VALUE-DISTRIBUTION OF QUARTIC HECKE L-FUNCTIONS
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Abstract. Set $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and suppose that $c \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a square-free algebraic integer with $c \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$). Let $L(s, \chi_c)$ denote the Hecke $L$-function associated with the quartic residue character modulo $c$. For $\sigma > 1/2$, we prove an asymptotic distribution function $F_\sigma$ for the values of the logarithm of

$$L_c(s) = L(s, \chi_c)L(s, \overline{\chi_c}),$$

as $c$ varies. Moreover, the characteristic function of $F_\sigma$ is expressed explicitly as a product over the prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. 
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1. Introduction

Let $d$ be a non-square integer such that $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\chi_d = \left( \frac{d}{-} \right)$ be the Kronecker symbol. In the early 1950s, S. Chowla and P. Erdős studied the distribution of values of quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions $L(s, \chi_d)$. They proved in [4] that when $\sigma > 3/4$, then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\# \{0 < d \leq x; d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } L(\sigma, \chi_d) \leq z\}}{x/2} = G(z)$$

exists and the distribution function $G(z)$ is continuous and strictly increasing satisfying $G(0) = 0, G(\infty) = 1$. This result was further strengthened by P. D. T. A. Elliott for $\sigma = 1$ in [3].

A systematic study of the value-distribution of the logarithm and the logarithmic derivative of $L$-functions on the half-plane $\Re(s) > 1/2$ has been carried out by Y. Ihara and K. Matsumoto (see for example [8] and [9]). Based on the approach in [9], M. Mourtada and V. K. Murty proved ([11, Theorem 2]), assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for $L(s, \chi_d)$, that for any $\sigma > 1/2$, there exists a probability density function $Q_\sigma$ such that

$$\lim_{Y \to \infty} \frac{1}{\# F(Y)} \# \left\{ d \in F(Y), \frac{L'(\sigma, \chi_d)}{L(\sigma, \chi_d)} \leq z \right\} = \int_{-\infty}^{z} Q_\sigma(t)dt.$$

Here $F(Y)$ denotes the set of the fundamental discriminants in the interval $[-Y, Y]$.

If $d$ is a fundamental discriminant, $L(s, \chi_d) = \frac{\zeta_\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(s)}{\zeta(s)}$, with $\zeta_\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})(s)$ denoting the Dedekind zeta function of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $\zeta(s)$ the Riemann zeta function. A. Akbary and A. Hamieh studied an analogue case of the above result of Mourtada and Murty. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ be the ring of integers of $F$, where $\zeta_3 = \exp(2\pi i/3)$. Let $D(Y)$ denote the set of square-free elements $d$ such that $d \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ and $\chi(d) \leq Y$. Further define $L_{d,F}(s) = \zeta_{F(d^{1/3}}(s)/\zeta(s)$, where $\zeta_{F(d^{1/3}}(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function of $F(d^{1/3})$. Then Akbary and Hamieh [11, Theorem 1.4] proved that, without assuming GRH, for either $L_d(s) = \log L_d(s)$ or $L'_d/L_d(s)$, there exists a corresponding probability density function $D_\sigma$ such that for every $\sigma > 1/2$,

$$\lim_{Y \to \infty} \frac{1}{\# D(Y)} \# \{ d \in D(Y), L_d(\sigma) \leq z \} = \int_{-\infty}^{z} D_\sigma(t)dt.$$

We note that $L_{d,F}(s)$ can be decomposed as a product of Hecke $L$-functions. In fact, it is shown in the paragraph below [11, (2)] that

$$L_{d,F}(s) = L(s, \chi_d)L(s, \overline{\chi_d}),$$

where $L(s, \chi_d)$ is the Hecke $L$-function associated with the cubic residue symbol $\chi_d = \left( \frac{d}{3} \right)_3$. 

Date: October 16, 2018.
Motivated by the above result, we consider the value-distribution of the logarithm of the product of quartic Hecke \( L \)-functions in this paper. Set \( K = \mathbb{Q}(i) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i] \), the ring of integers of \( K \). Let
\[
\mathcal{C} := \{ c \in \mathcal{O}_K : c \neq 1 \text{ is square-free and } c \equiv 1 \pmod{16} \}.
\]

In the same spirit as \([1,1]\), we define
\[
L_c(s) = L(s, \chi_c)L(s, \overline{\chi_c}), \quad \mathcal{L}_c(s) = \log L_c(s),
\]
where \( L(s, \chi_c) \) is the Hecke \( L \)-function associated with the quartic residue symbol \( \chi_c = \left( \frac{c}{\cdot} \right)_4 \). Our result is

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \sigma > 1/2 \) and
\[
S(Y) = \# \{ c \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{N}(c) \leq Y \}.
\]
Then there is a smooth density function \( M_\sigma \) such that
\[
\lim_{Y \to \infty} \frac{1}{S(Y)} \# \{ c \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{N}(c) \leq Y \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_c(\sigma) \leq z \} = \int_{-\infty}^{z} M_\sigma(t) \, dt.
\]

Furthermore, \( M_\sigma \) can be constructed as the inverse Fourier transform of the characteristic function
\[
\varphi_\sigma(y) = \exp \left( -2iy \log(1 - 2^{-\sigma}) \right) \prod_{p|\langle 2 \rangle} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(p)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}(p)}{4 \mathcal{N}(p)} + \sum_{j=0}^{3} \exp \left( -2iy \log \left( 1 - \frac{i^j}{\mathcal{N}(p)^\sigma} \right) \right) \right).
\]

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 closely follows the treatment of Theorem 1.4 in \([1]\). We shall therefore skip some of the details in the proof when the arguments are similar. Using arguments analogous to those in \([1, \text{Section 2}]\), we see that Theorem 1.1 is the consequence of the following two propositions which are quoted from \([1]\).

