

THE κ -POINCARÉ GROUP ON A C^* -LEVEL.

PIOTR STACHURA

ABSTRACT. The C^* -algebraic κ -Poincaré Group is constructed. The construction uses groupoid algebras of differential groupoids associated to Lie group decomposition. It turns out the underlying C^* -algebra is the same as for “ κ -Euclidean Group” but a comultiplication is twisted by some unitary multiplier. Generators and commutation relations among them are presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

The history of κ -deformation has begun in 1992 with the work of J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg [5], where this deformation of the enveloping algebra of Poincaré Group appeared for the first time. Next important step was the paper by S. Majid and H. Ruegg in 1994 [7] identifying bicrossedproduct structure of κ -Poincaré algebra. Since then, a vast literature on the subject has been produced with many attempts to apply this deformation to physical problems, but because (almost) all work was done on the level of pure algebra (or formal power series) it was hard to get more than rather formal conclusions. This is not a review paper and we refer to [6] (also an older one [2]) for a discussion and an extensive bibliography of the subject.

This article, however, is not about the κ -Poincaré algebra but about the κ -Poincaré Group - deformation of an algebra of functions on Poincaré Group. It appeared in 1994, on a Hopf $*$ -algebra level, in the work of S. Zakrzewski [22], where it was also shown it is a quantization of a certain Poisson-Lie structure. Soon, it became clear that this particular Poisson structure is not special for dimension 4 but has analogues in any dimension and is related to certain decompositions of orthogonal Lie algebras [10] and is dual to a certain Lie algebroid structure [14].

The main result of this work is a *topological version* of κ -Poincaré Group. Since [7] it has been clear that had it existed it should have been given by some bicrossedproduct construction. The main problem is that the decomposition of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ doesn't lift to a decomposition of a Lie Group $G = AC$ (of course it lifts to a local decomposition, but the complement of the set of decomposable elements i.e. $G \setminus (AC \cap CA)$ has a non empty interior) therefore the construction of S. Vaes and L. Vainerman presented in [15] can't be directly applied. As it has been shown already in [10] there is a *non connected* extension of a group A , let's denote it by \tilde{A} , such that $\tilde{A}C \cap C\tilde{A}$ is open and dense in G . *Therefore it fits into the framework of [15] and the κ -deformation of Poincaré Group, or rather its non connected extension, exists as a locally compact quantum group.*

Here we use approach different then used in [15]. Although less general, it has some advantages – it is more geometric and it is easier to see that what we get is really a quantization of a Poisson-Lie structure. It is based on the use of groupoid algebras for differential groupoids naturally related to decompositions of Lie groups. For a global decomposition this construction was described in [12]; the result is that given a Lie group G with two closed subgroups $B, C \subset G$ satisfying $G = BC$ one can define two differential groupoid structures on G (over B and C , this is described briefly in the second part of this introduction). It turns out that C^* -algebras of these groupoids carry quantum group structures, in fact, sweeping under the rug some

universal/reduced algebras problems, one may say that all main ingredients of quantum group structure are just C^* -lifting of natural groupoid objects. As said above the situation with κ -Poincaré is not so nice, but there is a global decomposition “nearby” one can try to use; this framework was described in [13].

Let us explain briefly the construction; geometric details were presented in [14]. By the (restricted) Poincaré Group it's meant here the semidirect product of the (restricted) Lorentz Group $A := SO_0(1, n)$ and $n + 1$ -dimensional vector Minkowski space. It turns out, that it can be realized as a subgroup $(TA)^0$ of T^*G for $G := SO_0(1, n + 1)$, where A is embedded naturally into G (as the stabilizer of a spacelike vector). On $(TA)^0$ there is a Poisson structure dual to a Lie algebroid structure related to the decomposition of \mathfrak{g} – the Lie algebra of G into two subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$, where \mathfrak{a} is the Lie algebra of A . This Lie algebroid is the algebroid of the Lie groupoid $AC \cap CA \subset G$, here C is the Lie subgroup with algebra \mathfrak{c} . As said above, the set $AC \cap CA$ is too small, but one can find $\tilde{A} \subset G$ – a non-connected extension of A , in fact this is the normalizer of A in G , such that $\Gamma := \tilde{A}C \cap C\tilde{A}$ is open and dense in G . The set Γ is a differential groupoid (over \tilde{A}) and C^* -algebra of this groupoid is the C^* -algebra of κ -Poincaré Group. The method used in [13] relies essentially on the fact that the algebra \mathfrak{c} has a second complementary algebra \mathfrak{b} and the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ lifts to a *global decomposition* $G = BC$ and this is just the Iwasawa decomposition (i.e. $B = SO(n + 1)$). This global decomposition defines a groupoid $G_B : G \rightrightarrows B$ and its C^* -algebra is the underlying algebra of the quantum group which may be called “Quantum κ -Euclidean Group”. Our groupoid Γ embeds into G_B in such a way that it is possible to prove that their C^* -algebras are the same but the comultiplication of κ -Poincaré is comultiplication of κ -Euclidean twisted by a unitary multiplier. So one may say that *quantum spaces underlying κ -Poincaré and κ -Euclidean groups are the same and only group structures are different*.

The embedding $\Gamma \hookrightarrow G_B$ essentially is given by embedding of $\tilde{A} \hookrightarrow SO(n + 1)$ as a dense open subset, so it is a kind of compactification of \tilde{A} (which consists of two copies of the (restricted) Lorentz Group $SO_0(1, n)$). This compactification solves the problem, that some natural operators, that “should be” self-adjoint elements affiliated with $C^*(\Gamma)$ are defined by non complete vector fields, so they are not essentially self-adjoint on their “natural” domains and this embedding just defines “correct” domains.

In this work we consider only C^* -algebra with comultiplication, and not discuss other ingredients like antipode, Haar weight, etc.; they can be constructed using methods presented in [12].

In the remaining part of the Introduction we recall basics of groupoid algebras, groupoids related to decomposition of groups and results of [13] essential in the following. The short description of the content of each section is given at the end of the Introduction.

1.1. Groupoid algebras. We will use groupoid algebras, so now we recall basic facts and establish the relevant notation. We refer to [12, 11] for a detailed exposition and to [13] for basics of formalism.

All *manifolds* are smooth, Hausdorff, second countable and *submanifolds* are embedded. For a manifold M by $\Omega_c^{1/2}(M)$ we denote the vector space of smooth, compactly supported, complex half densities on M ; it is equipped with the scalar product $(\psi_1 | \psi_2) := \int_M \overline{\psi_1} \psi_2$ and $L^2(M)$ is the completion of $\Omega_c^{1/2}(M)$ in the associated norm. Clearly, if we choose some ψ_0 – non vanishing, real half density on M , there is the equality $\Omega_c^{1/2}(M) = \{f \psi_0, f \in \mathcal{D}(M)\}$, where $\mathcal{D}(M)$ stands for smooth, complex and compactly supported functions on M .

Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows E$ be a differential groupoid. By e_R (e_L) we denote the *source* (*target*) *projection* and called it *right* (*left*) *projection*; a groupoid inverse is denoted by s . Let $\Omega_L^{1/2}, \Omega_R^{1/2}$ denote bundles of complex half

densities along left and right fibers. A *groupoid $*$ -algebra* $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ is a vector space of compactly supported, smooth sections of $\Omega_L^{1/2} \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}$ together with a convolution and $*$ -operation. To write explicit formulae let us choose λ_0 - a real, non vanishing, left invariant half density along left fibers (in fact that means we choose a Haar system on Γ , however nothing depends on this choice, details are given in [11].) Let $\rho_0 := s(\lambda_0)$ be the corresponding right invariant half density and $\omega_0 := \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$. Then any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ can be written as $\omega = f\omega_0$ for a unique function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$. With such a choice we write $(f_1\omega_0)(f_2\omega_0) =: (f_1 * f_2)\omega_0$, $(f\omega_0)^* =: (f^*)\omega_0$ and:

$$(1) \quad (f_1 * f_2)(\gamma) := \int_{F_l(\gamma)} \lambda_0^2(\gamma') f_1(\gamma') f_2(s(\gamma')\gamma) = \int_{F_r(\gamma)} \rho_0^2(\gamma') f_1(\gamma s(\gamma')\gamma) f_2(\gamma') , \quad f^*(\gamma) := \overline{f(s(\gamma))}$$

$F_l(\gamma)$ and $F_r(\gamma)$ are left and right fibers passing through γ e.g. $F_l(\gamma) := e_L^{-1}(e_L(\gamma))$.

The choice of ω_0 defines a norm that makes $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ a normed $*$ -algebra:

$$\|f\omega_0\|_0 =: \|f\|_0 = \max \left\{ \sup_{e \in E} \int_{e_L^{-1}(e)} \lambda_0^2(\gamma) |f(\gamma)|, \sup_{e \in E} \int_{e_R^{-1}(e)} \rho_0^2(\gamma) |f(\gamma)| \right\}$$

There is a faithful representation π_{id} of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ on $L^2(\Gamma)$ described as follows: choose ν_0 - a real, non vanishing half density on E ; since e_R is a surjective submersion one can define $\psi_0 := \rho_0 \otimes \nu_0$ - this is a real, non vanishing, half density on Γ . For $\psi = f_2\psi_0$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ the representation is given by $\pi_{id}(f_1\omega_0)(f_2\psi_0) =: (\pi_{id}(f_1)f_2)\psi_0$ and $\pi_{id}(f_1)f_2 = f_1 * f_2$ is as in (1). The estimate $\|\pi_{id}(\omega)\| \leq \|\omega\|_0$ makes possible the definition: *The reduced C^* -algebra of a groupoid* - $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ is the completion of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ in the norm $\|\omega\| := \|\pi_{id}(\omega)\|$. We will also use the following fact which is a direct consequence of the definition of the norm $\|f\|_0$:

Lemma 1.1. *Let $U \subset \Gamma$ be an open set with compact closure. There exists M such that $\|f\|_0 \leq M \sup |f(\gamma)|$ for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ with support in U . If $f_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ have supports in a fixed compact set and f_n converges to $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ uniformly then $f_n\omega_0$ converges to $f\omega_0$ in $C_r^*(\Gamma)$. ■*

We will use *Zakrzewski category* of groupoids [20, 21]. *Morphisms* are not mappings (functors) but relations satisfying certain natural properties. A morphism $h : \Gamma \rightrightarrows \Gamma'$ of differential groupoids defines a mapping $\hat{h} : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma'))$ (linear mappings of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$), this mapping commutes with (right) multiplication in $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$ i.e.

$$\hat{h}(\omega)(\omega')\omega'' = \hat{h}(\omega)(\omega'\omega'') , \quad \omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) , \quad \omega', \omega'' \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$$

and we use notation $\hat{h}(\omega)\omega'$ (see formula (107) in the Appendix for an example of such a mapping); there is also a representation π_h of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ on $L^2(\Gamma')$; these objects satisfy some obvious compatibility conditions with respect to multiplication and $*$ -operation (see [11] for details).

A *bisection* B of $\Gamma \rightrightarrows E$ is a submanifold such that $e_L|_B, e_R|_B : B \rightarrow E$ are diffeomorphisms. Bisections act on $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ as unitary multipliers and are transported by morphisms: if $B \subset \Gamma$ is a bisection and $h : \Gamma \rightrightarrows \Gamma'$ is a morphism then the set $h(B)$ is a bisection of Γ' .

1.2. Group decompositions, related groupoids and quantum groups. Now we briefly recall some facts about *double groups*. Let G be a group and $A, B \subset G$ subgroups such that $A \cap B = \{e\}$. Every element g in the set $\Gamma := AB \cap BA$ can be written uniquely as

$$g = a_L(g)b_R(g) = b_L(g)a_R(g) , \quad a_L(g), a_R(g) \in A , \quad b_L(g), b_R(g) \in B.$$

These decompostions define surjections: $a_L, a_R : \Gamma \rightarrow A$ and $b_L, b_R : \Gamma \rightarrow B$ (in fact a_L, b_R are defined on AB and b_L, a_R on BA , we will denote these extensions by the same symbols). The formulae:

$$E := A, \quad s(g) := b_L(g)^{-1} a_L(g) = a_R(g) b_R(g)^{-1},$$

$$Gr(m) := \{(b_1 a b_2; b_1 a, a b_2) : b_1 a, a b_2 \in \Gamma\}$$

define the structure of the groupoid $\Gamma_A : \Gamma \rightrightarrows A$; the analogous formulae define the groupoid $\Gamma_B : \Gamma \rightrightarrows B$. On the other hand for a subgroup $B \subset G$ there is a (right) transformation groupoid $(B \setminus G) \rtimes B$. The following lemma [13] explains relation between these groupoids.

Lemma 1.2. *The map:*

$$\Gamma_A \ni g \mapsto ([a_L(g)], b_R(g)) \in (B \setminus G) \rtimes B$$

is an isomorphism of the groupoid Γ_A with the restriction of a (right) transformation groupoid $(B \setminus G) \rtimes B$ to the set $\{[a] : a \in A\} \subset B \setminus G$. ■

If $AB = G$ (i.e. $\Gamma = G$) the triple $(G; A, B)$ is called a *double group* and in this situation we will denote groupoids Γ_A, Γ_B by G_A, G_B . It turns out that the *transposition of multiplication relation* m_B i.e. $\delta_0 := m_B^T : G_A \rightrightarrows G_A \times G_A$ is a coassociative morphism of groupoids. Applying the lemma 1.2 to the groupoid G_A we can identify it with the transformation groupoid $(B \setminus G) \rtimes B$. So $G_A = A \rtimes B$ is a right transformation groupoid for the action $(a, b) \mapsto a_R(ab)$ i.e the structure is given by:

$$E := \{(a, e) : a \in A\}, \quad s(a, b) := (a_R(ab), b^{-1}),$$

$$m := \{(a_1, b_1 b_2; a_1, b_1, a_R(a_1 b_1), b_2) : a_1 \in A, b_1, b_2 \in B\}$$

In the formula above, we identified a relation $m : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightrightarrows \Gamma$ with its graph, i.e. subset of $\Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma$. We will use such notation throughout the paper. If G is a Lie group, A, B are closed subgroups, $A \cap B = \{e\}$, $AB = G$ then $(G; A, B)$ is called a *double Lie group*, abbreviated in the following as DLG. It turns out that the mapping $\widehat{\delta}_0$, defined by the morphism δ_0 (compare (107) in Appendix), extends to the coassociative morphism Δ of $C_r^*(G_A)$ and $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A) = C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$ which satisfies *density conditions*:

$$cls\{\Delta(a)(I \otimes b) : a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)\} = cls\{\Delta(a)(b \otimes I) : a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)\} = C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A),$$

where *cls* denotes the closed linear span. There are other objects that make the pair $(C_r^*(G_A), \Delta)$ a locally compact quantum group; we refer to [12] for details.

1.3. Framework for κ -Poincaré. The framework for κ -Poincare group we are going to use was presented in [13]. Let us now recall basic facts established there. Let G be a group and $A, B, C \subset G$ subgroups satisfying conditions:

$$B \cap C = \{e\} = A \cap C, \quad BC = G.$$

i.e. $(G; B, C)$ is a double group. As described above, in this situation, there is the groupoid G_B , and the (coassociative) morphism $\delta_0 : G_B \rightrightarrows G_B \times G_B$; explicitly the graph of δ_0 is equal to:

$$(2) \quad \delta_0 = \{(b_1 c, c b_2; b_1 c b_2) : b_1, b_2 \in B, c \in C\}$$

Using the lemma 1.2 we see that this is a transformation groupoid $(C \setminus G) \rtimes C$ and the isomorphism is

$$(C \setminus G) \times C \ni ([g], c) \mapsto b_R(g)c \in G$$

Let $\Gamma := AC \cap CA$ and consider on Γ the groupoid structure $\Gamma_A : \Gamma \rightrightarrows A$ described above, together with a relation (the transposition of the multiplication in $\Gamma_C : \Gamma \rightrightarrows C$):

$$\tilde{m}_C^T := \{(a_1 c_1, c_1 a_2; a_1 c_1 a_2) : a_1 c_1, c_1 a_2 \in \Gamma\} \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma.$$

The corresponding projections will be denoted by \tilde{c}_L, \tilde{c}_R and a_R, a_L . Again, by the lemma 1.2 we identify the groupoid Γ_A with the restriction of $(C \setminus G) \rtimes C$ and then with the restriction of G_B to the set $B' := B \cap CA$, i.e. with $b_L^{-1}(B') \cap b_R^{-1}(B')$. This restriction will be denoted by $\Gamma_{B'}$ (instead of more adequate but rather inconvenient $G_B|_{B'}$). This isomorphism and its inverse are given by:

$$(3) \quad \Gamma_A \ni ac \mapsto b_R(a)c \in \Gamma_{B'} \quad , \quad \Gamma_{B'} \ni bc \mapsto a_R(b)c \in \Gamma_A$$

The image of \tilde{m}_C^T inside $\Gamma_{B'} \times \Gamma_{B'} \times \Gamma_{B'}$ is equal to:

$$\{(b_R(a_1)c_1, b_R(a_2)c_2; b_R(a_1 a_2)c_2) : a_1 c_1 = \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{a}_1, c_1 \tilde{a}_2 = a_2 c_2\}$$

The following object plays the major role in what follows:

$$(4) \quad T := \{(g, b) : c_R(g)b \in A\} = \{(b_1 \tilde{c}_L(b_2)^{-1}, b_2) : b_1 \in B, b_2 \in B'\} \subset G_B \times G_B.$$

Using the definition (2) of δ_0 one easily computes images of T by relations $id \times \delta_0$ and $\delta_0 \times id$:

$$\begin{aligned} (id \times \delta_0)T &= \{(g_1, b_2, b_3) : b_2 b_3 \in B', c_R(g_1) = \tilde{c}_L(b_2 b_3)^{-1}\} \\ (\delta_0 \times id)T &= \{(g_1, g_2, b_3) : c_R(g_1) = c_L(g_2), b_3 \in B', c_R(g_2) = \tilde{c}_L(b_3)^{-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

Let us also denote $T_{12} := T \times B \subset G_B \times G_B \times G_B$ and $T_{23} := B \times T \subset G_B \times G_B \times G_B$.

