arXiv:1809.10379v1 [hep-th] 27 Sep 2018

The interaction of glueball and heavy-light flavoured meson in holographic QCD

[1]Si-wen Li[†]

[†]*Department of Physics,*
Dalian Maritime University,
Dalian 116026, China

Abstract

We construct the D4/D8 brane configuration in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model by introducing a pair of heavy flavour brane with a heavy-light open string. The multiplets created by the heavy-light string acquire mass due to the finite separation of the heavy and light flavour branes thus they could be identified as the heavy-light meson fields in this model. On the other hand the glueball field is identified as the gravitational fluctuations carried by the close string in the bulk, so this model is able to describe the interaction of glueball and heavy-light meson through the open-close string interaction in gauge-gravity duality. We explicitly derive the effective action for the various glueballs and heavy-light mesons then numerically evaluate the associated coupling constants. Afterwards the decay widths of various glueballs to the lowest heavy-light meson, which is identified as D^0 meson, are calculated by using our effective action. This work extends the previous investigations of glueball in holographic QCD and it is also a further prediction of glueball-meson interaction.

[1]Email: siwenli@dlmu.edu.cn

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 The dynamics of glueball **4**
2.1 The geometry in supergravity 4
2.2 Gravitational fluctuations as the glueball field in holography 6

3 The flavours and mesons **8**
3.1 The light flavour and meson 8
3.2 The heavy flavour and heavy-light flavoured meson 11

4 The interactions of glueball and heavy-light flavoured mesons **15**
4.1 Involving the exotic scalar glueball 16
4.2 Involving the dilatonic scalar glueball 20
4.3 Involving the tensor glueball 20

5 Interaction of glueball and scalar D^0 meson in holography **21**
5.1 The mode eigenfunctions and the choice of parameters 21
5.2 Glueball decay to the lowest scalar $D^0\bar{D}^0$ meson 23

6 Summary and discussion **25**

1 Introduction

In nuclear physics, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) as the underlying theory of the strong interaction allows to from bound states of pure gauge bosons due to its nonabelian nature. These bound states are named as "glueball"s [1, 2, 3] which are usually denoted by a range of quantum numbers J^{PC} where J, P, C represents total spin, parity and charge conjugation respectively. For instance, the lowest glueball takes the quantum numbers of the vacuum as $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. In Yang-Mills theory, glueball is believed as the only possible composite particle states and its spectrum has been detailedly studied by using lattice method [4, 5, 6]. While the evident existence of the glueball is expected, their experimental identification in the hadron spectra is challenging. This difficulty is mostly due to the mixing of glueball with $q\bar{q}$ (quark and anti-quark) states which have the same quantum numbers of the glueball in the presence of quarks. Nonetheless the mass of the lightest scalar glueball is predicted to be around 1600-1800MeV by the simulations of lattice QCD [4, 7]. However the coupling and decay products/widths of glueball have not been explicitly provided by the current status of lattice QCD since lattice theory including dynamical quarks expressed with real-time quantities would become extremely complexed.

Additional to the lattice gauge theory, an alternatively different approach to investigate strongly coupled quantum field theory has been proposed in [8, 9] which is the famous anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence, or more generally, the gauge-gravity (string) duality. In the AdS/CFT correspondence, the correlation functions of gauge invariant operators with the limitation of large N_c (colour number) and large 't Hooft coupling λ are mapped to the perturbations of the geometrical backgrounds in classical supergravity. So the correlation functions of strongly coupled quantum field theory could be calculated by the weakly coupled gravity theory in which the perturbation method is valid [10]. Significantly, a top-down construction for QCD based on N_c D4-branes compactified on a circle in type-IIA string theory was proposed by Witten in 1998 [11] in which both supersymmetry and conformal symmetry are broken below a Kaluza-Klein mass scale M_{KK}, so that the dual field theory is four-dimensional pure Yang-Mills theory in the large-N_c limit. By embedding N_f pairs of coincident D8- and anti D8-branes (D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane) as flavours into this D4-brane configuration, quarks in the fundamental representation of flavour and colour have been introduced into this model by Sakai and Sugimoto in 2004 [12, 13]. Hence this model, named as the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model, becomes remarkably successful since it almost contains all necessary ingredients of QCD and it is able to reproduce various fundamental features of low-energy nuclear physics with few parameters [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21].

Particularly the glueball field in this model is identified as the gravitational perturbations in the bulk supergravity while meson and baryon states are created by the open strings on the flavoured D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane and "baryonic D4-branes"[2]. So this model naturally includes the interaction of glueball and hadron which is nothing but the open-close string interaction in the viewpoint of string theory. Specifically the mass spectrum of glueball could be obtained by evaluating the eigen equation of the bulk graviton and the glueball-hadron interaction would arise in the Dirac-Born-Infield (DBI) action of the D-brane once the gravitational perturbation is involved [23, 24, 25, 26, 27].

In this paper, we will focus on the glueball-meson interaction by including the heavy flavour in order to extend the investigation in [25, 26] with this model. Since the fundamental quarks in this model are created by the open string with one end attached to the D4-brane and the other end to the D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane which has zero length, it implies the fundamental quarks are massless. Thus the meson states consisted of these massless quarks are usually identified as the light flavour mesons, such as π, ρ meson. To involve the heavy flavour, we are going to employ the mechanism proposed in [28, 29], that is to introduce another pair of flavoured D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane (as heavy flavour branes) separated from the other coincident D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane (as light flavour branes) with a open string (as heavy-light string) stretched between them as illustrated in Figure 2. Accordingly the multiplets created by the heavy-light string acquire mass due to the finite

[2]We use "baryonic D4-brane" to refer to the D4-branes wrapped on S^4 which create the baryon states in this model. The details could be reviewed in [20, 22]

separation of the heavy and light flavour branes. So the model is able to include the heavy-light flavoured mesons with massive quarks in this approach and the mechanism is recognized as the "Higgs mechanism" in string theory [30, 31]. Then the action for the interaction of glueball and heavy-light flavoured mesons could be derived by combining the gravitational perturbations in the bulk with the heavy-light meson fields on the flavour branes. We finally evaluate the decay rates of glueball into two lowest scalar heavy-light mesons (i.e. the D^0 meson) by using our effective action and compare our results with the previous works in [25, 26].

The organization of this manuscript is as follows. In section 2, we review the relation of 11d M-theory and type IIA string theory by their corresponding supergravity. We also give the dynamics of the glueball from these supergravity systems in holography. In section 3, we briefly review the setup of the flavours in the WSS model and discuss how to involve the heavy flavour and heavy-light mesons. In section 4, we detailedly derive the effective action for the interaction of various glueball and heavy-light meson in holography. In section 5, we identify the lowest heavy-light meson in the model as the lowest D-meson through some experimental data and we use our effective action to compute the decay width of the glueball into scalar D^0 meson. The final section is our conclusion and summary about this work. At the end of this manuscript, we collect some essential discussion about the supergravity, the dynamics of D-brane, the supersymmetry and the glueball-light meson interaction in Appendix A, B, C respectively which are also useful to this work.

2 The dynamics of glueball

2.1 The geometry in supergravity

The WSS model is based on the $\mathrm{AdS}_7/\mathrm{CFT}_6$ correspondence obtained by coincident N_c M5-branes in 11-dimensional (11d) M-theory and the dual field theory on the M5-brane is a 6-dimensional $(0,2)$ superconformal field theory. The effective supergravity description provides a 11d geometrical near-horizon solution which takes the topology of $AdS_7 \times S^4$. Let us denote the extended directions of the M5-branes by $\left\{X^\mu, X^4, X^{11}\right\}$ with indices $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, so the 11d non-extremal black brane solution is given as [30][3],

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{(11\mathrm{d-black})} =& \frac{r^2}{L^2}\left[f(r)\left(dX^0\right)^2 + \delta_{ij}dX^i dX^j + \left(dX^4\right)^2 + \left(dX^{11}\right)^2\right] + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + \frac{L^2}{4}d\Omega_4^2, \\ f(r) =& 1 - \frac{r_{KK}^6}{r^6}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where Ω_4, L denotes the volume element on S^4 and the curvature radius of the AdS_7 respectively. The indices i, j run from 1 to 3 and r represents the radius coordinate of the transverse directions.

[3]The equations of motion for the bulk fields can also been found in the Appendix A.

Note that this background also contains a non-vanished Romand-Romand strength F_4 with N_c units of flux on S^4. As the 11d M-theory compactified on a cycle is equivalent to 10d type-IIA string theory, one can obtain non-conformal D4-branes by the dimensional reduction which preserves circle with

$$X^{11} \sim X^{11} + 2\pi R_{11},\ R_{11} = g_s l_s,\ l_s^2 = \alpha'. \tag{2.2}$$

Afterwards, the dual field theory becomes a 5d super Yang-Mills theory on the D4-branes.

In order to obtain a pure Yang-Mills or QCD-like theory, a further compactification with a double Wick-rotation was proposed by Witten based on the above holographic duality. Accordingly the metric (2.1) with the double Wick-rotation $X^0 \to -iX^4, X^4 \to -iX^0$ corresponds to a bubble configuration of D4-brane which is given as [11, 12],

$$ds^2_{(11\text{d}-\text{bubble})} = \frac{r^2}{L^2}\left[\eta_{\mu\nu}dX^\mu dX^\nu + f(r)\left(dX^4\right)^2 + \left(dX^{11}\right)^2\right] + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + \frac{L^2}{4}d\Omega_4^2, \tag{2.3}$$

so that the dual field theory exhibits confinements in this geometry. The supersymmetry of the D4-branes is broken down by compactifying X^4 on another circle, hence the fermionic gluinos become massive at tree level by imposing the antiperiodic boundary conditions and the adjoint scalars also acquire masses through its loop corrections. Therefore the gauge bosons are the only degrees of freedom in the limit of large Kaluza-Klein (KK) mass scale. Employing the relations of the dimensional reduction, the 10d metric could be written as [25, 26, 32],

$$ds^2_{(11\text{d})} = e^{-2\Phi/3}ds^2_{(10\text{d})} + e^{4\Phi/3}\left(dX^{11} + A_M dX^M\right)^2, \tag{2.4}$$

where $M = 0, 1...9$ and $\Phi = \frac{3}{2}\ln\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)$ is the 10d dilaton field. Note that according to the above discussion we have $A_m = 0$ and a periodic condition for X^4,

$$X^4 \sim X^4 + 2\pi\delta X^4,\ \delta X^4 = \frac{1}{M_{KK}} = \frac{L^2}{3r_{KK}}. \tag{2.5}$$

The relation of r_{KK} and M_{KK} can be determined by eliminating the conical singularity at $r = r_{KK}$. Using alternative radial coordinates $U \in [U_{KK}, +\infty)$ and $Z \in [-\infty, +\infty)$ defined as

$$L = 2R,\ U = \frac{r^2}{2L},\ K(Z) = 1 + Z^2 = \frac{r^6}{r_{KK}^6} = \frac{U^3}{U_{KK^3}}, \tag{2.6}$$

the 10d metric in (2.4) can be explicitly written as,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{(10\text{d})} =& \left(\frac{U}{R}\right)^{3/2}\left[\eta_{\mu\nu}dX^\mu dX^\nu + f(U)\left(dX^4\right)^2\right] + \left(\frac{R}{U}\right)^{3/2}\left[\frac{dU^2}{f(U)} + U^2 d\Omega_4^2\right], \\ f(U) =& 1 - \frac{U_{KK}^3}{U^3},\ e^\Phi = \left(\frac{U}{R}\right)^{3/4},\ F_4 = dC_3 = \frac{2\pi N_c}{V_4}\epsilon_4, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where ϵ_4 denotes a unit volume element on S^4 and F_4 is the field strength of the Romand-Romand form C_3. Note that the metric in (2.7) corresponds to D4 bubble configuration which is obtained by a double Wick-rotation from the black brane solution. And the 11th direction X^{11} becomes vanished in the large N_c limit. In terms of QCD variables we additionally have the following relations,

$$\lambda = g_{\rm YM}^2 N_c,\ g_{\rm YM}^2 = 2\pi g_s l_s M_{KK},\ R^3 = \pi g_s N_c l_s^3, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\lambda, g_{\rm YM}, g_s, l_s$ respectively represents the 't Hooft coupling constant, Yang-Mills coupling constant, string coupling constant and the length of string.