**Proposition 1.2.** Set
\[
S^*(Y) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp \left( -\mathcal{N}(c)/Y \right).
\]
Fix \( \sigma > 1/2 \) and \( y \in \mathbb{R} \). We have
\[
\lim_{Y \to \infty} \frac{1}{S^*(Y)} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}}^* \exp (iy \mathcal{L}_c(\sigma)) \exp \left( -\mathcal{N}(c)/Y \right) = \varphi_\sigma(y),
\]
where \( \varphi_\sigma(y) \) is defined in \([1,2]\) and henceforth \( \sum^* \) indicates that the sum is over \( c \) for which \( \mathcal{L}_c(\sigma) \neq 0 \).

**Proposition 1.3.** Let \( \delta > 0 \) be given and \( \sigma > 1/2 \) be fixed. For sufficiently large values of \( y \), we have
\[
|\varphi_\sigma(y)| \leq \exp \left( -C |y|^{1/\sigma - \delta} \right),
\]
where \( C \) is a positive constant and can be chosen depending on values of \( \delta \) and \( \sigma \).

After gathering various tools needed in the paper, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by establishing Propositions 1.2 and 1.3 in Sections 3 and 4 respectively.

1.4. **Notations.** The following notations and conventions are used throughout the paper.
- \( f = O(g) \) or \( f \ll g \) means \( |f| \leq cg \) for some unspecified positive constant \( c \).
- \( \epsilon \) denotes an arbitrary positive number, whereas \( \epsilon_0 \) denotes a fixed positive constant.
- \( K = \mathbb{Q}(i) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_K \) denote the ring of integers of \( K \).
- The Gothic letters \( a, b, \cdots \) represent ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_K \).
- The norm of an integer \( a \in \mathcal{O}_K \) is written as \( \mathcal{N}(a) \). The norm of an ideal \( a \) is written as \( \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) \).
- \( \mu_{[i]} \) denotes the Möbius function on \( \mathcal{O}_K \).
- \( \zeta_K(s) \) is the Dedekind zeta function for the field \( K \).
2. Preliminaries

2.1. Distribution and characteristic functions. A function \( F : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1] \) is said to be a distribution function if \( F \) is non-decreasing, right-continuous with \( F(-\infty) = 0 \) and \( F(+\infty) = 1 \). For example,

\[
F(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} M(t)dt,
\]

where \( M(t) \) is non-negative and \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t)dt = 1 \). In this case, \( M \) called the density function of \( F \). The characteristic function of \( F \), \( \varphi_F(y) \), is the Fourier transform of the measure \( dF(z) \), i.e.

\[
\varphi_F(y) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iyz}dF(z).
\]

For a more detailed discussion, we refer the reader to [11] and the references therein.

2.2. Quartic residue symbol. The symbol \( (\frac{a}{N})_4 \) is the quartic residue symbol in the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \). For a prime \( \varpi \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \) with \( \varpi(\varpi) \neq 2 \), the quartic character is defined for \( a \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), \( (a, \varpi) = 1 \) by \( (\frac{a}{\varpi})_4 \equiv a^{(\varpi(\varpi)-1)/4} \pmod{\varpi} \), with \( (\frac{a}{N})_4 \in \{ \pm 1, \pm i \} \). When \( \varpi | a \), we define \( (\frac{a}{N})_4 = 0 \). Then the quartic character can be extended to any composite \( n \) with \( (\varpi(n), 2) = 1 \) multiplicatively. We extend the definition of \( (\frac{a}{N})_4 \) to \( n = 1 \) by setting \( (\frac{1}{N})_4 = 1 \).

Note that in \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \), every ideal co-prime to 2 has a unique generator congruent to 1 modulo \( (1+i)^3 \). Such a generator is called primary. Recall that [10] Theorem 6.9] the quartic reciprocity law states that for two primary integers \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \),

\[
\left( \frac{m}{n} \right)_4 = \left( \frac{n}{m} \right)_4 (-1)^{(\varpi(n)-1)/4}(\varpi(m)-1)/4).
\]

From the supplement theorem to the quartic reciprocity law (see for example, Lemma 8.2.1 and Theorem 8.2.4 in [2]), we have for \( n = a + bi \) being primary,

\[
\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)_4 = i^{1-a/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{1+i}{n} \right)_4 = i^{(1-a-b^2)/4}.
\]

It follows that for any \( c \equiv 1 \pmod{16} \),

\[
\chi_c(i) = \chi_c(1+i) = 1.
\]

The above shows that \( \chi_c \) is trivial on units, hence it can be regarded as a primitive quartic character of the \( (c) \)-ray class group of \( K \) when \( c \) is square-free.

