A HARDY SPACE ANALYSIS OF THE BÁEZ-DUARTE CRITERION FOR THE RH
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Abstract. In this article, methods from sub-Hardy Hilbert spaces such as the de Branges-Rovnyak spaces and local Dirichlet spaces are used to investigate Báez-Duarte’s Hilbert space reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis (RH).

Introduction

A classical reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis by Nyman and Beurling (see [3], [16]) says that all the non-trivial zeros of the \( \zeta \)-function lie on the critical line \( \text{Re}(s) = 1/2 \) if and only if the characteristic function \( \chi_{(0,1)} \) belongs to the closed linear span in \( L^2((0,1)) \) of the set \( \{ f_\lambda : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \} \), where \( f_\lambda(x) = \{\lambda/x\} - \lambda\{1/x\} \) (here \( \{x\} \) is the fractional part). Almost fifty years later a remarkable strengthening of this result by Báez-Duarte [5] shows that we may replace \( \lambda \in (0,1) \) by \( \lambda = 1/\ell \) for \( \ell \geq 2 \). There is an equivalent version of the Báez-Duarte criterion in the weighted sequence space \( \ell^2_\omega \) with inner product given by

\[
\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x(n)y(n)}{n(n+1)}
\]

for sequences \( x, y \in H \) (see [7, page 73]). For each \( k \geq 2 \), let \( r_k \) denote the sequence defined by \( r_k(n) = k\{n/k\} \). Then the Báez-Duarte criterion may be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. The RH is true if and only if \( 1 := (1,1,1,\ldots) \) belongs to the closure of the linear span of \( \{r_k : k \geq 2\} \) in \( \ell^2_\omega \).

The plan of the paper is the following. Let \( \mathcal{N} \) denote the linear span of the functions

\[
h_k(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \log \left( \frac{1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1}}{k} \right)
\]

for \( k \geq 2 \), which all belong to the Hardy space \( H^2 \) (see Lemma 1). In Section 2 a unitary equivalent version of Theorem 1 for the Hardy space \( H^2 \) is presented. In particular, the RH holds if and only if the constant 1 belongs to the closure of \( \mathcal{N} \) in \( H^2 \) (see Theorem 9). Section 3 introduces a multiplicative semigroup of weighted composition operators \( \{W_n : n \geq 1\} \) on \( H^2 \) and shows that the constant 1 (appearing in Theorem 9) may be replaced by any cyclic vector for \( \{W_n : n \geq 1\} \) in \( H^2 \). It follows that the RH is equivalent to the density of \( \mathcal{N} \) in \( H^2 \) (see Theorem 10). Section 4 proves that \( (I - S)\mathcal{N} \) is dense in \( H^2 \), where \( S \) is the shift operator on \( H^2 \) (see Theorem 9). This central result has the following remarkable consequence.
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That $\mathcal{N}$ is dense in $H^2$ with respect to the compact-open topology (see Theorem 10). Since convergence in $H^2$ implies convergence in the compact-open topology, this may be viewed as a weak form of the RH. Section 5 shows that $\mathcal{N}^\perp$ is in a sense small by proving that

$$\mathcal{N}^\perp \cap \mathcal{D}_{\delta_1} = \{0\}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\delta_1}$ is the local Dirichlet space at 1 (which is dense in $H^2$), and in particular that $\mathcal{N}^\perp$ contains no function holomorphic on a neighborhood of the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ (see Theorem 12). Section 6 shows that the cyclic vectors for $\{W_n : n \geq 1\}$ in $H^2$ are properly embedded into the set of all 2-periodic functions $\phi$ on $(0, 1)$ having the property that the span of its dilates $\{\phi(nx) : n \geq 1\}$ is dense in $L^2(0, 1)$ (see Theorem 13). The characterization of all such $\phi$ is a famous open problem known as the Periodic Dilation Completeness Problem.

1. Background

1.1. The Hardy-Hilbert space. We denote by $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ the open unit disk and the unit circle respectively. A holomorphic function $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ belongs to the Hardy-Hilbert space $H^2$ if

$$\|f\|_{H^2} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$ 

The space $H^2$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \overline{b_n},$$

where $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the Maclaurin coefficients for $f$ and $g$ respectively. Similarly $H^\infty$ denotes the space of bounded holomorphic functions defined on $\mathbb{D}$. For any $f \in H^2$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, the radial limit $f^*(\zeta) := \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} f(r\zeta)$ exists $m$-a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$, where $m$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$.

