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ABSTRACT. Relying on the main results of [GT], we classify all unitary $t$-groups for $t \geq 2$ in any dimension $d \geq 2$. We also show that there is essentially a unique unitary 4-group, which is also a unitary 5-group, but not a unitary $t$-group for any $t \geq 6$.

Unitary $t$-designs have recently attracted a lot of interest in quantum information theory. The concept of unitary $t$-design was first conceived in physics community as a finite set that approximates the unitary group $U_d(\mathbb{C})$, like any other design concept. It seems that works of Gross–Audenaert–Eisert [GAE] and Scott [Sc] marked the start of the research on unitary $t$-designs. Roy–Scott [RS] gives a comprehensive study of unitary $t$-designs from a mathematical viewpoint.

It is known that unitary $t$-designs in $U_d(\mathbb{C})$ always exist for any $t$ and $d$, but explicit constructions are not so easy in general. A special interesting case is the case where a unitary $t$-design itself forms a group. Such a finite group in $U_d(\mathbb{C})$ is called a unitary $t$-group. Some examples of unitary 5-groups are known in $U_2(\mathbb{C})$. For $d \geq 3$, some unitary 3-groups have been known in $U_d(\mathbb{C})$. But no example of unitary 4-groups in dimensions $d \geq 3$ was known. It seems that the difficulty of finding 4-groups in $U_d(\mathbb{C})$ for $d \geq 3$ has been noticed by many researchers (see e.g. Section 1.2 of [ZKGG]). The purpose of this paper is to clarify this situation. Namely, we point out that this problem in dimensions $\geq 4$ is essentially solved in the context of finite group theory by Guralnick–Tiep [GT]. We also show that the classification of unitary 2-groups in $U_d(\mathbb{C})$ for $d \geq 5$ is derived from [GT] as well. Building on this, we provide a complete description of unitary $t$-groups in $U_d(\mathbb{C})$ for all $t,d \geq 2$.

We now recall the notion of unitary $t$-groups, following [RS Corollary 8]. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^d$ be endowed with standard Hermitian form and let $\mathcal{H} = U(V) = U_d(\mathbb{C})$ denote the corresponding unitary group. Then a finite subgroup $G < \mathcal{H}$ is called a unitary $t$-group for some integer $t \geq 1$, if

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{tr}(g)|^{2t} = \int_{X \in \mathcal{H}} |\text{tr}(X)|^{2t} dX.$$  (1)

Note that the right-hand-side in (1) is exactly the $2t$-moment $M_{2t}(\mathcal{H}, V)$ as defined in [GT], whereas the left-hand-side is the $2t$-moment $M_{2t}(G, V)$. Recall, see e.g.
In particular, \( G < \mathcal{G} \) is a finite subgroup. Then \( M \) is a unitary \( t \)-group in the finite setting as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( V = \mathbb{C}^d \) with \( d \geq 5 \) and \( \mathcal{G} = \text{GL}(V) \). Assume that \( G < \mathcal{G} \) is a finite subgroup. Then \( M_\delta(G,V) > M_\delta(G,V) \). In particular, if \( d \geq 5 \) and \( t \geq 4 \), then there does not exist any unitary \( t \)-group in \( U_d(\mathbb{C}) \).

**Proof.** The first statement is precisely [GT, Theorem 1.4]. The second statement then follows from the first and [GT, Lemma 3.1]. \( \square \)

We note that [GT, Theorem 1.4] also considers any Zariski closed subgroups \( G \) of \( \mathcal{G} \) with the connected component \( G^0 \) being reductive. Then the only extra possibility with \( M_\delta(G,V) = M_\delta(G,V) \) is when \( G \geq [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] = \text{SL}(V) \). In fact, [GT] also considers the problem in the modular setting.

Combined with Theorem 9 (below), Theorem 1 yields the following consequence, which gives the complete classification of unitary \( t \)-groups for any \( t \geq 4 \):

**Corollary 2.** Let \( G < U_d(\mathbb{C}) \) be a finite group and \( d \geq 2 \). Then \( G \) is a unitary \( t \)-group for some \( t \geq 4 \) if and only if \( d = 2, t = 4 \) or \( 5 \), and \( G = \mathbb{Z}(G)\text{SL}_2(5) \).

Next, we obtain the following consequences of [GT] Theorems 1.5, 1.6, where \( F^*(G) = F(G)E(G) \) denotes the generalized Fitting subgroup of any finite group \( G \) (respectively, \( F(G) \) is the Fitting subgroup and \( E(G) \) is the layer of \( G \)); furthermore, we follow the notation of [Atlas] for various simple groups. We also refer the reader to [GMST] and [TZ2] for the definition and basic properties of Weil representations of (certain) finite classical groups.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( V = \mathbb{C}^d \) with \( d \geq 5 \) and let \( \mathcal{G} = \text{GL}(V) \). For any finite subgroup \( G < \mathcal{G} \), set \( \tilde{S} = S/\mathbb{Z}(S) \) for \( S := F^*(G) \). Then \( M_4(G,V) = M_4(G,V) \) if and only if one of the following conditions holds.

