

Nonrelativistic String Theory Sigma Model and Its Canonical Formulation

J. Klusoň

*Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics
Faculty of Science, Masaryk University
Kotlářská 2, 611 37, Brno
Czech Republic
E-mail: klu@physics.muni.cz*

ABSTRACT: This paper is devoted to the canonical analysis of non-linear sigma model that describes motion of non-relativistic string on stringy Newton-Cartan background. We determine structure of constraints of this string and compare resulting Hamiltonian with previous proposal of non-relativistic string on Newton-Cartan background.

Contents

1. Introduction and Summary	1
2. Non-Linear Sigma Model on Stringy Newton-Cartan Geometry	2
3. Hamiltonian Formulation of String in Stringy Newton-Cartan Background	4
4. Second Class Constraints and Their Solution	9

1. Introduction and Summary

In [1, 2] non-relativistic string theory with Galilean invariant global symmetry was proposed¹. This theory is described by two-dimensional quantum field theory which is well defined and which contain fields that describe dynamics of string in target space-time together with additional fields which are crucial for consistency of string theory. It is important to stress that the target space-time, where the string propagates corresponds to flat space-time invariant under Galilean symmetry. The characteristic property of non-relativistic string theory is that there is no Riemannian metric in the target space. In fact, non-relativistic string theory provides a quantization of non-relativistic space-time geometry in the same way as relativistic string theory provides quantization of Riemannian geometry. Natural question is whether we can formulate non-linear sigma model that describes string propagation on a non-relativistic target space-time structure. As was shown recently in [17] the appropriate geometry corresponds to so-called stringy Newton-Cartan geometry [18]².

The action proposed in [17] is very interesting and certainly deserves further study. In particular, it would be very nice to find Hamiltonian form of this action and analyze its relation to Hamiltonian that was proposed recently in [26]. The goal of this paper is to perform such an analysis. It turns out that the canonical analysis of the action proposed in [17] is rather non-trivial due to the complicated structure of the target space-time and also thanks to the presence of additional world-sheet fields that are needed for the consistency of theory. Since these fields are non-dynamical we find that their conjugate momenta are the primary constraints of the theory. Then requirement of the preservation of these primary constraints implies secondary constraints that together with primary constraints are second class constraints. Hence they can be explicitly solved with very interesting

¹For related works, see for example [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

²For related works, that analyze point particles or extended objects in Newton-Cartan geometry or its stringy generalizations, see for example [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

result. In more details, in order to find Hamiltonian formulation of the action proposed in [17] we should find metric inverse to the boost invariant metric that defines string sigma model in stringy Newton-Cartan gravity. It turns out that crucial object for construction of such a metric is matrix valued Newton potential which is natural generalization of Newton potential defined in Newton-Cartan geometry. Then we will be able to find corresponding Hamiltonian and diffeomorphism constraints and we show that they are the first class constraints. As a next step we proceed to the solution of the second class constraints. It turns out that when we solve these constraints and insert this result into the original Hamiltonian constraint we find that the resulting constraint agrees with the Hamiltonian constraint found in [26] which is very nice consistency check of both approaches. Note that the Hamiltonian found in [26] was derived with the help of the limiting procedure that defines Newton-Cartan geometry from the relativistic one [27]. Finally we determine Lagrangian from corresponding Hamiltonian and we find that it agrees exactly with the Lagrangian found in [18] which is again very nice consistency check.

Let us outline our results and suggest possible extension of this work. We find canonical structure of non-linear sigma model proposed recently in [17]. We determine all constraints and we identify Hamiltonian and spatial diffeomorphism constraints and calculate Poisson brackets between them. We also determine second class constraints and perform their explicit solutions which gives the Hamiltonian constraint that agrees with the constraint found in [26].

The next important step in our canonical formulation of non-relativistic string theory is to perform analysis of T-duality since, as was shown in [17], T-duality of non-relativistic string theory is more complex than in case of its relativistic version. This analysis is currently in progress.

The structure of this paper is following. In the next section (2) we review basic facts about stringy Newton-Cartan geometry and non-linear sigma model defined on it, following [17]. Then in section (3) we perform canonical analysis of this theory. Finally in section (4) we explicitly solve second class constraint and determine corresponding Hamiltonian.

2. Non-Linear Sigma Model on Stringy Newton-Cartan Geometry

In this section we define stringy Newton-Cartan geometry, following [17]. Let \mathcal{M} is $D + 1$ dimensional manifold and let \mathcal{T}_p is tangent space at point p . We decompose \mathcal{T}_p into longitudinal directions indexed by $A = 0, 1$ and transverse directions with $A' = 2, \dots, d - 1$. Two dimensional foliation of \mathcal{M} is defined by generalized clock function τ_μ^A that is also known as longitudinal vielbein field that satisfies a constraint

$$D_\mu \tau_\nu^A - D_\nu \tau_\mu^A = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where D_μ is covariant derivative with respect to the longitudinal Lorentz transformations acting on index A . Let us also introduce transverse vielbein field $E_\mu^{A'}$. We further introduce projective inverse τ_A^μ and $E_{A'}^\mu$ that are defined as

$$E_\mu^{A'} E_{B'}^\mu = \delta_{B'}^{A'}, \quad \tau_A^\mu \tau_\mu^B = \delta_A^B, \quad \tau_\mu^A \tau_A^\nu + E_\mu^{A'} E_{A'}^\nu = \delta_\mu^\nu,$$

$$\tau_A^\mu E_\mu^{A'} = 0, \quad \tau_\mu^A E_{A'}^\mu = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\Sigma_A^{A'}$ is a parameter of string Galilei boost transformations. Then various components of NC geometry transform in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Sigma \tau_\mu^A &= 0, \quad \delta_\Sigma E_\mu^{A'} = -\tau_\mu^A \Sigma_A^{A'}, \\ \delta_\Sigma \tau_A^\mu &= E_{A'}^\mu \Sigma_A^{A'}, \quad \delta_\Sigma E_{A'}^\mu = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

