Quantitative optical spectroscopy of $^{87}$Rb vapour in the Voigt geometry in DC magnetic fields up to 0.4 T
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We present a detailed spectroscopic investigation of a thermal $^{87}$Rb atomic vapour in magnetic fields up to 0.4 T in the Voigt geometry. We fit experimental spectra with our theoretical model ElecSus and find excellent quantitative agreement, with RMS errors of $\sim 0.3\%$. We extract the magnetic field strength and the angle between the polarisation of the light and the magnetic field from the atomic signal and find excellent agreement to within $\sim 1\%$ with a commercial Hall probe. Finally, we present an investigation of the relative sensitivity of this technique to variations in the field strength and angle with a view to enabling atom-based high-field vector magnetometry.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the redefinition of the second based on the Cs hyperfine ground-state energy splitting in 1967 [1], atomic spectroscopy has been established as the fundamental building-block of high precision measurement systems. In recent years, spectroscopic techniques have been extended to a more general family of atom-based applications. These applications include atomic clocks [2, 3], determination of fundamental constants [4], electrometry in DC [5, 6], microwave [7–9] and THz fields [10, 11], and near-field imaging of microwave electric circuits [12, 13]. There is also the development of new sensors, including magnetometers [14] with optically-pumped atomic vapours which have impact across a wide variety of disciplines. Recent examples include explosives detection [15], gyrosopes [16], medical imaging of the heart [17, 18] and brain [19, 20], microfluidics [21] and measurements on spin-active solid-state systems [22]. Applications also include optical devices including an atom-based optical isolator [23], a dichroic beamsplitter based on the Faraday effect [24], and extremely narrow-band optical band-pass filters [25–29] which have also recently been used as an intracavity frequency-selective element in a laser system [30]. Together, these tools demonstrate an in-depth understanding and use of the atomic physics involved in externally applied magnetic fields up to $\sim 1$ T.

Atom-based measurement systems for large fields above 1 T have received significantly less attention. In fields below 10 mT, the Larmor precession frequency is sufficiently low to be measured directly [14]. In fields of order 1 T, the nuclear and electronic spins decouple (the hyperfine Paschen-Back (HPB) regime), and the field can be measured from the characteristically symmetric absorption spectra [43, 44] and dispersion properties that makes extracting the medium’s absorption coefficient the preferred approach. To date, most work has been done in the Faraday configuration, where the $\vec{k}$ vector of the light is parallel to the external field $\vec{B}_{ext}$ and only the magnitude of the field can be measured [45]. Here we explore the much less well studied Voigt geometry, where the external magnetic field is perpendicular to the direction of light propagation and not only the magnitude of the field but its direction can also be measured. Hence this work opens the possibility of developing vector magnetometry in high fields.

Here we present careful analysis of spectroscopic measurements of atomic spectra over a range of magnetic field strengths up to 0.4 T in the Voigt geometry. By fitting to our theoretical model ElecSus that describes atomic absorption [46, 47] we extract the magnetic field strength and compare it against experimental values. We show that we can extract, given a known input light po-
loration, the magnetic field and direction. Finally, we calculate and evaluate the relative spectral sensitivity to changes in field strength and direction.

The rest of the manuscript is organised as follows. In section II we summarise the theoretical model we have used in our analysis; section III discusses our experimental approach; in section IV we discuss the spectra of $^{87}\text{Rb}$ in large magnetic fields and compare experimental data to our model to extract a magnetic field strength; and finally, in section V we explore potential areas of the spectrum which exhibit especially high or low magnetic field sensitivities.

