Quenching factor measurement for a NaI(Tl) scintillation crystal
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Abstract

Scintillation crystals are commonly used for direct detection of a weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP), which is a good candidate of a particle dark matter. It is well known that scintillation light yields are different between electron recoil and nuclear recoil. To calibrate energies of WIMP-induced nuclear recoil signals, one needs to measure a quenching factor (QF), light yield ratio of nuclear recoil to electron recoil. Measurements of the QFs for Na and I recoils in a small (2 cm \times 2 cm \times 1.5 cm) NaI(Tl) crystal have been performed with 2.43 MeV mono-energetic neutrons generated from deuteron-deuteron fusion. Depending on the scattering angle of the neutrons, energies of recoiled ions vary from 9 to 150 keV for Na and 19 to 75 keV for I. QFs of Na are measured at 9 points with the values from 10 % to 23 % and those of I are measured at 4 points with the values from 4 % to 6 %.

Keywords: Dark Matter, WIMP, KIMS, NaI(Tl) crystal

\textsuperscript{†}Corresponding author. E-mail: hyeonseo@kriss.re.kr
\textsuperscript{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: skkim@snu.ac.kr
1. Introduction

Weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP) is one of the strongest dark matter candidates for the last few decades\cite{1, 2}. Many experiments using various types of detectors have been designed and performed for the direct search of WIMPs\cite{3, 4}. Among the various WIMP search experiments, the DAMA/LIBRA group shows the most interesting results. This group claimed the detection of positive signals from WIMP interaction using 250 kg NaI(Tl) scintillation detectors with a high significance of $9.3 \sigma$\cite{5}. This result has been controversial with most other experiments\cite{6, 7, 8, 9}. However, owing to the various systematic differences between the experiments, it is difficult to make clear conclusions about the DAMA/LIBRA’s observation\cite{10}. It is important to reproduce the DAMA/LIBRA experiment with the same target material using the same or higher sensitivity.

Recently, KIMS group (at present COSINE) started the experiment for direct search of the WIMP with the NaI(Tl) scintillation detector\cite{11, 12}, which is the same target material with DAMA/LIBRA. Direct detection of the WIMP using NaI(Tl) scintillation detector is based on the detection of the nucleus recoiled by the WIMP-nucleon interaction. The recoiled nucleus loses its kinetic energy and a part of the energy is converted into the scintillation lights. The amount of scintillation lights can be used to evaluate the recoil energy of the nucleus. Energy calibration to obtain the relation between the nuclear recoil energy and the scintillation light need to be carried out.

The energy calibration for nuclear recoil events can be performed using the elastic scattering of energetic neutrons, using various scattering angles and/or incident energies of neutrons. The calibration factor $c_{nr}$ can be expressed by nuclear recoil energy $E_{nr}$ and scintillation light ($L$) like Eq. (1).

$$
c_{nr} = \frac{E_{nr}}{L}. \tag{1}
$$

The energy calibration needs to be performed repeatedly for the detectors to monitor the stability of $L$, which is usually done with the gamma sources.
The calibration factor \( c_{er} \) for gamma calibration can convert the scintillation light to the electron recoil equivalent energy \( E_{ee} \) by Eq. (2):

\[
E_{ee} = c_{er} \times L.
\]  

(2)

Using eqs. (1) and (2), the nuclear recoil energy can be obtained from the scintillation light as followed,

\[
E_{nr} = c_{nr} \times L = c_{nr} \times \frac{E_{ee}}{c_{er}} = QF^{-1} \times E_{ee},
\]  

(3)

where QF is the quenching factor,

\[
QF = \frac{c_{er}}{c_{nr}} = \frac{E_{ee}}{E_{nr}}.
\]  

(4)

A few groups including DAMA, measured the QFs using radionuclide neutron sources with broad spectrum of neutron energies such as \(^{241}\)Am-Be. The DAMA group reported constant values of QFs, \( QF_{Na} = 0.30 \pm 0.01 \) at a recoil energy of 6.5-97 keV for Na and \( QF_I = 0.09 \pm 0.01 \) at a recoil energy of 22-330 keV for I\(^1\). Several measurements using mono-energetic neutrons produced by neutron generators also obtained consistent results\(^{15, 16, 17, 18, 19}\). However, some recent measurements on the QF of the NaI(Tl) crystal showed significantly different results by considering threshold effects of the efficiencies systematically\(^{20, 21, 22}\).