(i) **(Lie-type case)** One of the following holds.
   (a) \( \tilde{S} = \text{PSp}_{2n}(3), n \geq 2, G = S, \text{ and } V \downarrow_{\tilde{S}} \) is a Weil module of dimension \((3^n \pm 1)/2\).
   (b) \( \tilde{S} = U_n(2), n \geq 4, [G : S] = 1 \text{ or } 3, \text{ and } V \downarrow_{\tilde{S}} \) is a Weil module of dimension \((2^n - (-1)^n)/3\).

(ii) **(Extraspecial case)** \( d = p^a \) for some prime \( p \) and \( F^*(G) = F(G) = \mathbb{Z}(G)E \), where \( E = p_{1+2a} \) is an extraspecial \( p \)-group of order \( p_{1+2a} \) and type \(+\). Furthermore, \( G/\mathbb{Z}(G)E \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Sp}(W) \cong \text{Sp}_{2a}(p) \) that acts transitively on \( W \setminus \{0\} \) for \( W = E/\mathbb{Z}(E) \), and so is listed in Theorem 2 (below). If \( p > 2 \) then \( E < G \); if \( p = 2 \) then \( F^*(G) \) contains a normal subgroup \( E_1 < G \), where \( E_1 = C_4 \times E \) is a central product of order \( 2^{2a+2} \) of \( \mathbb{Z}(E_1) = C_4 \leq \mathbb{Z}(G) \) with \( E \).

(iii) **(Exceptional cases)** \( S = \mathbb{Z}(G)[G^*, G^*], \) and \((\dim(V)), \tilde{S}, G^* \) is as listed in Table I. Furthermore, in all but lines 2–6 of Table I, \( G = \mathbb{Z}(G)G^* \). In lines 2–6, either \( G = S \) or \([G : S] = 2 \) and \( G \) induces on \( \tilde{S} \) the outer automorphism listed in the fourth column of the table.

In particular, \( G < H = U(V) \) is a unitary 2-group if and only if \( G \) is as described in (i)–(iii).
Table I. Exceptional examples in $\mathcal{G} = \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ with $d \geq 5$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>$\tilde{S}$</th>
<th>$G^*$</th>
<th>Outer</th>
<th>The largest $2k$ with $M_{2k}(G,V) = M_{2k}(G,V)$</th>
<th>$M_{2k+2}(G,V)$ vs. $M_{2k+2}(G,V)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
<td>$6A_7$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$L_3(4),^\ast$</td>
<td>$6L_3(4) \cdot 2_1$</td>
<td>$2_1$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56 vs. 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$U_4(3),^\ast$</td>
<td>$6_1 \cdot U_4(3)$</td>
<td>$2_2$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25 vs. 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$L_3(4)$</td>
<td>$4_1 \cdot L_3(4)$</td>
<td>$2_3$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$M_{12}$</td>
<td>$2M_{12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$M_{22}$</td>
<td>$2M_{22}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$SU_2,^\ast$</td>
<td>$6SU_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25 vs. 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$2B_2(8)$</td>
<td>$2B_2(8) \cdot 3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>90 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$J_3,^\ast$</td>
<td>$3J_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>238 vs. 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$2F_4(2)'$</td>
<td>$2F_4(2)'$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$Ru$</td>
<td>$2Ru$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>$M_{23}$</td>
<td>$M_{23}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>817 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>$M_{24}$</td>
<td>$M_{24}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>$O'N$</td>
<td>$3O'N$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3480 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1333</td>
<td>$J_4$</td>
<td>$J_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that in Table I, the data in the sixth column is given when we take $G = G^*$.

**Proof.** We apply [GT] Theorem 1.5 to $(G, \mathcal{G})$. Then case (A) of the theorem is impossible as $G$ is finite, and case (D) leads to case (iii) as $\mathcal{G} = \text{GL}(V)$.