From vielbein we can construct longitudinal metric $\tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_\mu^A \tau_\nu^B \eta_{AB}$ and transverse metric $h^{\mu\nu} = E_{A'}^\mu E_{B'}^\nu \delta^{A'B'}$ that are invariant under string Galilean boost transformations.

It is clear that in order to define string moving in stringy Newton-Cartan background we need transverse tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ that is invariant under the string Galilei boost. It turns out that this can be done when we introduce gauge field m_μ^A and we can construct boost invariant tensor

$$H_{\mu\nu} = E_\mu^{A'} E_\nu^{B'} \delta_{A'B'} + (\tau_\mu^A m_\nu^B + \tau_\nu^A m_\mu^B) \eta_{AB}. \quad (2.4)$$

In conclusion, $\tau_\mu^A, E_\mu^{A'}$ and m_μ^A defines stringy Newton-Cartan geometry.

Now we are ready to proceed to the string sigma model that was introduced in [17]. An important point is that this model is relativistic on two-dimensional world-sheet and hence it should be defined on the Riemann surface Σ . It turns out that this action contains world-sheet scalars x^μ that parameterize an embedding string into target space time together with two world-sheet scalars that we denote as λ and $\bar{\lambda}$. These fields are needed for the realization of string Galilei symmetry on the world-sheet theory.

Now we will be more explicit. Let $\sigma^\alpha, \alpha = 0, 1$ parameterize world-sheet surface Σ . The sigma model is endowed with two dimensional world-sheet metric $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ and we introduce two dimensional vielbein $e_\alpha^a, a = 0, 1$ so that

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = e_\alpha^a e_\beta^b \eta_{ab}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, 1)$. Using light-cone coordinates for the flat index a on the world-sheet tangent space we define

$$e_\alpha \equiv e_\alpha^0 + e_\alpha^1, \quad \bar{e}_\alpha \equiv e_\alpha^0 - e_\alpha^1. \quad (2.6)$$

We can also use light-cone coordinates for the flat index A on the space-time tangent space \mathcal{T}_p and define

$$\tau_\mu \equiv \tau_\mu^0 + \tau_\mu^1, \quad \bar{\tau}_\mu \equiv \tau_\mu^0 - \tau_\mu^1. \quad (2.7)$$

Then we are ready to write sigma model for non-relativistic string on an arbitrary string Newton-Cartan geometry, nonrelativistic Kalb-Ramond B-field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and dilaton field ϕ in the form [17]

$$\begin{aligned} S = & -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma (\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu H_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} (\lambda e_\alpha \tau_\mu + \bar{\lambda} \bar{e}_\alpha \bar{\tau}_\mu) \partial_\beta x^\mu) - \\ & -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-h} R \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $\gamma = \det \gamma_{\alpha\beta}$, $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ is inverse to $\gamma_{\beta\alpha}$, R is scalar curvature of $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ and T is string tension. Further, $\partial_\alpha x^\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^\alpha} x^\mu$. In what follows we restrict to the case of constant dilaton field so that the last term on the second line in (2.8) is total derivative and will be ignored. It is important to stress that λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are world-sheet scalars under change of world-sheet coordinates $\sigma'^\alpha(\sigma)$. Explicitly, under such transformations we have

$$\gamma'_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma') = \frac{\partial \sigma^\gamma}{\partial \sigma'^\alpha} \frac{\partial \sigma^\delta}{\partial \sigma'^\beta} \gamma_{\gamma\delta}(\sigma) , \quad \lambda'(\sigma') = \lambda(\sigma) , \quad \bar{\lambda}'(\sigma') = \bar{\lambda}(\sigma) , \quad x'^\mu(\sigma') = x^\mu(\sigma) . \quad (2.9)$$

Further, $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ is Levi-Chivita symbol defined as $\epsilon^{01} = -\epsilon^{10} = 1$.

After this review of string sigma model in stringy Newton-Cartan background we now proceed to its Hamiltonian formulation.

3. Hamiltonian Formulation of String in Stringy Newton-Cartan Background

The presence of two dimensional vielbeins e_α^a makes the analysis slightly complicated and hence it is important to choose suitable parametrization. To do this we use convention introduced in [28, 29]. Explicitly, let us define e_α and \bar{e}_α as

$$e_\alpha^0 = \frac{1}{2}(e_\alpha + \bar{e}_\alpha) , \quad e_\alpha^1 = \frac{1}{2}(e_\alpha - \bar{e}_\alpha) . \quad (3.1)$$

Then it is easy to see that $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = e_\alpha^a e_\beta^b \eta_{ab}$ has the form

