{-2p}B_1 + pw^{2-2p}B_2 + \cdots)^{1/2} = C_0w^p + C_1pw^{-p} + \gamma_1p^w^{-3p} + \gamma_2pw^{-2p}, \]
where $C_0$ and $C_1$ are $p$-adic integral rational numbers, $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are $p$-adic integral in $L$. 37
We estimate the orders of $\gamma_1 p^2 w^{-3p}$ and $\gamma_2 pw^{2-p}$, taking into account that
\[ \ord(w) \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p-1}. \]
We have
\[ \ord(p^2 w^{-3p}) = 2(p-1) - 3\ord(w) > \frac{p+1}{2}, \]
\[ \ord(pw^{2-p}) = p - 1 - (p-2)\ord(w) > \frac{p+1}{2}. \]
So the equation for determining $z$ is
\[ z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots = C_0 w^p + C_1 pw^{-p} + \lambda^{(p+1)/2} \gamma, \]
for $\gamma \in L, \ord(\gamma) > 0$. Hence,
\[ z = \Phi(w^p) + C_1 pw^{-p} + \lambda^{(p+1)/2} \gamma', \]
with $\gamma' \in L, \ord(\gamma') > 0, \Phi$– a polynomial in $w^p$ with $p$-adic integral coefficients and with a zero constant term.
According to [Fad61a, Lemmas 3, 4],
\[ \Tr_{L/k}(\Phi(w^p)) \equiv 0 \mod \lambda^p, \quad \ord(\Tr_{L/k}(\gamma')) \geq 1, \]
and
\[ \ord(\Tr_{L/k}(pw^{-p})) = \ord(\Tr_{L/k}(-\lambda^{-1}(\lambda/w)^p)) \]
\[ \geq -1 + \min(\ord(\Tr_{L/k}(\lambda/w)), p - 1 + \ord(\lambda/w)) \]
\[ \geq p - 1. \]
Therefore
\[ \Tr_{L/k}(z) \equiv \Tr_{L/k}(z^2) \equiv \cdots \equiv 0 \mod \lambda^{(p+3)/2}. \]
Hence,
\[ N_{L/k}(x) = N_{L/k} \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} + z \right) \equiv \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} \right)^{[L:k]} \mod \lambda^{(p+3)/2}, \]
and consequently, $N_{L/k}(x)$ falls into the subgroup generated by $u_i, i \geq (p+3)/2$.

V-B. Suppose now $\ord(v) = 1$, and $v = \lambda \alpha$ with $\ord(\alpha) = 0$. In this case the right-hand side of Equation (4.3) is equal to
\[ A(p\lambda(\alpha - \alpha^p) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \lambda^2 \alpha^2 + \cdots) + B\lambda^{p-1}. \]
We set
\[ A(p\lambda(\alpha - \alpha^p) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \lambda^2 \alpha^2 + \cdots) + B\lambda^{p-1} = u^2, \]
which must be satisfied in the field $L$ for the solvability of Equation (4.3). This case is broken up into three cases.
(a). $B \equiv 0 \mod p$. Then
\[ \ord(u) \geq p/2, \quad \ord(z) \geq p/2, \quad \ord(z^2) \geq p, \]
\[ \ord(\Tr_{L/k}(z)) \geq (p+1)/2, \quad \ord(\Tr_{L/k}(z^2)) \geq p, \]
According to [Fad61a, Lemma 1],
\[ z = b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} + c_1 b\lambda^{(p+1)/2}(\alpha - \alpha^p) + \gamma\lambda^{(p+3)/2}, \quad \text{ord}(\gamma') \geq 0. \]

Note
\[ \text{Tr}_{L/k}(\alpha - \alpha^p) \equiv 0 \mod \lambda. \]

Hence,
\[ N_{L/k}(x) = N_{L/k} \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} + z \right) \equiv \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} \right)^{[L:k]} \cdot \left( 1 + [L : k] \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} \right)^{-1} b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} \right) \mod \lambda^{(p+3)/2}. \]

Thus, \( N_{L/k}(x) \) falls into the subgroup generated by \( u_i, i \geq (p + 3)/2 \).