2.3. Evaluation of \( S^*(Y) \) and \( S(Y) \). To evaluate \( S^*(Y) \) and \( S(Y) \), defined in the statements of Proposition [12] and Theorem [13] we note the following estimation from [7] p. 7.

Lemma 2.4. As \( Y \to \infty \), we have for \( (a, 2) = 1 \),

\[
\sum_{\gcd(c, a) = 1} \exp \left( -\frac{\varpi(c)}{Y} \right) = C_a Y + O_e(Y^{1/2+\epsilon} \varpi(a)^*),
\]

where

\[
C_a = \frac{\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s)}{|H_{(16)}| \zeta_K(2)} \prod_{p \mid 2a} \left(1 + \varpi(p)^{-1}\right)^{-1},
\]

and \( \text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s) \) denotes the residue of \( \zeta_K(s) \) at \( s = 1 \). \( H_{(16)} \) denotes the \( (16) \)-ray class group of \( K \).

We deduce from Lemma 2.4 by setting \( a = (1) \), that as \( Y \to \infty \),

\[
S^*(Y) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp \left( -\frac{\varpi(c)}{Y} \right) \sim \frac{2}{3} \frac{\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s)}{|H_{(16)}| \zeta_K(2)} Y,
\]

and

\[
S(Y) = \# \{ c \in \mathcal{C} : \varpi(c) \leq Y \} \sim \frac{2}{3} \frac{\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s)}{|H_{(16)}| \zeta_K(2)} Y.
\]
2.5. **A zero density theorem.** For \( c \in \mathcal{C} \), the Hecke \( L \)-function associated with \( \chi_c \) is defined by the Dirichlet series

\[
L(s, \chi_c) = \sum_{a \neq 0} \frac{\chi_c(a)}{\mathcal{N}(a)^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.
\]

\( L(s, \chi_c) \) can be analytically continued to the entirety of \( \mathbb{C} \) and satisfies a functional equation relating its values at \( s \) and at \( 1 - s \). We shall need the following zero density theorem for \( L(s, \chi_c) \).

**Lemma 2.6.** [3 Corollary 1.6] For \( 1/2 < \sigma \leq 1 \), \( T \geq 1 \) and \( c \in \mathcal{C} \), let \( N(\sigma, T, c) \) be the number of zeros \( \rho = \beta + i\gamma \) of \( L(s, \chi_c) \) in the rectangle \( \sigma \leq \beta \leq 1 \), \( |\gamma| \leq T \). Then

\[
\sum_{\begin{array}{c} c \in \mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{N}(c) \leq Y \end{array}} N(\sigma, T, c) \ll Yg(\sigma)T^{1+\frac{2-2\sigma}{\mathcal{N}(c)^\varepsilon}},
\]

where

\[
g(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{8(1-\sigma)}{7-6\sigma}, & \frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq \frac{5}{6}, \\
\frac{2(10\sigma - 7)(1-\sigma)}{24\sigma - 12\sigma^2 - 11}, & \frac{5}{6} < \sigma \leq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

2.7. **The large sieve with quartic symbols and a Pólya-Vinogradov type inequality.** In the course of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following large sieve type inequality for quartic residue symbols, which is a special case of [3 Theorem 1.3] and an improvement of [6 Theorem 1.1]:

**Lemma 2.8.** For \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( (b_a)_{a \in \mathcal{O}_K} \) be an arbitrary sequence of complex numbers, we have

\[
(2.3) \quad \left| \sum_{\lambda \equiv 1 \mod{(1+i)^2}} \sum_{\begin{array}{c} \lambda(\lambda) \leq M \\ \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \leq N \end{array}} b_a \left( \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \right)^4 \right|^2 \ll \varepsilon \left( M + N + (MN)^{2/3} \right) \left( MN \right)^\varepsilon \sum_{\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \leq N} |b_a|^2,
\]

where \( \sum^b \) means that the summation runs over the square-free elements of \( \mathcal{O}_K \).

We shall also need the following Pólya-Vinogradov type inequality for \( \mathfrak{f} \)-ray class characters of \( K \).

**Lemma 2.9.** [6 Lemma 3.1] Let \( K = \mathbb{Q}(i) \) and \( \chi \) be a non-trivial character (not necessarily primitive) of \( \mathfrak{f} \)-ray class group of \( K \). Then for \( Y > 1 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \), we have

\[
(2.4) \quad \sum_{a \equiv 1 \mod{(1+i)^2}} \chi(a) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(a)}{Y} \right) \ll \varepsilon \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{f})^{1/2+\varepsilon}.
\]

2.10. **A Dirichlet series representation for** \( \exp(iyL_c(s)) \). For \( u \in \mathbb{C} \) and any non-negative integer \( r \), we define the function \( H_r(u) \) by \( H_0(u) = 1 \) and for \( r \geq 1 \),

\[
H_r(u) = \frac{1}{r!}u(u+1)\cdots(u+r-1).
\]

This implies that

\[
(2.5) \quad \exp(-u\log(1-t)) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_r(u)t^r, \quad \text{for } |t| < 1.
\]

We further define the arithmetic function \( \lambda_y(a) \) on the integral ideals of \( K \) as follows:

\[
(2.6) \quad \lambda_y(a) = \prod_p \lambda_y(p^{a_p}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_y(p^{a_p}) = H_{a_p}(iy).
\]

Similar to [1 Lemma 4.1], the following Lemma gives a Dirichlet series representation for \( \exp(iyL_c(s)) \).