1.2. A weighted Bergman space. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the Hilbert space of analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ defined on $\mathbb{D}$ for which the inner product is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \overline{b_n}}{(n+1)(n+2)}.$$ 

There also exists an area integral form of the corresponding $\mathcal{A}$-norm given by

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\|^2_{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dA(z)$$

where $dA$ is the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. Comparing (0.1) with (1.1) shows that the map

$$(1.3) \quad \Psi : (x(1), x(2), \ldots) \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(n+1)z^n$$

is a canonical isometric isomorphism of $\ell^2_\omega$ onto $\mathcal{A}$.
The text [12] is a modern reference for such weighted Bergman spaces. Also if \( \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) \) is the space of all holomorphic functions on \( \mathbb{D} \) and \( T : \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) \to \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) \) is an operator defined by
\[
Tg(z) := \frac{(1 - z)g(z)}{1 - z},
\]
then \( T \) restricted to \( H^2 \) is an isometric isomorphism onto \( A \) (see Lemma 7.2.3 [13]). Hence \( \Phi := T^{-1} \circ \Psi \) is an isometric isomorphism of \( \ell^2_p \) onto \( H^2 \). Therefore to obtain a reformulation of the Báez-Duarte Theorem in \( H^2 \), we need to calculate \( \Phi 1 \) and \( \Phi r_k \) for \( k \geq 2 \). But to do so we shall need some results about local Dirichlet spaces.

1.3. Generalized Dirichlet spaces. Let \( \mu \) be a finite positive Borel measure on \( T \), and let \( P\mu \) denote its Poisson integral. The generalized Dirichlet space \( D_\mu \) consists of \( f \in H^2 \) satisfying
\[
D_\mu(f) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 P\mu(z)dA(z) < \infty.
\]
Then \( D_\mu \) is a Hilbert space with norm \( \|f\|_{D_\mu}^2 := \|f\|_2^2 + D_\mu(f) \). If \( \mu = m \), then \( D_m \) is the classical Dirichlet space. If \( \mu = \delta_\zeta \) is the Dirac measure at \( \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \), then \( D_\delta_\zeta \) is called the local Dirichlet space at \( \zeta \) and in particular
\[
D_\delta_\zeta(f) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{|z - \zeta|^2} dA(z).
\]
The recent book [13] contains a comprehensive treatment of local Dirichlet spaces and the following result establishes a criterion for their membership.

**Theorem 2.** (See [13] Thm. 7.2.1) Let \( \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) \). Then \( D_\delta_\zeta(f) < \infty \) if and only if
\[
f(z) = a + (z - \zeta)g(z)
\]
for some \( g \in H^2 \) and \( a \in \mathbb{C} \). In this case \( D_\delta_\zeta(f) = \|g\|_2^2 \) and
\[
a = f^*(\zeta) := \lim_{r \to 1^-} f(\zeta r).
\]

Each local Dirichlet space \( D_\delta_\zeta \) is a proper subspace of \( H^2 \) and it has the distinctive property that evaluation at the boundary \( f \mapsto f^*(\zeta) \) is a bounded linear functional [13] Thm. 8.1.2 (ii)].

1.4. The de Branges-Rovnyak spaces. Given \( \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \), the corresponding Toeplitz operator \( T_\psi : H^2 \to H^2 \) is defined by
\[
T_\psi f := P_+(\psi f)
\]
where \( P_+ : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2 \) denotes the orthogonal projection of \( L^2(\mathbb{T}) \) onto \( H^2 \). Clearly \( T_\psi \) is a bounded operator on \( H^2 \) with \( \|T_\psi\| \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \). If \( h \in H^\infty \), then \( T_h \) is simply the operator of multiplication by \( h \) and its adjoint is \( T^*_h \). Given \( h \) in the closed unit ball of \( H^\infty \), the de Branges-Rovnyak space \( \mathcal{H}(b) \) is the image of \( H^2 \) under the operator \( (I - T_b T^*_b)^{1/2} \). A norm is defined on \( \mathcal{H}(b) \) making \( (I - T_b T^*_b)^{1/2} \) a partial isometry from \( H^2 \) onto \( \mathcal{H}(b) \). If \( b \equiv 0 \) then \( \mathcal{H}(b) = H^2 \), and if \( b \) is inner then \( \mathcal{H}(b) = (bH^2)^\perp \) is the model subspace of \( H^2 \). The recent two-volume work [9] [10] is an encyclopedic reference for these spaces.