(c). \( \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) = -1 \) so that \( B = b^2 \) for some \( b \), belonging to the unramified quadratic extension \( \mathbb{Q}_{p^2} \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). In this case, as well as in the previous one,
\[ z = b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} + c_1 b\lambda^{(p+1)/2}(\alpha - \alpha^p) + \gamma\lambda^{(p+3)/2}. \]

Now \( \text{Tr}(b\lambda^{(p-1)/2}) = 0. \) But the trace of the second term can have order \( (p + 1)/2 \), for this it is sufficient to take, for example, \( \alpha = b \), since then
\[ b(\alpha - \alpha^p) = b^2(1 - b^{p-1}) = b^2(1 - B^{(p-1)/2}) \equiv 2B \mod (p). \]

Thus, in this case
\[ N_{L/k}(x) = \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} \right)^{[L:k]} N_{L/k} \left( 1 + \frac{r+1}{r\delta} z \right) \]
falls into the group spanned by \( u_i, i \geq (p + 1)/2 \), and there exists an element with a non-trivial component for \( u_{(p+1)/2} \).

V-C. Suppose now that \( \text{ord}(v) > 1, v = \lambda w \). In this case, the right-hand side of Equation (4.3) has the form:
\[ Ap\lambda w + C p\lambda^2 w^2 + \cdots + B\lambda^{p-1} = u^2. \]

Again, we shall consider three subcases.

(a). \( B \equiv 0 \mod (p) \). In this case
\[ \text{ord}(u) > p/2, \quad \text{ord}(z) > p/2, \quad \text{ord}(\text{Tr}(z)) \geq (p + 1)/2, \]
so that
\[ N_{L/k}(x) = N_{L/k} \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} + z \right) \]
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falls into the subgroup spanned by \( u_i, i \geq (p + 1)/2 \). For any \( i \) in the interval \((p + 1)/2 \leq i \leq p - 2\), one can take \( L = k, w = -A\lambda^{2i-p} \). With this choice,
\[
    u^2 = A^2\lambda^{2i} + \cdots, \quad u \in k, \quad \text{ord}(u) = \text{ord}(z) = a,
\]
\[
    x = N_{L/k}(x) = \frac{r\delta}{r+1}(1 + c\lambda^i), \quad c \neq 0 \mod (\lambda).
\]
Thus, in the group generated by \( N_{L/k}(x) \), there are elements with non-zero exponents for \( u_i \) for each \( i \) in the interval \((p + 1)/2 \leq i \leq p - 2\).

(b). \( \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) = +1 \), and so \( B = b^2, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p \). In this case,
\[
    u^2 = b^2\lambda^{p-1} + Ap\lambda w + \cdots;
\]
\[
    u = b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} - \frac{1}{2}Ab^{-1}\lambda^{(p+1)/2}w + \gamma\lambda^{(p+3)/2}w;
\]
\[
    \gamma \in L, \quad \text{ord}(\gamma) > 0.
\]
Then
\[
    z = b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} - \frac{1}{2}Ab^{-1}\lambda^{(p+1)/2}w + \gamma'\lambda^{(p+3)/2}w, \quad \gamma' \in L, \quad \text{ord}(\gamma') \geq 0,
\]
\[
    \text{Tr}_{L/k}(z) = [L : k]b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} + c, \quad c \in k, \quad \text{ord}(c) \geq (p + 3)/2,
\]
so \( N_{L/k}(x) \) falls into the subgroup spanned by \( u_{(p-1)/2} \) and \( u_i, i \geq (p + 3)/2 \).

For any \( i \) from the interval \((p + 3)/2 \leq i \leq p - 2\), we can take \( L = k, w = \lambda^{i-(p+1)/2} \). With this choice
\[
    z = \text{Tr}_{L/k}(z) = b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} - \frac{1}{2}Ab^{-1}\lambda^i + c, \quad c \in k, \quad \text{ord}(c) > i,
\]
and the exponents for \( u_{(p-1)/2} \) and \( u_i \) in \( N_{L/k}(x) \) turn out to be different from 0.