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}(e_\alpha \bar{e}_\beta + \bar{e}_\alpha e_\beta) \quad (3.2)$$

and also $\gamma = \det \gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ is equal to

$$\gamma = -\frac{1}{4}(e_0 \bar{e}_1 - \bar{e}_0 e_1)^2 . \quad (3.3)$$

Then inverse metric $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ has components

$$\gamma^{00} = \frac{4e_1 \bar{e}_1}{(e_0 \bar{e}_1 - \bar{e}_0 e_1)^2} , \quad \gamma^{11} = \frac{4e_0 \bar{e}_0}{(e_0 \bar{e}_1 - \bar{e}_0 e_1)^2} , \quad \gamma^{01} = -2 \frac{e_0 \bar{e}_1 + e_1 \bar{e}_0}{(e_0 \bar{e}_1 - \bar{e}_0 e_1)^2} . \quad (3.4)$$

As the next step we introduce following variables [28, 29]

$$\xi = \ln(-e_1 \bar{e}_1) , \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(-\frac{e_1}{\bar{e}_1} \right) , \quad \Gamma^+ = \frac{e_0}{e_1} , \quad \Gamma^- = -\frac{\bar{e}_0}{\bar{e}_1} \quad (3.5)$$

with following inverse relation

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi+2\epsilon)} , \quad \bar{e}_1 = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi-2\epsilon)} , \\ e_0 &= \Gamma^+ e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi+2\epsilon)} , \quad \bar{e}_0 = -\Gamma^- e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi-2\epsilon)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and hence we obtain

$$\sqrt{-\gamma}\gamma^{00} = -\frac{2}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-}, \quad \sqrt{-\gamma}\gamma^{11} = \frac{2\Gamma^+\Gamma^-}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-}, \quad \sqrt{-\gamma}\gamma^{01} = \frac{\Gamma^+ - \Gamma^-}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-}. \quad (3.7)$$

With the help of these relations we rewrite the action (2.8) into the form

$$\begin{aligned} S = & T \int d^2\sigma \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-} \dot{x}^\mu - \Gamma^+ x'^\mu \right) (\dot{x}^\nu + \Gamma^- x'^\nu) H_{\mu\nu} - \\ & - \frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma (\lambda \Gamma^+ e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi+2\epsilon)} \tau_\mu x'^\mu - \lambda e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi+2\epsilon)} \tau_\mu \dot{x}^\mu) - \\ & - \frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma (-\bar{\lambda} \Gamma^- e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi-2\epsilon)} \bar{\tau}_\mu x'^\mu - \bar{\lambda} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi-2\epsilon)} \bar{\tau}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu) - \\ & - T \int d^2\sigma \dot{x}^\mu x'^\nu B_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\dot{x}^\mu \equiv \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^0}$, $x'^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^1}$. From the form of the action (3.8) we see that it is natural to perform rescaling of $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$ as

$$\lambda^+ = \lambda e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi+2\epsilon)}, \quad \lambda^- = \lambda e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi-2\epsilon)} \quad (3.9)$$

and hence the action (3.8) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} S = & T \int d^2\sigma \frac{1}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-} (\dot{x}^\mu - \Gamma^+ x'^\mu) (\dot{x}^\nu + \Gamma^- x'^\nu) H_{\mu\nu} - T \int d^2\sigma \dot{x}^\mu x'^\nu B_{\mu\nu} - \\ & - \frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma (\lambda^+ \Gamma^+ \tau_\mu x'^\mu - \lambda^+ \tau_\mu \dot{x}^\mu) + \frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma (\lambda^- \Gamma^- \bar{\tau}_\mu x'^\mu + \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Before we proceed to the canonical formalism we would like to analyze the action (3.10) in more details. Let us determine equation of motion for λ^+ and λ^- that follow from (3.10)

$$\Gamma^+ \tau_\mu x'^\mu - \tau_\mu \dot{x}^\mu = 0, \quad \Gamma^- \bar{\tau}_\mu x'^\mu + \bar{\tau}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

If we combine these equations together we obtain

$$\Gamma^+ \Gamma^- = -\frac{\tau_{\tau\tau}}{\tau_{\sigma\sigma}}, \quad \Gamma^+ - \Gamma^- = 2\frac{\tau_{\tau\sigma}}{\tau_{\sigma\sigma}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu, \quad \tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_\mu^A \tau_\nu^B \eta_{AB}. \quad (3.13)$$

Equations (3.12) can be solved as

$$\Gamma^- = \frac{-\tau_{\tau\sigma} + \sqrt{-\det \tau}}{\tau_{\sigma\sigma}}, \quad \Gamma^+ = \frac{\tau_{\tau\sigma} + \sqrt{-\det \tau}}{\tau_{\sigma\sigma}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Inserting this result into the action (3.10) we finally obtain

$$S = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\det \tau} \tau^{\alpha\beta} H_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu - T \int d^2\sigma \dot{x}^\mu x'^\mu B_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.15)$$

which corresponds to the non-relativistic string action as was formulated in [18].