The general theory of \( \mathcal{H}(b) \) spaces divides into two distinct cases, according to whether \( b \) is an extreme point or a non-extreme point of the unit ball of \( H^\infty \).
We shall only be concerned with the non-extreme case which is best illustrated by the next result (see [9, Chapter 6] and [18, Sects. IV-6 and V-1]).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( b \in H^\infty \) with \( ||b||_{H^\infty} \leq 1 \). The following are equivalent:

1. \( b \) is a non-extreme point of the unit ball of \( H^\infty \),
2. \( \log(1 - |b^*|^2) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}) \),
3. \( \mathcal{H}(b) \) contains all functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of \( D \).

When \( b \) is non-extreme there exists a unique outer function \( a \in H^\infty \) such that \( a(0) > 0 \) and \( |a^*|^2 + |b^*|^2 = 1 \) a.e. on \( \mathbb{T} \). In this situation \((b, a)\) is usually called a pair and the function \( b/a \) belongs to the Smirnov class \( N^+ \) of quotients \( p/q \) where \( p, q \in H^\infty \) and \( q \) is an outer function. That all \( N^+ \) functions arise as the quotient of a pair associated to a non-extreme function was shown by Sarason (see [17]).

In [17], Sarason also demonstrated how \( \mathcal{H}(b) \) spaces appear naturally as the domains of some unbounded Toeplitz operators. Let \( \varphi \) be holomorphic in \( \mathbb{D} \) and \( T_\varphi \) the operator of multiplication by \( \varphi \) on the domain

\[
\text{dom}(T_\varphi) = \{ f \in H^2 : \varphi f \in H^2 \}.
\]

Then \( T_\varphi \) is a closed operator, and \( \text{dom}(T_\varphi) \) is dense in \( H^2 \) if and only if \( \varphi \in N^+ \) (see [17, Lemma 5.2]). In this case its adjoint \( T_\varphi^* \) is also densely defined and closed. In fact the domain of \( T_\varphi^* \) is a de Branges-Rovnyak space.

**Theorem 4.** (See [17, Prop. 5.4]) Let \( \varphi \) be a nonzero function in \( N^+ \) with \( \varphi = b/a \), where \( (b, a) \) is the associated pair. Then \( \text{dom}(T_\varphi^*) = \mathcal{H}(b) \).

If \( \varphi \) is a rational function in \( N^+ \) the corresponding pair \( (b, a) \) is also rational (see [17, Remark. 3.2]). Recently Constara and Ransford [8] characterized the rational pairs \((b, a)\) for which \( \mathcal{H}(b) \) is a generalized Dirichlet space.

**Theorem 5.** (See [8, Theorem 4.1]) Let \( (b, a) \) be a rational pair and \( \mu \) a finite positive measure on \( \mathbb{T} \). Then \( \mathcal{H}(b) = D_\mu \) if and only if

1. the zeros of \( a \) on \( \mathbb{T} \) are all simple, and
2. the support of \( \mu \) is exactly equal to this set of zeros.

These ideas will be used in Section 5 to investigate the orthogonal complement of the functions \( \{ h_k : k \geq 2 \} \) in \( H^2 \).

2. The Báez-Duarte criterion in \( H^2 \)

The first main objective is to obtain a unitary equivalent version of Báez-Duarte’s theorem (Theorem 1) in \( H^2 \) upon which to base the rest of our analysis.

**Theorem 6.** For each \( k \geq 2 \), define

\[
h_k(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \log \left( \frac{1+z+\ldots+z^{k-1}}{k} \right).
\]

Then the Riemann hypothesis holds if and only if the constant 1 belongs to the closed linear span of \( \{ h_k : k \geq 2 \} \) in \( H^2 \).