We measured the QFs for Na and I using mono-energetic neutrons generated from deuteron-deuteron nuclear fusion reaction. The QFs, reported here, have the range of recoil energy from 9 to 150 keV for Na and 19 to 75 keV for I.

2. Experiments

2.1. Experimental setup

The mono-energetic neutrons are produced through deuteron-deuteron nuclear fusion reaction using DD-109 neutron generator (Adelphi, co.\(^{25}\)) at the Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science (KRISS). The generator tube
was shielded by borated polyethylene (40 cm thick) and high-density polyethylene (40 cm thick) successively. The 3.5-cm-diameter hole is opened to extract the neutrons from the shield. This heavy shield fulfills the safety regulation. The deuteron beam energy was 60 keV. The whole experimental setup was installed at 90 degrees to the deuteron beam. The neutron energy in this direction was 2.43 MeV. The typical neutron intensity at the NaI crystal was approximately 2,000 cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ to avoid event pile up, and this corresponds to about 1/10 of the maximum capacity of the generator.

Figure 1 shows a schematic view of the experimental setup. A NaI(Tl) crystal was located at 150 cm from the target. The size of the crystal was 2 cm × 2 cm × 1.5 cm and the surface of 2 cm × 2 cm side was exposed to the neutron. The small size of the crystal was chosen to reduce multiple scatterings inside the crystal and the angle spread of the neutrons. Based on GEANT4 simulation\[26\], the multiple scattering probability is approximately 10 %. The crystal was encapsulated with 1.52-mm thick aluminum housing and was coupled to two 3-inch photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) with high quantum efficiency (R12669SEL, Hamamatsu Photonics) on two 2 cm × 1.5 cm sides. Between the crystal and the PMTs, 5-mm-thick quartz blocks were attached at both sides to keep the same detector configuration with the main underground experiment.
To tag the scattered neutrons off Na or I inside the crystal, BC501A liquid scintillation detectors were installed on the plane of the deuteron beam, the deuteron target and the NaI(Tl) detector. The recoil energy \( E_{nr} \) can be expressed by a simple kinetic equation using the incident neutron energy \( E_n \), the scattering angle \( \theta \) of the neutron, the masses of the neutron \( m_n \), and the recoil nuclide \( m_N \): \[
E_{nr} = E_n \cdot \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{m_n \cos \theta - \sqrt{m_N^2 - m_n^2 \sin^2 \theta}}{m_n + m_N} \right)^2 \right\}.
\] (5)

The neutron tagging detectors were installed at 12 different recoil angles from 13° to 170° at distances of 30 cm - 85 cm from the crystal center. The corresponding recoil energies are 6 keV - 150 keV for Na and 11 keV - 75 keV for I. Because of the limited space, the measurements were performed for three different sets with four different recoil angles. Table I shows the configuration of three sets of neutron tagging detectors (the size of detectors, distances, and angles) and the corresponding recoil energies for Na and I.

2.2. Data acquisition (DAQ) system

The signals from the NaI(Tl) crystal and the neutron detectors were recorded by 400 MHz sampling flash analog-to-digital converters (FADCs) from NOTICE Korea [27]. Signals from the crystal were amplified 30 times with home-made amplifier and sent to the FADC. The additional high-gain amplifier for the NaI(Tl) crystal allowed to identify the single photoelectron signals. Signals from the neutron detectors were directly sent to the FADC.

