THE ÉTALE SYMMETRIC KÜNNETH THEOREM
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Abstract. Let \( k \) be an algebraically closed field, \( l \neq \text{char} \, k \) a prime number, and \( X \) a quasi-projective scheme over \( k \). We show that the étale homotopy type of the \( d \)th symmetric power of \( X \) is \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-homologically equivalent to the \( d \)th strict symmetric power of the étale homotopy type of \( X \). We deduce that the \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-local étale homotopy type of a motivic Eilenberg–Mac Lane space is an ordinary Eilenberg–Mac Lane space.
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Introduction

In the first part of this paper we show that the étale homotopy type of the \( d \)th symmetric power of a quasi-projective scheme \( X \) over a separably closed field \( k \) is \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-homologically equivalent to the \( d \)th symmetric power of the étale homotopy type of \( X \), where \( l \neq \text{char} \, k \) is any prime. Symbolically,

\[
L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \Pi^\text{ét}_X (S^d X) \simeq L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} S^d \Pi^\text{ét}_X (X),
\]

where \( \Pi^\text{ét}_X \) is the étale homotopy type, \( S^d \) is the \( d \)th symmetric power (more precisely the strict symmetric power), and \( L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \) is \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-localization à la Bousfield–Kan. The étale homotopy type \( \Pi^\text{ét}_X \) of a scheme \( X \) is a pro-space originally defined by Artin and Mazur [AM69] and later refined by Friedlander [Fri82]. It is characterized by the property that the (nonabelian) étale cohomology of \( X \) with constant coefficients coincides with the cohomology of \( \Pi^\text{ét}_X \).

The formula (\( \star \)) is related to a theorem of Deligne about the étale cohomology of symmetric powers [SGA4, XVII, Théorème 5.5.21], but there are three significant differences:

1. Deligne’s theorem is about cohomology with proper support, and so does not say anything about the cohomology of non-proper schemes.
2. We give an equivalence at the level of homotopy types, whereas Deligne only gives an equivalence at the level of cochains.
3. Deligne’s theorem works over an arbitrary quasi-compact quasi-separated base and with arbitrary Noetherian torsion coefficients; for our result the base must be a separably closed field whose characteristic is prime to the torsion of the coefficients.
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While there may be a relative version of \((\ast)\) over a base, the localization away from the residual characteristics cannot be avoided when dealing with non-proper schemes.

In his proof, after reducing to the case where the base is a field \(k\), Deligne employs Witt vectors to further reduce to the case where \(k\) has characteristic zero (concluding with a transcendental argument). In this step it is crucial that \(X\) be proper over \(k\). Our arguments are thus necessarily quite different. We use the existence a schematic topology, finer than the \(\acute{e}tale\) topology but cohomologically equivalent to it, for which the quotient map \(X^d \to S^d X\) is a covering; this is the qfh topology used extensively by Voevodsky in his work on triangulated categories of motives.

Combining \((\ast)\) with the motivic Dold–Thom theorem, we show that if \(k\) is algebraically closed and \(A\) is an abelian group on which the characteristic exponent of \(k\) acts invertibly, then the \(\mathbb{Z}/l\)-local \(\acute{e}tale\) homotopy type of a motivic Eilenberg–Mac Lane space \(K(A(q), p)\) is the \(\mathbb{Z}/l\)-localization of an ordinary Eilenberg–Mac Lane space \(K(A, p)\).

Conventions. Throughout this paper, we use the language of \(\infty\)-categories developed in [HTT] and [HA]. Although our main results can be stated in more classical language, their proofs use the flexibility of higher category theory in an essential way. We warn the reader that this is the default language in this paper, so for example the word “colimit” always means “homotopy colimit”, “unique” means “unique up to a contractible space of choices”, etc. We will use the following notation:

- \(S\) is the \(\infty\)-category of small \(\infty\)-groupoids, which we also call spaces;
- \(\text{Set}_\Delta\) is the category of simplicial sets;
- \(\text{Top}_\infty\) is the \(\infty\)-category of \(\infty\)-topoi and geometric morphisms;
- \(\mathcal{C}_\leq\) is the subcategory of \(n\)-truncated objects in an \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{C}\);
- \(\mathcal{C}^c\) is the subcategory of compact objects in an \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{C}\) with filtered colimits;
- \(\mathcal{X}\) is the hypercompletion of an \(\infty\)-topos \(\mathcal{X}\).

Historical note. The first draft of this paper was written in 2011 as a step towards the computation of the motivic Steenrod algebra in positive characteristic. Afterwards I realized that the technology of \(\acute{e}tale\) homotopy types could be avoided completely using the Bloch–Kato conjecture, which was the approach taken in [HKO17]. Since I had no other application in mind I did not attempt to turn this draft into a publishable paper. More recently however, the main result of this paper was used by Zargar in [Zar17] to compute the weight 0 homotopy groups of the motivic sphere spectrum in positive characteristic. Given this new application, it seemed important that this paper be published after all. I want to thank Chuck Weibel for encouraging me to finally take this paper out of its draft state.

1. Homotopy types of schemes

Let \(\tau\) be a pretopology on the category of schemes (in the sense of [SGA4, II, Définition 1.3]). If \(X\) is a scheme, the small \(\tau\)-site of \(X\) is the full subcategory of \(\text{Sch}_X\) spanned by the members of the \(\tau\)-coverings of \(X\) and equipped with the Grothendieck topology induced by \(\tau\) (we assume that this is an essentially small category). We denote by \(X_{\tau}\) the \(\tau\)-topos of sheaves of spaces on the small \(\tau\)-site of \(X\). The assignment \(X \mapsto X_{\tau}\) is functorial: a morphism of schemes \(f : X \to Y\) induces a geometric morphism of \(\tau\)-topoi \(f_* : X_{\tau} \to Y_{\tau}\) given by \(f_*(\mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{F}(U \times_Y X)\).

Recall that the functor \(S \to \text{Top}_\infty\) associating to an \(\infty\)-groupoid its classifying \(\infty\)-topos admits a pro-left adjoint \(\Pi_\infty : \text{Top}_\infty \to \text{Pro}(S)\) associating to any \(\infty\)-topos its shape (see [HTT, §7.1.6] or [Hoy18]). The \(\tau\)-homotopy type \(\Pi^\tau_\infty X\) of a scheme \(X\) is the shape of the \(\infty\)-topos \(X_{\tau}\):

\[
\Pi^\tau_\infty X = \Pi_\infty X_{\tau}.
\]

This construction defines a functor \(\Pi^\tau_\infty : \text{Sch} \to \text{Pro}(S)\).

Let \(\mathcal{X}\) be an \(\infty\)-topos and let \(c : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}\) be the constant sheaf functor. By definition of the shape, we have \(\text{Map}(\ast, cK) \simeq \text{Map}(\Pi_\infty \mathcal{X}, K)\) for every \(K \in S\). In particular, the cohomology of \(\mathcal{X}\) with coefficients in an abelian group can be computed as the continuous cohomology of the pro-space \(\Pi_\infty \mathcal{X}\). If \(\mathcal{X}\) is locally connected (i.e., if for every \(X \in \mathcal{X}\) the pro-set \(\pi_0 \Pi_\infty (\mathcal{X}/X)\) is constant), we have more generally that the category \(\text{Fun}(\Pi_\infty \mathcal{X}, \text{Set})\) of discrete local systems on \(\Pi_\infty \mathcal{X}\) is equivalent to the category of locally constant sheaves of sets on \(\mathcal{X}\) [Hoy18, Theorem 3.13], and, if \(\mathcal{A}\) is such a sheaf of abelian groups, then \(H^\ast(X, \mathcal{A})\) coincides with the continuous
cohomology of the pro-space $\Pi_\infty X$ with coefficients in the corresponding local system [Hoy18, Proposition 2.15].

**Remark 1.1.** In the definition of $\Pi_\infty X$, we could have used any $\tau$-site of $X$-schemes containing the small one. For if $X'_\tau$ is the resulting $\infty$-topos of sheaves, the canonical geometric morphism $X_\tau \to X'_\tau$ is obviously a shape equivalence. It follows that the functor $\Pi_\infty$ depends only on the Grothendieck topology induced by $\tau$.

**Remark 1.2.** For schemes over a fixed base scheme $S$, we can define in the same way a relative version of the $\tau$-homotopy type functor taking values in the $\infty$-category $\text{Pro}(S_\tau)$.

**Remark 1.3.** Let $X^\wedge_\tau$ be the hypercompletion of $X_\tau$. By the generalized Verdier hypercovering theorem [DHI04, Theorem 7.6 (b)], $\Pi_\infty X^\wedge_\tau$ is corepresented by the simplicially enriched diagram $\Pi_0: HC_\tau(X) \to \text{Set}_\Delta$ where $HC_\tau(X)$ is the cofiltered simplicial category of $\tau$-hypercovers of $X$ and $\Pi_0(U_\bullet)$ is the simplicial set that has in degree $n$ the colimit of the presheaf $U_n$. See [Hoy18, §5] for details.

**Remark 1.4.** When $\tau = \text{ét}$ is the étale pretopology and $X$ is locally connected, $\Pi_\infty X^\wedge_\text{ét}$ is corepresented by the étale topological type defined by Friedlander in [Fri82, §4]. This follows easily from Remark 1.3.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let $U$ be a diagram in the small $\tau$-site of a scheme $X$ with contractible colimit in $X_\tau$. Then $\Pi_\infty^\wedge U$ is the colimit of the diagram of pro-spaces $\Pi_\infty U$.

**Proof.** By topos descent, the $\infty$-topos $X_\tau$ is the colimit in $\text{Top}_\infty$ of the diagram of $\infty$-topoi $U_\tau$. Since $\Pi_\infty: \text{Top}_\infty \to \text{Pro}(S)$ is left adjoint, it preserves this colimit. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 1.6.** Similarly, if $U_\bullet \to X$ is a representable $\tau$-hypercover of $X$, then $\Pi_\infty(X^\wedge_\tau)\wedge_\infty U_\bullet$ is the colimit of the simplicial pro-space $\Pi_\infty(U_\bullet)^\wedge_\infty$. The trivial proof of this fact can be compared with the rather technical proof of [Isa04, Theorem 3.4], which is the special case $\tau = \text{ét}$. This is a good example of the usefulness of the language of $\infty$-topoi.