In order to prove this, we must show that \(-1 = \Phi 1 \) and \( h_k = \Phi r_k \) for \( k \geq 2 \), where \( \Phi := T^{-1} \circ \Psi : \ell^2_0 \to H^2 \) is an isometric isomorphism (see subsection 1.2).
We first find \( R := \Psi 1 \) and \( R_k := \Psi r_k \), which belong to the weighted Bergman space \( \mathcal{A} \). Then

\[
R(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z}, \quad R_k(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} \log(1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1})'
\]

for each \( k = 2, 3, \ldots \) (note that \( R_1 \equiv 0 \)). The expression for \( R \) is trivial. For \( R_k \) we first note that the sequence \( r_k(n) = k\{n/k\} \) is periodic with \( k \) distinct integer terms \( \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1, 0, \ldots\} \). Hence collecting terms with common coefficients gives

\[
R_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{nk} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{nk+1} + \ldots + (k - 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{nk+k-2} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{nk+m-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} m \frac{z^{m-1}}{1 - z^k} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} m z^{m-1} = \frac{1}{1 - z} \left[ \frac{(1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1})'}{1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1}} \right]' = \frac{1}{1 - z} \log(1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1})'.
\]

(2.1)

Next we calculate \( T^{-1}R \) and \( T^{-1}R_k \) in \( H^2 \). It is easy to see that \( T(-1) = R \) and hence \(-1 = \Phi 1\). But finding the \( T^{-1}R_k \) is not as straightforward because \( T \) is not injective on \( \text{Hol}(D) \).

**Lemma 7.** For each non-zero \( c \) and integer \( k \geq 2 \), define the function

\[
h_{k,c}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} \log \left( \frac{1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1}}{c} \right).
\]

Then \( Th_{k,c} = R_k \) for each \( c \), but \( h_{k,c} \in H^2 \) if and only if \( c = k \).

**Proof.** Let \( s_k(z) := \log(1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1}) \) for \( k \geq 2 \). Since \( R_k \) belongs to \( \mathcal{A} \) for \( k \geq 2 \), by (1.2), (1.5) and (2.1) we have

\[
D_{\delta_1}(s_k) = \int_0^1 |\log(1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1})'|^2 \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - 1|^2} dA(z) = \int_0^1 |R_k(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dA(z) = ||R_k||_A^2 < \infty.
\]

Therefore \( s_k \) belongs to the local Dirichlet space \( D_{\delta_1} \). By Theorem 2 there exists \( f_k \in H^2 \) such that \( s_k(z) = s_k^r(1) + (z - 1)f_k(z) = \log k + (z - 1)f_k(z) \). It follows immediately that

\[
f_k(z) = \frac{1}{z - 1} \log \left( \frac{1 + z + \ldots + z^{k-1}}{k} \right).
\]

Hence \( h_{k,k} = -f_k \in H^2 \). Since clearly \( Th_{k,c} = R_k \) for each non-zero \( c \) and \( T \) is injective on \( H^2 \), therefore \( c = k \) is the only value for which \( h_{k,c} \in H^2 \).

Therefore with \( h_k := h_{k,k} \) for all \( k \geq 2 \) this concludes the proof of Theorem 6.

We end this section by giving an alternate proof of the fact that \( h_k \in H^2 \) for \( k \geq 2 \) which also provides an explicit formula for the Maclaurin coefficients of the \( h_k \).