II. THEORETICAL MODEL

The theoretical model we use for fitting our data, Elec-Sus, is described in detail in refs. [46, 47]. Here we summarise only the main points. The model calculates the complex electric susceptibility, $\chi(\Delta)$, of the atomic medium as a function of the optical frequency detuning $\hbar\Delta = (\hbar\omega_{\text{laser}} - \hbar\omega_0)$ in the vicinity of the alkali D-lines, where $\omega_{\text{laser}}$ is the angular frequency of the laser and $\omega_0$ is the angular frequency of the atomic transition. Using matrix methods we construct the atomic states in the $m_L,m_S,m_I$ basis, accounting for the internal energy levels (fine and hyperfine structure) and the interaction with the external magnetic field via the Zeeman effect. From the matrices we calculate the transition energies and absolute line strengths. Finally, the Doppler effect is included by applying a Voigt profile (convolution of the Lorentzian homogeneous linewidth and the Gaussian from the Maxwellian velocity distribution) to each of the atomic transitions. For a given global detuning, the susceptibility is the sum over all the transitions, where each transition has an effective detuning. The susceptibility is then calculated separately for the $\sigma^\pm$ and $\pi$ transitions.

Once the susceptibility has been calculated, the wave equation is solved for the medium to find the two propagating eigenmodes. Each eigenmode is associated with a complex refractive index, which couples to the atomic transitions in a distinct way. The exact coupling depends on the geometry of the system. The present experiment is set up in the Voigt geometry, in which the externally applied magnetic field vector $\vec{B}_{\text{ext}}$ is perpendicular to the light wavevector $\vec{k}$, as shown in figure 1. We constrain the external magnetic field vector to lie along the Cartesian x-axis, while the laser beam propagates along the z-axis and assume we have a linearly polarised plane-wave so its polarisation lies in the $x-y$ plane. The angle, $\phi_B$, that the $E$-field of the light makes with the $x$-axis, defines the angle of polarisation and determines the relative coupling to the atomic transitions. The two refractive indices of the atomic medium are both associated with the propagation of linearly polarised light; light polarised with its electric field parallel to the external magnetic field drives $\pi$ transitions, while light polarised with the electric field perpendicular to the external magnetic field drives both $\sigma^\pm$ transitions. The relative phase between the two polarisation components is unimportant in the Voigt geometry - i.e. circularly polarised light of either handedness couples to the atoms in the same way as linearly polarised light with equal $x$ and $y$ components ($\phi = (2n-1)\pi/4$ with $n$ an integer). Such considerations, including the oscillatory nature of light, mean that the direction of the applied field cannot be derived from a single measurement, meaning that equivalent solutions are found for changes in $\phi_B$ of $\pi$ radians.

Each eigenmode propagates through the medium with its associated refractive index. In general these two refractive indices are different, and since the refractive indices are complex, the medium is both dichroic and birefringent. To calculate the electric field after propagating through the medium, we transform into the eigenbasis coordinate system (in the Voigt geometry this is simply the Cartesian basis parallel and perpendicular to the external magnetic field vector), propagate each index $n_i$ for a distance $L$ by multiplying by $e^{in_i\vec{k}L}$, and transform back to the lab coordinates which are most relevant. We then analyse the output via Stokes polarimetry [42], which provides a convenient set of parameters easily amenable to laboratory measurements that only require measuring the intensity in sets of orthogonal polarisation bases. The Stokes parameter $S_0$ represents the total transmitted intensity, and is therefore independent of the measurement basis.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup is shown in figure 2. The laser is a 780 nm distributed feedback (DFB) laser with a quoted linewidth of < 2 MHz, which is tunable without mode hops over many hundreds of GHz. Some of the light is sent to reference optics to calibrate the laser scan; we use a Fabry-Perot etalon to linearise the scan in par-
parallel with a 75 mm natural abundance Rb reference cell which provides an absolute frequency reference, using the approach outlined in ref. [49]. The remaining light is sent to the probe through a polarisation maintaining fiber (PMF). The probe itself contains a fiber collimator, after which the light is split by a non-polarising beamsplitter cube. One arm contains the 1 mm $^{87}$Rb isotopically enriched (99% $^{87}$Rb) vapour cell, while the other arm is used as a power reference, which is fed back to a power servo using an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) to keep the temperature stability of better than 1 mK over the course of an experimental run. In these experiments the external magnetic field is generated by an electromagnet with the field in the horizontal direction, and measured using a commercial Hall probe (Hirst GM04). The field is not perfectly linear with the current, but gives a remanent field of $(10.0 \pm 0.5)$ mT. Nevertheless, field strengths up to 0.4 T are reproducible to better than 1 mT, with a uniformity better than 1 mT over a 14 mm diameter-sphere-volume.