**Lemma 2.11.** Let \( y \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \Re(s) > 1 \). Then

\[
\exp(iyL_c(s)) = \sum_{\begin{array}{c} a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K \\ a, b \neq 0 \end{array}} \frac{\lambda_y(a)\lambda_y(b)\chi_c(ab^s)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^s},
\]

where \( \lambda_y \) is given in \( 2.6 \). Moreover, the above series is absolutely convergent.
We omit the proof of Lemma 2.11 as it is similar to the proof of [1, Lemma 4.1]. Moreover, It is shown in [9, p. 92] that for any \( \varepsilon, R > 0 \) and all \( |y| \leq R \), we have

\[
\lambda_y(a) \ll_{\varepsilon, R} \mathcal{N}(a)^{\varepsilon}.
\]

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2

To establish Proposition 1.2, we first prove, in the next three sections, Proposition 3.1 which gives a Dirichlet series representation for the limit in Proposition 1.2. Then in Section 3.7 we prove Proposition 3.3 which renders a product representation for the afore-mentioned Dirichlet series to show that it is the same as \( \varphi_s(y) \) defined in (1.2).

Proposition 3.1. Fix \( \sigma = 1/2 + \varepsilon_0 \) for some \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \). Then for all \( y \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
\lim_{Y \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^s(Y)} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma)) \exp(-\mathcal{N}(c)/Y) = \tilde{M}_s(y).
\]

Here \( \tilde{M}_s(y) \) is given by the following absolutely convergent Dirichlet series

\[
\tilde{M}_s(y) = \sum_{\substack{r_1, r_2 \geq 0 \\text{gcd}(a, b, m) = 1 \\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1}} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1)\lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2(r_1+r_2)^s} \sum_{p | abm} \frac{\lambda_y(a^4m)\lambda_y(b^4m)}{\mathcal{N}(a^4b^4m^2)^s \prod_{p | abm}} \left(1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1}\right).
\]

3.2. Application of the zero density estimate. Let \( A > 0 \) be fixed and \( R_{Y, \varepsilon, A} \) be the rectangle with the vertices \( 1 \pm i(\log Y)^A \) and \( (1 + \varepsilon)/2 \pm i(\log Y)^A \). Let \( Z^c \) be the set consisting of \( c \in \mathcal{C} \) such that \( L(s, \chi_c) \) does not vanish in \( R_{Y, \varepsilon, A} \). Also, set \( Z = C \setminus Z^c \). Note that \( Z \) and \( Z^c \) vary with \( Y, \varepsilon, \) and \( A \).

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of [1, Lemma 4.3], we see that for \( \sigma > 1/2 \) as fixed in Proposition 3.1 and a sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), we have

\[
\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma)) \exp(-\mathcal{N}(c)/Y) = \sum_{c \in Z^c} \exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma)) \exp(-\mathcal{N}(c)/Y) + O(Y^{\delta}).
\]

Furthermore, for \( c \in Z^c, y \in \mathbb{R}, \) and \( 1/2 < \sigma \leq 1 \), we use Lemma 2.11 and arguments similar to those in the proof of [1, Lemma 4.4] to derive the following lemma giving a representation of \( \exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma)) \) as a sum of an infinite sum and a certain contour integral.

Lemma 3.3. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given with \( \sigma \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon \). Suppose that \( \sigma \leq 1 \). If \( c \in Z^c \), then

\[
\exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma)) = \sum_{a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K, a, b \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_y(a)\lambda_y(b)\chi_c(ab^3)}{\mathcal{N}(a)^s\mathcal{N}(b)^s} \exp \left(-\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{X}\right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{Y, \varepsilon, A}} \exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma + u)) \Gamma(u) X^u \, du,
\]

where \( L_{Y, \varepsilon, A} \) is the contour that connects, by straight line segments, the points \( (1 - \sigma + \varepsilon/2 + i\infty, (1 - \sigma + \varepsilon/2) + i(\log Y)^A, -\varepsilon/2 + i(\log Y)^A, (1 - \sigma + \varepsilon/2) - i(\log Y)^A, (1 - \sigma + \varepsilon/2) - i\infty \).