Let us now proceed to the canonical formalism. From (3.10) we obtain following conjugate momenta

$$p_\mu = T \frac{1}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-} (2\dot{x}^\nu + (\Gamma^- - \Gamma^+) x'^\nu) H_{\nu\mu} - T B_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu + \frac{T}{2} \lambda^+ \tau_\mu + \frac{T}{2} \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu, \quad (3.16)$$

or equivalently

$$\Pi_\mu = \frac{2T}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-} H_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\nu, \quad \Pi_\mu = p_\mu - T \frac{\Gamma^- - \Gamma^+}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-} H_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu + T B_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu - \frac{T}{2} \lambda^+ \tau_\mu - \frac{T}{2} \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu. \quad (3.17)$$

Remaining conjugate momenta are primary constraints of the theory

$$\begin{aligned} p_+^\Gamma &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\Gamma}^+} \approx 0, & p_-^\Gamma &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\Gamma}^-} \approx 0, \\ p_+^\lambda &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\lambda}^+} \approx 0, & p_-^\lambda &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\lambda}^-} \approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Now using (3.16) we obtain Hamiltonian density in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - \mathcal{L} \\ &= \frac{T}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-} (\dot{x}^\mu H_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\nu + \Gamma^+ \Gamma^- H_{\mu\nu} x'^\mu x'^\nu) + \frac{T}{2} \lambda^+ \Gamma^+ \tau_\mu x'^\mu - \frac{T}{2} \lambda^- \Gamma^- \tau_\mu x'^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Of course, this is not correct form of the Hamiltonian density since it does not depend on canonical variables p_μ, x^μ . In order to express it in the right form we have to find relation between \dot{x}^μ and p_μ . In order to solve this problem let us observe that we have following relation

$$H_{\mu\rho} h^{\rho\sigma} H_{\sigma\nu} = H_{\mu\nu} + \tau_\mu^A \Phi_{AB} \tau_\nu^B, \quad (3.20)$$

where we defined matrix valued Newton potential Φ_{AB} as

$$\Phi_{AB} = -\tau_A^\sigma m_\sigma^C \eta_{CB} - \eta_{AC} m_\rho^C \tau_B^\rho + \eta_{AC} m_\rho^C h^{\rho\sigma} m_\sigma^D \eta_{DB}. \quad (3.21)$$

Let us further define $\hat{\tau}_A^\mu$ as

$$\hat{\tau}_A^\mu = \tau_A^\mu - h^{\mu\rho} m_\rho^B \eta_{BA}. \quad (3.22)$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\hat{\tau}_A^\mu \Pi_\mu = -\frac{2T}{\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-} \Phi_{AB} \tau_\nu^B \dot{x}^\nu . \quad (3.23)$$

To proceed further we will presume that Φ_{AB} is non-singular matrix so that we can introduce its inverse in the form

$$(\Phi^{-1})^{AB} = \frac{1}{\det \Phi_{AB}} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{11} & -\Phi_{01} \\ -\Phi_{01} & \Phi_{00} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.24)$$

Now if we combine (3.20) with (3.23) we find that the inverse metric $H^{\mu\nu}$ to $H_{\mu\nu}$ has the form

$$H^{\mu\nu} \equiv h^{\mu\nu} - \hat{\tau}_A^\mu (\Phi^{-1})^{AB} \hat{\tau}_B^\nu , \quad H^{\mu\nu} H_{\nu\rho} = \delta_\rho^\mu . \quad (3.25)$$

then it is easy to determine canonical Hamiltonian from (3.19) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H = \int d\sigma \mathcal{H} , \quad \mathcal{H} = & \frac{(\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-)}{4T} \pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \pi_\nu + \frac{T}{4} (\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-) x'^\mu H_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma^- - \Gamma^+) x'^\mu \pi_\mu - \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-) \pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^+ \tau_\nu + \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\nu) + \\ & + \frac{T}{4} (\Gamma^- + \Gamma^+) x'^\mu (\lambda^+ \tau_\mu - \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu) + \frac{T}{16} (\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-) (\lambda^+ \tau_\mu + \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu) H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^- \tau_\nu + \bar{\lambda}^- \tau_\nu) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$\pi_\mu = p_\mu + TB_{\mu\rho} x'^\rho . \quad (3.27)$$

Finally we introduce two variables N and N^σ defined as

$$N = \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma^+ + \Gamma^-) , \quad N^\sigma = \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma^+ - \Gamma^-) \quad (3.28)$$

so that we find final form of the Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H} = N \mathcal{H}_\tau + N^\sigma \mathcal{H}_\sigma , \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\tau = & \frac{1}{T} \pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \pi_\nu + T x'^\mu H_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu - \pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^+ \tau_\nu + \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\nu) + \\ & + T x'^\mu (\lambda^+ \tau_\mu - \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu) + \frac{T}{4} (\lambda^+ \tau_\mu + \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu) H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^- \tau_\nu + \bar{\lambda}^- \tau_\nu) , \\ \mathcal{H}_\sigma = & x'^\mu p_\mu . \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Let us now proceed to the analysis of the requirement of the preservation of all primary constraints. In case of the constraints $p_N \approx 0$, $p_\sigma \approx 0$ which are momenta conjugate to N and N^σ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_N = \{p_N, H\} = -\mathcal{H}_\tau & \approx 0 , \\ \dot{p}_\sigma = \{p_\sigma, H\} = -\mathcal{H}_\sigma & \approx 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

while requirement of the preservation of the constraints $p_+^\lambda \approx 0$, $p_-^\lambda \approx 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{p}_+^\lambda &= \{p_+^\lambda, H\} = \pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu - T x'^\mu \tau_\mu - \frac{T}{2} \tau_\mu H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^- \tau_\nu + \lambda^+ \tau_\nu) \equiv \mathcal{G}_+^\lambda \approx 0, \\ \dot{p}_-^\lambda &= \{p_-^\lambda, H\} = \pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \bar{\tau}_\nu + T x'^\mu \bar{\tau}_\mu - \frac{T}{2} \bar{\tau}_\mu H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^- \tau_\nu + \bar{\lambda}^- \bar{\tau}_\nu) \equiv \mathcal{G}_-^\lambda \approx 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