Main properties of T are listed in the following lemma (proven in [13]):

- Proposition 1.3.** (1) T is a section of left and right projections (in $G_B \times G_B$) over the set $B \times B'$ and a bisection of $G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}$;
 (2) $(id \times \delta_0)T$ is a section of left and right projections (in $G_B \times G_B \times G_B$) over the set $B \times \delta_0(B') = \{(b_1, b_2, b_3) : b_2 b_3 \in B'\}$;
 (3) $(\delta_0 \times id)T$ is a section of left and right projections over the set $B \times B \times B'$;
 (4) $T_{23}(id \times \delta_0)T = T_{12}(\delta_0 \times id)T$ (equality of sets in $G_B \times G_B \times G_B$), moreover this set is a section of the right projection over $B \times (\delta_0(B') \cap (B \times B'))$ and the left projection over $B \times B' \times B'$.

Due to this proposition the left multiplication by T , which we denote by the same symbol, is a bijection of $G_B \times b_L^{-1}(B')$. Let $Ad_T : G_B \times G_B \rightarrow G_B \times G_B$ be a relation defined by:

$$(5) \quad (g_1, g_2; g_3, g_4) \in Ad_T \iff \exists t_1, t_2 \in T : (g_1, g_2) = t_1(g_3, g_4)(s_B \times s_B)(t_2).$$

and let us define the relation $\delta := Ad_T \cdot \delta_0 : G_B \rightarrow G_B \times G_B$

$$(6) \quad \delta = \{(b_R(b_3 b_2^{-1} \tilde{c}_L(b_2)) \tilde{c}_L(b_2)^{-1} c_L(b_2 c_2) \tilde{c}_L(b_R(b_2 c_2)), b_2 c_2; b_3 c_2) : b_3 \in B, c_2 \in C, b_2, b_R(b_2 c_2) \in B'\}.$$

The relation between δ and \tilde{m}_C^T is explained in the lemma: [13]

Lemma 1.4. δ is an extension of \tilde{m}_C^T i.e. $\tilde{m}_C^T \subset \delta$

Addition of some differential conditions to this situation makes possible to use T to twist the comultiplication on $C_r^*(G_B)$:

- Assumptions 1.5.** (1) G is a Lie group and A, B, C are closed Lie subgroups such that $B \cap C = \{e\} = A \cap C$, $BC = G$ (i.e. $(G; B, C)$ is a DLG).
- (2) The set $\Gamma := CA \cap AC$ is open and dense in G .
- (3) Let $U := b_L^{-1}(B')$ and $\mathcal{A}(U)$ be the linear space of elements from $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ supported in U . We assume that $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is dense in $C_r^*(G_B)$.
- (4) For a compact set $K_C \subset C$, open $V \subset B$ and $(b_1, b_2) \in B \times B'$ let us define a set $Z(b_1, b_2, K_C; V) := K_C \cap \{c \in C : b_R(b_1 c) b_2 \in V\}$ and a function:

$$B \times B' \ni (b_1, b_2) \mapsto \mu(b_1, b_2, K_C; V) := \int_{Z(b_1, b_2, K_C; V)} d_l c.$$

For compact sets $K_1 \subset B$ and $K_2 \subset B'$ let $\mu(K_1, K_2, K_C; V) := \sup\{\mu(b_1, b_2, K_C; V) : b_1 \in K_1, b_2 \in K_2\}$. We assume that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists V - \text{a neighborhood of } B \setminus B' \text{ in } B : \mu(K_1, K_2, K_C; V) \leq \epsilon$$

- Remark 1.6.** • It follows from the first and the second assumptions that B' is open and dense in B .
- The second assumption can be replaced by the following two conditions:
 - a) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$, where $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}$ are lie algebras of G, A, C , respectively. (then AC and CA are open);
 - b) $AC \cap CA$ is dense in G .
 - These assumptions are not very pleasant and probably, at least some of them, redundant; but they are sufficient to get results in case of quantum “ $ax+b$ ” group and κ -Poincaré. This framework, however, doesn't work for dual to κ -Poincaré and at the moment it is unclear if and how that example may be handled (with this approach).

The following proposition was proven in [13]:

Proposition 1.7. Assume the conditions listed in (1.5) are satisfied. Then

- a) $C_r^*(\Gamma_{B'}) = C_r^*(G_B)$ – for this equality, it is sufficient to satisfy (1),(2),(3) from 1.5;
- b) The mapping $T : \mathcal{A}(G_B \times U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_B \times U)$ extends to the unitary $\widehat{T} \in M(C_r^*(G_B) \otimes C_r^*(G_B))$ which satisfies:

$$(\widehat{T} \otimes I)(\Delta_0 \otimes id)\widehat{T} = (I \otimes \widehat{T})(id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{T}$$

- c) Because of b), the formula $\Delta(a) := \widehat{T}\Delta_0(a)\widehat{T}^{-1}$ defines a coassociative morphism. For this morphism (“cls” stands for “closed linear span”):

$$(7) \quad cls\{\Delta(a)(I \otimes c), a, c \in C_r^*(G_B)\} = cls\{\Delta(a)(c \otimes I), a, c \in C_r^*(G_B)\} = C_r^*(G_B) \otimes C_r^*(G_B).$$

■

The second section describes the situation for κ -Poincaré, i.e. we define groups G, A, B, C , compute explicit formulae for decompositions and describe structure of the groupoid G_B . In the third one, we verify Assumptions 1.5 and, by the Prop. 1.7 get the C^* -algebra and comultiplication for κ -Poincaré Group. The fourth section describes generators of this C^* -algebra, computes commutation relations and comultiplication on generators; also, the twist is described in more details. In the last but one section we compare our formulae to the ones in [22] and in the last one we discuss “quantum κ -Minkowski Space”. Finally there is an Appendix with some formulae needed here and proven elsewhere.

2. DECOMPOSITIONS DEFINING κ -POINCARÉ GROUP

Let (V, η) be $n+2, n \geq 1$ dimensional vector Minkowski space (signature is $(+, -, \dots, -)$). Let us choose an orthonormal basis $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n+1})$ and identify the (special) orthogonal group $SO(\eta)$ with the corresponding group of matrices $SO(1, n+1)$.

Let $G := SO_0(1, n+1) \subset SO(1, n+1)$ be the connected component of identity and $\mathfrak{g} := \text{span}\{M_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 0, \dots, n+1\}$ be its Lie algebra (see Appendix for notation). Consider three closed subgroups $A, B, C \subset G$:

The group A is a non-connected extension of $SO_0(1, n)$ inside $SO_0(1, n+1)$ defined by:

$$(8) \quad A := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} : u \in SO_0(1, n), h := \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, d = \pm 1 \right\}.$$

In fact, it is not hard to see that A is the normalizer of $SO_0(1, n)$ (embedded into upper left corner) inside $SO_0(1, n+1)$. The Lie algebra of A is $\mathfrak{a} := \text{span}\{M_{0m}, m = 1, \dots, n\}$.

We parameterize $SO_0(1, n)$ by:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| < 1\} \times SO(n) \ni (z, U) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+|z|^2}{1-|z|^2} & \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z^t U \\ \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z & (I + \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z z^t) U \end{pmatrix} \in SO_0(1, n)$$

Remark 2.1. This is the standard boost \times rotation parametrization of the Lorentz Group; the parameter z is related to a velocity v by:

$$z = \frac{v}{1 + \sqrt{1 - |v|^2}}, \quad v = \frac{2z}{1 + |z|^2}$$

In this way we obtain the parametrization of A :

$$(9) \quad \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| < 1\} \times SO(n) \times \{-1, 1\} \ni (z, U, d) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+|z|^2}{1-|z|^2} & \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z^t U D & 0 \\ \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z & (I + \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z z^t) U D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$; we will also denote by (z, U, d) the corresponding element of G .

The group B is $SO(n+1)$ embedded into G by: $SO(n+1) \ni g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \in G$; its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{b} := \{M_{kl}, k, l = 1, \dots, n+1\}$. Elements of $SO(n+1)$ will be written as:

$$(10) \quad (\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & u \\ w^t & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in [-1, 1],$$

and Λ, u, w, α satisfy:

$$(11) \quad \Lambda \Lambda^t + u u^t = I, \Lambda w + \alpha u = 0, \Lambda^t \Lambda + w w^t = I, \Lambda^t u + \alpha w = 0, |u|^2 + \alpha^2 = |w|^2 + \alpha^2 = 1;$$

these equations imply that $\alpha = \det(\Lambda)$. Again we will denote by (Λ, u, w, α) the corresponding element of G .

The group C is:

$$(12) \quad C := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s^2+1+|y|^2}{2s} & -\frac{1}{s} y^t & \frac{s^2-1+|y|^2}{2s} \\ -y & I & -y \\ \frac{s^2-1-|y|^2}{2s} & \frac{1}{s} y^t & \frac{s^2+1-|y|^2}{2s} \end{pmatrix} s \in \mathbb{R}_+, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\} \subset G;$$

it is isomorphic to the semidirect product of \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{R}^n $\{(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n\}$ with multiplication $(s_1, y_1)(s_2, y_2) := (s_1 s_2, s_2 y_1 + y_2)$. As before we will use (s, y) to denote the corresponding element of G . The Lie algebra of C is $\mathfrak{c} := \text{span}\{M_{\beta 0} - M_{\beta(n+1)}, \beta = 0, \dots, n\} = \text{span}\{M_{k0} - M_{k(n+1)}, k = 1, \dots, n+1\}$. The coordinates (s, y) are related to basis in \mathfrak{c} as:

$$(13) \quad (s, y) = \exp(-(\log s)M_{0(n+1)}) \exp(M(y)), \quad M(y) := \sum_{k=1}^n y_k (M_{k(n+1)} - M_{k0})$$

Remark 2.2. *More geometric description of data defining groups A, B, C was given in [14]; essentially we have to choose two orthogonal vectors in $n+2$ dimensional Minkowski(vector) space, one spacelike and one timelike.*

The Iwasawa decomposition for G is $G = BC = CB$. In the following we will need explicit relation between two forms of this decomposition i.e. solutions of the equation

$$(14) \quad (\Lambda, u, w, \alpha)(s, y) = (\tilde{s}, \tilde{y})(\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\alpha})$$

Lemma 2.3. (a) *Let $(s, y) \in C$ and $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B$. The equation (14) has the (unique) solution $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}) \in C$ and $(\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in B$ given by formulae:*

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{s} &= Ms = -w^t y + \frac{s^2 + 1 + |y|^2}{2s} + \alpha \frac{s^2 - 1 - |y|^2}{2s} & \tilde{y} &= \Lambda y - \frac{s^2 - 1 - |y|^2}{2s} u \\ \tilde{u} &= \frac{1}{Ms} \left(\left(1 - \frac{w^t y}{s}\right) u - \frac{1 - \alpha}{s} \Lambda y \right) & \tilde{w} &= \frac{1}{Ms} \left(w - \frac{1 - \alpha}{s} y \right) \\ \tilde{\Lambda} &= \left[\Lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} u w^t \right] \left[I - \frac{1}{M(1 - \alpha)} \left(w - \frac{1 - \alpha}{s} y \right) \left(w^t - \frac{1 - \alpha}{s} y^t \right) \right] \\ \tilde{\alpha} &= 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{Ms^2}, \text{ where} \\ M &:= \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)} \left(\left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{s} \right)^2 + \left| w - \frac{1 - \alpha}{s} y \right|^2 \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{|y|^2}{s^2} + 1 \right) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{|y|^2}{s^2} - 1 \right) - \frac{w^t y}{s} \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Let $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}) \in C$ and $(\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in B$. The equation (14) has the (unique) solution $(s, y) \in C$ and $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B$ given by formulae:*

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} s &= \frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{M}} = \frac{2\tilde{s}(1 - \tilde{\alpha})}{\tilde{s}^2(1 - \tilde{\alpha})^2 + |\tilde{u} + (1 - \tilde{\alpha})\tilde{y}|^2} & y &= \frac{1}{\tilde{M}} \left(\tilde{\Lambda}^t \tilde{y} - \frac{\tilde{s}^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2 - 1}{2} \tilde{w} \right) \\ u &= \frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{M}} (\tilde{u} + (1 - \tilde{\alpha})\tilde{y}) & w &= \frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{M}} \left((1 - \tilde{\alpha})\tilde{\Lambda}^t \tilde{y} + (1 + \tilde{u}^t \tilde{y}) \tilde{w} \right) \\ \Lambda &= \tilde{\Lambda} - \frac{1}{\tilde{M}} \left[(1 + \tilde{u}^t \tilde{y}) \tilde{y} \tilde{w}^t - \frac{\tilde{s}^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2 - 1}{2} \tilde{u} \tilde{w}^t + (\tilde{u} + (1 - \tilde{\alpha})\tilde{y}) \tilde{y}^t \tilde{\Lambda} \right] = \\ &= \left[I - \frac{1}{\tilde{M}(1 - \tilde{\alpha})} (\tilde{u} + (1 - \tilde{\alpha})\tilde{y}) (\tilde{u} + (1 - \tilde{\alpha})\tilde{y})^t \right] \left[\tilde{\Lambda} + \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{u} \tilde{w}^t \right] \\ \alpha &= 1 - \frac{\tilde{s}^2(1 - \tilde{\alpha})}{\tilde{M}}, \text{ where} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{M} &:= \frac{1}{2(1-\tilde{\alpha})} (\tilde{s}^2(1-\tilde{\alpha})^2 + |(1-\tilde{\alpha})\tilde{y} + \tilde{u}|^2) = \\ &= \frac{\tilde{s}^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2 + 1}{2} - \tilde{\alpha} \frac{\tilde{s}^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2 - 1}{2} + \tilde{u}^t \tilde{y}\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Direct computation using (10) and (12). ■

By direct computations one also verifies that (14) implies equality

$$\tilde{s}(\tilde{\alpha} - 1) = \frac{\alpha - 1}{s}$$

Clearly, it follows that $\alpha = 1 \iff \tilde{\alpha} = 1$ and then:

$$u = w = \tilde{u} = \tilde{w} = 0, \quad \Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}, \quad s = \tilde{s}, \quad \tilde{y} = \Lambda y$$

For $\alpha \neq 1$ (or, equivalently, $\tilde{\alpha} \neq 1$) we have:

$$(17) \quad \Lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} u w^t = \tilde{\Lambda} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha} - 1} \tilde{u} \tilde{w}^t$$

Moreover, for two given elements of B : (Λ, u, w, α) and $(\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\alpha})$ with $\alpha \neq 1, \tilde{\alpha} \neq 1$ that satisfy (17), there exist (not unique) $(s, y) \in C$ and $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}) \in C$ such that equation (14) is fulfilled; they are given by:

$$\tilde{s}s = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\tilde{\alpha} - 1}, \quad \tilde{y} = \frac{u}{s(1 - \tilde{\alpha})} - \frac{\tilde{u}}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}}, \quad y = \frac{\tilde{w}}{\tilde{\alpha} - 1} - \frac{sw}{\alpha - 1}$$

The decomposition (14) defines the groupoid $G_B : G \rightrightarrows B$. We will write $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; s, y)$ for the product $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha)(s, y)$ and use $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; s, y)$ to denote elements of G_B .

The multiplication relation is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}m_B &:= \left\{ \left((\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; ss_1, s_1 y + y_1; ; \Lambda, u, w, \alpha; s, y; ; \tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\alpha}; s_1, y_1) \right) : \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; s, y) = (\tilde{s}, \tilde{y})(\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\alpha}) \right\} \subset G_B \times G_B \times G_B,\end{aligned}$$

We will use a detailed structure of this groupoid to verify (3) of Assumptions 1.5; the structure is summarized in the following lemma.