Inserting the above lemma into (3.2), we get, for \( \sigma \leq 1 \),

\[
\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma)) \exp(-\mathcal{N}(c)/Y) = (I) - (II) + (III) + O(Y^{\delta}),
\]

where

\[
(I) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \left( \sum_{a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K, a, b \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_y(a)\lambda_y(b)\chi_c(ab^3)}{\mathcal{N}(a)^s\mathcal{N}(b)^s} \exp \left(-\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{X}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y}\right) \right),
\]

\[
(II) = \sum_{c \in Z} \left( \sum_{a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K, a, b \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_y(a)\lambda_y(b)\chi_c(ab^3)}{\mathcal{N}(a)^s\mathcal{N}(b)^s} \exp \left(-\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{X}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y}\right) \right),
\]

\[
(III) = \sum_{c \in Z^c} \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{Y, \varepsilon, A}} \exp(iy\mathcal{L}_c(\sigma + u)) \Gamma(u) X^u \, du \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y}\right).
\]
Letting $A > 1$ and $X = Y^\eta$ for $\eta > 0$, using arguments analogues to those in (1.1), we deduce that
\[
(II) + (III) \ll Y^d X^{1-\sigma+\varepsilon} + Y X^{-\varepsilon/2}.
\]

### 3.4. Evaluation of (I)

It still remains to prove an asymptotic formula for (I) given in [6.4].

**Lemma 3.5.** Set
\[
\widetilde{C}_\sigma(y) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\text{res}_s=1 \xi_K(s)}{|H_{(16)}| \xi_K(2)} \widetilde{M}_\sigma(y),
\]
with $\widetilde{M}_\sigma(y)$ defined in \[8.4\]. Then
\[
(I) = \widetilde{C}_\sigma(y) Y + O \left( Y X^{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_0} + Y^{1/2+\varepsilon} + Y^{1/2+2\varepsilon} X^{1-\varepsilon_0+3\varepsilon} \right),
\]
for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

**Proof.** Starting with [8.4],
\[
(I) = \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{\lambda_y(a) \lambda_y(b)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{X} \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{\lambda_y(a) \lambda_y(b)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} X} \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{\lambda_y(a) \lambda_y(b)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} X} \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{\lambda_y(a) \lambda_y(b)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} X} \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{\lambda_y(a) \lambda_y(b)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} X} \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{\lambda_y(a) \lambda_y(b)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} X} \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right)
\]

The part of (3.7) contributed by the fourth powers is
\[
\sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{\lambda_y(a^4) \lambda_y(b^4)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{2\sigma} \mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} \mathcal{N}(a^4 b^4 m^2)}{X} \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right)
\]

Utilizing Lemma [2.4] and the estimate [2.7] for $\lambda_y$, above expression can be estimated by
\[
(3.8) \quad Y \sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{C_{abm} \lambda_y(a^4) \lambda_y(b^4)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{2\sigma} \mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} \mathcal{N}(a^4 b^4 m^2)}{X} \right),
\]
with an error that is $O \left( Y^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right)$. Here $C_{abm}$ is defined in Lemma [2.4]. Choose $\varepsilon$ small enough so that $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Inserting the formula
\[
\exp \left( -\frac{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} \mathcal{N}(a^4 b^4 m^2)}{X} \right) = \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(1)} \Gamma(u) \left( \frac{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}} \mathcal{N}(a^4 b^4 m^2)}{X} \right)^{-u} du
\]
into (3.8) and shifting the line of integration to $\Re(u) = \varepsilon - \varepsilon_0$, we conclude the contribution of fourth powers to (I) is
\[
(3.9) \quad Y \sum_{r_1,r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1+i)^r_1) \lambda_y((1+i)^r_2)}{2 r_1^{\sigma+r_2^{\sigma}}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \atop \gcd(a,b) \neq 1}} \frac{C_{abm} \lambda_y(a^4) \lambda_y(b^4)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{2\sigma} \mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} + O \left( Y X^{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_0} + Y^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right).
\]

Mark that the coefficient of $Y$ in (3.9) agrees with $\widetilde{C}_\sigma(y)$ given by (3.6).
To estimate the contribution of non-fourth powers to (I), we write $a = (a)$ and $b = (b)$ with $a$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ being primary for ideals $a$ and $b$ with $\gcd(ab, (2)) = 1$. We then have, by the quartic reciprocity law (2.11),

$$
\sum_{c \in C} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right) = \sum_{c \equiv 1 \pmod{16}} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right) \sum_{d \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \mu_{ij}(d)
\sum_{d \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \mu_{ij}(d).
$$

We split the last sum above into two parts to get

$$
\sum_{c \in C} \chi_c(ab^3) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(c)}{Y} \right) = R + S,
$$

where

$$
R = \sum_{d \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \mu_{ij}(d) \chi_{ab^3}(d^2) \sum_{c \equiv d^2 \pmod{16}} \chi_{ab^3}(c) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(d^2c)}{Y} \right),
$$

$$
S = \sum_{d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \chi_{ab^3}(d_1^2) \sum_{d \equiv d_1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \mu_{ij}(d) \sum_{c \equiv d_1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \chi_{ab^3}(c) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(d^2c)}{Y} \right).
$$

Here $B$ is a parameter to be optimized later, $\overline{d}$ (respectively $\overline{d}_1$) is the multiplicative inverse of $d$ (respectively $d_1$) modulo $16$ and $\sum^b$ denotes summation over square-free elements of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