---

1The author wishes to thank the anonymous referee for this alternate proof.
For \( k, n \in \mathbb{N} \), define the function \([k|n]\) to be 1 if \( k \) divides \( n \) and 0 otherwise, and note that \( \log(1 - z^k) = -\sum_{j \geq 1} z^j / j = -\sum_{n \geq 1} k[k|n] z^n / n \). Then for \( k \geq 2 \)
\[
  h_k(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} (\log(1 - z^k) - \log(1 - z) - \log k)
\]
\[
  = \frac{1}{1 - z} (-\sum_{n \geq 1} k[k|n] \frac{z^n}{n} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n} - \log k)
\]
\[
  = -(\log k) \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n + \frac{1}{1 - z} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n} (1 - k[k|n]) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(k) z^n
\]
where \( c_n(k) = -\log k + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} (1 - k[k|j]) = H(n) - H(n/k) - \log k \) and the function
\[
  H(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n}
\]
for \( x > 0 \) and \( H(0) = 0 \). The Euler–Maclaurin summation formula gives
\[
  H(x) = \log x + \lambda - \frac{x}{x} + \int_x^\infty \{t\} \frac{dt}{t^2} = \log x + \lambda + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)
\]
where \( \lambda \) is Euler’s constant and hence \( c_n(k) = O\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \). Therefore \( h_k \in H^2 \). □

3. A WEIGHTED COMPOSITION SEMIGROUP

In [2] Bagchi showed that in addition to Theorem[1] the RH is equivalent to the density of \( \text{span}\{r_k : k \geq 2\} \) in \( \ell^2 \). A key ingredient in his proof is a multiplicative semigroup of operators which leave \( \text{span}\{r_k : k \geq 2\} \) invariant (see [2] Theorem 7). The relation of invariant subspaces of semigroups with the RH has been evident since the thesis of Nyman [16] (see also [6] and [15]).

For each \( n \geq 1 \), let \( W_n \) be a weighted composition operator on \( H^2 \) defined by
\[
  W_n f(z) = (1 + z + \ldots + z^{n-1}) f(z^n) = \frac{1 - z^n}{1 - z} f(z^n).
\]
Note that each \( W_n \) is bounded on \( H^2 \), \( W_1 = I \) and \( W_m W_n = W_{mn} \) for each \( m, n \geq 1 \). Hence \( \{ W_n : n \geq 1 \} \) is a multiplicative semigroup on \( H^2 \). Now if we write
\[
  h_k(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} (\log(1 - z^k) - \log(1 - z) - \log k)
\]
then it is easy to see that \( W_n h_k = h_{nk} - h_n \) for all \( k, n \geq 1 \) (where \( h_1 = 0 \)). Hence the linear span of \( \{ h_k : k \geq 2 \} \) is invariant under \( \{ W_n : n \geq 1 \} \). A vector \( f \in H^2 \) is called a cyclic vector for an operator semigroup \( \{ S_n : n \geq 1 \} \) if \( \text{span}\{ S_n f : n \geq 1 \} \) is dense in \( H^2 \). Hence the following combines Bagchi’s result and a generalization of Theorem[6]

**Theorem 8.** The following statements are equivalent

1. The Riemann hypothesis,
2. the closure of \( \text{span}\{ h_k : k \geq 2 \} \) contains a cyclic vector for \( \{ W_n : n \geq 1 \} \),
3. \( \text{span}\{ h_k : k \geq 2 \} \) is dense in \( H^2 \).

**Proof.** The equivalence (1) \( \iff \) (3) is just Bagchi’s result transferred to \( H^2 \) via the isomorphism \( \Phi : \ell^2 \to H^2 \). The implication (1) \( \rightarrow \) (2) follows from Theorem[6] and the fact that 1 is a cyclic vector for the semigroup \( \{ W_n : n \geq 1 \} \). Indeed \( (W_n 1)(z) = 1 + z + \ldots + z^{n-1} \) for all \( n \geq 1 \) so \( \text{span}\{ W_n 1 : n \geq 1 \} \) contains all analytic polynomials and is hence dense in \( H^2 \). Finally (2) \( \rightarrow \) (3) because if the closure of \( \text{span}\{ h_k : k \geq 2 \} \) contains a cyclic vector \( f \in H^2 \), then it also contains
the dense manifold span\{W_n f : n \geq 1\} by the invariance of span\{h_k : k \geq 2\} under \{W_n : n \geq 1\]. □

In Section 6, we shall see that characterizing the cyclic vectors for \{W_n : n \geq 1\} is intimately related to another famous open problem known as the Periodic Dilation Completeness Problem (see [11] and [15]).