2. **Strict symmetric powers in $\infty$-categories**

If $X$ is a CW complex, its $d$th symmetric power $S^d X$ is the set of orbits of the action of the symmetric group $\Sigma_d$ on $X^d$, endowed with the quotient topology. Even though the action of $\Sigma_d$ on $X^d$ is not free, it is well known that the homotopy type of $S^d X$ is an invariant of the homotopy type of $X$. More generally, if $G$ is a group acting on a CW complex $X$ with at least one fixed point, the orbit space $X/G$ can be written as the homotopy colimit

$$X/G \simeq \text{hocolim}_{H \in O(G)^{op}} X^H,$$

where $O(G)$ is the orbit category of $G$ (whose objects are the subgroups of $G$ and whose morphisms are the $G$-equivariant maps between the corresponding quotients) and $X^H$ is the subspace of $H$-fixed points [Far96, Chapter 4, Lemma A.3]. In the case of the symmetric group $\Sigma_d$ acting on $X^d$, if $H \leq \Sigma_d$ is a subgroup, then $(X^d)^H \simeq X^{o(H)}$ where $o(H)$ is the set of orbits of the action of $H$ on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ and where the factor of $X^{o(H)}$ indexed by an orbit $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ is sent diagonally into the corresponding $r$ factors of $X^d$. Since $X^d$ has fixed points, the formula (2.1) gives

$$S^d X \simeq \text{hocolim}_{H \in O(\Sigma_d)^{op}} X^{o(H)}.$$

This shows that $S^d$ preserves homotopy equivalences between CW complexes. In particular, it induces a functor $S^d$ from the $\infty$-category of spaces to itself.

This motivates the following definition:

**Definition 2.2.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with colimits and finite products and $d \geq 0$ an integer. The $d$th **strict symmetric power** of $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is

$$S^d X = \colim_{H \in O(\Sigma_d)^{op}} X^{o(H)}.$$
We will relate strict symmetric powers to the notion of strictly commutative monoid in §7. Note that \( S^d X \) is a final object of \( \mathcal{C} \) and that \( S^d X \simeq X \). For example, in an \( \infty \)-category of sheaves of spaces on a site, \( S^d \) is computed by applying \( S^d \) objectwise and sheafifying the result, and in a 1-category it is the usual symmetric power, namely the coequalizer of the action groupoid \( \Sigma_d \times X^d \rightrightarrows X^d \). We note that any functor that preserves colimits and finite products commutes with \( S^d \).

**Remark 2.3.** More generally, one has a strict symmetric power \( S^\varphi X \) for any group homomorphism \( \varphi \colon G \to \Sigma_d \):

\[
S^\varphi X = \colim_{H \in \mathcal{G}/\varphi} X^{|H|}.
\]

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( X \) be an \( \infty \)-topos. The inclusion \( X_{\leq 0} \hookrightarrow X \) preserves strict symmetric powers.

**Proof.** This is true if \( X = S \) by the above, whence if \( X \) is a presheaf \( \infty \)-topos. It remains to observe that if \( a \colon X \to Y \) is a left exact localization and the result is true in \( X \), then it is true in \( Y \); this follows from the fact that \( a \) preserves 0-truncated objects [HTT, Proposition 5.5.6.16]. \( \square \)

### 3. Homological localizations of pro-spaces

Let \( \text{Pro}(S) \) denote the \( \infty \)-category of pro-spaces. Recall that this is the \( \infty \)-category freely generated by \( S \) under cofiltered limits and that it is equivalent to the full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}(S, \mathcal{S})^{\text{op}} \) spanned by accessible left exact functors. Any such functor is equivalent to \( Y \mapsto \lim_{i \in I} \text{Map}(X_i, Y) \) for a small cofiltered diagram \( X : I \to \mathcal{S} \). Moreover, combining [HTT, Proposition 5.3.1.16] and the proof of [SGA4, Proposition 8.1.6], we can always find such a corepresentation where \( I \) is a cofinite cofiltered poset such that, for each \( i \in I \), there are only finitely many \( j \) with \( i \leq j \); such a poset is called *finite*.

In [Isa07], Isaksen constructs a proper model structure on the category \( \text{Pro}(\text{Set}_{\Delta}) \) of pro-simplicial sets, called the *strict model structure*, with the following properties:

- a pro-simplicial set \( X \) is fibrant if and only if it is isomorphic to a diagram \( (X_s)_{s \in I} \) such that \( I \) is a cofinite cofiltered poset and \( X_s \to \lim_{s \leq t} X_t \) is a Kan fibration for all \( s \in I \);
- the inclusion \( \text{Set}_{\Delta} \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}(\text{Set}_{\Delta}) \) is a left Quillen functor;
- it is a simplicial model structure with simplicial mapping sets defined by

\[
\text{Map}_{\Delta}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*, Y).
\]

Denote by \( \text{Pro}'(S) \) the \( \infty \)-category associated to this model category, and by \( c \colon S \to \text{Pro}'(S) \) the left derived functor of the inclusion. Since \( \text{Pro}'(S) \) admits cofiltered limits, there is a unique functor \( \varphi \colon \text{Pro}(S) \to \text{Pro}'(S) \) that preserves cofiltered limits and such that \( \varphi \circ j \simeq c \), where \( j : S \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}(S) \) is the Yoneda embedding.

**Lemma 3.1.** \( \varphi : \text{Pro}(S) \to \text{Pro}'(S) \) is an equivalence of \( \infty \)-categories.

**Proof.** Let \( X \in \text{Pro}(\text{Set}_{\Delta}) \) be fibrant. Then \( X \) is isomorphic to a diagram \( (X_s)_{s \in I} \) indexed by a cofinite cofiltered poset and such that \( X_s \to \lim_{s \leq t} X_t \) is a Kan fibration for all \( s \) and, so, for all \( Z \in \text{Pro}(\text{Set}_{\Delta}) \), \( \text{Map}_{\Delta}(Z, X_s) \to \lim_{s \leq t} \text{Map}_{\Delta}(Z, X_t) \) is a Kan fibration. It follows that the limit \( \lim_s \text{Map}_{\Delta}(Z, X_s) \) is a Kan fibration in \( \text{Set}_{\Delta} \) in fact a limit in \( S \), so that \( X \simeq \lim_s c(X_s) \) in \( \text{Pro}'(S) \). This shows that \( \varphi \) is essentially surjective.

Let \( X \in \text{Pro}(S) \) and choose a corepresentation \( X : I \to S \) where \( I \) is a cofinite cofiltered poset. Using the model structure on \( \text{Set}_{\Delta} \), \( X \) can be strictified to a diagram \( X' : I \to \text{Set}_{\Delta} \) such that \( X'_s \to \lim_{s \leq t} X'_t \) is a Kan fibration for all \( s \in I \). By the first part of the proof, we then have \( X' \simeq \lim_s c(X'_s) \) in \( \text{Pro}'(S) \), whence \( \varphi X \simeq X' \). Given also \( Y \in \text{Pro}(S) \), we have

\[
\text{Map}(X', Y') \simeq \text{holim}_t \text{Map}(X'_t, cY'_t) \simeq \text{lim}_s \text{colim}_t \text{Map}(X'_s, Y'_t),
\]

where in the last step we used that filtered colimits of simplicial sets are always colimits in \( S \). This shows that \( \varphi \) is fully faithful. \( \square \)

Let \( S_{<\infty} \subset S \) be the \( \infty \)-category of truncated spaces. A *pro-truncated space* is a pro-object in \( S_{<\infty} \). It is clear that the full embedding \( \text{Pro}(S_{<\infty}) \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}(S) \) admits a left adjoint

\[
\tau_{<\infty} : \text{Pro}(S) \to \text{Pro}(S_{<\infty})
\]
that preserves cofiltered limits and sends a constant pro-space to its Postnikov tower; it also preserves finite products since truncations do. The $\tau_{<\infty}$-equivalences in $\text{Pro}(S)$ are precisely those maps that become $\simeq$-isomorphisms in $\text{Pro}(hS)$ in the sense of Artin and Mazur [AM69, Definition 4.2].

**Remark 3.2.** The model structure on $\text{Pro}($Set$_\Delta)$ defined in [Isa01] is the left Bousfield localization of the strict model structure at the class of $\tau_{<\infty}$-equivalences. It is therefore a model for the $\infty$-category $\text{Pro}(S_{<\infty})$ of pro-truncated spaces.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring. A morphism $f: X \to Y$ in $\text{Pro}(S)$ is called an $R$-homological equivalence (resp. an $R$-cohomological equivalence) if it induces an equivalence of homology pro-groups $H_\ast(X, R) \simeq H_\ast(Y, R)$ (resp. an equivalence of cohomology groups $H^\ast(Y, R) \simeq H^\ast(X, R)$). By [Isa05, Proposition 5.5], $f$ is an $R$-homological equivalence if and only if it induces isomorphisms in cohomology with coefficients in arbitrary $R$-modules. A pro-space $X$ is called $R$-local if it is local with respect to the class of $R$-homological equivalences, i.e., if for every $R$-homological equivalence $Y \to Z$ the induced map $\text{Map}(Z, X) \to \text{Map}(Y, X)$ is an equivalence in $S$. A pro-space is called $R$-profinite if it is local with respect to the class of $R$-cohomological equivalences. We denote by $\text{Pro}(S)_R$ (resp. $\text{Pro}(S)^R$) the $\infty$-category of $R$-local (resp. $R$-profinite) pro-spaces.

The characterization of $R$-homological equivalences in terms of cohomology shows that any $\tau_{<\infty}$-equivalence is an $R$-homological equivalence. We thus have a chain of full embeddings

$$\text{Pro}(S)^R \subset \text{Pro}(S)_R \subset \text{Pro}(S_{<\infty}) \subset \text{Pro}(S).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** The inclusions $\text{Pro}(S)_R \subset \text{Pro}(S)$ and $\text{Pro}(S)^R \subset \text{Pro}(S)$ admit left adjoints $L_R$ and $L^R$. Moreover, $L_R$ preserves finite products.