- Lemma 2.4.** (1) *The isotropy group of $(\Lambda, 0, 0, 1) \in B$ (i.e. of elements of $SO(n)$ embedded in $SO(n+1)$ in the upper left corner) is equal to $\{(\Lambda, 0, 0, 1; s, y) : (s, y) \in C\} \simeq C$ and for $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B, \alpha \neq 1$ is one dimensional $\{(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; s, \frac{s-1}{1-\alpha}w) : s \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \simeq \mathbb{R}_+$*
- (2) *G_B is a disjoint union of an open groupoid Γ_0 over $SO(n+1) \setminus SO(n)$ and a group bundle $\Gamma_1 := \{(\Lambda, 0, 0, 1; s, y) : \Lambda \in SO(n), (s, y) \in C\} \simeq SO(n) \times C$;*
- (3) *The open groupoid Γ_0 is a product $\Gamma_0 = O(n)^- \times \Gamma_{00}$ of a manifold-groupoid $O(n)^-$ and a transitive groupoid Γ_{00} , where $O(n)^-$ stands for the component of the orthogonal group with a negative determinant;*
- (4) *The transitive groupoid Γ_{00} is a product $\Gamma_{00} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ of a group and a pair groupoid.*

Proof: 1) and 2) are direct consequences of formulae (15) and (16);

3) For $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let $R(v)$ be the orthogonal reflection in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} along the direction of $(v, -1)^t$ i.e.

$$R(v) = \begin{pmatrix} I - \frac{2vv^t}{1+|v|^2} & \frac{2v}{1+|v|^2} \\ \frac{2v^t}{1+|v|^2} & \frac{|v|^2-1}{1+|v|^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us consider the map:

$$\Phi : O(n)^- \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (K, v) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} R(v) \in SO(n+1)$$

Clearly $\Phi(K, v) \in SO(n+1) \setminus SO(n)$. Moreover, using (11), it is easy to see that for $\alpha \neq 1$ the matrix $\Lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha-1}uw^t$ is orthogonal and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & u \\ w^t & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ \frac{w^t}{1-\alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -w \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha-1}uw^t & 0 \\ \frac{w^t}{1-\alpha} & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore $\det(\Lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha-1}uw^t) = -1$ and we can define

$$\Psi : SO(n+1) \setminus SO(n) \ni \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & u \\ w^t & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \left(\Lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha-1}uw^t, \frac{w}{1-\alpha} \right) \in O(n)^- \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By direct computation one verifies that $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$. Clearly, both mappings are smooth, so both are diffeomorphisms. By (15) we obtain:

$$\Phi(K, v)(s, y) = (\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}) \Phi(K, sv - y)$$

$$\tilde{s} = \frac{1 + |sv - y|^2}{s(1 + |v|^2)}, \quad \tilde{y} = K \left(y - \frac{2v}{1 + |v|^2}(v^t y + \frac{s^2 - |y|^2 - 1}{2s}) \right)$$

These formulae show that $\Gamma_{00} := \mathbb{R}^n \times C$ is a (right) transformation groupoid with the action: $\mathbb{R}^n \times C \ni (v; s, y) \mapsto sv - y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is clear that this action is transitive.

4) We will use the following general but simple:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows E$ be a transitive groupoid. For $e_0 \in E$ let $p : E \ni e \mapsto p(e) \in e_L^{-1}(e_0)$ be a section of the right projection, such that $p(e_0) = e_0$. Let G be an isotropy group of e_0 . The map $G \times E \times E \ni (g, e_1, e_2) \mapsto s(p(e_1))gp(e_2) \in \Gamma$ is an isomorphism of groupoids. ($G \times E \times E$ is a product of a group and pair groupoid).*

The application of the lemma (choose $v_0 = 0$) gives us a groupoid isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (s; x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1; s, sx_1 - x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times C,$$

which in our situation clearly is a diffeomorphism. ■

To find the set B' i.e. $B \cap CA$ we have to solve the equation:

$$(18) \quad (z, U, d) = (s, y)(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha), \quad (z, U, d) \in A, \quad (s, y) \in C, \quad (\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B$$

Using (9, 10) and (12), by direct computation, one verifies:

Lemma 2.6. (a) *For $(z, U, d) \in A$ solutions $(s, y) \in C$, $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B$ of (18) are given by:*

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} s &= \frac{1 + |z|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, & y &= \frac{-2z}{1 - |z|^2}, \\ \Lambda &= (I - \frac{2zz^t}{1 + |z|^2})UD, & u &= \frac{-2dz}{1 + |z|^2}, & w &= \frac{2DU^t z}{1 + |z|^2}, & \alpha &= \frac{d(1 - |z|^2)}{1 + |z|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For $(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, solutions $(s, y) \in C$, $(z, U, d) \in A$ of (18) are given by:

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} s &= \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \quad , & y &= \frac{u}{\alpha} \quad , \\ z &= -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)u}{1+|\alpha|} \quad , & U &= (\Lambda - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)}{1+|\alpha|}uw^t)D \quad , & d &= \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

■

This way we obtain B' and projections \tilde{c}_L and a_R defined by decomposition $CA \ni g = \tilde{c}_L(g)a_R(g)$ (restricted to B').

$$(21) \quad B' = \{(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B : \alpha \neq 0\}$$

$$(22) \quad \tilde{c}_L(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) = (|\alpha|, -\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)u)$$

$$(23) \quad a_R(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) = \left(-\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)u}{1+|\alpha|}, (\Lambda - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)}{1+|\alpha|}uw^t)D, \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) \right)$$

Finally, using the definition (4) and the formula above we obtain the twist T :

$$T = \{(\Lambda_1, u_1, w_1, \alpha_1; \frac{1}{|\alpha_2|}, \frac{u_2}{\alpha_2}; \Lambda_2, u_2, w_2, \alpha_2; 1, 0) \in G_B \times G_B : \alpha_2 \neq 0\}$$

3. THE C^* -ALGEBRA

In this section we will use Prop. 1.7 to get the C^* -algebra and the comultiplication. To this end, conditions listed in assumptions 1.5 have to be verified. The first one is clear and the second was proven in [10], it remains to check (3) and (4).

Condition 1.5 (3) Let $U := b_L^{-1}(B')$ and $\mathcal{A}(U)$ be the linear space of elements from $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ supported in U . We will show that $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is dense in $C_r^*(G_B)$.

Let B' be as in (21) and define $B'' := \{(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B : \alpha \neq 1\}$, then $B = B' \cup B''$ i.e. $\{B', B''\}$ is an open cover of B and $b_L^{-1}(B'') = \Gamma_0$. Let $\tilde{\chi}', \tilde{\chi}''$ be a partition of unity subordinated to the cover $\{B', B''\}$, $\chi'(\gamma) := \tilde{\chi}'(b_L(\gamma))$ and $\chi''(\gamma) := \tilde{\chi}''(b_L(\gamma))$. For $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_B) : \omega = \chi'\omega + \chi''\omega$ and $\chi'\omega \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, $\chi''\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_0)$; so to prove that $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is dense w $C_r^*(G_B)$ is sufficient to show that any element in $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_0)$ can be approximated by elements from $\mathcal{A}(U)$, in particular from $\mathcal{A}(U \cap \Gamma_0)$. In this way we can transfer the whole problem to Γ_0 and use its structure described in lemma 2.4; in this presentation $U \cap \Gamma_0 = \{(K, t, x_1, x_2) \in O(n)^- \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1| \neq 1\}$. Let dK denotes a measure on $O(n)^-$ defined by (the square of) some smooth, positive, non vanishing half-density; dx_1 be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n and $\frac{ds}{s}$ be the Haar measure on \mathbb{R}_+ . Then $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ can be identified with $L^2(O(n)^- \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; dK \frac{ds}{s} dx_1 dx_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_0)$ acts on $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ by:

$$(24) \quad (\pi(f)\Psi)(K, t, x_1, x_2) := (f * \Psi)(K, t, x_1, x_2) := \int \frac{ds}{s} dy f(K, s, x_1, y) \Psi(K, t/s, y, x_2);$$

note that it is sufficient to consider $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$. We will prove the following estimate:

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be a continuous function supported in a product of compact sets $L \times M \times N \times R \subset O(n)^- \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the norm of the operator $\pi(f)$ given by (24) satisfies:*

$$(25) \quad \|\pi(f)\| \leq \sup |f| \nu(M) \sqrt{\mu(N)\mu(R)},$$

where ν denotes the Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ : \nu(M) := \int_M \frac{ds}{s}$ and μ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

For $\epsilon > 0$ let \mathcal{O}_ϵ be an open neighborhood of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n with $\mu(\mathcal{O}_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$; let $\tilde{\chi}_\epsilon$ be a smooth function supported in \mathcal{O}_ϵ such that $0 \leq \tilde{\chi}_\epsilon \leq 1$, $\tilde{\chi}_\epsilon = 1$ on the unit sphere and the function χ_ϵ on Γ_0 be defined by $\chi_\epsilon(K, t, x_1, x_2) := \tilde{\chi}_\epsilon(x_1)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$ be supported in $L \times M \times N \times R$. We have $f = (f - \chi_\epsilon f) + \chi_\epsilon f$ and $(f - \chi_\epsilon f) \in \mathcal{D}(U \cap \Gamma_0)$. By the lemma 3.1

$$\|\pi(\chi_\epsilon f)\| \leq \sup |f| \nu(M) \sqrt{\mu(R)} \sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{O}_\epsilon \cap N)} \leq \sup |f| \nu(M) \sqrt{\mu(R)} \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

So really f can be approximated by elements from $\mathcal{D}(U \cap \Gamma_0)$. It remains to prove lemma 3.1.

Proof of lemma 3.1: We just apply the Schwartz inequality several times. Let Ψ be smooth and compactly supported; by (24):

$$(\Psi | f * \Psi) = \int dK \frac{dt}{t} dx_1 dx_2 \overline{\Psi(K, t, x_1, x_2)} \int \frac{ds}{s} dy f(K, s, x_1, y) \Psi(K, t/s, y, x_2)$$

and

$$(26) \quad |(\Psi | f * \Psi)| \leq \int dK \frac{dt}{t} dx_1 dx_2 |\Psi|(K, t, x_1, x_2) \int \frac{ds}{s} dy |f|(K, s, x_1, y) |\Psi|(K, t/s, y, x_2)$$

We write the integral as iterated integral: $\int dK dx_2 \int dx_1 \int dy \int \frac{dt}{t} \int \frac{ds}{s}$

For fixed (K, y, x_1, x_2) let us define functions: $\Psi_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto \Psi_1(t) := |\Psi(K, t, x_1, x_2)|$

$$f_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto f_1(t) := |f(K, t, x_1, y)| ; \quad \Psi_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto \Psi_2(t) := |\Psi(K, t, y, x_2)|$$

With these definitions we have the estimate:

$$\int \frac{dt}{t} |\Psi|(K, t, x_1, x_2) \int \frac{ds}{s} |f|(K, s, x_1, y) |\Psi|(K, t/s, y, x_2) = |(\Psi_1 | f_1 * \Psi_2)| \leq \|\Psi_1\|_2 \|\Psi_2\|_2 \|f_1\|_1,$$

where the scalar product is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{ds}{s})$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ norms refer to this space, $*$ is the convolution in \mathbb{R}_+ and $\|f\|_1$ is L^1 norm; these norms are continuous functions of remaining variables.

Let us now define (continuous, compactly supported) functions $\tilde{\Psi}_1, \tilde{\Psi}_2, \tilde{f}_1 : O(n)^- \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1(K, x_1, x_2) := \|\Psi_1\|_2 = \left[\int \frac{dt}{t} |\Psi(K, t, x_1, x_2)|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_2(K, x_2, y) := \|\Psi_2\|_2$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_1(K, x_1, y) := \|f_1\|_1 = \int t \frac{dt}{t} |f(K, t, x_1, y)|.$$

The right hand side of (26) is estimated by:

$$\int dK dx_2 \int dx_1 \tilde{\Psi}_1(K, x_1, x_2) \int dy \tilde{f}_1(K, x_1, y) \tilde{\Psi}_2(K, x_2, y)$$

By the Schwartz inequality for y -integration we get an estimate for the integral above by:

$$(27) \quad \int dK dx_2 \int dx_1 \tilde{\Psi}_1(K, x_1, x_2) \left[\int dy (\tilde{f}_1(K, x_1, y))^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\int dy (\tilde{\Psi}_2(K, x_2, y))^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

Again let us denote $\tilde{f}_2(K, x_1) := \left[\int dy (\tilde{f}_1(K, x_1, y))^2 \right]^{1/2}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_3(K, x_2) := \left[\int dy (\tilde{\Psi}_2(K, x_2, y))^2 \right]^{1/2}$

The integral (27) reads

$$\int dK dx_2 \tilde{\Psi}_3(K, x_2) \int dx_1 \tilde{f}_2(K, x_1) \tilde{\Psi}_1(K, x_1, x_2).$$

Schwartz inequality again and we can estimate it by:

$$\int dK dx_2 \tilde{\Psi}_3(K, x_2) \tilde{\Psi}_4(K, x_2) \tilde{f}_3(K),$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_4(K, x_2) := \left[\int dx_1 (\tilde{\Psi}_1(K, x_1, x_2))^2 \right]^{1/2}$ and $\tilde{f}_3(K) := \left[\int dx_1 (\tilde{f}_2(K, x_1))^2 \right]^{1/2}$. And, finally, this integral we estimate by

$$\sup |\tilde{f}_3| \left[\int dK dx_2 (\tilde{\Psi}_4(K, x_2))^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\int dK dx_2 (\tilde{\Psi}_3(K, x_2))^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

(again the Schwartz inequality was used).

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int dK dx_2 (\tilde{\Psi}_4(K, x_2))^2 &= \int dK dx_2 \int dx_1 (\tilde{\Psi}_1(K, x_1, x_2))^2 = \\ &= \int dK dx_2 \int dx_1 \int \frac{dt}{t} |\Psi|^2(K, t, x_1, x_2) = \|\Psi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and, in a similar way,

$$\int dK dx_2 (\tilde{\Psi}_3(K, x_2))^2 = \|\Psi\|^2$$

Thus we get an inequality $|(\Psi|f * \Psi)| \leq \sup |\tilde{f}_3| \|\Psi\|^2$, therefore $\|\pi(f)\| \leq \sup |\tilde{f}_3|$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}_3(K)|^2 &= \int dx_1 (\tilde{f}_2(K, x_1))^2 = \int dx_1 \int dy \left[\int \frac{ds}{s} |f(K, s, x_1, y)| \right]^2 \leq \\ &\leq \int_N dx_1 \int_R dy (\sup |f|)^2 \nu^2(M) = (\sup |f|)^2 \nu^2(M) \mu(N) \mu(R) \end{aligned}$$

This is the estimate (25) and the lemma is proven. ■

This way by Prop. 1.7 (a) we obtain the equality of C^* -algebras:

$$(28) \quad C_r^*(\Gamma_A) = C_r^*(\Gamma_{B'}) = C_r^*(G_B).$$

To get the twist and the comultiplication we have to verify:

Condition 1.5 (4) For $M > 1$ and $1 > \delta > \epsilon > 0$ let us define compact sets $K_M \subset C, K_\delta \subset B'$ and an open neighborhood V_ϵ of $B \setminus B'$ in B :

$$K_M := \{(s, y) \in C : \frac{1}{M} \leq s \leq M, |y| \leq M\}, \quad K_\delta := \{(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B : |\alpha| \geq \delta\},$$

$$V_\epsilon := \{(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B : |\alpha| < \epsilon\}.$$

We will show that for any $M > 1$ any $0 < \delta < 1$ and any $0 < \epsilon < \delta$ there exists ϵ' such that $\mu(B, K_\delta, K_M; V_{\epsilon'}) < \epsilon$ (notation as in Assumptions 1.5.) Since any compact in B' is contained in some K_δ and any compact in C is contained in some K_M , this is sufficient.

Let $b = (\Lambda, u, w, \alpha)$ and $b_1 = (\Lambda_1, u_1, w_1, \alpha_1) \in K_\delta$; we want to find the set $Z(b, b_1, K_M; V_\epsilon) := K_M \cap \{c \in C : b_R(bc)b_1 \in V_\epsilon\}$. Let $c = (s, y)$, $b_R(bc) =: (\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\alpha})$ and $b_R(bc)b_1 =: (\Lambda_2, u_2, w_2, \alpha_2)$.

Then $\alpha_2 = \tilde{w}^t u_1 + \tilde{\alpha} \alpha_1$ and using solutions of eq. (14) we get:

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{|r|^2-1}{|r|^2+1} & \alpha \neq 1 \\ 1 & \alpha = 1 \end{cases}, \quad \tilde{w} = \begin{cases} \frac{2r}{1+|r|^2} & \alpha \neq 1 \\ 0 & \alpha = 1 \end{cases}, \quad \alpha_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{2r^t u_1 + \alpha_1(|r|^2-1)}{1+|r|^2} & \alpha \neq 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha = 1 \end{cases},$$

where $r := \frac{sw}{1-\alpha} - y$.