To avoid optical pumping, the optical power in the probe is kept low such that the atoms are in the weak-probe regime [51]; in practice this means around 1 $\mu$W of optical power with a beam waist of around 0.5 mm. The effective spatial resolution of the field probe is then set by the volume of atoms interrogated by the laser beam, which roughly comprises a cylinder of length 1 mm and radius 0.5 mm.

### IV. SPECTROSCOPIQUE ANALYSIS FOR MAGNETIC FIELD DETERMINATION

Figure 3 is derived using our theoretical model ElecSus and combines the atomic state evolution as a function of magnetic field with the spectroscopic signal at an external magnetic field of 0.4 T. The top two panels show the calculated spectrum for an isotopically enriched (99% purity) vapour cell at 80°C and a field of 0.4 T for $\pi$ (upper panel) and $\sigma^\pm$ (lower panel) transitions. Coloured vertical lines indicate the frequency that the resonance lines occur at, while the colour of the line indicates the type of transition. Below these panels, the diagram shows the states in the $5S_{1/2}$ and $5P_{3/2}$ manifolds at 0.4 T and the initial and final states involved in each individual transition. The state decompositions in the $m_J,m_I$ basis are shown on the right side of the figure. The arrows here are semi-transparent to highlight where there are still partially overlapping transitions.

In an applied magnetic field of 0.4 T, the $5P_{3/2}$ states strongly decouple into the $m_J,m_I$ basis, leading to four groups of lines organised by the $m_J = 3/2,1/2, -1/2, -3/2$ projection. However, the ground state has a significantly larger hyperfine interaction which means this decoupling is still incomplete. While all the states have roughly separated into the two $m_J = \pm 1/2$ groups, within each group the energy difference between each $m_I$ component is not uniform until the external fields are much higher and the states have evolved completely into the Hyperfine Paschen-Back (HPB) regime. Hence, in addition to the groups of four ‘strong’ transitions $(|m_J,m_I\rangle \rightarrow |m'_J,m_I\rangle)$, with $m'_J = m_J (\pi), m_J + 1 (\sigma^+), m_J - 1 (\sigma^-)$, we also observe groups of three ‘weak’ transitions, which result from the ground-states not being pure eigenstates in the $m_J,m_I$ basis; a small admixture of the opposite $m_J$ state remains which can be seen in the state decomposition on the bottom right of fig. 3 (more details can be found in ref. [45]). Given that at 0.4 T, the Zeeman splitting is still not large enough to completely resolve all the atomic transitions...
individually there are areas of the spectrum where lines overlap. This is most prominent around approximately \( \pm 7 \) GHz in the \( \sigma^\pm \) transitions and around \(-1\) GHz for the \( \pi \) transitions, where the outer lines from two groups of strong transitions are separated by less than the Doppler width, and hence the transitions appear merged into one. While this is not ideal for identifying individual transitions, this may be advantageous for magnetic field detection, as these areas of the absorption spectrum change rapidly with relatively small changes in magnetic field. We will discuss this in more detail in section V.

Figure 4 shows experimental data obtained at 390 mT fitted using ElecSus along with the residuals \( R \), which have been multiplied by a factor of 100 for clarity. The RMS difference between theory and experiment for this data set is 0.3%, and combined with the lack of any discernible structure in the residuals, indicates an excellent fit [52]. The fitted parameters are the magnetic field strength \( |B_{\text{atoms}}| \), the temperature \( T_{\text{atoms}} \), the polarisation angle \( \phi_B \) and the amount of inhomogeneous broadening \( \Gamma_{\text{Buf}} \) which is caused by collisions with buffer gas atoms. We find \( |B_{\text{atoms}}| = (394 \pm 4) \) mT, close to the field value measured with a Hall probe of \( (390 \pm 1) \) mT, \( T_{\text{atoms}} = (81.23 \pm 0.02) \)°C which is close to the measured value of \( (82.32 \pm 0.04) \)°C from the Cernox thermometer, \( \phi_B = (1.412 \pm 0.004) \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{Buf}}/2\pi = (631 \pm 3) \) MHz.