2.2 Gravitational fluctuations as the glueball field in holography

Since glueball is the gauge invariant composite state in the Yang-Mills theory, they holographically corresponds to the gravitational perturbations of the near-horizon geometry in the supergravity description [23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. Such metric fluctuations are sourced by the operators in the dual field theory i.e. the 5d compactified Yang-Mills theory. Hence let us introduce the gravitational perturbations to the background (2.3) by rewriting the 11d metric as $G_{MN} \to G^{(0)}_{MN} + \delta G_{MN}$ where $G^{(0)}_{MN}$ refers to the metric in (2.3). And it would be convenient to integrate over the S^4 in the 11d supergravity action since S^4 is not very necessary in the following discussion. So we can obtain the kinetic action for glueball by imposing the metric (2.3) into the 11d supergravity action and it leads to,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm 11D} =& \frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^4 \Omega_4 \int d^7x \sqrt{-\det G}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\rm 11D} + \frac{30}{L^2}\right) \\ =& \frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^4 \Omega_4 \mathcal{C}_{E,D,T} S_G, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where S_G denotes the kinetic term of the glueball and $\mathcal{C}_{E,D,T}$ is a constant determined by the various gravitational fluctuations. Note that the pre-factor in the above action has to be normalized as $\frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^4 \Omega_4 \mathcal{C}_{E,D,T} = 1$ in which $\mathcal{C}_{E,D,T}$ is completely fixed. We will use this action to discuss the dynamics of the glueball with various gravitational perturbations in the the rest of the manuscript.

A. The exotic scalar glueball

The lowest exotic scalar glueball has quantum number $J^{CP} = 0^{++}$ which corresponds to the exotic polarizations of the bulk graviton. The 11d components of δG_{MN} are given as [26],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{44} &= -\frac{r^2}{L^2} f(r) H_E(r) G_E(x), \\
\delta G_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{r^2}{L^2} H_E(r) \left[\frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3r_{KK}^6}{5r^6 - 2r_{KK}^6}\right)\frac{\partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{M_E^2}\right] G_E(x), \\
\delta G_{11,11} &= \frac{r^2}{4L^2} H_E(r) G_E(x), \\
\delta G_{rr} &= -\frac{L^2}{r^2}\frac{1}{f(r)}\frac{3r_{KK}^6}{5r^6 - 2r_{KK}^6} H_E(r) G_E(x), \\
\delta G_{r\mu} &= \frac{90 r^7 r_{KK}^6}{M_E^2 L^2 \left(5r^6 - 2r_{KK}^6\right)^2} H_E(r) \partial_\mu G_E(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}
$$

where the eigenvalue equation for function $H_E(r)$ is,

$$
\frac{1}{r^3}\frac{d}{dr}\left[r\left(r^6 - r_{KK}^6\right)\frac{d}{dr}H_E(r)\right] + \left[\frac{432 r^2 r_{KK}^{12}}{\left(5r^6 - 2r_{KK}^6\right)^2} + L^4 M_E^2\right] H_E(r) = 0. \tag{2.11}
$$

Note that the above components in (2.10) involve asymptotics in the bulk as $\delta G_{44} = -4\delta G_{11} = -4\delta G_{22} = -4\delta G_{33} = -4\delta G_{11,11}$ for $r \to \infty$. Imposing the metric (2.3) with fluctuations (2.10) and the eigenvalue equation for function $H_E(r)$ into action (2.9), we obtain the kinetic term of the exotic scalar glueball which is,

$$
S_{G_E(x)} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x \left[(\partial_\mu G_E)^2 + M_E^2 G_E^2\right], \tag{2.12}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{C}_E = \int_{r_{KK}}^{\infty} dr \frac{r^3}{L^3}\frac{5}{8} H_E^2(r). \tag{2.13}
$$

B. The dilatonic and tensor glueball

The scalar glueball of 0^{++} mode corresponds to the fluctuations of the metric as [26],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{11,11} &= -3\frac{r^2}{L^2} H_D(r) G_D(x), \\
\delta G_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{r^2}{L^2} H_D(r) \left[\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_D^2}\right] G_D(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}
$$

We refer to the upon mode as "dilatonic" since $\delta G_{11,11}$ reduces to the 10d dilaton.

The tensor glueball with $J^{CP} = 2^{++}$ corresponds to the metric fluctuations which includes a transverse traceless polarization. A choice of the non-vanishing components of the graviton polarizations could be,

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{r^2}{L^2} H_T(r)\, T_{\mu\nu}(x)\,, \tag{2.15}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} G_T(x)$. $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$ is a constant symmetric tensor normalized as $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu} = 1$ and satisfies the traceless condition $\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The eigenvalue equation for functions $H_{D,T}(r)$ are given as,

$$\frac{1}{r^3}\frac{d}{dr}\left[r\left(r^6 - r_{KK}^6\right)\frac{d}{dr}H_{D,T}(r)\right] + L^4 M_{D,T}^2 H_{D,T}(r) = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Plugging metric (2.3) with fluctuations (2.14) and (2.15) into action (2.9) respectively leads to the dynamics of the dilatonic scalar and tensor glueball,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{G_D(x)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x \left[(\partial_\mu G_D)^2 + M_D^2 G_D^2\right], \\ S_{T(x)} &= -\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x \left[T_{\mu\nu}\left(\partial^2 - M_T^2\right)T^{\mu\nu}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where the corresponding normalization constant is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_D &= 6\int_{r_{KK}}^{\infty} dr \frac{r^3}{L^3} H_D^2(r)\,, \\ \mathcal{C}_T &= 2\int_{r_{KK}}^{\infty} dr \frac{r^3}{L^3} H_T^2(r)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

3 The flavours and mesons

3.1 The light flavour and meson

There are also flavours in this model which are introduced by embedding a stack of N_f probe D8- and anti-D8-branes (D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane) at the antipodal position of the background geometry. The configurations of the various branes are illustrated in Table 1.

	0	1	2	3	(4)	5(U)	6	7	8	9
N_c D4	-	-	-	-	-					
N_f D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

Table 1: The D-brane configurations: "-" denotes the world volume directions of the D-branes.

The N_f flavoured fermions arise from the lowest modes of the $4-8$ strings[4] and $4-\bar{8}$ strings which belong to the fundamental representation of the colour group $U(N_c)$ and flavour group

[4]We use "$p-q$ string" and "$p-\bar{q}$ string" to denote the open string with one end attached to the Dp-brane and the other end to the Dq-brane and $\overline{\text{D}q}$-brane respectively. For example, "$4-\bar{8}$ string" refers to the open string with one end attached to the D4-brane and the other end to the $\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane.

$U(N_f)$. In order to define the chirality of these fundamental fermions, a natural choice is to perform the GSO (Gliozzi-Scherk-Olive) projection [12, 33]. Since the GSO projection for $4-8$ strings is opposite to the projection chosen for the $4-\bar{8}$ strings, these fundamental fermions could be interpreted as quarks with opposite chiralities in QCD. And the gauge symmetry introduced by D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes can be therefore denoted as $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$, namely the chiral symmetry. Note that the $4-8/\bar{8}$ strings has zero length in this D4/D8 configuration, the fundamental fermions are therefore massless which correspond accordingly to the light flavoured quarks in QCD. In this sense it motivates us to name these flavour branes as "light flavour branes". As shown in Table 1, the quarks and anti-quarks live on the separate position of X^4. However the global chiral symmetry $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$ is broken spontaneously since the geometry of the D4 bubble configuration forces the D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane to be connected at the bottom of the bulk as illustrated in Figure1.

Thus the induced metric on the flavour branes could be straightforward obtained by putting D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes onshell i.e. imposing the condition $\frac{dx^4}{dU}=0$, then we have,

$$ds^2_{\text{D8}} = g_{MN}dX^M dX^N = \left(\frac{U}{R}\right)^{3/2}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + \left(\frac{R}{U}\right)^{3/2}\left[\frac{dU^2}{f(U)} + U^2 d\Omega_4^2\right], \tag{3.1}$$

where the indices M, N run over the flavour brane. So the action of the joined light flavour branes describes the dynamics of $q\bar{q}$ mesons, which contains the light quarks only, through the flavoured gauge fields on the worldvolume of the D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes. The explicit form of the action is,

$$S_{\text{D8}} = S_{\text{DBI}} + S_{\text{CS}}, \tag{3.2}$$

where[5]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{DBI}} =& -T_8 \text{Tr}\int_{\text{D8}/\overline{\text{D8}}} d^9x e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-\det\left(g+2\pi\alpha' F\right)} \\ =& -T_8 \text{Tr}\int_{\text{D8}/\overline{\text{D8}}} d^9x e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-g}\left[1+\frac{1}{4}\left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^2 g^{MN}g^{KL}F_{MK}F_{NL}+\mathcal{O}\left(F^4\right)\right], \\ S_{\text{CS}} =& \mu_8 \int_{\text{D8}/\overline{\text{D8}}} C_3 \wedge \left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^3 \text{Tr}\left(F\wedge F\wedge F\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here g and Φ is the induced metric and dilaton given in (3.1) (2.7) respectively. F refers to the field strength of the flavoured gauge field A_M on the D8-branes which is defined as $F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M + [A_M, A_N]$[6]. Note that in this model the non-zero components of the gauge field A_M are only $\{A_\mu, A_Z\}$ which are functions dependent on the coordinates $\{x^\mu, Z\}$.

[5]For N_f D8-branes, all the actions in (3.3) should include a pre-factor N_f. Nonetheless we do not pick it up because a pre-factor does not affect any results.

[6]In this notation, the gauge field A_M is anti-Hermitian which means $A_M^\dagger = -A_M$ and $F_{MN}^\dagger = -F_{MN}$.




Figure 1: The D-brane configuration in the $X^4 - U$ plane where X^4 is compactified on S^1. The bubble background (cigar) is produced by N_c D4-branes . The N_f light flavour D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes (L) living at antipodal position of the cigar are represented by the blue line. The D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes are connected to each other at the bottom of the bulk ($U = U_{KK}$).