In case (B) of [GT] Theorem 1.5, we have that $\tilde{S} = \text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ with $n \geq 2$ and $q = 3, 5$, or $\tilde{S} = \text{PSU}_n(2)$ with $n \geq 4$, and $V \downarrow S$ is irreducible. It is easy to see that the latter condition implies that $G/S$ has order 1 or 3. Next, $L = E(G)$ is a quotient of $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ or $\text{SU}_n(2)$ by a central subgroup, and $S = Z(S)/L$. Let $\chi$ denote the character of the $G$-module $V$. As $d > 4$, the condition $M_4(G,V) = M_4(G,V)$ is equivalent to that $G$ act irreducibly on both $\text{Sym}^2(V)$ and $\wedge^2(V)$ (see the discussion in [GT] §2). Hence, if $\chi \downarrow L$ is real-valued, then either $\text{Sym}^2(\chi \downarrow_L)$ or $\wedge^2(\chi \downarrow_L)$ contains $1_L$, whence either $\text{Sym}^2(\chi \downarrow_L)$ or $\wedge^2(\chi \downarrow_L)$ contains a linear character. But both $\text{Sym}^2(V)$ and $\wedge^2(V)$ have dimension at least $d(d-1)/2 \geq 10$ and $|G:S| \leq 3$, so $G$ cannot act irreducibly on them. We have shown that $\chi \downarrow L$ is not real-valued. Now using Theorems 4.1 and 5.2 of [TZ1], we can rule out the case $\tilde{S} = \text{PSp}_{2n}(5)$ and the case $(\tilde{S}, \dim(V)) = (\text{PSU}_n(2), (2^n + 2(-1)^n)/3)$, as $\chi \downarrow L$ is real-valued in those cases.

Case (C), together with [GT] Lemma 5.1, leads to case (ii) listed above, except for the explicit description of $E$ and $E_1$. Suppose $p > 2$. Then at least one element in $E \setminus Z(E)$ has order $p$, whence all elements in $E \setminus Z(E)$ have order $p$ by the transitivity of $G/Z(G)E$ on $W \setminus \{0\}$, i.e. $E$ has type $\ast$. Also, note that $E$ is generated by all elements of order $p$ in $Z(G)E$, and so $E \triangleleft G$. Next suppose that $p = 2$ and let $E_1 \triangleleft G$ be generated by all elements of order at most 4 in $Z(G)E$. If $|Z(G)| < 4$, then $F^*(G) = E_1 = E$ is an extraspecial 2-group of order $2^{1+2n}$ of type $\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon = \pm$. In this case, $G/Z(G)E \hookrightarrow O_{2n}(2)$ and so cannot be transitive on $W \setminus \{0\}$ (as $a \geq 2$), a contradiction. So $|Z(G)| \geq 4$. In this case, one can show that
Let $E = C_4 \ast E$ with $Z(E) < C_4 \leq Z(G)$, and since $C_4 \ast 2^{1+2a} \cong C_4 \ast 2^{1+2a}$, we may choose $E$ to have type $+$. \hfill \Box

We note that the case of Theorem 3 where $G$ is almost quasisimple was also treated in [Mag]. More generally, the classification of subgroups of a classical group $\mathrm{Cl}(V)$ in characteristic $p$ that act irreducibly on the heart of the tensor square, symmetric square, or alternating square of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$, is of particular importance to the Aschbacher-Scott program [A] of classifying maximal groups of finite classical groups. See [Mag, MM, MMT] for results on this problem in the modular case.

**Theorem 4.** Let $V = \mathbb{C}^d$ with $d \geq 5$ and let $G = \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Assume $G$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $M_6(G, V) = M_6(\mathcal{G}, V)$ if and only if one of the following two conditions holds.

(i) (Extraspecial case) $d = 2a$ for some $a > 2$, and $G = Z(G)E_1 \cdot S_{p^{2a}}(2)$, where $E \cong 2^{1+2a}$ is extraspecial and of type $+$ and $E_1 = C_4 \ast E$ with $C_4 \leq Z(G)$.

(ii) (Exceptional cases) Let $\bar{S} = S/Z(S)$ for $S = F^*(G)$. Then

\[ \bar{S} \in \{ L_3(4), U_4(3), \text{Suz}, J_3 \}, \]

and $(\dim(V), \bar{S}, G^*)$ is as listed in the lines marked by $(*)$ in Table I. Furthermore, either $G = Z(G)G^*$, or $\bar{S} = U_4(3)$ and $S = Z(G)G^*$.

In particular, $G < \mathcal{H} = U(V)$ is a unitary 3-group if and only if $G$ is as described in (i), (ii).

**Proof.** Apply [GT] Theorem 1.6] and also Theorem 3(ii) to $(G, \mathcal{G})$. \hfill \Box

The transitive subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(p)$ are determined by Hering’s theorem [He], (see also [L, Appendix 1]), which however is not easy to use in the solvable case. For the complete determination of unitary 2-groups in Theorem 3(ii), we give a complete classification of such groups in the symplectic case that is needed for us. The notations such as SmallGroup(48, 28) are taken from the SmallGroups library in [GAP].

**Theorem 5.** Let $p$ be a prime and let $W = \mathbb{F}_p^{2n}$ be endowed with a non-degenerate symplectic form. Assume that a subgroup $H \leq \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ acts transitively on $W \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(H, p, 2n)$ is as in one of the following cases.

(A) (Infinite classes):

(i) $n = bs$ for some integers $b, s \geq 1$, and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2b}(p^s) < H < \mathrm{Sp}_{2b}(p^s) \times C_s$.

(ii) $p = 2$, $n = 3s$ for some integer $s \geq 2$; and $G_2(2^s) < H < G_2(2^s) \times C_s$.