(c). \( \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) = -1 \), so that \( B = b^2 \) for some \( b \), belonging to the unramified quadratic extension \( \mathbb{Q}_{p^2} \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). As in the previous case,
\[
    z = b\lambda^{(p-1)/2} - \frac{1}{2}Ab^{-1}\lambda^{(p+1)/2}w + \gamma'\lambda^{(p+3)/2}w, \quad \text{ord}(\gamma') > 0,
\]
Now we have \( L = k \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{p^2} \) and
\[
    \text{Tr}_{L/k}(z) = -\frac{1}{2}4\lambda^{(p+1)/2}\text{Tr}_{L/k}(b^{-1}w) + \lambda^{p+3/2}\text{Tr}_{L/k}(\gamma'w) \equiv 0 \mod \lambda^{(p+3)/2},
\]
and
\[
    N_{L/k}(x) = \left( \frac{r\delta}{r+1} \right)^{[L : k]} N_{L/k} \left( 1 + \frac{r+1}{r\delta}z \right)
\]
falls in a group spanned by \( u_i, i \geq (p + 3)/2 \). We can take, for any \( i \) from the interval \((p + 3)/2 \leq i \leq p - 2\), that \( L = k \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, [L : k] = 2 \), and \( w = b\lambda^{i-(p+1)/2} \). Then
\[
    \text{Tr}_{L/k}(z) = -A\lambda^i + c', \quad \text{ord}(c') > i,
\]
and \( N_{L/k}(x) \) has a non-zero exponent for \( u_i \).

To summarize, in all the cases examined, \( N_{L/k}(x) \) falls into the subgroup of the group \( k^\times/k^{\times p} \) spanned by \( u_i, (p - 1)/2 \leq i \leq p \). This subgroup is invariant under the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \), and has multiplicity one for each occurring eigen-space under the Galois action. Analysis of all cases gives the following theorem.
Recall we have set
\[ B = p^{-1} \left( \frac{r^p \delta^{r+1}}{(r+1)^{r+1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \cdot \frac{2r \delta^2}{(r+1)^3}. \]

Theorem 4.6. Suppose the triple \((r, s, t) = (r, 1, p - r - 1)\) with \(1 \leq r \leq p - 2\) and \(\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{xp}\) is an integer such that \(p \nmid \delta\). The Kummer image \(\text{Im}(\delta_\Pi)\) is the subgroup of \(K_\Pi^\times / K_\Pi^{xp}\) generated by \(u_i, (p + 3)/2 \leq i \leq p\), and, in addition to them, \(u_{(p-1)/2}\) if \(\left( \frac{B}{p} \right) = +1\), and \(u_{(p+1)/2}\) if \(\left( \frac{B}{p} \right) = -1\) or \(B \equiv 0 \mod p\).

Proof. The theorem is a summary of all the above examined cases.

4.4. Selmer groups of Jacobian varieties of Fermat curves. Suppose that all the prime ideals of \(K\), which divide \(\delta\), are principal ideals (for example, all rational prime factors of \(\delta\) are inert in \(K\)). Denote \(S = \{V : V \nmid p \delta\}\), the set of places of \(K\) dividing \(p \delta\), and \(R = \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{p \delta}]\).

Then \(R^\times\) is the group of \(S\)-units in \(K\). The torsion subgroup of \(R^\times\) is the group of roots of unity, and by Dirichlet theorem, the free part of \(R^\times\) has \(\mathbb{Z}\)-rank \(|S| + (p - 3)/2\).

The \(\acute{e}tale\) cohomology group
\[ H^1_{\acute{e}t}(R, J_\delta[\Pi]) \simeq \{ x \in K^\times / K^{xp} : \text{ord}_{\Pi_v}(x) \equiv 0 \mod p \text{ for all places } V \nmid p \delta. \} \]

By our hypothesis, the prime ideals of \(K\), which divide \(p \delta\), are all principal. If \(x \in K^\times / K^{xp}\) represents an element of \(H^1(R, J_\delta[\Pi])\), then for some fractional ideal \(a\) of \(K\), the ideal \((x^{-1})a^p\) is supported on \(S\). The assignment \(x \mapsto a\) induces a short exact sequence:
\[ 0 \to R^\times / R^{xp} \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(R, J_\delta[\Pi]) \to \text{Cl}(K)[p] \to 0. \]

Since each class of the Selmer group \(\text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi) \subset H^1(K, J_\delta[\Pi])\) is unramified at any place \(V \nmid p \delta\), the Selmer group \(\text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi)\) is a subgroup of \(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(R, J_\delta[\Pi])\).