Now we solve for (s, y) the inequality $|\alpha_2| < \epsilon$ with the additional assumption $0 < \epsilon < \delta$;

There is no solution for $\alpha = 1$ and for $\alpha \neq 1$ we have:

$$-\epsilon(1 + |r|^2) < 2r^t u_1 + \alpha_1(|r|^2 - 1) < \epsilon(1 + |r|^2)$$

after some manipulation we get for $\alpha_1 > 0$:

$$\left| r + \frac{u_1}{\alpha_1 - \epsilon} \right|^2 < \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(\alpha_1 - \epsilon)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| r + \frac{u_1}{\alpha_1 + \epsilon} \right|^2 > \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(\alpha_1 + \epsilon)^2}$$

and for $\alpha_1 < 0$:

$$\left| r + \frac{u_1}{\alpha_1 - \epsilon} \right|^2 > \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(\alpha_1 - \epsilon)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| r + \frac{u_1}{\alpha_1 + \epsilon} \right|^2 < \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(\alpha_1 + \epsilon)^2}.$$

Both situations can be described uniformly as:

$$\left| r + \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha_1)}{|\alpha_1| - \epsilon} u_1 \right|^2 < \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(|\alpha_1| - \epsilon)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| r + \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha_1)}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon} u_1 \right|^2 > \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(|\alpha_1| + \epsilon)^2}$$

or in terms of (s, y) :

$$\left| \frac{sw}{1 - \alpha} + \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha_1)}{|\alpha_1| - \epsilon} u_1 - y \right|^2 < \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(|\alpha_1| - \epsilon)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{sw}{1 - \alpha} + \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha_1)}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon} u_1 - y \right|^2 > \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{(|\alpha_1| + \epsilon)^2}$$

For fixed s this is the intersection of the (larger) ball centered at $y_1 := \frac{sw}{1 - \alpha} + \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha_1)}{|\alpha_1| - \epsilon} u_1$ with a radius $r_1 := \frac{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}}{|\alpha_1| - \epsilon}$ with the exterior of the (smaller) ball centered at $y_2 := \frac{sw}{1 - \alpha} + \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha_1)}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon} u_1$ with a radius $r_2 := \frac{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon}$. Because of the inequality

$$|y_1 - y_2| = \left(\frac{1}{|\alpha_1| - \epsilon} - \frac{1}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon} \right) \sqrt{1 - \alpha_1^2} < \left(\frac{1}{|\alpha_1| - \epsilon} - \frac{1}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon} \right) \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} = r_1 - r_2,$$

the smaller ball is contained in the larger one, and the volume of this intersection is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} F(n)(r_1^n - r_2^n) &= F(n) \frac{(1 - \epsilon^2)^{n/2}}{(|\alpha_1| - \epsilon)^n} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon} \right)^n \right) \leq F(n) \frac{(1 - \epsilon^2)^{n/2}}{(|\alpha_1| - \epsilon)^n} \frac{2n\epsilon}{|\alpha_1| + \epsilon} \leq \\ &\leq F(n) \frac{1}{(\delta - \epsilon)^n} \frac{2n\epsilon}{\delta} \leq 2nF(n) \frac{\epsilon}{\delta(\delta - \epsilon)^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F(n)r^n$ is a volume of n -dimensional ball. In this way we obtain

$$\mu(b, b_1, K_M; V_\epsilon) = \int_{Z(b, b_1, K_M; V_\epsilon)} \frac{ds}{s} dy \leq \epsilon \frac{\log M}{\delta} \frac{4nF(n)}{(\delta - \epsilon)^n}$$

i.e.

$$\mu(B, K_\delta, K_M; V_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon \frac{\log M}{\delta} \frac{4nF(n)}{(\delta - \epsilon)^n}$$

The right hand side goes to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and the fourth condition of (1.5) is satisfied. ■

Now, by the Prop. 1.7 (b), (c), we get the comultiplication Δ on $C_r^*(\Gamma_{B'}) = C_r^*(G_B)$ satisfying the density condition (7).

4. GENERATORS AND RELATIONS

In the previous section the C^* -algebra of the quantum κ -Poincaré Group together with comultiplication was defined. In this section we describe its generators, commutation relations among them and look closer at the twist.

4.1. General formulae. Let u be a bisection of a differential groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows E$ and f a smooth, bounded function on E . They define bounded operators on $L^2(\Gamma)$, denoted by \hat{u} and \hat{f} , which are multipliers of $C_r^*(\Gamma)$: \hat{u} acts by a push-forward of half-densities and the action of \hat{f} is defined by $(\hat{f}\psi)(\gamma) := f(e_L(\gamma))\psi(\gamma)$, $\psi \in \Omega_c^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ [11]. These operators satisfy:

$$(29) \quad \hat{u}\hat{f} = \widehat{f_u u} \hat{u}, \text{ where } f_u(e) := f(e_L(u^{-1}e)), \quad e \in E$$

In particular, for a one-parameter group of bisections u_t , we have

$$(30) \quad \widehat{u_t \hat{f} u_{-t}} = \widehat{f_t}, \text{ where } f_t(e) := f(e_L(u_{-t}e))$$

For a DLG $(G; B, C)$ and $c_0 \in C$, by Bc_0 we denote the bisection of G_B defined as $Bc_0 := \{bc_0 : b \in B\}$. It acts on G_B by

$$(31) \quad (Bc_0)(bc) = b_R(bc_0^{-1})c_0c = c_L^{-1}(bc_0^{-1})bc = c_R(c_0b^{-1})bc$$

G_B is a (right) transformation groupoid $B \rtimes C$ for the action $B \times C \ni (b, c) \mapsto b_R(bc) \in B$. The C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G_B)$ is the reduced crossed product $C_0(B) \rtimes_r C$ (see e.g [13], prop. 5.2, where this identification is described in details). If C is an amenable group, and this is our case (or more generally, this is the case of $C = AN$ coming from the Iwasawa decomposition $G = K(AN)$) reduced and universal crossed products coincide ([16], Thm 7.13, p. 199). Moreover, since the universal C^* -algebra of a differential groupoid $C^*(G_B)$ as defined in [11] is, for transformation groupoids, “something between” universal and reduced crossed products, for amenable groups C we have $C^*(G_B) = C_r^*(G_B)$; thus any morphism of differential groupoids $h : G_B \rightarrow \Gamma$ defines a C^* -morphism of corresponding reduced and universal algebras.

Remark 4.1. Thus our $C^*(G_B)$ has $SO(n)$ family of “classical points” i.e. characters given by 0-dimensional orbits of G_B described in lemma 2.4.

The canonical morphisms $i_C \in \text{Mor}(C^*(C), C^*(G_B))$ and $i_B \in \text{Mor}(C_0(B), C^*(G_B))$ are given by (extensions of) the following actions on $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$:

$$i_C(c_0)\omega := (Bc_0)\omega, \quad (i_B(f)\omega)(g) := f(b_L(g))\omega(g), \quad c_0 \in C, g \in G, f \in C_0^\infty(B), \omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_B)$$

If X_1, \dots, X_m are generators of $C^*(C)$, f_1, \dots, f_k are generators of $C_0(B)$ (in the sense of [18]) and C is amenable then (by the universality of crossed product) $C^*(G_B)$ is generated by $i_C(X_1), \dots, i_C(X_m)$, $i_B(f_1), \dots, i_B(f_k)$.

In our situation, it is clear that $C(B)$ is generated by matrix elements (Λ, u, w, α) in (10) and, by the results of [18], $C^*(C)$ is generated by any basis in \mathfrak{c} . Thus we have

Proposition 4.2. Let groups B and C be defined by (10, 12); let (X_0, \dots, X_n) be a basis in \mathfrak{c} and (Λ, u, w, α) be matrix elements of B . Elements $(i_C(X_0), \dots, i_C(X_n), i_B(\Lambda), i_B(u), i_B(w), i_B(\alpha))$ are generators of $C^*(G_B)$. ■

For $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$, let $u_{\dot{c}}$ and $X_{\dot{c}}^r$ be, respectively, the one-parameter group of bisections and the right invariant vector field on G_B defined by

$$(32) \quad u_{\dot{c}}(t) := B \exp(t\dot{c}) \quad , \quad X_{\dot{c}}^r(bc) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} u_{\dot{c}}(t)(bc) = (Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}(b)(\dot{c}))bc,$$

where $Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}(g)$ is defined in (101) (the last equality follows easily from (31)).

Let $\Pi(X_{\dot{c}}^r)$ be the projection of $X_{\dot{c}}^r$ onto B by b_L i.e.

$$(33) \quad \Pi(X_{\dot{c}}^r)(b) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} b_L(u_{\dot{c}}(t)(b))$$

by the straightforward computation, we get:

$$(34) \quad \Pi(X_{\dot{c}}^r)(b) := -(P_{\mathfrak{b}} Ad(b)\dot{c})b$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(G; B, C)$ be a DLG and $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$. Let $u_{\dot{c}}, X_{\dot{c}}^r$ and $\Pi(X_{\dot{c}}^r)$ be objects defined in (32) and (33).*

- (1) *The one-parameter group $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}$ is strongly continuous and strictly continuous (as a group of multipliers of $C_r^*(G_B)$). Let $A_{\dot{c}}$ be its generator. The action of $A_{\dot{c}}$ on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ and on $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ is given by $A_{\dot{c}} = \iota \mathcal{L}_{X_{\dot{c}}^r}$ (the Lie derivative). The linear space $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ is an essential domain for $A_{\dot{c}}$ and $A_{\dot{c}}$ is affiliated to $C_r^*(G_B)$.*
- (2) *For $\dot{c}, \dot{e} \in \mathfrak{c}$, generators $A_{\dot{c}}, A_{\dot{e}}$, as operators on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ (or $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$), satisfy:*

$$(35) \quad [A_{\dot{c}}, A_{\dot{e}}] = -\iota A_{[\dot{c}, \dot{e}]}$$

- (3) *Let $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$ and f be a smooth function on B . As operators on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ (or $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$), $A_{\dot{c}}$ and \hat{f} satisfy:*

$$(36) \quad [A_{\dot{c}}, \hat{f}] = \iota(\Pi(X_{\dot{c}}^r)f)^\wedge$$

Proof: Since $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}$ is a one-parameter group of multipliers in $C_r^*(G_B)$ for its strict continuity it is sufficient to check continuity at $t = 0$ of the mapping $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)(\omega) \in C_r^*(G_B)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_B)$. Let us choose $\omega_0 = \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$ as in (103, 104), then $\omega = f\omega_0$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(G_B)$ and we can write $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)(f\omega_0) =: (\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)\omega_0$, where the function $(\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)$ is given by (compare (108)):

$$(37) \quad (\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)(bc) = f(u_{\dot{c}}(-t)bc) j_C(\exp(t\dot{c}))^{-1/2}$$

Since the mapping $\mathbb{R} \times G_B \ni (t, g) \mapsto u_{\dot{c}}(t)g \in G_B$ is continuous and $f \in \mathcal{D}(G_B)$, for $\delta > 0$ and $|t| < \delta$ supports of all functions $(\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)$ are contained in a fixed compact set, so by the lemma 1.1, it is sufficient to prove that $(\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)$ converges, as $t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly to f . But this is clear, since everything happens in a fixed compact set and all functions and mappings appearing in (37) are smooth.

Recall that the domain of a generator A of a (strongly continuous) one-parameter group of unitaries U_t on a Hilbert space is defined as set of those vectors ψ for which the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (-\iota) \frac{U_t \psi - \psi}{t}$ exists and $A\psi$ is the value of this limit. Moreover if a dense linear subspace is contained in the domain of A and is invariant for all U_t 's then it is a core for A .

Let us choose ν_0 – real non vanishing half density on B and let $\Psi_0 = \rho_0 \otimes \nu_0$. This is (real, non vanishing) half density on G_B and any $\psi \in \Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ can be written as $\psi = f\Psi_0$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(G_B)$. The action of $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)$ can be written as $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)(f\Psi_0) =: (\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)\Psi_0$ and $(\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)$ is given by the formula (108). Using this formula and formulae (32, 102) one verifies that:

$$(38) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} ((\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)f)(bc) - f(bc)) = - \left((X_{\dot{c}}^r f)(bc) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(ad(\dot{c})|_{\mathfrak{c}}) f(bc) \right),$$

where $ad(\dot{c})(\dot{e}) := [\dot{c}, \dot{e}]$, $\dot{c}, \dot{e} \in \mathfrak{c}$. Again, since in the formula above, we stay, for a given f , in a fixed compact subset and everything is smooth, the limit is, in fact, uniform and therefore also in $L^2(G_B)$. Thus

$\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B) \subset \text{Dom}(A_{\dot{c}})$ and

$$(39) \quad A_{\dot{c}}(f \Psi_0) =: A_{\dot{c}}(f) \Psi_0, \quad A_{\dot{c}}(f) := \iota \left(X_{\dot{c}}^r f + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(\dot{c})|_{\mathfrak{c}}) f \right)$$

Clearly $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ is dense in $L^2(G_B)$ and $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)$ invariant, so it is a core for $A_{\dot{c}}$.

Since $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)(\psi)$ is a *push-forward* by the flow of $X_{\dot{c}}^r$, the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} ((\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}(t)\psi)(g) - \psi(g))$ is equal to $-\mathcal{L}_{X_{\dot{c}}^r} \psi$ and, consequently, $A_{\dot{c}}\psi = \iota \mathcal{L}_{X_{\dot{c}}^r} \psi$.

Let us prove (35). The mapping $C \times G_B \ni (c_0, g) \mapsto (Bc_0)g \in G_B$ is a left action of C . For $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$, the fundamental vector field for this action is defined as $X_{\dot{c}}(g) := \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} u_{\dot{c}}(-t)(g)$ and the map $\dot{c} \mapsto X_{\dot{c}}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism (see e.g [4]) Comparing with (32) we see that $X_{\dot{c}}^r = (-1)X_{\dot{c}}$. Since $A_{\dot{c}} = \iota \mathcal{L}_{X_{\dot{c}}^r}$ the formula (35) follows.

The formula (36) is a direct consequence of (30) and (33). ■

4.2. Commutation relations for κ -Poincaré. Let us consider the one-parameter group $c(t) := \exp(tM_{0(n+1)})$; in (s, y) coordinates $c(t) = (s(t), y(t)) := (e^{-t}, 0)$. Let $S(t) = Bc(t)$ be the corresponding group of bisections i.e.

$$S(t) := \{(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; e^{-t}, 0), (\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \in B, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Define $(\tilde{\Lambda}(t), \tilde{u}(t), \tilde{w}(t), \tilde{\alpha}(t)) := b_R(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; e^t, 0)$ and let \hat{S} be the generator of $\widehat{S(t)}$. By (31,33) and (36) we get:

$$[\hat{S}, \hat{Q}](\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) = \iota \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \tilde{Q}(t) \right)^{\wedge}, \quad Q = \Lambda, u, w, \alpha$$

By (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Lambda}(t) &= \Lambda - \frac{\sinh t}{\cosh t + \alpha \sinh t} u w^t & \tilde{\alpha}(t) &= \frac{\alpha \cosh t + \sinh t}{\cosh t + \alpha \sinh t} \\ \tilde{u}(t) &= \frac{u}{\cosh t + \alpha \sinh t} & \tilde{w}(t) &= \frac{w}{\cosh t + \alpha \sinh t}, \end{aligned}$$

and by differentiation we obtain commutation relations (as operators on $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ or $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$):

$$(40) \quad [\hat{S}, \hat{\Lambda}] = -\iota \widehat{u w^t} \quad [\hat{S}, \hat{w}] = -\iota \hat{\alpha} \hat{w} \quad [\hat{S}, \hat{u}] = -\iota \hat{\alpha} \hat{u} \quad [\hat{S}, \hat{\alpha}] = -\iota(\hat{\alpha}^2 - 1)$$

or with indices put explicitly:

$$(41) \quad [\hat{S}, \widehat{\Lambda_{kl}}] = -\iota \widehat{u_k w_l} \quad [\hat{S}, \widehat{w_k}] = -\iota \hat{\alpha} \widehat{w_k} \quad [\hat{S}, \widehat{u_k}] = -\iota \hat{\alpha} \widehat{u_k} \quad [\hat{S}, \hat{\alpha}] = -\iota(\hat{\alpha}^2 - 1)$$

Now, for $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $c(t) := \exp(tM(y_0))$, where, as in (13), $M(y_0) := \sum_{i=1}^n (y_0)_i (M_{i(n+1)} - M_{i0})$; or in (s, y) coordinates: $c(t) = (s(t), y(t)) = (1, t y_0)$. Let $Y_0(t)$ be the corresponding one-parameter group of bisections $Bc(t)$; as before we put $(\tilde{\Lambda}(t), \tilde{u}(t), \tilde{w}(t), \tilde{\alpha}(t)) := b_R(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha; 1, -t y_0)$. By (15) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Lambda}(t) &= \Lambda + \frac{t^2 |y_0|^2}{2M(t)} u w^t - \frac{t(1 + t w^t y_0)}{M(t)} u y_0^t - \frac{t}{M(t)} \Lambda y_0 w^t - \frac{t^2(1 - \alpha)}{M(t)} \Lambda y_0 y_0^t \\ \tilde{\alpha}(t) &= 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{M(t)} & \tilde{u}(t) &= \frac{u + t(w^t y_0 u + (1 - \alpha) \Lambda y_0)}{M(t)} & \tilde{w}(t) &= \frac{w + t(1 - \alpha) y_0}{M(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } M(t) := \frac{|y_0|^2}{2} (1 - \alpha) t^2 + t w^t y_0 + 1$$

Denoting by \widehat{Y}_0 the generator of $\widehat{Y_0(t)}$ we obtain commutation relations (again as operators on $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ or $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$):

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} [\widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{\Lambda}] &= -\iota (uy_0^t + \Lambda y_0 w^t)^\wedge & [\widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{\alpha}] &= \iota ((1-\alpha)w^t y_0)^\wedge \\ [\widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{w}] &= \iota ((1-\alpha)y_0 - w^t y_0 w)^\wedge & [\widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{u}] &= \iota ((1-\alpha)\Lambda y_0)^\wedge \end{aligned}$$

or for $y_0 := e_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with corresponding \widehat{Y}_m and with indices of matrix elements:

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} [\widehat{Y}_m, \widehat{\Lambda}_{kl}] &= -\iota (\widehat{u}_k \delta_{ml} + \widehat{\Lambda}_{km} \widehat{w}_l) & [\widehat{Y}_m, \widehat{\alpha}] &= \iota (1 - \widehat{\alpha}) \widehat{w}_m \\ [\widehat{Y}_m, \widehat{w}_k] &= \iota ((1 - \widehat{\alpha}) \delta_{mk} - \widehat{w}_m \widehat{w}_k) & [\widehat{Y}_m, \widehat{u}_k] &= \iota (1 - \widehat{\alpha}) \widehat{\Lambda}_{km} \end{aligned}$$