(B) (Small cases):

(i) $(2n, p) = (2, 3)$, and $H = Q_8$.

(ii) $(2n, p) = (2, 5)$, and $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$.

(iii) $(2n, p) = (2, 7)$, and $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(3).C_2 = \text{SmallGroup}(48, 28)$.

(iv) $(2n, p) = (2, 11)$, and $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(5)$.

(v) $(2n, p) = (4, 3)$, and $H = \text{SmallGroup}(160, 199), \text{SmallGroup}(320, 1581), \text{SmallGroup}(1440, 4591)$, or $C_2, ((C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) \times A_6) = \text{SmallGroup}(1920, 241003)$.

(vi) $(2n, p) = (6, 2)$, and $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(8), \mathrm{SL}_2(8) \times C_3, \text{SU}_3(3), \text{SU}_3(3) \times C_2$.

(vii) $(2n, p) = (6, 3)$ and $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(13)$.
Proof. We may assume that $(2n,p)$ is not in one of the small cases listed in (B), which are computed using \texttt{GAP}. We have that $[H : C_H(v)] = p^{2n} - 1$, for every $v \in W \setminus \{0\}$. Now we apply Hering’s theorem, as given in [L, Appendix 1] and analyze possible classes for $H$.

(a) Suppose that $H \leq \Gamma L_4(p^{2n})$, which is the semidirect product of $\Gamma_0$ (the multiplicative field of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2n}}$) and the Galois automorphism $\sigma$ of order $2n$. If $n = 1$, then $H \leq \text{SL}_2(p)$, which has order $p(p-1)(p+1)$, and we may assume that $p \geq 13$. As the smallest index of proper subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(p)$ is $p+1$ (see e.g. [TZ1, Table VI]), we conclude that $H = \text{SL}_2(p)$. So we may assume that $n > 1$. We may also assume that $(2n,p) \neq (2,6)$. Hence, we can consider a Zsigmondy (odd) prime divisor $r$ of $p^{2n} - 1$ [\texttt{Zs}], and have that the order of $r$ mod $r$ is $2n$. Thus $2n$ divides $r - 1$. Let $C = H \cap \Gamma_0$. Note that $r$ divides $|C|$ (because $r$ does not divide $2n$), and hence $C$ acts irreducibly on $W$. Since $C < \text{Sp}(W)$, by [Hu, Satz II.9.23] we have that $|C|$ divides $p^n + 1$. Hence, $|H|$ divides $2n(p^n + 1)$, and thus $p^n - 1$ divides $2n$. This is not possible.

(b) Aside from the possibilities listed in (A) and (B), we need only consider the possibility $2n = as$ with $a \geq 3$, $p^n \neq 2^3, 3^3, 3^3, 3^3$, and $H \triangleright \text{SL}_a(p^n)$. Let $\mathfrak{d}(X)$ denote the smallest degree of faithful complex representations of a finite group $X$. Since $H \leq \text{Sp}_{2n}(p)$, by [TZ1, Theorem 5.2] we have that

\[ \mathfrak{d}(X) \leq (p^n + 1)/2 = (p^{as/2} + 1)/2. \]

On the other hand, since $H \triangleright \text{SL}_a(p^n)$, by [TZ1, Theorem 3.1] we also have that

\[ \mathfrak{d}(X) \geq (p^{as} - p^s)/(p^s - 1) > p^{s(a-1)}. \]

As $a \geq 3$, this is impossible. \qed

Next we complete the classification of unitary $t$-groups in dimension 4. First we introduce some key groups for this classification, where we use the notation of \texttt{GAP} for \texttt{SmallGroup}(64, 266) and \texttt{PerfectGroup}(23040, 2).

**Proposition 6.** Consider an irreducible subgroup $E_4 = C_4 \ast 2^{1+4}_+ = \text{SmallGroup}(64, 266)$ of order $2^6$ of $\text{GL}(V)$, where $V = \mathbb{C}^4$, and let $\Gamma_4 := \text{N}_{\text{GL}(V)}(E_4)$. Then the following statements hold.

(i) $\Gamma_4$ induces the subgroup $A^+ \cong C_2^3 \cdot S_6$ of all automorphisms of $E_4$ that act trivially on $Z(E_4) = C_4$.

(ii) The last term $\Gamma^{(\infty)}_4$ of the derived series of $\Gamma_4$ is $L = \text{PerfectGroup}(23040, 2)$, a perfect group of order 23040 and of shape $E_4 \cdot A_6$. Furthermore, $\Gamma_4^{(\infty)}$ is a unitary 3-group.