Let us now analyze constraints $\mathcal{H}_\sigma \approx 0$, $\mathcal{H}_\tau \approx 0$ in more details. Since we can anticipate that $\mathcal{H}_\sigma \approx 0$ is generator of spatial diffeomorphism it is natural to extend it in the following way

$$\mathcal{H}_\sigma \rightarrow p_\mu x'^\mu + \lambda'^+ p_+^\lambda + \lambda'^- p_-^\lambda\tag{3.33}$$

and introduce its smeared form

$$\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma) = \int d\sigma N^\sigma \mathcal{H}_\sigma\tag{3.34}$$

together with smeared form of the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathbf{T}_\tau(N) = \int d\sigma N \mathcal{H}_\tau$. Note that $\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma)$ has non-zero Poisson bracket with canonical variables

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma), x^\mu\} &= -N^\sigma x'^\mu, \quad \{\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma), p_\mu\} = -(N^\sigma p_\mu)', \\ \{\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma), \lambda^\pm\} &= -N^\sigma \lambda'^\pm, \quad \{\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma), p_\pm^\lambda\} = -(N^\sigma p_\pm^\lambda)'. \end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\{\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma), \mathbf{T}_\sigma(M^\sigma)\} = \mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma M'^\sigma - M^\sigma N'^\sigma).\tag{3.36}$$

In the same way we obtain

$$\{\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma), \mathcal{H}_\tau\} = -2N'^\sigma \mathcal{H}_\tau - N^\sigma \mathcal{H}'_\tau\tag{3.37}$$

or equivalently

$$\{\mathbf{T}_\sigma(N^\sigma), \mathbf{T}_\tau(M)\} = \mathbf{T}_\tau(N^\sigma M' - M N'^\sigma).\tag{3.38}$$

Finally we calculate Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathbf{T}_\tau(N), \mathbf{T}_\tau(M)\} &= \int d\sigma (NM' - MN') (p_\mu x'^\mu - 2x'^\mu (\lambda^+ \tau_\mu + \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\mu) + \\ &\quad + 2\pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^+ \tau_\nu - \bar{\lambda}^- \bar{\tau}_\nu)) - T (\lambda^+ \tau_\mu - \bar{\lambda}^- \bar{\tau}_\mu) H^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^+ \tau_\nu + \lambda^- \bar{\tau}_\nu) = \\ &= \int d\sigma (NM' - MN') (\mathcal{H}_\sigma + 2(\lambda^+ \mathcal{G}_+^\lambda - \lambda^- \mathcal{G}_-^\lambda))\end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

that vanishes on the constraint surface $\mathcal{H}_\sigma \approx 0$, $\mathcal{G}_+^\lambda \approx 0$, $\mathcal{G}_-^\lambda \approx 0$. Collecting all these results together we find that $\mathcal{H}_\tau \approx 0$, $\mathcal{H}_\sigma \approx 0$ are the first class constraints which is an expected result since the action (2.8) defines relativistic theory on two-dimensional world-sheet Σ .

4. Second Class Constraints and Their Solution

Now we analyze the constraints $\mathcal{G}_+^\lambda \approx 0$, $\mathcal{G}_-^\lambda \approx 0$ in more details. First of all we show that $\mathcal{G}_+^\lambda \approx 0$, $\mathcal{G}_-^\lambda \approx 0$ are second class constraints together with $p_+^\lambda \approx 0$ and $p_-^\lambda \approx 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ p_+^\lambda(\sigma), \mathcal{G}_+^\lambda(\sigma') \right\} &= \frac{T}{2} \tau_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu \delta(\sigma - \sigma') , & \left\{ p_+^\lambda(\sigma), \mathcal{G}_-^\lambda(\sigma') \right\} &= \frac{T}{2} \bar{\tau}_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu \delta(\sigma - \sigma') , \\ \left\{ p_-^\lambda(\sigma), \mathcal{G}_+^\lambda(\sigma') \right\} &= \frac{T}{2} \tau_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \bar{\tau}_\nu \delta(\sigma - \sigma') , & \left\{ p_-^\lambda(\sigma), \mathcal{G}_-^\lambda(\sigma') \right\} &= \frac{T}{2} \bar{\tau}_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \bar{\tau}_\nu \delta(\sigma - \sigma') . \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Clearly there is also non-zero Poisson bracket between $\mathcal{G}_+^\lambda \approx 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_-^\lambda \approx 0$. Let us now introduce common notation for the second class constraint as $\Psi_A \equiv (p_+^\lambda, p_-^\lambda, \mathcal{G}_+^\lambda, \mathcal{G}_-^\lambda)$. Then the matrix of Poisson brackets between these constraints has schematic form

$$\Delta_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & W \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4.2)$$

where X, Y, W are 2×2 matrices that have generally inverse matrices³. Then the inverse matrix has the form

$$\Delta^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y^{-1}W X^{-1} & Y^{-1} \\ X^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \Delta_{AB} \Delta^{BC} = \delta_A^C . \quad (4.3)$$