For completeness let us write relations between \widehat{S} and \widehat{Y}_0 . Since \widehat{S} (\widehat{Y}_0) is the generator of the group $\widehat{u}_{\dot{c}}$ for $\dot{c} = M_{0(n+1)}$ ($\dot{c} = M(y_0)$) by the formula (35) and (110) we obtain:

$$(44) \quad [\widehat{S}, \widehat{Y}_0] = -i\widehat{Y}_0, \quad [\widehat{Y}_1, \widehat{Y}_0] = 0,$$

where \widehat{Y}_1 is defined in the same way as \widehat{Y}_0 for a vector $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Our generators \widehat{S} and \widehat{Y}_k are related, via the mapping $\mathfrak{c} \ni \dot{c} \mapsto A_{\dot{c}}$ used in the previous subsection, to the following basis in \mathfrak{c} :

$$(45) \quad (\widehat{S}, \widehat{Y}_k) \longleftrightarrow (\dot{c}_0, \dot{c}_k) := (M_{0(n+1)}, M_{k(n+1)} - M_{k0}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

4.3. Formulae for comultiplication. Now we consider again a general DLG $(G; B, C)$ together with the relation $\delta_0 : G_B \rightarrow G_B \times G_B$ defined in (2) i.e. $\delta_0 = \{(b_1^{-1} c_L(b_1 b c), b_1 b c; b c) : b, b_1 \in B, c \in C\}$. It defines the mapping $\widehat{\delta}_0$ given by the formula (107), which extends to the coassociative $\Delta_0 \in Mor(C_r^*(G_B), C_r^*(G_B) \otimes C_r^*(G_B))$ [12]. For a smooth, bounded function f on B , let $\Delta_B(f)$ be the value of the comultiplication of the group B on f i.e. $\Delta_B(f)(b_1, b_2) := f(b_1 b_2)$. Let \widehat{f} be the multiplier of $C_r^*(G_B)$ defined by f ; the formula (107) implies

$$(46) \quad \Delta_0(\widehat{f}) = \widehat{\Delta_B(f)}$$

One easily computes the image of a bisection Bc_0 by δ_0 :

$$\delta_0(Bc_0) = \{(b_1 c_L(b c_0), b c_0) : b, b_1 \in B\}$$

and its action on $G_B \times G_B$:

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_0(Bc_0)(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) &= (c_L^{-1}(b_1 c_L(b_2 c_0^{-1})) b_1 c_1, c_L^{-1}(b_2 c_0^{-1}) b_2 c_2) = \\ &= (b_R(b_1 c_L(b_2 c_0^{-1})) c_L^{-1}(b_2 c_0^{-1}) c_1, b_R(b_2 c_0^{-1}) c_0 c_2) = \\ &= (c_R(c_R(c_0 b_2^{-1}) b_1^{-1}) b_1 c_1, c_R(c_0 b_2^{-1}) b_2 c_2) \end{aligned}$$

For $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$, let $u_{\dot{c}}$ be the one-parameter group of bisections defined in (32) and define $\tilde{u}_{\dot{c}}(t) := \delta_0(u_{\dot{c}}(t))$; this is a one-parameter group of bisections of $G_B \times G_B$. Let $\tilde{X}_{\dot{c}}^r$ be the corresponding right invariant vector field on $G_B \times G_B$ (compare (32)). By (47):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_{\dot{c}}^r(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\tilde{c}_1(t) b_1 c_1, \tilde{c}_2(t) b_2 c_2), \text{ where} \\ \tilde{c}_1(t) &:= c_R(b_1 c_R(b_2 \exp(t\dot{c}) b_2^{-1}) b_1^{-1}), \quad \tilde{c}_2(t) = c_R(b_2 \exp(t\dot{c}) b_2^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(48) \quad \tilde{X}_c^r(b_1c_1, b_2c_2) = (Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b_1)Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b_2)(\dot{c})b_1c_1, Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b_2)(\dot{c})b_2c_2)$$

Let (X_α) be a basis in \mathfrak{c} , $u_\alpha, A_\alpha, X_\alpha^r$ be corresponding one-parameter groups, their generators and right-invariant vector fields respectively, and let $\tilde{u}_\alpha := \delta_0(u_\alpha)$ with corresponding \tilde{A}_α and \tilde{X}_α^r . The formula (48) reads (after identification $T_{(x,y)}(X \times Y) = T_xX \oplus T_yY$) :

$$(49) \quad \tilde{X}_\alpha^r(b_1c_1, b_2c_2) = \sum_{\beta} Ad_{\beta\alpha}^\mathfrak{c}(b_2)X_\beta^r(b_1c_1) + X_\alpha^r(b_2c_2),$$

where functions $Ad_{\beta\alpha}^\mathfrak{c} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are matrix elements of $Ad^\mathfrak{c}|_B$ (101), i.e. $Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b)(X_\alpha) =: \sum_{\beta} Ad_{\beta\alpha}^\mathfrak{c}(b)X_\beta$. As in Prop.4.3 the action of \tilde{A}_α on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times G_B)$ is given by (ι times) the Lie derivative with respect to \tilde{X}_α^r . Since $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \Delta_0(A_\alpha)$ we obtain the following equality on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times G_B)$

$$(50) \quad \Delta_0(A_\alpha) = I \otimes A_\alpha + \sum_{\beta} A_\beta \otimes Ad_{\beta\alpha}^\mathfrak{c}$$

Remark 4.4. *Let us comment on the meaning of the equality above. The operator $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \Delta_0(A_\alpha)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times G_B)$. The operator on the right hand side has immediate meaning on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B) \otimes \Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ and is symmetric there. But since elements of $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times G_B)$ can be approximated by elements of $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B) \otimes \Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$ in topology of $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times G_B)$ (i.e. uniformly with all derivatives on compact sets) and operators A_α are differential operators, the space $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times G_B)$ is in the domain of the closure of the right hand side (treated as operator on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B) \otimes \Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B)$). Therefore this closure is the self-adjoint operator $\Delta_0(A_\alpha)$.*

4.4. Comultiplication for κ -Poincaré. For a bisection Bc_0 let us consider the map: $(b_1c_1, b_2c_2) \mapsto \delta(Bc_0)(b_1c_1, b_2c_2)$, where the relation δ is given by (6). By the use of that formula one finds the domain of this map – $\{(b_1c_1, b_2c_2) : b_2, b_R(b_2c_0^{-1}) \in B'\}$ and

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta(Bc_0)(b_1c_1, b_2c_2) &= (b_R[b_1\tilde{c}_L^{-1}(b_2)\tilde{c}_L(b_2c_0^{-1})]\tilde{c}_L^{-1}(b_2c_0^{-1})\tilde{c}_L(b_2)c_1, b_R(b_2c_0^{-1})c_0c_2) = \\ &= (c_L^{-1}(b_1\tilde{c}_L^{-1}(b_2)\tilde{c}_L(b_2c_0^{-1}))b_1c_1, c_L^{-1}(b_2c_0^{-1})b_2c_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $b_R(b_2c_0^{-1})c_0c_2 = (Bc_0)(b_2c_2)$ i.e. the action on the right “leg” is the action of the bisection Bc_0 .

We will use this expression to get the comultiplication for generators. Let $c_0 := c(t) := \exp(t\dot{c})$ for $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$. Since B' is open in B , for fixed $b_2 \in B'$ the right hand side of (51) is well defined for t sufficiently close to 0; therefore we can define a vector field on $G \times B'C$ which we denote by $\delta(X_c^r)$. It is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(X_c^r)(b_1c_1, b_2c_2) &:= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (c_1(t)b_1c_1, c_2(t)b_2c_2), \\ c_1(t) &:= c_L^{-1}(b_1\tilde{c}_L^{-1}(b_2)\tilde{c}_L(b_2 \exp(-t\dot{c}))), \quad c_2(t) := c_L^{-1}(b_2 \exp(-t\dot{c})) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{P}_\mathfrak{c}$ be the projection onto \mathfrak{c} corresponding to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ and

$$(52) \quad \widetilde{Ad^\mathfrak{c}}(g) := \tilde{P}_\mathfrak{c}Ad(g)|_\mathfrak{c}.$$

Computing derivatives we obtain

$$(53) \quad \delta(X_c^r)(b_1c_1, b_2c_2) = \left((Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b_1)\widetilde{Ad^\mathfrak{c}}(a_R(b_2)\dot{c})b_1c_1, (Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b_2)\dot{c})b_2c_2) \right).$$

For a basis (X_α) in \mathfrak{c} we obtain (compare 49):

$$(54) \quad \delta(X_\alpha^r)(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) = \sum_{\beta} \widetilde{Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}}_{\beta\alpha}(a_R(b_2)) X_\beta^r(b_1 c_1) + X_\alpha^r(b_2 c_2),$$

We may try to go one step further and write this equation in a form similar to (50) as:

$$(55) \quad \Delta(A_\alpha) = I \otimes A_\alpha + \sum_{\beta} A_\beta \otimes (\widetilde{Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}}_{\beta\alpha} \cdot a_R)$$

But, contrary to (50), where the right hand side has a well defined meaning, here we have rather formal expression. Certainly we have equality as operators on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B) \otimes \Omega_c^{1/2}(B'C)$ but *this space is not a core for the left hand side* so it is not true that the closure of the right hand side is equal to the self-adjoint operator on the left hand side. The precise formula for comultiplication is given in Prop.1.7.

Let us now, compute the comultiplication for our generators $(\widehat{S}, \widehat{Y}_k)$ (formally, in the sense of (55)). Recall that they are related (45) to the following basis in \mathfrak{c} :

$$(\dot{c}_\beta) := (\dot{c}_0, \dot{c}_k) := (M_{0(n+1)}, M_{k(n+1)} - M_{k0}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

We need to find matrix elements of representation $\widetilde{Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}}(a)$, $a \in A$ in the basis (\dot{c}_β) . Let us denote this matrix by $W(a)$:

$$\widetilde{Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}}(a)\dot{c}_\beta = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha\beta}(a)\dot{c}_\alpha$$

We will use lemma 7.1. It is easy to see that the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{a} , with respect to the form k defined in (111), is $\mathfrak{a}^\perp = \text{span}\{M_{\beta(n+1)} : \beta = 0, \dots, n\}$ and bases (e_β) and (ρ_β) defined as:

$$(56) \quad e_\beta := M_{\beta(n+1)} \quad , \quad \rho_\beta := k(e_\beta) \quad , \quad \beta = 0, \dots, n$$

are orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{a}^\perp and \mathfrak{a}^0 , respectively. The projection $\widetilde{P}_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathfrak{a}^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ acts as $\widetilde{P}_{\mathfrak{c}}(e_\beta) = M_{\beta(n+1)} - M_{\beta 0} = \dot{c}_\beta$. By the lemma 7.1, matrix of $\widetilde{Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}}(a)$ (i.e. the matrix $W(a)$) is equal to the matrix of $Ad^\#(a)|_{\mathfrak{a}^0}$ in basis (ρ_α) . For $a = (z, U, d) \in A$, by direct computations (e.g. using formulae in the Appendix) one gets:

$$(57) \quad W(z, U, d) = \begin{pmatrix} d \frac{1+|z|^2}{1-|z|^2} & \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z^t U D_1 \\ d \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z & (I + \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} z z^t) U D_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} d I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, using (23) one gets:

$$(58) \quad W(a_R(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha} & \frac{w^t}{\alpha} \\ -\frac{u}{|\alpha|} & \text{sgn}(\alpha)(\Lambda - \frac{u w^t}{\alpha}) \end{pmatrix}$$

And formulae for comultiplication on generators are:

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(\widehat{S}) &= I \otimes \widehat{S} + \widehat{S} \otimes \frac{1}{\alpha} + \sum_k \widehat{Y}_k \otimes \frac{-u_k}{|\alpha|} \\ \Delta(\widehat{Y}_i) &= I \otimes \widehat{Y}_i + \widehat{S} \otimes \frac{w_i}{\alpha} + \sum_k \widehat{Y}_k \otimes \text{sgn}(\alpha)(\Lambda_{ki} - \frac{u_k w_i}{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute Δ for generators (Λ, u, w, α) . Let f be a smooth and bounded function on B . By (6) $\Delta(f)$, as a function on $B \times B'$, is given by the formula:

$$(60) \quad (\Delta f)(b_1, b_2) = f(b_R(b_1 a_R(b_2))) = f(b_R(b_1 (\tilde{c}_L(b_2))^{-1}) b_2), \quad (b_1, b_2) \in B \times B'$$

Using formulae (22) and (15), after some computations, one gets:

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(u_k) &= u_k \otimes \text{sgn}(\alpha) + P^{-1} \sum_l \alpha \Lambda_{kl} \otimes u_l, & \Delta(w_k) &= I \otimes w_k + P^{-1} \sum_l w_l \otimes |\alpha| \Lambda_{lk} \\ \Delta(\alpha) &= P^{-1}(\alpha \otimes \alpha), & \Delta(\Lambda_{kl}) &= \sum_j \Lambda_{kj} \otimes \Lambda_{jl} + P^{-1} \sum_{mj} \Lambda_{km} w_j \otimes \text{sgn}(\alpha) u_m \Lambda_{jl}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P := 1 - \sum_k w_k \otimes \text{sgn}(\alpha) u_k$. Notice that P is invertible on $B \times B'$ and right hand sides are well defined (as smooth functions on $B \times B'$).

4.5. A closer look at the twist.

In this subsection groups G, A, B, C fulfill assumptions (1.5) and C is an exponential Lie group i.e. the exponential mapping $\exp_C : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow C$ is a diffeomorphism.

Let $\log_C := \exp_C^{-1}$ and for $b \in B'$ let

$$(62) \quad c_t(b) := \exp_C(t \log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b)^{-1})) = \exp_C(-t \log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b))), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

be the one-parameter group defined by $-\log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b)) \in \mathfrak{c}$ and

$$(63) \quad T_t := \{(b_1 c_t(b_2), b_2) : b_1 \in B, b_2 \in B'\} \subset G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}.$$

Note that T_1 is the twist (4).

Lemma 4.5. *T_t is a one-parameter group of bisections $G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}$.*

Proof: It is easy to verify that T_t is a bisection and a submanifold for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) \in T_t T_s \iff \exists b_3, b_5 \in B, b_4, b_6 \in B' : (b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) = (b_3 c_t(b_4), b_4) (b_5 c_s(b_6), b_6),$$

(on the right hand side there is the multiplication in $G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}$) so $c_2 = e$ and $b_2 = b_4 = b_6 \in B'$, and $b_1 c_1 = m_B(b_3 c_t(b_6), b_5 c_s(b_6))$. Therefore $b_5 = b_R(b_3 c_t(b_6))$ and $b_1 c_1 = b_5 c_t(b_6) c_s(b_6) = b_5 c_{t+s}(b_6)$, i.e. $T_t T_s = \{(b_1 c_{t+s}(b_2), b_2) : b_1 \in B, b_2 \in B'\} = T_{t+s}$. ■

Let X_T^r be the right invariant vector field on $G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}$ defined by T_t (compare (32)) i.e.

$$X_T^r(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} T_t(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2)$$

Using the definition (63) we get for $b_2 \in B'$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_t(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) &= (b_R(b_1 c_t(b_2)^{-1}) c_t(b_2) c_1, b_2 c_2) = (c_L(b_1 c_t(b_2)^{-1})^{-1} b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) = \\ &= (c_R(c_t(b_2) b_1^{-1}) b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(64) \quad X_T^r(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) = ((-Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}(b_1) \log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b_2))) b_1 c_1, 0_{b_2 c_2})$$

For a basis (X_α) of \mathfrak{c} let $D_\alpha : B' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be coordinates of $\log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b))$:

$$(65) \quad \log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b)) =: \sum_\alpha D_\alpha(b) X_\alpha.$$

Using the definition (32) of X_α^r we can write (64) as

$$(66) \quad X_T^r(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) = - \sum_\alpha D_\alpha(b_2) X_\alpha^r(b_1 c_1)$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let \widehat{T}_t be the one-parameter group of unitaries in $L^2(G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}) = L^2(G_B) \otimes L^2(\Gamma_{B'}) = L^2(G_B) \otimes L^2(G_B)$ defined by T_t . The action of \widehat{T}_t on $\mathcal{A}(G_B \times \Gamma_{B'})$ is given by*

$$(67) \quad \widehat{T}_t(F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)) =: (\widehat{T}_t F)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0), \quad (\widehat{T}_t F)(g_1, g_2) := F(T_{-t}(g_1, g_2)) j_C(c_{-t}(b_L(g_2)))^{-1/2},$$

where $\omega_0 = \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$ for λ_0 and ρ_0 defined in (103, 104) and $F \in \mathcal{D}(G_B \times \Gamma_{B'})$.