We attribute the significant buffer gas broadening to He atoms trapped in the cell, after the cell was exposed to a He environment in previous experiments and note there is no significant shift in any of the resonance lines.

Figure 5 shows detailed measurements and analysis over a range of fields up to 0.4 T. Each data point is based on the weighted average of 5 fits, examples of which are shown in the insets for an external field of \( \sim 0.15 \) T and \( \sim 0.35 \) T. We suggest that there will be benefits for very high precision spectroscopy in the HPB regime at even higher fields, where preliminary results show the large Zeeman splitting allows the isolation of individual two-, three- and four-level systems [45, 53–56].

Error bars on the fitted magnetic field strengths are on the order of 1 mT. The gradient of the \( |B_{\text{Hall}}| \) vs. \( |B_{\text{atoms}}| \) data is linear. If we fix the intercept to zero, we find a gradient of \( (0.995 \pm 0.009) \). Allowing both gradient and intercept to vary, we extract a gradient \( (1.015 \pm 0.002) \) and intercept \( ( -5 \pm 1) \) mT. These results indicate a systematic 1.5% difference between the measurements and theoretical fits which we attribute predominantly to inaccuracies in the calibration of the Hall probe, which is quoted to be \( \pm 1\% \), 1 mT resolution. Systematic errors in the scaling of the frequency axis, misalignment in the axis of the Hall probe with the magnetic field axis and uncertainties in the theoretical calculations are also con-
considerations. We also found no significant changes in temperature or polarisation while these variable-field data were obtained. The polarisation drift across the measurements was < 10% and the temperature drift was < 1° C. The stability of the cell temperature is consistent with the small changes in the temperature measured by the Cernox of < 100 mK.

Our atomic technique for measuring fields is independently sensitive to the field strength and angle without any mechanical movement of the sensor head. The Zeeman shift, which sets the resonance line positions, depends only on field strength, whilst the coupling of the atomic transitions to the light field depends on the relative direction between the magnetic field and the electric field vector of the light. This is in contrast to Hall probe measurements where the angle between the Hall probe and the direction of the external field must be known to get a precise reading of the magnitude. Combined with the relatively high spatial resolution, these considerations mean that atomic-based spectroscopy could replace Hall probes for say vector magnetometry or simultaneous mapping of the magnitude and direction of magnetic fields.

Figure 4. Experimental data and fit to the ElecSus model for a measured magnetic field strength (390 ± 1) mT, and average polarisation angle $\phi_B = (\pi/2 ± 0.02)$. The residuals $R$ (difference between experiment and theory, multiplied by a factor of 100 for clarity) are very small and have no clear structure, which combined with the small RMS error of 0.3% indicate excellent agreement between theory and experiment. From this fit, we extract a magnetic field strength $|B_{\text{atoms}}| = (394±4) \text{ mT}$, temperature $T_{\text{atoms}} = (81.23±0.02)°C$, polarisation angle $\phi_B = (1.412±0.004)$ and inhomogenous broadening $\Gamma_{\text{Hall}}/2\pi = (631±3)$ MHz.

V. SPECTRAL SENSITIVITY TO CHANGES IN FIELD STRENGTH AND DIRECTION

In the moderate fields studied here, although the transition peaks are partially overlapping, we argue it may be advantageous for magnetic field sensing, as small changes in the magnetic field can lead to relatively large changes in the spectral lineshape. In figure 6 we present calculations using ElecSus that show the change in transmission with respect to magnetic field strength, $dS_y/dB$, over the Rb D2 line spectrum, as a function of field strength. There are interesting regions of high sensitivity visible around ~ 1 GHz detuning at 0.1 and 0.23 T.