If we now calculate Dirac bracket between x^μ and p_ν we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{x^\mu, p_\nu\}_D &= \{x^\mu, p_\nu\} - \{x^\mu, \Psi_A\} \Delta^{AB} \{\Psi_B, p_\nu\} = \\ &= \{x^\mu, p_\nu\} - (0, 0, *, *) \begin{pmatrix} -Y^{-1}W X^{-1} & Y^{-1} \\ X^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} (0, 0, *, *)^T = \{x^\mu, p_\nu\} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $*$ means non-zero entry whose explicit form is not important. From this result we see that Dirac brackets between x^μ and p_ν coincide with corresponding Poisson brackets. Now we are ready to solve the second class constraints $\mathcal{G}_+^\lambda \approx 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_-^\lambda \approx 0$. First of all we introduce part of the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H}_\tau \approx 0$ that depends on λ^+ and λ^- as

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau^\lambda = A\lambda^+ + B\lambda^- + \frac{T}{4}((\lambda^+)^2 X + 2\lambda^+ \lambda^- Y + (\lambda^-)^2 W) , \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu + T x'^\mu \tau_\mu , & B &= -\pi_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \bar{\tau}_\nu - T x'^\mu \bar{\tau}_\mu , \\ X &= \tau_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu , & Y &= \bar{\tau}_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu , & W &= \bar{\tau}_\mu H^{\mu\nu} \bar{\tau}_\nu . \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

³Of course, each entry of these matrices is infinite dimensional since it depends generally on σ and σ' . However for our purposes this schematic form is sufficient.

Using this notation we can write the solution of the second class constraints $\mathcal{G}_+^\lambda = 0$, $\mathcal{G}_-^\lambda = 0$ in the form

$$\lambda^- = -\frac{2}{T} \frac{AY - BX}{Y^2 - XW}, \quad \lambda^+ = -\frac{2}{T} \frac{BY - AW}{Y^2 - XW}. \quad (4.7)$$

Then inserting this result into \mathcal{H}_τ^λ we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau^\lambda(\text{onshell}) = \frac{1}{T(Y^2 - XW)} (A^2W + B^2X - 2ABY), \quad (4.8)$$

where explicit calculations give

$$\begin{aligned} X &= -(\Phi^{-1})^{00} - 2(\Phi^{-1})^{01} - (\Phi^{-1})^{10}, \quad Y = (\Phi^{-1})^{AB} \eta_{BA}, \\ W &= -(\Phi^{-1})^{00} + 2(\Phi^{-1})^{01} - (\Phi^{-1})^{11} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

so that

$$Y^2 - XW = -\frac{4}{\det \Phi_{AB}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Then after some complicated calculations and with the help of the explicit form of A and B given in (4.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\tau^\lambda(\text{onshell}) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \pi_\mu \hat{\tau}^\mu_A (\Phi^{-1})^{AB} \hat{\tau}^\nu_B \pi_\nu - 2\pi_\mu \hat{\tau}^\mu_A \epsilon^{AB} \eta_{BC} \tau_\sigma^C + T \tau_\sigma^A \Phi_{AB} \tau_\sigma^B - T \tau_\sigma^A \tau_\sigma^B \eta_{AB} \Phi_{CD} \eta^{CD}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $\tau_\sigma^A \equiv x'^\mu \tau_\mu^A$. Inserting (4.11) into (3.30) we obtain Hamiltonian constraint that depends on the canonical variables x^μ and p_μ only

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\tau^{\text{sol}} &= \frac{1}{T} \pi_\mu h^{\mu\nu} p_\nu + T x'^\mu H_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu \\ &\quad - 2\pi_\mu \hat{\tau}^\mu_A \epsilon^{AB} \eta_{BC} \tau_\sigma^C + T \tau_\sigma^A \Phi_{AB} \tau_\sigma^B - T \tau_\sigma^A \tau_\sigma^B \eta_{AB} \Phi_{CD} \eta^{CD}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $\tau_\sigma^A \equiv x'^\mu \tau_\mu^A$. The form of the Hamiltonian constraint (4.12) coincides with the Hamiltonian constraint found in [26] where non-relativistic string in stringy Newton-Cartan background was defined with the help of the limiting procedure that defines Newton-Cartan geometry from the relativistic one. We mean that this is very nice consistency check of both approaches.

Finally we would like to check the analysis further and try to determine corresponding Lagrangian density. Using canonical equation of motion we get

$$\dot{x}^\mu = \{x^\mu, H\} = \frac{2N}{T} h^{\mu\nu} \pi_\nu - 2N \hat{\tau}^\mu_A \epsilon^{AB} \eta_{BC} \tau_\sigma^C + N^\sigma \partial_\sigma x^\mu, \quad (4.13)$$

where $H = \int d\sigma (N\mathcal{H}_\tau^{sol} + N^\sigma \mathcal{H}_\sigma)$. Then we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - N\mathcal{H}_\tau^{sol} - N^\sigma \mathcal{H}_\sigma = \\ &= \frac{N}{T} \pi_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \pi_\nu - T\tau_\sigma^A \Phi_{AB} \tau_\sigma^B + T\tau_\sigma^A \tau_\sigma^B \Phi_{AB} - Tx'^\mu H_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu - T\partial_\tau x^\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\sigma x^\nu .\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