**Proof.** (i) It is well known, see e.g. [Gr, p. 404], that $A^+ \cong \text{Inn}(E_4) \cdot S_6$ with $\text{Inn}(E_4) \cong C_2^3$. Certainly, $\Gamma_4/C_{\Gamma_4}(E_4) \hookrightarrow A^+$. Let $\psi$ denote the character of $E_4$ afforded by $V$, and note that $\psi$ and $\overline{\psi}$ are the only two irreducible characters of degree 4 of $E_4$, and they differ by their restrictions to $Z(E_4)$. Now for any $\alpha \in A^+$, $\psi^\alpha = \overline{\psi}$. It follows that there is some $g \in \text{GL}(V)$ such that $g x g^{-1} = \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in E_4$; in particular, $g \in \Gamma_4$. We have therefore shown that $\Gamma_4/C_{\Gamma_4}(E_4) \cong A^+$.

(ii) Using \texttt{GAP}, one can check that $L := \text{PerfectGroup}(23040, 2)$ embeds in $\text{GL}(V)$, with a character say $\chi$, and $F^*(L) \cong E_4$. So without loss we may identify $F^*(L)$ with $E_4$ and obtain that $L < \Gamma_4$. Again using \texttt{GAP} we can check that
The following statements hold.

**Theorem 7.** Let $V = \mathbb{C}^4$, $G = \text{GL}(V)$, and let $G < \mathcal{G}$ be any finite subgroup. Then the following statements hold.

(A) With $E_4$, $\Gamma_4$ and $L$ as defined in Proposition 6, we have that $[\Gamma_4, \Gamma_4] = L = G_{32}^c$ and $\Gamma_4 = \mathbb{Z}(\Gamma_4)G_{32}$. Furthermore, $M_4(G, V) = M_4(\mathcal{G}, V)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds

(A1) $G = \mathbb{Z}(G)H$, where $H \cong 2A_7$ or $H \cong \text{Sp}_4(3) \cong G_{32}^c$(A2) $L = [G, G] \leq G < \Gamma_4$,

(A3) $E_4 \triangleleft G < \Gamma_4$, and, after a suitable conjugation in $\Gamma_4$,

$$G_{29} = [G, G] \leq G \leq \mathbb{Z}(\Gamma_4)G_{29}.$$ 

In particular, $G < \mathcal{H} = \text{U}(V)$ is a unitary 2-group if and only if $G$ is as described in (A1)–(A3).

(B) $M_8(G, V) = M_8(\mathcal{G}, V)$ if and only if $G$ is as described in (A1)–(A2). In particular, $G < \text{U}(V)$ is a unitary 3-group if and only if $G$ is as described in (A1)–(A2).

(C) $M_8(G, V) < M_8(\mathcal{G}, V)$. In particular, no finite subgroup of $\text{U}_4(\mathbb{C})$ can be a unitary 4-group.

**Proof.** (A) First we assume that $M_4(G, V) = M_4(\mathcal{G}, V)$, and let $\chi$ denote the character of $G$ afforded by $V$. The same proof as of [GT, Theorem 1.5] and Theorem 3 shows that one of the following two possibilities must occur.

- **Almost quasisimple case:** $S \triangleleft G/\mathbb{Z}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ for some finite non-abelian simple group $S$. By the results of [M], we have that $S \cong A_7$ or $\text{PSp}_4(3)$. It is straightforward to check that $E(G) \cong 2A_7$, respectively $\text{Sp}_4(3)$, and furthermore $G$ cannot induce a nontrivial outer automorphism on $S$. Recall that in this case we have $F^*(G) = \mathbb{Z}(G)E(G)$ and so $C_G(E(G)) = C_G(F^*(G)) = \mathbb{Z}(G)$. It follows that $G = \mathbb{Z}(G)E(G)$, and (A1) holds. Moreover, using [GAP] we can check that $[\alpha^3, \alpha^2] = 2$, $[\alpha^3, \alpha^3] = 6$, but $[\alpha^4, \alpha^4] = 38$, respectively 25, for $\alpha := \chi_{E(G)}$. Thus
we have checked in the case of (A1) that $M_2(G, V) = M_2(G, V)$ for $t \leq 3$, but $M_8(G, V) > M_8(G, V)$, since $M_8(G, V) = 24$ by [GT, Lemma 3.2].

- **Extraspecial case:** $F^*(G) = F(G) = \mathbb{Z}(G)E_4$ and $E_4 < G$, in particular, $G \leq \Gamma_4$; furthermore, $G/\mathbb{Z}(G)E_4 \leq \text{Sp}(W)$ satisfies conclusion (A)(i) of Theorem 5 for $W = E_4/\mathbb{Z}(E_4) \cong \mathbb{F}_4^1$. Suppose first that $G/\mathbb{Z}(G)E_4 \geq \text{Sp}_4(2)^\prime \cong A_6$. In this case, $G$ induces (at least) all the automorphisms of $E_4$ that belong to the subgroup $A^+$ in the proof of Proposition 6. As in that proof, this implies that $\mathbb{Z}(\Gamma_4)G \geq L$. Taking the derived subgroup, we see that