4. THE DENSITY OF \text{span}\{(I - S)h_k : k \geq 2\} IN H^2

Let \( S = T_z \) be the shift operator on \( H^2 \). Since \( I - S \) has dense range (because \( I - S^* \) is injective), therefore span\{(I - S)h_k : k \geq 2\} is dense in \( H^2 \) under the RH by Theorem 8. Proving that this statement is unconditionally true is the main objective of this section and it will play a central role in the rest of this work.

**Theorem 9.** The span of \( \{(I - S)h_k : k \geq 2\} \) is dense in \( H^2 \).

Since convergence in \( H^2 \) implies uniform convergence on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{D} \), we obtain a weak version of the RH.

**Theorem 10.** The span of \( \{h_k : k \geq 2\} \) is dense in \( H^2 \) with the compact-open topology.

**Proof.** The formal inverse of \( I - S \) is the Toeplitz operator \( T_\varphi \) of multiplication by the function \( \varphi(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} \). Although \( T_\varphi \) is unbounded on \( H^2 \) (otherwise Theorem 8 would imply the RH), it is still continuous on \( H^2 \) with the compact-open topology. Therefore the result follows immediately from Theorem 8. □

Define the multiplicative operator semigroup \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \) on \( H^2 \) by

\[
T_n f(z) = f(z^n).
\]

Then by (3.1) and (4.1) it is easily seen that

\[
T_n(I - S) = (I - S)W_n \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\]

Recall that span\{\( h_k : k \geq 2\)\} is invariant under \{\( W_n : n \geq 1\)\} (see Section 3), and hence (4.2) implies that span\{(I - S)h_k : k \geq 2\} is invariant under \{\( T_n : n \geq 1\)\}. So to prove Theorem 9 it is enough to prove that the closure of span\{(I - S)h_k : k \geq 2\} contains a cyclic vector for \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \). And the cyclic vector we consider is 1 - \( z \). Indeed, if \( f \in H^2 \) is orthogonal to each \( T_n(1 - z) = 1 - z^n \) then \( \hat{f}(0) = \hat{f}(n) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \) and hence \( f \equiv 0 \). Hence the next result completes the proof of Theorem 9.

**Lemma 11.** The series \( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k}(I - S)h_k \) converges to \( 1 - z \) in \( H^2 \), where \( \mu \) is the Möbius function.

Recall that the Möbius function is defined on \( \mathbb{N} \) by \( \mu(k) = (-1)^s \) if \( k \) is the product of \( s \) distinct primes, and \( \mu(k) = 0 \) otherwise. In the proof we shall need the Prime Number Theorem in the equivalent forms

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k) \log k}{k} = -1
\]

Proof. One has to prove that
\begin{equation}
\left\| \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} (I - S)h_k + z - 1 \right\|_{H^2} \to 0
\end{equation}
as \(n \to \infty\). Since \((I - S)h_k(z) = \log(1 - z^k) - \log(1 - z) - \log k\) (see 3.2), we get
\begin{equation}
\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} (I - S)h_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(1 - z^k) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \left[\log(1 - z) + \log k\right].
\end{equation}

First note that the last sum on the right of (4.5) tends to 1 as \(n \to \infty\) by (4.3). Writing the first sum as a double sum after noting that \(\log(1 - z^k) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{jk}}{j}\), interchanging the order of summation and using the basic identity \(\sum_{d|j} \mu(d) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{j} \right\rfloor\) if \(j \geq 1\) [Thm 2.1] \([x]\) denotes the integer part of \(x\), we get
\begin{align}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(1 - z^k) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{jk}}{j} \\
&= -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} \sum_{d|j, 1 \leq d \leq n} \mu(d) \\
&\leq -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{j} \right\rfloor - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} \sum_{d|j, 1 \leq d \leq n} \mu(d) = -z - \phi_n(z).
\end{align}