Since the flavour branes are probes, we could obtain the following 5d quadratic action after imposing the solution (3.1) (2.7) to (3.3) and integrating over S^4 which is,

$$S_{\rm DBI}^{\rm YM}=-\kappa{\rm Tr}\int d^4x\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dZ\left[\frac{1}{2}K\left(Z\right)^{-1/3}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}+K\left(Z\right)M_{KK}^2\eta^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu Z}F_{\nu Z}\right], \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\kappa=\frac{1}{3}\left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^2T_8g_s^{-1}\omega_4R^{9/2}U_{KK}^{1/2}=\frac{\lambda N_c}{216\pi^3},\ \omega_4=\frac{8\pi^2}{3},\ M_{KK}^2=\frac{9U_{KK}}{4R^3}. \quad (3.5)$$

The light flavour meson fields are identified as the expansion modes of A_M by a complete sets $\{\psi_n\}$ in the model. Explicitly A_M is assumed to be expanded as [7],

$$A_\mu=\sum_{n=1}B_\mu^{(n)}\left(x\right)\psi_n\left(Z\right),\ A_Z=\varphi^{(0)}\left(x\right)\psi_0'\left(Z\right)+\sum_{n=1}m_n^{-1}\varphi^{(n)}\left(x\right)\psi_n'\left(Z\right). \quad (3.6)$$

so the lowest mode of A_μ and A_Z could be interpreted as the lightest vector ρ meson and scalar π meson. Therefore if we identify $B_\mu^{(1)}\left(x\right)=\rho_\mu\left(x\right),\varphi^{(0)}\left(x\right)=U_{KK}\pi\left(x\right)$ with the normalization,

$$2\kappa\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dZK\left(Z\right)^{-1/3}\left[\psi_1\left(Z\right)\right]^2=1,\ \ 2\kappa\left(U_{KK}M_{KK}\right)^2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dZK\left(Z\right)\left[\psi_0'\left(Z\right)\right]^2=1, \quad (3.7)$$

the effective 4d action of π and ρ meson denoted by $S_{\pi-\rho}^{\rm eff}$ can be obtained from (3.4) whose form is[8],

$$S_{\pi-\rho}^{\rm eff}=-{\rm Tr}\int d^4x\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\pi\right)^2+\frac{1}{4}\partial_{[\mu}\rho_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\rho^{\nu]}+\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1M_{KK}^2\rho_\mu^2+...\left({\rm interactions}\right)\right]. \quad (3.8)$$

The Chern-Simons (CS) term in (3.3) corresponds to the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) term in chiral QCD. However we will not discuss its explicit form in this manuscript because it is independent on the metric thus does not include the interaction of meson and glueball.

3.2 The heavy flavour and heavy-light flavoured meson

The heavy flavour could be introduced into this model by considering an extra pair of flavoured D8/$\overline{\rm D8}$-brane which is separated from the other N_f (light-flavoured) D8/$\overline{\rm D8}$-branes with an open string (the heavy-light string) stretched between them [28, 29, 34, 35] as illustrated in Figure 2. According to string theory, the heavy-light (HL) string stretched between the separated branes

[7] In (3.4) there is a residual gauge symmetry in the action. So it would be convenient to choose a gauge which keeps A_Z nonzero for our purposes. The frequently chosen gauge which keeps $A_Z=0$ leads to a different parametrization of the Goldstone bosons in this model but physically equivalent situation.

[8] The dynamics of $\varphi^{(1)}$ is absorbed by the ρ meson through a gauge transformation $B_\mu^{(1)}\to B_\mu^{(1)}+m_n^{-1}\partial_\mu\varphi^{(1)}$.

creates additional multiplets which could be approximated near the worldvolume of the light flavour branes by local vector fields. Due to the finite separation of the heavy and light flavour branes, the HL-string has a non-zero length so that those multiplets acquire mass through the non-zero vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the HL-string. Hence the multiplets could be interpreted as the heavy-flavoured mesons with massive quarks. We note that this mechanism to acquire the massive fields is exactly the "Higgs mechanism" in string theory [30].

In order to include HL-multiple states in the actions (3.3), we need to replace the gauge fields on the light flavour branes by its matrix-valued formula according to string theory,

$$A_M \to \mathbf{A}_M = \begin{pmatrix} A_M & \Phi_M \\ -\Phi_M^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.9}$$

In our setup $\mathbf{A}_M$ is $(N_f+1)\times(N_f+1)$ matrix-valued 1-form while A_M is $N_f \times N_f$ valued 1-form. And Φ_M is $N_f \times 1$ valued vector. Thus the field strength of $\mathbf{A}_M$ becomes a matrix-valued 2-form as,

$$F_{MN} \to \mathbf{F}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{MN} - \Phi_{[M}\Phi_{N]}^\dagger & \partial_{[M}\Phi_{N]} + A_{[M}\Phi_{N]} \\ -\partial_{[M}\Phi_{N]}^\dagger - \Phi_{[M}^\dagger A_{N]} & -\Phi_{[M}^\dagger \Phi_{N]} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.10}$$

And we have assumed that the non-zero components of Φ_M are $\{\Phi_\mu, \Phi_Z\}$ which depends only on $\{x^\mu, Z\}$ in order to be compatible with A_M. Plugging (3.9) (3.10) into the quadratic action (3.4), we obtain the action as,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm DBI}^{\rm YM} =& -\frac{1}{4}\left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^2 T_8 {\rm Tr}\int_{\rm D8/\overline{D8}} d^9x e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}g^{KL}\mathbf{F}_{MK}\mathbf{F}_{NL} \qquad (3.11)\\ =& -\kappa{\rm Tr}\int d^4x\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dZ\left[\frac{1}{2}K\left(Z\right)^{-1/3}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}_{\rho\sigma} + K\left(Z\right)M_{KK}^2\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}_{\mu Z}\mathbf{F}_{\nu Z}\right]. \\ =& -\kappa{\rm Tr}\int d^4x\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dZ\Big\{\frac{1}{2}K\left(Z\right)^{-1/3}\left[\left(F_{\mu\nu}-a_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(F^{\mu\nu}-a^{\mu\nu}\right) - 2f_{\mu\nu}^\dagger f^{\mu\nu} + b_{\mu\nu}b^{\mu\nu}\right] \\ &+ K\left(Z\right)M_{KK}^2\eta^{\mu\nu}\left[\left(F_{\mu Z}-a_{\mu Z}\right)\left(F_{\nu Z}-a_{\nu Z}\right) - 2f_{\mu Z}^\dagger f_{\nu Z} + b_{\mu Z}b_{\nu Z}\right]\Big\}, \qquad (3.12)\end{aligned}$$

where "Tr" refers to the "flavour trace" and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{MN}^\dagger &= -\partial_{[M}\Phi_{N]}^\dagger - \Phi_{[M}^\dagger A_{N]}, \quad b_{MN} = \Phi_{[M}^\dagger\Phi_{N]}, \\ f_{MN} &= \partial_{[M}\Phi_{N]} + A_{[M}\Phi_{N]}, \quad a_{MN} = \Phi_{[M}\Phi_{N]}^\dagger. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Note that the DBI action has to involve the transverse modes of the brane since the HL-string with finite separation provides a non-zero VEV in our setup. For the reader's convenience, we have summarized the essential derivations to obtain the quadratic action in (3.3) from the




Figure 2: The D-brane configuration involving the heavy flavour in the $X^4 - U$ plane. Additional to the light flavour branes, a pair of heavy flavour D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-brane (H) (denoted by the red line) is introduced in to the D4/D8 configuration which is separated from the light flavour branes. The heavy flavour D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes are connected to each other at $U = U_H > U_{KK}$ and the massive multiplets are produced by the heavy-light string (HL-string denoted by the green line) stretched between the light and heavy flavour branes which is denoted by the green line in this figure.

complete DBI action with non-Abelian excitation in the Appendix B. Then let us denote the only one transverse "coordinate" as Ψ for D8-branes and its T-dualitized action is given as,

$$S_{\Psi} = -\tilde{T}_8 \mathrm{Tr} \int_{\mathrm{D8}/\overline{\mathrm{D8}}} d^9 x e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} D_M \Psi D^M \Psi + \frac{1}{4} [\Psi, \Psi]^2 \right\}, \tag{3.14}$$

with $D_M \Psi = \partial_M \Psi + [\mathbf{A}_M, \Psi]$ and $\tilde{T}_8 = (2\pi\alpha')^2 T_8$. By the extrema of the potential contribution or $[\Psi, [\Psi, \Psi]] = 0$, we can define the moduli solution of Ψ for N_f light branes separated from one heavy brane with a finite VEV v as [30, 31],

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{v}{N_f} \mathbf{1}_{N_f} & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.15}$$

By using the solution (3.15), the action (3.14) could be rewritten as,

$$S_{\Psi} = -\tilde{T}_8 v^2 \frac{(N_f + 1)^2}{N_f^2} \mathrm{Tr} \int d^4 x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dZ e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det g} g^{MN} \Phi_M^{\dagger} \Phi_N, \tag{3.16}$$

We note here the total action of the light flavour branes involving the HL fields is collected as,

$$S_{\mathrm{D8}} = S_{\mathrm{DBI}}^{\mathrm{YM}} + S_{\Psi} + S_{\mathrm{CS}}, \tag{3.17}$$

where S_{CS} takes the same formula as (3.3) but replacing $F \to \mathbf{F}$.

Along the discussion in the sector of light flavour , we further assume that the HL-multiplet Φ_M could be expanded as[9],

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mu} &= \sum_m Q_{\mu,m}(x) \phi_m(Z), \quad \Phi_Z = U_{KK} \sum_m Q_m(x) \tilde{\phi}_m(Z), \\ \Phi_{\mu}^{\dagger} &= \sum_m Q_{\mu,m}^{\dagger}(x) \phi_m(Z), \quad \Phi_Z^{\dagger} = U_{KK} \sum_m Q_m^{\dagger}(x) \tilde{\phi}_m(Z), \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

with the normalization conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 4\kappa \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dZ K(Z)^{-1/3} \phi_m(Z) \phi_n(Z) &= \delta_{mn}, \\ 4\kappa M_{KK}^2 U_{KK}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dZ K(Z) \tilde{\phi}_m(Z) \tilde{\phi}_n(Z) &= \delta_{mn}, \\ 4\kappa \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dZ K(Z) \phi_m'(Z) \phi_n'(Z) &= \Lambda_n \delta_{mn}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

then we can obtain the kinetic term of the massive HL-fields from (3.12) (3.16) which is,

[9]We assume that Φ_Z is expanded with a pre-factor U_{KK} so that the base functions $\tilde{\phi}_m(Z)$'s are all dimensionless.

$$S_{\rm HL} = -\,{\rm Tr}\int d^4x\sum_n\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu Q_n^\dagger\partial^\mu Q_n+\frac{1}{2}M_S^2Q_n^\dagger Q_n\right.$$
$$\left.+\,\frac{1}{4}\partial_{[\mu}Q^\dagger_{\nu],n}\partial^{[\mu}Q^{\nu]}_{,n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Lambda_n M_{KK}^2+M_V^2\right)Q^\dagger_{\mu,n}Q^{\mu}_{\ ,n}\right], \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$M_V^2 = v_V^2\kappa\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dZK\left(Z\right)^{1/6}\phi_m\left(Z\right)\phi_n\left(Z\right),\ v_V^2=\frac{4}{27}\frac{\left(1+N_f\right)^2}{N_f^2}v^2M_{KK}^3R^3$$
$$M_S^2 = v_S^2\kappa\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dZK\left(Z\right)^{3/2}\tilde{\phi}_m\left(Z\right)\tilde{\phi}_n\left(Z\right),\ v_S^2=\frac{64}{2187}\frac{\left(1+N_f\right)^2}{N_f^2}v^2M_{KK}^9R^9. \tag{3.21}$$

Note that M_V, M_S relate to the mass term of the heavy-light meson fields while M_V, M_S might be theoretically divergent since they are defined by an integral (3.21). To figure out this issue, we could choose the physical mass as the value of M_V, M_S which implies that M_V, M_S have to be renormalized by the holographic counterterms on the D-brane. The holographic counterterms in this model have been discussed in, such as [17, 21][10], so briefly speaking, as an effective theory of meson, we may keep the finite part in the integral (3.21) as the physical mass if M_V, M_S are divergent.