To avoid the PMT noise, a coincidence of signals from PMTs of both sides was required within 200 ns time window. The first-coming photoelectron determines the timing of the NaI(Tl) signal. To confirm the neutron-induced events, the time coincidence between the NaI(Tl) and one of four neutron tagging detectors was required within 480 ns time window. For the triggered events, the waveforms from the PMTs of NaI(Tl) and the four neutron detectors were recorded by the DAQ system for a 10 µs window—2 µs for pre-trigger region.
Table 1: Neutron detector configurations for the quenching factor measurements. The measurements were performed for three different configurations because of the limited space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Scattering angle (degree)</th>
<th>Distance (cm)</th>
<th>Recoil energy (keV)</th>
<th>(Diameter × Length)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 cm(D) × 5 cm(L)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>5.7 (Na)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 cm(D) × 5 cm(L)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>8.8 (Na)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 cm(D) × 5 cm(L)</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>22.7 (Na)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>45.8 (Na)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 cm(D) × 5 cm(L)</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>14.7 (Na)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>102.6 (Na) / 18.9 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>154.2 (Na) / 28.7 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>61.9 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>30.6 (Na)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>62.4 (Na) / 11.5 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>79.6 (Na) / 14.6 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 cm(D) × 9 cm(L)</td>
<td>159.4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>74.7 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and 8 µs for the triggered pulse. The event rate was about 1.0 Hz. The data were taken up to 1,000 recoil events per each recoil energy and were taken for 70, 55, 25 hours for each setup.

3. Data Analysis

3.1. Signal from NaI crystal

The high-gain, low-noise set of the PMT and the amplifier can provide the capability of single photoelectron discrimination. To reduce the electrical noise effect and to lower the detection threshold, the analysis code was developed for the clustering, which treats each local peak as a single photoelectron signal\footnote{23}. The total charge was calculated from the sum of the cluster areas within 1.5 µs
considering the decay time of the scintillation light of the crystal. The timing of the signal was determined with the first-coming cluster.

The energy calibration for the electron equivalent energy was done with 59.54 keV gammas from $^{241}$Am source. The linearity of energy scale at low energy region was checked with 3.2 keV X-ray emitted from $^{40}$K decay and hold within 10 %. The photoelectron yield for the small crystal is about 14 p.e.’s per keV.

3.2. PMT noise cut for NaI(Tl) crystal

The trigger condition for the NaI(Tl) crystal was at least one photoelectron in each PMT within 200 ns. At the low energy region, PMT induced noise events were dominantly triggered. To eliminate these noise events, we applied two main noise cut criteria, the charge asymmetry between two PMTs and the signal shape discrimination[11].

The PMT induced noise events typically had a large asymmetry in total charge of each PMT. We defined the asymmetry parameter as followed,

$$\text{Asym} = \frac{Q_{pmt1} - Q_{pmt2}}{Q_{pmt1} + Q_{pmt2}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $Q_{PMT}$ denotes the charge sum in each PMT. Figure 2(a) shows the scatter plot of the measured energy versus the charge asymmetry. Events with the asymmetry between -0.5 and 0.5 were selected as nominal scintillating events.

The latter cut basically identifies the signal shape, based on the fact that noise pulses generally have much shorter decay time than typical scintillation signals. This was originally developed by the DAMA group and they defined ratios of the pulse areas of fast and slow parts[24]. The fractional charges of slow and fast parts are denoted by $X_1$ and $X_2$, respectively and defined as

$$X_1 = \frac{Q_{100\text{to}600\text{ns}}}{Q_{0\text{to}600\text{ns}}}, \quad X_2 = \frac{Q_{0\text{to}50\text{ns}}}{Q_{0\text{to}600\text{ns}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $Q$ is the integrated charge in the time range denoted in the subscript. Figure 2(b) shows the distribution of the difference between $X_1$ and $X_2$ ($X_1 - X_2$). The events of $0 < X_1 - X_2 < 0.9$ were selected[11, 24].
Figure 2: (a) Charge asymmetry distribution. Events with large asymmetry values were considered as noise events. (b) X1-X2 distribution. X1 and X2 are defined in Eq. (7). A positive value indicates high fraction of the slow component, which is for good shaped events. A negative value does high fraction of the fast component, which is typically for noise-like events.

3.3. Identification of nuclear recoil events

Coincidence between the NaI(Tl) crystal detector and one of the neutron detectors was required to identify neutron induced events in the NaI(Tl) crystal. The liquid scintillation detector has good pulse shape discrimination (PSD) capability to distinguish neutron events from gamma background. Because neutron-induced events (proton recoil events inside the detector) in the liquid scintillator have a longer decay time, PSD against a gamma background was performed using the ratio of the charge sum of the tail part (50 ns to 200 ns from the leading edge) to the total charge (over 200 ns). Figure 3 (a) shows the PSD plot for the neutron detector. The blue dashed line is the cut criteria to select neutron induced events.