Let \(\alpha : \text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi) \to \text{Cl}(K)[p]\) be the composite of
\[ \text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\acute{e}t}(R, J_\delta[\Pi]) \to \text{Cl}(K)[p]. \]

Then
\[ \text{Ker}(\alpha) = \{ x \in R^\times / R^{xp} : x_V \in \text{Im}(\delta_V) \text{ for all } V. \} \]
and we have an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \text{Ker}(\alpha) \to \text{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Cl}(K)[p]. \]

Let \(\sigma : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})\) be the Artin reciprocity map such that \(\omega^{a \sigma} = \omega^a\) for \(a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times\). Let \(g \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times\) be a primitive root modulo \(p\). For \(2 \leq i \leq p - 3\) even, define
\[ E_i = \prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left( \omega^{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\omega^g - 1}{\omega - 1}} a^{i \sigma a^{-1}} \right) \in \mathcal{O}^\times(i). \]

Denote \(i(p)\) the index of irregularity of \(p\), i.e. the number of Bernoulli numbers \(B_{2k}\), \(2 \leq 2k \leq p - 1\), such that \(\text{ord}_p(B_{2k}) > 0\).
Theorem 4.7. Suppose $p \geq 5$ and suppose the triple $(r, s, t) = (r, 1, p - r - 1)$ with $1 \leq r \leq p - 2$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times p}$ is an integer such that $p \not| \delta$ and that each prime ideal of $K$ dividing $\delta$ is principal. Let $k(\delta)$ be the number of distinct prime ideals of $K$ dividing $\delta$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{\delta/K}, \Pi \right) \leq k(\delta) + \frac{p-3}{4} + i(p) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p].$$

Proof. It follows from the exact sequence (4.6) that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{\delta/K}, \Pi \right) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \ker(\alpha) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p].$$

The dimension of $R^\times / R^{\times p}$ is $|S| + (p - 1)/2 = k(\delta) + (p + 1)/2$. We shall find out certain nontrivial classes $x \in (R^\times / R^{\times p})(i)$ such that $x \not\in \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ for $0 \leq i \leq (p - 3)/2$. For $i = 0, 1$, by Theorem 4.6, we may take $x = p, \omega$ respectively. If $i$ is even and $2 \leq i \leq (p - 3)/2$ and if $p \not| B_i$, then by [Was97, Theorem 8.16], $E_i$ is not a $p$-th power, and again by Theorem 4.6 we may take $x = E_i$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Ker}(\alpha) \leq k(\delta) + \frac{p-3}{2} - \# \{ k : 2 \leq 2k \leq (p - 3)/2, p \not| B_{2k} \}$$

$$\leq k(\delta) + \frac{p-3}{2} - \left[ \frac{p-3}{4} \right] + i(p)$$

$$\leq k(\delta) + \frac{p-3}{4} + i(p)$$

\[ \square \]

By Ribet’s converse to Herbrand’s theorem [Rib76],

$$i(p) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p].$$

Therefore, we have

Corollary 4.8. Let the notations be as in Theorem 4.7. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{\delta/K}, \Pi \right) \leq k(\delta) + \frac{p-3}{4} + 2 \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Cl}(K)[p].$$

Recall $F$ is the maximal real subfield of $K$. If the prime $p$ satisfies the Vandiver’s conjecture which asserts that $\text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0$, then the $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})]$-module structure of quotient $R^\times / R^{\times p}$ can be determined and we can use this to determine the structure of the Selmer group $\text{Sel} \left( J_{\delta/K}, \Pi \right)$.

Proposition 4.9. Suppose that $\text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0$ and that each rational prime factor of $\delta$ is inert in $K$. Then

$$R^\times / R^{\times p} = \left( \bigoplus_{i\equiv 0 \mod 2} R^\times / R^{\times p}(i) \right) \bigoplus R^\times / R^{\times p}(1),$$

and

$$R^\times / R^{\times p}(0) = \langle \ell \rangle_{\ell \in S}, \quad R^\times / R^{\times p}(1) = \langle \omega \rangle, \quad R^\times / R^{\times p}(i) = \langle E_i \rangle.$$
Proposition 4.10. Suppose $p \geq 5$ satisfies $\text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0$. Suppose the triple $(r, s, t) = (r, 1, p - r - 1)$ with $1 \leq r \leq p - 2$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times p}$ is an integer such that $p \nmid \delta$ and that each rational prime factor of $\delta$ is inert in $K$. Let $k(\delta)$ be the number of distinct rational primes dividing $\delta$. If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, the $\mathbb{F}_p$-space $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ is spanned by