Let \mathcal{T} be the generator of \widehat{T}_t . \mathcal{T} is essentially self-adjoint on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times \Gamma_{B'})$ and for $F(\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0) \in \Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times \Gamma_{B'})$:

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(F(\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0)) &=: (\mathcal{T}F)(\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0), \\ (\mathcal{T}F)(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) &= \iota \left((X_T^r F)(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(\log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b_2)))|_{\mathfrak{c}}) F(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_0 = \rho_0 \otimes \nu_0$ for some real, non vanishing half density ν_0 on B . Moreover on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times \Gamma_{B'})$

$$(69) \quad \mathcal{T} = - \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \otimes D_{\alpha}$$

Proof:

As for any bisection $\widehat{T}_t F$ is given by [11] :

$$(\widehat{T}_t F)(T_t(g_1, g_2)) = F(g_1, g_2) \frac{(\rho_0 \otimes \rho_0)(v g_1 \otimes w g_2)}{(\rho_0 \otimes \rho_0)(T_t(v g_1 \otimes w g_2))}, \quad v, w \in \Lambda^{\max}(T_e C)$$

Let $c(s) \subset C$ be a curve with $c(0) = e$. For $(g_1, g_2) \in G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}$ let $(\tilde{g}_1, g_2) := T_t(g_1, g_2)$. By (63) we get

$$T_t(c(s)g_1, g_2) = (c_1(s)\tilde{g}_1, g_2) \quad T_t(g_1, c(s)g_2) = (c_2(s)\tilde{g}_1, c(s)g_2),$$

for some curves $c_1(s), c_2(s)$. Identifying tangent spaces to right fibers with $T_e C$ in corresponding points, one sees that the map we have to consider has the form $\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$, so its action on densities is determined by M_1 i.e. (derivative of) $c(s) \mapsto c_1(s)$. But this is exactly as the action of the bisection $Bc_t(b_L(g_2))$ (compare (31) and by (108) we obtain:

$$(\widehat{T}_t F)(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) = F(T_{-t}(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2)) j_C(c_t(b_2))^{-1/2}$$

Since $c_t(b_2) = \exp_C(-t \log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b_2)))$ we can proceed exactly as in the proof of Prop.4.3 and by putting $\dot{c} = -\log_C(\tilde{c}_L(b_2))$ in formula (39) we obtain (68). As before, since $\Omega_c^{1/2}(G_B \times \Gamma_{B'})$ is invariant for \widehat{T}_t it is a core for \mathcal{T} . Formula (69) is a direct consequence of (66) and (68). ■

4.6. Twist for κ -Poincaré. Let $(\dot{s}, \dot{y}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes element of \mathfrak{c} . The exponential mapping \exp_C and its inverse \log_C are given by:

$$\exp_C : \mathfrak{c} \ni (\dot{s}, \dot{y}) \mapsto (\exp(\dot{s}), \dot{y} \frac{\exp(\dot{s}) - 1}{\dot{s}}) \in C, \quad \log_C : C \ni (s, y) \mapsto (\log(s), y \frac{\log(s)}{s - 1}) \in \mathfrak{g}$$

With respect to the basis (45) the decomposition is: $(\dot{s}, \dot{y}) = -\dot{s}\dot{c}_0 + \sum_k \dot{y}_k \dot{c}_k$. Recall from (22) the mapping

$\tilde{c}_L : B' \ni (\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) \mapsto (|\alpha|, -\text{sgn}(\alpha)u) \in C$. Thus

$$(70) \quad \begin{aligned} \log_C(\tilde{c}_L(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha)) &= (\log(|\alpha|), -\text{sgn}(\alpha) \frac{\log(|\alpha|)}{|\alpha| - 1} u) = -\log(|\alpha|)\dot{c}_0 - \text{sgn}(\alpha) \frac{\log(|\alpha|)}{|\alpha| - 1} \sum_k u_k \dot{c}_k \\ \text{i.e.} \quad D_0(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) &= -\log(|\alpha|), \quad D_k(\Lambda, u, w, \alpha) = -\text{sgn}(\alpha) \frac{\log(|\alpha|)}{|\alpha| - 1} u_k \end{aligned}$$

The bisection T_t is equal:

$$T_t := \{(b_1, |\alpha_2|^{-t}, \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha_2)(|\alpha_2|^{-t} - 1)}{1 - |\alpha_2|} u_2; \Lambda_2, u_2, w_2, \alpha_2, 1, 0) : \alpha_2 \neq 0, b_1 \in B\} \subset G_B \times \Gamma_{B'}$$

And from (69) and (70) we get the formula for the twist:

$$\hat{T} = \exp(\iota \mathcal{T}), \quad \mathcal{T} = \hat{S} \otimes \log |\alpha| + \sum_k \hat{Y}_k \otimes \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha) \log |\alpha|}{|\alpha| - 1} u_k$$

5. COMPARISON WITH RELATIONS COMING FROM POISSON-POINCARÉ GROUP

The classical Poincaré Group, our $(C^*(G_B), \Delta)$ is a quantization of, is $(TA)^0 \subset T^*G$. After identifying T^*G with the semidirect product $\mathfrak{g}^* \rtimes G$ (113), $(TA)^0$ is identified with $\mathfrak{a}^0 \rtimes A$. Invariant, bilinear form k (111) on \mathfrak{g} induces the form on \mathfrak{a}^0 which makes it a vector Minkowski space and the action of A is by orthogonal transformations. The bundle $(TA)^0$ is dual to the Lie algebroid of groupoid Γ_A , so objects related to this groupoid have more direct relation to functions on $\mathfrak{a}^0 \rtimes A$ (see [14] for a detailed description). The groupoid G_B was used to overcome some functional analytic problems, let us now transport our expressions to the groupoid Γ_A to relate them to formulae in [22, 8].

5.1. Back to Γ_A picture. *Right invariant fields X_c^r .* By (31) and (32) $X_c^r(bc) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} b_R(bc_t^{-1})c_t c = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} c_L^{-1}(bc_t^{-1})bc$, where $c_t := \exp(t\dot{c})$. If $bc \in \Gamma_{B'}$ i.e. $b, b_R(bc) \in B'$ then it is easy to see that $b_R(bc_t^{-1}) \in B'$ for t sufficiently close to 0 and, consequently, $b_R(bc_t^{-1})c_t c \in \Gamma_{B'}$. So we can push $X_c^r(bc)$ to Γ_A by the mapping (3) $bc \mapsto a_R(b)c$:

$$b_R(bc_t^{-1})c_t c \mapsto a_R(b_R(bc_t^{-1}))c_t c = a_R(a_R(b)c_t^{-1})(c_t a_R(b)^{-1})a_R(b)c = \tilde{c}_L^{-1}(a_R(b)c_t^{-1})a_R(b)c$$

since $a_R(b_R(bc_t^{-1})) = a_R(bc_t^{-1}) = a_R(a_R(b)c_t^{-1})$. Let us denote the resulting (right-invariant) vector field on Γ_A by $X_{\dot{c}}^{A,r}$:

$$(71) \quad X_{\dot{c}}^{A,r}(ac) := \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \tilde{c}_L^{-1}(a \exp(-t\dot{c}))ac = (\widetilde{Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}}(a)\dot{c})ac,$$

where $\widetilde{Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}}$ was defined in (52).

Anchor. Let us transfer formula (33):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} a_R(b_R(bc_t^{-1})) &= \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} a_R(a_R(b)c_t^{-1}) = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} a_R(a_R(b)c_t^{-1}a_R^{-1}(b))a_R(b) \\ &= (-Ad^{\mathfrak{a}}(a_R(b))\dot{c})a_R(b) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, denoting the anchor of Γ_A by Π^A , we obtain:

$$(72) \quad \Pi^A(X_{\dot{c}}^{A,r})(a) := (-Ad^{\mathfrak{a}}(a)\dot{c})a.$$

Comparing this formula and (71) with (34) and (32) we see that we can immediately write commutation relations analogous to relations (35) and (36):

$$(73) \quad [A_{\dot{c}}^A, A_{\dot{e}}^A] = -\iota A_{[\dot{c}, \dot{e}]}^A, \quad \dot{c}, \dot{e} \in \mathfrak{c} \quad [A_{\dot{c}}^A, \hat{f}] = \iota(\Pi^A(X_{\dot{c}}^{A,r})f)^{\wedge}, \quad f \in C^\infty(A).$$

Operators $A_{\dot{c}}^A$ are, as before, Lie derivatives along $X_{\dot{c}}^{A,r}$ multiplied by i . These relations hold on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(\Gamma_A)$ (and $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_A)$), however, their status is “weaker” than one of relations (35) and (36). Firstly, operators $A_{\dot{c}}^A$ are not essentially self-adjoint on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(\Gamma_A)$; secondly, even though each smooth function on A defines an element affiliated to $C_r^*(\Gamma_A) = C_r^*(G_B)$ (or even a multiplier, if f is bounded), not every such a function

defines smooth (or even continuous) function on B and only for such functions formula (36) has immediate meaning.

Comultiplication. By computations similar to ones before (71) we obtain from (53):

$$\delta(X_{\dot{c}}^{A,r})(a_1c_1, a_2c_2) = \left((\widetilde{Ad^c}(a_1)\widetilde{Ad^c}(a_2)\dot{c})a_1c_1, (\widetilde{Ad^c}(a_2)\dot{c})a_2c_2 \right).$$

and, for a basis (X_α) in \mathfrak{c} :

$$(74) \quad \delta(X_\alpha^{A,r})(a_1c_1, a_2c_2) = \sum_{\beta} \widetilde{Ad^c}_{\beta\alpha}(a_2)X_\beta^{A,r}(a_1c_1) + X_\alpha^{A,r}(a_2c_2),$$

or, as operators on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(\Gamma_A \times \Gamma_A)$ we can write (compare to (50):

$$(75) \quad \Delta(A_\alpha^A) = I \otimes A_\alpha^A + \sum_{\beta} A_\beta^A \otimes \widetilde{Ad^c}_{\beta\alpha}$$

But again, because of problems with domains, this expression is rather formal.

Finally, let us check that if we transfer formula (60) we get what we expect. The formula (3) gives diffeomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B'$:

$$(76) \quad \phi(a) := b_R(a), \quad \phi^{-1}(b') = a_R(b'), \quad a \in A, b' \in B'$$

For $f \in C^\infty(A)$ using (60) let us compute:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\phi^* \otimes \phi^*)^{-1} \Delta(\phi^* f)](a_1, a_2) &= \Delta(\phi^* f)(b_R(a_1), b_R(a_2)) = (\phi^* f)(b_R[b_R(a_1)a_R(b_R(a_2))]) = \\ &= (\phi^* f)(b_R(b_R(a_1)a_2)) = (\phi^* f)(b_R(a_1a_2)) = f(a_R(b_R(a_1a_2))) = \\ &= f(a_1a_2) \end{aligned}$$

5.2. Hopf *-algebra of κ -Poincaré from quantization of Poisson-Lie structure. On a Hopf *-algebra level, relations for κ -Poincaré Group were given in [22] (and [8]). The *-algebra is generated by *self-adjoint elements* $\{a_\alpha, L_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ that satisfy the following commutation relations for $\eta_{\alpha\beta} := \text{diag}(1, -1, \dots, -1)$ and some $h \in \mathbb{R}$ (to compare with [8] substitute $\kappa := h^{-1}$):

$$(77) \quad [a_0, a_k] = iha_k, \quad [a_k, a_l] = 0, \quad [L_{\alpha\beta}, L_{\gamma\delta}] = 0, \quad \eta = L^t \eta L$$

$$(78) \quad \begin{aligned} [a_0, L_{00}] &= ih((L_{00})^2 - 1), & [a_k, L_{00}] &= ih(L_{00} - 1)L_{k0} \\ [a_0, L_{0m}] &= ihL_{00}L_{0m}, & [a_k, L_{0m}] &= ih(L_{00} - 1)L_{km} \\ [a_0, L_{m0}] &= ihL_{00}L_{m0}, & [a_k, L_{m0}] &= ih(L_{k0}L_{m0} - \delta_{km}(L_{00} - 1)) \\ [a_0, L_{mn}] &= ihL_{m0}L_{0n}, & [a_k, L_{mn}] &= ih(L_{m0}L_{kn} - \delta_{km}L_{0n}) \end{aligned}$$

together with coproduct Δ :

$$(79) \quad \Delta(a_\alpha) = a_\alpha \otimes I + \sum_{\beta} L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes a_\beta, \quad \Delta(L_{\alpha\beta}) = \sum_{\gamma} L_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes L_{\gamma\beta}$$

antypode S and counit ϵ :

$$(80) \quad S(a_\alpha) = - \sum_{\beta} S(L_{\alpha\beta})a_\beta, \quad S(L_{\alpha\beta}) = (L^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} = (\eta L^t \eta)_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$(81) \quad \epsilon(a_\alpha) = 0, \quad \epsilon(L_{\alpha\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

5.3. Comparison of formulae. Now we want to compare our generators and relations to formulae (77 - 79). We restrict computations only to commutation relations and comultiplication (and partially to antipode) and are not going to investigate in details remaining parts of quantum group structure on $(C_r^*(\Gamma_A), \Delta)$ (i.e. counit, antipode and Haar weight; this can be done with the use of expressions given in [12]).

Comparing (116) and (75) we see that, with respect to the comultiplication, our generators (\widehat{Y}_α) (where we put $\widehat{Y}_0 := \widehat{S}$) behave like $S(a_\alpha)$. Such an identification would not be consistent with self-adjointness, however. Instead we use the unitary part of antipode (see e.g. [19]), which, for a quantum group defined by DLG, is implemented by the group inverse [12]. As said above, we are not going to present all functional analytic details but summarize computations in the following:

Lemma 5.1. *Let (\mathcal{M}, Δ) be a $*$ -bialgebra generated by self-adjoint elements (a_k, V_{kl}, V_{kl}^c) , $k, l = 1, \dots, n$ satisfying (1) $\sum_m V_{km} V_{lm}^c = \sum_m V_{mk}^c V_{ml} = \delta_{kl} I$, (2) $\Delta(V_{kl}) = \sum_m V_{km} \otimes V_{ml}$ and (3) $\Delta(a_k) = a_k \otimes I + \sum_l V_{kl} \otimes a_l$. Then*

- a) $\sum_m V_{lm}^c V_{km} = \sum_m V_{ml} V_{mk}^c = \delta_{kl} I$ and $\Delta(V_{kl}^c) = \sum_m V_{km}^c \otimes V_{ml}^c$.
- b) Elements $A_k := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_m (a_m V_{mk}^c + V_{mk}^c a_m)$ are self-adjoint and satisfy

$$(82) \quad \Delta(A_k) = I \otimes A_k + \sum_m A_m \otimes V_{mk}^c$$

- c) Moreover, if $\sum_{mk} V_{mk}^c [a_m, V_{lk}] = \sum_{mk} [a_m, V_{lk}] V_{mk}^c$ then

$$(83) \quad a_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_m (V_{km} A_m + A_m V_{km})$$

and \mathcal{M} is generated by (A_k, V_{kl}, V_{kl}^c) .

Proof: a) The first equality is just $*$ applied to (1); for the second one, apply Δ to (1), use (2) and then (1); b) self-adjointness is evident and the formula for $\Delta(A_k)$ is a simple computation; c) Clearly, equality (83) is sufficient for statement about generation. Let us rewrite the assumption in (c) as:

$$\sum_{mk} V_{mk}^c a_m V_{lk} - \sum_m \left(\sum_k V_{mk}^c V_{lk} \right) a_m = \sum_m a_m \left(\sum_k V_{lk} V_{mk}^c \right) - \sum_{mk} V_{lk} a_m V_{mk}^c$$

by (1) and (a) expressions in brackets are $\delta_{lm} I$ and we obtain:

$$(84) \quad 2a_l = \sum_{mk} (V_{mk}^c a_m V_{lk} + V_{lk} a_m V_{mk}^c)$$

Let us compute using definition of A_k and (1):

$$\sum_k V_{sk} A_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{mk} V_{sk} a_m V_{mk}^c - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mk} V_{sk} V_{mk}^c a_m = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{mk} V_{sk} a_m V_{mk}^c - \frac{1}{2} a_s$$

In the similar way, using (a):

$$\sum_k A_k V_{sk} = -\frac{1}{2} a_s - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mk} V_{mk}^c a_m V_{sk}^c$$

Adding these two equalities and using (84) we get (83). ■

The version of the lemma above, with a_k and A_k interchanged, is proven in the same way:

Lemma 5.2. *Let (\mathcal{M}, Δ) be a $*$ -bialgebra generated by self-adjoint elements (A_k, V_{kl}^c, V_{kl}) , $k, l = 1, \dots, n$ satisfying (1) $\sum_m V_{lm}^c V_{km} = \sum_m V_{ml} V_{mk}^c = \delta_{kl} I$, (2) $\Delta(V_{kl}^c) = \sum_m V_{km}^c \otimes V_{ml}^c$ and (3) $\Delta(A_k) = I \otimes A_k + \sum_l A_l \otimes V_{lk}^c$. Then*

- a) $\sum_m V_{km} V_{lm}^c = \sum_m V_{mk}^c V_{ml} = \delta_{kl} I$ and $\Delta(V_{kl}) = \sum_m V_{km} \otimes V_{ml}$;
b) Elements $a_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_m (V_{km} A_m + A_m V_{km})$ are self-adjoint and satisfy

$$(85) \quad \Delta(a_k) = a_k \otimes I + \sum_l V_{kl} \otimes a_l.$$

- c) Moreover, if $\sum_{mk} [V_{ks}^c, A_m] V_{km} = \sum_{mk} V_{km} [V_{ks}^c, A_m]$ then

$$(86) \quad A_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_m (a_m V_{mk}^c + V_{mk}^c a_m)$$

and \mathcal{M} is generated by (a_k, V_{kl}^c, V_{kl}) . ■

Looking at the formulae (59) and using the fact that W given by (57) is a representation of the group A we use the lemma 5.2 with $V^c = W$, where matrix elements of W are expressed by (Λ, w, u, α) as in (58) (using identification of A with B' as in (76)). So we define:

$$(87) \quad L := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha} & -\frac{w^t}{\alpha} \\ \frac{u}{|\alpha|} & \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)(\Lambda - \frac{uw^t}{\alpha}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(88) \quad \begin{aligned} a_\alpha &:= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_\beta \left(L_{\alpha\beta} \hat{Y}_\beta + \hat{Y}_\beta L_{\alpha\beta} \right) = -\sum_\beta L_{\alpha\beta} \hat{Y}_\beta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_\beta [\hat{Y}_\beta, L_{\alpha\beta}] = \\ &=: \tilde{a}_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \sum_\beta [\hat{Y}_\beta, L_{\alpha\beta}] \end{aligned}$$

In the formula above and in what follows we treat \hat{Y}_α (recall that $\hat{Y}_0 := \hat{S}$) and matrix elements of L as operators on $\Omega_c^{1/2}(\Gamma_A)$ (or $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_A)$).