4 The interactions of glueball and heavy-light flavoured mesons

Since the gravitational perturbation signals the glueball states in holography, let us include the gravitational fluctuations in this section in order to obtain the effective Lagrangian of glueball and mesons. The glueball field could be involved by replacing the metric by $G \to G + \delta G$ as we discussed in Section 1, the interaction of glueball and various mesons will therefore arise once we add the gravitational fluctuations to the terms depended on the metric in the action. Accordingly it only concerns the Yang-Mills action (3.10) and the mass term (3.16) since the Chern-Simons action in (3.3) is independent on the metric. Thus let us first rewrite the Yang-Mills action (3.10) as,

$$S_{\rm DBI}^{\rm YM}\equiv\sum_{i=1}^{3}S_i\equiv S_{\rm L}+S_{\rm HL}+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(S_i^{HL-L}+S_i^{L-G}+S_i^{HL-G}+S_i^{HL-L-G}\right), \tag{4.1}$$

where $S_{\rm L}, S_{\rm HL}$ refers to the kinetic terms of light and HL-mesons given respectively in (3.8) (3.20) and

[10] A more general discussion about the holographic renormalization on probe D-brane can be reviewed in [36, 37].

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}\left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^2 T_8\omega_4 \mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x dZ e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-g_{(5)}}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}\Big[\left(F_{\mu\nu}-a_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(F_{\rho\sigma}-a_{\rho\sigma}\right)-2f^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}f_{\rho\sigma} \\
&\quad + b_{\mu\nu}b_{\rho\sigma}\Big], \\
S_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^2 T_8\omega_4 \mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x dZ e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-g_{(5)}}\left(g^{\mu\nu}g^{ZZ}-g^{\mu Z}g^{\nu Z}\right)\Big[\left(F_{\mu Z}-a_{\mu Z}\right)\left(F_{\nu Z}-a_{\nu Z}\right) \\
&\quad - 2f^{\dagger}_{\mu Z}f_{\nu Z}+b_{\mu Z}b_{\nu Z}\Big], \\
S_3 &= -\left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^2 T_8\omega_4 \mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x dZ e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-g_{(5)}}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu Z}\Big[\left(F_{\mu\nu}-a_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(F_{\rho Z}-a_{\rho Z}\right)-2f^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}f_{\rho Z} \\
&\quad + b_{\mu\nu}b_{\rho Z}\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}
$$

The coupling terms of light mesons and glueball, HL-mesons and glueball, HL- and light meson, light and HL-meson with glueball have been respectively denoted by using the index "L-G" "HL-G" "HL-L" "HL-L-G" in the action (4.1). Note that only the linear terms of the glueball field are valid since the gravitational fluctuations are perturbations in the supergravity description. Then the 10d metric involving the 11d fluctuations is given as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{r^3}{L^3}\left[\left(1+\frac{L^2}{2r^2}\delta G_{11,11}\right)\eta_{\mu\nu}+\frac{L^2}{r^2}\delta G_{\mu\nu}\right], \\
g_{44} &= \frac{r^3 f}{L^3}\left[1+\frac{L^2}{2r^2}\delta G_{11,11}+\frac{L^2}{r^2 f}\delta G_{44}\right], \\
g_{rr} &= \frac{L}{rf}\left(1+\frac{L^2}{2r^2}\delta G_{11,11}+\frac{r^2 f}{L^2}\delta G_{rr}\right), \\
g_{r\mu} &= \frac{r}{L}\delta G_{r\mu}, \quad g_{\Omega\Omega}=\frac{r}{L}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^2\left(1+\frac{L^2}{2r^2}\delta G_{11,11}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}
$$

with the dilaton,

$$
e^{4\Phi/3}=\frac{r^2}{L^2}\left(1+\frac{L^2}{r^2}\delta G_{11,11}\right). \tag{4.4}
$$

We would explicitly derive the effective action for glueball and mesons by considering the various gravitational fluctuations δG.

4.1 Involving the exotic scalar glueball

Let us start with the 10d metric (2.7) involving the exotic polarizations of the bulk graviton given in (2.10). Substituting (4.3) (4.4) with (2.10) (3.18) into action (4.2), we can obtain the coupling terms of the glueball and mesons. Although the calculation is very straightforward,

the result might be a little messy. Let us keep the quadratic terms of Φ_M as the effective action (4.2), so the interaction terms of glueball and meson are collected as follows[11],

$$S_1^{HL-L} =\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4xdZ\mathcal{A}_1\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$S_1^{L-G_E} =\mathrm{Tr}\int d^4xdZ\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_1^E}{M_E^2}\partial^2 G_E F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\mathcal{C}_1^E}{M_E^2}\partial^\mu\partial^\rho G_E F_{\mu\nu}F_\rho{}^\nu+\mathcal{D}_1^E G_E F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\right), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_1^{HL-G_E} =&2\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4xdZ\phi_m\phi_n\bigg(\frac{\mathcal{B}_1^E}{M_E^2}\partial^2 G_E\partial_{[\mu}Q^\dagger_{\nu],m}\partial^{[\mu}Q^{\nu]}_{,n}\\&+\frac{\mathcal{C}_1^E}{M_E^2}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial^\mu\partial^\rho G_E\partial_{[\mu}Q^\dagger_{\nu],m}\partial_{[\rho}Q_{\sigma],n}+\mathcal{D}_1^E G_E\partial_{[\mu}Q^\dagger_{\nu],m}\partial^{[\mu}Q^{\nu]}_{,n}\bigg),\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_1^{HL-L-G_E} =&\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4xdZ\bigg(\frac{\mathcal{B}_1^E}{M_E^2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial^2 G_E\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}+\frac{\mathcal{C}_1^E}{M_E^2}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial^\mu\partial^\rho G_E\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\\&+\mathcal{D}_1^E\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}G_E\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bigg),\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} =&\sum_{m,n}\bigg(-Q_{[\mu,m}Q^\dagger_{\nu],n}F_{\rho\sigma}-F_{\mu\nu}Q_{[\rho,m}Q^\dagger_{\sigma],n}+2\partial_{[\mu}Q^\dagger_{\nu],m}A_{[\rho}Q_{\sigma],n}+2Q^\dagger_{[\mu,m}A_{\nu]}\partial_{[\rho}Q_{\sigma],n}\\&+Q^\dagger_{[\mu,m}A_{\nu]}A_{[\rho}Q_{\sigma],n}\bigg)\phi_m\phi_n.\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Note that $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ satisfies the relation $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=-\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}=-\mathcal{I}_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}$, $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{I}_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}$. And we also have,

[11] We will use $G_{E,D},T$ to denote the interaction involving the exotic, dilatonic scalar glueball and tensor glueball respectively. The action for the interaction of glueball and π,ρ meson in this model is collected in Appendix C.

$$S_2^{HL-L} =2M_{KK}^2\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4xdZ\mathcal{A}_2\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{II}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$S_2^{L-G_E} =M_{KK}^2\mathrm{Tr}\int d^4xdZ\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_2^E}{M_E^2}\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E F_{\mu Z}F_{\nu Z}+\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_2^E}{M_E^2}\partial^2 G_E+\mathcal{D}_2^E G_E\right)F_{\mu Z}F^{\mu}{}_{Z}\right], \tag{4.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_2^{HL-G_E} =&2M_{KK}^2\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4xdZ\Bigg[\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_2^E}{M_E^2}\partial^2 G_E\eta^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\mathcal{B}_2^E}{M_E^2}\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E+\mathcal{D}_2^E G_E\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\\ &\times\left(U_{KK}^2\partial_\mu Q_m^\dagger\partial_\nu Q_n\tilde{\phi}_m\tilde{\phi}_n+Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger Q_{\nu,m}\phi'_m\phi'_n\right)\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

$$S_2^{HL-L-G_E} =M_{KK}^2\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4xdZ\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_2^E}{M_E^2}\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E\mathcal{II}_{\mu\nu}+\frac{\mathcal{C}_2^E}{M_E^2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial^2 G_E\mathcal{II}_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{D}_2^E G_E\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{II}_{\mu\nu}\right], \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{II}_{\mu\nu} =&\sum_{m,n}\Bigg[-U_{KK}\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n Q_{\mu,m}Q_n^\dagger F_{\nu Z}+U_{KK}\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n Q_n Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger F_{\nu Z}-U_{KK}\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n F_{\mu Z}Q_{\nu,m}Q_n^\dagger\\ &+U_{KK}\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n F_{\mu Z}Q_n Q_{\nu,m}^\dagger+2\Big(U_{KK}Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger A_Z\partial_\nu Q_n\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n-U_{KK}^2Q_m^\dagger A_\mu\partial_\nu Q_n\tilde{\phi}_m\tilde{\phi}_n\\ &-Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger A_Z Q_{\nu,n}\phi_m\phi'_n+U_{KK}Q_m^\dagger A_\mu Q_{\nu,n}\tilde{\phi}_m\phi'_n+U_{KK}^2\partial_\mu Q_m^\dagger A_\nu Q_n\tilde{\phi}_m\tilde{\phi}_n\\ &-U_{KK}Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger A_\nu Q_n\phi'_m\tilde{\phi}_n-U_{KK}\partial_\mu Q_m^\dagger A_Z Q_{\nu,n}\tilde{\phi}_m\phi_n+Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger A_Z Q_{\nu,n}\phi'_m\phi_n\\ &+U_{KK}Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger A_Z A_\nu Q_n\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n-U_{KK}^2Q_m^\dagger\tilde{\phi}_m A_\mu A_\nu Q_n\tilde{\phi}_n-Q_{\mu,m}^\dagger A_Z^2 Q_{\nu,n}\phi_m\phi_n\\ &+U_{KK}Q_m^\dagger A_\mu A_Z Q_{\nu,n}\tilde{\phi}_m\phi_n\Big)\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