The best sensitivity to magnetic field strength uses a polarisation angle $\phi_B = \pi/2$, because this is the angle which drives the $\sigma^\pm$ transitions the strongest. These transitions have a larger energy splitting as can be seen in figure 3 which shows that the in the HPB regime the ground state level shifts down in energy by $\mu_B B_{\text{ext}}$, while the excited state level shifts up by $2\mu_B B_{\text{ext}}$. The spectra are also dependent on the relative angle between the magnetic field vector $\vec{B}_{\text{ext}}$ and the light’s electric field vector $\vec{E}$. Whilst this technique gives two equivalent polarisation angles (i.e. reflection of the polarisation angle around the $x$ and $y$-axes yield the same spectrum), a full polarimetric analysis would in principle be able to determine the field angle uniquely. In figure 7 we plot experimental spectra (top panels, purple) at three polarisation
angles, and the change in transmission with respect to polarisation angle $dS_0/d\phi_B$ over the spectrum for each of these cases. Since the polarisation angle changes the relative coupling to the $\pi$ and $\sigma^{\pm}$ transitions according to $\cos^2(\phi_B)$ and $\sin^2(\phi_B)$, respectively, the spectrum is most sensitive at an angle of $\pi/4$, where the gradient of both functions are largest.

An alternate demonstration of the polarisation sensitivity is shown in figure 8. Here we plot positions of the local minima of a series of experimental spectra at different polarisation angles, whilst maintaining the magnetic field value at a constant value of 395 mT. The colour of the points denotes the value of transmission at the local minima - dark shades are low transmission, light shades are high transmission. The top panel is for reference and shows a spectrum at $\phi_B = \pi/4$, and the solid vertical grey lines are the resonance positions in this spectra, for comparison. The two bottom panels show the shaded regions in the main plot, and highlight the relative sensitivity and insensitivity of the local minima. The grey dashed line shows the theoretical positions of the local minima. The scatter of the experimental data about this curve reflects a combination of uncertainty in the local minima position due to the finite signal-to-noise ratio and small fluctuations in the magnetic field value between data runs. Because of the overlap of spectral lines, changing the relative coupling strength of these transitions also changes the positions of the local minima, and is most prominent when $\phi_B$ is close to $\pi/4$. When $\phi_B$ is close to 0 or $\pi/2$, the positions are much less sensitive to changes in $\phi_B$, as evidenced by the near-vertical lines.

It should be noted that the relative sensitivity to either magnetic field strength or polarisation angle changes with the line width of the spectral features. The narrower the features, the sharper the change and hence a more sensitive measurement can be made. One recent development in spectroscopic techniques is that of so-called ‘Derivative of Selective Reflection’ (DSR) in ultra-thin vapour cells [57, 58], which is a modulation-free method to increase spectral resolution over conventional transmission and selective-reflection spectroscopy. Whilst modelling the spectra is more complex than in transmission spectroscopy, the use of sub-micron thickness vapour cells also increases the effective spatial resolution, making these systems very promising for future research.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a technique to measure the absolute magnetic field strength and angle of polarisation using a thermal vapour of alkali-metal atoms. Our results use $^{87}\text{Rb}$, but the technique is applicable to any alkali-metal atom. We have found excel-
Figure 7. Spectral changes with polarisation, and relative sensitivity. We show the three extreme cases of $\phi_B = 0, \pi/4, \pi/2$. The top panels show experimental data (purple) at each value of $\phi_B$, with the same magnetic field strength of 390 mT, and a cell temperature $T = 80^\circ$C. Below the experimental data, we show the calculated gradient $dS_0/d\phi_B$ in blue. The three panels have the same vertical axis, to show the contrast between the extremely insensitive values $\phi_B = 0, \pi/2$ and the extremely sensitive $\phi_B = \pi/4$. Dashed vertical lines mark the detunings used to calculate the sensitivity change with angle shown on the bottom panel.
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Figure 8. Position of spectral local minima with changing $\phi$. The top panel shows an experimental spectrum at $\phi = \pi/4$ for reference. The main panel shows the position of local minima in the spectra for a range of polarisation angles. The colour of the points represents the transmission (dark colours are low transmission). The insets show a zoom in of the two highlighted regions, which demonstrates the relative sensitivity of the local minima positions as the polarisation angle is changed.
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