Remark 5.3. Notice that matrix elements of L , despite being non-continuous functions on B , are affiliated to $C^*(G_B)$ (wich is equal to $C_r^*(G_B)$) because they are smooth functions on A and $C^*(G_B) = C_r^*(\Gamma_A)$.

Since for $f \in C^\infty(A)$ commutators $[\hat{Y}_\mu, f] \in C^\infty(A)$ and functions on A commute, we have

$$[a_\mu, L_{\beta\gamma}] = [\tilde{a}_\mu, L_{\beta\gamma}]$$

We will need commutators of \hat{Y}_β with $\frac{1}{\alpha}, \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)$ and $\frac{1}{|\alpha|}$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$ on A and \hat{Y}_β are differential operators, it is clear that

$$(89) \quad [\hat{Y}_\beta, \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)] = 0.$$

By this equality we have $\left[\hat{Y}_\beta, \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \right] = \left[\hat{Y}_\beta, \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)}{\alpha} \right] = \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) \left[\hat{Y}_\beta, \frac{1}{\alpha} \right]$ and, for the last commutator,

$$0 = \left[\hat{Y}_\beta, \frac{1}{\alpha} \alpha \right] = \left[\hat{Y}_\beta, \frac{1}{\alpha} \right] \alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha} [\hat{Y}_\beta, \alpha] \Rightarrow \left[\hat{Y}_\beta, \frac{1}{\alpha} \right] = -\frac{1}{\alpha} [\hat{Y}_\beta, \alpha] \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Now, using (41), (43) we obtain:

$$[\widehat{S}, \frac{1}{\alpha}] = \iota(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}), \quad [\widehat{Y}_m, \frac{1}{\alpha}] = \iota \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha^2} w_m.$$

Having these relations together with (41), (43) by direct computation one obtains commutators of \widehat{Y}_γ with matrix elements of L :

$$(90) \quad [\widehat{Y}_\gamma, L_{\beta\mu}] = \iota(\delta_{\gamma\mu}(\delta_{\beta 0} - L_{\beta 0}) - \text{sgn}(\gamma)L_{\beta\gamma}(L_{0\mu} - \delta_{0\mu})),$$

where we use $\text{sgn}(\gamma) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \gamma = 0 \\ -1 & \text{for } \gamma > 0 \end{cases}$. Now we get

$$(91) \quad \begin{aligned} [a_\rho, L_{\beta\mu}] &= [\tilde{a}_\rho, L_{\beta\mu}] = - \sum_\gamma [L_{\rho\gamma} \widehat{Y}_\gamma, L_{\beta\mu}] = - \sum_\gamma L_{\rho\gamma} [\widehat{Y}_\gamma, L_{\beta\mu}] = \\ &= \iota(L_{\rho\mu}(L_{\beta 0} - \delta_{\beta 0}) + \text{sgn}(\rho)\delta_{\rho\beta}(L_{0\mu} - \delta_{0\mu})). \end{aligned}$$

and these are relations (78) (for $h = 1$).

Finally, let us verify (77). By (90) and (44):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\gamma [\widehat{Y}_\gamma, L_{\beta\gamma}] &= \iota n(\delta_{\beta 0} - L_{\beta 0}) \\ [\tilde{a}_\mu, \tilde{a}_\nu] &= \sum_{\gamma\delta} [L_{\mu\gamma} \widehat{Y}_\gamma, L_{\nu\delta} \widehat{Y}_\delta] = \sum_{\gamma\delta} L_{\mu\gamma} L_{\nu\delta} [\widehat{Y}_\gamma, \widehat{Y}_\delta] + L_{\mu\gamma} [\widehat{Y}_\gamma, L_{\nu\delta}] \widehat{Y}_\delta - L_{\nu\delta} [\widehat{Y}_\delta, L_{\mu\gamma}] \widehat{Y}_\gamma = \\ &= \iota(\delta_{0\mu} \tilde{a}_\nu - \delta_{0\nu} \tilde{a}_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(92) \quad \begin{aligned} [a_\mu, a_\nu] &= [\tilde{a}_\mu - \frac{\iota n}{2}(\delta_{\mu 0} - L_{\mu 0}), \tilde{a}_\nu - \frac{\iota n}{2}(\delta_{\nu 0} - L_{\nu 0})] = \\ &= [\tilde{a}_\mu, \tilde{a}_\nu] + \frac{\iota n}{2}([\tilde{a}_\mu, L_{\nu 0}] - [\tilde{a}_\nu, L_{\mu 0}]) = \iota(\delta_{0\mu} \tilde{a}_\nu - \delta_{0\nu} \tilde{a}_\mu) + \frac{\iota n}{2}(\delta_{\mu 0} L_{\nu 0} - \delta_{\nu 0} L_{\mu 0}) = \\ &= \iota\left(\delta_{\mu 0}(\tilde{a}_\nu + \frac{\iota n}{2} L_{\nu 0}) - \delta_{\nu 0}(\tilde{a}_\mu + \frac{\iota n}{2} L_{\mu 0})\right) = \\ &= \iota\left(\delta_{\mu 0}(\tilde{a}_\nu + \frac{\iota n}{2}(L_{\nu 0} - \delta_{\nu 0})) - \delta_{\nu 0}(\tilde{a}_\mu + \frac{\iota n}{2}(L_{\mu 0} - \delta_{\mu 0}))\right) = \\ &= \iota(\delta_{\mu 0} a_\nu - \delta_{\nu 0} a_\mu) \end{aligned}$$

as in (77).

6. (SOME REMARKS ON) QUANTUM κ -MINKOWSKI SPACE.

In this last section we are going to make few remarks on “quantum κ -Minkowski space”. Under this name is usually understood “*-algebra generated by self-adjoint elements (x_0, x_k) satisfying relations” (77):

$$[x_0, x_k] = i\hbar x_k, \quad [x_k, x_l] = 0 \quad , \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

As a Hopf algebra it was introduced already in [7]. This is considered either on a pure algebra level or as operators on Hilbert space [1] or within a star-product formulation of simplified two-dimensional version in [3]. But if one wants to consider it on a C^* -algebra level, it is clear (in fact since [10], [23] and certainly since [15]) that the “unique candidate” for this name is $C^*(C)$ with the group C defined in (12) i.e. C is the AN group from the Iwasawa decomposition $SO_0(1, n) = SO(n)AN$ (this group appears in [1] under the name “ κ -Minkowski Group”). But to call a space the “Minkowski space” it should carry an action of the Poincaré Group, so in our case we have to show the action of our quantum group $(C^*(\Gamma_A), \Delta)$ on $C^*(C)$.

Let us now show, how the groupoid framework gives natural candidate for such an action and postpone analytic details to future publication.

Remark 6.1. A “quantum space” $C^*(C)$ has a family of classical points $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ i.e. characters. They are given by 1-dimensional unitary representations of C $p_\lambda(s, y) := s^{i\lambda}$. These are representations induced from whole line of 0-dimensional symplectic leaves on which the Poisson bivector for the related Poisson Minkowski space (affine) described e.g. in [14] vanishes.

Let us begin with simpler situation of global decomposition and let $(G; B, C)$ be a double group with $\delta_0 : G_B \rightrightarrows G_B \times G_B$ defined by (2). Consider relations $\delta_L : C \rightrightarrows G_B \times C$ and $\delta_R : C \rightrightarrows C \times G_B$ defined by:

$$(93) \quad \delta_L := \{(g, c_R(g); c_L(g)) : g \in G\} \quad , \quad \delta_R := \{(c_L(g), g; c_R(g)) : g \in G\}$$

The following lemma can be proven by direct computation:

Lemma 6.2. δ_L and δ_R are morphisms of groupoids that satisfy:

$$(94) \quad (\delta_0 \times id)\delta_L = (id \times \delta_L)\delta_L \quad , \quad (id \times \delta_0)\delta_R = (\delta_R \times id)\delta_R$$

Let $\sigma : C \times G_B \ni (c, g) \mapsto (g, c) \in G_B \times C$ be the flip and R_B, R_C denote the inverse in a group G and its restriction to C respectively. Then the following equality holds:

$$(95) \quad \delta_L = \sigma(R_C \times R_B)\delta_R R_C$$

■

If $(G; B, C)$ is a DLG, relations δ_L, δ_R are morphisms of differential groupoids and lifting them one obtains Δ_L, Δ_R – morphisms of corresponding C^* -algebras, e.g. $\Delta_L \in Mor(C^*(C), C^*(G_B \times C))$. One may expect that in “nice” cases $C^*(G_B \times C) = C^*(G_B) \otimes C^*(C)$ and reduced/universal algebras problem can be also handled. This way one gets actions (left or right) of $(C^*(G_B), \Delta_0)$ on $C^*(C)$. Let us show that Δ_L should be considered as “quantization” of the canonical affine action of the semidirect product $\mathfrak{b}^0 \rtimes B$ on \mathfrak{b}^0 :

$$(96) \quad (\mathfrak{b}^0 \rtimes B) \times \mathfrak{b}^0 \ni (\varphi, b; \psi) \mapsto \varphi + Ad^\#(b)\psi \in \mathfrak{b}^0$$

Let $\Gamma_1 \rightrightarrows E_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \rightrightarrows E_2$ be differential groupoids. For a (differentiable) relation $h : \Gamma_1 \rightrightarrows \Gamma_2$ by $T^*h : T^*\Gamma_1 \rightrightarrows T^*\Gamma_2$ we denote the relation (cotangent lift of h) defined by

$$(97) \quad (\varphi_2, \varphi_1) \in T^*h \iff \forall (v_2, v_1) \in Th \quad \langle \varphi_2, v_2 \rangle = \langle \varphi_1, v_1 \rangle .$$

If h is a morphism of differential groupoids then T^*h is a morphism of symplectic groupoids and its base map is a Poisson map $(TE_2)^0 \rightarrow (TE_1)^0$ [21].

By (93), (97) and (114) for Φ – the base map of $T^*\delta_L$, we have $\Phi : (TB)^0 \times \mathfrak{c}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^*$ and for $(\phi, \psi) \in (T_b B)^0 \times \mathfrak{c}^*$, $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and identifying \mathfrak{c}^* with $\mathfrak{b}^0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(\phi, \psi), \dot{c} \rangle &= \langle (\phi, \psi), (\dot{c}b, c_R(\dot{c}b)) \rangle = \langle \phi, \dot{c}b \rangle + \langle \psi, Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b^{-1})(\dot{c}) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \phi, \dot{c}b \rangle + \langle Ad^\#(b)(\psi), \dot{c} \rangle = \langle \varphi + Ad^\#(b)(\psi), \dot{c} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where, using right trivialization, we represent $\phi \in (T_b B)^0$ by $(\varphi, b) \in \mathfrak{b}^0 \times B$. This way we get $\Phi(\varphi, b; \psi) = \varphi + Ad^\#(b)(\psi)$ exactly as in (96).

In the situation of κ -Poincaré, relations defined as δ_L, δ_R in (93) e.g.

$$\tilde{\delta}_L : C \longrightarrow \Gamma_A \times C \quad , \quad \tilde{\delta}_L := \{(g, \tilde{c}_R(g); \tilde{c}_L(g)) : g \in \Gamma_A\}$$

are not morphisms of differential groupoids. It can be directly verified that $m'(\tilde{\delta}_L \times \tilde{\delta}_L) \not\subseteq \tilde{\delta}_L m$, where m and m' denote multiplication relations in C and $\Gamma_A \times C$, respectively. Or one may observe that $T^* \tilde{\delta}_L$ restricted to sets of units gives the action of Poisson-Poincaré group $\mathfrak{a}^0 \rtimes A$ on $\mathfrak{c}^* \simeq \mathfrak{a}^0$ as in (96). It is known that this is a Poisson action which is *non complete*, so it cannot be the base map of a morphism of symplectic groupoids [21]. Essentially we face the similar problem as in the very beginning: some operators that “should be” self-adjoint are not essentially self-adjoint on their “natural” domains and we can try to overcome it in the similar way – passing from Γ_A to G_B .

It is easier to work with $\tilde{\delta}_R : C \longrightarrow C \times \Gamma_A$ given by $\tilde{\delta}_R := \{(\tilde{c}_L(g), g; \tilde{c}_R(g)) : g \in \Gamma_A\}$, or after passing to $\Gamma_{B'}$ (and using the same symbol $\tilde{\delta}_R$):

$$(98) \quad \tilde{\delta}_R = \{(\tilde{c}_L(b_L(g))^{-1} \tilde{c}_L(g), g; c_R(g)) : g \in \Gamma_{B'}\} \subset (C \times \Gamma_{B'}) \times C$$

Let us define

$$(99) \quad T^C := \{(\tilde{c}_L(b)^{-1}, b) : b \in B'\} = (c_R \times id)T \subset C \times G_B, \quad T_{12}^C := T^C \times B \subset C \times G_B \times G_B$$

The following lemma may be compared to Prop. 1.3.

- Lemma 6.3.** (1) T^C is a section of left and right projections over $\{e\} \times B' \subset C \times G_B$ (e is the neutral element in C) and a bisection of $C \times \Gamma_{B'}$.
 (2) $(id \times \delta_0)T^C$ is a section of left and right projections (in $C \times G_B \times G_B$) over the set $\{e\} \times \delta_0(B') = \{(e, b_2, b_3) : b_2 b_3 \in B'\}$;
 (3) $(\delta_R \times id)T^C$ is a section of left and right projections over the set $\{e\} \times B \times B'$;
 (4) $T_{12}^C(\delta_R \times id)T^C = T_{23}(id \times \delta_0)T^C$ (equality of sets in $C \times G_B \times G_B$), moreover this set is a section of the right projection over $\{e\} \times (\delta_0(B') \cap (B \times B'))$ and the left projection over $\{e\} \times B' \times B'$.

Proof: The first statement is clear from the definition of T^C . By a straightforward computation:

$$(100) \quad \begin{aligned} (id \times \delta_0)T^C &= \{(\tilde{c}_L(b_1 b_2)^{-1}, b_1, b_2) : b_1 b_2 \in B'\} \subset C \times G_B \times G_B \\ (\delta_R \times id)T^C &= \{(c_L(g), g, b) : b \in B', c_R(g) = \tilde{c}_L(b)^{-1}\} = \\ &= \{(c_L(b_2 \tilde{c}_L(b_3)^{-1}), b_2 \tilde{c}_L(b_3)^{-1}, b_3) : b_2 \in B, b_3 \in B'\} \subset C \times G_B \times G_B, \end{aligned}$$

the second and third statement follow easily from these expressions. Now, using these expressions, it is easy to compute $T_{12}^C(\delta_R \times id)T^C$ and get:

$$T_{12}^C(\delta_R \times id)T^C = \{\tilde{c}_L(b_2)^{-1} c_L(b_2 \tilde{c}_L(b_3)^{-1}), b_2 \tilde{c}_L(b_3)^{-1}, b_3 : b_2, b_3 \in B'\},$$

and the same result for $T_{23}(id \times \delta_0)T^C$. The statement about left projection is clear, the only relation we need to check is that for $b_2, b_3 \in B'$ the product $b_R(b_2 \tilde{c}_L(b_3)^{-1})b_3$ is again in B' . Let $b_2 = c_2 a_2$ and $b_3 = c_3 a_3$. Then

$$b_R(b_2 \tilde{c}_L(b_3)^{-1})b_3 = b_R(c_2 a_2 c_3^{-1})b_3 = b_R(c_2 a_2 c_3^{-1} b_3) = b_R(c_2 a_2 a_3) = b_R(a_2 a_3) \in B'$$

T^C is used to twist δ_R to $\tilde{\delta}_R$:

■

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\delta_R : C \rightrightarrows C \times G_B$ be the morphism defined in (93) and $\tilde{\delta}_R$ be as in (98). They are related by: $\tilde{\delta}_R = Ad_{T^C} \cdot \delta_R$.*

Proof: Recall that $Ad_{T^C} : C \times G_B \rightrightarrows C \times G_B$ is defined by (compare (5)):

$$(c_1, g_2; c_3, g_4) \in Ad_{T^C} \iff \exists t_1, t_2 \in T^C : (c_1, g_2) = t_1(c_3, g_4)(s_C \times s_B)t_2$$

The multiplication above is in the groupoid $C \times G_B$ and s_C, s_B are groupoid inverses (i.e. s_C stands for the inverse in the group C). Let us compute:

$$(c_1, g_2; c_3, g_4) \in Ad_{T^C} \iff \exists b_5, b_6 \in B' : (c_1, g_2) = (\tilde{c}_L(b_5)^{-1}, b_5)(c_3, g_4)(\tilde{c}_L(b_6), b_6),$$

i.e. $b_5 = b_L(g_4) \in B'$, $b_6 = b_R(g_4) \in B'$ therefore $g_2 = g_4 \in \Gamma_{B'}$ and $c_1 = \tilde{c}_L(b_5)^{-1}c_3\tilde{c}_L(b_6)$; so this relation is in fact bijection

$$Ad_{T^C} : C \times \Gamma_{B'} \ni (c, g) \mapsto (\tilde{c}_L(b_L(g))^{-1}c_3\tilde{c}_L(b_R(g)), g) \in C \times \Gamma_{B'}$$

defined by the bisection T^C of $C \times \Gamma_{B'}$.