$$[G, G] \geq L,$$

i.e. we are in the case of (A2). Moreover,

$$6 = M_6(G, V) \leq M_6(G, V) \leq M_6(L, V),$$

and $M_6(L, V) = 6$ as shown above. Hence $M_{2t}(G, V) = M_{2t}(G, V)$ for $t \leq 3$. Applying (2) to $G = G_{31}$ and recalling that $|L| = |G_{31}'|$, we see that $L = G_{31}'$. Next, $G_{31}$ and $\Gamma_4$ induce the same subgroup $A^+$ of automorphisms of $E_4$, hence $\Gamma_4 = \mathbb{Z}(\Gamma_4)G_{31}$. Taking the derived subgroup, we obtain that $L = [\Gamma_4, \Gamma_4]$, and so (2) implies that $[G, G] = L$.

Next we consider the case where $G/\mathbb{Z}(G)E_4 = \text{SL}_2(4) \cong A_5$ or $\text{SL}_2(4) \rtimes C_2 \cong S_5$. Using [Atlas], it is easy to check that $\text{Sp}(W) \cong S_6$ has two conjugacy classes $C_{1,2}$ of (maximal) subgroups that are isomorphic to $S_5$, and two conjugacy classes $C_{1,2}'$ of subgroups that are isomorphic to $A_5$. Any member of one class, say $C_i'$, is irreducible, but not absolutely irreducible on $W$, that is, preserves an $\mathbb{F}_4$-structure on $W$, and is contained in a member of, say $C_1$. Any member of the other class $C_2$ is absolutely irreducible on $W$ and preserves a quadratic form $Q$ of type $-$ on $W$; in particular, it has two orbits of length 5 and 10 on $W \setminus \{0\}$ (corresponding to singular vectors, respectively non-singular vectors, in $W$ with respect to $Q$), and is contained in a member of $C_2$. On the other hand, since $G$ is transitive on $W \setminus \{0\}$ by [GT, Lemma 5.1], the last term $G^{(\infty)}$ of the derived series of $G$ must have orbits of only one size on $W \setminus \{0\}$. Applying this analysis to $K := G_{29}$, we see that $K/E_4$ must belong to $C_1$ and the derived subgroup of $K/\mathbb{Z}(K)E_4$ as well as $[K, K]/E_4$ belong to $C_1'$. Hence, after a suitable conjugation in $\Gamma_4$, we may assume that

$$G_{29}/E_4 \geq G/\mathbb{Z}(G)E_4 \geq G_{29}'/E_4;$$

in particular, the subgroup of automorphisms of $E_4$ induced by $G$ is either the one induced by $G_{29}$, or the one induced by $G_{29}'$. In either case, we have that

$$G \leq \mathbb{Z}(\Gamma_4)G_{29}, \ G_{29}' \leq \mathbb{Z}(\Gamma_4)[G, G].$$

As $G_{29}'$ is perfect, taking the derived subgroup we obtain that $[G, G] = G_{29}'$, i.e. (A3) holds.

(B) We have already mentioned above that $M_6(G, V) = M_6(G, V)$ for the groups $G$ satisfying (A1) or (A2). By [GT, Lemma 3.1], it remains to show that for the groups $G$ satisfying (A3), $M_6(G, V) \neq M_6(G, V)$. Assume the contrary: $M_6(G, V) = M_6(G, V)$. By [GT] Remark 2.3, this equality implies that $G$ is irreducible on all the simple $G$-submodules of $V \otimes V \otimes V^*$, which can be seen using [Lu, Appendix A.7] to decompose as the direct sum of simple summands of dimension 4 (with multiplicity 2), 20, and 36. Let $\theta$ denote the character of $G$ afforded by the simple $G$-summand
of dimension 36. Note that $\chi$ vanishes on $F(G) \backslash Z(G)$ and faithful on $Z(G)$. It follows that
\[ \chi^2 \downarrow_{F(G)} = 16 \chi \downarrow_{F(G)}. \]
As $\chi \downarrow_{F(G)}$ is irreducible, we see that $\theta \downarrow_{F(G)} = 9(\chi \downarrow_{F(G)})$. But $\chi \downarrow_{F(G)}$ obviously extends to $G > F(G)$. It follows by Gallagher’s theorem [IS, (6.17)] that $G/F(G)$ admits an irreducible character $\beta$ of degree 9 (such that $\theta \downarrow_G = (\chi \downarrow_G)\beta$). This is a contradiction, since $G/F(G) \cong A_5$ or $S_5$.

(C) Assume the contrary: $M_8(G, V) = M_8(G, V)$. Then $M_8(G, V) = M_6(G, V)$ by [GT, Lemma 3.1]. By (B), we may assume that $G$ satisfies (A1) or (A2). By [GT, Remark 2.3], the equality $M_8(G, V) = M_8(G, V)$ implies that $G$ is irreducible on the simple $G$-submodule $\text{Sym}^4(V)$ (of dimension 35) of $V^\otimes 4$. This in turn implies, for instance by Ito’s theorem [IS, (6.15)] that 35 divides $|G/Z(G)|$. The latter condition rules out (A2) since $|G/Z(G)|$ divides 24. $|\text{Sp}_4(2)|$ in that case. Finally, we already mentioned above that $M_8(G, V) > M_8(G, V)$ in the case of (A1).