For the terms in S_3, respectively they are,

$$S_3^{L-G_E} = \frac{M_{KK}^2}{M_E^2}\mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x dZ c\left(Z\right)\partial_\sigma G_E F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho Z}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$S_3^{HL-G_E} = \frac{2M_{KK}^2}{M_E^2}\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4x dZ c\left(Z\right)\partial_\sigma G_E\left(\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n U_{KK}\partial^{[\rho}Q^{\sigma]\dagger}_{,m}\partial_\rho Q_n - \phi_m\phi'_n\partial^{[\rho}Q^{\sigma]\dagger}_{,m}Q_{\rho,n}\right), \tag{4.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_3^{HL-L-G_E} =& \frac{M_{KK}^2}{M_E^2}\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^4x dZ c\left(Z\right)\partial_\sigma G_E\Big[-\phi_m\phi_n Q^{[\rho}_{,m}Q^{\sigma]\dagger}_{,n}F_{\rho Z} - F^{\rho\sigma}Q_{\rho,m}Q_n^\dagger U_{KK}\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n\\ &+ F^{\rho\sigma}Q_nQ^\dagger_{\rho,m}U_{KK}\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n + 2\Big(U_{KK}\partial^{[\rho}Q^{\sigma]\dagger}_{,m}\partial_\rho Q_n\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n - \partial^{[\rho}Q^{\sigma]\dagger}_{,m}Q_{\rho,n}\phi_m\phi'_n\\ &+ U_{KK}Q^{[\rho\dagger}_{,m}A^{\sigma]}\partial_\rho Q_n\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n - Q^{[\rho\dagger}_{,m}A^{\sigma]}Q_{\rho,n}\phi_m\phi'_n + U_{KK}\partial^{[\rho}Q^{\sigma]\dagger}_{,m}A_\rho Q_n\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n\\ &- \partial^{[\rho}Q^{\sigma]\dagger}_{,m}A_Z Q_{\rho,n}\phi_m\phi_n + U_{KK}Q^{[\rho\dagger}_{,m}A^{\sigma]}A_\rho Q_n\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n - Q^{[\rho\dagger}_{,m}A^{\sigma]}A_Z Q_{\rho,n}\phi_m\phi_n\Big)\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

and all the coefficients are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{A}_1 = -\frac{\kappa}{2}K^{-1/3},\ \mathcal{B}_1^E = \frac{1}{4}\kappa\tilde{H}_E K^{-1/3},\ \mathcal{C}_1^E = -\kappa\tilde{H}_E K^{-1/3},\ \mathcal{D}_1^E = -\frac{1}{16}\frac{5K-14}{5K-2}\kappa H_E K^{-1/3},\\ &\mathcal{A}_2 = -\kappa K,\ \mathcal{B}_2^E = -\kappa K\tilde{H}_E,\ \mathcal{C}_2^E = \frac{1}{2}\kappa K\tilde{H}_E,\ \mathcal{D}_2^E = -\frac{3}{8}\frac{5K+2}{5K-2}\kappa K H_E,\\ &c\left(Z\right) = 60\kappa\frac{ZK}{\left(5K-2\right)^2}H_E,\ \bar{H}_E = \left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{5K-2}\right)H_E.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Additionally we have another coupling from the mass terms (3.16) which is,

$$\begin{aligned}S_m^{HL-G_E} =& -\frac{v_V^2}{M_E^2}\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m.n}\int d^4x\left[s^{(1)}_{mn}\partial^2 G_E Q^\dagger_{\mu,m}Q^\mu_{,n} + s^{(2)}_{mn}\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E Q^\dagger_{\mu,m}Q_{\nu,n} + s^{(3)}_{mn}M_E^2 G_E Q^\dagger_{\mu,m}Q^\mu_{,n}\right]\\ &+ \frac{v_S^2}{M_E^2}\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m.n}\int d^4x\left[s^{(4)}_{mn}\partial^2 G_E Q^\dagger_m Q_n + s^{(5)}_{mn}M_E^2 G_E Q^\dagger_m Q_n\right]\\ &+ \alpha_E^2\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m.n}\int d^4x s^{(6)}_{mn}M_{KK}\partial^\mu G_E\left(Q^\dagger_{\mu,m}Q_n + Q^\dagger_n Q_{\mu,m}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $\alpha_E = \frac{\left(1+N_f\right)v}{N_f M_E}\frac{v_S}{v_V}$ and the coefficients are,

$$\begin{aligned}&s^{(1)}_{mn} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\int dZ K^{1/6}\tilde{H}_E\phi_m\phi_n,\ s^{(2)}_{mn} = -\kappa\int dZ K^{1/6}\tilde{H}_E\phi_m\phi_n,\\ &s^{(3)}_{mn} = -\kappa\int dZ\frac{5Z^2H_E}{2\left(5K-2\right)}K^{1/6}\phi_m\phi_n,\ s^{(4)}_{mn} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\int dZ K^{3/2}\tilde{H}_E\tilde{\phi}_m\tilde{\phi}_n\\ &s^{(5)}_{mn} = \kappa\int dZ\frac{15K^{5/2}H_E}{4\left(5k-2\right)}\tilde{\phi}_m\tilde{\phi}_n,\ s^{(6)}_{mn} = -\kappa\int dZ\frac{10ZK^{3/2}}{\left(5K-2\right)^2}H_E\phi_m\tilde{\phi}_n.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

The total action of the exotic scalar glueball and various mesons could be obtained by collecting all the terms in (4.1) and (4.19) with the kinetic term of the glueball in (2.12).

4.2 Involving the dilatonic scalar glueball

The effective action of the dilatonic glueball and mesons could be directly obtained by plugging (4.3) (4.4) with the dilatonic polarizations (2.14) into action (4.2). We find that the interaction terms S_i^{HL-L} in (4.2) remains since they are independent on the metric fluctuations. So the interaction terms of dilatonic glueball and mesons can be collected as

$$S_{\rm int}^{G_D} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(S_i^{L-G_D} + S_i^{HL-G_D} + S_i^{HL-L-G_D} \right) + S_m^{HL-G_D}, \tag{4.21}$$

where $S_i^{L-G_D}, S_i^{HL-G_D}, S_i^{HL-L-G_D}$ takes the same formulas as in the case of the exotic scalar glueball while the coefficients have to be replaced by $\mathcal{B}_{1,2}^E, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^E, \mathcal{D}_{1,2}^E \to \mathcal{B}_{1,2}^D, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^D, \mathcal{D}_{1,2}^D$. And the corresponding coefficients are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{B}_1^D = \frac{1}{4}\kappa H_D K^{-1/3},\ \mathcal{C}_1^D = -\kappa H_D K^{-1/3},\ \mathcal{D}_1^D = \frac{3}{4}\kappa H_D K^{-1/3} \\
&\mathcal{B}_2^D = -\kappa K H_D,\ \mathcal{C}_2^D = \frac{1}{2}\kappa K H_D,\ \mathcal{D}_2^D = \mathcal{C}_2^D.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

The coupling term $S_m^{HL-G_D}$ for the dilatonic glueball from the mass terms (3.16) also takes the same formula as in (4.19) while the coefficients need to be replaced as $s_{mn}^{(1,2\ldots6)} \to d_{mn}^{(1,2\ldots6)}$ and $d_{mn}^{(1,2\ldots6)}$,s are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{mn}^{(1)} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\int dZ K^{1/6} H_D \phi_m \phi_n,\ d_{mn}^{(2)} = -\kappa \int dZ K^{1/6} H_D \phi_m \phi_n, \\
&d_{mn}^{(3)} = 2\kappa \int dZ K^{1/6} H_D \phi_m \phi_n,\ d_{mn}^{(4)} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\int dZ K^{3/2} H_D \tilde{\phi}_m \tilde{\phi}_n \\
&d_{mn}^{(5)} = \kappa \int dZ K^{3/2} H_D \tilde{\phi}_m \tilde{\phi}_n,\ d_{mn}^{(6)} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

So the total action for the interaction of dilatonic scalar glueball and meson could also be collected from (4.5) - (4.17) and (4.19) while the coefficients are replaced by (4.22) (4.23).

4.3 Involving the tensor glueball

The interaction terms of tensor glueball and mesons can be collected by inserting (4.3) (4.4) with the polarizations (2.15) into (3.11) (3.16). As before, let us rewrite the interaction terms involving the tensor glueball in the action as,

$$S_{\rm int}^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(S_{i}^{L-T}+S_{i}^{H-T}+S_{i}^{HL-L-T}\right)+S_{m}^{HL-T}, \tag{4.24}$$

where their explicit formulas are collected as,

$$S_{1}^{L-T}=\mathrm{Tr}\int d^{4}xdZB_{1}^{T}T^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}, \tag{4.25}$$

$$S_{1}^{HL-T}=2\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^{4}xdZB_{1}^{T}\phi_{m}\phi_{n}T^{\nu\sigma}\eta^{\mu\rho}\partial_{[\mu}Q_{\nu,m]}^{\dagger}\partial_{[\rho}Q_{\sigma,n]}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$S_{1}^{HL-L-T}=\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^{4}xdZB_{1}^{T}T^{\nu\sigma}\eta^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \tag{4.27}$$

and

$$S_{2}^{L-T}=M_{KK}^{2}\mathrm{Tr}\int d^{4}xdZ\mathcal{B}_{2}^{T}T^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu Z}F_{\nu Z}, \tag{4.28}$$

$$S_{2}^{HL-T}=2M_{KK}^{2}\mathrm{Tr}\sum_{m,n}\int d^{4}xdZ\mathcal{B}_{2}^{T}T^{\mu\nu}\left(U_{KK}^{2}\partial_{\mu}Q_{m}^{\dagger}\partial_{\nu}Q_{n}\tilde{\phi}_{m}\tilde{\phi}_{n}+Q_{\mu,m}^{\dagger}Q_{\nu,m}\phi_{m}^{\prime}\phi_{n}^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.29}$$

$$S_{2}^{HL-L-T}=M_{KK}^{2}\mathrm{Tr}\int d^{4}xdZ\mathcal{B}_{2}^{T}T^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{4.30}$$

The coupling from the mass term (3.16) is given as,

$$S_{m}^{HL-T}=-\sum_{m.n}v_{V}^{2}t_{mn}\mathrm{Tr}\int d^{4}xT^{\mu\nu}Q_{\mu,m}^{\dagger}Q_{\nu,n}, \tag{4.31}$$

where the corresponding coefficients in above actions are,

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}^{T}=-\kappa K^{-1/3}H_{T},\ \mathcal{B}_{2}^{T}=-\kappa KH_{T},\ t_{mn}=-\kappa\int dZK^{1/6}H_{D}\phi_{m}\phi_{n}. \tag{4.32}$$

5 Interaction of glueball and scalar D^0 meson in holography

Since the lowest heavy-light meson is recognized as D-meson, let us identify the lowest heavy-light meson field in our theory as the lowest D-meson field (i.e. the D^0 meson) and investigate the decay of glueball to them holographically in this section.