Now we have:

$$(c_1, g_2, c_3) \in Ad_{T^C}\delta_R \iff \exists g \in G : (c_1, g_2; c_L(g), g) \in Ad_{T^C}, c_R(g) = c_3$$

therefore $g \in \Gamma_{B'}$ and $c_1 = \tilde{c}_L(b_L(g))^{-1}c_L(g)\tilde{c}_L(b_R(g)) = \tilde{c}_L(b_L(g))^{-1}\tilde{c}_L(g)$ and

$$Ad_{T^C}\delta_R = \{(\tilde{c}_L(b_L(g))^{-1}\tilde{c}_L(g), g, c_R(g)) : g \in \Gamma_{B'}\}$$

exactly as $\tilde{\delta}_R$ in (98). ■

T^C , as a bisection of $C \times \Gamma_{B'}$, defines unitary multiplier $\widehat{T^C}$ of $C_r^*(C \times \Gamma_{B'}) = C_r^*(C) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma_{B'}) = C^*(C) \otimes C_r^*(G_B) = C^*(C) \otimes C^*(G_B)$, so having Δ_R -action of the quantum group $(C^*(G_B), \Delta_0)$ on “quantum space” represented by $C^*(C)$ (lifted from δ_R) we can, due to properties of T^C described in Lemma 6.3, *define the action* of our quantum group $(C^*(\Gamma_A), \Delta)$ on the same “space” $C^*(C)$ by $\tilde{\Delta}_R(a) := \widehat{T^C}\Delta_R(a)\widehat{T^C}^{-1}$. Whether this construction gives *continuous* action of κ -Poincaré on $C^*(C)$ still needs to be verified.

7. APPENDIX

Here we collect some formulae proven in [12] and used in this paper. $(G; B, C)$ is a double Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ are corresponding Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ (direct sum of vector spaces). Let $P_{\mathfrak{b}}, P_{\mathfrak{c}}$ be projections in \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$. Let us define:

$$(101) \quad Ad^{\mathfrak{b}}(g) := P_{\mathfrak{b}}Ad(g)|_{\mathfrak{b}}, \quad Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}(g) := P_{\mathfrak{c}}Ad(g)|_{\mathfrak{c}}$$

Clearly $Ad^{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}$ are representations when restricted to B or C .

Modular functions. Let us define:

$$(102) \quad j_B(g) := |\det(Ad^{\mathfrak{b}}(g))|, \quad j_C(g) := |\det(Ad^{\mathfrak{c}}(g))|$$

The choice of ω_0 . Choose a real half-density $\mu_0 \neq 0$ on $T_e C$ and define left-invariant half-density on G_B by

$$(103) \quad \lambda_0(g)(v) := \mu_0(g^{-1}v), \quad v \in \Lambda^{max}T_g^l G_B.$$

The corresponding right-invariant half-density is given by:

$$(104) \quad \rho_0(g)(w) := j_C(b_L(g))^{-1/2}\mu_0(wg^{-1}), \quad w \in \Lambda^{max}T_g^r G_B.$$

Multiplication and comultiplication in $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ After the choice of ω_0 as above, the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ reads: $(f_1\omega_0)(f_2\omega_0) =: (f_1 * f_2)\omega_0$ and

$$(105) \quad \begin{aligned} (f_1 * f_2)(g) &= \int_C d_l c f_1(b_L(g)c) f_2(c_L(b_L(g)c)^{-1}g) = \\ &= \int_C d_r c j_C(b_L(cb_R(g)))^{-1} f_1(gc_R(cb_R(g))^{-1}) f_2(cb_R(g)), \end{aligned}$$

where $d_l c$ and $d_r c$ are left and right Haar measures on C defined by μ_0 .

The $\|\cdot\|_l$ defined by this ω_0 is given by:

$$(106) \quad \|f\|_l = \sup_{b \in B} \int_C d_l c |f(bc)|$$

Let $\delta_0 := m_C^T : G_B \longrightarrow G_B \times G_B$. The formula for $\hat{\delta}_0$ reads

$$(107) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}_0(f\omega_0)(F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)) &=: (\hat{\delta}_0(f)F)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0) \\ (\hat{\delta}_0(f)F)(b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) &= \int_C d_l c j_C(c_L(b_2 c))^{-1/2} f(b_1 b_2 c) F(c_L(b_1 b_2 c)^{-1} b_1 c_1, b_R(b_2 c) c^{-1} c_2) \end{aligned}$$

Action of bisections on bidensities. Let us write $\omega = f\omega_0$, $f \in \mathcal{D}(G_B)$. The action of a bisection Bc_0 on $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ is given by:

$$(108) \quad (Bc_0)(f\omega_0) =: (Bc_0 f)\omega_0, \quad (Bc_0 f)(g) = f(B(c_0)^{-1}g) j_C(c_0)^{-1/2}$$

Notation for orthogonal Lie algebras. Let (V, η) be a real, finite dimensional vector space with a bilinear, symmetric and non degenerate form η ; by η we denote also the isomorphism $V \rightarrow V^*$ defined by $\langle \eta(x), y \rangle := \eta(x, y)$. A basis (v_α) of V is called *orthonormal* if $\eta(v_\alpha, v_\beta) = \eta(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $|\eta(v_\alpha, v_\alpha)| = 1$. For a subset $S \subset V$ the symbol S^\perp is used for *the orthogonal complement* of S , the symbol $S^0 \subset V^*$ stands for *the annihilator* of S . Let us define operators in $End(V)$:

$$(109) \quad M_{xy} := x \otimes \eta(y) - y \otimes \eta(x) \quad , \quad x, y \in V.$$

For a basis (v_α) in V we write $M_{\alpha\beta}$ instead of M_{v_α, v_β} . Operators M_{xy} satisfy:

$$(110) \quad [M_{xy}, M_{zt}] = \eta(x, t) M_{yz} + \eta(y, z) M_{xt} - \eta(x, z) M_{yt} - \eta(y, t) M_{xz}$$

and $so(\eta) = span\{M_{xy} : x, y \in V\}$. Notice that for $g \in O(\eta)$ we have $Ad(g)(M_{xy}) = M_{g^x, g^y}$. We will use a bilinear, non degenerate form $k : so(\eta) \times so(\eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$(111) \quad k(M_{xy}, M_{zt}) := \eta(x, t) \eta(y, z) - \eta(x, z) \eta(y, t)$$

It is easy to see that for $g \in O(\eta)$: $Ad(g) \in O(k)$ i.e.

$$k(gM_{xy}g^{-1}, gM_{zt}g^{-1}) = k(M_{xy}, M_{zt}), \quad g \in O(\eta)$$

(of course k is proportional to the Killing form on $so(\eta)$). By $Ad^\#$ we denote the coadjoint representation of $O(\eta)$ on $so(\eta)^*$: $Ad^\#(g) := Ad(g^{-1})^*$. If k is the isomorphism $so(\eta) \rightarrow so(\eta)^*$ defined by the form k then

$$Ad^\#(g)k(X) = k(Ad(g)X), \quad X \in so(\eta), g \in O(\eta)$$

Let us also define a bilinear form \tilde{k} on $so(\eta)^*$ by:

$$(112) \quad \tilde{k}(\varphi, \psi) := k(k^{-1}(\varphi), k^{-1}(\psi)), \quad \varphi, \psi \in so(\eta)^*$$

so $\tilde{k}(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \varphi, k^{-1}(\psi) \rangle$; again it is clear that if $g \in O(\eta)$ then $Ad^\#(g) \in O(\tilde{k})$, and

$$\tilde{k}(Ad^\#(g)k(X), k(Y)) = k(Ad(g)X, Y), \quad X, Y \in so(\eta).$$

Adjoint, coadjoint representations and Hopf algebra structure. For a Lie group G we identify the group T^*G , via right translations, with the semidirect product $\mathfrak{g}^* \rtimes G$ (with coadjoint representation):

$$(113) \quad (\varphi, g)(\psi, h) := (\varphi + Ad^\#(g)\psi, gh), \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad g, h \in G,$$

If $B \subset G$ is a subgroup with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ then $\mathfrak{b}^0 \times B$ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{g}^* \rtimes G$.

If $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is any complementary subspace to \mathfrak{b} i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$, then $Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b) := P_\mathfrak{c}Ad(b)|_\mathfrak{c}$ is a representation of B on \mathfrak{c} . The spaces \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{b}^0 are dual to each other and the representation $Ad^\mathfrak{c}$ is contragradient to $Ad^\#|_B$, i.e. for $\varphi \in \mathfrak{b}^0, \dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $b \in B$:

$$(114) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Ad^\#(b)\varphi, \dot{c} \rangle &= \langle \varphi, Ad(b^{-1})\dot{c} \rangle = \langle \varphi, P_\mathfrak{c}Ad(b^{-1})\dot{c} \rangle = \langle \varphi, Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b^{-1})\dot{c} \rangle = \\ &= \langle (Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b^{-1}))^*\varphi, \dot{c} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Let (ρ_k) be a basis in \mathfrak{b}^0 , (\dot{c}_k) dual basis in \mathfrak{c} and $Ad_{lk}^\#, Ad_{lk}^\mathfrak{c} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ matrix elements of $Ad^\#(b)$ and $Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b)$ in corresponding bases:

$$\langle \rho_l, \dot{c}_m \rangle = \delta_{lm} \quad , \quad Ad^\#(b)\rho_k = \sum_l Ad_{lk}^\#(b)\rho_l \quad , \quad Ad^\mathfrak{c}(b)\dot{c}_k = \sum_l Ad_{lk}^\mathfrak{c}(b)\dot{c}_l$$

Clearly, the equality (114) implies $Ad_{lk}^\#(b^{-1}) = Ad_{kl}^\mathfrak{c}(b)$, i.e.

$$\sum Ad_{km}^\mathfrak{c}(b)Ad_{kl}^\#(b) = \sum Ad_{lk}^\#(b)Ad_{mk}^\mathfrak{c}(b) = \delta_{lm}.$$

Let us use the same symbols for extensions of functions $Ad_{lk}^\#, Ad_{lk}^\mathfrak{c}, \dot{c}_k$ to $\mathfrak{b}^0 \rtimes B$ i.e.

$$Ad_{kl}^\#(\varphi, b) := Ad_{kl}^\#(b), \quad Ad_{kl}^\mathfrak{c}(\varphi, b) := Ad_{kl}^\mathfrak{c}(b), \quad \dot{c}_k(\varphi, b) := \langle \varphi, \dot{c}_k \rangle$$

It is straightforward to compute action of comultiplication, counit and antipode, defined by the group $\mathfrak{b}^0 \rtimes B$, on functions $\dot{c}_k, Ad_{kl}^\#, Ad_{kl}^\mathfrak{c}$:

$$(115) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(\dot{c}_k) &= \dot{c}_k \otimes I + \sum_m Ad_{km}^\# \otimes \dot{c}_m, & \epsilon(\dot{c}_k) &= 0, & S(\dot{c}_k) &= - \sum_m S(Ad_{km}^\#) \dot{c}_m = - \sum_m Ad_{mk}^\mathfrak{c} \dot{c}_m; \\ \Delta(Ad_{kl}^\#) &= \sum_m Ad_{km}^\# \otimes Ad_{ml}^\#, & \epsilon(Ad_{kl}^\#) &= \delta_{kl}, & S(Ad_{kl}^\#) &= Ad_{lk}^\mathfrak{c}; \\ \Delta(Ad_{kl}^\mathfrak{c}) &= \sum_m Ad_{km}^\mathfrak{c} \otimes Ad_{ml}^\mathfrak{c}, & \epsilon(Ad_{kl}^\mathfrak{c}) &= \delta_{kl}, & S(Ad_{kl}^\mathfrak{c}) &= Ad_{lk}^\#. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $\tilde{A}_k := S(\dot{c}_k) = - \sum_l Ad_{lk}^\mathfrak{c} \dot{c}_l$, then:

$$(116) \quad \Delta(\tilde{A}_k) = I \otimes \tilde{A}_k + \sum_m \tilde{A}_m \otimes Ad_{mk}^\mathfrak{c}, \quad S(\tilde{A}_k) = - \sum_l \tilde{A}_l Ad_{kl}^\#, \quad \dot{c}_k = - \sum_l Ad_{kl}^\# \tilde{A}_l$$

Let us assume additionally, that \mathfrak{g} is equipped with invariant, non degenerate, symmetric bilinear form k and that $k|_\mathfrak{b}$ is non degenerate. Thus we have two decompositions

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^\perp = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$$

Let $P_\mathfrak{b}$ be the projection on \mathfrak{b} defined by the first decomposition and $P_\mathfrak{c}$ projection on \mathfrak{c} defined by the second one. The next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 7.1. (a) The restriction of k to \mathfrak{b}^\perp is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{b}^\perp and \mathfrak{b}^0 . For any $\dot{c} \in \mathfrak{c}$ and any $\dot{f} \in \mathfrak{b}^\perp$: $P_{\mathfrak{c}}(I - P_{\mathfrak{b}})\dot{c} = \dot{c}$, $(I - P_{\mathfrak{b}})P_{\mathfrak{c}}\dot{f} = \dot{f}$, in other words the restriction of $P_{\mathfrak{c}}$ to \mathfrak{b}^\perp is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{b}^\perp and \mathfrak{c} , and the inverse mapping is the restriction of $I - P_{\mathfrak{b}}$ to \mathfrak{c} .

(b) The mapping $\phi := k \cdot (I - P_{\mathfrak{b}})|_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}^0$ is an isomorphism with the inverse $\phi^{-1} = P_{\mathfrak{c}} \cdot (k^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{b}^0})$, moreover for any $b \in B$

$$\phi \cdot \text{Ad}^{\mathfrak{c}}(b) \cdot \phi^{-1} = \text{Ad}^{\#}(b)|_{\mathfrak{b}^0}$$

(c) Let (e_k) be o.n. basis in \mathfrak{b}^\perp ; it defines bases $(k(e_k))$ and $(P_{\mathfrak{c}}(e_k))$ in \mathfrak{b}^0 and \mathfrak{c} , respectively. Then $\phi(P_{\mathfrak{c}}(e_k)) = k(e_k)$ and, consequently, matrix elements of $\text{Ad}(b)|_{\mathfrak{b}^\perp}$, $\text{Ad}^{\#}(b)$ and $\text{Ad}^{\mathfrak{c}}(b)$ in bases (e_k) , $(k(e_k))$ and $(P_{\mathfrak{c}}(e_k))$, respectively, are equal.

■

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Agostini, κ -Minkowski representations on Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 052305;
- [2] A. Borowiec, A. Pachol, κ -Minkowski Spacetimes and DSR Algebras: Fresh Look and Old Problems, SIGMA **6** (2010) 086;
- [3] B. Durhuus, A. Sitarz, Star product realizations of κ -Minkowski space, J. Noncommut. Geom. **7** (2013), 605645;
- [4] P. Lieberman, C-M. Marle, *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, D. Reidel 1987 p. 421;
- [5] J. Lukierski, A Nowicki and H. Ruegg, *New quantum Poincaré algebra and κ -deformed field theory*, Phys. Lett. B **293** (1992) 344-352;
- [6] J. Lukierski, *Kappa-deformations: historical developments and recent results*, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **804** (2017) 012028; also arXiv:1611.10213;
- [7] S. Majid, H. Ruegg, *Bicrossproduct structure of κ -Poincaré group and non-commutative geometry*, Phys. Lett. B **334** (1994), 348-354;
- [8] P. Kosinski, P. Maslanka, *The κ -Weyl group and its algebra*, Proc. 21 Intern. Coll. On Group Theor. Methods in Phys., Goslar 1996, Heron, Sophia 1997, also arXiv: q-alg/9512018;
- [9] G. Skandalis, *Duality for locally compact 'quantum groups* (joint work with S. Baaj), Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, Taungsbericht **46**/1991, C^* -algebren, 20,10-26.10.1991,p. 20;
- [10] P. Stachura, *Double Lie Algebras and Manin triples*, arXiv:q-alg/9712040;
- [11] P. Stachura, *Differential groupoids and C^* -algebras*, math.QA/9905097, for a shorter exposition see:, *C^* -algebra of a differential groupoid*, Banach Center Publ 51, Inst. Math. Polish Acad. Sci., 2000, 263-281;
- [12] P. Stachura, *From double Lie groups to quantum groups*, Fund. Math. **188** (2005), 195-240;
- [13] P. Stachura, *On the quantum 'ax+b' group*, J. Geom. and Phys. **73** (2013) 125-149;
- [14] P. Stachura, *On Poisson structures related to κ -Poincaré group*, Int. J. Geom. Methods M. **14** (2017) 1750133;
- [15] S. Vaes, L. Vainerman, *Extensions of locally compact quantum groups and the bicrossed product construction*, Adv. in Math **175** (1) (2003), 1-101;
- [16] D. Williams, *Crossed Products of C^* -Algebras*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol **134**. AMS 2007;
- [17] S. L. Woronowicz, K. Napiórkowski, *Operator theory in the C^* -algebra framework*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31** (3) (1992), 353-371;
- [18] S. L. Woronowicz, *C^* -algebras generated by unbounded elements*, Rev.Math. Phys. **7** (1995), no. 3, 481-521;
- [19] S. L. Woronowicz, *From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups*, Int. J. Math. **7** no. 1 (1996), 127-149;
- [20] S. Zakrzewski, *Quantum and Classical pseudogroups I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 347-370;
- [21] S. Zakrzewski, *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. II. Differential and symplectic pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys., **134** (2) (1990), 371-395;
- [22] S. Zakrzewski, *Quantum Poincare group related to the κ -Poincaré algebra*, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **27** (1994) 2075-2082;
- [23] S. Zakrzewski, *Poisson structures on the Poincaré group*, Comm. Math. Phys. **185** (1997) 285 -311.