Therefore by (4.5) and (4.6), we will prove (4.4) once we prove that \(\|\phi_n\|_{H^2} \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\). Since
\begin{equation}
\phi_n(z) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} \sum_{d|j, 1 \leq d \leq n} \mu(d)
\end{equation}
and if \(\sigma(n)\) denotes the number of divisors of \(n\), then it follows that
\[ |\sum_{d|j, 1 \leq d \leq n} \mu(d)| \leq \sum_{d|j} 1 = \sigma(j). \]
The function \(\sigma\) satisfies the relation \(\sigma(n) = o(n^\epsilon)\) for every \(\epsilon > 0\) [p. 296]. In particular, \(\sigma(n) \lesssim n^\epsilon\) for some \(0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\), and therefore by (4.7)
\[ ||\phi_n||_{H^2}^2 \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(j)^2}{j^2} \lesssim \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} j^{2\epsilon - 2} \to 0 \]
as \(n \to \infty\). This proves (4.4) and hence the lemma. \hfill \Box

5. Functions orthogonal to \(\{h_k : k \geq 2\}\)

The RH is equivalent to \(\{h_k : k \geq 2\}^\perp\) being trivial \(\{0\}\) (see Theorem 3). The main result of this section shows that \(\{h_k : k \geq 2\}^\perp\) is indeed in a sense very small.
Theorem 12. We have
\[ \{ h_k : k \geq 2 \}^\perp \cap \mathcal{D}_{\delta_1} = \{ 0 \} \]
where \( \mathcal{D}_{\delta_1} \) is the local Dirichlet space at 1. In particular \( \{ h_k : k \geq 2 \}^\perp \) contains no function holomorphic on a neighborhood of the closed unit disk \( \overline{B} \).

The key idea is to use the formal inverse \( T_\varphi \) of \( I - S \), where \( \varphi(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} \) is clearly an \( N^+ \) function. Then there is a pair \( (b, a) \) associated with \( \varphi \) where
\[ a(z) = \frac{\gamma(1 - z)}{\gamma + 1 - z} \]
and \( \gamma = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \) is the golden ratio (see [17] page 284). Therefore by Theorem 4, Theorem 6 and (5.1) we immediately see that
\[ \text{dom}(T_\varphi^*) = \mathcal{H}(b) = \mathcal{D}_{\delta_1} \]
where \( T_\varphi^* \) is the adjoint of \( T_\varphi \) (see subsection 1.4).

Proof. Let \( g_k := (I - S)h_k \) for each \( k \geq 2 \) and note that \( \text{span}\{g_k : k \geq 2\} \) is dense in \( H^2 \) by Theorem 9. Also note \( g_k \in \text{dom}(T_\varphi) \) because \( h_k = T_\varphi g_k \) and by (1.6). Now let \( p \) be an element in \( \{ h_k : k \geq 2 \}^\perp \cap \text{dom}(T_\varphi^*) \). Hence for each \( k \geq 2 \), we have
\[ \langle T_\varphi^* p, g_k \rangle = \langle p, T_\varphi g_k \rangle = \langle p, h_k \rangle = 0. \]
Therefore \( T_\varphi^* p = 0 \). But this implies that \( p = 0 \), because
\[ \langle p, T_\varphi f \rangle = \langle T_\varphi^* p, f \rangle = 0 \]
for each \( f \in \text{dom}(T_\varphi) \) and the range of \( T_\varphi \) is all of \( H^2 \) (it is the domain of \( I - S \)). Hence \( \{ h_k : k \geq 2 \}^\perp \cap \text{dom}(T_\varphi^*) = \{ 0 \} \), (5.2) and Theorem 3 complete the proof. \( \square \)

6. The Periodic Dilation Completeness Problem PDCP

The PDCP asks which 2-periodic functions \( \phi \) on \( (0, \infty) \) have the property that
\[ \text{span}\{\phi(nx) : n \geq 1\} \]
is dense in \( L^2(0, 1) \). In this case we shall just say that \( \phi \) is a PDCP function. This difficult open problem was first considered independently by Wintner [19] and Beurling [4]. See [11] and [15] for beautiful modern treatments. The main result of this section shows that the cyclic vectors for \( \{ W_n : n \geq 1 \} \) in \( H^2 \) (see Theorem 8) are properly embedded into the PDCP functions.

Theorem 13. There exists an injective linear map \( V : H^2 \to L^2(0, 1) \) such that if \( f \) is a cyclic vector for \( \{ W_n : n \geq 1 \} \) in \( H^2 \), then \( Vf \) is a PDCP function.

The function \( Vf \in L^2(0, 1) \) is defined on the whole real line by extending it as an odd 2-periodic function.