To proceed further we will now follow [26] and introduce $\hat{E}_\mu^{A'}$ defined as

$$\hat{E}_\mu^{A'} = E_\mu^{A'} + m_\nu^A E_{C'}^\nu \delta^{C'A'} \tau_\mu^B \eta_{BA}\tag{4.15}$$

that obeys an important relation

$$\hat{E}_\mu^{A'} \hat{\tau}_B^\mu = 0 .\tag{4.16}$$

Then it is easy to express Lagrangian density (4.14) as function of x^μ and $\partial_\alpha x^\mu$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \frac{T}{4N} (\dot{x}^\mu - N^\sigma x'^\mu) \hat{E}_\mu^{A'} \delta_{A'B'} \hat{E}_\nu^{B'} (\dot{x}^\nu - N^\sigma x'^\nu) - \\ &- TN\tau_\sigma^A \Phi_{AB} \tau_\sigma^B + TN\tau_\sigma^A \tau_\sigma^B \eta_{AB} \Phi_{CD} \eta^{CD} - TNx'^\mu H_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu - T\partial_\tau x^\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\sigma x^\nu .\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

As the next step we determine Lagrange multipliers N and N^σ . It turns out that these multipliers are determined by equations of motion for x^μ . In fact, if we multiply this equation by $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ we obtain

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} (\dot{x}^\nu - N^\sigma x'^\nu) = -2N\tau_\mu^E \epsilon_{ED} \tau_\sigma^D .\tag{4.18}$$

If we further multiply this result with x'^μ and use an antisymmetry of ϵ_{AB} we obtain

$$N^\sigma = \frac{\tau_{\tau\sigma}}{\tau_{\sigma\sigma}} .\tag{4.19}$$

If we manipulate with (4.18) further we get

$$(\dot{x}^\mu - N^\sigma x'^\mu) \tau_{\mu\nu} (\dot{x}^\nu - N^\sigma x'^\nu) = -4N^2 \tau_{\sigma\sigma}\tag{4.20}$$

that can be written as

$$\tau_{\tau\tau} - 2N^\sigma \tau_{\sigma\tau} + (N^\sigma)^2 \tau_{\sigma\sigma} = -4N^2 \tau_{\sigma\sigma} .\tag{4.21}$$

This equation can be solved for N when we take into account the result (4.19) and we obtain

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{-\det \tau_{\alpha\beta}}}{\tau_{\sigma\sigma}} .\tag{4.22}$$

Inserting (4.19) and (4.22) into (4.17) we obtain Lagrangian density in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{T}{2} \sqrt{-\det \tau_{\alpha\beta}} \tau^{\alpha\beta} H_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{T}{2} \sqrt{-\det \tau_{\alpha\beta}} \tau_\alpha^{\alpha\beta} \tau_\alpha^A \Phi_{AB} \tau_\beta^B \\ &+ \frac{T}{2} \sqrt{-\det \tau_{\alpha\beta}} \Phi_{AB} \eta^{AB} - Tx'^\mu B_{\mu\nu} x'^\nu ,\end{aligned}\tag{4.23}$$

where $H_{\alpha\beta} = H_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha x^\mu\partial_\beta x^\nu$, $\tau_\alpha^A = \partial_\alpha x^\mu\tau_\mu^A$ and where we used the fact that

$$\hat{E}_\mu^{A'}\delta_{A'B'}\hat{E}_\nu^{B'} = H_{\mu\nu} + \tau_\mu^A\Phi_{AB}\tau_\nu^B. \quad (4.24)$$

We see that this Lagrangian density almost coincides with the Lagrangian density found [18] up to terms that contain matrix valued Newton potential Φ_{AB} . Now we are going to argue that these terms cancel each other. In fact, note that $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined as

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_\alpha^A\tau_\beta^B\eta_{AB}, \quad (4.25)$$

where τ_α^A is 2×2 matrix. Now since $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ is non-singular so that τ_α^A is non-singular as well and hence we can introduce an inverse matrix τ_A^β that obeys the relation

$$\tau_A^\alpha\tau_\alpha^B = \delta_A^B. \quad (4.26)$$

Then we can define $\tau^{\alpha\beta}$ as

$$\tau^{\alpha\beta} = \tau_A^\alpha\tau_B^\beta\eta_{AB} \quad (4.27)$$

that obeys

$$\tau^{\alpha\beta}\tau_\beta^A = \tau_C^\alpha\eta^{CA}, \quad (4.28)$$

and hence

$$\tau^{\alpha\beta}\tau_\beta^B\tau_\alpha^A = \tau_\beta^B\tau_C^\beta\eta^{CA} = \eta^{BA}. \quad (4.29)$$

With the help of these results it is easy to see that contributions to the Lagrangian density (4.23) that depend on Φ_{AB} cancel each other and hence the Lagrangian density has the final form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{T}{2}\sqrt{-\det\tau_{\alpha\beta}}\tau^{\alpha\beta}H_{\alpha\beta} - T\dot{x}^\mu B_{\mu\nu}x'^\nu \quad (4.30)$$

which is Lagrangian density proposed in [18]. This result again confirms validity of our approach.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the grant P201/12/G028.

References

- [1] J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, “*Nonrelativistic closed string theory*,” J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 3127 doi:10.1063/1.1372697 [hep-th/0009181].
- [2] U. H. Danielsson, A. Guijosa and M. Kruczenski, “*IIA/B, wound and wrapped*,” JHEP **0010** (2000) 020 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/10/020 [hep-th/0009182].
- [3] J. Gomis and P. K. Townsend, “*The Galilean Superstring*,” JHEP **1702** (2017) 105 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2017)105 [arXiv:1612.02759 [hep-th]].
- [4] C. Batlle, J. Gomis and D. Not, “*Extended Galilean symmetries of non-relativistic strings*,” JHEP **1702** (2017) 049 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2017)049 [arXiv:1611.00026 [hep-th]].