5.1 The mode eigenfunctions and the choice of parameters

The eigenfunctions $\left\{\phi_m,\tilde{\phi}_n\right\}$ (3.18) have to satisfy the normalization condition (3.19) which is exactly the same as the normalization of $\{\psi_n\}$ for $n\geq 1$ presented in [12],

$$2\kappa\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dZK\left(Z\right)^{-1/3}\psi_m\left(Z\right)\psi_n\left(Z\right)=\delta_{mn},$$
$$2\kappa\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dZK\left(Z\right)\partial_Z\psi_m\partial_Z\psi_n=\lambda_n\delta_{mn}. \tag{5.1}$$

From these, the functions $\{\psi_n\}$ for $n\geq 1$ could be generated by the following eigen equation,

$$-K^{1/3}\partial_Z\left(K\partial_Z\psi_n\right)=\lambda_n\psi_n,\ \psi_n\left(Z\to\pm\infty\right)=0, \tag{5.2}$$

Thus it is seen that we can choose $\phi_m=\frac{\psi_m}{\sqrt{2}},\tilde{\phi}_n=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_n^{-1/2}M_{KK}^{-1}U_{KK}^{-1}\partial_Z\psi_n$ for all $m,n\geq 1$. Note that there still exists a function $\tilde{\phi}_0$ orthogonal to $\left\{\tilde{\phi}_n\right\}$ for all $n\geq 1$ which is given as,

$$\tilde{\phi}_0=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0'\left(Z\right)=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\kappa}}\frac{1}{U_{KK}M_{KK}}\frac{1}{K\left(Z\right)}, \tag{5.3}$$

while ψ_0 does not satisfy the eigen equation (5.2). So the complete set of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_m\}$ can be obtained by re-defining $\phi_m=\frac{\psi_{m+1}}{\sqrt{2}}$ for all $m\geq 0$ thus $\Lambda_0=1,\Lambda_m=\lambda_m,m>0$. Hence we are going to continue the discussion with this definition.

In order to match the experimental value of the ρ meson mass $m_\rho=\sqrt{\lambda_1}M_{KK}\simeq 776\text{MeV}$ where λ_1 is numerically evaluated as $\lambda_1=0.669314...$, we first need to fix the Kaluza-Klein mass to $M_{KK}=949\text{MeV}$. Then let us identify the lowest mode of the heavy-light meson field $Q_{\mu,n=0},Q_{n=0}$ as the vector meson D_μ^0 and the pseudoscalar meson D^0 whose mass is given as $M\left(D_\mu^0\right)\simeq 2007\text{MeV},\ M\left(D^0\right)\simeq 1865\text{MeV}$ by experiments. In this sense, we further consider the case of $N_f=2$ for D-meson, so the parameters in (3.20) (3.21) can be numerically fixed as

$$M_S\simeq 1754\text{MeV},\ M_V\simeq 2007\text{MeV},\ M_{KK}R\simeq 1.048,\ v\simeq 35195.4\lambda^{-1}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}. \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, the glueball mass in holography is determined by solving the eigen equations (2.11) (2.16) for exotic, dilatonic and tensor glueball respectively. For the reader's convenience, we collect the mass spectrum of various glueballs in Table 2. It is clear that the glueball decay occurs when the mass relation $m_{\text{glueball}}/m_{\text{D}-\text{meson}}\simeq 2$ is satisfied because the effective holographic action we discussed is quadratic of the heavy-light meson fields. Accordingly we will choose the excited mass of $n=3$ as $M_E=\sqrt{154.963/9}M_{KK}\simeq 3938\text{MeV},\ M_D=M_T=\sqrt{162.699/9}M_{KK}\simeq 4035\text{MeV}$ for the exotic, dilatonic and tensor glueball respectively. With these values for the parameters, the boundary condition for the eigen equations (2.11) (2.16) is numerically evaluated as,

$$H_E\left(r_{KK}\right)^{-1}\simeq 0.00692402\lambda^{1/2}N_cM_{KK},\quad H_{D,T}\left(r_{KK}\right)^{-1}\simeq 0.0211777\lambda^{1/2}N_cM_{KK}. \tag{5.5}$$

And we will use the parameters above to investigate the decay of glueball the lowest D-meson.

Mode	S_4	T_4	V_4	N_4	M_4	L_4
J^{PC}	0^{++}	$0^{++}/2^{++}$	0^{-+}	1^{+-}	1^{--}	0^{++}
$n=0$	7.30835	22.0966	31.9853	53.3758	83.0449	115.002
$n=1$	46.9855	55.5833	72.4793	109.446	143.581	189.632
$n=2$	94.4816	102.452	126.144	177.231	217.397	227.283
$n=3$	154.963	162.699	193.133	257.959	304.531	378.099
$n=4$	228.709	236.328	273.482	351.895	405.011	492.171

Table 2: Glueball mass spectrum m_n^2 of AdS_7 in the units of $r_{KK}^2/L^4 = M_{KK}^2/9$.

5.2 Glueball decay to the lowest scalar $D^0\bar{D}^0$ meson

In this section, let us compute the decay width of the glueball to the lowest heavy-light mesons i.e. the (pseudo) scalar meson $(D^0\bar{D}^0)$. The expression of the decay width for the scalar glueball into $D\bar{D}$ is given by[12],

$$\Gamma_{G_{E,D}\to D\bar{D}} = \frac{3\,|\mathbf{p}|}{8\pi M_{E,D}^2}\,|\mathcal{M}_{E,D}|^2\,, \tag{5.6}$$

where $|\mathbf{p}| = M_{E,D}/2$ represents the 3-momentum of one D^0 in the rest frame of the glueball. For the tensor glueball we however need the average over its polarizations. Hence let us integrate over the orientation of the coordinates by choosing a fixed polarization $\epsilon^{11} = -\epsilon^{22} = 1$. So it leads to the decay width as.

$$\Gamma_{G_T\to D\bar{D}} = \frac{3\,|\mathbf{p}|}{8\pi M_T^2}\int\frac{d\Omega}{4\pi}\,|\mathcal{M}_T|^2\,,\ |\mathbf{p}| = \frac{M_T}{2}. \tag{5.7}$$

Note that $(D^0\bar{D}^0)$ will be denoted as $(D\bar{D})$ for simplicity in the following discussion of this section.

A. exotic scalar glueball

The relevant part of the action involving the exotic glueball and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ can be collected from (4.5) - (4.19) which is,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{G_E\to D\bar{D}} =& \mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x\Big[c_2^E\partial^2 G_E\partial_\mu\bar{D}\partial^\mu D + b_2^E\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E\partial_\mu\bar{D}\partial_\nu D + d_2^E G_E\partial_\mu\bar{D}\partial^\mu D \\ &+ \frac{v_S^2}{M_E^2}s_{00}^{(4)}\partial^2 G_E\bar{D}D + v_S^2 s_{00}^{(5)}G_E\bar{D}D\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where the coupling constants could be numerically evaluated as,

[12]We have employed the same convention as those in [26].

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_2^E &= \frac{2M_{KK}^2U_{KK}^2}{M_E^2}\int dZ\mathcal{C}_2^E\tilde{\phi}_0\tilde{\phi}_0 \simeq -0.403\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-3},\\
b_2^E &= \frac{2M_{KK}^2U_{KK}^2}{M_E^2}\int dZ\mathcal{B}_2^E\tilde{\phi}_0\tilde{\phi}_0 \simeq 0.806\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-3},\\
d_2^E &= 2M_{KK}^2U_{KK}^2\int dZ\mathcal{D}_2^E\tilde{\phi}_0\tilde{\phi}_0 \simeq 9.432\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-1},\\
s_{00}^{(4)} &\simeq -12.020\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-1},\quad s_{00}^{(5)} \simeq -21.749\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.9}
$$

By omitting the terms which vanishes when G_E is onshell in (5.8), the amplitude is calculated as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{M}_E| &= \left|\left[2b_2^EM_E^2+2\left(c_2^EM_E^2+d_2^E\right)\right]p_0q_0-2\left(c_2^EM_E^2+d_2^E\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{q}+v_S^2\left[s_{00}^{(4)}+s_{00}^{(5)}\right]\right|\\
&= \left|\frac{M_E^2}{4}\left[2b_2^EM_E^2+4\left(c_2^EM_E^2+d_2^E\right)\right]+v_S^2\left[s_{00}^{(4)}+s_{00}^{(5)}\right]\right|,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.10}
$$

and the decay rate is accordingly obtained as,

$$
\Gamma_{G_E\to D\bar{D}}/M_E = \frac{3\left|\mathbf{p}\right|}{8\pi M_E^3}\left|\mathcal{M}_E\right|^2 \simeq \frac{5.310}{\lambda N_c^2}\frac{M_E^2}{M_{KK}^2}+\mathcal{O}\left(N_c^{-4}\right) \simeq \frac{91.421}{\lambda N_c^2}.
\tag{5.11}
$$

B. dilatonic scalar glueball

For the decay of the dilatonic glueball, we have the same formula for the action collected from (4.5) - (4.19),

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{G_D\to D\bar{D}} =&\mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x\Big[c_2^D\partial^2G_D\partial_\mu\bar{D}\partial^\mu D+b_2^D\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_D\partial_\mu\bar{D}\partial_\nu D+d_2^DG_D\partial_\mu\bar{D}\partial^\mu D\\
&+\frac{v_S^2}{M_E^2}d_{mn}^{(4)}\partial^2G_D\bar{D}D+v_S^2d_{mn}^{(5)}G_D\bar{D}D\Big],
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.12}
$$

while the coupling constants are numerically evaluated as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_2^D &= \frac{2M_{KK}^2U_{KK}^2}{M_D^2}\int dZ\mathcal{C}_2^D\tilde{\phi}_0\tilde{\phi}_0 \simeq 0.105\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-3},\\
b_2^D &= \frac{2M_{KK}^2U_{KK}^2}{M_D^2}\int dZ\mathcal{B}_2^D\tilde{\phi}_0\tilde{\phi}_0 \simeq -0.211\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-3},\\
d_2^D &= 2M_{KK}^2U_{KK}^2\int dZ\mathcal{D}_2^D\tilde{\phi}_0\tilde{\phi}_0 \simeq 1.907\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-1},\\
d_{00}^{(4)} &\simeq 7.859\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-1},\quad d_{00}^{(5)} \simeq 6.454\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.13}
$$

Omitting the terms when G_D is onshell in (5.8), the amplitude is calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{M}_D| &= \left|\left[2b_2^D M_D^2 + 2\left(c_2^D M_D^2 + d_2^D\right)\right] p_0 q_0 - 2\left(c_2^D M_D^2 + d_2^D\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{q} + v_S^2\left[d_{00}^{(4)} + d_{00}^{(5)}\right]\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{M_D^2}{4}\left[2b_2^D M_D^2 + 4\left(c_2^D M_D^2 + d_2^D\right)\right] + v_S^2\left[d_{00}^{(4)} + d_{00}^{(5)}\right]\right|, \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

which leads to the decay rate as,

$$\Gamma_{G_D\to D\bar{D}}/M_D = \frac{3\,|\mathbf{p}|}{8\pi M_D^3}\,|\mathcal{M}_D|^2 \simeq \frac{0.215}{\lambda N_c^2}\frac{M_D^2}{M_{KK}^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(N_c^{-4}\right) \simeq \frac{3.887}{\lambda N_c^2}. \tag{5.15}$$

C. tensor glueball

The action involving the tensor glueball and $D\bar{D}$ is very simple,

$$S_2^{HL-G_T} = b_2^T \mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x T^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\bar{D}\partial_\nu D, \tag{5.16}$$

where the coupling constant is,

$$b_2^T = 2M_{KK}^2 U_{KK}^2 \int dZ \mathcal{B}_2^T \tilde{\phi}_0\tilde{\phi}_0 \simeq -9.343\lambda^{-1/2}N_c^{-1}M_{KK}^{-1}. \tag{5.17}$$

Then we could obtain the amplitude when $T_{\mu\nu}$ is onshell as,

$$|\mathcal{M}_T| = \left|2b_2^T\left(p_x^2 - p_y^2\right)\right|,$$

therefore the decay rate is evaluated as,

$$\Gamma_{T\to D\bar{D}}/M_T = \frac{3\,|\mathbf{p}|}{8\pi M_T^3}\int\frac{d\Omega}{4\pi}\,|\mathcal{M}_T|^2 \simeq \frac{0.347}{\lambda N_c^2}\frac{M_T^2}{M_{KK}^2} \simeq \frac{6.279}{\lambda N_c^2}. \tag{5.18}$$

6 Summary and discussion

By using the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model, we study the interaction of glueball and heavy-light flavoured mesons in holography then extend the calculations of glueball decay in [25, 26] with heavy flavour. The model contains only one free dimensionful parameter M_{KK} since it is a top-down approach of string theory. The glueball fields are identified as the various fluctuations of the bulk geometry produced by the N_c compactified D4-branes and the meson fields are created by the open strings living in the N_f probe D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes. Particularly we construct the D4/D8 configuration as in [28, 29, 34, 35] by introducing an extra pair of probe D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes as "heavy flavour" which is separated from the other N_f D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes with a heavy-light string stretched between them. In this configuration the multiplets produced by the heavy-light string

could be identified as the heavy-light flavoured mesons so that the model is able to include their interactions with glueball.