The time of flight (TOF) of the neutrons scattered off Na or I nuclei from the NaI(Tl) crystal to the neutron detector are constant because neutrons were monoenergetic. For the 2.43 MeV neutrons, the TOFs from the NaI(Tl) detector to one of the neutron detectors are from 20 to 40 ns depending on the neutron detector position. This well-defined TOF allowed the selection of the neutron induced events. Figure 3(b) shows the TOF spectrum for the selected events.
3.4. Trigger efficiency determination

To understand low energy responses of nuclear recoil events, we evaluated the trigger efficiency for low energy region by performing separated experiment. We used a $^{22}$Na radioactive source, which emits positrons that annihilate into two 511 keV gammas. By tagging one of 511 keV gammas, we can obtain the response of NaI(Tl) crystal to 511 keV gamma. Figure 4 shows the schematic view of the trigger efficiency measurement. The NaI(Tl) crystal, $^{22}$Na source, and LaBr$_3$ crystal were installed on the line. The $^{22}$Na source was covered with a 2-mm-thick copper plate to stop the positron emitted from $^{22}$Na decay. The positron annihilates into two 511 keV gammas, and they fly back-to-back. If
NaI(Tl) has a hit by one 511 keV gamma, LaBr\(_3\) can have a hit by the other 511 keV gamma with high probability, or vice versa.

Two independent measurements had been carried out. The first measurement was done with the trigger by LaBr\(_3\) crystal. The second measurement was done with the trigger by NaI(Tl) crystal that is the same trigger condition with the quenching factor measurement except for the neutron tagging. The whole DAQ and analyses were done in the exactly same framework for two measurements. By comparing low energy spectra from two measurements, we can obtain the trigger efficiency of NaI(Tl) crystal. Figure 5(a) shows the pulse height spectrum of the LaBr\(_3\) detector. The events at the 511 keV peak of the LaBr\(_3\) data were selected to minimize the background contribution in the measurements. Figure 5(b) shows the time difference distribution of NaI(Tl) and LaBr\(_3\) for the events at 500 keV peak of the LaBr\(_3\). From the time difference distribution, we confirm that two detectors have hits by back-to-back gammas.

The asymmetry and signal shape discrimination for NaI(Tl) were applied for the event selection. For these selected events, the electron equivalent energy of the NaI(Tl) crystal for both measurements are shown in Figure 6. The black histogram corresponds to the first measurement triggered by LaBr\(_3\), and the red one corresponds to the second measurement triggered by NaI(Tl). The energy spectrum for the first measurement shows the large excess in the first bin (E < 0.5 keV). This shows that PMT noise events in the low energy region less than 0.5 keV still remains after all the event selection criteria applied. The survived PMT noise events should not be correlated with 511 keV gamma signal of LaBr\(_3\), but accidentally included. The random coincidence events of NaI(Tl) crystal with the LaBr\(_3\) were studied with the LaBr\(_3\) events above 600 keV. The energy of NaI(Tl) for those events were mostly below 0.5 keV after all the analysis cuts.

The ratio of the numbers of surviving events of NaI(Tl) in the first and second measurements was considered as the trigger efficiency of NaI(Tl). Figure 7 shows the trigger efficiency. The efficiency above 5 keV was normalized to 1, where the trigger efficiency could be assumed to be 100 %. In this way, the
Figure 5: Event selection for back-to-back 511 keV gamma-induced events. (a) Energy spectrum of LaBr$_3$ detector. Blue-filled area indicates 511 keV peak selected for the analysis. (b) Time difference between the NaI(Tl) and LaBr$_3$.

Geometrical efficiency difference between LaBr$_3$ and NaI(Tl) was canceled out in all energy region. The trigger efficiency above 0.5 keV was fitted with the error function which is drawn in the figure and the reduced chi-square of the fit was about 1.1. The first bin was not included in the fit because the PMT noise events were not completely removed below 0.5 keV. The fit result was used as the trigger efficiency.