Proof. Recall that the semigroups \( \{ W_n : n \geq 1 \} \) and \( \{ T_n : n \geq 1 \} \) satisfy the relation
\[ T_n(I - S) = (I - S)W_n \quad \forall \ n \geq 1. \]
where \( I - S \) has dense range in \( H^2 \) (see [12,21]). It follows that if \( \text{span}\{W_n f : n \geq 1\} \) is dense in \( H^2 \) for some \( f \in H^2 \), then \( \text{span}\{T_n(I - S)f : n \geq 1\} \) must also be dense.
So \( f \mapsto (I - S)f \) maps cyclic vectors for \( \{W_n : n \geq 1\} \) to cyclic vectors for \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \). Let
\[
H_0^2 := \{ f \in H^2 : f(0) = 0 \} = H^2 \cap \mathbb{C}
\]
and note that \( H_0^2 \) is a reducing subspace for \( T_n \) since \( T_n \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \) and \( T_nH_0^2 \subset H_0^2 \). Denote by \( P \) the orthogonal projection of \( H^2 \) onto \( H_0^2 \). It follows that if \( f \) is a cyclic vector for \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \) in \( H^2 \) then \( Pf \) is a cyclic vector for \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \) restricted to \( H_0^2 \). Therefore
\[
P(I - S) : H^2 \to H_0^2
\]
maps cyclic vectors for \( \{W_n : n \geq 1\} \) into cyclic vectors for \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \) restricted to \( H_0^2 \). Finally there is a unitary operator \( U : H_0^2 \to L^2(0,1) \) such that \( f \) is cyclic for \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \) in \( H_0^2 \) if and only if \( Uf \) is a PDCP function (see [15, page 1707]). In fact, it is defined by
\[
(6.1) \quad U : z^k \mapsto e_k(x) := \sqrt{2}\sin(\pi kx)
\]
for each \( k \geq 1 \), where \( (e_k)_{k \geq 1} \) is an orthonormal basis for \( L^2(0,1) \). Therefore the operator
\[
(6.2) \quad V := UP(I - S) : H^2 \to L^2(0,1)
\]
maps cyclic vectors for \( \{W_n : n \geq 1\} \) into PDCP functions. It is injective since \( \text{Ker}(P) = \mathbb{C} \) and the inverse image of \( \mathbb{C} \) under \( I - S \) is \( \{0\} \). \qed

Finally, we show that not all PDCP functions belong to the range of \( V \) (6.2). Wintner [19] showed that for \( \text{Re}(s) > 1/2 \) the function
\[
f_s(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{-s}\sqrt{2}\sin(\pi kx)
\]
is a PDCP function. We give an independent proof that \( f_1 \) is a PDCP function and that it does not belong to the range of \( V \).

**Theorem 14.** \( f_1 \) is a PDCP function that does not belong to the range of \( V \).

**Proof.** Let \( L(z) := \log(1 - z) = -\sum_{k \geq 1} z^k/k \) and note that \( U(-L) = f_1 \) (see (6.1)). Hence it is enough to prove that \( L \) is a cyclic vector for \( \{T_n : n \geq 1\} \) in \( H_0^2 \). Note that since \( (I - S)h_k(z) = \log(1 - z^k) - \log(1 - z) - \log k \) we have
\[
P(I - S)h_k = T_kL - T_1L
\]
and hence
\[
(6.3) \quad P(\text{span}\{(I - S)h_k : k \geq 2\}) \subset \text{span}\{T_nL : n \geq 1\}.
\]
By Theorem[19] the left side of (6.3) is dense in \( H_0^2 \) and hence \( L \) is cyclic. Therefore \( f_1 \) is a PDCP function. To prove that \( f_1 \) is not in the range of \( V \), we show that \( L \) is not in the range \( P(I - S) \). The functions mapped onto \( L \) by \( P \) are of the form \( \alpha + L \) for some \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \). But \( \alpha + L \) does not belong to \( (I - S)H^2 \) because \( L^*(1) \) does not exist and \( f^*(1) = 0 \) for all \( f \in (I - S)H^2 \) (see Theorem[2]). \qed
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