$$\ell \mid \delta, \quad E_i, \quad (p + 3)/2 \leq i \leq p - 3 \text{ even},$$

and in addition to them, $E_{(p-1)/2}$ if $\left(\frac{B}{p}\right) = +1$. If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, the $\mathbb{F}_p$-space $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ is spanned by

$$\ell \mid \delta, \quad E_i, \quad (p + 5)/2 \leq i \leq p - 3 \text{ even},$$

and in addition to them, $E_{(p+1)/2}$ if $\left(\frac{B}{p}\right) = -1$ or $B \equiv 0 \mod p$. In particular,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Ker}(\alpha) = k(\delta) + \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 3 + 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4; \\
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 5 - 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4;
\end{cases}$$

where we accept the convention that $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$ and

$$B = p^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{r^r \delta^{r+1}}{(r + 1)^{r+1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{2r^2 \delta^2}{(r + 1)^3}.$$
For places $V \nmid p\ell$, $\ell \in H_{ur}^1(K_V, J_\delta[\Pi])$. Furthermore, if $V \mid \ell'$ where $\ell' \mid \delta$ is a rational prime. We have a unique factorization in $K_\ell^\times$: $$\ell = ut, \quad u \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times, t \in 1 + \ell\mathcal{O}_{K, \nu}.$$ Since $\ell'$ is inert in $K$, $(\ell' - 1, p) = 1$ and therefore $\ell$ is a $p$-th power in $K_\ell^\times$. In particular, $\ell \in \operatorname{Im}(\delta_\nu)$.

By the hypothesis $p \geq 5$, it follows immediately that $\omega \notin \operatorname{Im}(\delta_\Pi)$ by Theorem 4.6. Next consider the generators $E_2, E_4, \cdots, E_{p-3}$. For all $V \nmid p$,

$$H^1(K_V, J_\delta[\Pi]) \simeq K_\ell^\times/K_\ell^\times p = \langle H_V \rangle \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times/\mathbb{F}_p^\times p.$$ Clearly for all even $2 \leq i \leq p - 3$, as units,

$$E_i \in H_{ur}^1(K_V, J_\delta[\Pi]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^\times/\mathbb{F}_p^\times p.$$ Suppose $V = \ell \mid \delta$ and let $v$ be the place of $F$ above $\ell$. Since $\ell$ is inert in $K$, the order of $\ell \mod p$ is $p - 1$, and hence $p \mid \ell^{(p-1)/2} - 1 = |\mathbb{F}_v^\times|$. In particular, $\mathbb{F}_v^\times = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. As elements of $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$,

$$E_i = ut, \quad u \in \mathbb{F}_v^\times, t \in 1 + \ell\mathcal{O}_{F, \ell}$$ all lie in $\mathbb{F}_v^\times p$. In particular, for $V \mid \delta$, $E_i \in \operatorname{Im}(\delta_\nu)$.

Finally, track the $E_i$’s which satisfy the Kummer conditions in Theorem 4.6 just by noting

$$E_i \in K_\Pi/K_\Pi^\times p(i)$$ for all even $2 \leq i \leq p - 3$. \hfill $\square$

Now if the Vandiver’s conjecture holds for the prime $p$, we have a much sharper bound for the Selmer groups.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let the notations be as in Proposition 4.10. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \operatorname{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \operatorname{Cl}(K)[p] + k(\delta) + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \left( p - 3 + 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4; \\ \frac{1}{4} \left( p - 5 - 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** This follows from the exact sequence (4.6) and Proposition 4.10. \hfill $\square$

Moreover, if the prime $p$ is regular, i.e. $\operatorname{Cl}(K)[p] = 0$, we can explicitly determine the structure of the Selmer groups.