To handle the remaining cases $d = 2, 3$, we first note:

**Lemma 8.** Let $G = \text{SL}(V)$ for $V = \mathbb{C}^2$. Then the following statements hold.

(i) $M_8(G, V) = 5$, $M_8(G, V) = 14$, and $M_{11}(G, V) = 42$.

(ii) Suppose $M_{21}(G, V) = M_{21}(G, V)$ for a finite group $G < G$. If $t \geq 4$ then 5 divides $|G/Z(G)|$. If $t \geq 6$ then 7 divides $|G/Z(G)|$.

(iii) Suppose $\text{SL}_2(5) \cong G < G$. Then $M_{21}(G, V) = M_{21}(G, V)$ for $1 \leq t \leq 5$ but $M_{21}(G, V) > M_{21}(G, V)$ for $t \geq 6$.

**Proof.** Note that the symmetric powers $\text{Sym}^k(V)$, $k \geq 0$, are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible $CG$-modules, with $\text{Sym}^0(V) \cong \mathbb{C} \cong \wedge^2(V)$, and $V \otimes V \cong \text{Sym}^2(V) \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Now using [EH, Exercise 11.11] we obtain for all $a \geq 1$ that
\[ \text{Sym}^a(V) \oplus V \cong \text{Sym}^{a+1}(V) \oplus \text{Sym}^{a-1}(V) \]
as $CG$-modules. It follows that
\[ V^\otimes 3 \cong \text{Sym}^3(V) \oplus V^\otimes 2, \]
\[ V^\otimes 4 \cong \text{Sym}^4(V) \oplus (\text{Sym}^2(V))^\oplus 3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^\oplus 2, \]
\[ V^\otimes 5 \cong \text{Sym}^5(V) \oplus (\text{Sym}^2(V))^\oplus 4 \oplus V^\otimes 5 \]
as $CG$-modules (with the superscripts indicating the multiplicities), implying (i).

For (ii), note by Remark 2.3 and Lemma 3.1 of [GT] that the assumption implies that $G$ is irreducible on $\text{Sym}^4(V)$ of dimension 5 if $t \geq 4$, and on $\text{Sym}^6(V)$ of dimension 7 if $t \geq 6$.

The first assertion in (iii) can be checked using (i) and [GAP], and the second assertion follows from (ii).

Now we recall three complex reflection groups $G_4 \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$, $G_{12} \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$, and $G_{16} \cong C_5 \times \text{SL}_2(5)$ in dimension $d = 2$, listed on lines 4, 12, and 16 of [ST, Table VII], and three complex reflection groups $G_{24} \cong C_2 \times \text{SL}_2(2)$, $G_{25} \cong 3_2^{1+2} \times \text{SL}_2(3)$, and $G_{27} \cong C_2 \times 3A_6$ in dimension $d = 3$, listed on lines 24, 25, and 27 of [ST, Table VII]. As above, for any of these 6 groups $G_k$, $G_k'$ denotes its derived subgroup. A direct calculation using the computer packages GAP3 [MI], [S+], and Chevie [GHMP], shows that each of these 6 groups $G$, being embedded in $H = U_d(\mathbb{C})$, is a unitary 2-group; furthermore, $G_{12}$, $G_{16}$, and $G_{27}$ are unitary 3-groups. One can check that
Theorem 9. Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{GL}(V)$, and let $G < \mathcal{G}$ be any finite subgroup. Then the following statements hold.

(A) Suppose $d = 2$. Then $M_4(G, V) = M_4(\mathcal{G}, V)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds

(A1) $G = Z(G) H$, where $H = G^t_{16} \cong \text{SL}_2(5)$.

(A2) $E_2 \triangleleft G < \Gamma_2$ and $Z(G) G = Z(G) H$, where $H = G_{12} \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$.

(A3) $E_2 \triangleleft G < \Gamma_2$ and $Z(G) G = Z(G) H$, where $H = G_4 \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$.

In particular, $G < \mathcal{H} = U(V)$ is a unitary 2-group if and only if $G$ is as described in (A1)–(A3). Furthermore, $G < \mathcal{H} = U(V)$ is a unitary 3-group if and only if $G$ is as described in (A1)–(A2). Moreover, such a subgroup $G$ can be a unitary t-group for some $t \geq 4$ if and only if $4 \leq t \leq 5$ and $G$ is as described in (A1).

(B) Suppose $d = 3$. Then $M_4(G, V) = M_4(\mathcal{G}, V)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds

(B1) $G = Z(G) H$, where $H = G^t_{27} \cong 3A_6$.