**Proof.** The existence of the localization functors $L_R$ and $L^R$ follows from Lemma 3.1 and the existence of the corresponding left Bousfield localizations of the strict model structure on $\text{Pro}(\text{Set}_\Delta)$ [Isa05, Theorems 6.3 and 6.7]. The last statement follows from the Küneth formula at the chain level.

The fact that $L_R$ preserves finite products is very useful and we will use it often. It implies in particular that $L_R$ preserves commutative monoids and commutes with the formation of strict symmetric powers. Here is another consequence:

**Corollary 3.4.** Finite products distribute over finite colimits in $\text{Pro}(S)_R$.

**Proof.** Finite colimits are universal in $\text{Pro}(S)$, i.e., are preserved by any base change (since pushouts and pullbacks can be computed levelwise). The result follows using that $L_R$ preserves finite products.

**Remark 3.5.** If $X$ is an $\infty$-topos, it is clear that the geometric morphism $X^\wedge \to X$ induces an equivalence of pro-truncated shapes, and a fortiori also of $R$-local and $R$-profinite shapes for any commutative ring $R$.

**Remark 3.6.** Let $l$ be a prime number. The Bockstein long exact sequences show that any $\mathbb{Z}/l$-cohomological equivalence is also a $\mathbb{Z}/l^n$-cohomological equivalence for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, if $X$ is an $\infty$-topos, its $\mathbb{Z}/l$-profinite shape $L^{\mathbb{Z}/l}[\infty]$ remembers the cohomology of $X$ with $l$-adic coefficients.

As shown in [Isa05, Proposition 7.3], if $X \in S$ has finitely many connected components, then $L_RX$ is the pro-truncation of the Bousfield–Kan $R$-tower of $X$ [BK72, I, §4]. For any $X \in S$, it follows that the limit of the pro-space $L_RX$ is the Bousfield–Kan $R$-completion $R^\infty X$.

Let $S_{R, R} \subset S$ be the full subcategory containing the Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces $K(R, n)$ for $n \geq 0$ and closed under finite limits. Then $\text{Pro}(S)^R = \text{Pro}(S_{R, R})$ as subcategories of $\text{Pro}(S)$. Indeed, the inclusion $\text{Pro}(S_{R, R}) \subset \text{Pro}(S)^R$ is obvious. Conversely, let $X$ be $R$-profinite and let $L$ denote the left adjoint to the inclusion $\text{Pro}(S_{R, R}) \subset \text{Pro}(S)$. Since $K(R, n) \in S_{R, R}$ for all $n$, the canonical map $X \to LX$ is an $R$-cohomological equivalence, whence an equivalence.

**Remark 3.7.** If $l$ is a prime number, $\mathbb{Z}/l$ is the $\infty$-category of truncated spaces with finite $\pi_0$ and whose homotopy groups are finite $l$-groups. Hence, $\text{Pro}(S)^{\mathbb{Z}/l}$ coincides with the $\infty$-category of $l$-profinite spaces studied in [DAG13, §3].
For $n \geq 0$, we define
\[
\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_R = \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_R \cap \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})^R = \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})^R \cap \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}).
\]

**Lemma 3.8.** The $\infty$-category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})$ is the limit of the tower of $\infty$-categories
\[
\cdots \to \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) \to \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n-1}) \to \cdots,
\]
and similarly for $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_R$ and $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})^R$ for any commutative ring $R$.

**Proof.** Since $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})^R \subset \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_R \subset \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})$, it suffices to prove the first statement. This follows from the observation that the canonical map
\[
\lim_{\alpha} \colim_{\beta} \text{Map}(X_\alpha, Y_\beta) \to \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \colim_{\alpha} \text{Map}(X_\alpha, Y_\beta)
\]
is an equivalence if each $Y_\beta$ is truncated. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** If $l$ is a prime number, $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})^{\mathbb{Z}/l}$ is in fact the $\infty$-category of $n$-truncated objects in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})^{\mathbb{Z}/l}$, by [DAG13, Corollary 3.4.8]. Hence, Lemma 3.8 implies that $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})^{\mathbb{Z}/l}$ is Postnikov complete.

The following proposition shows that the localizations $L_R$ and $L^R$ agree in many cases of interest, partially answering [Ilsa05, Question 10.2].

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $F$ be a prime field and let $X$ be a pro-space whose $F$-homology pro-groups are pro-finite-dimensional vector spaces. Then $L_F X$ is $F$-profinite.

**Proof.** First we claim that any $F$-profinite pro-space with profinite $\pi_0$ satisfies the given condition on $X$. Such a pro-space is a cofiltered limit of spaces that are finite limits of $K(F,n)$’s and have finite $\pi_0$. Using Eilenberg–Moore [DAG13, Corollary 1.1.10], it suffices to show that $H^m(K(F,n), F)$ is finite-dimensional for every $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 2$, which is a well-known computation. Thus, both $X$ and $L^R X$ have pro-finite-dimensional $F$-homology pro-groups. It follows that the canonical map $X \to L^R X$ induces an isomorphism on $F$-cohomology ind-groups, whence on $F$-homology pro-groups. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** It is clear that the class of pro-spaces $X$ satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 3.10 is preserved by $L_F$, finite products, and finite colimits (it suffices to verify the latter for pushouts).

**4. The h and qfh topologies**

Let $X$ be a Noetherian scheme. An $h$ covering of $X$ is a finite family $\{U_i \to X\}$ of morphisms of finite type such that the induced morphism $\prod U_i \to X$ is universally submersive (a morphism of schemes $f : Y \to X$ is submersive if it is surjective and if the underlying topological space of $X$ has the quotient topology). If in addition each $U_i \to X$ is quasi-finite, it is a qfh covering. These notions of coverings define pretopologies on Noetherian schemes which we denote by $h$ and qfh, respectively. The $h$ and qfh topologies are both finer than the fppf topology, and they are not subcanonical.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $X$ be a Noetherian scheme.

1. The canonical map $\Pi_{X}^{\text{gr}} X \to \Pi_{X}^{\text{et}} X$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology with any local system of abelian coefficients. In particular, for any commutative ring $R$,
\[
L_R \Pi_{X}^{\text{gr}} X \simeq L_R \Pi_{X}^{\text{et}} X.
\]

2. If $X$ is excellent, the canonical map $\Pi_{X}^{h} X \to \Pi_{X}^{\text{gr}} X$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology with any local system of torsion abelian coefficients. In particular, for any torsion commutative ring $R$,
\[
L_R \Pi_{X}^{h} X \simeq L_R \Pi_{X}^{\text{gr}} X.
\]

**Proof.** Given the properties of the shape recalled in §1, these statements are translations of [Voe96, Theorem 3.4.4] and [Voe96, Theorem 3.4.5], respectively (the excellence of $X$ is a standing assumption in loc. cit., but it is not used in the proof of the first result; see also [SV96, §10] for self-contained proofs). \hfill $\square$
Remark 4.2. Voevodsky’s proof also shows that $H^1_{\text{sh}}(X, G) \simeq H^1_{\text{qfh}}(X, G)$ for any locally constant étale sheaf of groups $G$. It follows that $\Omega^\infty_{\text{qfh}} X \to \Omega^n_{\text{qfh}} X$ is in fact a $\tau_{\infty}$-equivalence.

For $\mathcal{C}$ a small $\infty$-category, we denote by $r: \mathcal{C} \to \text{PSh}(\mathcal{C})$ the Yoneda embedding, and if $\tau$ is a topology on $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $r_\tau = a r$ the $\tau$-sheafified Yoneda embedding.

Lemma 4.3. Let $S$ be a Noetherian scheme and let $\tau \in \{h, qfh, \acute{e}t\}$. Then, for any $n \geq -1$, the image of the Yoneda functor $r_\tau: \text{Sch}^n_S \to \text{Shv}_\tau(\text{Sch}^n_S)_{\leq n}$ consists of compact objects.

Proof. The category $\text{Sch}^n_S$ has finite limits and the topology $\tau$ is finitary, and so the $\infty$-topos $\text{Shv}_\tau(\text{Sch}^n_S)$ is locally coherent and coherent. The result now follows from [DAG13, Proposition 2.3.9].

The advantage of the qfh topology over the étale topology is that it can often cover singular schemes by smooth schemes. Let us make this explicit in the case of quotients of smooth schemes over a groupoid. If $P$ is any property of morphisms of schemes that is stable under base change, we say that $X_*$ is $P$-local (or equivalently those sieves that generate an $h$-covering sieve in $S$ are $\mathcal{C}$-covering).

Lemma 4.4. Let $S$ be a scheme and let $X_*$ be a finite and locally free groupoid scheme over $S$. Suppose that for any $x \in X_0$, $d_1(a_0^{-1}(x))$ is contained in an affine open subset of $X_0$ for example, $X_0$ is quasi-projective over $S$). Then $X_*$ has a colimiting cone $p: X_0 \to Y$ in the category of $S$-schemes. Moreover,

1. $p$ is integral and surjective, and in particular universally submersive;
2. the canonical morphism $X_0 \to \cosk_0(p)$ is degreewise surjective;
3. if $S$ is locally Noetherian and $X_0$ is of finite type over $S$, then $Y$ is of finite type over $S$.

Proof. The claim in parentheses follows from [EGA2, Corollaire 4.5.4] and the definition of quasi-projective morphism [EGA2, Déninition 5.3.1]. An integral and surjective morphism is universally submersive because integral morphisms are closed [EGA2, Proposition 6.1.10]. The existence of $p$ which is integral and surjective and (2) are proved in [DG70, III, §2, 3.2] or [SGA3, V, Théorème 4.1]. Part (3) is proved in [SGA3, V, Lemme 6.1 (ii)].