We have

$$
R = \left[ \frac{1}{H(16)} \right] \sum_{d \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \mu_{ij}(d) \chi_{ab^3}(d^2) \sum_{c \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \chi_{ab^3}(c) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(d^2c)}{Y} \right),
$$

$$
S = \left[ \frac{1}{H(16)} \right] \sum_{\chi \pmod{16}} \sum_{d \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \mu_{ij}(d) \chi_{ab^3}(d^2) \sum_{c \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \chi_{ab^3}(c) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(d^2c)}{Y} \right).
$$

The bound in (2.4) gives

$$
R \ll B \mathcal{N}(ab^3)^{1/2+\varepsilon}.
$$

Therefore, the summands in $R$ involving $R$ can be majorized by

$$
\ll B \sum_{r_1, r_2} \frac{|\lambda_y((1+i)^{r_1})| |\lambda_y((1+i)^{r_2})| |\lambda_y(ab^3)^{1/2+\varepsilon} |\lambda_y(abm)| |\lambda_y(bm)|}{\mathcal{N}(a)^{\sigma}\mathcal{N}(b)^{\sigma}\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{2^r_1+r_2 \mathcal{N}(abm^2)}{X} \right)
$$

$$
\ll B \sum_{a, b} \mathcal{N}(a)^{-\sigma+1/2+2\varepsilon} \mathcal{N}(b)^{-\sigma+3/2+\varepsilon} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(ab)}{X} \right)
$$

With a change of variables, the last expression is recast as

$$
B \sum_a \mathcal{N}(a)^{-\sigma+1/2+2\varepsilon} \sum_b |a| \mathcal{N}(b)^{1+2\varepsilon} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(a)}{X} \right) \ll B \sum_a \mathcal{N}(a)^{-\sigma+3/2+5\varepsilon} \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(a)}{X} \right) \ll BX^{5/2-\sigma+6\varepsilon}.
$$
Next, the summands (3.7) with $S$ are precisely
\[
\sum_{r_1, r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1 + i)^{r_1}) \lambda_y((1 + i)^{r_2})}{2^{r_1 + r_2} \sigma} \sum_{a \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^2}} \sum_{b \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^2}} \sum_{m \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^2}} \frac{\lambda_y((am)) \lambda_y((bm))}{\mathcal{N}(a)^\sigma \mathcal{N}(b)^\sigma \mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{2^{r_1 + r_2} \mathcal{N}(abm^2)}{X} \right) \\
\times \sum_{d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{(1+i)^3}} \chi_{ab^2}(d_1^2) \sum_{d \mid d_1} \mu_{\mathfrak{i}}(d) \sum_{c \equiv d_1 \pmod{16}} \chi_{ab^2}(c) \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{N}(d^2 c)}{Y} \right).
\]

Now we rewrite $a$ as $a = a_1 a_2^2$, where $a_1$ is the square-free part of $a$. Also note that we may assume that
\[
\mathcal{N}(a_1) \leq \frac{X^{1+\epsilon}}{\mathcal{N}(a_2^2)^{1+\epsilon}}, \quad \mathcal{N}(c) \leq \frac{Y^{1+\epsilon}}{\mathcal{N}(d_1^2)^{1+\epsilon}}
\]
and $B < \mathcal{N}(d_1) < \sqrt{Y}$. Proceeding in a manner similar to the treatment of $S$ in [1] by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the large sieve inequality (2.3), we see that the contribution of $S$ to (3.7) is
\[
\ll (XY)^{1/2+2\epsilon} + Y^{1+2\epsilon} \frac{X^{1+\sigma+\epsilon}}{B} + Y^{5/6+3\epsilon/2} \frac{X^{1+\sigma+\epsilon}}{B^{2/3}}.
\]
The combined contribution of $R$ and $S$ to (I) is
\[
\ll BX^{5/2-\sigma+6\epsilon} + (XY)^{1/2+2\epsilon} + Y^{1+2\epsilon} \frac{X^{1-\sigma+\epsilon}}{B} + Y^{5/6+3\epsilon/2} \frac{X^{1-\sigma+\epsilon}}{B^{2/3}}.
\]
Upon taking $B = Y^{1/2+\epsilon} X^{-3/4-5\epsilon/2}$, the above is
\[
\ll Y^{1/2+2\epsilon} X^{7/4-\sigma+4\epsilon}.
\]
Combining this estimation with (3.9), we obtain
\[
(I) = \tilde{C}_\sigma(y) Y + O(Y X^{5/4-\epsilon_0} + Y^{1/2+\epsilon} + Y^{1/2+2\epsilon} X^{5/4-\epsilon_0+4\epsilon})
\]
and complete the proof of the lemma. \qed

3.6. Proof of Proposition 3.1

Proof. As the treatment for $\sigma > 1$ is analogue to the one given in the proof of [1] Proposition 4.2], we may assume that $\sigma \leq 1$. Inserting (3.5) and (3.10) into (3.3) yields
\[
\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}}^* \exp \left( iy \mathcal{L}_c(\sigma) \right) \exp(-\mathcal{N}(c)/Y) = \tilde{C}_\sigma(y) Y + O \left( Y X^{5/4-\epsilon_0} + Y^{1/2+\epsilon} + Y^{1/2+2\epsilon} X^{5/4-\epsilon_0+4\epsilon} + Y^{\delta} X^{1/2-\epsilon_0+\epsilon} + Y X^{-\epsilon/2} \right).
\]
Now choosing $X = Y^\eta$ for a sufficiently small positive constant $\eta$ and using (2.2) give the result. \qed