(B2) $G = Z(G) H$, where $H = G^t_{24} \cong \text{SL}_3(2)$.

(B3) $E_4 \triangleleft G < \Gamma_3$. Moreover, either $Z(G) G = Z(G) G_{25}$, or $Z(G) G = Z(G) G_{25}$.

In particular, $G < \mathcal{H} = U(V)$ is a unitary 3-group if and only if $G$ is as described in (B1), and no finite subgroup of $U(V)$ can be a unitary 4-group.

Proof. Let $G < \mathcal{G}$ be any finite subgroup such that $M_{2t}(G, V) = M_{2t}(\mathcal{G}, V)$ for some $t \geq 2$; in particular,

$$M_4(G, V) = M_4(\mathcal{G}, V). \quad (3)$$

First we note that if $K < \mathcal{G}$ is any finite subgroup that is equal to $G$ up to scalars, i.e. $Z(G) G = Z(G) K$, then by [GT] Remark 2.3 we see that $M_{2t}(K, V) = M_{2t}(G, V)$. So, instead of working with $G$, we will work with the following finite subgroup

$$K := \{ \lambda g \mid g \in G, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^x, \det(\lambda g) = 1 \} \leq \text{SL}(V).$$

Next, we observe that $G$ acts primitively on $V$. (Otherwise $G$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $A$ with $G/A \hookrightarrow S_4$. In this case, by Ito’s theorem $G$ cannot act irreducibly on the irreducible $G$-submodule of dimension $d^2 - 1$ of $V \otimes V^*$, and so $G$ violates (3) by [GT] Remark 2.3.) Now, using the fact that $d = \dim(V) \leq 3$ is a prime number, it is straightforward to show that one of the following two possibilities must occur.

- **Almost quasisimple case:** $S \triangleleft G/Z(G) \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ for some finite non-abelian simple group $S$. By the results of [M], we have that $S \cong \text{PSL}_2(5)$ if $d = 2$, and $S \cong \text{SL}_3(2)$ or $A_6$ if $d = 3$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7 we see that (A1), (B1), or (B2) holds. In the case of (A1), $M_{2t}(G, V) = M_{2t}(\mathcal{G}, V)$ if and only if $2 \leq t \leq 5$ by Lemma 8. In the case of (B2), $G$ cannot act irreducibly on $\text{Sym}^3(V)$ of dimension 10, whence $M_{2t}(G, V) = M_{2t}(\mathcal{G}, V)$ if and only if $t = 2$. Assume we are in the case of (B1). As mentioned above, then we have $M_{2t}(G, V) = M_{2t}(\mathcal{G}, V)$.
for $t = 2, 3$. However, if $\varpi_1$ and $\varpi_2$ denote the two fundamental weights of $[G, G] \cong \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, then $V \otimes^G V$ contains an irreducible $[G, G]$-submodule with highest weight $2\varpi_1 + 2\varpi_2$ of dimension 27 (see [Lu, Appendix A.6]). Clearly, $G$ cannot act irreducibly on this submodule, and so $M_8(G, V) > M_8(G, V)$ by [GT, Remark 2.3].

- **Extraspecial case**: $F^*(G) = F(G) = Z(G)E_d$ and $E_d < G$, in particular, $G \leq \Gamma_d$; furthermore, $G/Z(G)E_d \leq \text{Sp}(W)$ satisfies conclusion (A)(i) of Theorem 5 for $W = E_d/Z(E_d) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^d$. The latter condition is equivalent to require $G/Z(G)E_d$ to contain the unique subgroup $C_3$ of $\text{Sp}_2(2) \cong S_3$ when $d = 2$ and the unique subgroup $Q_8$ of $\text{Sp}_2(3) \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$ when $d = 3$. Note that $G_4 \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$, respectively $G_{12} \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$, induces the subgroup $C_3$, respectively $S_3$, of outer automorphisms of $E_2 \cong Q_8$. Similarly, $G'_{25} \cong 3^{1+2} \times Q_8$, respectively $G_{25} \cong 3^{1+2} \times \text{SL}_2(3)$, induces the subgroup $Q_8$, respectively $\text{SL}_2(3)$, of outer automorphisms of $E_3 \cong 3^{1+2}$ that act trivially on $Z(E_3)$. Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7 we see that (A2), (A3), or (B3) holds. In the case of (A3), $M_8(G, V) > M_8(G, V)$ by Lemma 8 and we already mentioned above that $M_6(G, V) = M_6(G, V)$. In the case of (A2), $G$ cannot act irreducibly on $\text{Sym}^3(V)$ of dimension 4, so $M_{2t}(G, V) = M_{2t}(G, V)$ if and only if $t = 2$. In the case of (B3), $G$ cannot act irreducibly on $\text{Sym}^3(V)$ of dimension 10, so $M_{2t}(G, V) = M_{2t}(G, V)$ if and only if $t = 2$. 
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