Proposition 4.5. Let $S$ be a Noetherian scheme and $X_*$ a groupoid scheme of finite type over $S$ as in Lemma 4.4 with colimit $Y$. Then $r_{qfh} X_0 \to r_{qfh} Y$ is a colimiting cone in $\text{Shv}_{qfh}(\text{Sch}^n_S)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, the colimiting cone $X_0 \to Y$ is a $1$-coskeletal qfh hypercover. Hence, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a qfh sheaf, we have $\mathcal{F}(Y) \simeq \lim \mathcal{F}(X_0)$ by [HTT, Lemma 6.5.3.9].

Corollary 4.6. Let $S$ be a Noetherian scheme and $X$ a quasi-projective $S$-scheme. Then the Yoneda functor $r_{qfh}: \text{Sch}^n_S \to \text{Shv}_{qfh}(\text{Sch}^n_S)$ preserves strict symmetric powers of $X$, i.e., it sends the schematic symmetric power $S^d X$ to the sheafy symmetric power $S^d r_{qfh} X$.

Proof. Since the strict symmetric power is the usual symmetric power in a $1$-category, we have $r_{qfh} S^d X \simeq S^d r_{qfh} X$ in $\text{Shv}_{qfh}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq 0}$ by Proposition 4.5, whence in $\text{Shv}_{qfh}(\mathcal{C})$ by Lemma 2.4.

Proposition 4.7. Let $k$ be a perfect field and $i: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}^n_k$ the inclusion of a full subcategory such that every smooth $k$-scheme is Zariski-locally in $\mathcal{C}$. For every $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^n_k)$, the counit morphism $i_! i^* \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ is an $a^n_0$-equivalence.

Proof. By a well-known theorem of de Jong [dJ96, Theorem 4.1], every scheme of finite type over a perfect field $k$ is $h$-locally smooth, hence is $h$-locally in $\mathcal{C}$. It follows that there is an induced $h$-topology on $\mathcal{C}$ whose covering sieves are the restrictions of $h$-covering sieves in $\text{Sch}^n_k$, or equivalently those sieves that generate an $h$-covering sieve in $\text{Sch}^n_k$. By the comparison lemma [SGA4, III, Théorème 4.1], the restriction functor $i^*$ and its right adjoint $i_!$ restrict to an equivalence between the $\infty$-categories of hypercomplete $h$-sheaves, whence the result.
5. The étale homotopy type of symmetric powers

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $k$ be a separably closed field, $l \neq \text{char } k$ a prime number, and $X$ and $Y$ schemes of finite type over $k$. Let $\tau \in \{ h, \text{qfh, ét} \}$. Then

$$L_{Z/l} \Pi_\infty^\tau (X \times_k Y) \simeq L_{Z/l} \Pi_\infty^\tau X \times L_{Z/l} \Pi_\infty Y.$$  

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.1, it suffices to prove the lemma for $\tau = \text{ ét}$. Since $L_{Z/l}$ preserves finite products and $X_{\text{ ét}}$ and $Y_{\text{ ét}}$ have the same $Z/l$-local shape (cf. Remark 3.5), it suffices to show that the canonical map

$$(5.2) \quad \Pi_\infty (X \times_k Y)^{\text{ ét}} \rightarrow \Pi_\infty X_{\text{ ét}}^\wedge \times \Pi_\infty Y_{\text{ ét}}^\wedge$$

is a $Z/l$-homological equivalence or, equivalently, that it induces an isomorphism in cohomology with coefficients in any $Z/l$-module $M$. Both sides of (5.2) are corepresented by cofiltered diagrams of simplicial sets having finitely many simplices in each degree (by Remark 1.3 and the fact that any étale hypercovering of a Noetherian scheme is refined by one that is degreewise Noetherian). If $K$ is any such pro-space, $C_\ast (K, Z/l)$ is a cofiltered diagram of degreewise finite chain complexes of vector spaces. On the one hand, this implies $C^\ast (K, M) \simeq C_\ast (K, Z/l) \otimes M$, so we may assume that $M = Z/l$. On the other hand, it implies that the Künneth map

$$H^\ast (\Pi_\infty X_{\text{ ét}}^\wedge, Z/l) \otimes H^\ast (\Pi_\infty Y_{\text{ ét}}^\wedge, Z/l) \rightarrow H^\ast (\Pi_\infty X_{\text{ ét}}^\wedge \times \Pi_\infty Y_{\text{ ét}}^\wedge, Z/l)$$

is an isomorphism. The composition of this isomorphism with the map induced by (5.2) in cohomology is the canonical map

$$H^\ast_M (X, Z/l) \otimes H^\ast_M (Y, Z/l) \rightarrow H^\ast_M (X \times_k Y, Z/l),$$

which is also an isomorphism by [SGA4\textsuperscript{1}, Th. finitude, Corollaire 1.11].

**Remark 5.3.** Let $X$ be a Noetherian scheme and let $\tau \in \{ h, \text{qfh, ét} \}$. We observed in the proof of Proposition 5.1 that the pro-space $\Pi_\infty^\tau X_{\tau}$ is the limit of a cofiltered diagram of spaces whose integral homology groups are finitely generated. It follows from Proposition 3.10 that $L_F \Pi_\infty^\tau X$ is $F$-profinite for any prime field $F$, which answers [Isa05, Question 10.3] quite generally.

Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small full subcategory of $\text{Sch}_S$ endowed with a topology $\sigma$ and suppose that $\tau$ is finer than $\sigma$. The functor $\Pi_\infty^\tau : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})$ takes values in a cocomplete $\sigma$-category and is $\sigma$-local according to Lemma 1.5, so it lifts uniquely to a left adjoint functor

$$\xymatrix{ \mathcal{C} \ar[r]^-{\Pi_\infty^\tau} \ar[d] & \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}) \ar[d] \\ \text{Shv}_\sigma (\mathcal{C}) & }$$

**Remark 5.4.** If $\mathcal{C}$ is such that $\mathcal{C}/X$ contains the small $\tau$-site of $X$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\Pi_\infty^\tau$ is simply the composition

$$\xymatrix{ \text{Shv}_\tau (\mathcal{C}) \ar[r]^\alpha \ar[r]^-{\text{Shv}_\tau (\mathcal{C})} \ar[r]^-{\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})} & \mathcal{C} \ar[r]^-{\Pi_\infty^\tau} & \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}) }$$

where for $\mathcal{X}$ an $\infty$-topos the inclusion $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})$ is $X \mapsto \mathcal{X}/X$. Indeed, this composition preserves colimits (by topos descent), and it restricts to $\Pi_\infty^\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}$ (cf. Remark 1.1). However, the reader should be warned that we will use $\Pi_\infty^\tau$ in situations where this hypothesis on $\mathcal{C}$ is not satisfied.

**Remark 5.5.** The extension $\Pi_\infty^\tau$ involves taking infinite colimits in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})$, which are somewhat ill-behaved (they are not universal). As we will see in §9, it is sometimes advantageous to consider a variant of $\Pi_\infty^\tau$ taking values in ind-pro-spaces.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let $k$ be a separably closed field, $l \neq \text{char } k$ a prime number, and $X$ a quasi-projective scheme over $k$. Let $\tau \in \{ h, \text{qfh, ét} \}$. Then for any $d \geq 0$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$L_{Z/l} \Pi_\infty^\tau (S^d X) \simeq L_{Z/l} S^d \Pi_\infty^\tau (X).$$
Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of quasi-projective schemes over $k$. By Corollary 4.6, the representable sheaf functor $r_{\text{qfh}}: \mathcal{C} \to \text{Shv}_{\text{qfh}}(\mathcal{C})$ preserves strict symmetric powers. Using Proposition 5.1 and the fact that $L_{Z/j} \Pi^\text{qfh}_{\infty}$ preserves colimits, we deduce that $L_{Z/j} \Pi^\text{qfh}_{\infty}$ preserves strict symmetric powers on $\mathcal{C}$. If $\tau \in \{h, \text{qfh}, \text{ét}\}$, we get

$$L_{Z/j} \Pi^\tau_{\infty}(S^dX) \cong L_{Z/j} \Pi^\tau_{\infty}(S^dX) \cong S^d L_{Z/j} \Pi^\tau_{\infty}(X) \cong S^d L_{Z/j} \Pi^\tau_{\infty}(X),$$

the first and last equivalences being from Proposition 4.1. The functor $L_{Z/j}$ itself also preserves finite products (Proposition 3.3) and hence strict symmetric powers, so we are done. \qed

Remark 5.7. It is possible to define a natural map

$$\Pi^\infty(S^dX)^{\wedge}_\tau \to S^d \Pi^\infty X^{\wedge}_\tau$$

in Pro$(\mathcal{S})$ inducing the equivalence of Theorem 5.6. It suffices to make the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Pi^\infty(X^d)^{\wedge}_\tau & \longrightarrow & (\Pi^\infty X^d)^{\wedge}_\tau \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Pi^\infty(S^dX)^{\wedge}_\tau & \longrightarrow & S^d \Pi^\infty(X)^{\wedge}_\tau
\end{array}$$

(5.8)

commute. Using the model for the $\tau$-homotopy type discussed in Remark 1.3 and the commutativity of the functor of connected components with symmetric powers, the task to accomplish is the following: associate to any $\tau$-hypercover $U \to X$ a $\tau$-hypercover $V \to S^dX$ refining $S^dU \to S^dX$ and such that $V \times_{S^dX} X^d \to X^d$ refines $U^d \to X^d$, in a simplicially enriched functorial way (i.e., we must define a simplicial functor $\text{HC}_\tau(X) \to \text{HC}_\tau(S^dX)$ and the refinements must be natural). If $\tau = h$ or $\tau = \text{qfh}$, $S^dU \to S^dX$ is itself a $\tau$-hypercover and we are done, but things get more complicated for $\tau = \text{ét}$ as symmetric powers of étale maps are not étale anymore.