3.7. The product formula for $\tilde{M}_\sigma(y)$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\tilde{M}_\sigma(y)$ be given in (3.1). We have $\tilde{M}_\sigma(y) = \varphi_\sigma(y)$, where $\varphi_\sigma(y)$ is defined in Theorem 1.1

Proof. Using (2.5) yields
\[
(3.11) \quad \sum_{r_1, r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1 + i)^{r_1}) \lambda_y((1 + i)^{r_2})}{2^{(r_1+r_2)\sigma}} = \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1 + i)^{r_1})}{2^{r_1\sigma}} \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_y((1 + i)^{r_2})}{2^{r_2\sigma}} = \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \frac{H_{r_1}(iy)}{2^{r_1\sigma}} \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{H_{r_2}(iy)}{2^{r_2\sigma}} = \exp \left( -2iy \log(1 - 2^{-\sigma}) \right).
\]
In the sequel, let $p$ denote a prime ideal and we adopt the convention that all products over $p$ are restricted to odd prime ideals, i.e. prime ideals co-prime to $(2)$.  Set

$$
\tilde{N}_\sigma(y) := \sum_{n,b,m \in \mathcal{O}_K \atop \gcd(abm, 2) = 1 \atop \mathfrak{g}(n) = 1} \frac{\lambda_y(a^4m)\lambda_y(b^4m)}{\mathcal{N}(ab)^{4\sigma}\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \prod_{p \mid abm} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1}
$$

(3.12)

$$
= \sum_{m}^{d} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \prod_{p \mid m} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_y(a^4m)}{\mathcal{N}(a)^{4\sigma}} \prod_{p \mid a} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{b} \frac{\lambda_y(b^4m)}{\mathcal{N}(b)^{4\sigma}} \prod_{p \mid b} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1}.
$$

Here $\sum^{d}$ denotes that the sum runs over $m$'s that are free of fourth powers.

We need to have an Euler product for $\tilde{N}_\sigma(y)$. To this end, we first find an Euler product for the innermost sum over $b$ in the last expression of (3.12). Let $\nu_p(m)$ denote the multiplicity of a prime ideal $p$ in an ideal $m$, i.e. the highest power of $p$ that divides $m$. We have

$$
\sum_{m} \frac{\lambda_y(b^4m)}{\mathcal{N}(b)^{4\sigma}} \prod_{p \mid b} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1} = \prod_{p} F(p) \frac{\prod_{p \mid am} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_y(p^{4j})}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{4j\sigma}} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1} \right)}{\prod_{p \mid am} F(p)},
$$

where

$$
F(p) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_y(p^{4j})}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{4j\sigma}} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1}.
$$

Inserting (3.13) into (3.12), we arrive at

$$
\tilde{N}_\sigma(y) = \prod_{p} F(p) \tilde{N}_\sigma(y), \quad \text{where}\quad \tilde{N}_\sigma(y) = \sum_{m}^{d} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \prod_{p \mid m} \frac{G(p, \nu_p(m))}{F(p)} \prod_{p \mid a} \frac{G(p, \nu_p(m))}{F(p)} \frac{\mathcal{N}(a)^{4\sigma}}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{-1}},
$$

where

$$
G(p, l) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_y(p^{4j+l})}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{4j\sigma}} (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1}.
$$

Now we have

$$
\tilde{N}_\sigma(y) = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_y(p^{4k})}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{4k\sigma}} \frac{G(p, 0)}{F(p)} \prod_{p \mid m} \frac{G(p, \nu_p(m))}{F(p)} \frac{\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{-1}} \right) \sum_{m}^{d} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}} \prod_{p \mid m} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_y(p^{4k})}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{4k\sigma}} \frac{G(p, 0)}{F(p)} \right) \frac{\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{-1}}.
$$

(3.15)

The summation over $m$ in the above expression can be recast as the Euler product

$$
\prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{2\sigma}} \frac{G(p, l)}{F(p)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_y(p^{4k+l})}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{4k\sigma}} \frac{\mathcal{N}(m)^{2\sigma}}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{-1}} \right).
$$

(3.16)

Inserting (3.16) into (3.15) and using resulting expression for $\tilde{N}_\sigma(y)$ in (3.13), we infer that

$$
\tilde{N}_\sigma(y) = \prod_{p} M_{\sigma,p}(y), \quad \tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y) = 1 - (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1} + (1 + \mathcal{N}(p)^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_y(p^{4l})}{\mathcal{N}(p)^{4l\sigma}} \mathcal{N}(p)^{(4l+1)\sigma}.
$$
The definition of $\lambda_y$ in (2.5), together with the relation
\begin{equation}
(3.17)
\sum_{l=0}^{3} i^k = \begin{cases} 
4 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}, 
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
gives that
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_y(p^{4j+l}) \lambda_{y(p^{4k+l})} \mathcal{A}(p)^{(4j+l)\sigma} \mathcal{A}(p)^{(4k+l)\sigma} = \sum_{l=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{4j+l}(iy) \mathcal{A}(p)^{(4j+l)\sigma} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_{4k+l}(iy) \mathcal{A}(p)^{(4k+l)\sigma},
\end{align*}
where the last expression above follows from (2.3).