**Theorem 4.12.** Suppose $p \geq 5$ satisfies $\operatorname{Cl}(K)[p] = 0$. Suppose the triple $(r, s, t) = (r, 1, p - r - 1)$ with $1 \leq r \leq p - 2$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times p$ is an integer such that $p \nmid \delta$ and that each rational prime factor of $\delta$ is inert in $K$. Let $k(\delta)$ be the number of distinct rational primes dividing $\delta$. If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, under the identification (4.4), the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi)$ is the subgroup generated by

$$\ell \mid \delta, \quad E_i, \quad (p + 3)/2 \leq i \leq p - 3 \text{ even},$$

and in addition to them, $E_{(p-1)/2}$ if $\left( \frac{B}{p} \right) = +1$. If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}(J_\delta/K, \Pi)$ is the subgroup generated by

$$\ell \mid \delta, \quad E_i, \quad (p + 5)/2 \leq i \leq p - 3 \text{ even},$$
and in addition to them, $E_{(p+1)/2}$ if $\left( \frac{p}{p} \right) = -1$ or $B \equiv 0 \mod p$. In particular,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{\delta/K}, \Pi \right) = k(\delta) + \begin{cases} \
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 3 + 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4; \\
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 5 - 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4; 
\end{cases}$$

where we accept the convention that $\left( \frac{0}{p} \right) = -1$ and $B$ is as in Proposition 4.10.

Proof. Suppose $p$ is regular, i.e. $\text{Cl}(K)[p] = 0$. In particular, $\text{Cl}(F)[p] = 0$. The theorem follows from the exact sequence (4.6) and Proposition 4.10.

Remark 4.1. If $p = 3$, then $r = s = t = 1$ and both $F_{\delta} : x^3 + y^3 = \delta$ and $C_{\delta} : y^3 = x(\delta - x)$ are elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. The 3-Selmer groups of these elliptic curves via 3-descent method have been extensively investigated by Satgé [Sat86]. For the Jacobian varieties of the Fermat curves $X^p + Y^p = 1$, Faddeev [Fad61a] calculated the Selmer groups of these Jacobian varieties when $p \geq 5$ is regular.

For fixed $1 \leq r \leq p - 2$, denote

$$S_{\delta} = S_{r,1,p-r-1,\delta} = \dim_K J_{\delta}(K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel} \left( J_{\delta/K}, \Pi \right).$$

Corollary 4.13. Let the notations be as in Theorem 4.12. We have

$$S_{\delta} = k(\delta) + \begin{cases} \
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 7 + 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4; \\
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 9 - 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4. 
\end{cases}$$

Remark 4.2. This is compatible with [GR78, Proposition 4.1] for the case $\delta = 1$. Note there is a sign error in the second formula of [GR78, Proposition 4.1].

Proof. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_{\delta}(K)/[\Pi]J_{\delta}(K) \rightarrow \text{Sel}(J_{\delta/K}, \Pi) \rightarrow \text{Sel} \left( J_{\delta/K}, \Pi \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \frac{J_{\delta}(K)/[\Pi]J_{\delta}(K)}{} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \frac{J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{tor}}/\left[\Pi\right]J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{tor}}}{\text{Sel}(J_{\delta/K}, \Pi)} + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \frac{J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{fr}}/\left[\Pi\right]J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{fr}}}{},$$

where $J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{tor}}$ and $J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{fr}}$ denotes the torsion part and the free part respectively. By Proposition 2.2,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \frac{J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{tor}}/\left[\Pi\right]J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{tor}}}{\text{Sel}(J_{\delta/K}, \Pi)} = 1.$$ 

Since

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \frac{J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{fr}}/\left[\Pi\right]J_{\delta}(K)_{\text{fr}}}{\text{Sel}(J_{\delta/K}, \Pi)} = \dim_K J_{\delta}(K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K,$$

we have

$$S_{\delta} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel}(J_{\delta/K}, \Pi) - 1.$$

□
5. The Parity Conjecture

Fix the triple \((r, s, t)\) of integers with \(r, s, t > 0\) and \(r + s + t = p\). Let \(\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times p\) be an integer. The curve

\[ C_{r,s,t;\delta} : y^p = x^r(\delta - x)^s \]

and its Jacobian variety \(J_{r,s,t;\delta}\) are both rational over \(\mathbb{Q}\). As is discussed in §2.2, the complete \(L\)-function \(\Lambda \left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q}\right)\) of \(J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q}\) satisfies Hecke’s functional equation

\[ \Lambda \left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q}\right) = \epsilon \left(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}\right) \Lambda \left(2 - s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q}\right), \]

and it follows immediately that

\[ \epsilon \left(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}\right) = (-1)^{\text{ord}_{s=1} \Lambda \left(s, J_{r,s,t;\delta}/\mathbb{Q}\right)}. \]