We refer to [Kol97, §4.5] and [Ryd12, §3] for more details on the following ideas. Given a finite group $G$ and quasi-projective $G$-schemes $U$ and $X$, a map $f: U \to X$ is $G$-equivariant if and only if it admits descent data for the action groupoid of $G$ on $X$. The map $f$ is fixed-point reflecting if it admits descent data for the Čech groupoid of the quotient map $X \to X/G$ (this condition can be expressed more explicitly using the fact that $G \times X \to X \times_{X/G} X$ is faithfully flat: $f$ is fixed-point reflecting if and only if it is $G$-equivariant and induces a fiberwise isomorphism between the stabilizer schemes). Since étale morphisms descend effectively along universally open surjective morphisms [Ryd10, Theorem 5.19], such as $X \to X/G$, the condition that $f$ reflects fixed points is equivalent to the induced map $U/G \to X/G$ being étale and the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U/G & \longrightarrow & X/G
\end{array}$$

being cartesian. If $f$ is $G$-equivariant, there exists a largest $G$-equivariant open subset $\text{fpr}(f) \subset U$ on which $f$ is fixed-point reflecting. Moreover, if $f: U \to X$ is an étale cover, the restriction of $f^d$ to $\text{fpr}(f^d)$ is still surjective. Now given an étale hypercover $U_\bullet \to X$, we can define an étale hypercover $V_\bullet \to S^dX$ refining $S^dU_\bullet \to S^dX$ as follows. Let $W_0 \subset U_0^d$ be the locus where $U_0^d \to X^d$ reflects fixed points. If $W_\bullet$ has been defined up to level $n - 1$, define $W_n$ by the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W_n & \longrightarrow & \text{fpr}(U_n^d \to (\cosk_{n-1} U_n^d)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\cosk_{n-1} W_n) & \longrightarrow & (\cosk_{n-1} U_n^d)
\end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are $\Sigma_d$-equivariant fixed-point reflecting étale covers (because fixed-point reflecting morphisms are stable under base change). Finally, let $V_\bullet = W_\bullet/\Sigma_d$. It is then easy to prove that $V_\bullet \to S^dX$ is an étale hypercover with the desired functoriality.
Using the commutativity of (5.8), one can also show that the map induced by \( \Pi_\infty(S^d X)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow S^d \Pi_\infty X_{\text{ét}}^\wedge \) in cohomology with coefficients in a \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-module coincides with the symmetric Künneth map defined in [SGA3, XVII, 5.5.17.2]. Thus, for \( X \) proper, it is possible to deduce Theorem 5.6 from [SGA4, XVII, Théorème 5.5.21].

6. \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-LOCALIZATION

Let \( S \) be a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme and \( \mathcal{C} \) a full subcategory of \( \text{Sch}_S \) such that
1. objects of \( \mathcal{C} \) are of finite presentation over \( S \);
2. \( S \in \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathbb{A}^1_L \in \mathcal{C} \);
3. if \( X \in \mathcal{C} \) and \( U \rightarrow X \) is étale of finite presentation, then \( U \in \mathcal{C} \);
4. \( \mathcal{C} \) is closed under finite products and finite coproducts.

Following [Voe10, §0], we call such a category \( \mathcal{C} \) admissible. Note that every smooth \( S \)-scheme admits an open covering by schemes in \( \mathcal{C} \). Let \( \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \) denote the \( \infty \)-topos of sheaves of spaces on \( \mathcal{C} \) for the Nisnevich topology. Recall that we have defined the functor
\[
\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Pro}(S),
\]
and that it lifts to a left adjoint functor
\[
\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}} : \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(S).
\]

From now on we fix a prime number \( l \) different from the residual characteristics of \( S \). Let \( \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{A}^1} \subset \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \) be the full subcategory of \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-invariant Nisnevich sheaves. By [SGA5, VII, Corollaire 1.2], the composition
\[
\text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}} \text{Pro}(S) \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{Z}/l}} \text{Pro}(S)_{\mathbb{Z}/l}
\]
sends any morphism \( \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X, X \in \mathcal{C}, \) to an equivalence and therefore factors through the \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-localization \( L_{\mathbb{A}^1} : \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{A}^1} \). That is, there is a commutative square of left adjoint functors
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}} & \text{Pro}(S) \\
\downarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} & & \downarrow L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \\
\text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{A}^1} & \xrightarrow{\text{Pro}(S)} & \text{Pro}(S)_{\mathbb{Z}/l}.
\end{array}
\]

The functor \( \text{Ét}_l \) is called the \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-local étale homotopy type functor. Note that if \( S \) is Noetherian (resp. Noetherian and excellent), we could also use \( \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ab}} \) (resp. \( \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ab}} \)) instead of \( \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}} \) in the above diagram, according to Proposition 4.1.

**Remark 6.1.** The \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-profinite completion \( L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \text{Ét}_l \) is the \( \infty \)-categorical incarnation of the étale realization functor defined by Isaksen in [Isa04] as a left Quillen functor, but our results do not require this stronger completion. Note that \( \text{Ét}_l X \) is already \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-profinite if \( S \) is Noetherian and \( X \in \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{A}^1} \), by Remarks 5.3 and 3.11.

We now assume that \( S = \text{Spec } k \) where \( k \) is a separably closed field.

**Lemma 6.2.** The restriction of \( \text{Ét}_l \) to \( \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{A}^1} \) preserves finite products.

**Proof.** By Proposition 5.1, the functor \( L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}} \) preserves finite products on \( \mathcal{C} \). Since the functor \( L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Ét}_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}} \) also preserves finite products, the restriction of \( \text{Ét}_l \) to the image of \( \mathcal{C} \) preserves finite products. Finally, since \( \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{A}^1} \) is the closure of the image of \( \mathcal{C} \) under finite colimits and retracts, the result follows from Corollary 3.4. \( \square \)

Let \( p \geq q \geq 0 \). We define the \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-profinite mixed spheres \( S_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^{p,q} \in \text{Pro}(S)_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \) by
\[
S_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^{1,0} = L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} S^1 = K(\mathbb{Z}/l, 1), \quad S_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^{1,1} = K(T_l, 1), \quad S_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^{p,q} = (S_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^{1,0})^{\otimes p - q} \otimes (S_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^{1,1})^{\otimes q},
\]
where \( \mu \) is the group of roots of unity in \( k \) and \( T_l = \lim_n T_{l^n} \) is its \( l \)-adic Tate module. Here we regard \( \mathbb{Z}_l \) and \( T_l \mu \) as pro-groups. Of course, \( S_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^{p,q} \simeq L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} S^p \), but if \( q > 0 \) this equivalence depends on infinitely many noncanonical choices (viz., an isomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_l \simeq T_l \mu \)).

Note that the functor \( \text{Ét}_l \) preserves pointed objects, since \( \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } k) \simeq \ast \).
Proposition 6.3. Let $p \geq q \geq 0$. Then $\acute{\text{E}}t_{l} S^{p,q} \simeq S^{p,q}$.

Proof. This is obvious if $q = 0$. By Lemma 6.2, it remains to treat the case $p = q = 1$. The Čech nerve of the multiplication by $l^n$ map $G_m \to G_m$ has $B\mu_n$ as simplicial set of connected components. This defines a morphism of pro-spaces $\varphi: \Pi_{\infty}^{\acute{\text{E}}t} G_m \to K(T_l, 1)$. If $M$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/l$-module, then by [SGA5, VII, Proposition 1.3 (i) (c)],

$$H^i_{\acute{\text{E}}t}(G_m, M) = \begin{cases} M & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \text{Hom}(\mu_i, M) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

One checks easily that these cohomology groups are realized by any of the previously considered Čech covers. It follows that $\varphi$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/l$-homological equivalence. \hfill \Box

7. Group completion and strictly commutative monoids

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite products. Recall from [HA, §2.4.2] that a commutative monoid in $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $M: \text{Fin}_\ast \to \mathcal{C}$ such that for all $n \geq 0$ the canonical map $M((n)) \to M((1))^n$ is an equivalence. We let $\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\text{Fin}_\ast, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by commutative monoids in $\mathcal{C}$.

A commutative monoid $M$ in $\mathcal{C}$ has an underlying simplicial object, namely its restriction along the functor $\text{Cut}: \Delta^{op} \to \text{Fin}_\ast$ sending $[n]$ to the finite set of cuts of $[n]$ pointed at the trivial cut, which can be identified with $(n)$. The commutative monoid $M$ is called grouplike if its underlying simplicial object is a groupoid object in the sense of [HTT, Definition 6.1.2.7]. This is equivalent to requiring both shearing maps $M \times M \to M \times M$ to be equivalences. We denote by $\text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of grouplike objects.

If $f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ preserves finite products (and $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ admit finite products), then it induces a functor $\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{CMon}(\mathcal{D})$ by postcomposition; this functor clearly preserves grouplike objects and hence restricts to a functor $\text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{D})$. We will continue to use $f$ to denote either induced functor.

Lemma 7.1. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ preserves finite products and has a right adjoint $g$. Then the functors $\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{CMon}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{D})$ induced by $f$ are left adjoint to the corresponding functors induced by $g$.

Proof. The functors $f$ and $g$ induce adjoint functors between $\infty$-categories of $\text{Fin}_\ast$-diagrams, and it remains to observe that they both preserve the full subcategories of (grouplike) commutative monoids. \hfill \Box

Call an $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ distributive if it is presentable and if finite products in $\mathcal{C}$ distribute over colimits. A functor $f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between distributive $\infty$-categories is a distributive functor if it preserves colimits and finite products. For example, for any $\infty$-topos $\mathcal{X}$, the truncation functors $\tau_{\leq n}: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}_{\leq n}$ are distributive, and for any admissible category $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Sch}_k$ and any topology $\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}$, the localization functor $L_{\mathcal{A}}: \text{Sh}_{\tau}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Sh}_{\tau}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{A}}$ is distributive.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is distributive, then the $\infty$-category $\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C})$ is itself presentable by [HA, Corollary 3.2.3.5], and the subcategory $\text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{C})$ is strongly reflective by [HTT, Proposition 6.1.2.9] and [HTT, Proposition 5.5.4.17]. That is, there exists a group completion functor

$$\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{C}), \quad M \mapsto M^{+},$$

which exhibits $\text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{C})$ as an accessible localization of $\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 7.2. Let $f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a distributive functor and let $M \in \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $f(M^{+}) \simeq f(M)^{+}$.

Proof. By Lemma 7.1, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{CMon}(\mathcal{D}) \\
\downarrow \quad + & & \downarrow + \\
\text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{CMon}^{gp}(\mathcal{D})
\end{array}$$

is a pullback.