3.5. Event selection cut efficiency determination

The cut efficiency of the NaI(Tl) crystal in the low-energy region was measured with the surviving events after the neutron selection at the neutron tagging detector and the requirement of the time coincidence between with neutron tagging detector and NaI(Tl). The ratio of the number of NaI(Tl) events before and after applying the noise cuts was considered as the cut efficiency of NaI(Tl). Figure 8 shows the cut efficiency. It becomes less than 100% below 3 keV and decreases beyond 60 % below 1 keV. The cut efficiency was fitted with the error function, which was shown in the figure. The reduce chi-square of fit was about 1.2.
Figure 6: Energy spectra of NaI(Tl) crystal for coincidence events with 511 keV gammas of LaBr₃. Red histogram is the spectrum of NaI(Tl) triggered measurement, and black one is that of LaBr₃ triggered measurement. The first bin of black histogram has an excess which is due to the PMT induced noise events.

Figure 7: Trigger efficiency for each 0.5 keV energy bin. The efficiency was normalized to 1 for the energy region of 5 to 20 keV. The red curve is the result of fit with error function. The first bin was not included in the fit.
Figure 8: Cut efficiency for event selection evaluated from the ratio of the number of NaI(Tl) events before and after applying the noise cuts, for each 0.5 keV energy bin. The red curve is the result of fit with error function.

4. Results and Conclusion

The quenching factor could be determined from the ratio of the electron equivalent energy to the nuclear recoil energy as followed,

$$QF = \frac{E_{ee}}{E_{nr}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The nuclear recoil energy can be calculated from simple kinematics using the incident neutron energy and the scattering angle. However, considerations of the detector sizes, the energy spread and the profile of the neutron beam are very complicated in the analytic calculation. Monte Carlo simulation using GEANT4 package 4.9.6[26] was performed with realistic geometry, including the PMTs and support systems as well as detectors. The neutron beam profile at the deuteron target was calculated using the kinematics for d(d,n)$^3$He reaction and the deuteron beam profile provided by the provider of DD-109 neutron generator (Adelphi). The nuclear recoil energy was determined from the deposited energy spectrum of Na or I recoil inside the NaI(Tl) detector in the simulation. The energy spectrum was fitted with Poisson distribution and the mean value of
Poisson distribution was used for the nuclear recoil energy of each scattering angle setup.

The electron equivalent energy spectra of the nuclear recoil events were obtained after applying PMT noise cut and the trigger efficiency as well as the cut efficiency were corrected. Figure 9 shows the electron equivalent energy spectra for 12 neutron scattering angles before and after efficiency correction. The error bars in the red points are the quadratic sum of the statistical fluctuation of the measurement and the uncertainty of efficiency corrections. The mean electron equivalent energy for each spectrum in Figure 9 was determined by chi-square fit with Poisson distribution. The fit range was limited to the energy region above 0.5 keV, because the trigger efficiency for low energy region less than 0.5 keV was determined by the extrapolation from the higher energy region.

The quenching factors for Na and I were evaluated for 13 points (9 for Na and 4 for I). Three points (1 for Na and 2 for I) were not evaluated because the mean of electron equivalent energy was below 0.5 keV. The QFs for Na are from 10 % to 23 % for 9 - 150 keV recoil energies. The 9 keV recoil energy corresponds to an electron equivalent energy of about 1 keV, which is the expected threshold for the COSINE experiment. Those for I are from 4 % to 6 % for 19 - 75 keV recoil energies. The QFs for Na and I evaluated in this study are summarized in Table 2.

The present measurements were compared with the previous ones in Figure 10. The filled circles (Na) and squares (I) correspond to the present measurements reported here. For the quenching factors for Na, the present measurements are consistent with the recent measurements by Collar (red triangles) [21] and Xu et al. (blue boxes) [22], but smaller than the others. For I, the newly measured values are consistent with Collar’s results, but much precise.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclei</th>
<th>Scattering angle (degree)</th>
<th>Observed energy (keV)</th>
<th>Recoil energy (keV)</th>
<th>Quenching factor (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.07</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.04</td>
<td>14.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>3.20 ± 0.05</td>
<td>22.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.07</td>
<td>30.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>7.97 ± 0.09</td>
<td>46.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>62.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>78.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>21.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>102.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>22.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>34.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>151.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>22.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.06</td>
<td>18.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.04</td>
<td>28.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>3.47 ± 0.10</td>
<td>62.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>159.4</td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.10</td>
<td>74.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Summary of quenching factors