We numerically evaluate the coupling constants between the various glueballs (the exotic, dilatonic scalar and tensor glueballs) and the heavy-light flavoured mesons then compute the associated decay widths/rates in this model. We find the decay widths of glueballs are parametrically suppressed by the factor $\lambda^{-1}N_c^{-2}$ in the large N_c limit while the results vary substantially for the different decay channels. So it could not give the picture of "universal narrowness" although the heavy flavour and large N_c limitation are involved in the model. However the decay widths of the lightest glueball to the lowest light mesons in this model turn out to be consistent with the experimental data of the $f_0\,(1500)$ decay [25, 26], thus our calculation with heavy flavour could be a further prediction of glueball-meson interaction although the experimental evidence are currently insufficient[13]. Besides, the decay rate of the exotic scalar glueball is turned out to have a larger width than that of the heavier dilatonic mode according to our calculation, so our results support partially the conjecture proposed in [26] that is the lowest glueball model begins with the dilatonic mode and the exotic scalar glueball should be discarded.

Noteworthily phenomenological models usually require very large gluon condensates in order to admit only narrow glueball states while the value of the gluon condensate is quite small in the WSS model. Nevertheless it could be interesting to introduce the gluon condensates into a top-down approach of holography as [39, 40, 41] so that it would be able to compare with the phenomenological models.

We finally comments that the mixing of glueballs with $q\bar{q}$ meson states would be also interesting to study since the signatures of glueball content can be strongly obscured by the mixing. But it might probably require more difficult stringy corrections which are not captured by the effective action following from the WSS model in holography. Nonetheless this would be more pivotal to identify the glueball in the future study.

Appendix A: The 10d equations of motion in the bulk supergravity

The WSS model is obtained by the Kaluza-Klein reduction of the 11d supergravity on a cycle. Since the supersymmetry is broken down in the model, the bulk geometry of N_c D4-branes in the large N_c limit is determined by the bosonic part of the type IIA supergravity whose action is given as,

$$S_{\rm IIA} = \frac{1}{2k_{10}^2}\int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}e^{-2\Phi}\left(\mathcal{R} + 4\nabla_M\Phi\nabla^M\Phi - \frac{1}{2}\left|F_4\right|^2\right), \tag{A-1}$$

[13]The $X\,(3020)$ [38] is the only one glueball candidate whose energy is close to the glueballs' discussed in our manuscript.

where $2k_{10}^2 = 16G_{10}/g_s^2 = (2\pi)^7 l_s^8$, G_{10} is 10d Newton constant and $F_4 = dC_3$ is the field strength of the Romand-Romand (R-R) 3-form C_3 with,

$$|F_4|^2 = \frac{1}{4!}F_{ABCD}F^{ABCD}. \tag{A-2}$$

The equations of motion could be obtained by varying the action (A-1) with respect to the metric g_{MN}, the dilaton field Φ and the R-R form C_3 which are,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}\mathcal{R} + 2\nabla_M\Phi\nabla_N\Phi - \frac{e^{2\Phi}}{4!}\left(F_M{}^{LPQ}F_{NLPQ} - 3!g_{MN}\left|F_4\right|^2\right) = 0,\\ \mathcal{R} + 4\nabla_M\nabla^M\Phi - 4\nabla_M\Phi\nabla^M\Phi = 0,\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_M\left[\sqrt{-g}F^{MNPQ}\right] = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{A-3}$$

Note that the solution given in (2.7) exactly satisfies the above equations of motion. The Romand-Romand strength F_4 needs to be supported by N_c units of flux on S^4 which satisfies,

$$\frac{k_{10}N_c}{\sqrt{\pi}l_s} = \int_{S^4} F_4. \tag{A-4}$$

The factor N_c arises because in the WSS model we consider a stack of N_c D4-branes.

Appendix B: The action of the D-brane with non-Abelian excitation

The action of D-branes with non-Abelian excitations describes the dynamics of coincident N Dp-branes. It could be obtained by T-duality which is the standard technique in string theory. Let us consider a stack of Dp-branes in D dimensional spacetime parametrized by $\{X^\mu\}, \mu = 0, 1...D-1$. Then we use the indices $a, b = 0, 1...p$ and $i, j, k = p+1...D-1$ to denote respectively the directions parallel and vertical to the Dp-branes in the spacetime. The complete bosonic action of such Dp-branes is therefore collected as,

$$S_{\mathrm{D}_p-\mathrm{branes}} = S_{\mathrm{DBI}} + S_{\mathrm{CS}}, \tag{B-1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\mathrm{DBI}} =& -T_p\mathrm{STr}\int d^{p+1}\xi e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-\det\left\{\left[E_{ab} + E_{ai}\left(Q^{-1}-\delta\right)^{ij}E_{jb} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{ab}\right]Q^i{}_j\right\}},\\ S_{\mathrm{CS}} =& \mu_p\sum_{n=0,1}\int_{\mathrm{D}_p-\mathrm{branes}} C_{p-2n+1}\wedge\frac{\left(B+2\pi\alpha' F\right)^n}{n!},\\ Q^i{}_j =& \delta^i{}_j + 2\pi\alpha'\left[\varphi^i,\varphi^k\right]E_{kj},\ E_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{B-2}$$

Here $g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of the D dimensional spacetime and the 2-form field respectively. The "STr" refers to the "symmetric trace" and F is the non-Abelian gauge field strength defined as $F_{ab} = \partial_{[a}A_{b]} + A_{[a}A_{b]}$. The transverse modes of the Dp-branes are denoted as φ^i 's which are in fact the "T-dualitized" coordinates given by $2\pi\alpha'\varphi^i = X^i$. Note that we have chosen the "static gauge" throughout the manuscript in order to gauge away the 2-form field B i.e. $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and defined $\varphi^{i=9} \equiv \Psi$ in (3.14) since there is only one transverse coordinate for the case of D8/$\overline{\text{D8}}$-branes.

By keeping these in mind, the DBI action in (B-2) could be expanded as,

$$S_{\text{BDI}} = -T_p \text{Tr} \int d^{p+1}\xi e^{-\Phi}\sqrt{-g}\left[1 + \frac{1}{4}\left(2\pi\alpha'\right)^2 F_{ab}F^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}D_a\varphi^i D_a\varphi^i + \frac{1}{4}\left[\varphi^i, \varphi^j\right]^2\right] + \text{high orders}. \tag{B-3}$$

Note the gauge field A_a and scalar field φ^i 's are all in the adjoint representation of $U(N)$.

As a D-brane is usually supersymmetric, there may be superpartner terms to the actions given in (B-2). Nonetheless we do not attempt to give the explicit action of those fermionic superpartners since they are irrelevant to the calculations in this manuscript. However in the WSS model, one might find it remains to have supersymmetry on the N_f flavour branes below the scale of M_{KK} although the N_c D4-branes are compactified (non-supersymmetric) [42]. Accordingly it forces us to include the superpartners of the mesons on the flavour branes. This becomes indeed a theoretical issue of this model because the meson spectrum containing superpartners would be unrealistic. In order to figure out this problem in this model, we are motivated by the mechanisms in the compactification of the N_c D4-branes and the processes are as follows. First let us compact the time direction in 10d solution (2.7) on a circle with the period/mass scale $\delta t \sim M_T^{-1} \gg 1$. Then we require the boundary conditions of the bosonic and the supersymmetrically fermionic fields on D8-brane are periodic and anti-periodic respectively. So the supersymmetric fermions become massive thus decoupled below the scale M_T. Since the mass scale M_T corresponds to the temperature in the dual field theory, it means there is not any superpartners of the mesons below the (very low) temperature $T = M_T \ll 1$. Hence the meson spectrum close to zero temperature is non-supersymmetric unless we take the limitation of $\delta t \to \infty$ i.e. the case of zero-temperature. This scheme provides a non-suppersymmetric meson spectrum at very low but finite temperature and it would be reasonable since zero-temperature case in realistic physics is out of reach thus it could be supersymmetric.

Appendix C: The interactions of glueball and π, ρ mesons

C1. The exotic scalar glueball

Let identify the lowest modes in (3.6) as vector ρ meson and scalar π meson in the sector of the light flavor, then substitute (4.3) (4.4) into the Yang-Mills action in (3.3) and perform the

integration over Z, it leads to the following 4d effective action for the interaction of π, ρ meson and the exotic scalar glueball,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{G_E}^{\pi-\rho} =& -\operatorname{Tr}\int d^4x\Big\{c_1\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\pi\partial_\nu\pi\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_E^2}G_E+\frac{1}{4}\left(\partial_\mu\pi\right)^2\left(1-\frac{\partial^2}{M_E^2}\right)G_E\right]\\
&+c_2M_{KK}^2\left[\frac{1}{2}\rho_\mu\rho_\nu\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_E^2}G_E+\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho_\mu\right)^2\left(1-\frac{\partial^2}{M_E^2}\right)G_E\right]\\
&+c_3\left[\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\sigma\lambda}\partial_{[\mu}\rho_{\sigma]}\partial_{[\nu}\rho_{\lambda]}\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_E^2}G_E-\frac{1}{8}\partial_{[\mu}\rho_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\rho^{\nu]}\left(1+\frac{\partial^2}{M_E^2}\right)G_E\right]+c_4\frac{3}{2M_E^2}\rho_\mu\partial^{[\mu}\rho^{\nu]}\partial_\nu G_E\\
&+c_5\left[\partial_\mu\pi\left[\pi,\rho_\nu\right]\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_E^2}G_E+\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\pi\left[\pi,\rho^\mu\right]\left(1-\frac{\partial^2}{M_E^2}\right)G_E\right]\\
&+\left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}_1\left(\partial_\mu\pi\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}_2M_{KK}^2\left(\rho_\mu\right)^2+\frac{1}{4}\tilde{c}_3\partial_{[\mu}\rho_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\rho^{\nu]}+\tilde{c}_5\partial_\mu\pi\left[\pi,\rho^\mu\right]\right]G_E\Big\},
\end{aligned}\tag{C-1}$$

where the coefficients c_i's and $\tilde{c}_i$'s are,

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_1=\int dZ\frac{\bar{H}_E}{\pi K},\quad c_2=2\kappa\int dZK\left(\psi_1'\right)\bar{H}_E,\quad c_3=2\kappa\int dZK^{-1/3}\left(\psi_1\right)^2\bar{H}_E,\\
&c_4=2\kappa M_{KK}^2\int dZ\frac{20ZK}{\left(5K-2\right)^2}\psi_1\psi_1'H_E,\quad ,c_5=\int dZ\frac{\psi_1\bar{H}_E}{\pi K},\quad \tilde{c}_1=\int dZ\frac{H_E}{4\pi K},\\
&\tilde{c}_2=\frac{1}{2}\kappa\int dZK\left(\psi_1'\right)^2H_E,\quad \tilde{c}_3=\frac{1}{2}\kappa\int dZK^{-1/3}\left(\psi_1\right)^2H_E,\quad \tilde{c}_5=\int dZ\frac{\psi_1H_E}{4\pi K}.
\end{aligned}\tag{C-2}$$