\hfill \Box
has a commutative right adjoint and hence is commutative.

**Remark 7.3.** If \( \mathcal{X} \) is an \( \infty \)-topos, group completion of commutative monoids in \( \mathcal{X} \) preserves 0-truncated objects. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4, it suffices to prove this for \( \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S} \), where it follows from the McDuff–Segal group completion theorem (see [Nik18] for a modern proof of the latter).

We can define a generalized “free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module” functor in any distributive \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \). Let \( \text{FFree}_\mathbb{N} \) (resp. \( \text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z} \)) be the full subcategory of \( \text{CMon(Set)} \) spanned by \( \mathbb{N}^n \) (resp. by \( \mathbb{Z}^n \)) for \( n \geq 0 \). A strictly commutative monoid in \( \mathcal{C} \) is a functor \( \text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{C} \) that preserves finite products. Since \( \mathbb{N} \) is a commutative monoid in \( \text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z}^{\text{op}} \), the forgetful functor

\[
\text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}, \quad M \mapsto M(\mathbb{N}),
\]

factors through the \( \infty \)-category \( \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}) \). Note that the \( \infty \)-category \( \text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z} \) is obtained from \( \text{FFree}_\mathbb{N} \) by group-completing the mapping spaces, so that we have a cartesian square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}).
\end{array}
\]

The forgetful functor \( \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C} \) preserves limits and sifted colimits, hence it admits a left adjoint

\[
\text{N}: \mathcal{C} \to \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}).
\]

Similarly, the forgetful functor \( \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C} \) has a left adjoint

\[
\text{Z}: \mathcal{C} \to \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}).
\]

The above cartesian square implies that \( \text{ZX} \simeq (\text{NX})^+ \). Since the \( \infty \)-category of strictly commutative monoids is pointed, we also have reduced versions \( \text{NX} \) and \( \text{ZX} \) when \( X \) is a pointed object of \( \mathcal{C} \).

We can describe free strictly commutative monoids more concretely using strict symmetric powers:

**Lemma 7.4.** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a distributive \( \infty \)-category. Then the composite functor

\[
\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{N}} \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{C}
\]

is given by \( X \mapsto \coprod_{d \geq 0} S^d X \).

**Proof.** It suffices to check this for the universal \( X \), which lives in the distributive \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Fun}(\text{Fin}, \mathcal{S}) \). We may thus assume \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S} \). In that case, the forgetful functor \( \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{S} \) is modeled by the right Quillen functor \( \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}_\Delta) \to \text{Set}_\Delta \) [HTT, Proposition 5.5.9.1], whose left adjoint is given by the desired formula. Since the functor \( S^d \) on \( \mathcal{S} \) can be computed using symmetric powers of CW complexes, this completes the proof.

**Remark 7.5.** Lemma 7.4 shows that the endofunctor \( X \mapsto \coprod_{d \geq 0} S^d X \) of any distributive \( \infty \)-category has a canonical structure of monad. Its multiplication involves a canonical equivalence \( S^d(X \amalg Y) \simeq \coprod_{d+f=q} S^d X \times S^f Y \) and a canonical map \( S^d S^q X \to S^{d+q} X \).

The presentable \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \) of grouplike strictly commutative monoids in \( \mathcal{C} \) is a module over \( \text{Fun}^X(\text{FFree}_\mathbb{Z}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \), which is the \( \infty \)-category of connective \( H\mathbb{Z} \)-modules. Thus, for any connective \( H\mathbb{Z} \)-module \( A \) and any \( X \in \mathcal{C} \), we can form the grouplike strictly commutative monoid \( ZX \otimes A \) in \( \mathcal{C} \). Note that this construction is preserved by any distributive functor \( f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \).

Any connective \( H\mathbb{Z} \)-module \( A \) can be obtained in the following steps:

1. take finite products of copies of \( \mathbb{Z} \) to get finitely generated free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules;
2. take filtered colimits of finitely generated free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules to get arbitrary flat \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules;
(3) take colimits of simplicial diagrams of projective $\mathbb{Z}$-modules to get arbitrary connective $H\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

Since the forgetful functor $\text{Fun}^\times_\mathbb{Z}(\text{Free}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\op, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ preserves finite products and sifted colimits, the object $\mathbb{Z}X \otimes A$ in $\mathcal{C}$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{Z}X = (\mathcal{N}X)^+$ using the same steps.

In the distributive $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathbb{Z}X \otimes A$ has its “usual” meaning. For instance, if $A$ is an abelian group, then $\mathbb{Z}S^p \otimes A$ is an Eilenberg–Mac Lane space $K(A, p)$.

8. SHEAVES WITH TRANSFERS

Let $S$ be a Noetherian scheme, $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{Sch}_S$ an admissible category, and $R$ a commutative ring. We denote by $\text{Cor}(\mathcal{E}, R)$ the additive category whose objects are those of $\mathcal{E}$ and whose morphisms are the finite correspondences with coefficients in $R$ [CD12, §9]. We denote by $\text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{E})$ the $\infty$-category of pointed presheaves on $\mathcal{E}$, by

$$\text{PSh}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R) = \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Cor}(\mathcal{E}, R)^\op, S)$$

the $\infty$-category of presheaves with $R$-transfers, and by

$$R_\tau : \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{E}) \leftarrow \text{PSh}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R) : u_\tau$$

the free–forgetful adjunction. The functor $u_\tau$ preserves limits and sifted colimits and factors through the $\infty$-category $\text{CMon}^\op_\infty(\text{PSh}(\mathcal{E}))$; in fact, it factors through the $\infty$-category of strictly commutative monoids, using the finite-product-preserving functor

$$\text{Free}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \text{Cor}(\mathcal{E}, R), \quad S^n \mapsto S^{\text{fin}}.$$  

Since finite products and finite coproducts coincide in $\text{CMon}_\infty$ and there exist localization functors $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}$ on $\mathcal{C}$; these are defined by the cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R) & \to & \text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R) & \to & \text{PSh}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R)
\end{array}$$

By [HTT, Proposition 5.5.4.15], the $\infty$-categories $\text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R)$ and $\text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R)_{A_1}$ are presentable and there exist localization functors

$$u_\tau : \text{PSh}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R) \to \text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R),$$

$$L_{A_1}^\tau : \text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R) \to \text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E}, R)_{A_1}.$$  

Furthermore, by [HTT, Proposition 5.4.6.6], the forgetful functors $u_\tau$ in the above diagram are accessible. Since they preserve limits, they admit left adjoint functors, which we will denote by $R_\tau$ (it will always be clear from the context which category $R_\tau$ is defined on).

We say that a topology $\tau$ on $\mathcal{E}$ is compatible with $R$-transfers if for any presheaf with $R$-transfers $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{E}$, the canonical map

$$a_\tau u_\tau^* \mathcal{F} \to u_\tau^* a_\tau^* \mathcal{F}$$

is an equivalence. The following lemma shows that $\tau$ is compatible with transfers if and only if it is weakly compatible with transfers in the sense of [CD12, Definition 9.3.3]. For example, it follows from [CD12, Proposition 9.3.3] that the Nisnevich and étale topologies are compatible with transfers on any admissible category.

Lemma 8.1. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an admissible category, $R$ a commutative ring, and $\tau$ a topology on $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\tau$ is compatible with $R$-transfers if and only if for every $\tau$-covering sieve $U \hookrightarrow X$, the morphism

$$a_\tau u_\tau R_\tau(U_+) \to a_\tau u_\tau R_\tau(X_+)$$

is an equivalence in $\text{Shv}^\tau_\infty(\mathcal{E})$.  

Proof. If $\tau$ is compatible with transfers, then for any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C})$,
\[ a_\tau u_\tau R_\tau \mathcal{F} \simeq u_\tau a_\tau^R R_\tau \mathcal{F} \simeq u_\tau R_\tau a_\tau \mathcal{F}. \]
Since $a_\tau(U_+) \simeq a_\tau(X_+)$, this proves the “only if” direction.

Conversely, define
\[ E = \{ R_\tau U_+ \to R_\tau X_+ \mid U \hookrightarrow X \text{ is a } \tau\text{-covering sieve} \} \]
so that $\text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R) \subset \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C}, R)$ is the subcategory of $E$-local objects, and suppose that the functor $a_\tau u_\tau$ sends elements of $E$ to equivalences. Let $\bar{E}$ be the saturation of $E$, i.e., the smallest class of morphisms containing $E$, satisfying the 2-out-of-3 property, and closed under colimits in $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C}, R))$. By [HTT, Proposition 5.5.4.15 (4) and Proposition 5.2.7.12], the localization functor $a_\tau^L : \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C}, R) \to \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R)$ is the universal functor sending elements of $\bar{E}$ to equivalences. We claim that $a_\tau u_\tau$ sends morphisms in $\bar{E}$ to equivalences. It will suffice to show that the class of morphisms $f$ such that $a_\tau u_\tau(f)$ is an equivalence is closed under the 2-out-of-3 property (which is obvious) and colimits. The functor $u_\tau : \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C}, R) \to \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C}, R)$ does not preserve colimits, but it preserves sifted colimits and transforms finite coproducts into finite products. Since $a_\tau$ is left exact and any colimit can be built out of finite coproducts and sifted colimits, this proves the claim. Thus, there exists a functor $f : \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R) \to \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C})$ making the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R) & \xrightarrow{a_\tau^L} & \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R) \\
\downarrow u_\tau & & \downarrow u_\tau \\
\text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{a_\tau} & \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C})
\end{array}
\]
commute. Since the horizontal compositions are the identity, $f \simeq u_\tau$ and $a_\tau u_\tau \simeq u_\tau a_\tau^R$. □

Lemma 8.2. Suppose that $\tau$ is compatible with $R$-transfers. Then the square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C}, R) & \xrightarrow{L} & \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R)_A \\
\downarrow u_\tau & & \downarrow u_\tau \\
\text{CMon}^{\text{gp}}(\text{PSh}(\mathcal{C})) & \xrightarrow{L'} & \text{CMon}^{\text{gp}}(\text{Shv}_\tau(\mathcal{C})_A)
\end{array}
\]
commutes, where $L$ and $L'$ are left adjoint to the inclusions.