Further using the relation (3.17) for $k = m + n$, we conclude that
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_y(p^{4j+l}) \lambda_{y(p^{4k+l})} \mathcal{A}(p)^{(4j+l)\sigma} \mathcal{A}(p)^{(4k+l)\sigma} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{3} \exp \left( -2iy \log \left| 1 - \frac{i^j}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma}} \right| \right),
\end{align*}
Therefore, $\tilde{N}_\sigma(y)$ takes the form
\begin{equation}
(3.18) \quad \tilde{N}_\sigma(y) = \prod_{p \mid (2)} \tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y), \quad \tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y) = \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(p) + 1} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma}}{\mathcal{A}(p) + 1} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{3} \exp \left( -2iy \log \left| 1 - \frac{i^j}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma}} \right| \right).
\end{equation}
The assertion of Proposition 3.8 now follows from this and (3.14). \hfill \Box

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.8

Since $\varphi_\sigma(y) = \varphi_\sigma(-y)$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $y > 0$. It follows from Proposition 3.8 that
\begin{equation}
\varphi_\sigma(y) = \exp \left( -2iy \log(1 - 2^{-\sigma}) \right) \prod_{p \mid (2)} \tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y),
\end{equation}
where $\tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y)$ is given in (3.18). Note first that for all $y$ we have $|\tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y)| \leq 1$. Further note that
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}_{\sigma,p}(y) := & \sum_{j=0}^{3} \exp \left( -2iy \log \left| 1 - \frac{i^j}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma}} \right| \right), \\
& = \exp \left( -2iy \log(1 - N(p)^{-\sigma}) \right) \left( 1 + 2 \exp \left( -2iy \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \right) + \exp \left( -2iy \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \right) \right).
\end{align*}
Thus,
\begin{align*}
|\tilde{Q}_{\sigma,p}(y)| = & \left| 1 + 2 \exp \left( -2iy \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \right) + \exp \left( -2iy \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \right) \right| \\
& \leq \left| 1 + 2 \exp \left( -2iy \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \right) \right| + 1 = \left[ 1 + \exp \left( y \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \right) \right] + 1.
\end{align*}
Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large $y$, consider the prime ideals $p$ with
\begin{equation}
(4.1)
1.35 - \varepsilon \leq y \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \leq 1.77 + \varepsilon.
\end{equation}
Upon taking $\varepsilon$ small enough, we can ensure that
\begin{align*}
\left| \cos \left( y \log \frac{\mathcal{A}(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}{\mathcal{A}(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \right) \right| \leq 0.22.
\end{align*}
This implies that $|\tilde{Q}_{\sigma,p}(y)| \leq 2.2$. It follows that for all $p$ satisfying (4.1), we have

$$\left|\tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{N(p) + 1} + 0.55 \left(\frac{N(p)}{N(p) + 1}\right) \leq 0.8.$$ 

Observe that $\frac{2y}{3.54} \leq N(p) \leq \frac{2y}{2.7}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{2.7}{2} N(p)^{\sigma} \log \frac{\sqrt{N(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}}{N(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \leq y \log \frac{\sqrt{N(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}}{N(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \leq \frac{3.54}{2} N(p)^{\sigma} \log \frac{\sqrt{N(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}}{N(p)^{\sigma} - 1}.$$ 

Since

$$\lim_{\delta \to \infty} N(p)^{\sigma} \log \frac{\sqrt{N(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}}{N(p)^{\sigma} - 1} = 1,$$

we get that for sufficiently large $y$,

$$\frac{2y}{3.54} \leq N(p) \leq \frac{2y}{2.7},$$

which implies

$$1.35 - \varepsilon \leq y \log \frac{\sqrt{N(p)^{2\sigma} + 1}}{N(p)^{\sigma} - 1} \leq 1.77 + \varepsilon.$$ 

Let $\Pi(x)$ be the number of prime ideals in $K$ with norms not exceeding $x$, and let $\Pi_\sigma(y)$ be the number of prime ideals satisfying (4.1). It follows from the above consideration that if $y$ is large enough, then

$$\Pi_\sigma(y) > \Pi \left(\left(\frac{2y}{2.7}\right)^{1/\sigma}\right) - \Pi \left(\left(\frac{2y}{3.54}\right)^{1/\sigma}\right) \gg_{\sigma} y^{1/\sigma - \delta}$$

for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Consequently,

$$|\varphi_\sigma(y)| = \prod_{p \equiv (2)} |\tilde{M}_{\sigma,p}(y)| \leq 0.8^{\Pi_\sigma(y)} = \exp \left(-\log \left(\frac{1}{0.8}\right) \Pi_\sigma(y)\right) \leq \exp \left(-Cy^{1/\sigma - \delta}\right),$$

where $C$ can be chosen according to the values of $\sigma$ and $\delta$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.3.
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