C2. The dilatonic and tensor glueball

The action for the interaction of dilatonic glueball and π, ρ mesons could be obtained by plugging the (2.14) into action (3.3) then performing the integration over Z. We collect the resultantly quadratic terms in π, ρ as,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{G_D}^{\pi-\rho} =&\operatorname{Tr}\int d^4x\Big\{\frac{1}{2}d_1\partial_\mu\pi\partial_\nu\pi\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_D^2}\right)G_D+\frac{1}{2}d_2M_{KK}^2\rho_\mu\rho_\nu\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_D^2}\right)G_D\\
&+\frac{1}{2}d_3\eta^{\lambda\sigma}\partial_{[\mu}\rho_{\sigma]}\partial_{[\nu}\rho_{\lambda]}\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_D^2}\right)G_D+d_5\partial_\mu\pi\left[\pi,\rho_\nu\right]\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}-\frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M_D^2}\right)G_D\Big\},
\end{aligned}\tag{C-3}$$

where the coefficients are,

$$d_1=\int dZ\frac{H_D}{\pi K},d_2=2\kappa\int dZK\left(\psi_1'\right)^2H_D,d_3=2\kappa\int dZK^{-1/3}\left(\psi_1\right)^2H_D,d_5=\int dZ\frac{\psi_1H_D}{\pi K}.\tag{C-4}$$

And similarly, the interaction action of tensor glueball and π, ρ mesons is given as,

$$S^{\pi-\rho}_{G_T} = \mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x \Big\{ \frac{1}{2} t_1 T^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\pi\partial_\nu\pi + \frac{1}{2} t_2 M^2_{KK} T^{\mu\nu}\rho_\mu\rho_\nu + \frac{1}{2} t_3 T^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}\partial_{[\mu}\rho_{\sigma]}\partial_{[\nu}\rho_{\lambda]} + t_5\partial_\mu\pi\,[\pi,\rho_\nu]\,T^{\mu\nu}\Big\}, \tag{C-5}$$

with the coefficients $t_i = \sqrt{6}d_i$.

References

[1] H. Fritzsch and M. Gell-Mann, "Current algebra: Quarks and what else?", eConf C720906V2 (1972) 135–165, [arXiv:hep-ph/0208010]. 1

[2] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, "Ψ Resonances, Gluons and the Zweig Rule", Nuovo Cim. A30 (1975) 393. 1

[3] R. Jaffe and K. Johnson, "Unconventional States of Confined Quarks and Gluons", Phys.Lett. B60 (1976) 201. 1

[4] C. J. Morningstar and M. J. Peardon, "The Glueball spectrum from an anisotropic lattice study", Phys.Rev. D60 (1999) 034509, [arXiv:hep-lat/9901004]. 1

[5] Y. Chen, A. Alexandru, S. Dong, T. Draper, I. Horvath, et al., "Glueball spectrum and matrix elements on anisotropic lattices", Phys.Rev. D73 (2006) 014516, [arXiv:hep-lat/0510074]. 1

[6] M. Loan, X.-Q. Luo, and Z.-H. Luo, "Monte Carlo study of glueball masses in the Hamiltonian limit of SU(3) lattice gauge theory", Int.J.Mod.Phys. A21 (2006) 2905–2936, [arXiv:hep-lat/0503038]. 1

[7] W. J. Lee and D. Weingarten, "Scalar quarkonium masses and mixing with the lightest scalar glueball," Phys. Rev. D 61, 014015 (2000) [arXiv:hep-lat/9910008]. 1

[8] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity", Int.J.Theor.Phys. 38 (1999) 1113–1133, [arXiv:hep-th/9711200]. 1

[9] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, "Large N field theories, string theory and gravity", Phys.Rept. 323 (2000) 183–386, [arXiv:hep-th/9905111]. 1

[10] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9802150]. 1

[11] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories", Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 2 (1998) 505–532, [arXiv:hep-th/9803131]. 1, 2.1

[12] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, "Low energy hadron physics in holographic QCD", Prog.Theor.Phys. 113 (2005) 843–882, arXiv:hep-th/0412141. 1, 2.1, 3.1, 5.1

[13] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, "More on a holographic dual of QCD", Prog.Theor.Phys. 114 (2005) 1083–1118, arXiv:hep-th/0507073. 1

[14] Anton Rebhan, "The Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model: A brief review and some recent results", EPJ Web Conf. 95 (2015) 02005, [arXiv:1410.8858]. 1

[15] Oren Bergman, Gilad Lifschytz, Matthew Lippert, "Holographic Nuclear Physics", JHEP 0711 (2007) 056, [arXiv:0708.0326]. 1

[16] Si-wen Li, Andreas Schmitt, Qun Wang, "From holography towards real-world nuclear matter", Phys.Rev. D92 (2015) no.2, 026006, [arXiv:1505.04886]. 1

[17] Francesco Bigazzi, Aldo L. Cotrone, "Holographic QCD with Dynamical Flavors", JHEP 1501 (2015) 104, [arXiv:1410.2443]. 1, 3.2

[18] Moshe Rozali, Hsien-Hang Shieh, Mark Van Raamsdonk, Jackson Wu, "Cold Nuclear Matter In Holographic QCD", JHEP 0801 (2008) 053, [arXiv:0708.1322]. 1

[19] Anton Rebhan, Andreas Schmitt, Stefan A. Stricker, "Meson supercurrents and the Meissner effect in the Sakai-Sugimoto model", JHEP 0905 (2009) 084, [arXiv:0811.3533]. 1

[20] Hiroyuki Hata, Tadakatsu Sakai, Shigeki Sugimoto, Shinichiro Yamato, "Baryons from instantons in holographic QCD", Prog.Theor.Phys. 117 (2007) 1157, [hep-th/0701280]. 1, 2

[21] Si-wen Li, Tuo Jia, "Dynamically flavored description of holographic QCD in the presence of a magnetic field", Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.6, 066032 , [arXiv:1604.07197]. 1, 3.2

[22] Edward Witten, "Baryons and branes in anti-de Sitter space", JHEP 9807 (1998) 006, [hep-th/9805112]. 2

[23] N. R. Constable and R. C. Myers, "Spin-two glueballs, positive energy theorems and the AdS/CFT correspondence", JHEP 9910 (1999) 037, [arXiv:hep-th/9908175]. 1, 2.2

[24] R. C. Brower, S. D. Mathur, and C.-I. Tan, "Glueball spectrum for QCD from AdS supergravity duality", Nucl.Phys. B587 (2000) 249–276, [arXiv:hep-th/0003115]. 1, 2.2

[25] Koji Hashimoto, Chung-I Tan, Seiji Terashima, "Glueball Decay in Holographic QCD", Phys.Rev.D77:086001,2008, [arXiv:0709.2208]. 1, 2.1, 2.2, 6

[26] Frederic Brünner, Denis Parganlija, Anton Rebhan, "Glueball Decay Rates in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto Model", Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) no.10, 106002, (Erratum:) Phys.Rev. D93 (2016) no.10, 109903, [arXiv:1501.07906]. 1, 2.1, 2.2, 2.2, 2.2, 12, 6

[27] Si-wen Li, "Glueball–baryon interactions in holographic QCD", Phys.Lett. B773 (2017) 142-149, [arXiv:1509.06914]. 1, 2.2

[28] Y. Liu, I. Zahed, Holographic heavy-light chiral effective action. Phys. Rev. D 95, 056022, [arXiv:1611.03757]. 1, 3.2, 6

[29] Y. Liu, I. Zahed, Heavy-light mesons in chiral AdS/QCD. Phys. Lett. B. [arXiv:1611.04400]. 1, 3.2, 6

[30] Katrin Becker, Melanie Becker, John H. Schwarz, "String theory and M-theory, A Modern Introduction", Cambridge University Press, 2007. 1, 2.1, 3.2, 3.2

[31] R.C. Myers, "Dielectric-Branes", JHEP 9912, 022 (1999). [arXiv:hep-th/9910053]. 1, 3.2

[32] Edward Witten, "String theory dynamics in various dimensions", Nucl.Phys. B443 (1995) 85-126, [arXiv:hep-th/9503124]. 2.1

[33] Shigeki Sugimoto, Kazuyoshi Takahashi, "QED and string theory", JHEP 0404 (2004) 051, [hep-th/0403247]. 3.1

[34] Si-wen Li, "Holographic heavy-baryons in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model with the D0-D4 background", Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.10, 106018, [arXiv:1707.06439]. 3.2, 6

[35] Wenhe Cai, Si-wen Li, "Holographic three flavor baryon in the Witten–Sakai–Sugimoto model with the D0–D4 background ", Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.6, 446, [arXiv:1712.06304]. 3.2, 6

[36] Andreas Karch, Andy O'Bannon, Kostas Skenderis, "Holographic Renormalization of Probe D-Branes in AdS/CFT", JHEP0604:015,2006, [arXiv:hep-th/0512125]. 10

[37] Paolo Benincasa, "A Note on Holographic Renormalization of Probe D-Branes", [arXiv:0903.4356]. 10

[38] Y.K. Hsiao, C.Q. Geng, "Identifying Glueball at 3.02 GeV in Baryonic B Decays", Phys. Lett. B 727 (2013) 168-171, [arXiv:1302.3331]. 13

[39] Chao Wu, Zhiguang Xiao, Da Zhou, "Sakai-Sugimoto model in D0-D4 background", Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) no.2, 026016, [arXiv:1304.2111]. 6

[40] Shigenori Seki, Sang-Jin Sin, "A New Model of Holographic QCD and Chiral Condensate in Dense Matter", JHEP 1310 (2013) 223, [arXiv:1304.7097]. 6

[41] Si-wen Li, Tuo Jia, "Matrix model and Holographic Baryons in the D0-D4 background ", Phys.Rev. D92 (2015) no.4, 046007, [arXiv:1506.00068]. 6

[42] Rainer Heise, Harald G Svendsen, "A Note on fermions in holographic QCD ", JHEP 0708 (2007) 065, [arXiv:0706.2253].

6