Proof. Note that $L = L_A^* a_\tau^R$ and that $L'$ is induced by the distributive functor $L_A^* a_\tau$, by Lemma 7.1. Consider the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R)_A & \xrightarrow{L} & \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R)_A \\
\downarrow u_\tau & & \downarrow u_\tau \\
\text{CMon}^{\text{gp}}(\text{Shv}_\tau(\mathcal{C})_A) & \xrightarrow{L'} & \text{CMon}^{\text{gp}}(\text{PSh}(\mathcal{C}))
\end{array}
\]
It will suffice to show that a functor $f$ exists as indicated. Define
\[ E_\tau = \{ R_\tau U_+ \to R_\tau X_+ \mid U \hookrightarrow X \text{ is a Nisnevich covering sieve in } \mathcal{C} \}, \]
and
\[ E_A^1 = \{ R_\tau (X \times \mathcal{A}^1)_+ \to R_\tau X_+ \mid X \in \mathcal{C} \}, \]
so that $\text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R)_A \subset \text{PSh}^*(\mathcal{C}, R)$ is the full subcategory of $(E_\tau \cup E_A^1)$-local objects. The functor $L' u_\tau$ carries morphisms in $E_\tau$ and $E_A^1$ to equivalences: for $E_\tau$, this is because $\tau$ is compatible with $R$-transfers and for $E_A^1$, it is because $u_\tau R_\tau (X \times \mathcal{A}^1)_+ \to u_\tau R_\tau X_+$ is a homotopy equivalence (see the last part of the proof of [Voe10, Theorem 1.7]). By [HTT, Proposition 5.5.4.20], there exists a functor $f$ making the above diagram commutes. □

Corollary 8.3. Suppose that $\tau$ is compatible with $R$-transfers. Then the forgetful functor $u_\tau : \text{Shv}^\tau(\mathcal{C}, R)_A \to \text{CMon}^{\text{gp}}(\text{Shv}_\tau(\mathcal{C})_A)$ preserves colimits.
Proof. This follows from Lemma 8.2 since \( L \) and \( L^{ur} \) preserve colimits. 

The \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}, R)_A \) is tensored over connective \( HR \)-modules. For \( p \geq 0 \) and \( A \) a connective \( HR \)-module, the \textit{motivic Eilenberg–Mac Lane space}

\[
K(A(p), p)_C \in \text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_A
\]

is defined by

\[
K(A(p), p)_C = u_{tr}(R_{tr}S^{p,q} \otimes A),
\]

where \( S^{p,q} \in \text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_A \) is the motivic \( p \)-sphere of weight \( q \). Although this construction depends on the coefficient ring \( R \) in general, it does not if either the schemes in \( \mathcal{C} \) are regular or if the positive residual characteristics of \( S \) are invertible in \( R \) [CD12, Remark 9.1.3 (3)]; the latter will always be the case in what follows.

9. The \textit{étale} Homotopy Type of Motivic Eilenberg–Mac Lane Spaces

Let \( k \) be a separably closed field, \( l \neq \text{char} k \) a prime number, and \( \mathcal{C} \subset \text{Sch}_k \) an admissible category. One defect of the \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-local \textit{étale} homotopy type functor \( \tilde{\mathbf{E}}t_l: \text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_1 \to \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \) is that it does not preserve finite products and hence does not preserve commutative monoids. We have seen in Lemma 6.2 that \( \tilde{\mathbf{E}}t_l \) preserves finite products between compact motivic spaces, but motivic Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces are certainly not compact. We will fix this problem by constructing a factorization

\[
\text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}t^*_{\omega}} \mathcal{E} \to \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}
\]
of \( \tilde{\mathbf{E}}t^*_{\omega} \) is distributive and \( \mathcal{E} \) is a "close approximation" of \( \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \) by a distributive \( \infty \)-category. For our applications, we also need \( \tilde{\mathbf{E}}t^*_{\omega} \) to factor through \( \text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Sch}^h_k)_1 \), which explains some of the complexity of the following construction.

Construction 9.1. Let \( i: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}^h_k \) be the inclusion and let \( i_!: \text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Sch}^h_k) \) be the induced left adjoint functor. Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is admissible and in particular closed under finite products, the functor \( i_! \) is distributive. Note that we have a commuting triangle

\[
\begin{tikzcd}
\text{Shv}^{\omega}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \ar[r]^{\text{a}_{\text{sch}}} \ar[d]_{\text{tr}} & \text{Shv}_h(\text{Sch}^h_k) \ar[d] \ar[r] & \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_1 \\
\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}) \ar[r] & \text{Pro}(S_{\leq n}) \ar[ru]
\end{tikzcd}
\]

and that the functor \( \text{tr}_{\omega} \) on the right is simply \( \Pi_{\infty} \), in the sense of Remark 5.4. For any \( \infty \)-topos \( X \), we have a commutative square

\[
\begin{tikzcd}
X \ar[r, \tau_{\leq n}] \ar[d, \Pi_{\infty}] & X_{\leq n} \ar[d, \Pi_{\infty}] \\
\text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}) \ar[r, \tau_{\leq n}] & \text{Pro}(S_{\leq n}),
\end{tikzcd}
\]

where the horizontal maps are given by truncation and \( \Pi_{\infty} = \tau_{\leq n} \circ \Pi_{\infty} \). By Lemma 3.8, we can therefore factor the \( \mathbb{Z}/l \)-local shape functor \( L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \Pi_{\infty}: X \to \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \) as

\[
X \to X^\wedge \xrightarrow{\lim_n} \lim_n X_{\leq n} \to \lim_n \text{Pro}(S_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \simeq \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}.
\]

Since truncations preserve colimits and finite products, the functor \( \tau_{\leq n} \) is distributive. Applying this procedure to the \( \infty \)-topos \( X = \text{Shv}_h(\text{Sch}^h_k) \), we obtain a factorization of \( L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \Pi^h_{\infty} \) as

\[
\text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{a}_{\text{sch}}^h} \text{Shv}_h(\text{Sch}^h_k) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\leq n}} \lim_n \text{Shv}_h(\text{Sch}^h_k)_{\leq n} \to \lim_n \text{Pro}(S_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \simeq \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}.
\]

By Lemma 4.3, we have

\[
\text{Shv}_h(\text{Sch}^h_k)_{\leq n} \simeq \text{Ind}(\text{Shv}_h(\text{Sch}^h_k)_{\leq n}).
\]

Let \( \text{Pro}^h(\mathcal{S}) \) be the smallest full subcategory of \( \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}) \) containing \( \Pi^h_{\infty} X \) for every \( k \)-scheme of finite type \( X \) and closed under finite products, finite colimits, and retracts. We similarly define
the \(\infty\)-categories \(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}\), \(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})\), and \(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}\). It follows from Corollary 3.4 that \(\text{Ind}(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l})\) is a distributive \(\infty\)-category. By Lemma 6.2 (or rather its proof), the functor

\[ \tau_{\leq n} \mathbb{E}t_l : \text{Shv}_n(\text{Sch}_k)^{\wedge}_{\leq n} \to \text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \]

preserves finite products and finite colimits, so that the induced functor on ind-completions

\[ \text{Shv}_n(\text{Sch}_k)^{\wedge}_{\leq n} \to \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}) \]

is distributive. Thus, the composition

\[ \text{Shv}_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\leq n} \mathbb{E}t_l} \text{lim}_n \text{Shv}_n(\text{Sch}_k)^{\wedge}_{\leq n} \to \text{lim}_n \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}) \]

is distributive, and it is clearly \(A^1\)-local, so that it induces a distributive functor

\[ \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge : \text{Shv}_n(\mathcal{C})_{A^1} \to \text{lim}_n \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}). \]

By construction, \(\mathbb{E}t_l\) is the composition of \(\mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge\) and the colimit functor

\[ \text{lim}_n \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}) \to \text{lim}_n \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \simeq \text{Pro}(\mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}. \]

The next theorem is our étale version of [Voe10, Proposition 3.41]. We point out that the category \(\mathcal{C}\) in the statement below need not be closed under symmetric powers, so the theorem applies directly to \(\mathcal{C} = \text{Sm}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}\) with no need for resolutions of singularities.

**Theorem 9.2.** Let \(k\) be an algebraically closed field of characteristic exponent \(e\), \(l \neq e\) a prime number, and \(\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Sch}_k\) an admissible subcategory consisting of semi-normal schemes. Then for any pointed object \(X\) in \(\text{Shv}_{Nis}(\mathcal{C})_{A^1}\) and any connective \(H_{\mathbb{Z}}[1/e]\)-module \(A\), there is an equivalence

\[ \theta_{X,A} : Z \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge X \otimes A \simeq \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} X \otimes A \]

of grouplike strictly commutative monoids in \(\text{lim}_n \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l})\), natural in \(X\) and \(A\), with the following properties:

1. the triangle

\[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge X & \xrightarrow{1} & Z \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge X \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/e] \\ \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge (\text{unit}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{X,Z[1/e]} \\\ & \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} X & \end{array} \]

is commutative;

2. given \(X, Y, A,\) and \(B\), the square

\[ \begin{array}{ccc} (Z \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge X \otimes A) & \wedge & (Z \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge Y \otimes B) \\ \theta_{X,A} \wedge \theta_{Y,B} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{X \wedge Y,A \otimes B} \\ \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} (X \wedge Y) & \wedge & \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} (X \wedge Y \otimes (A \otimes B)) \\ \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} (X \wedge Y) & \wedge & \mathbb{E}t_l^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} (X \wedge Y \otimes (A \otimes B)) \end{array} \]

is commutative.