Denote the Selmer rank as

\[ S_{r,s,t;\delta} = \dim_K J_{r,s,t;\delta}(K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{III} \left(J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K\right) \]\n
By the theorem of Mordell-Weil,

\[ J_{r,s,t;\delta}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{R_{r,s,t;\delta}} \bigoplus J_{r,s,t;\delta}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}. \]

As one easily show that

\[ \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} J_{r,s,t;\delta}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \dim_K J_{r,s,t;\delta}(K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K, \]

and there is conjecturally a non-degenerate alternating pairing on \(\text{III} \left(J_{r,s,t;\delta}/K\right)\), one should have

\[ R_{r,s,t;\delta} \equiv S_{r,s,t;\delta} \mod 2. \]

Hence by the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, we should have a parity:

\[ \epsilon \left(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}\right) = (-1)^{S_{r,s,t;\delta}}. \]

We are ready to verify this parity for the cases where the Selmer groups are explicitly determined by Theorem 4.12.

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose \(p \geq 5\) is regular, i.e. \(\text{Cl}(K)[p] = 0\) and \((r, s, t)\) is a triple with \(r, s, t > 0\) and \(r + s + t = p\). Suppose \(\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times p\) is an integer such that \(p \nmid \delta\) and that each rational prime factor of \(\delta\) is inert in \(K\). Then

\[ \epsilon \left(\pi_{r,s,t;\delta}\right) = (-1)^{S_{r,s,t;\delta}}. \]

**Proof.** As is noted that if

\[ (r', s', t') = h(r, s, t) + p(i, j, k), \]

with \(r', s', t' > 0\), and \(r' + s' + t' = p\). Then we have a commutative diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{r,s,t} & \xrightarrow{F_{\delta}} & \varphi_{r',s',t'} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C_{r,s,t;\delta} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r',s',t'}} & C_{r',s',t';\delta} \\
\end{array} \]

where the horizontal arrow is

\[ (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^{h_i} x^i (1 - x)^j). \]
Since $h$ is prime to $p$, this map is a birational equivalence. Without loss of generality, we may suppose $s = 1$ and take $1 \leq r \leq p - 2$.

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be such that

$$d = p^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{r^r \delta^{r+1}(r + 1)^p}{(r + 1)^{r+1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right) \mod p.$$ 

Since $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times$ has cardinality $p(p - 1)$,

$$(r + 1)^{p(p-1)} \equiv 1 \mod p^2,$$

and hence

$$B = p^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{r^r \delta^{r+1}}{(r + 1)^{r+1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{2r \delta^2}{(r + 1)^3} \equiv d \frac{2r(r + 1)\delta^2}{(r + 1)^4} \mod p.$$

On the other hand, put

$$s = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 7 + 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4; \\
\frac{1}{4} \left( p - 9 - 2 \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \right), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4.
\end{cases}$$

Here we accept the convention $\left( \frac{a}{p} \right) = -1$ as before. It is straightforward to verify that

\begin{equation}
(5.1) \quad (-1)^s = - \left( \frac{B}{p} \right) \left( \frac{2}{p} \right).
\end{equation}

First suppose $u(r^r(-r - 1)^{p-(r+1)\delta^{r+1}}) \geq 2$, or equivalently

$$B \equiv d \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

By Theorem 3.13, Corollary 4.13 and (5.1), we have

$$\epsilon(\pi_\delta) = (-1)^{k(\delta)} \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) = (-1)^{S_\delta}.$$

Next we suppose $u(r^r(-r - 1)^{p-(r+1)\delta^{r+1}}) = 1$. Then

$$B \equiv d \frac{2r(r + 1)\delta^2}{(r + 1)^4} \neq 0 \mod p.$$

Again by Theorem 3.13, Corollary 4.13 and (5.1), we have

$$\epsilon(\pi_\delta) = (-1)^{k(\delta)+1} \left( \frac{-r(p - (r + 1)d)}{p} \right) = (-1)^{k(\delta)+1} \left( \frac{2B}{p} \right) = (-1)^{S_\delta}.$$
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