- [5] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “*Holography of Non-relativistic String on $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$* ,” JHEP **0802** (2008) 092 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/092 [arXiv:0712.4112 [hep-th]].
- [6] R. Casalbuoni, J. Gomis and G. Longhi, “*Exotic Non-relativistic String*,” Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 126004 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.126004 [arXiv:0709.4385 [hep-th]].
- [7] B. S. Kim, “*World Sheet Commuting beta gamma CFT and Non-Relativistic String Theories*,” Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 106007 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.106007 [arXiv:0708.4261 [hep-th]].
- [8] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “*Non-relativistic string and D-branes on $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$ from semiclassical approximation*,” JHEP **0705** (2007) 051 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/05/051 [hep-th/0703061 [HEP-TH]].
- [9] J. Kluson, “*Non-Relativistic Non-BPS D_p -brane*,” Nucl. Phys. B **765** (2007) 185 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.12.010 [hep-th/0610073].
- [10] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “*Non-relativistic AdS branes and Newton-Hooke superalgebra*,” JHEP **0610** (2006) 078 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/10/078 [hep-th/0605124].
- [11] J. Bruges, J. Gomis and K. Kamimura, “*Newton-Hooke algebras, non-relativistic branes and generalized pp-wave metrics*,” Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 085011 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.085011 [hep-th/0603023].
- [12] J. Gomis, F. Passerini, T. Ramirez and A. Van Proeyen, “*Non relativistic D_p branes*,” JHEP **0510** (2005) 007 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/10/007 [hep-th/0507135].
- [13] J. Gomis, J. Gomis and K. Kamimura, “*Non-relativistic superstrings: A New soluble sector of $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$* ,” JHEP **0512** (2005) 024 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/12/024 [hep-th/0507036].
- [14] J. Gomis and F. Passerini, “*Rotating solutions of non-relativistic string theory*,” Phys. Lett. B **617** (2005) 182 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2005.04.061 [hep-th/0411195].
- [15] J. Gomis, K. Kamimura and P. K. Townsend, “*Non-relativistic superbranes*,” JHEP **0411** (2004) 051 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/051 [hep-th/0409219].
- [16] J. Bruges, T. Curtright, J. Gomis and L. Mezincescu, “*Non-relativistic strings and branes as non-linear realizations of Galilei groups*,” Phys. Lett. B **594** (2004) 227 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.05.024 [hep-th/0404175].
- [17] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis and Z. Yan, “*Nonrelativistic String Theory and T-Duality*,” arXiv:1806.06071 [hep-th].
- [18] R. Andringa, E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis and M. de Roo, “*‘Stringy’ Newton-Cartan Gravity*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **29** (2012) 235020 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/23/235020 [arXiv:1206.5176 [hep-th]].
- [19] J. Kluso, “*Remark About Non-Relativistic String in Newton-Cartan Background and Null Reduction*,” JHEP **1805** (2018) 041 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2018)041 [arXiv:1803.07336 [hep-th]].
- [20] J. Kluson, “*Note about Hamiltonian formalism for NewtonCartan string and p-brane*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **78** (2018) no.6, 511 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5993-8 [arXiv:1712.07430 [hep-th]].

- [21] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni and J. Gomis, “*Non-relativistic Spinning Particle in a Newton-Cartan Background*,” JHEP **1801** (2018) 002 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2018)002 [arXiv:1710.10970 [hep-th]].
- [22] J. Kluson, “*Canonical Analysis of Non-Relativistic Particle and Superparticle*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **78** (2018) no.2, 117 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5609-3 [arXiv:1709.09405 [hep-th]].
- [23] J. Kluson, “*Remark About Non-Relativistic p-Brane*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **78** (2018) no.1, 27 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5500-7 [arXiv:1707.04034 [hep-th]].
- [24] T. Harmark, J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, “*Nonrelativistic strings and limits of the AdS/CFT correspondence*,” Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.8, 086019 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.086019 [arXiv:1705.03535 [hep-th]].
- [25] J. Kluson, “*Hamiltonian Analysis of Non-Relativistic Non-BPS Dp-brane*,” JHEP **1707** (2017) 007 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2017)007 [arXiv:1704.08003 [hep-th]].
- [26] J. Kluso, “*Hamiltonian For String in Newton-Cartan Background*,” arXiv:1801.10376 [hep-th].
- [27] E. Bergshoeff, J. Rosseel and T. Zojer, “*NewtonCartan (super)gravity as a non-relativistic limit*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (2015) no.20, 205003 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/20/205003 [arXiv:1505.02095 [hep-th]].
- [28] T. Fujiwara, H. Igarashi and T. Suzuki, “*Super-Virasoro Anomaly, Super-Weyl Anomaly, and the Super-Liouville Action for 2D Supergravity*,” Annals Phys. **254** (1997) 233 doi:10.1006/aphy.1996.5643 [hep-th/9605138].
- [29] T. Fujiwara, Y. Igarashi, R. Kuriki and T. Tabei, “*BFV - BRST quantization of 2-D supergravity*,” Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 852 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.53.852 [hep-th/9502127].