**Proof.** Any \(k\)-scheme of finite type is Zariski-locally quasi-projective, so we can assume that the objects of \(\mathcal{C}\) are quasi-projective without changing the categories and functors involved. As \(X\) varies, the source and target of \(\theta_{X,A}\) are functors taking values in \(\text{CMon}(\text{lim}_n \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}'(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}))\), and as such they preserve colimits: for the left-hand side this was shown in §7, and for the right-hand side it follows from Corollary 8.3. In particular, these functors are left Kan extended from
their restriction to \( \mathcal{C} \). To show the existence of \( \theta_{X,A} \), it will therefore suffice to define \( \theta_{X,A} \) for \( X \) representable, i.e., \( X = L_{A^1}^* \text{Nis}(Z) \) for some \( Z \in \mathcal{C} \), and this construction should be natural in \( Z \) and \( A \). Furthermore, since \( \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! u_{tr} \) is a distributive functor (taking values in commutative monoids), we have

\[
\hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! u_{tr}(Z_{tr}X \otimes A) \simeq \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! u_{tr}(Z_{tr}X) \otimes A
\]

so we can assume \( A = \mathbb{Z}[1/e] \). Let \( i:\mathcal{C}\hookrightarrow \text{Sch}^\text{ft}_k \) be the inclusion. The motivic Dold–Thom theorem [Voe10, Theorem 3.7] says that there is an equivalence

\[
u_{tr}\mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} Z_+ \simeq \text{a}_{\text{Nis}}((\prod_{d \geq 0} i^* r(S^d Z))^+[1/e])
\]

of pointed presheaves on \( \mathcal{C} \), natural in \( Z \). Note that the validity of this formula does not depend on the scheme \( S^d Z \) belonging to \( \mathcal{C} \). Then by Lemma 8.2 and the distributivity of \( L_{A^1}^* \text{a}_{\text{Nis}} \), we obtain equivalences

\[
u_{tr}\mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} X \simeq L_{A^1}^* \text{a}_{\text{Nis}}((\prod_{d \geq 0} i^* r(S^d Z))^+[1/e]) \simeq (\prod_{d \geq 0} L_{A^1}^* \text{a}_{\text{Nis}} i^* r(S^d Z))^+[1/e]
\]

in \( \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C})_{A^1} \). Since \( \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! \) preserves group completions of commutative monoids (Lemma 7.2) and colimits,

\[
\hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! u_{tr}\mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} X \simeq (\prod_{d \geq 0} \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! L_{A^1}^* \text{a}_{\text{Nis}} i^* r(S^d Z))^+[1/e].
\]

On the other hand, by Lemma 7.4 and since \( \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! L_{A^1} \) commutes with \( S^d \),

\[
\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! X \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/e] \simeq (\prod_{d \geq 0} \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! L_{A^1} S^d r_{\text{Nis}}(Z))^+[1/e].
\]

We then define \( \theta_{X,\mathbb{Z}[1/e]} \) to be the map induced by the obvious canonical map

\[
\varphi: S^d r_{\text{Nis}}(Z) \to \text{a}_{\text{Nis}} i^* r(S^d Z).
\]

To show that \( \theta_{X,\mathbb{Z}[1/e]} \) is an equivalence, it suffices to show that \( \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! L_{A^1} (\varphi) \) is an equivalence. By definition of \( \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! \), the functor \( \hat{\text{Et}}^\times_! L_{A^1} \) factors through \( a_{\text{Nis}}^\times: \text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Shv}_k^\times(\text{Sch}^\text{ft}_k) \), so it suffices to show that \( a_{\text{Nis}}^\times(\varphi) \) is an equivalence. This follows from Corollary 4.6 and Proposition 4.7 (since \( k \) is perfect and every smooth \( k \)-scheme is Zariski-locally in \( \mathcal{C} \)).

The strategy to prove (1) and (2) is the following: we first reduce as above to the representable case, where the statements follow from properties of the motivic Dold–Thom equivalence. For (1), we may assume that \( X \) is represented by \( Z \in \mathcal{C} \). Then the adjunction map \( X \to u_{tr}\mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} X \) corresponds, through the Dold–Thom equivalence, to the map

\[
\mathbb{Z}_+ \simeq S^0 Z \sqcup S^1 Z \to \prod_{d \geq 0} S^d Z \to (\prod_{d \geq 0} S^d Z)^+[1/e],
\]

which proves the result. For (2), we may assume that \( X \) and \( Y \) are represented by \( Z \) and \( W \) and that \( A = B = \mathbb{Z}[1/e] \). Moreover, we can replace \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \) with \( \hat{\mathbb{N}} \). It then suffices to note that the pairing

\[
u_{tr}\mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} X \times u_{tr}\mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} Y \to u_{tr}\mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} (X \times Y)
\]

arising from the monoidal structure of \( \mathbb{Z}[1/e]_{tr} \) is induced, via the Dold–Thom equivalence, by the obvious maps \( S^d Z \times S^d W \to S^{d+b}(Z \times W) \).

For \( A \) a connective \( H\mathbb{Z} \)-module, let \( A_t \) be the pro-\( H\mathbb{Z} \)-module \( \lim_n A/l^n \). Note that \( A_t \simeq A \) if \( A \) admits an \( H\mathbb{Z} \)/\( l^n \)-module structure for some \( n \geq 1 \).

**Lemma 9.3.** Let \( A \) be a connective \( H\mathbb{Z} \)-module. Then

\[
L_{H\mathbb{Z}/l} K(A, p) \simeq \tau_{<\infty} K(A_t, p).
\]

**Proof.** We can clearly assume \( A \) truncated, and since both sides preserve finite products in \( A \), we can assume \( A \) discrete. By the principal fibration lemma [BK72, III, 3.6], we can reduce to the case \( p = 1 \) and \( A \) free abelian. In this case we have \( \pi_n L_{H\mathbb{Z}/l} K(A, p) = 0 \) for \( n \geq 2 \) [BK72, IV, Lemma 4.4] and \( \pi_1 L_{H\mathbb{Z}/l} K(A, p) \simeq A_t \) by the proof of [BK72, IV, Lemma 2.4].
Given $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $A_t(q) = A_t \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l^n$, which is noncanonically isomorphic to $A_t$. For example, $\mathbb{Z}/l^n \simeq \mu_{l^n}^\otimes$.

**Theorem 9.4.** Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic exponent $e$, $l \neq e$ a prime number, and $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Sch}_k$ an admissible subcategory consisting of semi-normal schemes. For any connective $HZ[1/e]$-module $A$ and any integers $p, q$ with $p \geq 1$ and $p \geq q \geq 0$, there is a canonical equivalence

$$\hat{\text{Et}}_l K(A(q), p)_C \simeq \tau_{\leq 0} K(A(q), p)$$

of pointed objects in $\text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}/l)$, natural in $A$, and $\hat{\text{Et}}_l$ preserves smash products between such spaces. Furthermore, under these equivalences,

1. $\hat{\text{Et}}_l$ sends the canonical map
   $$S^{p,q} \to K(\mathbb{Z}[1/e](q), p)_C$$
   to the canonical map
   $$S^{p,q} \to K(\mathbb{Z}(q), p);$$

2. $\hat{\text{Et}}_l$ sends the canonical map
   $$K(A(q), p)_C \otimes K(B(s), r)_C \to K((A \otimes B)(q + s), p + r)_C$$
   to the canonical map
   $$\tau_{\leq 0} K(A(q), p) \otimes \tau_{\leq 0} K(B(s), r) \to \tau_{\leq 0} K((A \otimes B)(q + s), p + r).$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 9.2 and Proposition 6.3, we have

$$\hat{\text{Et}}_l^\wedge K(A(q), p)_C \simeq \tilde{\tau}_{\leq \ast} S^{p,q} \otimes A,$$

where $S^{p,q} \in \text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}/l)$ is considered as a constant ind-$\mathbb{Z}/l$-profinite pro-space. Now apply the colimit functor

$$c: \lim_n \text{Ind}^i(\text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l}) \to \lim_n \text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \simeq \text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}/l)$$

to both sides. The left-hand side becomes $\hat{\text{Et}}_l K(A(q), p)_C$, by definition of $\hat{\text{Et}}_l^\wedge$. For the right-hand side, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^n & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Ind}(S^n) \\
\downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{c} \\
\text{ind} & \xrightarrow{j \text{ind}} & \lim_n \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}))_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \\
\downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{c} \\
S & \xrightarrow{j} \lim_n \text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} & \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{Z}/l}} \lim_n \text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq n})_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \\
\end{array}$$

Note that $\tau_{\leq \ast} S^{p,0}$ is the image of $S^p$ by the top row of this diagram. The functor $j \text{ind}$ is clearly distributive and $L_{\mathbb{Z}/l}$ is distributive by Proposition 3.3. We therefore have equivalences

$$c \hat{\text{Et}}_l^\wedge (\text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}/l))_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \simeq \text{Pro}^i(\mathbb{Z}/l) \simeq L_{\mathbb{Z}/l} K(A, p),$$

which concludes the case $q = 0$ by Lemma 9.3. The twisting for $q > 0$ makes the equivalence canonical (when $p = q = 1$, the canonical map $S^{1,1}_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \to c\tilde{\tau}_{\leq \ast} S^{1,1}_{\mathbb{Z}/l}$ is an equivalence, and the general case follows). A similar argument shows that $\hat{\text{Et}}_l (X \wedge Y) \simeq \hat{\text{Et}}_l (X) \wedge \hat{\text{Et}}_l (Y)$ whenever $\hat{\text{Et}}_l X$ and $\hat{\text{Et}}_l Y$ belong to the essential image of $L_{\mathbb{Z}/l}^\text{ind}$. The remaining statements are easily deduced from properties (1) and (2) in Theorem 9.2.}

In conclusion, let us emphasize the two most important special cases of Theorem 9.4. First, we have

$$\hat{\text{Et}}_l K(A(q), p)_C \simeq K(T_l \mu^\otimes q, p)$$

for any $\mathbb{Z}[1/e] \subset A \subset \mathbb{Z}(q)$, where $T_l \mu^\otimes q$ is the $l$-adic Tate module of $\mu^\otimes q$ viewed as a pro-group. Second, for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\hat{\text{Et}}_l K(\mathbb{Z}/l^n(q), p)_C \simeq K(\mu_n^\otimes q, p),$$

which is in particular a constant pro-space. In both cases, the $\mathbb{Z}/l$-local étale homotopy type is already $\mathbb{Z}/l$-profinite.
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